1 Title

2 Who cannot work from home? Characterizing occupations facing increased risk during the

- 3 COVID-19 pandemic using 2018 BLS data
- 4 Marissa G. Baker
- ⁵ ¹Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington,
- 6 Seattle, WA, USA
- 7 *Corresponding author
- 8 Email: <u>bakermg@uw.edu</u> (MGB)
- 9 Abstract
- 10 Objectives. Not all workers are employed in occupations in which working from home is
- 11 possible. These workers are at an increased risk for exposure to infectious disease during a
- 12 pandemic event, and are more likely to experience events of job displacement and disruption
- 13 during all types of public health emergencies. Here, I characterized which occupational sectors
- 14 in the United States are most able to work from home during a public health emergency such as
- 15 COVID-19.
- 16 Methods. 2018 national employment and wage data maintained by the U.S. Bureau of Labor
- 17 Statistics (BLS) was merged with measures from the BLS O*NET survey data. The measures

18 utilized rank the importance of using a computer at work, and the importance of working with or

19 performing for the public, which relate to the ability to complete work at home.

- 20 Results. About 25% (35.6 M) of the U.S. workforce are employed in occupations which could be
- done from home, primarily in sectors such as technology, computer, management,
- 22 administrative, financial, and engineering. The remaining 75% of U.S. workers (including
- healthcare, manufacturing, retail and food services, et al.) are employed in occupations where
- 24 working from home would be difficult.
- Conclusions. The majority of U.S. workers are employed in occupations that cannot be done at home, putting 108.4 M U.S. workers at increased risk for adverse health outcomes related to working during a public health emergency. These workers tend to be lower paid than workers who can work from home. During COVID-19, this could result in a large increase in the burden of mental health disorders in the U.S., in addition to increased cases of COVID-19 due to workplace transmission. Public health guidance to "work from home" is not applicable to the majority of the U.S. workforce, emphasizing the need for additional guidance for workers during
- 32 public health emergencies.
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 26
- 36
- 37
- 38

39 Introduction

Initial public health guidance for workers during the 2019-2020 COVID-19 pandemic 40 was focused on ensuring workers stay home when sick, minimize non-essential travel, 41 and practice good hygiene in order to slow the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between 42 workers and community members ¹. As the number of cases grew, workers were urged 43 or required to work from home, ^{2,3} schooling was moved online ^{4,5}, retail establishments 44 closed or severely reduced hours ^{6,7}, and food establishments closed or moved to a 45 model of takeout and delivery only^{8,9}. These measures, while necessary for halting the 46 47 spread of a global pandemic, can have drastic effects on workers.

Exposure to infectious disease is often the primary consideration for worker health 48 49 during a pandemic, particularly for front line workers such as those in healthcare. Previously, we calculated the number of workers in occupations where exposure to 50 infection or disease occurs frequently using 2018 U.S. BLS occupational employment 51 and O*NET data ¹⁰. We found that about 18% of the workforce is exposed to disease or 52 infection at least once a month at work, putting these workers at an increased risk of not 53 only contracting a disease due to work, but also transmitting an infectious disease into 54 the community. 55

While exposure to infectious disease is an important occupational health concern during 56 a pandemic, exposure to job insecurity (that is, concern about having a job in the future) 57 58 is another important metric of worker health to consider. Several researchers have shown a relationship between exposure to acute and chronic job insecurity and 59 measures of adverse physical and mental health outcomes including depression, stress, 60 and physiologic markers such as increased blood pressure ^{11–13}. Exposure to a job 61 displacement event, due to voluntary or involuntary job loss stemming from a layoff, 62 downsizing, or plant closure, also has been shown to be related to a variety of adverse 63 mental health outcomes including depression, suicide, and stress ^{14–16}, negative 64 changes in diet ^{17,18}, and physical health outcomes such as coronary heart disease and 65 other physiologic markers of adverse health ^{19,20}. After exposure to a job displacement 66 event, workers may take jobs of lower quality, resulting in long-term economic and 67 psychological effects for once-displaced workers ²¹. With many workers in the U.S. 68

receiving healthcare and other benefits from their work arrangement, a layoff or
 reduction in hours can affect access to healthcare or long term stability for these
 workers ²².

Working from home can allow continued productivity when access to a workplace is restricted, such as during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it is known that not all workers are able to work from home due to differences in job tasks. Jobs that lend themselves to being completed at home are jobs that require limited interaction with the public, so the work can be done without relying on others. Jobs that primarily use a computer to complete tasks also lend themselves to being done at home, given the portability of work on laptop computers.

79 When access to a workplace is restricted due to a public health emergency, the workers who cannot work from home are likely to experience job disruption, hours reduction, or 80 voluntary or involuntary layoff. During COVID-19, this was exhibited fairly early, with 81 joblessness claims in the U.S. hitting record highs, especially in occupations such as 82 food service, retail, hospitality, and manufacturing ²³. Further, workers who are essential 83 personnel and continue going to workplaces (e.g. healthcare workers, grocery store 84 workers, bus drivers) risk increased exposure to disease, and potential increases in job 85 stress due to changes in job practices and duties to meet an increase in demand for 86 87 services. The experiences of workers who cannot work from home will be different 88 between occupations, informed by whether or not the work is essential, what workplace and regulatory protections exist for the occupation, the pay and benefits they receive, 89 whether they have union protections, and how likely their industry is to return to normal 90 operations after the pandemic event. 91

Here, I characterized which, and how many, United States workers perform job tasks
that can be done at home, using metrics characterizing the importance of interacting
with the public at work, and importance of computer use at work, and which groups of
occupations are likely not able to work from home, putting them at risk for exposure to
infectious disease at work, job displacement, disruption, or insecurity during this time.
Additionally, I investigated how median annual wages differ between occupations that

can and likely cannot work from home during a pandemic event, to better understand

99 which workers may be most vulnerable to work disruptions during a pandemic event.

100 Methods

This analysis utilized measures from two existing data sources, as previously detailed in 101 Baker et al.¹⁰ and Doubleday et al.²⁴. Briefly, U.S. employment and median annual 102 wage by occupation, was downloaded from the U.S. BLS Occupational Employment 103 Statistics database ²⁵. These data were last updated in May 2018, and give a count of 104 the number of U.S. workers employed in each 2010 Standard Occupational 105 Classification code (2010 SOC) and the national median annual wage for each SOC. 106 Guidance around SOC codes is detailed elsewhere ²⁶ but briefly, SOC codes range 107 108 from two digits (Major Group Code) to six digits (Detailed Occupation Code) and are hierarchical in nature. For example, SOC 35-0000 denotes "Food Preparation and Serving" 109 Related Occupations", with SOC 35-9021 denoting the specific food preparation 110 occupation of "Dishwasher." For this analysis, six-digit occupation codes were utilized, 111 and then aggregated over larger occupational groupings (i.e. two-digit codes). 112

To estimate the number of workers in occupations that could be done at home, the 113 O*NET database was utilized. O*NET is a survey overseen by BLS that asks 114 employees, employers, and job experts across six-digit SOC codes about exposures 115 encountered at work, knowledge and skills utilized in the occupation, types of tasks 116 performed, and workplace characteristics ²⁷. O*NET does not collect data from military 117 occupations; thus, SOC codes beginning with 55 "Military Specific Occupations" are not 118 included in O*NET data. Similarly, employment numbers for "Military Specific 119 Occupations" is not reported in the BLS Occupational Employment Statistics Database. 120 All other SOC codes are included in the O*NET database, with updates made every 121 year to ensure the database is completely refreshed every few years ²⁸. Over a ten-year 122 period (2001 to 2011) over 150,000 employees and job experts representing 125.000 123 workplaces had responded to the O*NET questionnaire, making it a robust source of 124 occupational information ²⁹. 125

126 Two O*NET measures were utilized in this analysis. The first characterized the

- 127 importance of computer use at work via the question, "How important is working with
- computers to the performance of your current job?". The second O*NET measure
- 129 utilized was, "How important is performing for or working directly with the public to the
- performance of your current job?" For both questions, respondents could select from:
- 131 Not Important, Somewhat Important, Important, Very Important, Extremely Important.
- 132 Answers were converted to a 0-100 score, representing weighted-average score for
- each SOC code. A score of 50 is equivalent to a respondent answering "Important".
- 134 Importance scores for both O*NET metrics were merged by six-digit SOC code with the
- national employment and annual median wage data. Annual median wage was used as
- opposed to annual mean wage in order to minimize effects from extreme values. Both
- 137 O*NET measures were plotted against each other, with the resultant scatterplot divided
- into four quadrants. Each SOC on the scatterplot was weighted by annual median
- 139 wage, to visualize differences in income between the four quadrants.
- To further explore relationships in these data, the distribution of median annual wages
 was compared between quadrants using a Kruskall-Wallis test.
- All data analysis was conducted using the statistical software package R version 3.6.3.
- 143

144 Results

- 145 BLS reported a total of 144.7 million persons employed in the United States in May
- 146 2018; this does not include workers in military occupations. Figure 1 shows the
- relationship between "Importance of computer use at work" and "Importance of
- interaction with or performing for the public at work" for all 6-digit SOC codes. Each
- 149 SOC plotted here is sized in proportion to the national median annual wage reported for
- that occupation by BLS, with larger points denoting a higher median annual wage. Each
- 151 SOC on the plot is color-coded broadly by occupational sector.
- Figure 1 is divided into four numbered quadrants. SOCs in quadrant 1 represent those occupations that could likely be completed at home, that is, computer use is important

to the work, but interaction with the public is not important. As detailed in Table 1, this
quadrant represents 24.6% (35.6 M) of the BLS workforce and primarily includes
occupational sectors such as business and finance, computer and mathematical,
architecture and engineering, and the sciences, as shown in Table 2.

158 The remaining three quadrants in Figure 1 represent occupations that likely cannot be done from home, making them susceptible to not only infectious disease exposure at 159 work, but also to job disruption, job insecurity, and potential job displacement if their 160 workplace closes. Quadrant 4 represents occupations where computer work is not 161 important, and interaction with the public is very important. As detailed in Table 1, this 162 quadrant represents 18.9% of the BLS workforce (27.4 M workers) and as shown on 163 Table 2, consists of occupational sectors such as retail, food service, beauty services 164 165 (e.g. barbers, hairdressers, manicurists), some protective services (e.g. security guards, TSA agents), and transportation operators such as bus drivers or subway operators. 166

Quadrant 2 represents occupations where both interaction with the public and computer use are important, and accounts for 36.4% (52.7 M) of the BLS workforce. These workers are in management, healthcare, legal, and education sectors. Quadrant 3 represents occupations where both interaction with the public and computer use are not important, accounting for 20.1% (29.0 M) of the BLS workforce. These are typically workers in construction, maintenance, production, and natural resources.

Table 1 summarizes the distribution of median annual wages across each quadrant. A
Kruskall-Wallis one way analysis of variance test indicated that the median annual wage
between these quadrants were significantly different. The quadrant with the highest
annual median wage was quadrant 1, workers who could likely work from home, with a
median annual wage of \$62,710. The lowest annual median wage was in quadrant 4,
which was \$32,040. This over \$30,000 difference is statistically significant when
applying a Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test (p<0.0001).

Table 2 details total employment and median annual wage by 2-digit SOC, and the

percentage of workers in each 2-digit SOC code that fall into each of the four quadrants.

183 Discussion

During the COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. workers were urged or required to work from 184 home help halt disease transmission. However, only about a guarter of U.S. workers are 185 in occupations that can be done at home, with about 75% of the U.S. workforce 186 187 (represented in Quadrants 2-4 on Figure 1) either remaining in the workplace and risking increased exposure to infectious disease, or experiencing job insecurity, 188 disruption, and displacement due to workplace closure. The occupations that can be 189 done at home have, on average, higher median wages than occupations that cannot be 190 done at home, further increasing the vulnerabilities between these two groups. 191

192 Differences in exposure and experiences for these three guadrants that cannot work 193 from home must be noted. Those workers in quadrant 2 are workers in jobs where interaction with both the public and computers are important. Many of these workers are 194 in essential services, such as healthcare and education, making them less likely to be 195 displaced from work, but still experience work disruptions due working different hours. 196 performing different tasks, or working in a new modality. The workers that continue to 197 go to work will also face increased exposure to disease. Like the workers in quadrant 1, 198 these workers tend to have wages above the national median, and likely increased 199 access to benefits and job protections through union and workplace protections. 200

Those in quadrant 3 are largely in construction, maintenance, natural resources, and 201 202 manufacturing. Many of these workers are in jobs that may not be considered to be essential services ³⁰ making them susceptible to job displacement or hours reductions if 203 a shelter-in-place is ordered. If workplaces are open, workers may work in close 204 proximity to other workers on jobsites, increasing risk of exposure to disease. Despite 205 lower than median wages, many of these workers may have some protections from their 206 207 union in addition to other regulatory protections, and increased certainty of a return to 208 work when public health orders are lifted, given the vital nature of their work.

The workers in quadrant 4 are those workers for which using a computer is not

important, but interacting with the public is. These workers, largely in food services,

some protective services, personal care, and transportation could face job displacement

and job insecurity as nonessential business are asked to close for public health

213 reasons, and the public avoids nonessential activities. Those working in grocery stores 214 and other essential retail be less likely to face job displacement during a public health 215 emergency as their workplaces will remain open. However, if schools close, essential workers that cannot work from home may have to choose between quitting their job or 216 217 reducing their hours in order to stay home with children, or going into work without adequate back-up care for their children, further contributing to a feeling of insecure 218 219 employment and stress. Importantly, these workers are also at increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2, and may have to choose between continuing to work and 220 risking exposure, or quitting with no safety net, which could be a particularly challenging 221 decision for a worker in a high-risk group (e.g. older, pregnant, immunocompromised). 222

223 Other data sources have quantified the number of U.S. workers that work from home, including the American Time Use Survey, U.S. Census American Community Study, 224 and the National Compensation Survey. However, these data sources do not quantify 225 226 how many and which types of workers have work that feasibly can be done at home when workers are ordered to do so. The National Compensation Survey characterizes 227 how many workers have access to a remote working benefit as part of a compensation 228 package, regardless of whether a respondent took advantage of it ³¹, and The American 229 230 Time Use Survey and the American Community Study characterize whether a worker worked from home on the day the survey was administered, regardless of whether it 231 was paid work or not ^{32,33}. The work presented here quantified the number and types of 232 workers who could work from if it was ordered in an emergency, which is an important 233 234 distinction from the above-mentioned data sources.

The COVID-19 pandemic, and other public health emergencies and disasters, tend to 235 236 exacerbate existing disparities in society, which was also shown in this analysis. Here, I 237 showed that the distribution of median annual wages differed between those workers that would likely be able to work from home, and those workers that would likely not be 238 able to work from home, further adding to the vulnerability of lower-income workers 239 240 during the COVID-19 pandemic. Workers who are able to work from home will have 241 some continuity in pay, increased ability to care for a child out of school, decreased risk of being laid off or having hours substantially cut, and decreased potential exposure to 242

disease or infection via other workers or community members. This further exemplifies
the importance of work as a social determinant of health, and highlights the importance
of understanding which workers are in more vulnerable jobs during an emergency or
disaster, and the risks and challenges these groups face ³⁴.

247 Limitations related to the data used here must be acknowledged. BLS data does not count self-employed (which includes a variety of workers ranging from gig economy 248 workers to highly trained independent consultants, for example), undocumented, 249 contingent, military, and domestic workers. This undercoverage of the working 250 251 population in the BLS survey could affect conclusions presented here. O*NET relies on employee and employer self-report, so is subject to inherent bias and misclassification 252 during collection. Further, data collected by O*NET is aggregated on the occupational 253 level, and I further aggregated data into guadrants, meaning that within-occupation and 254 within-quadrant variation isn't accounted for in this analysis.³⁵ This will lead to 255 misclassification both within the occupations, and within each quadrant. The O*NET 256 metrics used in this analysis were measures of the importance of using a computer for 257 258 work and importance of interacting with the public, which differs from the frequency of using a computer or frequency of interacting with the public. Therefore, some jobs for 259 260 which computer use is rated as very important, may not actually require use of a computer very frequently, and jobs where interaction with the public is rated as 261 262 important may not actually interact with the public frequently. This further lead to misclassification in the analysis for who could work from home most easily. 263

264

265 Public Health Implications

Understanding the unique challenges that workers who cannot work from home could
face during a pandemic or other public health emergency can help to inform appropriate
risk management and policy-based strategies for these workers, to ensure that their
livelihood can continue. This work shows that only about 25% of the United States
workforce are in jobs that could continue to be done at home during a pandemic event.
These workers would be protected from disease exposure due to working from home,
and are typically higher paid jobs with more workplace protections, further protecting

these workers from adverse health effects related to job insecurity, job stress, and jobdisplacement.

The rest of the workforce (about 75% across quadrants 2-4 in Figure 1) are in occupations that would face increased exposure to disease and infection if they are still working out of their workplaces, and increased exposure to psychosocial factors such as job displacement, disruption, and insecurity if they are not able to work out of their workplaces. These workers could also face stress and job insecurity as they may have to choose between going to work and being exposed, and staying home to protect themselves or care for a family member.

Experiences and outcomes for these workers during a pandemic event would likely be modified by workplace characteristics, such as available workplace controls, workplace policies and benefits, whether or not workers are unionized, whether or not workers qualify for state or federal unemployment protections, worker pay, and the probability of returning to work once normal operations resume.

287 In this analysis, I found that the workers with the lowest average median wage are workers that are not able to work from home, and include occupational groups such as 288 289 food services, retail, personal care, and some transportation workers. Workers in this guadrant have an average annual median wage about \$30,000 less than the workers 290 who can work from home, and these workers often lack protections such as employer-291 provided healthcare, appropriate sick leave, or paid time off, further increasing their 292 vulnerability during a public health emergency, and enforcing the role of work as a 293 294 social determinant of health.

295 While all workers will be disrupted during a pandemic event such as COVID-19,

increased public health focus should be on those that are the most vulnerable, including
ensuring these workers are adequately protected at work, and have social protections in
the event they no longer are able to work. This will ensure these workers do not bear an
undue health burden during a public health emergency, and also help to reduce the
burden of adverse health outcomes that could emerge in these workers who cannot

301 work from home during a pandemic event.

303 References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Interim Guidance for
- 305 Businesses and Employers to Plan and Respond to Coronavirus Disease 2019
- 306 (COVID-19). https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/specific-
- 307 groups/guidance-business-response.html. Published 2019. Accessed February
 308 26, 2020.
- 309 2. Frenkel S. The Week in Tech: Welcome to the Age of Mandatory
- 310 Videoconferencing. *New York Times*.
- 311 https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/06/technology/coronavirus-tech-
- businesses.html. Published March 6, 2020.
- 313 3. Weise K. Ahead of the Pack, How Microsoft Told Workers to Stay Home. *New*
- 314 York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/15/technology/microsoft-
- 315 coronavirus-response.html. Published March 15, 2020.
- Samuel H, Walsh J. Growing number of European countries shut schools to curb
 spread of coronavirus. *The Telegraph*.
- 318 https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/03/12/ireland-closes-schools-colleges-uk-
- heads-delay-phase-coronavirus/. Published March 12, 2020.
- 5. Education Week. Map: Coronavirus and School Closures. Education Week.
- 321 https://www.edweek.org/ew/section/multimedia/map-coronavirus-and-school-
- 322 closures.html#. Accessed March 20, 2020.
- 323 6. Reuters. Factbox: Retailers close stores around globe to curb coronavirus spread.
- 324 Reuters Busiess News. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-
- retail-factbox/factbox-retailers-close-stores-around-globe-to-curb-coronavirus spread-idUSKBN2132J3. Published March 16, 2020.
- 7. Testa J, Maheshwari S, Friedman V. Which Clothing and Beauty Stores Have
- 328 Closed? *New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/18/style/coronavirus-329 clothing-beauty-stores-closed.html. Published March 20, 2020.
- 8. Hussain S, Chang A, Harris J. L.A. bars forced to close, restaurants go takeout-

- only: "Some of these closures might be permanent." *Los Angeles Time*.
- https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2020-03-15/coronavirus-close-los-
- angeles-restaurants. Published March 16, 2020.
- Nossiter A, Minder R, Peltier E. Shutdowns Spread Across Europe as Spain and
 France Order Broad Restrictions. *New York Times*.
- https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/14/world/europe/france-coronavirus.html.
- ³³⁷ Published March 14, 2020.
- 10. Baker MG, Peckham TK, Seixas NS. Estimating the burden of United States
- 339 workers exposed to infection or disease: a key factor in containing risk of COVID-
- 19 infection. *medRxiv*. January 2020:2020.03.02.20030288.
- doi:10.1101/2020.03.02.20030288
- Burgard SA, Brand JE, House JS. Perceived job insecurity and worker health in
 the United States. *Soc Sci Med.* 2009;69(5):777-785.
- Meltzer H, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Jenkins R, McManus S, Stansfeld S. Job
 insecurity, socio-economic circumstances and depression. *Psychol Med.*2010;40(8):1401-1407.
- 13. De Cuyper N, De Witte H. Job insecurity in temporary versus permanent workers:
 Associations with attitudes, well-being, and behaviour. *Work Stress*.
 2007;21(1):65-84.
- Burgard SA, Brand JE, House JS. Toward a better estimation of the effect of job
 loss on health. *J Health Soc Behav*. 2007;48(4):369-384.
- Brand JE, Levy BR, Gallo WT. Effects of layoffs and plant closings on subsequent
 depression among older workers. *Res Aging*. 2008;30(6):701-721.
- 16. Classen TJ, Dunn RA. The effect of job loss and unemployment duration on
 suicide risk in the United States: A new look using mass-layoffs and
 unemployment duration. *Health Econ.* 2012;21(3):338-350.
- 17. Smed S, Tetens I, Lund TB, Holm L, Nielsen AL. The consequences of
- unemployment on diet composition and purchase behaviour: a longitudinal study

359	from Denmark. Public Health Nutr. 2018;21(3):580-592.
360 18 361	Hughes A, Kumari M. Unemployment, underweight, and obesity: Findings from Understanding Society (UKHLS). <i>Prev Med (Baltim)</i> . 2017;97:19-25.
362 19363	Michaud P-C, Crimmins EM, Hurd MD. The effect of job loss on health: Evidence from biomarkers. <i>Labour Econ</i> . 2016;41:194-203.
364 20365366	Lundin A, Falkstedt D, Lundberg I, Hemmingsson T. Unemployment and coronary heart disease among middle-aged men in Sweden: 39 243 men followed for 8 years. <i>Occup Env Med</i> . 2014;71(3):183-188.
367 21368	Brand JE. The effects of job displacement on job quality: Findings from the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study. <i>Res Soc Stratif Mobil</i> . 2006;24(3):275-298.
369 22370	Podgursky M, Swaim P. Health insurance loss: the case of the displaced worker. <i>Mon Lab Rev</i> . 1987;110:30.
 371 23 372 373 374 	Casselman B, Cohen P, Hsu T. 'It's a Wreck': 3.3 Million File Unemployment Claims as Economy Comes Apart. <i>New York Times</i> . https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/26/business/economy/coronavirus- unemployment-claims.html. Published March 26, 2020.
375 24376377	Doubleday A, Baker MG, Lavoué J, Siemiatycki J, Seixas NS. Estimating the population prevalence of traditional and novel occupational exposures in Federal Region X. <i>Am J Ind Med</i> . 2019. doi:10.1002/ajim.22931
378 25379	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Occupational Employment Statistics. https://www.bls.gov/oes/home.htm. Accessed February 25, 2020.
 380 26 381 382 	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2010 SOC User Guide. https://www.bls.gov/soc/soc_2010_user_guide.pdf. Published 2010. Accessed February 25, 2020.
 383 27 384 385 	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. O*NET Questionnaire. https://www.onetcenter.org/questionnaires.html. Published 2018. Accessed February 25, 2020.

28. O*NET Resource Center. O*NET Occupational Summary Update.

- https://www.onetcenter.org/dataUpdates.html. Published 2018. Accessed
 February 25, 2020.
- 289 29. U.S. Department of Labor. O*NET Data Collection Program.
- 390 https://www.onetcenter.org/sl_files/omb2011/Supporting_StatementA.pdf.
- ³⁹¹ Published 2012. Accessed February 25, 2020.
- 392 30. US Department of Homeland Security. Identifying critical infrastructure during
 393 COVID-19. https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19.
- 394
 Accessed March 24, 2020.
- 395 31. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. National Compensation Survey.
- 396 https://www.bls.gov/ncs/. Accessed March 20, 2020.
- 397 32. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. American Time Use Survey.
- 398 https://www.bls.gov/tus/. Accessed March 20, 2020.
- 399 33. Bureau USC. American Community Survey. https://www.census.gov/programs 400 surveys/acs. Accessed March 20, 2020.
- 34. Benach J, Vives A, Amable M, Vanroelen C, Tarafa G, Muntaner C. Precarious
 employment: understanding an emerging social determinant of health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2014;35.
- 35. Cifuentes M, Boyer J, Lombardi DA, Punnett L. Use of O*NET as a job exposure
 matrix: A literature review. *Am J Ind Med.* 2010;53(9).



407

- Figure 1: Who can work from home? Workers in quadrant 1 are workers that are likely able to work from home, whereas
- those in quadrants 2, 3, and 4 likely would not be able to work from home. Each point on the graph is weighted by the
- annual median wage for the occupation, and color-coded by broad occupational sector.
- 412

413 **Table 1:** Distribution of median annual wages by quadrant, as shown in Figure 1

Quadrant	Mean (\$)	Median (\$)	IQR (\$)	% workers	# workers	p *
1. High computer, low public	66,196	62,710	(44,380—83,555	24.6%	35,583,140	
2. High computer, high public	62,596	56,950	(39,818—74,128)	36.4%	52,744,670	0.017
3. Low computer, low public	40,068	38,190	(30,170—47,170)	20.1%	29,032,800	<0.0001
4. Low computer, high public	34,258	32,040	(24,580—40,060)	18.9%	27,370,610	<0.0001
All	55,489	48,650	(35,595—68,215)	100%	144,731,220	<0.0001**

Average Median Annual Wage

414 *Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test of median annual wages compared to quadrant 1

415 **Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance test of median annual wages compared between all 4 quadrants

416

417

419 **Table 2:** Employment and annual average median wage by 2-digit SOC, and percentage of total SOC distribute across all four 420 guadrants.

				% SOC distributed in each Quadrant			
		Median	Total				
	2-digit SOC	Annual Wage	in SOC	1	2	3	4
11	Management	\$104,240	7,616,650	32.0%	68.0%		
13	Business & Financial Operations	\$68,350	7,721,300	72.7%	27.3%	<0.1%	
15	Computer & Mathematical	\$86,340	4,384,300	100.0%			
17	Architecture & Engineering	\$80,170	2,556,220	90.8%	9.2%		
19	Life, Physical, & Social Science	\$66,070	1,171,910	63.6%	36.3%		
21	Community & Social Services	\$44,960	2,171,820		97.8%		2.2%
23	Legal	\$80,810	1,127,900	9.9%	90.1%		
25	Education, Training, & Library	\$49,700	8,779,780	26.7%	50.6%	20.0%	2.8%
27	Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, & Media	\$49,290	1,951,170	43.7%	47.0%		9.3%
29	Healthcare Practitioners & Technical	\$66,440	8,646,730	7.7%	90.5%		1.9%
31	Healthcare Support	\$29,740	4,117,450	1.3%	38.7%	36.6%	23.3%
33	Protective Service	\$40,640	3,437,410	4.4%	54.8%		40.8%
35	Food Preparation & Serving Related	\$23,070	13,374,620		8.2%	10.4%	81.4%
37	Building & Grounds Cleaning & Maintenance	\$26,840	4,421,980		2.3%	70.4%	27.3%
39	Personal Care & Service	\$24,420	5,451,330		22.6%	40.8%	36.6%
41	Sales & Related	\$28,180	14,542,290	12.5%	61.9%	0.0%	25.6%
43	Office & Administrative Support	\$35,760	21,828,990	45.6%	52.0%	0.8%	1.6%
45	Farming, Fishing, & Forestry	\$25,380	480,130		5.0%	86.7%	7.9%
47	Construction & Extraction	\$46,010	5,962,640		2.2%	76.0%	21.9%
49	Installation, Maintenance, & Repair	\$45,540	5,628,880	30.7%	23.3%	38.9%	7.0%
51	Production	\$35,070	9,115,530	21.1%	1.7%	74.2%	3.0%
53	Transportation & Material Moving	\$32,730	10,244,260	4.8%	5.9%	48.4%	41.1%
	All SOCs	\$38,640	144,733,290	24.6%	36.4%	20.1%	18.9%

Bold denotes the quadrant where the majority of workers in each 2-digit SOC fall.

421