

Full title: Knowledge, attitude and practice towards the novel corona virus among Bangladeshi people: Implications for mitigation measures

Short title: Knowledge, attitude and practice towards corona virus, Bangladesh

Alak Paul¹, Dwaipayan Sikdar², Mohammad Mosharraf Hossain³, Md Robed Amin⁴, Farah Deebea⁵, Janardan Mahanta⁶, Md. Akib Javed¹, Mohammad Mohaiminul Islam¹, Sharifa Jahan Noon¹ Tapan Kumar Nath^{7*}

1. Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh
2. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh
3. Institute of Forestry and Environmental Sciences, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh
4. Department of Medicine, Dhaka Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh
5. Honorary Post-Doctoral Fellow at Macquarie University (Australia) and Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh
6. Department of Statistics, University of Chittagong, Chittagong-4331, Bangladesh
7. School of Environmental and Geographical Sciences, University of Nottingham Malaysia, Malaysia

*Corresponding author

E-mail: Tapan.Nath@nottingham.edu.my (TKN)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Alak Paul, Tapan Kumar Nath, Dwaipayan Sikdar.

Data curation: Janardan Mahanta, Mohammad Mosharraf Hossain.

Formal analysis: Janardan Mahanta, Mohammad Mosharraf Hossain.

Investigation: Tapan Kumar Nath, Alak Paul, Dwaipayan Sikdar, Md Robed Amin, Farah Deebea.

Methodology: Tapan Kumar Nath, Janardan Mahanta, Alak Paul.

Project administration: Alak Paul, Tapan Kumar Nath.

Supervision: Alak Paul, Tapan Kumar Nath.

Writing – original draft: Mohammad Mosharraf Hossain, Dwaipayan Sikdar, Md. Akib Javed, Mohammad Mohaiminul Islam, Sharifa Jahan Noon, Farah Deebea, Tapan Kumar Nath.

Writing – review & editing: Mohammad Mosharraf Hossain, Dwaipayan Sikdar, Tapan Kumar Nath, Alak Paul, Md Robed Amin, Farah Deebea.

Abstract

The current novel coronavirus (nCoV) outbreak, COVID-19, was first reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, China has spread all over the world causing startling loss of lives, stalling the global economy and disrupting the social life. One of the challenges to contain the COVID-19 is making people adopt personal hygiene, social distancing and self-quarantine practices which are all related to knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) of the people in respective countries. Bangladesh, the most densely populated countries with a fast-growing economy and moderate literacy rate, has shown many hiccups in its efforts to implement COVID-19 policies. Understanding KAP may give the policy makers to make informed decisions. Hence, this study aimed to make a quick assessment of KAP of people in relation to COVID-19 in Bangladesh. An online survey using a pre-tested questionnaire conducted in late March 2020 attained 1837 responses across Bangladesh. However, 1589 completed responses were included in statistical analysis to calculate KAP scores, their interrelations with socio-demographic variables. The overall KAP is poor with only 10% of the respondents showed good knowledge with parallel attitudes and practices. Socio-demographic factors have strong bearings on the KAP scores. Significantly higher KAP score is evident in female over male respondents, among aged (45 years and above) over younger respondents and among retired and homemakers above students and public service holders. The study indicated a panic fuelled by poor understanding of COVID-19 associated facts and the need for the government to ensure more granular and targeted awareness campaigns in a transparent and factual manner to gain public confidence and arrest more meaningful public participation in mitigation measures. The study provides a baseline of KAP among people in Bangladesh on COVID-19.

Introduction

Alike all new years, 2020 started with new hopes and resolutions only to be shattered by the rapidly unfolding onslaught of corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from the novel coronavirus (nCOV, later named as SARS-CoV-2) that originated from unknown source in Wuhan, China [1-3]. Unlike previous corona outbreaks [4], this highly contagious [5-9] zoonotic virus from yet to confirm animal origin [10-11], has gone from a local flue related severe acute respiratory syndrome [4,8,12,13] to a pandemic threatening lives of millions within a span of few weeks. COVID-19 has thrown global public health into a turmoilby severely straining the healthcare system of the world irrespective of countries. The rapid movement of epicentre moved from China to Iran and then through Europe to USA over a span of nine weeks awed us not only about COVID-19 but also the looming future infectious diseases [14]. As it spreads through social contacts [15,16], billions of people have already been forced into lockdown to minimize the rate of spread that infected subsequent hundred thousand people in a couple of months putting them through economic and psychological hardship [4]. The lockdown has to be adopted since researchers need time to come up with a vaccine or effective treatment as in preceding pandemics including SARS and MARS [4,17-18]. No imminent solution for COVID-19 is in view in the immediate future [19].

The healthcare system of the first-world has failed to tackle the challenge to provide medical care for the rapidly increasing number of infected patients, let alone the developing or underdeveloped nations [20-21]. In the majority of the cases, the leadership in different countries along with the bureaucracy seemed indecisive, inefficient, unpreparedand at awe to contain the contagion. Eventually, for the first time in global history, the active participation of every single

human being on earth - in the form of testing, isolation, contact tracing, social distancing, stay at home, self-quarantine and personal hygiene and use of personal protective equipment likes masks and gloves – has become critical to the containment of COVID-19 to allow the hospitals a lax for not being overwhelmed and the researchers some time to come up with a remedy [22-23]. In this global drill, everyone has to sacrifice - their autonomy, health, job, business, recreation, education, and whatnot. However, ensuring voluntary participation of people in the drill has posed varying challenges in different countries due to varying levels of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP) of the people. Accordingly, design and success of all anti-contagion initiatives depends on macro- and micro-level understanding of KAP in respective countries and within each country.

In Bangladesh, like the SAARC region, the COVID-19 situation seems grave though it poses otherwise initially [24,25] mainly due to imported cases from expatriates [26]. Following its first positive COVID-19 case on March 8, 2020 [27], the country has stopped its educational institutions since March 17, saw its first COVID-19 death on 18 March [28] declared the closure of everything on a halt from March 26 and involved police and army to strengthen the implementation of lockdown as the country is a densely populated, depends on labour-oriented industries [29] and a vast majority thrives on daily earning through informal occupations [30]. However, the lack of coordination of response to the threat is evident [27] which indicates design and implementation of these initiatives based on poor understanding of the KAPs of socio-demographic groups. Hence, this research aimed to quickly assess the Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of people in Bangladesh on nCOV by taking netizens as a representative sample with the hope that the outcome will assist the authorities and other stakeholders to improve the

planning and execution of different measures and will provide a reference for countries with similar socio-demographic.

Methods

Conceptual framework

This study followed KAP approach because this is a representative tool used for a specific population to collect information on what is known, believed, and done in relation to a specific field, for example health [31]. Historically, the KAP model was developed for family planning and population studies in the 1950s, with its purpose being to measure the extent to which any clear opposition to the notion and organization of family planning existed among different populations, so specific family planning practices could be used for different program purposes worldwide [31]. KAP surveys are now the most widely used studies for uncovering societal context in public health research [32-34]. These surveys are easy to design, data output is quantifiable, interpretation is robust and their utility is generalizable for context specific problems [35]. Information generated through KAP studies assist to develop strategies with a focus to improve the behavioral and attitudinal changes driven by the level of knowledge and perceptions towards preventive practices [36]. In a recent KAP study conducted in China, Zhong et al. [37] reported that to facilitate outbreak management of COVID-19, there is an urgent need to understand the public's awareness of COVID-19. They asserted that success against COVID-19 requires peoples' adherence to control measures which is largely affected by their knowledge, attitudes and practices.

Instruments and participants

This KAP study was conducted across Bangladesh using an online survey. Because of contagious nature of COVID-19, we avoided physical interviews. Following Zhong et al. [37] who studied KAP in COVID-19 infected areas in China, and brainstorming among authors of this paper, we prepared a structured questionnaire having 50 multiple choice questions. This was tested in a pilot study with 10 participants. Based on feedbacks from pilot study, we revised the questionnaire and finalized with 40 questions (S1 Table). The questionnaire had four parts- A) Basic information of participants (5 questions), B) Knowledge on Covid-19 (16 questions), C) Attitudes (10 questions) and D) Practice (9 questions). Using office 365, a form was created and the link of the same was shared through Facebook and email with brief introduction and objectives of the survey. Respondents were requested repeatedly to share the form widely to collect a snowball sample of representative of Bangladeshi population aged 18 and above. The survey anticipated responses from participants having university level education as the questions were written in English. Participation was voluntary, anonymous and could withdraw from survey at any time. They provided informed consent prior to complete the survey. The form was posted on 22 March 2020 at 22:00 and the survey was closed on 28 March 2020 at 00:15.

In order to contextualize respondents' views with the public sectors' preparedness in COVID-19 mitigation -relevant policy documents, press releases and newspaper reports were reviewed, synthesized and described following content analysis [50]. The ethical review committee of Dhaka Medical College, Bangladesh has approved this survey (Memo No. ERC-DMC/ECC/2020/88).

Data cleaning

Respondents input their opinions and information by using the shared online survey form. Responses were automatically stored in Microsoft Excel. A total of 1837 respondents participated in this study. However, some of the respondents did not fully complete the survey questionnaire and those were discarded from the survey leaving 1589 complete responses.

Scoring scheme

Respective scores of knowledge, attitude and practice for each respondent were obtained from their responses respectively in 13 knowledge questions, 10 attitude questions and nine practice questions. Percentage of correct answers in knowledge, attitude and practice questions yielded the scores of respective categories. A cut point of 80% correct answers was used for all categories to differentiate between *good* and *poor* knowledge, attitude and practices.

Software

The online survey was conducted by distributing the KAP questionnaire as a Microsoft Office form through Facebook and emails. After importing the online survey results through MS Excel, R programming version 3.5.2 was used for raw data handling and for statistical analysis. Some statistical analyses were performed on SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 16.

Statistical analysis

Based on the scores of different knowledge, attitude and practice variables, the mean difference between/among the categories of different socio-demographic characteristics were compared with independent samples t-test (for two categories of variable), and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)/F-test (for more than two categories of variable). Post hoc analyses were done for significant variable. Also check the associations between different knowledge, attitude and practice variables with different socio-demographic variables were shown using Chi square test. Logistic regressions were being run about the variables that were significant in bivariate analyses/Chi square test.

Results and discussion

We have described socio-demographic information of respondents in the beginning followed by overall KAP scores, assessment of KAP responses, and relationship among attitude, practices and knowledge. This is the first study of this kind in Bangladesh, to the best of our knowledge, that focused on KAP related to COVID-19 and such studies from elsewhere is also quite limited. Therefore, discussion of results draws evidences from media reports.

Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

A total of 1837 participants responded to the online questionnaire reached mainly through Facebook and emails, out of which 1589 questionnaires contained complete responses and were included into this analysis discarding the rest 248 responses because of missing data. The geographical distribution of respondents is shown in S1 Fig. The characteristics of study participants according to study site are summarized in Table 1. Among the respondents 961 (60.48%) were *males* and 628 (39.52%) were *females*. The distribution of respondents in terms of age groups was '18-25' 739 (46.51%), '26-35' 533 (33.54%), '36-45' 184 (11.58%), '46-65' 124 (7.80%), 'above 65' 9 (0.57%) which indicated that online survey reaches younger population disproportionately over the elders. Respondents educational status also exhibited the influence of online access as the makeup was 'Secondary & Below' (4.22%), 'University' (95.78%) as does their professional status which was made up of 'Government Staff' (4.59%), 'Home' (2.71%), 'Professional' (40.28%), 'Retired' (0.69%), 'Student' (44.49%), and 'Unemployed' (7.24%).

Table 1. Socio-demographic profile of the respondents.

Categories	Groups	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	961	60.5
	Female	628	39.5
Age group	18-25	739	46.5
	26-35	533	33.5
	36-45	184	11.6
	46-65	124	7.8
	Above 65	9	0.6
Education	Secondary & Below	67	4.2
	University	1522	95.8
Occupation	Government staff	73	4.6
	Homemakers	43	2.7
	Professionals	640	40.3
	Retired	11	0.7
	Student	707	44.5
	Unemployed	115	7.2

KAP scores of Bangladeshi netizens on COVID-19

Overall, the people of Bangladesh demonstrated poor knowledge scores with a mean score of (8.56±1.63, on a scale of 13.0). As we see in Table 2, there is no statistically significant difference in knowledge score between male (8.57±1.70) and female (8.55±1.51) – a good outcome due to a wide range of educational support for females in the country. Surprisingly, the difference in knowledge score is also insignificant between educational groups. In the contrary, age and occupation show statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) bearing on knowledge score. Among age groups, elders are more knowledgeable on COVID-19 than younger. The highest knowledge score (9.11±1.58) is in ‘46-65’ age group followed by (9.00 ±1.58) in ‘Above 65’ age group. The lowest score (8.30±1.70) is in ‘18-25’ age group while ‘26-35’ and ‘36-45’ age groups show

similar knowledge scores (8.73 ± 1.47 and 8.74 ± 1.65 , respectively). Retired people shows the highest knowledge score (9.27 ± 1.62) on COVID-19 followed by government staffs (8.82 ± 1.45) and professionals (8.80 ± 1.45). Contrary to expectation, students showed the lowest knowledge score (8.33 ± 1.66) subsequent to the homemakers. Comparatively lower knowledge scores among unemployed (8.87 ± 2.01) and homemakers (8.37 ± 1.60) and unemployed (8.53 ± 1.87). Preceding observations indicated an overall poor knowledge score across socio-demographics as, being a recent phenomenon, people are unfamiliar with COVID-19, and awareness campaigns falls short in reaching all groups equally.

Table 2. Socio-demographic distribution of the respondents and their knowledge scores.

Demographic variables		Knowledge score in 13.00 ($\bar{x} \pm s$)	t / F test	P-Value	Attitude score in 9.00 ($\bar{x} \pm s$)	t / F test	P-Value	Practice score in 9.00 ($\bar{x} \pm s$)	t / F test	P-Value
Gender	Male	8.57 ± 1.70	0.346	0.700	7.12 ± 1.15	-4.61	0.000***	5.73 ± 1.39	-6.01	0.000***
	Female	8.55 ± 1.51			7.38 ± 1.03			6.15 ± 1.26		
Age Group (years)	18-25	8.30 ± 1.70	10.39	0.000***	7.16 ± 1.13	1.22	0.300	5.91 ± 1.36	2.878	0.022**
	26-35	8.73 ± 1.47			7.30 ± 1.08			5.94 ± 1.32		
	36-45	8.74 ± 1.65			7.21 ± 1.16			5.89 ± 1.45		
	46-65	9.11 ± 1.58			7.26 ± 1.10			5.54 ± 1.33		
	Above 65	9.00 ± 1.58			7.44 ± 0.73			6.56 ± 1.01		
Education	≤ 12 years	8.43 ± 1.66	-0.67	0.502	6.97 ± 1.27	-1.925	0.054	5.63 ± 1.24	-1.659	0.097
	> 12 years	8.57 ± 1.63			7.24 ± 1.10			5.91 ± 1.36		
Occupation	Govt. Staff	8.82 ± 1.45	6.63	0.000***	7.08 ± 1.22	3.86	0.002***	5.40 ± 1.36	3.72	0.002***
	Homemakers	8.37 ± 1.60			7.42 ± 0.82			6.28 ± 1.28		
	Professional	8.80 ± 1.54			7.35 ± 1.04			5.86 ± 1.37		
	Retired	9.27 ± 1.62			7.55 ± 0.69			6.45 ± 1.29		
	Student	8.33 ± 1.66			7.13 ± 1.17			5.92 ± 1.35		
	Unemployed	8.53 ± 1.87			7.08 ± 1.16			6.10 ± 1.26		

***Significant at 0.01 level **Significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The mean attitude score (7.23 ± 1.11) on the scale of 9.00 indicated the desired attitude towards COVID-19 among Bangladeshi people (Table 2). On the other hand, the attitude scores varied significantly ($p < 0.01$) between gender and among occupation groups. The attitude score for female (7.38 ± 1.03) is higher than that for male (7.12 ± 1.15) though both have comparable knowledge scores. This observation is a positive one as females are more responsible in maintaining family hygiene and teach the children. The good knowledge, attitude and practice of female over male is supported by their lower mortality rate in this diseases, although female are globally more prone to this disease than male [32,42]. While the highest attitude score of the 'Retired' (7.55 ± 0.69) was a reflection of their highest knowledge score; for other professional groups, knowledge scores mismatched their attitude scores. Surprisingly, the attitude scores of 'Government staff' (7.08 ± 1.22) was the lowest with that of the 'Student' (7.08 ± 1.16). 'Homemakers' and Professionals showed better attitude scores than 'Unemployed', 'Government Staff', and 'Students'.

In stark contrast to knowledge and attitude scores, the mean practice score was poor (5.90 ± 1.36 on the scale of 9.00) across all socio-socio-demographic groups (Table 2). The low practice score strongly indicates the gap in the translation of knowledge and attitudes into practices which is worrisome but expected in a developing country with median literacy and daily-earner based economy. Also, knowledge scores significantly varied ($p < 0.01$) between genders, among age and occupational groups. Females, eldest and retired people with respective practice scores of 6.15 ± 1.26 , 6.56 ± 1.01 and 6.45 ± 1.29 exhibited better translation of knowledge and attitudes into practice. Poor knowledge, attitude and practices among the students and the public service professionals is concerning since the young people constitutes a substantial percentage of the population while the public service professionals execute the public policies

and mitigation responses. Hence, special preference should be given to enrich the knowledge of these two groups while focusing on improving their attitudes and practices. Overall, statistically significant and positive linear correlations is evident between knowledge and practice ($r=0.093$, $p<0.01$), knowledge and attitude ($r=0.254$, $p<0.01$) and attitude and practice ($r=0.128$, $p<0.01$) as shown in S2 Table. The observed differences may be due to disproportionate exposure of different groups to media, information gathering network among other factors. For example, while students and young adults are more engaged in social media, the elderly, homemakers have more time to spend on television and gather better information and convert it into better. It indicates avenues of improvement in an awareness campaign to target the age groups and professional groups through appropriate media.

Assessment of KAP responses

As we can see from the frequency distribution of respondents on KAP questions (Tables 3-5), 54.8% of the respondents have a factual concept about COVID-19 and identify it as a *deadly disease, curable and low mortality rate i.e.*, almost half of the respondents has the wrong notion about the disease and 36.2% of them have identified COVID-19 as *a deadly disease with the certainty of death*. A staggering 82.8% of the respondents showed the wrong conception about the cause of the emergence of COVID-19. These observations indicate the effect of misinformation from the internet and media in their understanding of the cause of the emergence of COVID-19. Also, disagreement among the respondents regarding the risk of elderly having comorbid diseases is indicative of an inadequate understanding of the outcome of COVID-19. Similarly, the concept of contagiousness of nCOV is unclear to a handsome one-fifth of the

288 **Table 3. Frequency distribution of responses on knowledge questions.**

Knowledge	No.	%
Perception about COVID-19		
A curse from the God	122	7.68
A deadly disease with certainty of death	575	36.19
A deadly disease, curable and low mortality rate	870	54.75
A rumor which is being spread through public or media	22	1.38
COVID-19 was emerged due to following reasons. Multiple answer is allowed.		
Right answers	273	17.18
Wrong answers	1316	82.82
The main clinical symptoms of covid-19 are fever, fatigue, dry cough, and breathing difficulty		
May be	176	11.08
No	4	0.25
Yes	1409	88.67
Currently there is no effective cure for covid-2019, but early symptomatic and supportive treatment can help most patients recover from the infection		
May be	293	18.44
No	32	2.01
Yes	1264	79.55
Only elderly people having chronic illnesses and other health complications are more likely to be seriously affected		
May be	349	21.96
No	425	26.75
Yes	815	51.29
Persons with covid-2019 having no fever cannot infect to others.		
May be	171	10.76
No	1262	79.42
Yes	156	9.82
The covid-19 spreads via respiratory droplets (from coughing, sneezing) of infected people.		
May be	82	5.16
No	25	1.57
Yes	1482	93.27
Ordinary residents can wear general medical masks to prevent covid-19 infection.		
May be	397	24.98
No	392	24.67
Yes	800	50.35
It is not necessary for children and young adults to take measures to prevent the infection by the covid-19.		
May be	31	1.95
No	1425	89.68
Yes	133	8.37
What do you understand by quarantine?		
No clear understanding	19	1.20
Stay at a separate room, and no contact with family members	1368	86.09
Stay at home and can go outside	23	1.45
Stay at home with family members	180	11.33
When should we go for quarantine? Multiple answer is allowed.		
Right answer	102	6.42
Wrong answer	1487	93.58
Quarantine period		
Right answer	1516	95.41
Wrong answer	73	4.59
Isolation and treatment of covid-19 infected people are effective ways to reduce the spread of the virus.		
May be	130	8.18
No	28	1.76
Yes	1431	90.06
Comparing with other affected nations, what is the possibility of COVID-19 spread in Bangladesh? (Multiple answers).		
Right answers	399	25.11
Wrong answers	1190	74.89
What could be the possible reasons of COVID-19 spread in Bangladesh if it happens? (Multiple answers)		
Right answers	842	52.99
Wrong answers	747	47.01
What should be the priority actions for government to control the spread of covid-19? (Multiple answers)		
Right answer	9	0.57
Wrong answers	1580	99.43

respondents. About 50% of the respondents considered the use of surgical masks may be effective in preventing infection while 25% percept that masks are inadequate and the rest demonstrated confusion. It is linked to the indecision of policymakers and mixed messages regarding masks. These results commensurate with observations from instances of harsh treatment of people who are wither diagnosed with COVID-19 or showed symptoms [36,51]. The respondents demonstrated sound knowledge on the symptoms of COVID (~99%), need for every person to adopt preventive measures (~90%), the duration of quarantine (95%), the means of reducing the spread of COVID (98%) and understanding about the treatment (~98%) which indicates the outcome of related contents in the awareness campaigns. The concept of quarantine was satisfactory in 86% of the respondents while one-tenth of them wrongly considered staying at home with family members equates quarantine. However, the concept of the situation in which someone needs to go for quarantine was quite shallow as opinions varied markedly. Respondents' knowledge on the risk of the spread of nCOV in Bangladesh compared to other countries is alarming as the majority (75%) choose the wrong options while eerily almost all of them (99%) are wrong in selecting the priority measures that government needs to adopt to stop the spread. They also demonstrated a poor understanding of factors associated with the spread of nCOV as almost half of them (47%) couldn't choose the right options. All these observations are explained by the newspaper reports [24,40] related to peoples' behaviour contradicting the measures needed to keep it under control and overwhelming despises on measures taken by the government. It indicates the need to better communicate the information to make people educated so that they can better grasp the communications from the government and respond positively by conforming to the right attitudes and practices.

Table 4 shows the frequency distribution of respondents' attitudes towards COVID-19. As the majority of the respondents (96%) are anxious about massive fatality by COVID-19 in Bangladesh – the majority (95%) of them are willing to stay at home for two weeks upon government order. The attitude towards social distancing shows mixed outcomes as ~24% of the respondents is doubtful about its efficacy. However, the actual situation - as reported in news - shows a contrary picture. The government has to deploy law enforcement to implement lock-down and social distancing [38]. The respondents have a positive attitude towards stopping business and recreational trips (96.6%), working from home (98.4%). Attitude regarding the government initiatives shows pessimism as 91.5% feel the adoption of preventive measures are inadequate and 86% feel the public officials lag in pre-emptive preparations after learning about the spread of novel coronavirus from Wuhan. Particularly, the majority (~88%) considers measures to protect healthcare professionals is inadequate as they (~88%) feel the elevated risks of COVID-19 on healthcare professionals. However, almost one third of the respondents (32%) are skeptical to consider that novel corona virus will cause pandemic in Bangladesh. Overall, in stark difference to their dissenting attitude towards the public sectors' readiness related to the adequacy of preventive measures (91.5%) or need for pre-emptive measures (~80%) or arrangement to safeguard the healthcare providers (~88%), respondents show positive attitude to sacrifice their autonomy for the containment of contagion and towards healthcare providers in perceiving their risks and need to protect them (~88%). The attitude score and related responses clearly indicate a bleak perception towards the readiness of the government in tackling the unfolding challenges of COVID-19 management and arrested the need for more forthcoming and transparent attitude on part of the government to take opportunity of mobilizing their willingness to sacrifice for a desired outcome. Experts also opined that there was a lack of coordination

towards the management of COVID-19 in the country although we had enough time to take appropriate measures [27].

Table 4. Frequency distribution of responses on attitude questions.

Attitude			
	No.	%	
Do you like to stay at home for certain period (14 days) to prevent covid-19 spread if government will order so?			
Yes	1512	95.15	
No	18	1.13	
Not possible due to work	59	3.71	
Do you think that social distancing (e.g. stay 1-2 m apart, avoid crowds, etc.) can prevent covid-19 spread?			
May be	300	18.88	
No	74	4.66	
Yes	1215	76.46	
Do you agree that we should cancel business/recreational trips at this time?			
May be	33	2.08	
No	21	1.32	
Yes	1535	96.60	
Do you believe that working from home can help control covid-19?			
May be	162	10.20	
No	25	1.57	
Yes	1402	88.23	
Do you agree that government has taken sufficient preventive measures to prevent the spread of covid-19?			
No	823	51.79	
Not Enough	631	39.71	
Yes	135	8.50	
Do you agree that government should take preventive measures when covid-19 was first reported in China?			
May be	92	5.79	
No	222	13.97	
Yes	1275	80.24	
Do you think covid-19 can cause massive fatality in Bangladesh?			
May be	295	18.57	
No	59	3.71	
Yes	1235	77.72	
Do you believe that COVID-19 will not be epidemic in Bangladesh due to following reasons? (Multiple answer)			
Right answers	1081	68.03	
Wrong answers	508	31.97	
Do you agree that our health support providers (e.g. doctors, nurses, support staff) are under serious threat when they treat infected people?			
May be	102	6.42	
No	89	5.60	
Yes	1398	87.98	
Do you think that government has ensured enough protective measures for health support providers?			
May be	119	7.49	
No	1396	87.85	
Yes	74	4.66	

On the practice side (Table 5), as the majority of the respondents (~92%) are somewhat stressed about COVID-19 outbreak in Bangladesh leading to a high to extreme feeling about the risk in 65% of them -80.5% of the claims that they avoid crowded areas and 94% do not allow children in outdoor activities and prefer using masks (~84%) while going out. In the reality of Bangladesh's wage-dominated job sector, it is good to see that ~60% of the respondents are working from home full-time while ~14% work occasionally from home. It may not be reflective of the reality since the internet-based survey excludes responses from low-income for whom working from home is not an option. Approximately 61% of them think that awareness level among general people are little or increasing and this may explain their mixed opinion regarding COVID-19 related panic in their respective areas. As the majority of the respondents expect high possibility of spread of COVID-19 with high fatality as many believe death to be the outcome of the disease – COVID-19 may create moderate to extreme stress or anxiety among most of the respondents. Policy makers need to take heed of this in addressing the psychological aspect of the pandemic.

Table 5. Frequency distribution of responses on practices questions.

Practices	No.	%
<i>Do you go to crowded areas now-a-days?</i>		
Every day	14	0.88
No	1279	80.49
Sometimes	268	16.87
Yes	28	1.76
<i>Do you allow your children to go for outdoor activities?</i>		
No	1498	94.27
Sometimes	78	4.91
Yes	13	0.82
<i>Do you and family members use mask when go outside?</i>		
No	90	5.66
Sometimes	240	15.10
Yes	1259	79.23
<i>Have you started working from home in last few weeks due to outbreak of covid-19?</i>		
No	420	26.43
Sometimes	229	14.41
Yes	940	59.16
<i>How would you rate the awareness level of the people living around you regarding covid-19?</i>		
Awareness level is on rise	265	16.68
Little awareness has grown so far	724	45.56
No precautionary measures undertaken at all	176	11.07
People around me are highly aware and careful	70	4.41
Some precautionary measures have been taken	354	22.28
<i>How would you rate the medical facilities in Bangladesh to handle covid-19?</i>		
Gradual advancement in health care is noticeable to deal with covid-19	77	4.85
Health facilities are available for limited number of people	381	23.98
Medical facilities are highly appreciable, and it can prevent the spread of covid-19	11	0.69
The country has quite a good facility to prevent covid-19	9	0.57
Very poor facilities are available so far	1111	69.92
<i>Are the people in your area/district already panic about covid-19?</i>		
May be	338	21.27
No	302	19.01
Yes	949	59.70
<i>Are you feeling anxious/stressed/depressed/helpless thinking about the outbreak of covid-19?</i>		
May be	117	7.36
No	129	8.12
Yes	1343	84.52
<i>If above answer is YES, then please rate your level of feelings.</i>		
Extreme	276	20.55
High	612	45.57
Little	66	4.91
Moderate	389	28.97

Attitudes and practices in relation to knowledge and socio-demographic variables

The practice of any population regarding a particular issue depends on their understanding of the issue and attitude towards it. As shown in Table 6, in all, 47.5% of the respondents belonged to the group ‘*poor knowledge with poor attitude*’ and 45.6% to the group ‘*poor knowledge with poor practice*’. Among the respondents, 29.2% showed good knowledge, 35% showed good attitudes and 35.3% showed good practices. Among the people with *good knowledge*, 60% showed *poor attitude*. Interestingly, among the respondents showing *poor knowledge* scores, one-third showed *good practice* score. Results indicate the challenges of managing a pandemic on part of the government both in policy making and in implementing mitigation measures since people, even with good knowledge, may not be expected to behave accordingly. This is also reflected in respondents’ expectations of high fatality and high contagion while showing poor awareness on the reasons of contagion. Unfortunately, most of the respondents couldn’t decide the priorities of government’s action in preventing the disease while showing dissents on its preparedness. The Government of Bangladesh arranged measures and ordered for home quarantine of returnee expatriates from infected countries [24,39,42]. However, violations and even protests against government’s order is evident in many cases [37] under the excuse of inappropriate institutional quarantine facilities [52].

Table 6. Knowledge on COVID and its relationship with practice and attitude of respondents.

			Knowledge	
			Good (%)	Poor (%)
Attitude	Good	n (column%) (% of total)	186 (40.1) (11.7)	370 (32.9) (23.3)
	Poor (n)	n (column%) (% of total)	278 (59.9) (17.5)	755 (67.1) (47.5)
Practice	Good (n)	n (column%) (% of total)	161 (34.7) (10.1)	400 (35.6) (25.2)
	Poor (n)	n (column%) (% of total)	303 (65.3) (19.1)	725 (64.4) (45.6)

Gender, age, occupation and knowledge scores show strong bearing on respondents' opinions in both attitude and practice responses in logistic regression analysis (Table 7). It is evident that people with a better understanding of COVID-19 favour social distancing (OR 2.089, $P < 0.01$) or working from home (OR 2.225, $P < 0.01$). They have a better attitude towards the heightened risks of COVID-19 casualty of health care sector (OR 2.056, $P < 0.01$) while showing a general dissatisfaction towards early response from the government on COVID-19 (OR 1.474, $P < 0.05$) and provision of protection for healthcare workers (OR 1.47, $P < 0.01$). This clearly indicates the need on part of the policy makers to make people educated through awareness campaigns as knowledge creates a more positive attitude and harness better practice and makes the people

Table 7. Multiple logistic regression of attitude and practice scores with socio-demographic variable and knowledge scores.

A. Attitudes

Variables in for multiple logistic regression		Social distancing	Cancel business / recreational trips	Working from home	Sufficient preventive measures by Govt.	Response from Govt. after reports from Wuhan	Massive fatality or not	Seriousness of threat to healthcare providers	Protection for healthcare providers
		Odds ratio							
Gender	Male								
	Female	1.469***	2.481**	2.121***		2.000***	.766	1.569***	.915
Age	18-25								
	26-35	.974	1.589		.718		1.286	1.278	
	36-45	.994	10.046**		.352***		1.722	.936	
	46-65	1.317	3.628		.601		1.711	.695	
	Above 65	.277	.458		3.211E7		3.467	2.299E8	
Occupation	Govt. staff								
	Home makers	.748			2.559		.661		
	Professionals	.609			2.901***		1.112		
	Retired	2.535			1.794E8		.536		
	Student	.381**			1.213		1.184		
	Unemployed	.383**			1.843		.744		
Knowledge	Poor								
	Good	2.089***		2.225***		1.474**		2.056***	1.859***
Constant		5.096***	15.016***		8.220***	2.965***	.187***	5.290***	6.748

***Significant at 0.01 level **Significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Bold column header indicates more indicative attitudes criteria and bold row header indicates reference category

410 B. Practices

Variables		Visit to crowded areas now-a-days	Allowing children outdoor activities	Using face mask while going outside	Level of awareness among the neighbors	Rating the medical facilities	Panic among neighbors	Anxious/stressed/ due to COVID	Level of stress /anxiety due to COVID
		Odds ratio							
Gender	Male								
	Female	2.951***	2.092***	1.639***	.820		.772**	2.187***	1.120
Age	18-25								
	26-35	.906		1.146	1.121	.978	1.102	1.329	
Occupation	36-45	1.143		.939	1.092	1.303	1.217	1.621	
	46-65	1.083		.612	1.126	.716	1.528	.677	
Knowledge	Above 65	3.998E8		3.939	1.202	.893	2.275	1.848	
	Govt. staff								
Knowledge	Home makers	2.877		11.293***	1.010		1.348		.685
	Professionals	1.507		5.377***	.994		.965		.917
Knowledge	Retired	3.072		55.087***	.488		.950		.868
	Student	2.139		9.144***	1.202		.772		1.192
Knowledge	Unemployed	3.026***		7.004***	1.666		.988		.744
	Poor								
Knowledge	Good		2.981**			1.829**			
Constant		1.637	11.064	.180***	1.508	1.316	1.705	3.778***	.253***

***Significant at 0.01 level **Significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Bold column header indicates more indicative attitudes criteria and bold row header indicates reference category

411

412 more capable in constructive criticism. Women are more willing than men to maintain social

413 distances (OR 1.86, P<0.01), cancel trips (OR 2.48, P<0.05), work from home (OR 2.12,

414 P<0.01). They also expected better pre-emptive responses from the government (OR 2.00,

415 P<0.01) and perceived disproportionate threat of COVID-19 on healthcare workers (OR 1.57,

416 P<0.01). Among the age groups, in reference to '18-25' age group, in foregoing trips in COVID-

19 '36-45' years old are extremely sensible (OR 10.46, $P < 0.05$) while the same group showed
severs dissatisfaction of government's responses (OR 0.352, $P < 0.01$) which may be due to the
inordinate involvement of this group with outdoor trips than other age groups. The response of
this age group also indicates that people facing any situation directly are more likely to exhibit a
more realistic response. Expectedly, in reference to government staff, professionals showed
higher reluctance towards the government's measures (OR 2.9, $P < 0.01$). Interestingly, students
(OR 0.381, $P < 0.01$) and unemployed (OR 0.383, $P < 0.01$) are less willing towards social
distancing.

Conclusion

COVID-19 pandemic, a disaster of magnitude unforeseen by current generations of
mankind, brings the entire world into a standstill and exposed the inherent weaknesses in public
health management associated with it in the developed world let alone in the developing and
underdeveloped countries. Knowledge, attitude and practice of general people is crucial to the
containment of this disease and in implementing mitigation measures since it arrests the
participation of all to ensure social distancing, isolation, quarantine, maintaining personal and
community hygiene and empathy to all who are fighting against COVID-19. An understanding
of the KAP of people, hence, immensely help the policy makers and public health managers to
design and implement policies and mitigation measures by providing them with insights on
pertinent crucial aspects. Accordingly, this study, for the first time in Bangladesh and its peer
countries, tries to evaluate the KAP of Bangladeshi people through an online survey under the
ongoing social distancing requirements. Based on the valid responses from 1589 people, the

study evaluates the KAP scores beside relating the scores with their socio-demographic profiles. The study concluded poor KAP among people on COVID-19 as the respective percentages of people showing poor scores in knowledge, attitude and practice are 70.8%, 65% and 64.7% with some significant bearings of socio-demographic factors on the scores. Women showed better attitude and practices despite lower knowledge score than men while retired people and homemakers indicated better attitude and practices than occupational groups. Unfortunately, KAP of people seemed unaffected by formal education level and surprisingly, the students as well as the public service professionals scored poor in attitude and practice scores. The study indicated a need for more curated awareness programmes with differential targeting and messages for different socio-demographic groups specially for students and public service professionals. An overwhelming panic was indicated as implicated by poor knowledge on fatality rate, underlying factors thereof and the general dissent on the measures hitherto adopted. Also, the study indicated poor translation of knowledge into attitude and practices, as only about 10% of the respondents showed good knowledge with good attitude and practice under a situation that requires improved attitude and practices to ensure social distancing, quarantine adoption of protective measures including wearing masks. As 99% of the respondents failed to identify the priority actions of Government in combating the disease, the Government needs to communicate more transparently to gain public confidence regarding factual information on preventive measures and its effectiveness to the people so that people don't panic and they spontaneously follow the measures. The survey, being conducted online by and English language questionnaire, inherently included the literate persons and it can therefore be concluded that the KAP scenarios is more disappointing among lower education status. However, based on the outcome of this study, it can be claimed that routine KAP analysis can become an effective

monitoring tool to measure the performance of mitigation measures in COVID-19 and beyond.
And in any such application, the results of this study can be used as a baseline.

Acknowledgements

The team is thankful the anonymous respondents for volunteering their participation in the study.

Funding

The study has been financed by the team of researchers involved in the work, and no external funding was available.

References

1. WHO. Novel Coronavirus-Japan (ex-China). <https://www.who.int/csr/don/17-january-2020-novel-coronavirus-japan-ex-china/en/>. (Accessed on 1st Feb 2020).
2. Kommenda N, Levett, C and Levitt D. How the coronavirus spread across China and the world – visual explainer, The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/ng-interactive/2020/feb/11/how-novel-coronavirus-spread-across-the-world-visual-explainer> (Accessed on 27th March 2020).
3. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, Leung KS, Lau EH, Wong JY, Xing X. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020 Jan 29.
4. El Zowalaty ME, Järhult JD. From SARS to COVID-19: A previously unknown SARS-CoV-2 virus of pandemic potential infecting humans–Call for a One Health approach. One Health. 2020 Feb 24:100124.
5. MacIntyre CR. Global spread of COVID-19 and pandemic potential. Global Biosecurity. 2020 Feb 26;1(3).
6. WMHC. Wuhan Municipal Health and Health Commission’s Briefing on the Current Pneumonia Epidemic Situation in Our City. <http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2019123108989>. (Accessed on 1st February 2020).
7. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, Zheng XS, Yang XL, Hu B, Wang YY, Xiao GF, Yan B, Shi ZL, Zhou P. Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. Emerging microbes & infections. 2020 Jan 1;9(1):386-9.
8. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet. 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):497-506.

9. McCloskey B, Zumla A, Ippolito G, Blumberg L, Arbon P, Cicero A, Endericks T, Lim PL, Borodina M, Group MG. Mass gathering events and reducing further global spread of COVID-19: a political and public health dilemma. *Lancet* (London, England). 2020 Apr 4;395(10230):1096.
10. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, Wang W, Song H, Huang B, Zhu N, Bi Y. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *The Lancet*. 2020 Feb 22;395(10224):565-74.
11. Markotter W. Scientists are still searching for the source of COVID-19: why it matters. <https://theconversation.com/scientists-are-still-searching-for-the-source-of-covid-19-why-it-matters-133467> (Accessed on 30th March 2020).
12. US CDC. Confirmed 2019-nCoV Cases Globally. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/locations-confirmed-cases.html#map> (Accessed on 1 February 2020).
13. WHO. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Reports. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200131-sitrep-11-cov.pdf?sfvrsn=de7c0f7_2. (Accessed on 1st February 2020).
14. Anderson M, Mckee M, Mossialos E. Covid-19 exposes weaknesses in European response to outbreaks. *BMJ* 2020; 368:m1075.
15. Memish ZA, Steffen R, White P, Dar O, Azhar EI, Sharma A, Zumla A. Mass gatherings medicine: public health issues arising from mass gathering religious and sporting events. *The Lancet*. 2019 May 18;393(10185):2073-84.
16. Anderson RM, Heesterbeek H, Klinkenberg D, Hollingsworth TD. How will country-based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? *The Lancet*. 2020 Mar 21;395(10228):931-4.
17. Hui DS, Zumla A. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome: Historical, Epidemiologic, and Clinical Features. *Infectious Disease Clinics*. 2019 Dec 1;33(4):869-89.
18. Abdirizak F, Lewis R, Chowell G. Evaluating the potential impact of targeted vaccination strategies against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) outbreaks in the healthcare setting. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*. 2019 Dec 1;16(1):16.
19. CDC. Novel coronavirus, Wuhan, China. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/summary.html>. (Accessed on 1st Feb 2020).
20. MacKenzie D. Covid-19 goes global. *New Scientist* 2020; 245: 7.
21. The Economic Times. COVID-19: US healthcare system, one of the best in world, under deep stress. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/international/world-news/covid-19-us-healthcare-system-one-of-the-best-in-world-under-deep-stress/articleshow/74887314.cms?from=mdr> (Accessed on 30th March 2020).
22. Fraser C, Riley S, Anderson RM, Ferguson NM. Factors that make an infectious disease outbreak controllable. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2004 Apr 20;101(16):6146-51.
23. Hellewell J, Abbott S, Gimma A, Bosse NI, Jarvis CI, Russell TW, Munday JD, Kucharski AJ, Edmunds WJ, Sun F, Flasche S. Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2020 Feb 28.
24. Prothom Alo. Coronavirus in Bangladesh: Highest level of responsibility needed. <https://en.prothomalo.com/opinion/editorial/coronavirus-infection-in-bangladesh> (Accessed on 10th March 2020).

25. Bhutta ZA, Basnyat B, Saha S, Laxminarayan R. Covid-19 risks and response in South Asia. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1190. 2020 March 25.
26. Maswood MH and Hossain S. Bangladesh at high risk of coronavirus. The Daily Newage Bangladesh. <https://www.newagebd.net/article/101292/bangladesh-at-high-risk-of-coronavirus> (Accessed on 31st March 2020).
27. BBC. Coronavirus: One month of identifying the first case, what is the current situation of Bangladesh? <https://www.bbc.com/bengali/news-52207223> (Accessed on 17th April 2020).
28. UNB. Bangladesh confirms second coronavirus death. <https://unb.com.bd/category/Bangladesh/bangladesh-confirms-second-coronavirus-death/47599> (Accessed on 31st March 2020).
29. Perera W. Bangladesh government downplays COVID-19 threat as job losses mount. <https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2020/03/16/bang-m16.html> (Accessed on 1st February 2020).
30. Mahmud F. Coronavirus: In dense Bangladesh, social distancing a tough, Aljazeera News, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/coronavirus-dense-bangladesh-social-distancing-tough-task-200320103733470.html> (Accessed on 31st March 2020).
31. You S, Han W. Approaching Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Model for Elderly with Dementia Who are Suspected to Have Hearing Impairment in Korea. Journal of audiology & otology. 2020 Jan;24(1):40.
32. Bukachi SA, Mumbo AA, Alak AC, Sebit W, Rumunu J, Biéler S, Ndung'u JM. Knowledge, attitudes and practices about human African trypanosomiasis and their implications in designing intervention strategies for Yei county, South Sudan. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2018 Oct 1;12(10): e0006826.
33. Mathatha ED, Manamela JM, Musekiwa A, Prabdhial-Sing N. Exploring the knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) of health care professionals on viral hepatitis notification in Gauteng, South Africa, 2015. Archives of Public Health. 2018 Dec;76(1):1-6.
34. Jimam NS, Ismail NE. Predictors of patients' knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding uncomplicated malaria in the primary healthcare facilities of Plateau state, Nigeria. Journal of Health Research. 2020 Feb 19.
35. Muleme J, Kankya C, Ssempebwa JC, Mazeri S, Muwonge A. a Framework for integrating Qualitative and Quantitative Data in Knowledge, attitude, and Practice studies: a case study of Pesticide Usage in eastern Uganda. Frontiers in public health. 2017 Dec 8; 5:318.
36. Dauda Goni M, Hasan H, Naing NN, Wan-Arfah N, Zeiny Deris Z, Nor Arifin W, Abubakar Baaba A. Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice towards Prevention of Respiratory Tract Infections among Hajj and Umrah Pilgrims from Malaysia in 2018. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2019 Jan;16(22):4569.
37. Zhong BL, Luo W, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, Li WT, Li Y. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. International Journal of Biological Sciences. 2020;16(10):1745.
38. WHO. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Situation Reports. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200131-sitrep-11-cov.pdf?sfvrsn=de7c0f7_2. (Accessed on 1st February 2020).

39. WHO. Q&A on coronaviruses (COVID-19). <https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/q-a-coronaviruses> (Accessed on 29th March 2020).
40. WHO. Interim guidance, 19th March 2020. Considerations for quarantine of individuals in the context of containment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19). <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/infection-prevention-and-control> (Accessed on 30th March 2020).
41. World Economic Forum. Can I go for a run? When will this end? How should testing work? A WHO expert on coronavirus explains. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/03/how-to-stop-covid-19-find-test-isolate-treat/> (Accessed on 31st March 2020).
42. Al Jazeera. Coronavirus: In dense Bangladesh, social distancing a tough task. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/coronavirus-dense-bangladesh-social-distancing-tough-task-200320103733470.html> (Accessed on 20 March 2020).
43. BBC. Coronavirus: Many people in Bangladesh are lobbying hard to test for symptoms. <https://www.bbc.com/bengali/news-52052674> (Accessed on 26 March 2020).
44. BBC. Bangladesh coronavirus: Madaripur's Shibchar's contagious way infecting six expatriates. <https://www.bbc.com/bengali/news-52001768> (Accessed on 24th March 2020).
45. The Daily Star. Coronavirus: Armed Forces start fieldwork. <https://www.thedailystar.net/coronavirus-deadly-new-threat/news/coronavirus-armed-forces-start-fieldwork-1885729> (Accessed on 25th March 2020).
46. MoHFW (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare). Home quarantine order. Published on 16th March 2020.
47. The Daily Star. Can quarantine be a solution in a country like Bangladesh. <https://www.thedailystar.net/opinion/news/can-quarantine-be-solution-country-bangladesh-1884067> (Accessed on 22nd March 2020).
48. Prothom Alo. Act now, no time to waste. <https://en.prothomalo.com/opinion/op-ed/act-now-no-time-to-waste> (Accessed on 27 March 2020).
49. Corona.gov.bd. Advertisement regarding Law Enforcement to handle Coronavirus (Covid-19). [https://corona.gov.bd/documents/Law Enforcement.pdf](https://corona.gov.bd/documents/Law%20Enforcement.pdf) (Accessed on 12th March 2020).
50. Mayring P. Qualitative content analysis: theoretical foundation, basic procedures and software solution. 2014.
51. Dhaka Tribune. Bangladesh coronavirus test rate lowest in world. <https://www.dhakatribune.com/bangladesh/2020/04/01/only-one-in-100-000-tested-for-coronavirus-in-bangladesh> (Last Accessed on 1st April 2020).
52. BBC. Coronavirus: They were quarantined in Dhaka Hajj Camp and CMH. <https://www.bbc.com/bengali/news-517339168> (Accessed on 8th March 2020).

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Map of Bangladesh showing the geographical distribution of respondents.

KAP-COVID-19- Supplementary Figure.

S1 Table. KAP questionnaire on COVID-19 for online survey across Bangladesh.

KAP-COVID-19 -Supplementary Table1.doc

S2 Table. Pearson's correlation coefficients for knowledge, attitude and practice.

KAP-COVID -19 -Supplementary Table2.doc