

Modeling and Preparedness: *The Transmission Dynamics of COVID-19 Outbreak in Provinces of Ecuador*

Carlos Bustamante–Orellana¹, Jordy Cevallos–Chavez¹,
Cesar Montalvo–Clavijo¹, Jeff Sullivan²,
Edwin Michael³, Anuj Mubayi^{2,4*}

¹Simon A. Levin Mathematical Computational and Modeling Science Center,
School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe

²PRECISIONheor, Precision Medicine Group, Los Angeles

³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, South Bend

⁴College of Health Solutions, Arizona State University, Tempe

Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), a novel infectious disease first identified in December 2019 in the city of Wuhan of China's Hubei province, is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The disease has become a pandemic in just a few months and spread globally with more than 2.89 million cases and 203,000 deaths across 185 countries, as of April 26th, 2020. Ecuador has reported one of the highest rates of COVID-19 in Latin America, with more than 10K cases and 500 deaths in a country of approximately 17 million people. The dynamics of the outbreak is being observed quite different in different provinces of Ecuador with high reported prevalence in some low population density provinces. In this study, we aim to understand variations in outbreaks between provinces and provide assistance in essential preparedness planning in order to respond effectively to ongoing COVID-19 outbreak. The study estimated the critical level of quarantine rate along with corresponding leakage in order to avoid overwhelming the local health care system. The results suggest that provinces with high population density can avoid a large disease burden provided they initiate early and stricter quarantine measures even under low isolation rate. To best of our knowledge, this study is first from the region to determine which provinces will need much preparation for current outbreak in fall and which might need more help.

keywords: SARS Cov-2, Quarantine, Isolation, Flattening the Curve, Preparedness, Spatial Epidemiological Characteristics.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

*Corresponding Author email: anujmubayi@yahoo.com

1 Introduction

The World Health Organization declared the Coronavirus outbreak (Covid-19) as a public health emergency of international concern on the 30th of January 2020. By 11th of March, the Covid-19 spread across globe affecting around 114 countries and killing more than 4600 individuals. It was immediately elevated officially to the category of pandemic by WHO. After more than five months since the first case was reported in Wuhan, China, the cases reached more than 10 million and half million deaths across all the countries, as of June 30, 2020 [1, 2].

In early February, the World Health Organization reported that the virus causing the disease, is a novel strain of coronavirus (nCoV-2019), [3]. Coronaviruses are a large family of viruses that usually cause respiratory diseases ranging from the common cold to severe respiratory illnesses such as SARS (2003) and MERS (2012), [4]. In mid February, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) named this novel virus as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) since it is caused by a mutation of the coronavirus related with SARS; and the disease name was designated by the WHO as COVID-19, short for coronavirus disease 2019, [5, 3].

The Coronavirus is assumed to be transmitted via droplets and fomites during close unprotected contacts with infected individuals or with surfaces that may have been exposed. The new coronavirus is believed to affect the lungs, and other the respiratory system. Some of the most common (but distinct from other similar diseases) symptoms of COVID-19 include dry cough with high fever, shortness of breath, chills and loss of taste or smell [3, 6, 7]. It has been seen that around 80% of infected people either experience a mild form of disease or are asymptomatics and around 5% become critically ill. Prepared health care systems may be able to handle some of the critically ill patients, however, the problem remains that even the most sophisticated healthcare systems may get overwhelmed by high number of hospitalizations if proper social distancing measures are not timely implemented.

On the other hand, non-pharmaceutical interventions, such as quarantine, isolation and the use of masks for general population, have been implemented in many countries to reduce the number of secondary infections [6, 8], however, a large variations is observed in initiation and magnitude level of implemented interventions. Economic stress due to social distancing measures are making it difficult to put strict guidelines on non-pharmaceutical interventions. When and at what level certain types of non-pharmaceutical interventions need to be implemented, remains a big question in controlling the current COVID-19 pandemic, especially in resource-limited regions.

In Ecuador, the first positive case of Covid-19 was detected on February 29th, 2020. The infected person was a 70 years old woman coming from Spain, who landed in Ecuador on February 14th. The dramatic increase in the number of cases and the concentration of infections in Guayaquil, the largest city in Ecuador, has described this city as the center of the pandemic in Latin America. The ministry of Health confirmed the death of patient zero on March 13th, 2020, after being in intensive care unit. The number of cases has substantially increased after the first case, amidst a health system that has collapsed due to the growing contagion in some parts. By the end of April, Ecuador registered more than 20,000 confirmed cases of Covid-19 with 1000 deaths. Since the 12th of March, authorities have closed schools, large events, and cancelled international flights. From the 17th of March, the government restricted services of public transit system within the country. On the other hand, the spreading of the

81 virus has impacted the different provinces of the country in different ways, with early
82 data is showing the provinces with higher population density are the most affected
83 ones.

84 In this study, we develop two types of models (i) a simple model capturing
85 initial growth of an epidemic and (ii) a complex transmission dynamics
86 model that studies the role of various epidemiological mechanisms in driving
87 an outbreak. Using these models, we assessed the impact of quarantine,
88 and isolation strategies on the the number of new COVID-19 related hos-
89 pitalizations and cumulative deaths in Ecuador and eight of its provinces.
90 The goals of this study are to: (i) estimate initial growth rate for each of
91 the eight most affected provinces, (ii) compute the reproduction number
92 for the provinces and the Ecuador, (iii) evaluate the impact of quarantine
93 and isolation policies on the dynamics of the disease under limited health-
94 care resources, and (iv) compare and contrast differences in outbreak in 8
95 provinces with respective constraints in resources.

96 2 Methods

97 2.1 Data Sources

98 *Description of Time Series Data:* The data about positive confirmed cases and
99 deaths of Covid-19 in Ecuador has been obtained from two main sources: the Minis-
100 terio de Salud Pública del Ecuador [9] and Servicio Nacional de Gestión de Riesgos
101 y Emergencias [10]. These two public data sources started to publish daily situation
102 reports about cases of Covid-19 in Ecuador since March 13rd, 2020. The data pro-
103 vided by these sources consisted of information about the number of confirmed cases,
104 suspected cases, deaths, discarded cases, and recovered cases for each province of the
105 country. These information were gathered from each daily reports and organized for
106 further analysis.

107 *Description of Hospitalization Data:* The information on hospital resources for
108 each province was obtained from the Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos [11]
109 from Ecuador. This source provided information about the number of hospital beds
110 available in each province in 2018. The occupancy rate for Ecuador was also obtained
111 from WHO [12] and used together with the number of beds available in each province,
112 to estimate the number of available beds for COVID-19 patients in each province.

113 2.2 Model Description

114 2.2.1 Initial Growth Models

The growth rate of an epidemic is a key measure of the severity at which the epidemic
can impact a population, and it is closely related to the basic reproduction number
($\mathcal{R}_0$) [13]. At the beginning of an epidemic, the model that describes an exponential
growth rate in the number of confirmed cases of infected people is:

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \lambda X(t) \implies X(t) = X_0 e^{\lambda t} \quad (1)$$

115 where $X(t)$ is the number of cases at time t , X_0 is the number of initial cases, and λ
116 is the rate of growth.

The initial cumulative number of cases, $Y(t)$, often has been observed to grow slower than exponential in scenarios that include restricted contact among individuals [14]. Hence, we also considered a simple generalized model that relaxes the exponential growth rate as assumption:

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = \lambda Y(t)^p \quad (2)$$

where, $Y(t)$ is the cumulative number of cases at time t , λ is a positive parameter denoting the growth rate (1/time), and $p \in [0, 1]$ is a deceleration of growth parameter. If $p = 0$, this equation describes constant incidence over time and the cumulative number of cases grows linearly while $p = 1$ models exponential growth dynamics (i.e., Malthus equation). Intermediate values of p between 0 and 1 describe sub-exponential (e.g., polynomial) growth patterns [15]. For sub-exponential growth (i.e., $0 < p < 1$) the solution of this equation is given by the following polynomial of degree m :

$$Y(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{m} t + A \right)^m \quad (3)$$

where, m is a positive integer, and the deceleration of growth parameter is given by $p = 1 - 1/m$, and $A = \sqrt[m]{Y_0}$.

2.2.2 COVID-19 Dynamical Model

We considered a SEAIR (Susceptible-Exposed-Asymptomatic-Infectious-Symptomatic-Recovered) type epidemic model with additional features such as closed population (i.e., N is constant) and presence of interventions such as quarantine (Q_1 , Q_2 , and Q_3), home isolation (Q_4), hospitalization or hospital-isolation (H) and Intensive care unit (ICU, C) classes. Infection can lead to transition of susceptible individuals to the latent (infected but not infectious and not showing symptoms) class. Latent individuals can progress to asymptomatic infectious (A) class. The population from susceptible, latent and asymptomatic classes can self quarantine to Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 classes, respectively. Symptomatic individuals (I) can directly go to hospitals (H), recover (R), get isolated (Q_4), or die due to the disease (D). We assume that the quarantine is not perfect (that is, leakage in quarantine) and the proportion l_1 of the quarantined population will not follow it strictly. Moreover, leaked quarantined individuals may be infectious by a factor of ϵ_1 . We also consider the proportion l_2 and l_3 of isolated individuals in Q_4 and H classes, respectively, may not be strictly isolated (leading to additional infection by them). This may be due to limited equipment and resources or economic burden due to unable to work. The model assumes that the infectivity of individuals in H class may lower than I by a factor of ϵ_2 . It is further assumed that patients in ICU do not mix with other individuals in the population due to their inability to leaving hospital as a result of severe health condition. The model assumes that people from Intensive Care Unit (ICU) only recover after being in observation, i.e. people go out from ICU to recovered via hospitalization. The flowchart of the compartmental model is given in Figure 1 and its variables and parameters are defined in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

The force of infection, f , is a nonlinear term given by

$$f = \frac{I + \epsilon_1 A + \epsilon_1 l_1 Q_3 + l_2 Q_4 + \epsilon_2 l_3 H}{S + E + A + I + R + l_1 Q + l_2 Q_4 + l_3 H},$$

Table 1: Model variables and their definition

Variable	Definition
S	Number of Susceptible
E	Number of Exposed
A	Number of Asymptomatic infected
I	Number of Symptomatic Infected
R	Number of Recovered
H	Number of Hospitalized
C	Number of Hospitalized in Intensive Care Unit
D	Number of Dead
Q_1	Number of Voluntary Quarantined Susceptible Individuals
Q_2	Number of Voluntary Quarantined Exposed Individuals
Q_3	Number of Voluntary Quarantined Asymptomatic Infected
Q_4	Number of Isolated

where $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3$. Moreover, the parameters κ and ω_1 capture limited resources (limited number of ICU beds, C_0) conditions in healthcare facilities and hence,

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \kappa & \text{if } C(t) < C_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } C(t) \geq C_0 \end{cases} \quad \omega_1 = \begin{cases} \omega_1 & \text{if } C(t) \geq C_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } C(t) < C_0 \end{cases}$$

The parameter κ represents the rate at which hospitalized people are moved to ICU, so it is zero if there are no ICU beds available; and the parameter ω_1 is the proportion of people that die in hospitalization, so it is zero if there are ICU beds available because they would die in ICU, not in normal hospitalization. Our COVID-19 epidemiological model is given by the non-linear system of differential equations given in Appendix [B.2](#).

3 Analysis

3.1 The Reproduction Number and Final Epidemic Size

The local stability of the Disease Free Equilibrium (DFE) is analyzed by using the Next Generation Operator method [\[21\]](#). We could obtain that the $\mathcal{R}_0$ for the model without any control, i.e. when voluntary quarantine is not applied, i.e. $\rho = \theta = 0$, when Mandatory quarantined is not applied, $q_4 = q_3 = 1$, and when hospitalization and ICU are not available neither, i.e $\phi = 0$ and $\kappa = 0$.

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\beta\eta\varepsilon_1}{\eta\alpha} + (1 - q_1) \frac{\beta\eta}{\eta(\gamma_I + \varphi)} \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, the final epidemic size for the baseline model, computed in Appendix [C.3](#), is given implicitly as follows

$$\ln\left(\frac{S_\infty}{S_0}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_0}{(1-p)} \left(1 - \frac{S_\infty}{S_0}\right) + \frac{\beta A_0}{(1-p)(\gamma_I + \varphi)\alpha S_0} \quad (5)$$

Table 2: Description of parameter values and respective values obtained from literature

Symbol	Description	Range/Value	Source
β	Effective transmission rate	0.6571	Estimated (Ecuador)
η	Progression rate to infectious (Asymptomatic)	1/4.34	[16]
ϕ	Hospitalization rate	1/10	[17]
γ_I	Symptomatic infectious rate for non-quarantined symptomatic survivors	1/7	[17]
γ_J	Symptomatic infectious quarantine rate	[1/3, 1/10]	Varied
α	Asymptomatic infectious rate	1/2.86	[16]
ω_1	Proportion of people that die in hospitalization	0.66	[6]
ω_2	Proportion of people that die in ICU	0.56	[6]
δ	Intensive Care Unit rate	1/10	[16]
φ	Death rate for symptomatic individuals	0.034	[2]
ρ	Per capita quarantine rate for each person in hospitalization	$[10^{-7}, 10^{-1}]$	Varied
θ	Rate at which people leave self quarantine without disease progress	1/14	[6]
κ	Rate at which hospitalized patients move to ICU	0.0371	[6]
ε_1	Infectiousness of asymptomatic individuals	0.5	[18, 19]
ε_2	Infectiousness of hospitalized individuals	1	Assumed
l_1	Proportion of Q that are not strict about self quarantine	0.5	Assumed
l_2	Proportion of symptomatic infectious people that are not strictly isolated	[0.1, 0.5]	Varied
l_3	Proportion of people that are not strictly hospitalized due to limited equipment	[0.1, 0.5]	Varied
q_1	Fraction of people who recover among those asymptomatic	0.25	[20]
q_2	Fraction of people requiring hospitalization among those symptomatic	0.19	[6]




Figure 1: Schematic flow diagram for our model. The model consists of twelve sub populations and their definitions are given in Table 1.

Moreover, the control reproduction number, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_c$, for the complete model, computed in Appendix C.2, will be

$$\mathcal{R}_c = \frac{\beta\epsilon_1\eta}{\alpha\eta} + \frac{\beta(1-q_1)}{\gamma_I + \gamma_J + \phi} + \frac{\beta l_2(1-q_1)}{\phi + \gamma_I} \cdot \frac{\gamma_J}{\gamma_I + \gamma_J + \phi} + \frac{\beta\epsilon_2 l_3(1-q_1)q_2\eta}{\alpha\eta(\kappa + \phi)} \cdot \frac{\gamma_I}{\phi + \gamma_I}. \quad (6)$$

3.2 The Initial Exponential Growth Rate from the Dynamic Model

At the beginning of an epidemic, the number of cases tend to grow exponentially if no strict measurements are taken. This type of growing in the number of Covid-19 cases happened in many provinces of Ecuador, especially Guayas, which is the most affected by the virus. Therefore, computing the initial exponential growth rate for the number of cases in each province of Ecuador can help us model the dynamics of the disease within such province.

In order to determine the initial exponential growth rate from a model, we linearize the model about the disease-free equilibrium (DFE). For this particular study, we consider a reduced version of the model sketched in Figure 1, where the only interventions are hospitalization and ICU. So, this reduced model would only have the Susceptible (S), Exposed (E), asymptomatic infected (A), symptomatic Infected (I), Recovered (R), Hospitalized (H), Hospitalized in Intensive Care Unit (C), and Death (D) compartments. The DFE of this model is: $(S, E, A, I, H, C, R, D) = (N, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. We linearize the reduced model about the DFE, the equations for E, A, I, H, and C are decoupled, and become:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E} &= \beta S \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A + \varepsilon_2 l_3 H}{S + E + A + I + R + l_3 H} - \eta E \\ \dot{A} &= \eta E - \alpha A \\ \dot{I} &= \alpha(1 - q_1)A - (\gamma_I + \varphi)I \\ \dot{H} &= \gamma_I q_2 I + \delta(1 - \omega_2)C - (\kappa + \phi)H \\ \dot{C} &= \kappa H - \delta C\end{aligned}$$

Note that the Jacobian matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\eta & \beta\epsilon_1 & \beta & \beta l_3 \epsilon_2 & 0 \\ \eta & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(1 - q_1) & -\gamma_I - \varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_I q_2 & -\kappa - \phi & \delta(1 - \omega_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

has the following characteristic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\delta - \lambda)(\alpha\beta\gamma_I\eta l_3(q_1 - 1)q_2\epsilon_2 + (-\kappa - \lambda - \phi)(\alpha\beta\eta(-(q_1 - 1)) \\ & \quad - (\gamma_I + \lambda + \varphi)(\alpha(\eta + \lambda) - \beta\eta\epsilon_1 + \lambda(\eta + \lambda)))) \\ & - \delta\kappa(1 - \omega_2)(\alpha\beta\eta(-(q_1 - 1)) - (\gamma_I + \lambda + \varphi)(\alpha(\eta + \lambda) - \beta\eta\epsilon_1 + \lambda(\eta + \lambda))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where λ are the eigenvalues of the Jacobian evaluated at the DFE. The initial exponential growth rate is the largest root λ of the previous fifth degree equation. The initial exponential growth rate can be measured experimentally, and if the measured value is λ , then from the previous equation, we obtain:

$$\beta = \frac{(\alpha + \lambda)(\eta + \lambda)(\gamma_I + \lambda + \varphi)(\delta(\kappa\omega_2 + \lambda + \phi) + \lambda(\kappa + \lambda + \phi))}{\eta(-l_3\alpha\gamma_I q_2 \epsilon_2 (q_1 - 1)(\delta + \lambda) - (\delta(\kappa\omega_2 + \lambda + \phi) + \lambda(\kappa + \lambda + \phi))(\alpha(q_1 - 1) - \epsilon_1(\gamma_I + \lambda + \varphi)))} \quad (7)$$

So, we can estimate β from the initial exponential growth rate. Besides, as $\mathcal{R}_c$ depends on β , we can also estimate $\mathcal{R}_c$ from the initial exponential growth rate by plugin the equation 7 into the formula for $\mathcal{R}_c$.

We obtained λ for the confirmed Covid-19 cases and deaths using data provided by official sources of Ecuador [9, 10]. The obtained data had the total confirmed cases and deaths of Covid-19 for the 24 provinces of Ecuador from March 13rd until April 16th, 2020.

4 Results

4.1 Exponential Epidemic Growth Model Results

We fitted the model in Equation 8 to the cumulative data of confirmed cases in 8 provinces of Ecuador and the whole country, and the obtained results are presented in Table 3. The relationship between the variables was measured using the adjusted R squared (SQR) and a 95% confidence interval was computed for the fitted exponential growth rate (λ). The estimated first case was also obtained, using the parameters fitted to the data, and compared with the first reported case.

Table 3: Exponential Fitting results for total confirmed cases in Ecuador and 8 of its most affected provinces.

Province / Country	Exponential Fitting Confirmed Cases			Confidence Interval for λ ($CI = 95\%$)		First Reported Case	Estimated First Case
	I_0	λ	SQR	2.5%	97.5%		
Guayas	47	0.1636	0.8220	0.1371	0.1900	02/29/20	02/17/2020
Los Rios	9	0.1097	0.9634	0.1022	0.1171	03/10/20	02/21/2020
Pichincha	8	0.1514	0.8987	0.1337	0.1691	03/12/20	02/27/2020
Sucumbios	1	0.1194	0.8101	0.0993	0.1395	03/13/20	03/10/2020
Azuay	3	0.1398	0.8240	0.1169	0.1626	03/17/20	03/04/2020
Manabi	3	0.1398	0.8405	0.1175	0.1620	03/18/20	03/04/2020
El Oro	1	0.1697	0.8935	0.1478	0.1915	03/20/20	03/12/2020
Santo Domingo	1	0.1322	0.8959	0.1144	0.1500	03/21/20	03/11/2020
Ecuador	78	0.1565	0.8636	0.1349	0.1782	02/29/20	02/13/2020

The same above process was repeated to fit the confirmed cumulative deaths to the Model Equation 8, obtaining the results presented in Table 10. Note, that Sucumbios province was not considered due to not enough availability of data for fitting.

4.2 Generalized Epidemic Growth Model Results

We fitted the Model Equation 9 to the cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in Ecuador and obtained the best values for each parameter of the model (see Table 4). The parameter p , which is used to decelerate the growth of the epidemic, has also been estimated. *The values for this parameter suggest that in most of the provinces of Ecuador, and in the whole country, the growth rate of the cumulative number of cases is not exponential, but quadratic.* It is important to mention that the residual standard error (RSE) is considerably bigger for Ecuador and Guayas than for any of the other provinces. This difference may be caused by the arrival of a large amount of testing kits for Covid-19 on a particular day, which generated a considerable difference in the amount of positive tests processed for that day than the average tests that were being process on a daily basis. Although all of the provinces may have been affected by this change in the number of tests processed by day, Guayas is the province that concentrates most of the cases of Covid-19 in Ecuador, and therefore most of the extra tests processed in such an abnormal day were from that province.

Table 4: Generalized growth fitting (Model 9) results for the cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in Ecuador and 8 of its most affected provinces

Province / Country	λ	p	X_0	RSE
El Oro	0.39	0.72	0.21	9.29
Manabi	0.40	0.70	1.22	13.09
Santo Domingo	0.26	0.69	1.00	2.95
Guayas	2.62	0.57	13.26	355.80
Los Rios	0.93	0.49	1.00	11.52
Pichincha	1.31	0.53	1.00	20.15
Azuay	0.71	0.52	1.00	9.45
Sucumbios	0.78	0.13	1.00	4.62
Ecuador	3.72	0.55	5.59	405.60

The cumulative COVID-19 related deaths data were also fitted to the Model Equation 9 (see Table 5). In this case, the provinces Los Rios, Sucumbíos and Azuay were not considered because the mortality data available for these provinces were not statistically sufficient to perform a proper fitting.

Table 5: Generalized growth fitting (Model 9) results for the cumulative deaths of Covid-19 in Ecuador and 5 of its most affected provinces

Province / Country	λ	p	X_0	RSE
Manabi	0.10	1.00	1.00	5.87
Pichincha	0.10	1.00	1.00	3.06
El Oro	0.11	0.99	1.00	2.39
Santo Domingo	0.10	0.58	1.00	2.31
Guayas	0.68	0.53	1.00	14.79
Ecuador	0.58	0.66	1.00	15.17


4.3 The Dynamic Model-Based Numerical Results

We ran simulations for the Covid-19 model presented in this study using the parameter values from Table 2, and varying the values of the four unknown parameters (specified as varied in Table 2: ρ , γ_J , l_2 , l_3). The procedure was the following: one of the parameters was varied in a range and for each value of such parameter, fifty different values of the other three parameters were generated, and therefore, the model was run fifty times for each value of the parameter being varied. Then, the mean of the different results provided by those 50 simulations was computed and portrayed (see Figures 2-5).


Estimations for the effective transmission rate (β) and control reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_c$) were obtained (see Table 6) using the formulas 7 and 6, respectively. As there were unknown parameters (ρ , γ_J , ϵ_2 , l_2), the estimations obtained for β and $\mathcal{R}_c$ are actually the mean of 50 different values obtained from sampling 50 different values for the unknown parameters. The ranges for each unknown parameter are presented in Table 2.

Table 6: Estimations of effective transmission rate (β) and control reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_c$) for Ecuador and eight of its provinces.

Province / Country	Estimated β	Confidence Interval for β ($CI = 95\%$)		Estimated R_c	Confidence Interval for R_c ($CI = 95\%$)	
		2.5%	95%		2.5%	95%
Guayas	0.6774	0.6761	0.6786	2.4253	2.4011	2.4495
Manabi	0.4794	0.4793	0.4795	1.7908	1.7844	1.7972
El Oro	0.6357	0.6351	0.6362	2.3226	2.3085	2.3367
Santo Domingo	0.5147	0.5142	0.5151	1.8963	1.8830	1.9097
Los Rios	0.5942	0.5935	0.5950	2.1718	2.1493	2.1944
Sucumbios	0.5993	0.5986	0.6000	2.1635	2.1456	2.1815
Pichincha	0.7221	0.7212	0.7229	2.6160	2.5920	2.6400
Azuay	0.5603	0.5600	0.5607	2.0635	2.0481	2.0789
Ecuador	0.6571	0.6564	0.6578	2.3977	2.3757	2.4198



(a)



(b)

Figure 2: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), and cumulative deaths (b) predicted by the model. The simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the quarantine rate (ρ) between 0.001 (10 of each 10000 susceptible individuals go to quarantine) and 0.0002. The legend specifies the values for the quarantine rates that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 3: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), and cumulative deaths (b) predicted by the model. The simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the symptomatic infectious quarantine rate (γ_J) between 1/3 (people showing COVID-19 symptoms are sent into isolation within 3 days) and 1/10. The legend specifies the values for the quarantine rates that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 4: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), and cumulative deaths (b) predicted by the model. The simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the proportion of symptomatic infectious people that are not strictly isolated (l_2) between 0.1 (10 % of the symptomatic infectious people are not strictly isolated) and 0.5. The legend specifies the values for the studied parameter that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 5: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), and cumulative deaths (b) predicted by the model. The simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the proportion of people that are not strictly hospitalized due to limited equipment (l_2) between 0.1 (10 % of the symptomatic infectious people are not strictly isolated) and 0.5. The legend specifies the values for the studied parameter that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.

Table 7: Critical values for unknown parameters in eighth provinces of Ecuador. In the case of ρ , and γ_J a value smaller than the critical value specified for the corresponding province will cause the hospitals in such province to collapse. In the case of l_2 , and l_3 , a value bigger than the critical value specified for the corresponding province will cause the same problem.

Province	Critical ρ	Critical γ_J	Critical l_2	Critical l_3
Guayas	0.00022	1/4.3	0.45	0.75
Manabi	0.00072	1/5.0	0.30	0.50
El Oro	0.00130	1/3.0	0.30	0.25
Santo Domingo	0.00090	1/5.0	0.30	0.50
Los Rios	0.00048	1/9.0	0.48	0.75
Sucumbios	0.00200	1/4.5	0.29	0.12
Pichincha	0.00018	1/4.0	0.30	0.50
Azuay	0.00065	1/7.5	0.40	0.60

Table 8: Peak size, time, and duration of the first two waves of infections in each province. The peak size accounts for the maximum number of hospitalizations happening in one day, the pike time is the number of days that have passed since the beginning of the outbreak until the peak, and the duration represents the time in days that the wave of infections lasted. The values presented in this table were obtained from the curve generated by the critical value of quarantine rate (ρ).

Province	First wave			Second wave		
	Peak size (Number of Hospitalizations)	Peak time (days)	Duration (days)	Peak size (Number of Hospitalizations)	Peak time (days)	Duration (days)
Guayas	1504	99	145	827	193	90
Manabi	284	105	155	183	202	93
El Oro	279	87	129	153	176	90
Santo Domingo	216	109	160	132	208	95
Los Rios	284	138	194	208	247	103
Sucumbios	81	112	167	50	216	100
Pichincha	1487	110	161	826	204	95
Azuay	331	105	159	199	208	100

From the 95% confidence interval of the initial exponential growth rate (λ) presented in Table 10, 10^5 different values of the effective transmission rate (β) were generated, which were then used to produce 10^5 different values for the control reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_c$. Then, 50 of these values for $\mathcal{R}_c$ were used to compute the effective reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_e$) by using the formula:

$$\mathcal{R}_e = \mathcal{R}_c \frac{S(t)}{N}$$

where $S(t)$ is the number of susceptible individuals at time t , obtained from the numerical solution of the COVID-19 model presented in this study, and N is the total population size. Then, the 95% confidence interval for the mean of the 50 different values of the effective reproduction number at different time steps was computed, and the upper and lower bounds are sketched in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Time series plot of the effective reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_e$) for the Guayas province. The curves represent the upper and lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval of $\mathcal{R}_e$. These simulations were made from the COVID-19 model presented in this study, using the parameter values from Table 2 and sampling the four unknown parameters.

5 Discussion

COVID-19 disease has rapidly spread all over the world since its first identification on the 29th of December in Wuhan, China. The lives of many people have drastically changed due to the implementation of lockdown policies to restrict the spread of the virus causing the disease. Historical outbreaks have provided some clues on how quarantine and isolation type non-pharmaceutical interventions can be implemented to stop the rapid spread of the virus when treatment and vaccination are unavailable. However, unlike other diseases in past due to rapid spread nature of this disease, it has become all the more important to design robust non-pharmaceutical interventions for resource limited countries. Ecuador has implemented quarantine and isolation measures since March 17th, 2020 but with low to moderate efficacy. In this study, three different types of models were presented as possible tools to analyze and predict the spread of COVID-19 in Ecuador and its provinces.

The first model, exponential epidemic growth model, was fitted to the cumulative confirmed and mortality data for eight provinces of Ecuador and the whole country, obtaining the initial exponential growth rate along with its corresponding 95% confidence interval. The fitting of the confirmed cases produced an adjusted R squared (SQR) around 0.89 whereas that of the cumulative deaths produced a SQR around 0.94, meaning that a great part of the observed variation in the confirmed cases and deaths can be explained by the time that has passed since the first cases. However, the

fitting done to the cumulative confirmed cases can be limited because the number of COVID-19 cases in Ecuador may be underreported. The reported cumulative deaths are closer to reality since the majority of COVID-19 related deaths occur in hospitals, with some exceptions.

The second model, generalized epidemic growth model, was also fitted to the cumulative confirmed cases and deaths, obtaining the growth rate corresponding to eight Ecuador provinces and the whole country. The main results obtained from this model were that the growth rate in the cumulative confirmed cases and deaths was quadratic in most of the provinces, with the exception of Manabi, El Oro, and Pichincha that had exponential growth rate in the number of deaths.

The third model, presented in section 2.2.2, is a compartmental model that incorporates quarantine and isolation as interventions. From the simulations made with this model, we found that the implementation of quarantine measures causes the epidemic to evolve slower, since many people are taken out of the susceptible compartment and sent into quarantine, and then slowly come back to the susceptible compartment. This slower evolution in the dynamics of the disease, caused by the implementation of quarantine, is positive because it avoids overloading the hospitals as seen in Figure 2a. This Figure shows that the quarantine rate per hospitalized case (ρ) is under 0.0002, which means that if 2 of each 10000 susceptible individuals go to quarantine per day, it can cause the hospital to collapse in about 120 days in Guayas. The number of deaths also increases drastically depending on this quarantine rate as depicted in Figure 2b. The symptomatic infectious quarantine rate (γ_J) has a similar effect on the number of hospitalizations (Figure 3a), and cumulative deaths (Figure 3b) as ρ , which are increased when a smaller value for γ_J is used. In other words, the longer the symptomatic infectious individuals stay in the I compartment, the more the number of new infections increases, and therefore the hospitalizations and deaths also increase. The proportion of symptomatic infectious people that are not strictly isolated (l_2) has also a strong impact on the number of hospitalizations (Figure 4a) and cumulative deaths (Figure 4b) since the more the symptomatic infectious people leak from isolation the more people they infect. Finally, the proportion of people that are not strictly hospitalized due to limited equipment (l_3) has a similar effect as l_2 on the dynamics of the disease, since the more the people in need of hospitalization do not get the attention they need the more people they infect. In summary, there is a tradeoff between high quarantine (and isolation) rate and leakage in the interventions. *High intervention rates is not always beneficial, unless we can fine tune (that is, contained) the leakage of infection through these measures.*

The effective reproduction number for the Guayas province is around 2.4 at the beginning of the epidemic, and drastically decreases in the first 100 days, to increase again and stabilize around 0.8 in 300 days after the beginning of the epidemic (see Figure 6). These results are being caused by the quarantine, because at the beginning of the epidemic, the susceptible population is rapidly drained by the other compartments, specially Q_1 , which is the quarantine for the susceptible people. The effective reproduction number in all the provinces are significantly dropping, indicating the end of the first outbreak. The damped oscillations observed in the different figures, including the one presented for the effective reproduction number are caused by the quarantine rate because it drains the susceptible population very fast at the beginning, but then it starts to slowly come back, causing new outbreak after every certain amount of time. In the case of no control interventions, the final epidemic size will be

around one million individuals (see [C.3](#)).

The implementation of the proposed models critically depends of the available data, mainly the number of confirmed cases, the number of deaths, and information about health care system resources related to this respiratory disease (ventilators, beds, etc) at provincial and national level. To the best of our knowledge, this study captures different scenarios of the COVID-19 outbreak in Ecuador. Here, we provide mathematical tool for the decision-makers to effectively implement non-pharmaceutical interventions. Indeed, the implementation of quarantine, in an appropriate proportion, can flatten the curve of new infections and avoid the collapsing of the health care system. But as the cases will reduce, the social distancing measure may also get relaxed and thus creating a potential for second wave of the outbreak.

Acknowledgements

The last author acknowledge the partial support from NSF grant 1918614.

Authors Contribution

A.M. conceived of the presented idea. C.B., J.C. and A.M. developed the theory, carried out analytical methods and performed the computations. C.M., J.S., E.M. and A.M. verified the computational scenarios and methods as well as contributed to the interpretation of the results. C.B., J.C., and C.M. took the lead in initial writing the manuscript. A.M. was in charge of overall direction and planning and supervised its findings. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.


References

- [1] for Systems Science C, at Johns Hopkins University E. COVID-19. Github Repository; 2020. Last accessed April 30, 2020. Available from: <https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19>.
- [2] Worldometer. COVID-19 CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC; 2020. Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>.
- [3] World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf>.
- [4] of Allergies NI, Diseases I. COVID-19, MERS & SARS; 2020. Last Accessed: May 2020. Available from: <https://www.niaid.nih.gov/diseases-conditions/covid-19>.
- [5] Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, de Groot RJ, Drosten C, Gulyaeva AA, et al. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nature Microbiology. 2020 Mar; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z>.


- 331 [6] for Disease Control C, Prevention. Interim Clinical Guidance for Management of
332 Patients with Confirmed Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19); 2020. Data retrieved
333 from CDC. Available from: [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/
334 hcp/clinical-guidance-management-patients.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-guidance-management-patients.html).
- 335 [7] Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. General questions: COVID-
336 19 Prevention and Control; 2020. Available from: [http://www.chinacdc.cn/
337 en/COVID19/202002/P020200306358351973919.pdf](http://www.chinacdc.cn/en/COVID19/202002/P020200306358351973919.pdf).
- 338 [8] World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) technical
339 guidance: Country readiness ; 2020. Last Accessed May 4. Available from:
340 [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/
341 technical-guidance/country-readiness](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/country-readiness).
- 342 [9] Ministerio de Salud Pública del Ecuador. Boletines Epidemiológicos Coro-
343 navirus Covid-19; 2020. Available from: [https://www.salud.gob.ec/
344 gacetas-epidemiologicas-coronavirus-covid-19/](https://www.salud.gob.ec/gacetas-epidemiologicas-coronavirus-covid-19/).
- 345 [10] Servicio Nacional de Gestión de Riesgos y Emergencias. In-
346 formes de Situación e Infografías – COVID-19; 2020.
347 Available from: [https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/
348 informes-de-situacion-covid-19-desde-el-13-de-marzo-del-2020/](https://www.gestionderiesgos.gob.ec/informes-de-situacion-covid-19-desde-el-13-de-marzo-del-2020/).
- 349 [11] Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos. Camas y Egresos Hospita-
350 larios; 2018. Available from: [https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/
351 camas-y-egresos-hospitalarios/](https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/camas-y-egresos-hospitalarios/).
- 352 [12] World Health Organization. Estimates of Unit Costs for Patient Services for
353 Ecuador; 2003. Available from: [https://www.who.int/choice/country/ecu/
354 cost/en/](https://www.who.int/choice/country/ecu/cost/en/).
- 355 [13] Ma J. Estimating epidemic exponential growth rate and basic reproduction num-
356 ber. KeAi Communications Co.; 2020.
- 357 [14] Chowell G, Sattenspiel L, Bansal S, Viboud C. Mathematical models to charac-
358 terize early epidemic growth: A review. Elsevier B.V.; 2016.
- 359 [15] Viboud C, Simonsen L, Chowell G. A generalized-growth model to character-
360 ize the early ascending phase of infectious disease outbreaks. *Epidemics*. 2016
361 jun;15:27–37.
- 362 [16] Leung C. The difference in the incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-
363 CoV-2) infection between travelers to Hubei and non-travelers: The need of a
364 longer quarantine period. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2020 mar;p.
365 1–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1017%2Fice.2020.81>.
- 366 [17] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics
367 of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia
368 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020 03;323(11):1061–1069. Available from: [https:
369 //doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585).

- 370 [18] Eikenberry S, Mancuso M, Iboi E, Phan T, Eikenberry K, Kuang Y, et al. To
371 mask or not to mask: Modeling the potential for face mask use by the general
372 public to curtail the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020 04;.
- 373 [19] Ngonghala C, Iboi E, Eikenberry S, Scotch M, MacIntyre C, Bonds M, et al. Math-
374 ematical assessment of the impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions on curtail-
375 ing the 2019 novel Coronavirus. Mathematical Biosciences. 2020 05;p. 108364.
- 376 [20] Times TNY. Infected but Feeling Fine: The Unwitting Coron-
377 avirus Spreaders; 2020. Data retrieved from The New York Times.
378 Available from: [https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/31/health/
379 coronavirus-asymptomatic-transmission.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/31/health/coronavirus-asymptomatic-transmission.html).
- 380 [21] P V, J W. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria
381 for compartmental models of disease transmission. Mathematical Biosciences.
382 2002;180(1):29 – 48. Available from: [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
383 article/pii/S0025556402001086](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0025556402001086).
- 384 [22] Chave J, Muller-Landau HC, Levin SA. Comparing classical community mod-
385 els: theoretical consequences for patterns of diversity. The American Naturalist.
386 2002;159(1):1–23.
- 387 [23] Brauer F, Castillo-Chávez C. 9. In: Epidemic Models; 2012. p. 345–409.


388 A Appendix



(a) Total confirmed cases and deaths in the provinces with the bigger number of cases



(b) Total confirmed cases and deaths in provinces with 201-300 cases



(c) Total confirmed cases and deaths in provinces with 0-200 cases

Figure 7: Total confirmed cases and deaths in the 8 most affected provinces




Figure 8: Evolution of confirmed cases and deaths in Ecuador

B Appendix

B.0.1 Exponential Models

Exponential Epidemic Growth Model: The exponential growth rate of an epidemic is a key measure of the severity at which the epidemic can impact a population, and it is closely related to the basic reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_0$) [13]. At the beginning of an epidemic, the number of cases tend to grow exponentially, especially if no strict measures are taken. So, this model intends to explain the growth in the number of cases at the beginning of an outbreak. The model that describes an exponential growth rate in the number of confirmed cases of infected people is:

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \lambda X(t)$$

which has the solution:

$$X(t) = X_0 e^{\lambda t} \quad (8)$$

where $X(t)$ is the number of cases at time t , X_0 is the number of initial cases, and λ is the growth rate. $\ln(X(t))$ and t have a linear relationship during the initial growth phase, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(X(t)) &= \ln(X_0 e^{\lambda t}) \\ &= \ln(X_0) + \lambda t \end{aligned}$$

This linear relationship allows us to fit a linear model to the natural logarithm of the data about confirmed cases and obtain the initial exponential growth rate λ and the initial number of cases X_0 .

Generalized Epidemic Growth Model: The initial cumulative growth pattern of outbreaks has been widely studied using models that principally assume exponential

growth dynamics when no countermeasures are taken [15]. However, the cumulative number of cases, $X(t)$, often has been observed to grow slower than exponential, with infectious diseases that spread via close contacts, in scenarios that include restricted contact among individuals [14]. Hence, we consider a simple generalized model that relaxes the exponential growth rate as assumption:

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \lambda X(t)^p$$

where, $X(t)$ is the cumulative number of cases at time t , λ is a positive parameter denoting the growth rate (1/time), and $p \in [0, 1]$ is a deceleration of growth parameter. If $p = 0$, this equation describes constant incidence over time and the cumulative number of cases grows linearly while $p = 1$ models exponential growth dynamics (i.e., Malthus equation). Intermediate values of p between 0 and 1 describe sub-exponential (e.g., polynomial) growth patterns. For example, if $p = 1/2$ incidence grows linearly while the cumulative number of cases follows a quadratic polynomial. If $p = 2/3$ incidence grows quadratically while the cumulative number of cases fits a cubic polynomial [15]. For sub-exponential growth (i.e., $0 < p < 1$) the solution of this equation is given by the following polynomial of degree m :

$$X(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{m} t + A \right)^m \quad (9)$$

where, m is a positive integer, the deceleration of growth parameter is given by $p = 1 - 1/m$, and $A = \sqrt[m]{X_0}$.

Power-law with exponential cut-off: Power-law functions are impressively ubiquitous, but they are not the only form of broad distribution that have been observed for a population growth, including for initial growth of an epidemic. For example, it could be that the function starts out as an exponential and ends up as a power law or vice versa. In other words, the distribution of cases may follow a exponential only for part of the time-axis range but are cut off at high values of time. That is, above some value they deviate from the exponential and rises slowly towards the peak of an outbreak. This type of functions can be used to estimate the inflection points of a function, which could represent the time when interventions starts to impact the outbreak growth. Such model (similar to ones used in ecology [22]) can be represented as

$$X(t) = at^w e^{\lambda t}$$

or

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \left(\frac{w}{t} + \lambda \right) X.$$

where, for example, t is the time since the beginning of an outbreak, X is the cumulative incidence, and a , λ , and w are parameters to be estimated from the observed data. Using simple computations, it can be obtained that the inflection point of the function occurs at $t = -w/\lambda$, where $w > 0$, but $\lambda < 0$.

407 B.1 Summary of Exponential Models

The general form of all the exponential models can be written using following function

$$X(t) = at^w e^{\lambda t^k} = \begin{cases} ae^{\lambda t^k} \text{ (Stretched Exponential)} & \text{if } w = 0 \\ ae^{\lambda t} \text{ (Standard Exponential)} & \text{if } w = 0 \text{ \& } k = 1 \\ at^w \text{ (Generalized Power Law)} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ \& } \lambda = 0 \\ at^w e^{\lambda t} \text{ (Power Law with Exponential cutoff)} & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

408 Typically, the outbreak is believed to follow growth in three parts until it reaches its
409 peak: (i) initial polynomial growth (ii) middle exponential growth and (iii) eventual
410 linear growth. That is,

$$Y(t) = \begin{cases} a_1 * t^{b_1} & \text{if } t_0 \leq t < t_1 \\ a_2 * e^{b_2 * t} & \text{if } t_1 \leq t < t_2 \\ a_3 * t + b_3 & \text{if } t_2 \leq t < t_3 \end{cases}$$

411 B.2 Full Model

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S} &= -\beta S \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A + \varepsilon_1 l_1 Q_3 + l_2 Q_4 + \varepsilon_2 l_3 H}{S + E + A + I + R + l_1 Q + l_2 Q_4 + l_3 H} + \theta Q_1 - \rho H S \\ \dot{E} &= \beta S \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A + \varepsilon_1 l_1 Q_3 + l_2 Q_4 + \varepsilon_2 l_3 H}{S + E + A + I + R + l_1 Q + l_2 Q_4 + l_3 H} + \theta Q_2 - (\rho H + \eta) E \\ \dot{A} &= \eta E + \theta Q_3 - (\rho H + \alpha) A \\ \dot{I} &= \alpha(1 - q_1) A - (\gamma_I + \gamma_J + \varphi) I \\ \dot{H} &= \gamma_I q_2 I + \delta(1 - \omega_2) C + \gamma_I q_2 Q_4 - (\phi + \kappa) H \\ \dot{C} &= \kappa H - \delta C \\ \dot{Q}_1 &= \rho H S - \theta Q_1 \\ \dot{Q}_2 &= \rho H E - (\theta + \eta) Q_2 \\ \dot{Q}_3 &= \rho H A + \eta Q_2 - (\theta + \alpha) Q_3 \\ \dot{Q}_4 &= \alpha(1 - q_1) Q_3 + \gamma_J I - (\gamma_I + \varphi) Q_4 \\ \dot{R} &= \alpha q_1 A + \alpha q_1 Q_3 + \phi(1 - \omega_1) H + \gamma_I(1 - q_2) I + \gamma_I(1 - q_2) Q_4 \\ \dot{D} &= \varphi I + \varphi Q_4 + \phi \omega_1 H + \delta \omega_2 C \end{aligned}$$

412 C Appendix

413 C.1 Basic Reproduction Number for the Baseline Model (SEAIR)

414 The basic reproduction number for the baseline and for the complete models is com-
415 puted by using the next generation operator method [21]. The matrix $\mathcal{F}$ of the new
416 infection terms and $\mathcal{V}$ of the remaining transfer terms associated to the baseline model
417 are given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \varepsilon_1 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

418

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 & 0 \\ -\eta & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(1 - q_1) & \gamma_I + \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the basic reproduction number, named $\mathcal{R}_0$, for the baseline model is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\beta\eta\varepsilon_1}{\eta\alpha} + (1 - q_1) \frac{\beta\eta}{\eta(\gamma_I + \varphi)}$$

419

420 C.2 Basic Reproduction Number for the Full Model

In an analogous way as the computation of the basic reproduction number for the complete model we will have

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta\varepsilon_1 & \beta & \beta\varepsilon_2 l_3 & \beta\varepsilon_1 l_1 & \beta l_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

421

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\eta & \alpha & 0 & 0 & -\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(q_1 - 1) & \gamma_I + \gamma_J + \varphi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma_I q_2 & \kappa + \phi & 0 & -\gamma_I q_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha + \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma_J & 0 & -\alpha q_1 & \gamma_I + \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

422 The control reproduction number, denoted as $\mathcal{R}_c$, is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_c = \frac{\beta\varepsilon_1\eta}{\alpha\eta} + \frac{\beta(1 - q_1)}{\gamma_I + \gamma_J + \varphi} + \frac{\beta l_2(1 - q_1)}{\varphi + \gamma_I} \cdot \frac{\gamma_J}{\gamma_I + \gamma_J + \varphi} + \frac{\beta\varepsilon_2 l_3(1 - q_1)q_2\eta}{\alpha\eta(\kappa + \phi)} \cdot \frac{\gamma_I}{\varphi + \gamma_I}.$$

423

424 C.3 Final Epidemic Size Baseline Model

The force of infection for the baseline model is

$$\zeta = \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A}{N^*},$$

where N^* is given by

$$N^* = S + E + A + I + R \quad (10)$$

Let's assume that the general population decreases by death induced by the disease in a proportion $0 < p \ll 1$ through the outbreak, i.e. $N^* = (1 - p)S_0$, for COVID-19 $p \in (0.04, 0.15)$, [1, 2]. Thus,

$$N_f = (1 - p)S_0 \quad (11)$$

Proceeding as Brauer and Castillo-Chávez in [23], we are going to estimate the final epidemic size in Ecuador. Let's assume,

$$E_0 = R_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 = N_0 \quad (12)$$

425 Then, we would have $S'(t) + E'(t) = (S(t) + E(t))' = -\eta E(t) \leq 0$. The function
426 $S(t) + E(t)$ is a smooth decreasing function and therefore tends to a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
427 Also, the derivative of a positive decreasing function tends to zero, therefore $\eta E \rightarrow 0$.
428 Since, $\eta > 0$ then $E \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $E_\infty = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta \int_0^\infty E(t)dt &= \int_0^\infty (S(t) + E(t))'dt \\ \hat{E} &= \frac{1}{\eta} \int_\infty^0 (S(t) + E(t))'dt \\ \hat{E} &= \frac{1}{\eta} (S_0 + E_0 - S_\infty - E_\infty) \\ \hat{E} &= \frac{1}{\eta} (S_0 - S_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

429 We also would have $S'(t) + E'(t) + A'(t) = (S(t) + E(t) + A(t))' = -\alpha A \leq 0$. The
430 function $S + E + A$ is a smooth decreasing function and therefore tends to a limit as
431 $t \rightarrow \infty$. Also, the derivative of a positive decreasing function tends to zero, therefore
432 for $\alpha > 0$ we have that $A \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_0^\infty A(t)dt &= \int_\infty^0 (S(t) + E(t) + A(t))'dt \\ \hat{A} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (S_0 + E_0 + A_0 - S_\infty - E_\infty - A_\infty) \\ \hat{A} &= \frac{\eta}{\eta\alpha} (S_0 - S_\infty) + \frac{A_0}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

433 In the same way, we have $S'(t) + E'(t) + A'(t) + I'(t) = (S(t) + E(t) + A(t) + I(t))' =$
434 $\alpha(1 - q_1)A - (\gamma + \varphi)I \leq 0$. The function $S + E + A + I$ is a smooth decreasing function

and therefore tends to a limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Also, the derivative of a positive decreasing function tends to zero, therefore $(\gamma + \varphi)I \rightarrow (1 - q_1)\alpha A$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma + \varphi) \int_0^\infty I(t) dt &= (1 - q_1)\alpha \int_0^\infty A(t) dt \\ \hat{I} &= \frac{\alpha\eta}{\eta(\gamma + \varphi)} \hat{A} \\ \hat{I} &= \frac{\eta}{\eta(\gamma + \varphi)} (S_0 - S_\infty) + \frac{A_0}{\alpha(\gamma + \varphi)}\end{aligned}$$

Let's consider the first equation of our baseline model,

$$\dot{S} = \beta S \zeta \quad (13)$$

We could rewrite (13), as follows

$$\frac{\dot{S}}{S} = \beta \zeta \quad (14)$$

Integrating, from 0 to infinity, the left hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\dot{S}}{S} &= \int_0^\infty [\ln(S(t))]' dt \\ &= \ln(S_\infty) - \ln(S_0) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{S_\infty}{S_0}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Now let's integrating, from 0 to infinity, the right hand side, we assume (11) to have a constant denominator and then only replace each integral by $\hat{E}$, $\hat{A}$, and $\hat{I}$.

$$\begin{aligned}-\beta \int_0^\infty \zeta dt &= \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A}{S + E + A + I + R} dt \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N_f} \int_0^\infty (I + \varepsilon_1 A) dt \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N_f} (\hat{I} + \varepsilon_1 \hat{A}) \\ &= \frac{\beta(S_0 - S_\infty)}{N_f} \left(\frac{(1 - q_1)\eta}{\eta(\gamma + \varphi)} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \eta}{\eta \alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{(S_0 - S_\infty)}{N_f} \left(\frac{\beta(1 - q_1)\eta}{\eta(\gamma + \varphi)} + \frac{\beta \varepsilon_1 \eta}{\eta \alpha} \right) + \frac{\beta A_0}{N_f \alpha (\gamma + \varphi)}\end{aligned}$$

Where, we could substitute

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\beta \eta \varepsilon_1}{\eta \alpha} + \frac{(1 - q_1) \beta \eta}{\eta(\gamma + \varphi)}$$

Therefore, substituting N_f according to (11). Also, considering

$$s_\infty := \frac{S_\infty}{S_0} \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 := \frac{A_0}{S_0},$$

440 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \int_0^\infty \frac{I + \varepsilon_1 A}{S + E + A + I + R} dt &= -\frac{(S_0 - S_\infty)}{(1-p)S_0} \mathcal{R}_0 - \frac{\beta A_0}{(1-p)(\gamma + \varphi)\alpha S_0} \\ &= -\frac{\mathcal{R}_0}{(1-p)} (1 - s_\infty) - \frac{\beta a_0}{(1-p)(\gamma + \varphi)\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

Then, the final epidemic size will be given implicitly by

$$\ln(s_\infty) = -\left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_0}{(1-p)} (1 - s_\infty) + \frac{\beta a_0}{(1-p)(\gamma + \varphi)\alpha}\right] \quad (15)$$

Let's define

$$y = 1 - s_\infty \quad (16)$$

Therefore, by (16), the expression (15) is equivalent to

$$y = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{R}_0}{(1-p)} y\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\beta a_0}{(1-p)(\gamma + \varphi)\alpha}\right) \quad (17)$$

441 Therefore, by numerical approximations (taking $q_3 = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.6237$, $A_0 = 1$, and
442 the other parameters as in Table 2) we could obtain that the final epidemic size will
443 be $s_\infty = 10^{-6}$, i.e. $S_\infty = 10^{-6} \cdot S_0$.

444 D Appendix

445 D.1 Uncertainty in parameter estimates




Figure 9: Histogram plot for the mobility rate of Guayas (a) and Manabi (b) provinces, generated from the equation ?? by varying the number of inter-provincial buses available in each province and the number of people such buses transport in a day.




Figure 10: Histogram plot for the mobility rate of El Oro (a) and Santo Domingo (b) provinces, generated from the equation ?? by varying the number of inter-provincial buses available in each province and the number of people such buses transport in a day.




Figure 11: Histogram plot for the mobility rate of Lor Rios (a) and Sucumbios (b) provinces, generated from the equation ?? by varying the number of inter-provincial buses available in each province and the number of people such buses transport in a day.




Figure 12: Histogram plot for the mobility rate of Pichincha (a) and Azuay (b) provinces, generated from the equation ?? by varying the number of inter-provincial buses available in each province and the number of people such buses transport in a day.

446 D.2 Results Exponential Growth Fitting

Table 9: Generalized growth fitting (Model 9) results for the cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in Ecuador and 8 of its most affected provinces

Province / Country	λ	A	m	p	RSE	X_0
Ecuador	3.72	2.18	2.21	0.55	405.60	5.59
Guayas	2.62	3.03	2.33	0.57	355.80	13.26
Manabi	0.40	1.06	3.34	0.70	13.09	1.22
El Oro	0.39	0.65	3.56	0.72	9.29	0.21
Santo Domingo	0.26	1.00	3.27	0.69	2.95	1.00
Los Rios	0.93	1.00	1.97	0.49	11.52	1.00
Sucumbios	0.78	1.00	1.14	0.13	4.62	1.00
Pichincha	1.31	1.00	2.13	0.53	20.15	1.00
Azuay	0.71	1.00	2.10	0.52	9.45	1.00

Table 10: Exponential Fitting results for total confirmed deaths in Ecuador and 7 of its most affected provinces.

Province / Country	Exponential Fitting Confirmed Deaths			Confidence Interval for λ ($CI = 95\%$)	
	I_0	λ	SQR	2.5%	97.5%
Guayas	2	0.1571	0.9285	0.1419	0.1723
Manabi	1	0.2231	0.9226	0.1930	0.2533
El Oro	1	0.1370	0.9700	0.1266	0.1474
Santo Domingo	1	0.1545	0.9138	0.1244	0.1845
Los Rios	1	0.0827	0.8759	0.0693	0.0961
Pichincha	1	0.1333	0.9475	0.1200	0.1465
Azuay	1	0.0976	0.7182	0.0675	0.1277
Ecuador	2	0.2328	0.9422	0.1651	0.1962

447 D.3 Results Generalized Growth Fitting

Table 11: Generalized growth fitting (Model 9) results for the cumulative deaths of Covid-19 in Ecuador and 8 of its most affected provinces

Province / Country	λ	A	m	p	RSE	X_0
Ecuador	0.58	1.00	2.93	0.66	15.17	1.00
Guayas	0.68	1.00	2.14	0.53	14.79	1.00
Manabi	0.10	1.00	453.50	1.00	5.87	1.00
El Oro	0.11	1.00	71.24	0.99	2.39	1.00
Santo Domingo	0.10	1.00	2.36	0.58	2.31	1.00
Pichincha	0.10	1.00	730.80	1.00	3.06	1.00

448 E Epidemiological Results

449 E.1 Compartmental COVID-19 Model Results




Figure 13: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), cumulative deaths (b), number of new infections (c), ratio asymptomatic/symptomatic (d), number of new recovered asymptomatic individuals (e) and cumulative recovered asymptomatic individuals (f) predicted by the model. These simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the quarantine rate (ρ) between 0.001 (10 of each 10000 influenced susceptible individuals go to quarantine) and 0.0002. The legend specifies the values for the quarantine rates that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 14: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), cumulative deaths (b), number of new infections (c), ratio asymptomatic/symptomatic (d), number of new recovered asymptomatic individuals (e) and cumulative recovered asymptomatic individuals (f) predicted by the model. These simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the symptomatic infectious quarantine rate (γ_J) between 1/3 (people showing COVID-19 symptoms are sent into isolation within 3 days) and 1/10. The legend specifies the values for the quarantine rates that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 15: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), cumulative deaths (b), number of new infections (c), ratio asymptomatic/symptomatic (d), number of new recovered asymptomatic individuals (e) and cumulative recovered asymptomatic individuals (f) predicted by the model. These simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the proportion of symptomatic infectious people that are not strictly isolated (l_2) between 0.1 (10 % of the symptomatic infectious people are not strictly isolated) and 0.5. The legend specifies the values for the studied parameter that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.




Figure 16: Time series plot of the number of hospitalizations (a), cumulative deaths (b), number of new infections (c), ratio asymptomatic/symptomatic (d), number of new recovered asymptomatic individuals (e) and cumulative recovered asymptomatic individuals (f) predicted by the model. These simulations were done for Guayas province with the parameters values presented in Table 2 and varying the infectiousness of hospitalized individuals (ϵ_2) between 0.1 (hospitalized individuals are only 10 % infectious as compared with those symptomatic individuals that have not been detected) and 1. The legend specifies the values for the studied parameter that produce the corresponding curves, considering the explained meaning of such values. The horizontal line represents the hospitals capacity in the province.

Table 12: Number of days necessary to eliminate the epidemic in each province of Ecuador, according to Model 2.2.2, depending on the fraction of population sent into quarantine (ρ)

ρ	Guayas	Manabi	El Oro	Santo Domingo	Los Rios	Sucumbios	Pichincha	Azuay
1	44.2	30.6	33.30	30	46.2	30	39.4	30.6
0.1	63.6	69.5	72.6	68.8	58.2	67.6	62.9	69.5
0.01	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0.001	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0.0001	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0.00001	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
0.000001	X	313.2	226.8	248.7	291.7	243.2	X	262.4
0.0000001	252.7	261.2	221.7	243.8	264.9	240.3	259	251.1

Figure 17 shows the results obtained after simulating the model presented in 2.2.2 with the parameters described in 2 and the fraction of population sent into quarantine $\rho = 0.1$ besides considering a limited number of ICU beds according to the data corresponding to each province.




Figure 17: Simulation of the complete model without mobility (Model 2.2.2), considering limited number of ICU beds for two of the most affected provinces, for two of the most affected provinces