A computational biomarker of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy from resting-state MEG

- Marinho A. Lopes^{1,*}, Dominik Krzemiński¹, Khalid Hamandi^{1,2}, Krish D. Singh¹, Naoki Masuda^{3,4}, John R. Terry^{5,6,7}, Jiaxiang Zhang¹
- ¹Cardiff University Brain Research Imaging Centre, School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff
- CF24 4HQ, United Kingdom
- ²The Welsh Epilepsy Unit, Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff CF14 4XW, United Kinadom
- ³Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, USA
- ⁴Computational and Data-Enabled Science and Engineering Program, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, USA
- ⁵EPSRC Centre for Predictive Modelling in Healthcare, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ⁶Centre for Systems Modelling and Quantitative Biomedicine, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, United Kingdom
- ⁷Institute for Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Marinho A. Lopes

m.lopes@exeter.ac.uk

Conflict of Interest Statement

JT is co-founder and Director of Neuronostics.

Acknowledgements

ML gratefully acknowledges funding from Cardiff University's Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (ISSF) [204824/Z/16/Z]. DK was supported by an EPSRC PhD studentship [grant number EP/N509449/1]. KH acknowledges support from the Health and Care Research Wales: Clinical Research Time Award and the Wales BRAIN Unit. JT acknowledges the financial support of the EPSRC via grant EP/N014391/2 and a Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Award (WT105618MA). JZ acknowledges the financial support of the European Research Council [grant number 716321]. KDS and KH acknowledge the support of the UK MEG MRC Partnership Grant (MRC/EPSRC, MR/K005464/1) and a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (104943/Z/14/Z).

Abstract
Objective
Functional networks derived from resting-state scalp EEG from people with idiopathic (genetic)
generalized epilepsy (IGE) have been shown to have an inherent higher propensity to generate
seizures than those from healthy controls when assessed using the concept of brain hetwork
whother it may achieve higher classification accuracy relative to providus studies using EEG
Methods
The BNI framework consists in deriving a functional network from apparently normal brain activity
placing a mathematical model of ictogenicity into the network and then computing how often such
network generates seizures in silico. We consider data from 26 people with juvenile myoclonic
epilepsy (JME) and 26 healthy controls.
Results
We find that resting-state MEG functional networks from people with JME are characterized by a
higher propensity to generate seizures (i.e. BNI) than those from healthy controls. We found a
classification accuracy of 73%.
Conclusions
The BNI framework is applicable to MEG and capable of differentiating people with epilepsy from
healthy controls. The observed classification accuracy is similar to previously achieved in scalp EEG.
Cignificance
Significance The BNI framework may be applied to resting state MEC to aid in opilopsy diagnosis
The DN framework may be applied to resting-state MLG to aid in epilepsy diagnosis.
Hiahliahts
Computational modelling is combined with MEG to differentiate people with iuvenile
myoclonic epilepsy from healthy controls.
Brain network ictogenicity (BNI) was found higher in people with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy
relative to healthy controls.
• BNI's classification accuracy was 73%, similar to previously observed using scalp EEG.
Keywords
epilepsy diagnosis; juvenile myocionic epilepsy; biomarker; MEG; functional connectivity;
pnenomenological model;
1 Introduction

118 Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders with an estimated 5 million new diagnosis 119 each year (WHO, 2019). The diagnosis of epilepsy is based on clinical history and supported by 120 clinical electroencephalography (EEG). The presence of interictal spikes in the routine scalp EEG 121 recordings is one of the most valuable biomarkers of epilepsy (Pillai and Sperling, 2006). However, 122 the presence of interictal epileptiform discharges (IED) in a routine EEG is low, ranging between 25 123 and 56% (Smith, 2005; Benbadis et al., 2020). Furthermore, about 10% of people with epilepsy do not 124 show IEDs even after repeated or prolonged EEG (Smith, 2005; Benbadis et al., 2020). On the other 125 hand, specificity is also suboptimal, ranging between 78 and 98% (Smith, 2005), which, for example, 126 may delay the diagnosis of psychogenic nonepileptic attacks by 7 to 10 years (Benbadis, 2009).

127

128 The low sensitivity of IEDs results from IEDs being typically rare events. This may be a consequence 129 of their sources being deep in the brain and/or the extent of cortex involved in epileptic activity being 130 undetectable at the scalp surface (Pillai and Sperling, 2006). Consequently, much of the routine 131 clinical EEG recording consists of brain activity that appears normal to visual inspection, which 132 without other visible disturbances in background rhythms is considered non-informative. However, 133 growing evidence suggests that such sections of interictal EEG without IEDs may be used to inform 134 epilepsy diagnosis (e.g. Larsson and Kostov, 2005; Schmidt et al., 2016; Verhoeven et al., 2008). 135 Larsson and Kostov (2005) showed that there is a shift in the peak of the alpha power towards lower 136 frequencies in interictal EEG from people with both focal and generalized epilepsy. More recently, 137 Abela et al. (2019) found that a slower alpha rhythm may be an indicator of seizure liability. Other 138 studies have used graph theory to test whether functional networks derived from interictal EEG differ 139 from EEG obtained from healthy controls. It has been found that functional networks from people with 140 epilepsy are more "regular" (i.e. higher path lengths between nodes) and deviate more from small-141 world structures than those found in healthy controls (Horstmann et al., 2010; Quraan et al., 2013). 142 Functional network alterations inferred from resting-state EEG have also been used to differentiate 143 children with focal epilepsy from healthy children (van Diessen et al., 2013, 2016). Furthermore, 144 resting-state EEG functional networks from people with IGE were shown to have more functional 145 connections than healthy controls (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Functional networks inferred from 146 interictal EEG from people with temporal lobe epilepsy have also been shown to differ from those 147 from healthy controls (Coito et al., 2016).

148

149 All these studies show that functional networks based on apparently normal EEG may aid in the 150 diagnosis of epilepsy. However, these studies lack mechanistic insights as to why such differences 151 may be related to epilepsy. To build such understanding, we and others have proposed to use 152 mathematical models of epilepsy to assess the functional networks and elucidate as to why a brain 153 may be prone to generate seizures (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016; Petkov et al., 2014; Lopes et al., 154 2019). In particular, we showed that resting-state EEG functional networks from people with IGE are 155 more prone to support synchronization phenomena and the emergence of seizure-like activity than 156 those from controls (Schmidt et al., 2014; Petkov et al., 2014). To quantify the differences, we 157 introduced the concept of brain network ictogenicity (BNI), i.e. a measure of how likely a functional 158 network is of generating seizures in silico (Petkov et al., 2014).

159

160 For the BNI to be useful for diagnosing people with epilepsy from apparently normal brain activity, we 161 relied on the assumption that the ability of a brain to generate seizures is an enduring feature that 162 should be identifiable during interictal periods. We further assumed that such underlying closeness to 163 seizures is captured by the properties of functional networks. We then assess the capacity of a given 164 functional network to generate seizures by estimating BNI through computer simulations that produce 165 long-term activity from which the volume of epileptiform activity can be evaluated. People with 166 epilepsy were therefore assumed to have resting-state functional networks that were more ictogenic, 167 i.e. that had a higher propensity to generate seizures as estimated by the BNI, compared to healthy 168 people. Using this framework on a dataset comprising 30 people with IGE and 38 healthy controls it 169 was found 100% specificity at 56.7% sensitivity, and 100% sensitivity at 65.8% specificity (Schmidt et 170 al., 2016).

171

172 In the current study, we aim to test whether the BNI concept may be equally useful when applied to 173 resting-state MEG data (i.e. its generalizability to a different data modality), and whether it may yield 174 superior diagnostic power of epilepsy relative to previous applications of BNI to resting-state EEG 175 data. In particular, we aim to find whether BNI may be capable of differentiating juvenile myoclonic 176 epilepsy (JME) from healthy controls, using MEG data, and observe how classification accuracy 177 compares to previous studies of BNI on scalp EEG (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016). Since MEG has the

advantage, relative to EEG, of neuromagnetic fields being minimally perturbed by brain tissue, skull
and scalp (Supek and Aine, 2016), one may expect that MEG-derived functional networks may be
more reliable than those from EEG, which in turn may enhance the BNI's ability to differentiate people
with generalized epilepsy from healthy controls.

182 183 184

185 186

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

187 188 We used resting-state MEG data obtained from 26 people with JME and 26 healthy controls. The 189 individuals with epilepsy were recruited from a specialist clinic for epilepsy at University Hospital of 190 Wales in Cardiff, and the healthy individuals were volunteers who had no history of significant 191 neurological or psychiatric disorders. The healthy group was age and gender matched to the epilepsy 192 group. The age range in the epilepsy group was 17 to 47, median 27 years, and in the control group 193 was 18 to 48, median 27 years. There were 7 males in the epilepsy group and 7 males in the control 194 group. Individuals in the epilepsy group had a number of different seizure types and were taking anti-195 epileptic drugs (see Krzemiński et al. (2020) and Routley et al. (2020) for more details about this 196 dataset). Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of the individuals with epilepsy. This study 197 was approved by the South East Wales NHS ethics committee, Cardiff and Vale Research and 198 Development committees, and Cardiff University School of Psychology Research Ethics Committee. 199 Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

200 201 202

203

2.2. MEG acquisition and pre-processing

204 MEG data were acquired using a 275-channel CTF radial gradiometer system (CTF System, Canada) 205 at a sampling rate of 600 Hz. We obtained approximately 5 minutes of MEG recordings per individual. 206 The participants were instructed to sit steadily in the MEG chair with their eyes focused on a red dot 207 on a grey background. Each individual also underwent a whole-brain T1-weighted MRI acquired using 208 a General Electric HDx 3T MRI scanner and an 8-channel receiver head coil (GE Healthcare, 209 Waukesha, WI) with an axial 3D fast spoiled gradient recalled sequence (echo time 3 ms; repetition 210 time 8 ms; inversion time 450 ms; flip angle 20°; acquisition matrix 256×192×172; voxel size 1×1×1 211 mm).

212

213 To assess the presence of artefacts and interictal spike wave discharges, the MEG data was divided 214 into 2 s segments and each segment was visually inspected. Artefact-free segments were identified 215 and re-concatenated for each individual. We thus obtained concatenated recordings with a variable 216 length ranging from 204 s to 300 s, and to avoid the potential impact of different recording lengths on 217 our analysis, we only considered the first 200 s of each recording for every individual. The pre-218 processed data were then filtered in the alpha band (8-13 Hz) and down-sampled to 250 Hz. We 219 focused on the alpha band because it has been shown to be the most informative for differentiating 220 people with epilepsy from healthy controls (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016).

221 222 223

2.3. Source mapping from MEG

224 225 To infer functional networks from the MEG data, we first mapped the data from the sensor space to 226 the source space. The MEG sensors were co-registered with the structural MRI using the locations of 227 the fiducial coils in the CTF software (MRIViewer and MRIConverter), and we obtained a volume 228 conduction model from the MRI scan using a semi-realistic model (Nolte, 2003). To reconstruct the 229 source signals, we used a linear constrained minimum variance (LCMV) beamformer on a 6-mm 230 template with a local-spheres forward model in Fieldtrip (Oostenveld et al., 2011; 231 http://www.ru.nl/neuroimaging/fieldtrip). We mapped the source signals into the 90 brain regions of 232 the Automated Anatomical Label (AAL) atlas (Hipp et al., 2012). For more details about these 233 methods see our previous studies (Krzemiński et al., 2020, Routley et al., 2020).

- 234
- 235 236
- 2.4. Functional networks
- 237

238 We divided the 200-s-long source reconstructed MEG recordings into 10, non-overlapping, 20 s 239 segments. The choice of segment length was motivated by previous studies that aimed to distinguish 240 people with epilepsy from controls using resting-state scalp EEG (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016). For 241 each segment, we computed a functional network using the amplitude envelope correlation (AEC) 242 with orthogonalized signals (Hipp et al., 2012) (see Supplementary Material S1 for more details). We 243 selected this method because it has been shown to be a reliable measure of functional connectivity 244 (Colclough et al., 2016). To remove spurious connections, we generated 99 surrogates from the 245 original MEG signals using the iterative amplitude-adjusted Fourier transform (IAAFT) with 10 246 iterations (Schreiber and Schmitz, 1996, 2000) (surrogates are randomized time series comparable to 247 the original time series). We excluded connections if their weights did not exceed the 95% 248 significance level compared to the same connection weights as computed from the surrogates 249 (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016, Lopes et al., 2019). Using this method, we obtained 10 functional 250 networks per individual.

251 252 253

254

2.5. Mathematical model

To study the inherent propensity of a MEG functional network to generate seizures, we placed a canonical mathematical model of ictogenicity at each network node, i.e. at each of the 90 brain regions represented in the functional network (Lopes et al., 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020). The activity of a network node was described by a phase oscillator, which could transit between two states: a 'resting state' at which the oscillator fluctuated close to a fixed stable phase and a 'seizure state' represented by a rotating phase (see Supplementary Material S2 for more details about the model). This canonical model has been shown to approximate the interaction between neural masses (Lopes et al., 2017).

262 263 264

265

2.6. Brain network ictogenicity

266 The mathematical model allowed us to generate synthetic brain activity which fluctuated between the 267 resting and the seizure states. To quantify this activity, we used the BNI (Chowdhury et al., 2014; 268Petkov et al., 2014; Lopes et al., 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020), which is the average fraction of time that 269 the network spent in the seizure state (see Supplementary Material S3 for more details). We interpret 270 higher values of BNI as representing a higher inherent propensity of the brain to generate seizure 271 activity. Thus, although we use resting-state MEG data to infer the functional networks, we assume 272 that the underlying brain states may differ in their inherent propensity to generate seizures and this 273 may be captured by our computational framework. We expect that functional networks from JME 274 individuals should be characterized by higher values of BNI than those from healthy individuals. 275

276 The simulated synthetic activity depends on a model parameter, the global scaling coupling K (see 277 Supplementary Material S2). Higher K values imply stronger neuronal interactions between 278 connected nodes, which in turn leads to higher BNI values. Hence, for a fair comparison of BNI 279 between different functional networks, K must be the same in all simulations. To avoid an arbitrary 280 choice of K, we considered a redefinition of BNI (Lopes et al., 2018). This redefinition consists in 281 computing BNI for a sufficiently large interval of K values in order to capture the full variation of BNI 282 from 0 to 1. Then we calculated BNI as the integral of the BNI in this interval (see Supplementary 283 Material S3). For a meaningful comparison between different functional networks, we used the same 284 interval of K for all simulations. This procedure has been shown to be robust (Lopes et al., 2018). 285 Analogously to the BNI, a higher \widehat{BNI} value corresponds to a higher propensity of a network to 286 generate seizures. Figure 1 summarizes the key steps of our method.

287 288 289

290

2.7. Statistical methods

We computed 10 functional networks per individual and therefore we obtained $10 \ \widehat{BNI}$ values per individual. We then calculated $\langle \widehat{BNI} \rangle$, the average of the $10 \ \widehat{BNI}$ values. Finally, we used the Mann-Whitney *U* test to assess whether the median of $\langle \widehat{BNI} \rangle$ was higher in people with epilepsy than in the healthy controls.

- 295 296
- 297
- 3. Results

299 We considered resting-state MEG recordings from 26 people with JME and 26 healthy controls. To 300 test whether $B\widehat{N}I$ was larger in individuals with JME than in healthy controls, we first built functional 301 networks from MEG source reconstructed data, then we placed a mathematical model of ictogenicity 302 into the network nodes and measured the networks' propensity to generate seizures in silico. Figure 303 2(a) shows the BNI for all individuals. Overall, individuals with JME had larger BNI values than 304 healthy controls (p = 0.0039, Mann-Whitney U test). This finding confirms our hypothesis that resting-305 state functional networks from people with epilepsy have a higher propensity to generate seizures 306 than those from healthy controls. Note also that for each individual, we observed that \widehat{BNI} had a small 307 variance (i.e. the intraindividual BNI variability is smaller than the interindividual BNI variability), 308 implying that BNI was consistent across the 10 different MEG resting-state functional networks of 309 each individual. We then tested whether $B\widehat{N}I$ could be used for individual classification as to whether 310 individuals had epilepsy. Figure 2(b) shows the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The 311 area under the curve (AUC) was 0.72, the sensitivity was 0.77, and the specificity was 0.58. The \widehat{BNI} 's 312 classification accuracy was 73%.

313

321 322

323

298

314 The results in Fig. 2 may be confounded by a number of factors. Namely, epilepsy duration and 315 seizure frequency may have an impact on the $B\widehat{N}I$ values. Figure S1 shows the $B\widehat{N}I$ versus these 316 clinical characteristics in the JME group. From visual inspection, the figure suggests that while 317 individuals with short epilepsy duration or low seizure frequency may exhibit both low and high BNI318 values, individuals with relatively longer epilepsy duration (larger than 20 years) and higher seizure 319 frequency (higher than 200 seizures per year) present high \widehat{BNI} values. 320

4. Discussion

324 To date, the BNI framework has proved to be valuable for the diagnosis of IGE using scalp EEG 325 (Schmidt et al., 2014, 2016; Petkov et al., 2014), assessment of epilepsy surgery using intracranial EEG (Goodfellow et al., 2016; Lopes et al., 2017, 2018, 2020; Laiou et al., 2019), and epilepsy 326 327 classification using scalp EEG (Lopes et al., 2019). Here we aimed to test whether the concept of BNI 328 could differentiate people with JME from age and gender matched healthy controls using resting-state 329 MEG data. We found that the BNI is on average higher in the JME group than in the control group. 330 We further found that, as a classifier, the BNI yields a sensitivity of 0.77, a specificity of 0.58, and an 331 AUC of 0.72, which is similar to previous findings in IGE using scalp EEG (Schmidt et al., 2016). This 332 result suggests that MEG and scalp EEG may yield similar diagnostic power despite MEG being 333 considered superior to EEG in recording reliable brain signals (Supek and Aine, 2016). In other 334 words, this suggests that the key functional network properties that characterize the underlying brain 335 ictogenicity may be similarly captured by MEG and scalp EEG. 336

337 Resting-state MEG functional networks have been previously shown to be altered in people with 338 epilepsy relative to healthy controls (van Dellen et al., 2012; Niso et al., 2015; Hsiao et al., 2015; Wu 339 et al., 2017; Routley et al., 2020). For example, Niso et al. (2015) used 15 graph-theoretic measures 340 to quantify resting-state MEG functional networks from people with frontal focal epilepsy, generalized 341 epilepsy and healthy individuals. They found that functional networks from generalized epilepsy had 342 greater efficiency and lower eccentricity than those from controls, whereas functional networks from 343 frontal focal epilepsy exhibited only reduced eccentricity over fronto-temporal and central sensors 344 relative to networks from controls. Furthermore, machine learning has been used to also differentiate 345 people with epilepsy from controls (Soriano et al., 2017). Our study distinguishes from these studies 346 by not only searching for differences between functional networks in health and disease, but instead 347 test a specific mechanistic hypothesis that justifies the difference. Thus, our approach is more readily 348 interpretable and may offer insight into why altered functional networks underlie epilepsy.

349

350 We acknowledge that our study has some limitations. First, in order to truly test how MEG-based 351 predictions compare to scalp EEG-based predictions, we would need both MEG and EEG data 352 collected from the same participants. Future work should assess whether predictions based on both 353 data modalities would deliver equivalent individual classification. Second, people with JME were 354 taking anti-epileptic medication, which may have potentially reduced the BNI in some JME individuals, 355 making them indistinguishable from healthy individuals. Future studies should consider newly 356 diagnosed drug-naïve individuals. This may be particularly important to also control for the effect of 357 epilepsy duration and seizure frequency on BNI. Our results suggest that individuals with longer

358 epilepsy duration and higher seizure frequency were more likely to be characterized by high BNI. On 359 one hand this is an expected observation, i.e. BNI should be higher for individuals more prone to 360 seizures and also those for which a longer disease may have had an impact on resting-state 361 functional connectivity. On the other hand, these were individuals for which diagnosis could be less 362 challenging. Third, we focused our analysis on differentiation of people with JME from healthy 363 controls. We therefore cannot exclude the possibility that our findings are specific to JME. More 364 comprehensive datasets will be needed to explore whether our findings generalize to other types of 365 epilepsy.

366 367

368

375 376

377

5. Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that the BNI framework generalizes from scalp EEG to MEG. We showed
 that resting-state MEG from people with JME is characterized by higher BNI than that from healthy
 controls. The achieved classification accuracy is similar to previously obtained from scalp EEG,
 suggesting that the two data modalities may capture similar underlying ictogenic features.

6. References

Abela, E., Pawley, A. D., Tangwiriyasakul, C., Yaakub, S. N., Chowdhury, F. A., Elwes, R. D., ... &
Richardson, M. P. (2019). Slower alpha rhythm associates with poorer seizure control in epilepsy.
Annals of clinical and translational neurology, 6(2), 333-343. doi: 10.1002/acn3.710

Benbadis, S. (2009). The differential diagnosis of epilepsy: a critical review. Epilepsy & Behavior,
15(1), 15-21. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2009.02.024

Benbadis, S. R., Beniczky, S., Bertram, E., MacIver, S., & Moshé, S. L. (2020). The role of EEG in patients with suspected epilepsy. Epileptic Disorders, 22(2), 143-155. doi: 10.1684/epd.2020.1151

Chowdhury, F. A., Woldman, W., FitzGerald, T. H., Elwes, R. D., Nashef, L., Terry, J. R., &
Richardson, M. P. (2014). Revealing a brain network endophenotype in families with idiopathic
generalised epilepsy. PloS one, 9(10), e110136. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110136

391

Colclough, G. L., Woolrich, M. W., Tewarie, P. K., Brookes, M. J., Quinn, A. J., & Smith, S. M. (2016).
How reliable are MEG resting-state connectivity metrics?. Neuroimage, 138, 284-293. doi:
10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.070

Coito, A., Genetti, M., Pittau, F., Iannotti, G. R., Thomschewski, A., Höller, Y., ... & Plomp, G. (2016).
Altered directed functional connectivity in temporal lobe epilepsy in the absence of interictal spikes: a
high density EEG study. Epilepsia, 57(3), 402-411. doi: 10.1111/epi.13308

399

van Dellen, E., Douw, L., Hillebrand, A., Ris-Hilgersom, I. H., Schoonheim, M. M., Baayen, J. C., ... &
Stam, C. J. (2012). MEG network differences between low-and high-grade glioma related to epilepsy
and cognition. PloS one, 7(11). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050122

Hipp, J. F., Hawellek, D. J., Corbetta, M., Siegel, M., & Engel, A. K. (2012). Large-scale cortical
correlation structure of spontaneous oscillatory activity. Nature neuroscience, 15(6), 884. doi:
10.1038/nn.3101

407
408 Horstmann, M. T., Bialonski, S., Noennig, N., Mai, H., Prusseit, J., Wellmer, J., ... & Lehnertz, K.
409 (2010). State dependent properties of epileptic brain networks: Comparative graph-theoretical
410 analyses of simultaneously recorded EEG and MEG. Clinical Neurophysiology, 121(2), 172-185. doi:
411 10.1016/j.clinph.2009.10.013

412 413 Hsiao, F. J., Yu, H. Y., Chen, W. T., Kwan, S. Y., Chen, C., Yen, D. J., ... & Lin, Y. Y. (2015).

- 414 Increased intrinsic connectivity of the default mode network in temporal lobe epilepsy: evidence from 415 resting-state MEG recordings. PLoS One, 10(6). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128787
- 416

417 Krzemiński, D., Masuda, N., Hamandi, K., Singh, K. D., Routley, B., & Zhang, J. (2020). Energy 418 landscape of resting magnetoencephalography reveals fronto-parietal network impairments in 419 epilepsy. Network Neuroscience, 1-23, doi: 10.1162/netn a 00125 420 421 Laiou, P., Avramidis, E., Lopes, M. A., Abela, E., Müller, M., Akman, O. E., ... & Goodfellow, M. 422 (2019). Quantification and selection of ictogenic zones in epilepsy surgery. Frontiers in neurology, 10, 423 1045. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01045 424 425 Larsson, P. G., & Kostov, H. (2005). Lower frequency variability in the alpha activity in EEG among 426 patients with epilepsy. Clinical Neurophysiology, 116(11), 2701-2706. doi: 427 10.1016/j.clinph.2005.07.019 428 429 Lopes, M. A., Richardson, M. P., Abela, E., Rummel, C., Schindler, K., Goodfellow, M., & Terry, J. R. 430 (2017). An optimal strategy for epilepsy surgery: Disruption of the rich-club?. PLoS computational 431 biology, 13(8), e1005637. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005637 432 433 Lopes, M. A., Richardson, M. P., Abela, E., Rummel, C., Schindler, K., Goodfellow, M., & Terry, J. R. 434 (2018). Elevated ictal brain network ictogenicity enables prediction of optimal seizure control. 435 Frontiers in neurology, 9, 98. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00098 436 437 Lopes, M. A., Perani, S., Yaakub, S. N., Richardson, M. P., Goodfellow, M., & Terry, J. R. (2019). 438 Revealing epilepsy type using a computational analysis of interictal EEG. Scientific reports, 9(1), 1-10. 439 doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-46633-7 440 441 Lopes, M. A., Junges, L., Tait, L., Terry, J. R., Abela, E., Richardson, M. P., & Goodfellow, M. (2020). 442 Computational modelling in source space from scalp EEG to inform presurgical evaluation of epilepsy. 443 Clinical Neurophysiology, 131(1), 225-234. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019.10.027 444 445 Niso, G., Carrasco, S., Gudín, M., Maestú, F., del-Pozo, F., & Pereda, E. (2015). What graph theory 446 actually tells us about resting state interictal MEG epileptic activity. Neuroimage: clinical, 8, 503-515. 447 doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2015.05.008 448 449 Nolte, G. (2003). The magnetic lead field theorem in the guasi-static approximation and its use for 450 magnetoencephalography forward calculation in realistic volume conductors. Physics in Medicine & 451 Biology, 48(22), 3637. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/48/22/002 452 453 Oostenveld, R., Fries, P., Maris, E., & Schoffelen, J. M. (2011). FieldTrip: open source software for 454 advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. Computational intelligence 455 and neuroscience, 2011, 1. doi: 10.1155/2011/156869 456 457 Petkov, G., Goodfellow, M., Richardson, M. P., & Terry, J. R. (2014). A critical role for network 458 structure in seizure onset: a computational modeling approach. Frontiers in neurology, 5, 261. doi: 459 10.3389/fneur.2014.00261 460 461 Pillai, J., & Sperling, M. R. (2006). Interictal EEG and the diagnosis of epilepsy. Epilepsia, 47, 14-22. 462 doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2006.00654.x 463 464 Quraan, M. A., McCormick, C., Cohn, M., Valiante, T. A., & McAndrews, M. P. (2013). Altered resting 465 state brain dynamics in temporal lobe epilepsy can be observed in spectral power, functional 466 connectivity and graph theory metrics. PloS one, 8(7). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068609 467 468 Routley, B., Shaw, A., Muthukumaraswamy, S. D., Singh, K. D., & Hamandi, K. (2020). Juvenile 469 myoclonic epilepsy shows increased posterior theta, and reduced sensorimotor beta resting 470 connectivity. Epilepsy Research, 106324. doi: 10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2020.106324 471 472 Schmidt H., Petkov G., Richardson M.P., Terry J.R. (2014) Dynamics on networks: the role of local 473 dynamics and global networks on the emergence of hypersynchronous neural activity. PLoS Comput 474 Biol, 10:e1003947. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003947

475

476 Schmidt H., Woldman W., Goodfellow M., Chowdhury F.A., Koutroumanidis M., Jewell S., et al. 477 (2016) A computational biomarker of idiopathic generalized epilepsy from resting state EEG. 478 Epilepsia, 57:e200-4. doi: 10.1111/epi.13481 479 480 Schreiber, T., & Schmitz, A. (1996). Improved surrogate data for nonlinearity tests. Physical review 481 letters, 77(4), 635. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.635 482 483 Schreiber, T., & Schmitz, A. (2000). Surrogate time series. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 142(3-484 4), 346-382. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(00)00043-9 485 486 Smith, S. J. M. (2005). EEG in the diagnosis, classification, and management of patients with 487 epilepsy. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 76(suppl 2), ii2-ii7. doi: 488 10.1136/jnnp.2005.069245 489 490 Soriano, M. C., Niso, G., Clements, J., Ortín, S., Carrasco, S., Gudín, M., ... & Pereda, E. (2017). 491 Automated detection of epileptic biomarkers in resting-state interictal MEG data. Frontiers in 492 neuroinformatics, 11, 43. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2017.00043 493 494 Supek, S., & Aine, C. J. (2016). Magnetoencephalography. Springer-Verlag Berlin An. doi: 495 10.1007/978-3-642-33045-2 496 497 van Diessen, E., Otte, W. M., Braun, K. P., Stam, C. J., & Jansen, F. E. (2013). Improved diagnosis in

van Diessen, E., Otte, W. M., Braun, K. P., Stam, C. J., & Jansen, F. E. (2013). Improved diagnosis in
 children with partial epilepsy using a multivariable prediction model based on EEG network
 characteristics. PloS one, 8(4). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059764

500

van Diessen, E., Otte, W. M., Stam, C. J., Braun, K. P., & Jansen, F. E. (2016).

502 Electroencephalography based functional networks in newly diagnosed childhood epilepsies. Clinical
 503 Neurophysiology, 127(6), 2325-2332. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.03.015
 504

Verhoeven, T., Coito, A., Plomp, G., Thomschewski, A., Pittau, F., Trinka, E., ... & Dambre, J. (2018).
Automated diagnosis of temporal lobe epilepsy in the absence of interictal spikes. NeuroImage:
Clinical, 17, 10-15. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2017.09.021

509 WHO (2019) https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/epilepsy

Wu, C., Xiang, J., Jiang, W., Huang, S., Gao, Y., Tang, L., ... & Wang, X. (2017). Altered effective
connectivity network in childhood absence epilepsy: a multi-frequency MEG study. Brain topography,
30(5), 673-684. doi: 10.1007/s10548-017-0555-1

514 515

- 516
- 517
- 518
- 519

520 521

ID	Age	Gender	Epilepsy duration	Seizure frequency		
				MJ	ABS	GTCS
JME1	17.8	f	2.8	12	365	3
JME2	31.3	f	18.3	12	12	1
JME3	27	f	19.0	104	0	1
JME4	20.1	f	3.1	0	0	4
JME5	20.7	f	3.7	4	4	4
JME6	20.4	f	5.4	12	0	104
JME7	19.2	f	4.2	12	12	12
JME8	20.9	f	12.9	104	36	4
JME9	35.3	f	23.3	2920	0	1
JME10	30.2	m	16.2	52	52	4
JME11	23.7	m	8.7	365	2	2

JME12	38.8	f	21.8	365	365	104
JME13	22.2	m	4.2	104	0	52
JME14	33.1	f	21.1	12	1	12
JME15	29.7	m	14.7	12	0	0
JME16	25.7	f	10.7	6	12	1
JME17	36	f	27.0	0	365	12
JME18	38.6	f	28.6	2	0	1
JME19	44.3	m	29.3	365	365	2
JME20	47.7	f	40.7	52	52	1
JME21	26.8	m	8.8	1	0	0
JME22	22.3	f	10.3	6	0	1
JME23	38.7	f	24.7	0	0	1
JME24	18.9	f	3.9	0	0	1
JME25	31.1	f	18.1	1	0	0.2
JME26	22.7	m	10.7	1	1	0.2

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the individuals with JME. Age and epilepsy duration are in years, m male, f = female, seizure frequency is in number of seizures per year and is divided in three types of epileptiform activity: MJ = myoclonic jerks, ABS = absence seizures, and GTCS = generalized tonicclonic seizures. Seizure frequency was based on self-reporting at the time of scan and extrapolated to a number of seizures per year.

527 528

529 Figure Legends

530

531 Figure 1

Scheme of the data analysis procedure to compute \widehat{BNI} . (a) We select a MEG source reconstructed data segment and by measuring the AEC we obtain (b) a functional network. To assess the propensity of the network to generate seizures, we then use (c) the theta model to simulate (d) synthetic brain activity. We then calculate (e) the BNI, i.e. the average fraction of time that network nodes spend in seizure-like activity. To avoid an arbitrary choice of *K*, we compute (f) BNI as a function of *K*. (g) \widehat{BNI} is then the integral of BNI in the interval $[K_1, K_2]$, i.e. the area under the BNI curve.

539 540

541 **Figure 2**

542 Brain network ictogenicity (\widehat{BNI}) in healthy individuals and people with JME. Each marker in panel (a) 543 represents the average \widehat{BNI} (i.e. $\langle \widehat{BNI} \rangle$) of a single individual and the error bars their standard error

544 computed from 10 MEG resting-state functional networks. Blue markers correspond to healthy

545 individuals, whereas red markers correspond to individuals with epilepsy. The epilepsy group has a

546 larger $(B\widehat{NI})$ than the healthy group (p = 0.0039, Mann-Whitney U test). Panel (b) shows the receiver

547 operating characteristic (ROC) curve for one group versus the other using the $\langle \widehat{BNI} \rangle$ as a classifier.

548 The area under the curve (AUC) is 0.72 and the circle identifies the optimal operating point of the

549 ROC curve, for which the sensitivity is 0.77, and the specificity is 0.58.



