Influence of countries adopted policies for

COVID-19 reduction under the view of the

airborne transmission framework

1 Research Article

2

3

4

5

6 Charles Roberto Telles¹

- ¹ Multisector Projects Division. Secretary of State for Education and Sport of Paraná. Água Verde
 Avenue, 2140. Água Verde. Curitiba PR, 80240-900. Brazil; charlestelles@seed.pr.gov.br.
- 9 * Correspondence: charlestelles@seed.pr.gov.br
- 10

11 Abstract: Daily new cases dataset since January 2020 were used to search for evidences of 12 SARS-CoV-2 community transmission as the main nowadays cause of constant infection rates 13 among countries. Despite traditional forms of transmission of this virus (droplets and aerosols 14 in medical facilities), new evidence suggests aerosols forming patterns in the atmosphere as a 15 main factor of community transmission outside medical spaces. Following these findings, this 16 research focused on comparing some countries and the adopted policy used as preventive 17 framework for virus community transmission. Countries social distancing policy aspect, of one 18 to two meters of physical distance, was statistically analyzed from January to early May 2020, 19 and countries were divided into those implementing only social physical distance and those 20 implementing distancing with additional transmission isolation (with masks and city 21 disinfection). Correlating countries social distancing policy adoption with other preventive 22 measures such as social isolation and COVID-19 testing, a new indicator results, derived from 23 SIR models and Weibull parameterization, show that only social physical distance measure 24 could act as a factor for SARS-CoV-2 transmission with respect to atmosphere carrier potential. 25 In this sense, the type of social distancing framework adopted by some countries without 26 additional measures might represent a main model for the constant reproductive spread 27 patterns of SARS-CoV-2 within the community transmission. Finally, the findings have 28 important implications for the policy making to be adopted globally as well as individual-scale 29 preventive methods.

Keywords: Social Distancing Policy; COVID-19 Social Transmission Behavior; Policies and
 Individual Behavior; Convergence and Stability Properties; Epidemiology Framework

1. Introduction

33

34 1.1. The nonlinear properties of SARS-CoV-2 transmission patterns

As the COVID-19 epidemic is continuously demonstrating a growing pattern of community transmission, even with effective social isolation (lockdown or voluntarily shelter in place) and COVID-19 testing policies, theoretical analysis was performed to track the main transmission pattern of the virus reproductive behavior under other unsuspected forms of transmission (atmospheric) within social distancing policy and its infectious disease modeling concerning methodological aspects in the geographical regions samples of Asia, South America, North America, Middle East, Africa and European countries.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

42 Focusing at advanced phases of community transmission observed in some countries and 43 given the emergency of detecting the human transmission spreading patterns of the COVID-19 44 pandemic since December, 2019 and first quarter of 2020 [1], due to the increasing numbers of 45 new infections and deaths monitored by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1] and John 46 Hopkins University, this research mainly focused on the nonlinear epidemic properties of the 47 population biology interactions and the highly random forms of virus transmission associated 48 with human social behavior and environmental conditions (aerosol in long-range transmission 49 (airborne transmission)). The nonlinear scenario pointed in this research refers mainly to the 50 unpredictability of epidemiologic framework of SIR (susceptible, infected, removed) stochastic 51 models to track the possible rate of infection among population even with some policy 52 measures implanted along countries. This limitation of predict future rates of contagion was 53 noticed during the pandemic spreading and it suggested the use of the qualitative theory of 54 differential equations considering for it the high order non autonomous models to infer 55 theoretically and without numerical results, for this moment, which of the variables that 56 influences virus propagation present a possible fixed point orientation, as well as, explain why 57 the nonlinearity can be tracked by this approaches. The random patterns of virus reproduction 58 assumes through the air framework of analysis other dimension of research, conducting the 59 social behavior of individuals and the fluid dynamics behavior as two main factors that 60 produce still not resolved mathematical equations to simulate the virus daily new cases 61 growing aspect.

62 SARS-CoV-2 expresses different patterns of transmission among humans [2-4]. This feature 63 is being investigated not only using clinical trials and data [2-7], statistical tools [2,4,6-8], and 64 medical interviews with patients [2,3,5-7], but also through the mathematical point of view. 65 Concerning mathematical predictions of SARS-CoV-2 reproductive patterns, the maximum 66 possible rate of infection of the virus and human daily life [2,9,10] among countries are 67 presenting community spreading with an increasing margin of probability and statistical 68 unpredictable outcomes. One of the unpredictable patterns (Figure 1) of the virus in its spread 69 within the period of February to April 2020 is visible in the numbers of new infections among 70 countries over time where the input and output, which is the possible number of people 71 infected from an initial number, resulting into a maximum and minimum margins of spread of 72 the virus fluctuation, express unpredictably (non-linearity). This observation was initially and 73 briefly modeled by the nonlinear method reported by Gu et al. [11].



Source: European CDC - Situation Update Worldwide - Last updated 13th April, 11:30 (London time) OurWorldInData.org/coronavirus • CC BY

Figure 1. Total confirmed cases of COVID-19 worldwide: general overview. Observe the doubling of cases by day range and the large variance of input data for the evolution of each

77 system. Source: Our World in Data.

78 Considering these nonlinear aspects of infection among countries, this article research 79 during March and April [12,13,14] pointed to the airborne long-range transmission evidences of 80 SARS-CoV-2 infection and this environmental role of infection was supported by the type of 81 policies adopted in China and South Korea where significant reduction of infection cases 82 occurred with distinct patterns found in other countries during all epidemics contagion phase. 83 Regarding this two countries policies, social distancing measures with additional methods such 84 as mask use and city disinfection were applied and this research found it as one main cause of 85 COVID-19 non trivial frequency of daily new cases distribution during early stage of pandemics 86 until end of April. In this sense, beyond the adoption of only social isolation and COVID-19 87 tests policies, social distancing with air preventive framework was revealed to be an urgent 88 need for any country.

89 Furthermore, the variance observed in daily new cases among countries until the last date 90 this research was performed, besides it is produced by reasons in each country, points of 91 convergence (fixed point theoretical approach) are considered as stable concerning policies 92 analysis point of view and with high stability towards many solutions obtained by the 93 confounding variables as it will be demonstrated in Results section. These fixed point variables 94 could be identified by the social isolation, COVID-19 testing and social distancing policies with 95 atmospheric preventive framework. Even with high variance produced by other variables, these 96 fixed point stable parameters can create a confident region of statistical analysis in terms of 97 reducing maximum exponential growth of virus along days and therefore it could be more 98 conclusive to many mathematical infectious disease models (SIR stochastic or deterministic 99 approaches) that were created since the beginning of epidemics and later pandemics spreading.

100 The analysis of the nonlinear properties of the mathematical and non-pharmaceutical 101 COVID-19 epidemiological framework is not only important for medical facilities but also for 102 public policies and healthcare infrastructure to estimate the disease patterns of community 103 transmission that are related to the potential damage within a pandemic scenario, which affects 104 economics and threatens the health and survival of individual humans.

Finally, this research is relevant due to the large active workforce trying to maintain the
 minimum societal services and sectors necessary for survival, such as electrical, water, garbage,
 energy, food supply/production, commerce, and industry.

108 2. Methodology

109 2.1. Empirical evidence of instability for COVID-19 transmission: social isolation and social distancing 110 differences

111 Recent studies reported that the transmission nature of SARS-CoV-2 is due to the 112 proximity of other humans and social interactions within a set of empirical variables including 113 the most basic forms of human behavior such as droplets in coughs, sneezes, handshakes, 114 clothes, cups, general touching, and general objects-sharing behaviors [1,4,15,16]. This set of variables together with environmental factors of the virus's possible transmission on the ground 115 116 (surfaces) and in air (not only aerosol in medical facilities, but aerosol and biosols formation 117 under atmospheric conditions for outside places) can also impacts transmission; therefore, 118 generating new patterns for the course epidemiology [9]. This new pattern of virus transmission 119 through aerosols was confirmed by the WHO in terms of a situation occurring at medical 120 facilities [17] and also as stated by van Doremalen et al. [18], the upper and lower respiratory 121 tracts in humans produce infection in the nearby atmosphere, propagating virus through the 122 air, measured experimentally for about three hours during an experiment, and presenting low

infection reduction at time of infectious titre from 10^{3.5} to 10^{2.7} TCID₅₀ (tissue-culture-infective dose) for SARS (Severe acute respiratory syndrome)-CoV-2. This overcoming and not
 predefined measure for an epidemic prevention demands alternative scientific hypotheses and
 further probabilistic and statistical frameworks needed to establish new policies and individual
 preventive actions.

128 This article new experimental research design provided an important approach to possible 129 social distancing policies limitations due to no social transmission isolation of the virus, leading 130 to the social distancing criteria of a physical distance between individuals with possible failures 131 since air could lead to an unsuspected form of virus constant reproduction. The instability property could be visible when some countries in the adopted policy to contain virus spreading, 132 133 fail to achieve this result, due to asymptotic instability between virus potential to infect 134 individuals beyond this policy measures and methodology adopted. This feature has a wider 135 domain of probability distributions assuming distinct patterns of occurrence [9,10,15] as 136 observed in many countries [1], and also possibly contributing to the framework analysis of 137 starting symptoms and final clinical consequences [4,6,7,19]. This observation was noted as the 138 possible reason for the constant daily new cases and the community spreading of the disease 139 that, even with preventive methods such as social isolation (lockdown or shelter in place) and 140 COVID-19 testing, continued growing with exponential and unpredictable patterns are 141 occurring.

The official pre-assumed forms of social distancing measures to avoid transmission and the possible new patterns of atmospheric disease factor may present a not yet observed continuous (not discretized) form of transmission (partially unpredictable) due airborne instability properties. This time-varying unresolved empirical data have high order behavior due to distinct nature of the variables for virus spreading and its feasibility at the moment, in terms of numerical results, is limited due to hard-to-calculate approaches involving human behavior, social behavior and virus forms of infection.

149 Therefore, other causes for virus daily new cases need to be considered beyond the 150 traditional transmission analysis [2,6,10,20-22] if the rate of virus propagation continues to 151 increase even with the implementation of social isolation and COVID-19 testing. Other 152 unsuspected factors for transmission and modelling patterns should be considered and 153 constructed [9-11,23-26] using mathematical counterproof predictions among countries that had 154 already adopted social isolation, have COVID-19 testing available, but adopted social 155 distancing measures with distinct parameters such as the use or not of masks with city 156 disinfection. It is necessary to note that these three main policies are being executed worldwide 157 as the main preventive measure to stop SARS-CoV-2 spreading [1].

The high chances of community transmission visible in the daily confirmed cases in statistical data random samples (table 1, figure 2) observed demands more empirical and theoretical investigations concerning how the virus gets transmitted and how hosts social behavior still contributes to the virus community spreading.

162

163 164 **Table 1.** Rolling three day average of daily new confirmed cases of COVID-19 among selected countries from 28-30 March, 11–13 April and 01-02 May, 2020. Source: Our World in Data.

Countries	Average rolling three days new cases			
	28-30	11-13	01-02	
United States	19,011	32606↑	30399↓	
Spain	7536	5054↓	1149↓	
Italy	5717	4283↓	1974↓	
Germany	5003	4092↓	1354↓	
France	3673	3914↑	1116↓	
Iran	2968	1814↓	1020↓	
United Kingdom	2621	6086↑	5436↓	

Turkey	1863	4647↑	2579↓
Belgium	1534	1538↑	566↓
Switzerland	1187	703↓	147↓
Netherlands	1145	1288↑	458↓
Portugal	806	948↑	343↓
Canada	746	1342↑	1682↑
Austria	595	279↓	72↓
Brazil	447	1600↑	6567↑
Norway	315	103↓	51↓
Australia	309	79↓	9↓
Sweden	298	577↑	633↑
Denmark	173	198↑	153↓
China	110	75↓	6↓
South Korea	110	29↓	6↓
Finland	87	139↑	103↓
Singapore	83	225↑	716↑
Argentina	77	114↑	135↑
Chile	255	460↑	$881\uparrow$
Saudi Arabia	101	367↑	1340↑
United Arab Emirates	45	359↑	552↑
Egypt	31	126↑	284↑
Pakistan	117	238↑	$1076\uparrow$
Total Cases	56,337	71619↑	60807↓



175

Figure 2. Table 1 statistical time series variance and average COVID-19 transmission cases 176 among countries on 01-02 May, 2020. Source: Our World in Data.

177 2.2. Statistical uncertainty and the possibility of unified solutions to COVID-19 prevention

178 Many variables that affect virus transmission rates, such as the type of health policies 179 adopted by each country, health infrastructure, population genetics, human variance in terms of 180 biological resistance, epidemics outbreak, globalization aspects, COVID-19 testing availability, 181 virus mutation, and citizen adherence to social isolation and social distancing present a strong 182 influence on this figure 2 data. These confounding outcomes in each country pose a challenge to 183 identify why some countries still have virus propagation active and what would be the best 184 fixed point orientation to conduct all solutions to a desirable domain of virus contagion 185 reduction. However these inputs of data can create a confounding environment of study,

statistical worldwide data can provide a relevant confidence interval analysis if countries
policies be compared, and thus revealing the best approach necessary to reduce virus infection.
In this sense, it is justifiable the analysis policies adopted by countries as the most reliable, at the
moment, form of reducing COVID-19 cases, while no vaccine or drugs present consistent and
effective use for treating the disease or stop virus propagation.

For methodological reasons, many countries or regions, in the moment this research was performed, don't present relevant data due to globalization aspects (i.e. some islands and some countries with low income per capita). Therefore they were not used for comparison since they did not present high infection daily cases and the urgency of policies measures to be adopted in large scale.

This research points of methodology indicates that individual behavior and social ties [27-29] are still the main focus of controlling the community spread of the virus, in respect to social isolation and social distancing measures, which consider the dynamics of groups/communities and community infrastructure (households, buses, shopping malls, meetings, markets, daily activities, and human behavior). Note that the term "social distancing" here is used for an uninfected individual outside medical facilities and refers only to the population separation patterns based on ground distances.

203 To explain this virus social transmission constant event, when social distancing is 204 practiced, social contact might still occur as a human physical connection within environmental 205 socialization, that is, physical ground and atmosphere contact. Most of the countries are 206 adopting social distancing without the use of masks and city disinfection, aiming to restrict the 207 social transmission of the virus. These policies expect that individuals distancing themselves in 208 one or two meters, the desired effect of keeping people physically apart is enough to 209 maintaining virus transmission ceased and also with the same effect as shelter in place 210 (mandatory or not). With this measure, there are still many opportunities for social contact 211 within a physical dimension regarding ground and atmospheric sense, both indoors or 212 outdoors, as observed in many studies [16,30-34].

213 These two parameters, social distancing policy and social transmission isolation, were 214 theoretically and empirically analyzed in this study because these variables are the possible 215 forms of recurrent community transmission of the SARS-CoV-2. The epidemiological methods 216 of prediction and control (which are the requirements for estimating the supply of financial, 217 economic, and public health resources for the number of predicted infected people) lose their 218 effectiveness due certain aspects of social transmission isolation and SARS-CoV-2 virulence 219 potential [16,30-34]. This new approach diverges from other approaches as the one 220 demonstrated by Hellewell et al [35], since social distancing and social transmission isolation 221 parameters are considered in the approach, as well as the virulence under atmospheric 222 conditions, which requires empirical results to be further investigated.

223 Many recent viral infectious diseases (SARS, MERS (Middle East respiratory syndrome), 224 H1N1) share similar forms of transmission as SARS-CoV-2 [2], but they have different rates of 225 exponential growth (Figure 3). Therefore, not only the causes of transmission, such as the 226 chemical and biological properties of transmission and virus-human biological affinity issues 227 (pharmaceutical interventions) must be considered, but the emergent virus and human social 228 behavior events under environmental aspects [30-41]. And also, the nonlinear time series of 229 worldwide policies can possibly present a clue for a high asymptotic stability (spreading 230 network) [32] towards type of preventive policy measures adopted by each country as also 231 observed previously with the k dispersion parameters and the superspreading prediction 232 possibilities by Riou and Althaus [42].





233 234

Figure 3. Viruses' transmission behavior and rate of spreading patterns. Source: J.P. Morgan.

235 3. Results

236 These epidemiological factors (forms of transmission, biological-chemical affinities, and 237 emergent social virus transmission behavior modeling patterns) ensures to the preventive 238 epidemic framework [43] the need to consider any given number of infected individuals as 239 potentially dangerous for pandemic initiation and continuation since uncertainty prevails. This 240 led to the conclusion that there is no minimum range of infected individuals to consider the 241 local epidemic as controlled; therefore, a post-critical epidemics event should be treated as an 242 alert phase space, since new probabilistic outcomes are expected to generate the same 243 recurrence plot of the non-autonomous phase space occurring in the epidemic origin (this 244 research prediction was confirmed in many countries until early May [1]). Considering that 245 epidemic scenarios have a natural upper limit and the posterior descendant tail has not yet been 246 scientifically proven for this pandemic situation still as a natural ceasing of epidemic spreading, 247 and therefore this theoretical observation should not be scientifically applied as a preventive 248 measure.

249 3.1. Airborne transmission evidences

250 One main issue empirically observed in the media and also addressed in this research is 251 related to the difference between the aspects of maintaining social distancing (e.g. physical 252 distance at restaurants, parks, drugstore lines, household activities, house proximity (especially 253 in slum neighborhoods), household tree proximity, markets, in house and outside social events 254 through the windows and balconies, airplanes, ship balconies, hospital rooms, meetings, 255 delivery or mail activities, prisons, residence, commerce, and industry in general) [44] and full 256 social transmission isolation (with ground or atmospheric barriers). The daily media news and 257 scientific reports [45,46] show that mostly China and South Korea and some other countries [45] 258 have adopted mask use by citizens and full disinfection has been applied in crowded public spaces [11,47], and with some further concerns to public health professionals as reported by Li 259 260 JP et al [48,49]. These policies actions are converging with the physical distancing criteria and 261 possible failures, hence presenting physical transmission isolation barriers to airborne 262 transmission (aerosol-biosols and atmospheric conditions [16,30-34]). At this point, a non-263 convergence effect of all these policies adopted by other countries would be when social 264 distancing still present social activity at outside spaces without the use of masks and city 265 disinfection. An overview about these non-convergence aspects can be observed in many 266 researches. Concerning airplanes and community policy actions was provided by Chinazzi et al. 267 [50]. In this sense, the social connection that occurs might be one of the unobservable factors of 268 transmission if virus potential to spread under atmospheric conditions [30,31,51-54] is still

269 active through air fluid [16,30-34] and not only ground preventive framework. Mostly of the 270 recommendations for physical distance address the virus's potential to spread through ground 271 and air contexts based on human liquid droplets, but not air-fluid complex scenarios without 272 droplets involved (e.g. pollution). Concerning recent atmospheric data and SARS-CoV-2 273 relationships, Wickramasinghe et al. [51] reported several cases of person-to-person 274 transmission patterns in which in this research view can be understood by the lack of virus 275 social transmission isolation policies in terms of additional barriers, such as masks and city 276 disinfection, which led to air transmission. This observations were also pointed by Cembalest 277 [52] atmosphere data and a brief analysis and Pirouz et al. [53] mathematical modelling, with a 278 deep analysis of how the atmosphere parameters of temperature, humidity, and wind affect 279 population density output for SARS-CoV-2 infection, guiding these studies to the proximal 280 conclusion of the strong impact of atmosphere on the actual non-understood patterns of virus 281 community constant dissemination among countries that do not use mass mask policies with 282 city disinfection, but adopted social distancing criteria. A final research that leads towards this 283 research results was Poirier et al [54] who examined the weather conditions capable of 284 generating the full transmission patterns observed in Figures 1 and 2, and the lack of social 285 transmission barrier for the airborne transmission variable.

286 3.1.1. Maximum exponential growth and duration of epidemics in days as evidence

This statistical data of Figure 4 show the rise of daily new cases around the world with all
policies adopted by countries such as social isolation, COVID-19 testing, and social distancing
criteria, associated or not with the use of masks and city disinfection.





300 Besides many of European countries are adopting different measures for prevention or 301 availability to it, one specific point beyond social isolation and COVID-19 testing can be 302 highlighted. These countries, until the date this research was conducted, have not introduced 303 mass mask use and or constant city disinfection as was adopted by China and South Korea from 304 the early to later pandemic spread. This inference is supported also in other countries when on 305 late March and during April [1], the infection rates in countries such as Italy, Spain, Iran, United 306 States, Germany, France, and Brazil present constantly generating new daily infections with 307 different patterns of China and South Korea, something that is still visible for these countries on 308 May, 2020 so far.

In Figure 5 European countries, even with social isolation, COVID-19 testing availability,and social distancing measures, in late March and start of April, despite many citizens not

obeying/adhering to institutional orders, reports indicated a reduced number of citizens outside
homes but daily constant infections cases over 30.000 population during April (maximum
exponential growth with growing patterns for a long period, for a total of 58 days from 28
February to 25 April, 2020.





Figure 5. COVID-19 new infection cases day by day in Europe. Source: Statista.

Observing European cases in Figure 5 and in Italy specifically (Figure 6), concerning individuals that do not obey the direction or obligation to stay at home, these uncertain individual actions can generate several random transmission outputs as well. These specific random aspects contribute to the statistical variance of the countries, including in the number of infected and the mortality.



Figure 6. New daily COVID-19 cases in Italy. Note that Italy mask use policy for population
was introduced by ending March and April start, being this measure carried out until the last
date this research was conducted (05/02/2020). Source: Worldometer.

Analyzing figure 6, Italy counting days for the exponential growth, it express daily
constant infections cases with growing patterns rates starting from the epidemic outbreak until
4.000 population (maximum exponential growth rate for a long period of 51 days from 22
February to 12 April, 2020.

Many other factors are being discussed nowadays to explain why those countries are still presenting a rise in the virus propagation, such as testing availability and the date the city started social isolation measure. Besides it can also have strong influence on the virus undetected phase of exponential growth, the time series of this statistical data can give also a

glance about countries divergences for how much time was needed to each country to stabilize
its virus infection. And this will be still the focus of analysis in the next days. Observing
preventive methods concerning airborne vectors, which were adopted by South Korea (Figure
7) and China (Figure 8) as the default (masks and city disinfection) the virus social transmission
behavior reproductive patterns for these areas differ.



Figure 7 and 8, these countries adopted social distancing with air preventive measures, with a total of 20 days of maximum exponential growth rate over 250 population (19 February to 8 March, 2020) for South Korea and with a total of 28 days of maximum exponential growth rate over 1.500 population (23 January to 18 February, 2020) for China.

And also important to say it is necessary to consider exceptions for a possible microdimension of analysis of population biology that can occur in any country, as a local problem [55-57] that might sometimes count or not towards a high exponential growth rate of virus transmission. China experienced high exponential virus transmission growth due to the initial conditions of the new disease; country needed time to evaluate and adopt policies and scientific measures, as observed by Pan et al. [57].

377 3.2.2. Maximum exponential growth mean and spreading rate over day's evidence

An overview about these explained countries maximum exponential growing patterns and counting days are available at Table 2. data with other countries included. Table 2, with the same scheme of data extraction as presented in figures 5-8, for the first column presents the maximum growth of infection obtained by the maximum exponential mean reached in average days since the outbreak and therefore not counting for higher growths than the mean "y" that some countries present (more explanation will be given in third column details). The second

column "t" presents how much days the infection presented an exponential growth with a
maximum mean reached. The third column data collection reflects the maximum exponential
infection spreading rate over days with the following theoretical design involving SIR models
and missing gaps of this models for this specific COVID-19 disease.

388 This third column approach have similarities with SIR models, but it is based on distinct 389 aspects of analysis of variables S and R by removing these variables from the formula and 390 focusing mainly in the I variable defined by Weibull parameterizations and exponential 391 distributions. This design of analysis is very relevant due to instability aspects of SIR analysis 392 taken since outbreak of disease, mainly existing in the S and R variables due infodemics, type of 393 analysis conducted for this research (the apparent lack of overall topological homology of data) 394 and other nonlinear aspects of the new coronavirus 2 disease. For this reason, the proposed 395 method of analysis considers only the infectious disease aspect of evolution of cases rather than 396 pre assuming full immunity or deterministic models for population behavior that is in this case 397 one of the most influencing forms of keeping the virus active in its propagation.

398 For this analysis, it was considered the observed infected population samples Y =399 $(Y_1, ..., Y_n)$ of the exponential growth $f(Y; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda Y}$ where the samples are taken from zero 400 cases until the observed maximum exponential mean reached for each country with unknown 401 predictive scale of $exp \lambda$ or maximum likelihood estimator for λ due to nonlinear outputs 402 generated for Y with heteroskedasticity. In this simple form, defining the mean as $y = 1/\lambda$, the 403 numerical representation of the ratio between days and the mean can be obtained by observed 404 exponential mean scale until it reaches a form like y = Y having Y adopted for the calculations 405 with conditional shape of Weibull parameterization like $\kappa < 1$. At this point the days counting 406 forward this condition will be rejected to extract the maximum exponential infection spreading 407 rate in the formula rate (R) = y/t and $t = \kappa$ only in the desired event expression. This 408 approach can be more sensitive in terms of time overview of the disease and its potential to 409 infect as time passes. This sensitivity is much more confident for predictions due to the 410 exponential behavior of infections at community phases.

411

412 **Table 2.** COVID-19 maximum exponential growing patterns/population and time period by 413 country/region within 07 April to 01 May, 2020. Note that some countries are currently with 414 their max. exponential infection spread active in the day research was conducted (different 415 epidemic phases). For these cases, no final exponential score was reached yet (marked in yellow 416 color), however this not count for future predictions. The *y* and *t* data showed in table are 417 retrieved for 01 May. Data source: Worldometer.

Country	у	t	R on 7 April	R on 13 April	R on 01 May
Worldwide	60.000	99	675,67	886,07↑	606,06↓
Europe	30.000	91	410,95	379,74↓	329,67↓
Italy	4.000	51	90,90	78,43↓	78,43=
South Korea	250	20	12,5	12,5=	12,5=
China	1.500	28	53,57	53,57=	53,57=
Iran	2.000	46	44,44	43,47↓	43,47=
Spain	5.000	55	131,57	121,95↓	90 <i>,</i> 90↓
France	4.000	45	138,88	100↓	88,88↓
United States	30.000	53	625	540,54↓	<u>566,03</u> ↑
Brazil	5.000	51	25	<u>28,57</u> ↑	<u>98,03</u> ↑
Germany	4.000	41	105,26	100↓	97,56↓
Russia	5.000	46	15,62	27,02↑	108,69↑
United Kingdom	5.000	58	64,51	69,64↑	86 ,2 0↑
Singapore	500	57	0,96	1,75↑	8,77↑
Portugal	500	49	15,15	13,15↓	10,20↓
India	1.000	58	8,33	9,43↑	17,24↑
Canada	1.500	48	27,77	<mark>24,</mark> 39↓	31 , 25↑

500	67	3,92	7,14↑	7 <i>,</i> 46↑	
500	58	5,71	0,65↓	8,62↑	
100	57	1,51	2, 56↑	1,75↓	
500	52	10	8,33↓	9,61↑	
1.000	54	3	4,16↑	18 <i>,</i> 51↑	
400	70	4,34	5 <i>,</i> 76↑	5,71↓	
200	62	1,31	2,27↑	3,22↑	
500	53	3,44	5,71↑	9 , 43↑	
	500 500 100 500 1.000 400 200 500	$\begin{array}{ccc} 500 & 67 \\ 500 & 58 \\ 100 & 57 \\ 500 & 52 \\ 1.000 & 54 \\ 400 & 70 \\ 200 & 62 \\ 500 & 53 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	500 67 $3,92$ $7,14\uparrow$ 500 58 $5,71$ $0,65\downarrow$ 100 57 $1,51$ $2,56\uparrow$ 500 52 10 $8,33\downarrow$ 1.000 54 3 $4,16\uparrow$ 400 70 $4,34$ $5,76\uparrow$ 200 62 $1,31$ $2,27\uparrow$ 500 53 $3,44$ $5,71\uparrow$	500 67 $3,92$ $7,14\uparrow$ $7,46\uparrow$ 500 58 $5,71$ $0,65\downarrow$ $8,62\uparrow$ 100 57 $1,51$ $2,56\uparrow$ $1,75\downarrow$ 500 52 10 $8,33\downarrow$ $9,61\uparrow$ 1.000 54 3 $4,16\uparrow$ $18,51\uparrow$ 400 70 $4,34$ $5,76\uparrow$ $5,71\downarrow$ 200 62 $1,31$ $2,27\uparrow$ $3,22\uparrow$ 500 53 $3,44$ $5,71\uparrow$ $9,43\uparrow$

418

419 Note that at Table 2, some countries present lower exponential growing rate than China or 420 South Korea. These data need to be observed in terms of country starting epidemic outbreak, 421 country epidemic time period and mainly countries that are currently active its maximum 422 exponential growth. For this later feature, some countries did not reached yet a valid data to 423 measure if country policies already helped to flatten the curve of daily new cases and they can 424 present active exponential raise, therefore, further future analysis is required to able to perform 425 a confident comparison. China being the first country to elaborate countermeasures policies, 426 might presented some delay and therefore maximum exponential rate was reached before these 427 measures could take effect. Also, many countries that adopted known pre-established measures 428 presented better performances than the world epidemic starting ones, but since they remain 429 with active and low exponential growth, as an example, Singapore with low max. output of 430 exponential rate, they did not reached the same results as China and South Korea with the 431 adoption of additional preventive measure for social distancing/city disinfection and the high 432 reduction of virus exponential spreading patterns. This Singapore scenario occurs in many 433 other countries as well. Also Singapore presented a rise in the max. exponential growth from 50 434 (07 April) to 500 (02 May). Germany, Italy, Portugal, Iran and France presented until the day the 435 data was retrieved an end in the mean maximum exponential rate reached, however this does 436 not count for future epidemics behavior to be observed as a deterministic approach. Further 437 analysis are required along pandemic worldwide.

By observing figures 5–8, it is possible to evaluate how long it took for some countries that implemented social distancing measures plus airborne preventive methods to flatten the exponential growth of community infections. Countries that only applied social distancing without mask use and city disinfection at early stages required many more days than other countries that applied different measures. Also, many other scenarios are observed since countries towards mask use and city disinfection are still on implementation phase.

Also one more important note on this table 2 data refers to different epidemic phases of data collection for each country. These distinct phases are important to be considered together due to need of a methodology that can extract behavior of the disease in the not optimal evolution (deterministic) of the virus infection and policies adopted by countries, hence, revealing in the complex scenario the disease dynamics under a confounding environment of data.

450 3.2.3. Maximum exponential growth mean X time X cases per 1 million population

451 Table 3 as of 07 April to 01 May range, 2020 (Worldometer), shows the comparison of how 452 many cases occurred in the selected countries of analysis and it is possible to observe China and 453 South Korea low cases per one million population, low duration of epidemics and with stable 454 exponential growth for both countries. Notably some countries present lower cases per one 455 million population, but they are all with growing patterns of infection propagation, longer 456 duration of epidemic and with high exponential growth rate pattern. In this point, it is possible 457 as a third evidence, to state that China and South Korea reached with specific policies adoption until the moment this research was performed, the best score for the correlation between total 458 459 cases per one million population with country time period of infection and COVID-19 growing 460 patterns stability. Note that any range of analysis to be performed will have its values of time

and max. exponential mean modified according to the selection taken. The higher the range, the

462 better is *R* precision.

Countries	Total Cases	Total Cases/1 M population	t	R from 07 April to 0	1 May
USA	1,159,430	3,503	53	566,03↑	
Italy	209,328	3,462	51	78,43=	
China	82,875	58	28	53,57=	
Spain	245,567	5,252	55	90,90↓	
Germany	164,967	1,969	41	97,56↓	
Iran	96,448	1,148	46	43,47=	
France	168,396	2,580	45	88,88↓	
UK	182,260	2,685	58	86,20↑	
Sweden	22,082	2,186	58	8,62↑	
India	39,699	29	58	<u>17,24</u> ↑	
Japan	14,305	113	67	7,46↑	
S. Korea	10,780	210	20	12,5=	
Russia	124,054	850	46	108,69↑	
Singapore	17,548	2,999	57	<u>8,77</u> ↑	
Portugal	25,190	2,470	49	10,20↓	
Canada	56,714	1,503	48	31 <i>,</i> 25↑	
Brazil	96,559	454	51	98,03↑	
Argentina	4,532	100	57	1,75↓	
Chile	18,435	964	52	9,61↑	
Saudi Arabia	25,459	731	54	<u>18,51</u> ↑	
United Arab Emirates	13,599	1,375	70	5,71↓	
Egypt	6,193	61	62	3,22↑	
Pakistan	19,022	86	53	9,43↑	

463 Table 3. Some countries with COVID-19 spreading and total infected cases per one million464 population. The *t* used is counted for 01 May, 2020. Data source: Worldometer on 01 May, 2020.

465

466 In table 3, orange lines indicates the best scores reached by two countries while yellow 467 colors indicates countries that reached best score compared to China and South Korea. Observe 468 that even with good scores for some countries, they do not fit for all table columns and also 469 present exponential growing rate as retrieved data for 01, May, 2020. Despite many countries 470 have close proximity to China and South Korea and they do not fit these later countries results, 471 several factors influence the numbers oscillations and differences as described in methodology 472 section. Notably, Argentina performed the best score of South America and many other regions 473 worldwide. Voluntarily and later obligatory mask use and city disinfection leaded Argentina to 474 the same epidemic scenario obtained by China and South Korea, reaching successful results. 475 United Arab Emirates and Portugal, by presenting decreasing exponential growth rate, could 476 reach better results with the introduction of air preventive measures.

477 Clearly table 3 present much of the unpredictability based on nonlinear factors such as 478 health policies adopted by each country, health infrastructure, population genetics, COVID-19 479 testing availability, and citizen adherence to social isolation/social distancing. These data 480 indicate further studies are necessary to obtain more accurate numerical results, since each 481 country undergoes a period of disease spread with different rates as mentioned four paragraphs 482 before. Despite these variances producing large differences in the outcomes, most of the 483 countries adopted social distancing as a method of virus spread prevention with no obligation 484 to social isolation, which became a default pattern for prevention in later February and early 485 March, this also contributed to the virus incubation period and spreading patterns rates to 486 increase much more than observed in China and South Korea. These results points to the 487 conclusion that besides many factors influence in the outcomes, some specific patterns are 488 divergent in these two countries compared to the others, since they present more lower

duration in days of epidemic, stable (also low) disease exponential growth patterns and lowconfirmed cases per 1 million population as indicated in figure 9.



501 Figure 9. Table 3 countries with total confirmed cases of COVID-19 per million people, 30 April,
502 2020. Source: Our World in Data.

503 Considering the nonlinear aspects and variables of COVID-19 transmission and prevention 504 of all factors such as health infrastructure facilities, new design of workflows/structures to 505 prevent infection in health facilities, PEE (Personal protective equipment) type and availability, 506 public health policies adopted by country, population genetics, COVID-19 testing availability 507 and rapid response, social isolation, social distancing, economic wave influencing some 508 essential and non-essential services/products production, government policies for supporting 509 population and survivability, citizen collaboration to social isolation, and other public 510 health/other policies; The author did not aim to produce statistical numerical results due to the 511 likely lack of significance of data correlation (heteroskedasticity) for the proof that results are 512 due to only policy interventions. All those nonlinear aspects mentioned affect the epidemics in 513 different ways and if considering three bullet points that is: how much time does the infection 514 occurred, which was the maximum infected population range and how many people per 515 million; these questions addresses specifically preventive measures and for this topic, policy can 516 be considered as the main countermeasure to keep this question answers at low standards. 517 Therefore, it is likely that no statistical analysis with numerical results will provide any 518 important information about community transmission among countries due to the time period 519 limitations of the data, but mainly the nonlinear properties of the variables described necessary 520 to predict daily new virus cases in each country. For this reason, an approach to policies 521 influence on daily new cases was roughly described by filtering other factors that do not 522 present high potential to accommodate the nonlinear scenario of the disease. In this sense this 523 research results pointed that policies affected directly the population and also it can influence 524 many of these nonlinear set of variables described before (convergence aspect of the high order 525 non autonomous functions).

However, an overview was provided for the non-parametrical data to denote policies
strong influence at the overall scenario. Note that besides this research did not focus on
statistical numerical results for all set of variables of the phenomenon, these inferences were
done in terms of the conceptualization of *z*- and *p*-value tests, standard deviance, variance

analysis, and linear regression analysis for the policies among selected countries as it will bedemonstrated at Section 4. Discussion.

532 The COVID-19 event was considered from a theoretical viewpoint using the qualitative 533 theory of differential equations (QED) framework to help to understand how the input of many 534 variables and output results in terms of convergence and stability aspects of policies adopted by 535 each country, could point to any possible data related to empirical evidences of countries 536 divergences visible through daily new cases and maximum time for an exponential behavior of 537 infection. However results presented can identify true differences in terms of policies adopted 538 and the parameters mentioned before, future studies from this point of view are necessary for 539 other filtering aspects.

540 4. Discussion

541 Note that digital behavior (infodemics) [58] was not considered here, despite its potentially 542 highly influential effect on virus transmission due to fake information and misuse of scientific 543 information. This is a limitation of this research since even if a country has adopted all the 544 necessary measures, citizens can undermine it. But this should be considered case by case and it 545 does not prove the results findings directly. Future research will be conducted to integrate 546 infodemics and life course epidemiology with a mathematical overview of the SARS-CoV-2 547 pandemic. This is an important tool for policy making to prevent fake news and misuse of 548 scientific information within human behavior at the individual and community scales [58,59].

549 These statements lead also to the evidences, reported by the number of infected at John 550 Hopkins University Coronavirus Resource Centre [60], that not only 50 thousand, but even 20 551 infected individual hosts is at risk of keeping propagating the disease [42] as observed by end of 552 March, in China and Japan, 2020. Following this recurrence pattern, another study limitation is 553 the time at which countries were analyzed. A longer time period is essential for obtain more 554 accurate data for the majority of variables described in Section 3. Nevertheless, statistical data 555 presented in this research have a strong indication of social distancing fails within some 556 countries and success for others with the additional preventive framework of masks and city 557 disinfection.

The observed asymptotic instability aspect of the statistical data of Figures 5-8, presenting lower infection rates for some countries (China and South Korea) while exponential infection rate for other countries, was inferred as the virus asymptote behavior to the emergent phenomenon [30-34,61,62] of community infection based on social distancing fails and the host's social transmission behavior based or not on the use of masks and city disinfection, hence, being this theoretical mathematical model observation directly affecting the proportion of the virus reproductive formed pattern in the figure 1 and 2 listed countries.

565 Also, to conclude the definition of nonlinearity of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, the human 566 emergent social transmission behavior phenomenon shares with the SARS-CoV-2, the intrinsic 567 relation between the nonlinear expression of atmosphere possible turbulences patterns of 568 transmission plus human random forms of socializing (subjectivity). It can results into a high 569 convergence of infection with results pointing in the direction and the view that human most 570 basic form of socializing, even if physically distanced, is also a tool for the self-spreading 571 pattern of transmission [9-16,30-37,51,53,54,62,63] being this virus reproductive behavior 572 pattern the own human social behavior itself in a very closed interaction [27-29].

573 This natural phenomenon of extreme convergence (host emergent social transmission 574 behavior, virulence properties, and pathogen reproductive patterns formation) provides insight 575 into biological organizations, and the rare extreme conditions where nature and/or human 576 society can share uncontrolled biological association of rapid dissemination. This observation 577 provides information about the humanity capacity to organize and to prevent and determine 578 extreme convergence phenomena with rapid preventive and corrective responses to new high 579 convergent pathogens [43]. The main research results are depicted in Figure 10.



Figure 10. General framework of preventive methods adopted by countries and its rank ofeffectiveness according to data presented in table 2 and 3 results.

583

580

Item 2 in Figure 10 shows that even with social distancing measures, social transmission isolation does not occur due to the physical contact that still occurs due to atmospheric proximity. In this sense, there is no social transmission isolation of the virus spreading patterns through the atmosphere. In the next item 3, social transmission isolation is conferred by atmospheric barriers, hence, becoming the physical full isolation, even if with physical proximity, very effective.

590 While this research was being conducted, the daily new cases in European countries 591 started declining (31 March, 2020). This can be attributed to the effect of social isolation policy 592 and better results can be expected in the following days. However, this research results points 593 to the China and South Korea different measures experience. The maximum range of infection 594 reduction by social isolation is limited since many workforce sectors are still active. As an 595 additional contribution to the minimum rate of virus spreading patterns that might be observed 596 in future days, this research recommend that active citizens make use of masks [63] and 597 countries should start to disinfect public spaces including mass transport and routes. These 598 measures will require the introduction of policies to relax the lockdown cities by strategically 599 and gradually allowing the population outside their homes with additional new social 600 distancing preventive methods. Also it is necessary to observe that the minimum possible rate 601 of new infections occurring after a city successfully reached very low rate of virus spreading 602 patterns have the theoretical potential even under the <00,5 statistical significance of epidemics 603 restarting (figure 11) as it was described in the last paragraph of section 3. Results, and these 604 outcomes can be exactly in the social distancing failure approach without additional methods. 605 But, very important to say, not uniquely caused by it.

- 606
- 607
- 608
- 609
- 610



Figure 11. Representative scheme of SARS-CoV-2 reproductive patterns among countries whose
policies might or not converge towards very low maximum exponential rate of infection per
population/days. Note that countries with low max. exp. rate (table 2) also present active
infection patterns, being this feature a non convergence of policies adopted, hence, expressing
an exponential probability of infection constant growth (false null hypothesis).

627 In Figure 11, representatively, the nonlinear behavior of COVID-19 in preventive policies 628 methodologies is represented as mandatory measures to be adopted. And also despite policies 629 also can not grant full preventive barrier for the virus spreading in minimum rate, it can give by 630 the experience of China and South Korea great confidence of how to keep virus exponential 631 growth with low rate.

632 5. Conclusion

In this study, countries COVID-19 preventive policy measures were theoretically and
empirically investigated; the results showed that virus reproductive patterns are tightly linked
with the human social behavior and therefore, preventive policies measures and individual
behavior.

637 Countries that adopted policy measures based on COVID-19 evidences of atmospheric638 potential to contaminate presented the following results:

- lower local epidemic duration;
- lower cases per 1 million;
 - lower maximum exponential growth mean rate per population;
 - and higher failure rate of the COVID-19 daily new cases over time.
- 642 643

639

641

644 Concerning policy measures as a whole action, social isolation and COVID-19 testing 645 availability are mandatory for any country policy since they are the most reliable and 646 convergent forms of obtaining best desirable solutions to reduce community virus transmission 647 and flatten the curve goals. Concerning transmission isolation observed in China and South 648 Korea and the superspreading patterns observed in other countries, the research identifies as 649 full convergence of nonlinear variables for higher virus infection reduction affecting the input-650 output of SARS-CoV-2 propagation over time with the adoption of social isolation, COVID-19 651 testing availability and the social distancing methods of 1 to 2 meters physical distance with

additional use of masks use and sanitization (city disinfection). Remarkably, China and South
Korea presented this policy measure in contrast to other countries since beginning of epidemics
and it conferred to these countries better results in controlling the local epidemics.

655 This reducing number of virus propagation has as a counterproof for China preventive 656 measure, the results observed for South Korea. Other countries that didn't follow this procedure 657 presented high nonlinear outputs of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, mainly presenting as a common 658 characteristic among them, the presence of constant infection cases day by day with the use of 659 social distancing measures and with no use of all citizens masks and city disinfection. This 660 observation leads to the hypothesis of virus atmospheric transmission potential beyond the 661 given social distancing measures of 1 or 2 meters long, something that was also proved to be 662 truth recently by the research of Liu et al [14] on 27 April, 2020.

Also, other important point for masks use if that if COVID-19 testing are not fully available, social distancing measures with the use of masks and city disinfection help prevent increasing daily cases, since they help to isolate the undetected infected hosts (also asymptomatic cases) and prevent airborne transmission as well as protect the uninfected hosts from environmental transmission.

668 This means that policy actions need to include strategic measures to address community 669 transmission to achieve higher efficiency if social distancing measures are being maintained, 670 including gradually the use of masks and public space disinfection measures. The lack of masks 671 stock and financial costs, depending on the desirable level of mass masking action/disinfection, 672 might be limiting factors. The individual dimension of prevention (citizen collaboration and 673 support) is necessary for government actions to result in community prevention stability.

While this research was being conducted on March, some European countries analyzed in this study implemented city disinfection and mask use policies, which will likely help to reduce atmosphere and ground impact of SARS-CoV-2 transmission while, notably, in Brazil's social isolation policies, where it will strongly impact infection reduction, is being ignored by some citizens and country president public actions.

679

680 Supplementary Materials: All data are available at Statista, Our World in Data and Worldometer
 681 websites.

- 682 Author Contributions: Not applicable.
- 683 Funding: "This research received no external funding".

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Marilei Santos da Silva, Head of Finance Department and
Secretary of State for Education and Sport of Paraná for helping to disseminate research within the
institution. I would like to thank all journals that have made COVID-19 research free to read, responding
the call to action from the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) and other governments. This
policy action was vital for researchers around the world to publish new discoveries about the virus.

689 Conflicts of Interest: "The author declares no conflict of interest."

690 References

- World Health Organization, 2020. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): situation report, 68. 28 March
 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation reports.
- 694 2. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. 2020 Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and
 695 international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The
 696 Lancet 395, 689–697. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30260-9.
- 697 3. Protocol for assessment of potential risk factors for 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection
 698 among health care workers in a health care setting. WHO. 2020, Feb 3. Version: 1.2.
- 699 4. Global Surveillance for human infection with novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Interim guidance.
 700 WHO. 2020, January 31.

701 5. Laboratory testing for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human cases. WHO. 2020, 702 January 31. 703 Chen N et al. 2020 Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus 6. 704 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. The Lancet 395, 507-513. doi:10.1016/s0140-705 6736(20)30211-7. 706 Huang C et al. 2020 Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, 7. 707 China. The Lancet 395, 497-506. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30183-5. 708 8. Liu T, Hu J, Kang M, et al. Transmission dynamics of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). 709 doi:10.1101/2020.01.25.919787. 710 Jia P, Dong W, Yang S, Zhan Z, Tu L, Lai S. 2020 Spatial Lifecourse Epidemiology and Infectious 9. 711 Disease Research. Trends in Parasitology 36, 235–238. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2019.12.012. 712 10. Findlater A, Bogoch II. 2018 Human Mobility and the Global Spread of Infectious Diseases: A Focus 713 on Air Travel. Trends in Parasitology 34, 772-783. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2018.07.004. 714 11. GU C, Jiang W, Zhao T, Zheng B. 2020 Mathematical Recommendations to Fight Against COVID-19. 715 SSRN Electronic Journal doi:10.2139/ssrn.3551006. 716 12. Cheng VC, Wong SC, Chen JH, Yip CC, Chuang VW, Tsang OT, Sridhar S, Chan JF, Ho PL, Yuen KY. 717 Escalating infection control response to the rapidly evolving epidemiology of the Coronavirus 718 disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to SARS-CoV-2 in Hong Kong. Infection Control & Hospital 719 Epidemiology. 2020 Mar 5:1-6. 720 13. Wang Q, Yu C. The role of masks and respirator protection against SARS-CoV-2. Infection Control & 721 Hospital Epidemiology. 2020 Mar 20:1-2. 722 14. Liu Y, Ning Z, Chen Y, Guo M, Liu Y, Gali NK, Sun L, Duan Y, Cai J, Westerdahl D, Liu X. 723 Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan hospitals. Nature. 2020 Apr 27:1-6. 724 15. Isolation Precautions: Personal Protective Equipment: Extended Text. Elsevier. Retrieved at 2020, Feb 725 Retrieved https://www.elsevier.com/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/974623/Isolation-14. from: 726 Precautions Personal-Protective-Equipment.pdf. 727 16. World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease 728 (COVID-19): interim guidance, 27 February 2020. World Health Organization; 2020. 729 17. World Health Organization, 2020. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): situation report, 66. 28 March 730 2020. Retrieved from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-731 reports/20200326-sitrep-66-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=81b94e61_2. 732 18. van Doremalen N et al. 2020 Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-733 CoV-1. New England Journal of Medicine. doi:10.1056/nejmc2004973. 734 19. Vetter P, Eckerle I, Kaiser L. 2020 Covid-19: a puzzle with many missing pieces. BMJ , m627. 735 doi:10.1136/bmj.m627. 736 20. Liu M, Li D, Qin P, Liu C, Wang H, Wang F. 2015 Epidemics in Interconnected Small-World 737 Networks. PLOS ONE 10, e0120701. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120701. 738 21. Wang L, Wu JT. 2018 Characterizing the dynamics underlying global spread of epidemics. Nature 739 Communications 9. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02344-z. 740 22. Lipsitch M. 2003 Transmission Dynamics and Control of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. 741 Science 300, 1966-1970. doi:10.1126/science.1086616. 742 23. Tuite AR, Fisman DN. 2020 Reporting, Epidemic Growth, and Reproduction Numbers for the 2019 743 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Epidemic. Annals of Internal Medicine doi:10.7326/m20-0358. 744 24. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. 2020 Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces 745 and their inactivation with biocidal agents. Journal of Hospital Infection 104, 246-251. 746 doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022. 747 25. Pastor-Satorras R, Castellano C, Van Mieghem P, Vespignani A. 2015 Epidemic processes in complex 748 networks. Reviews of Modern Physics 87, 925–979. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.87.925. 749 Kucharski AJ et al. 2020 Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical 26. 750 modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30144-4. 751 Ebrahim SH, Memish ZA. 2020 COVID-19 - the role of mass gatherings. Travel Medicine and 27. 752 Infectious Disease, 101617. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101617.

753 28 Kupferschmidt K, Cohen J. 2020 Can China's COVID-19 strategy work elsewhere? Science 367, 1061-754 1062. doi:10.1126/science.367.6482.1061. 755 29. Tian H et al. 2020 An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the 756 COVID-19 epidemic in China. Science, eabb6105. doi:10.1126/science.abb6105. 757 30. Luo W, Majumder MS, Liu D, Poirier C, Mandl KD, Lipsitch M, Santillana M. The role of absolute 758 humidity on transmission rates of the COVID-19 outbreak. medRxiv. 2020 Jan 1. doi: 759 10.1101/2020.02.12.20022467. 760 31. Wang J, Du G. 2020 COVID-19 may transmit through aerosol. Irish Journal of Medical Science (1971 -761). doi:10.1007/s11845-020-02218-2. 762 32. Elias, Blake, and Yaneer Bar-Yam. "Could Air Filtration Reduce COVID-19 Severity and Spread?." 763 (2020).764 33. Daga MK, Kumar N, Aarthi J, Mawari G, Garg S, Rohatgi I. From SARS-CoV to Coronavirus Disease 765 2019 (COVID-19)-A Brief Review. Journal of Advanced Research in Medicine. 2019;6(4):1-9. doi: 766 10.24321/2349.7181.201917. 767 34. Qu G, Li X, Hu L, Jiang G. 2020 An Imperative Need for Research on the Role of Environmental 768 Factors in Transmission of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19). Environmental Science & Technology 769 doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c01102. 770 35. Hellewell J et al. 2020 Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and 771 contacts. The Lancet Global Health 8, e488-e496.doi:10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30074-7. 772 36. Berry BJL, Kiel LD, Elliott E. 2002 Adaptive agents, intelligence, and emergent human organization: 773 Capturing complexity through agent-based modeling. Proceedings of the National Academy of 774 Sciences 99, 7187-7188. doi:10.1073/pnas.092078899. 775 37. Musse SR, Thalmann D. 1997 A Model of Human Crowd Behavior : Group Inter-Relationship and 776 Collision Detection Analysis. In Eurographics, pp. 39-51. Springer Vienna. doi:10.1007/978-3-7091-777 6874-5 3. 778 38. Grieves M, Vickers J. 2016 Digital Twin: Mitigating Unpredictable, Undesirable Emergent Behavior in 779 Complex Systems. In Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems, pp. 85-113. Springer 780 International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-38756-7_4. 781 39. Li Z, Sim C, Hean Low M. 2006 A Survey of Emergent Behavior and Its Impacts in Agent-based 782 Systems. In 2006 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Informatics, IEEE. 783 doi:10.1109/indin.2006.275846. 784 40. Walls AC et al. 2019 Unexpected Receptor Functional Mimicry Elucidates Activation of Coronavirus 785 Fusion. Cell 176, 1026-1039.e15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.028. 786 41. Expert Group on Prevention and Control of New Coronavirus Pneumonia of Chinese Preventive 787 Medicine Association. Latest Understanding of Epidemiological Features of New Coronavirus 788 Epidemiology, Pneumonia []/OL]. Chinese Journal of 2020, 41 (2020-02-14).789 Http://rs.yiigle.com/yufabiao/1181136.htm. DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.002. [Pre-790 published online in Chinese]. 791 42. Riou J, Althaus CL. 2020 Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019 novel 792 coronavirus (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. Eurosurveillance 25. doi:10.2807/1560-793 7917.es.2020.25.4.2000058. 794 43. Parvez MK, Parveen S. 2017 Evolution and Emergence of Pathogenic Viruses: Past, Present, and 795 Future. Intervirology 60, 1-7. doi:10.1159/000478729. 796 44. Dalton C, Corbett S, Katelaris A. 2020 Pre-Emptive Low Cost Social Distancing and Enhanced 797 Hygiene Implemented before Local COVID-19 Transmission Could Decrease the Number and 798 Severity of Cases. SSRN Electronic Journal. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3549276. 799 45. Feng S, Shen C, Xia N, Song W, Fan M, Cowling BJ. 2020 Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 800 pandemic. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30134-x. 801 State Council, China, 2020. Guidelines for the selection and use of different types of masks for 46. 802 preventing new coronavirus infection in different populations (in Chinese). Feb 5, 2020. Retrieved 803 from: http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2020-02/05/content_5474774.htm. Date accessed: March 27, 2020 804 Zhong B-L, Luo W, Li H-M, Zhang Q-Q, Liu X-G, Li W-T, Li Y. 2020 Knowledge, attitudes, and 47. 805 practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19

806		outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. International Journal of Biological Sciences 16, 1745-
807		1752. doi:10.7150/ijbs.45221.
808	48.	Li J-PO, Lam DSC, Chen Y, Ting DSW. 2020 Novel Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): The
809 810		importance of recognising possible early ocular manifestation and using protective eyewear. British
010 011	40	Wong L Coh OV Tan Z Lie SA Tay VC Ng SV Seb CP 2020 Properties for a COVID 10 pandamici a
011	49.	wong J, Gon Q1, Tan Z, Lie SA, Tay TC, Ng S1, Son CK. 2020 Freparing for a COVID-19 pandemic: a
812		Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie. doi:10.1007/s12630-020-01620-9.
814	50.	Chinazzi M et al. 2020 The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus
815		(COVID-19) outbreak. Science, eaba9757. doi:10.1126/science.aba9757.
816	51.	Wickramasinghe NC, Steele EJ, Gorczynski RM, Temple R, Tokoro G, Wallis DH, Klyce B. Growing
817		Evidence against Global Infection-Driven by Person-to-Person Transfer of COVID-19, ViXra.
818	52.	Cembalest M. JP Morgan. Eye on the market. Coronavirus (COVID-19) research compilation. March
819		20, 2020. Retrieved on March, 22 from: https://www.jpmorgan.com/jpmpdf/1320748288652.pdf.
820	53.	Pirouz B, Shaffiee Haghshenas S, Shaffiee Haghshenas S, Piro P. 2020 Investigating a Serious
821		Challenge in the Sustainable Development Process: Analysis of Confirmed cases of COVID-19 (New
822		Type of Coronavirus) Through a Binary Classification Using Artificial Intelligence and Regression
823		Analysis. Sustainability 12, 2427. doi:10.3390/su12062427.
824	54.	Poirier C, Luo W, Majumder M, Liu D, Mandl K, Mooring T, Santillana M. 2020 The Role of
825		Environmental Factors on Transmission Rates of the COVID-19 Outbreak: An Initial Assessment in
826		Two Spatial Scales. SSRN Electronic Journal. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3552677.
827	55.	Iacobucci G. 2020 Covid-19: emergency departments lack proper isolation facilities, senior medic
828		warns. BMJ , m953. doi:10.1136/bmj.m953.
829	56.	Chen C, Zhao B. 2020 Makeshift hospitals for COVID-19 patients: where health-care workers and
830		patients need sufficient ventilation for more protection. Journal of Hospital Infection.
831		doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.03.008.
832	57.	Pan X, Ojcius DM, Gao T, Li Z, Pan C, Pan C. 2020 Lessons learned from the 2019-nCoV epidemic on
833		prevention of future infectious diseases. Microbes and Infection 22, 86-91.
834		doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2020.02.004.
835	58.	Chiolero A. 2020 Covid-19: a digital epidemic. BMJ , m764. doi:10.1136/bmj.m764.
836	59.	Depoux A, Martin S, Karafillakis E, Preet R, Wilder-Smith A, Larson H. 2020 The pandemic of social
837		media panic travels faster than the COVID-19 outbreak. Journal of Travel Medicine.
838		doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa031.
839	60.	John Hopkins University: Coronavirus Resource Center. Retrieved in 29/03/2020 at
840		https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html
841	61.	Fromm J. On engineering and emergence. arXiv preprint nlin/0601002. 2006 Jan 3.
842	62.	Guastello SJ. Managing emergent phenomena: Nonlinear dynamics in work organizations.
843		Psychology Press; 2001 Dec 1. doi:10.4324/9781410603920.
844	63.	Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM. 2005 Superspreading and the effect of individual
845		variation on disease emergence. Nature 438, 355–359. doi:10.1038/nature04153.

846