	н	
	I	
-	-	

2	Title: Total testosterone is not associated with lean mass or handgrip strength in pre-
3	menopausal females: findings from the NHANES
4	
5	Short title: Androgens, lean mass and strength in females
6	
7	Authors: Sarah E. Alexander, Gavin Abbott, Brad Aisbett, Glenn D. Wadley, Jill A. Hnatiuk*
8	and Séverine Lamon*
9	*Authors contributed equally
10	
11	Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of Exercise and Nutrition
12	Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia.
13	
14	Total References: 49
15	
16	Corresponding Author:
17	Dr Séverine Lamon, PhD; Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of
18	Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, 221 Burwood Hwy, Burwood 3125,
19	Australia. ph (+61 3) 9244 5571
20	Email: severine.lamon@deakin.edu.au

## 21 Abstract

Testosterone is a naturally occurring hormone that has been positively associated with lean mass and strength in males. Whether endogenous testosterone is related to lean mass and strength in females is unknown.

25 Objective: To examine the relationship between endogenous testosterone concentration and 26 lean mass and handgrip strength in healthy, pre-menopausal females.

Methods: Secondary data from the 2013-2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination
Survey (NHANES)were used. Females were aged 18-40 (n=753, age 30 ± 6 yr, mean ± SD)
and pre-menopausal. Multivariate linear regression models were used to examine associations
between total testosterone, height-adjusted lean mass and handgrip strength.

Results: Mean  $\pm$  SD testosterone concentration was  $1.0 \pm 0.6$  nmol·L<sup>-1</sup> and mean free androgen index (FAI) was  $0.02 \pm 0.02$ . Mean fat-free mass index (FFMI) was  $16.4 \pm 3.0$  kg·m<sup>-2</sup> and mean handgrip strength was  $61.7 \pm 10.5$  kg. In females, testosterone was not associated with FFMI ( $\beta$ =0.08; 95%CI: -0.02, 0.18; p=0.11) or handgrip strength ( $\beta$ =0.03; 95%CI: -0.11, 0.17; p=0.67) in a statistically significant manner. Conversely, FAI was positively associated with FFMI ( $\beta$ =0.17; 95%CI: 0.01, 0.33; p=0.04) but not handgrip strength ( $\beta$ =0.19; 95%CI: -0.02, 0.21; p=0.10).

38 Conclusions: These findings indicate that FAI, but not total testosterone, is associated with 39 FFMI in females. The small coefficients however suggest that FAI only accounts for a minor 40 proportion of the variance in FFMI, highlighting the complexity of the regulation of lean mass 41 in female physiology. FAI nor total testosterone are associated with handgrip strength in 42 females when testosterone concentrations are not altered pharmacologically.

43 Key Words: women, skeletal muscle, pre-menopausal, androgens, lean mass, strength

## 44 Introduction

45 The maintenance of skeletal muscle mass and function is not only essential for health and 46 quality of life across the lifespan, it is also a determining factor of athletic performance (1). 47 Despite females representing 50% of the human population, research in the field of skeletal 48 muscle regulation and the response to exercise has been overwhelmingly performed on male 49 cohorts. Between 2017 and 2019, only 8% of all sports and exercise research was made up of 50 female-only cohorts and the majority of these tend to relate to aspects specific to females, such 51 as pregnancy, menopause or reproductive disease (2). However, male and female muscle 52 physiology differ in many ways. For example, the growth and regenerative capacity of skeletal 53 muscle vary between males and females (3). Male myocytes exhibit greater proliferative 54 capacity, while female myocytes display greater differentiation in vitro (3). There are also sex-55 specific differences in skeletal muscle morphology, where females have more 56 type I muscle fibres, while males have more type IIb muscle fibres (4). In response to resistance 57 training, females display greater fatigue resistance and a greater capacity for neural adaptations 58 when compared to males (5). These differences are driven, in part, by varying concentrations 59 of the major sex hormones, oestrogen and testosterone (3, 4).

60 The major androgen hormone testosterone is an anabolic hormone that regulates skeletal 61 muscle growth. It exerts its effects on target tissues, including skeletal muscle, by binding to 62 its specific receptor, the androgen receptor (AR) (6). Testosterone is also present in females, 63 albeit at concentrations about 10-fold lower than typical male levels (7). In females, 64 testosterone is mostly active in the regulation of the reproductive and nervous systems (7); 65 however, its role in the regulation of female skeletal muscle growth is not well understood. 66 Despite having about 10-fold less testosterone than males, females exhibit similar relative 67 strength (8) and muscle mass gains (9) as males in response to resistance training. Protein 68 synthesis and degradation rates are also similar between males and females, both at rest and

69 after resistance exercise (10). There is further evidence from mouse studies to suggest that 70 testosterone and other androgen hormones may not be necessary to reach peak muscle mass or 71 strength in females (11). Instead, growth hormone (GH), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) 72 and oestrogen may take over some of the anabolic role of testosterone in females (11, 12).

73 In untrained males, a moderate-to-strong positive relationship exists between testosterone 74 concentrations, lean body mass and muscle strength, when expressed relative to body mass (13, 75 14). In young healthy men (n=61), testosterone concentrations correlated with fat-free mass, 76 leg muscle size and strength in a dose-dependent manner when testosterone concentrations 77 were pharmaceutically manipulated for 20 weeks (14). This holds true for endogenous 78 testosterone, where men with high testosterone concentrations have more relative lean mass 79 than those with low testosterone concentrations (n=252) (13). Limited evidence about the 80 relationship between testosterone, muscle mass and muscle strength is currently available in 81 females. Administration of exogenous testosterone that raised testosterone levels by 82 approximately four-fold for 10 weeks resulted in increased lean mass and running time to exhaustion (15), but did not alter body fat percentage, VO<sub>2</sub>max or functional outcomes 83 84 including leg muscle strength and power and anaerobic power (15). The relationship between 85 endogenous testosterone and muscle-related outcomes has not been investigated using large 86 cohorts of healthy females using appropriately adjusted models.

The aim of this study was to examine cross-sectional evidence of relationships between endogenous testosterone concentrations, lean mass and handgrip strength in 18-40-year-old premenopausal females from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). It was hypothesised that there would be no associations between total testosterone and lean mass or handgrip strength in this population.

#### 92 Methods

## 93 Study Population

94 The Deakin University Human Research Ethics Committee (DUHREC) exempted this study
95 from ethics review. Information regarding the consent process of the NHANES is located at
96 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.

97 NHANES is a nationally representative, cross-sectional survey conducted in the United States 98 of America that has run annually since 1971. NHANES uses a multi-stage, stratified, clustered 99 probability sample including non-institutionalised civilians over two months of age. Further 100 information about sampling, study design and all protocols can be found at 101 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes. Briefly, NHANES consists of an initial at-home interview, 102 where trained staff ask questions with automated data collection. All participants then attend a 103 mobile examination clinic (MEC) where trained staff collect anthropometric data and 104 biological samples. This study used the cohort recruited in 2013-2014, where 10,175 105 individuals participated in the at-home interviews. Of these individuals, 9,813 participated in 106 the MEC (96%).

107 Individuals were excluded from the cohort if they were male (n=5.003) or if they were younger 108 than 18 (n=1,975) or older than 40 (n=1,949) years of age. This age range includes young to 109 middle-aged females that were not menopausal, as menopause may affect the relationship 110 between testosterone and skeletal muscle due to the significant decrease in oestrogen and sex-111 hormone binding globulin (SHBG) that occurs at this time (16). Females who were pregnant (n=63), or who had not had regular menses in the last 12 months due to menopause (n=1), 112 113 responses were excluded. Individuals with previous diagnoses of cancer (n=27), thyroid conditions (n=65) or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (n=3) were also excluded due to 114 115 the long-term effects of these conditions on skeletal muscle mass (17-19). The decision to 116 exclude individuals who reported taking anabolic steroids was made a priori, however, no

individuals in this dataset met this criterion. The final female cohort consisted of 753 pre-menopausal females aged 18-40 years.

119 **Procedures and Measures** 

## 120 Demographic and Health Information and Behaviours

121 NHANES interviewers collected information about age, race, gender, medical history, 122 reproductive health, dietary information, alcohol consumption and physical activity levels 123 about all the individuals in the household. This information was gained through standardised 124 questionnaires delivered by a trained interviewer, according to NHANES protocol, which can 125 be found at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes. Physical activity data was collected using the 126 Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ). Dietary information was collected via a 24-h recall administered by NHANES interviewers. The alcohol use variable was made from 127 128 answers to questions from the home interviews. Individuals were categorised into: <12 drinks 129 in lifetime (very infrequent), having at least one drink on one to three days per month 130 (infrequent), having at least one drink on one to three days per week (moderate), or having at 131 least one drink on four to seven days per week (frequent). Participants then attended a MEC 132 for a physical examination.

#### 133 Sex Hormone Analysis

Before arriving at the MEC, participants were randomly assigned to morning, afternoon or evening sessions. Participants in the morning sessions fasted for at least nine hours; those attending afternoon or evening sessions had no dietary restrictions. A trained phlebotomist collected blood according to NHANES protocol. Testosterone, oestrogen and SHBG were assessed via isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (ID-LC-MS/MS). The lower limit of detection (LLOD) for testosterone, oestrogen and SHBG analysis were 0.026 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, 10.987 pmol·L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.8 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, as described at

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes. The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was estimated by
multiplying the LLOD by three.

### 143 Body Composition Analysis

144 Body composition was assessed via dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) during the MEC. Scans were acquired with the Hologic Discovery model A densitometers (Hologic, Inc., 145 146 Bedford, Massachusetts), using software version Apex 3.2. Individuals were not eligible for a 147 DEXA scan if their weight or height exceeded 450 pounds (204.11 kg) or 6'5" (195.58 cm), 148 respectively. In-depth protocols DEXA located for scans are at 149 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.

150 Handgrip Strength

151 The procedures measure handgrip strength is described in detail at to 152 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes. Briefly, participants squeezed a dynamometer as hard as 153 possible with their dominant hand, in a standing position. The test was repeated on the other 154 hand, and then twice more for each hand. Exactly sixty seconds separated attempts on the same 155 hand. Combined grip strength was the sum of the largest reading from each hand and was used 156 in our final analyses.

# 157 **Data Cleaning and Manipulation**

158 Testosterone concentrations were converted to SI units (nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) by dividing all data by 159 28.818. Any hormone data that were below the LLOQ of the ID-LC-MS/MS were removed. 160 No individuals had testosterone readings below the LLOQ, 76 individuals had oestrogen levels 161 below the LLOQ and no individuals had SHBG levels below the LLOQ. Implausible hormone 162 values (pragmatically defined as values that were > mean  $\pm$  eight × SD) were also coded 163 missing. One female had testosterone levels over 19 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, one female had a SHBG value

164 of over 1000 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, and three females had oestrogen readings over 700 pg·mL<sup>-1</sup>; all of these 165 values were accordingly coded as missing.

Total physical activity levels were calculated according to NHANES and Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) guidelines. The average minutes per week was calculated for each discrete physical activity domain (vigorous or moderate work, transport and vigorous or moderate leisure time) and converted to metabolic equivalents (METS). Vigorous activity was classified at eight METS and moderate or transport physical activity was classified as four METS, as per NHANES protocol. The domain-specific MET scores were then summed to generate a total physical activity measurement in MET-minutes per week.

173 Height-adjusted lean mass, or fat free mass index (FFMI), was calculated by dividing total 174 body lean mass (excluding bone mineral content) in kg by height squared. Fat free mass (%) 175 was calculated by dividing total body lean mass (excluding bone mineral content) by body 176 mass and multiplying by 100. Upper body lean mass (UBLM) was calculated by summing the 177 lean mass for the right and left arms and dividing by height squared. This measurement was 178 used to measure the appendicular lean mass of the upper body only. This excludes organ mass, 179 which may be influenced factors including hydration status, and provides a more accurate 180 depiction of muscle mass of the upper body (20). Lower body lean mass (LBLM) was 181 calculated by summing the lean mass for the right and left legs and dividing by height squared. Free androgen index (FAI) was calculated by dividing total testosterone (nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) by SHBG 182 183  $(nmol \cdot L^{-1})$  and multiplying by 100.

All independent (total testosterone, FAI, SHBG) and dependent (FFMI, UBLM, LBLM, handgrip strength) variables were standardised by calculating the z-score for each variable (mean=0, SD=1). Standardised variables were used for subsequent analyses, allowing for estimation of the magnitude of any significant relationship.

#### 188 Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed with Stata software version 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and accounted for the complex survey design and stratification employed by NHANES by using the appropriate sample design variables (strata and primary sampling unit). The one-day dietary weighting scheme was applied to account for oversampling of different populations and yield estimates representative of the US population, according to NHANES data analysis guidelines, found at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes. This scheme was chosen as it relates to the smallest sampling unit, as per NHANES protocol.

Missing data were examined, and no patterns of missing data were identified. Under a missing at random assumption, multiple imputation by chained equations with predictive mean matching (using five nearest neighbours) was used to handle the missing data. Thirty imputations were used, based on 30% of participants having at least one missing data point for the study variables. All the analysis variables were used in the imputation model, with no additional auxiliary variables.

202 Multiple linear regression was performed to examine the relationship between testosterone 203 (independent variable) and handgrip muscle strength and lean mass (dependent variables) 204 separately. Initial models include both linear and quadratic terms for testosterone, to account 205 for potential curvilinear relationships. If there was insufficient evidence of a quadratic effect 206 (p-values > 0.1), a linear model (with no quadratic effect) was tested. Covariates included in 207 the analysis were: physical activity, ethnicity, female hormone use, oestrogen, SHBG, age, 208 body fat percentage, alcohol consumption and the examination session to which each 209 participant was assigned (morning, afternoon or evening; to account for the diurnal variation 210 and the effects of fasting on testosterone concentrations and handgrip strength) (21). Dietary 211 protein, vitamin C and D and magnesium intake were also accounted for as previous studies 212 have shown relationships between lean mass and dietary protein, vitamin C and D and

magnesium intake in females (22-25). Collinearity was assessed by variance inflation factors, with a threshold of three set. No variables suggested inappropriate collinearity. All data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Statistical significance was set at *p*<0.05. All exposure and outcome variables were standardised to assess the effect size of any significant relationships. Coefficients <0.2 were considered 'small' effects, 0.2<0.5 were considered 'medium' effects, and 0.5<0.8 were considered 'large' effects.

## 219 **Results**

Our final cohort consisted of 753 females aged 18-40 years, with a mean age of 30 years. Mean testosterone concentration was 1.0 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup> (range 0.1 to 5.3), mean FFMI was 16.4 kg·m<sup>-2</sup>

221 testosterone concentration was 1.0 millior L (range 0.1 to 5.5), mean 11 mil was 10.4 kg/m

222 (range 10.6 to 30.5) and mean combined handgrip strength was 61.7 kg (range 22.6 to 99.7).

Over two-thirds (68.6%) of participants had taken female hormones throughout their life, either
for contraception or other uses. The full characteristics of the study population are shown in

- 225 Table 1.
- Table 1. Weighted characteristics of included females. Values are mean ± standard deviation.
  n= 753

Variable	Mean ± SD	Range
	(n=753 females)	(min-max)
Age (years)	$29.6 \pm 6.4$	18-40
Ethnicity (%)		
Non-Hispanic White	54.3	
Non-Hispanic Black	14.6	
Non-Hispanic Asian	7.1	
Other Non-Hispanic	3.3	
Mexican Hispanic	12.7	

Other Hispanic	8.0	
Height (cm)	$162.7\pm 6.8$	143 – 184
Weight (kg)	75.5 ± 22.0	37.6- 184.8
BMI (kg·m <sup>2</sup> )	28.5 ± 7.7	16.1 - 60.9
Fat Free Mass Index (FFMI; kg·m <sup>2</sup> )	16.4 ± 3.0	10.6 - 30.5
Height-adjusted upper body lean mass		
(UBLM; kg⋅m <sup>2</sup> )	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	1.0 - 3.3
Height-adjusted lower body lean mass		
Theight-augusted lower body tean mass	$5.2 \pm 1.1$	3.0 - 11.4
$(LBLM; kg \cdot m^2)$		
Fat Free Mass (%)	59.3 ± 5.6	45.6 - 77.3
Fat percentage (%)	37.7 ± 6.1	18.8 - 52.8
[Testosterone] (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.0 \pm 0.6$	0.1 - 5.3
[Oestrogen] (pg·mL <sup>-1</sup> )	94.0 ± 79.3	9.0 - 51.0
[SHBG] (nmol· $L^{-1}$ )	81.4 ± 62.0	8.9 - 452.3
Free Androgen Index (FAI)	$0.02\pm0.02$	0.001 - 0.18
Combined handgrip strength (kg)	61.7 ± 10.5	22.6 - 99.7
Protein intake (g·day <sup>-1</sup> )	$73.0\pm34.0$	3.0 - 296.2
Total vitamin C intake (mg·day <sup>-1</sup> )	72.0 ± 71.4	0.0 - 796.3
Total vitamin D intake (mcg·day <sup>-1</sup> )	4.0 ± 5.4	0.0 - 62.4
Total magnesium intake (mg·day <sup>-1</sup> )	262.1 ± 137.5	36 - 2725
Female hormone use (%)		
No	32.0	
Yes	68.0	

Average total physical activity (MET-min/week)	3 347 ± 5 636	0 – 45 600
Time of venepuncture (%)		
Morning (fasted)	45.3	
Afternoon	29.8	
Evening	25.0	
Alcohol Consumption (%)		
<12 drinks in life	9.6	
$\geq$ 1 drink on 1-3 days/month	39.6	
$\geq 1$ drink on 1-3 days/week	40.2	
$\geq 1$ drink on 4+ days/week	10.6	

228

229 In our cohort, there was no evidence of quadratic effects of total testosterone on total FFMI, 230 UBLM, LBLM or handgrip strength (all *p*-values>0.1). There were also no significant linear 231 effects of total testosterone on FFMI, UBLM, LBLM or handgrip strength. These results are 232 shown in Table 2. Conversely, FAI, a measure of testosterone that is not bound to SHBG and 233 may be therefore considered the 'free' portion of testosterone, was positively linearly 234 associated with FFMI ( $\beta$ =0.17; p=0.041) and UBLM ( $\beta$ =0.19; p=0.015. There was no evidence 235 of linear effects of FAI on LBLM or handgrip strength, nor was there evidence of quadratic 236 effects of FAI on any variable. The linear effects of FAI on FFMI, UBLM, LBLM and handgrip strength are presented in Table 3. 237

- Table 2. Linear effect of total testosterone (nmol· $L^{-1}$ ) on fat free mass index (FFMI) (kg·m<sup>-2</sup>),
- 239 upper body lean mass (UBLM) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ), lower body lean mass (LBLM) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ) or combined
- 240 *handgrip strength (kg) in 18-40 year old females (n=753)*

Variable (linear term)	β (95% CI)	р
FFMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.08 (-0.02, 0.18)	0.113
UBLM (kg $\cdot$ m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.10 (-0.02, 0.21)	0.092
LBLM (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.07 (-0.02, 0.17)	0.130
Combined handgrip strength (kg)	0.03 (-0.11, 0.17)	0.666

241

- 242 Table 3. Linear effect of free androgen index (FAI) on fat free mass index (FFMI) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ),
- 243 upper body lean mass (UBLM) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ), lower body lean mass (LBLM) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ) or combined
- 244 *handgrip strength (kg) in 18-40 year old females (n=753)*

Variable (linear term)	β (95% CI)	р
FFMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.17 (0.01, 0.33)	0.041
UBLM (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.19 (0.05, 0.33)	0.015
LBLM (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.12 (-0.04, 0.28)	0.117
Combined handgrip strength (kg)	0.09 (-0.02, 0.21)	0.100

245

Although it was originally included only as a covariate and not a primary outcome of this study,
the observed positive relationships between FAI and FFMI and ULM led us to conduct further
analyses on sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG). In females, SHBG was negatively linearly

associated with FFMI ( $\beta$ =-0.12; *p*=0.013) and UBLM ( $\beta$ =-0.12; *p*=0.009) (Table 4). In addition, there was a trend (*p*=0.054) for SHBG to be linearly associated with LBLM ( $\beta$ =-0.08), but this did not reach statistical significance. SHBG was not associated with combined handgrip strength in a linear model, and there was no evidence of a quadratic effect of SHBG on any of the dependent variables.

- 254 Table 4. Linear effects of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) (nmol·L-1) on fat free mass
- 255 index (FFMI) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ), upper body lean mass (UBLM) ( $kg \cdot m^{-2}$ ), lower body lean mass (LBLM)
- $(kg \cdot m^{-2})$  or combined handgrip strength (kg) in 18-40 year old females (n=753)

Variable (linear term)	B (95% CI)	р
FFMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	-0.12 (-0.21, -0.03)	0.013
UBLM (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	-0.12 (-0.21, -0.04)	0.009
LBLM (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	-0.08 (-0.17, 0.00)	0.054
Combined handgrip strength (kg)	-0.02 (-0.12, 0.08)	0.692

# 257

Oestrogen may reduce muscle protein breakdown and increase muscle sensitivity to anabolic stimuli in females (12). We therefore explored the possibility of a relationship between oestrogen and FFMI, UBLM, LBLM and handgrip strength. However, there was no evidence for linear of quadratic effects of oestrogen on FFMI, UBLM, LBLM or handgrip strength (all p-values>0.1).

### 263 Discussion

Despite the essential role of skeletal muscle for whole-body movement and metabolism, our understanding of the role of testosterone in muscle mass and strength has been mostly gained from male-only cohorts, warranting female-specific investigations. In line with our hypothesis,

data from pre-menopausal women (aged 18-40 years) of the NHANES dataset indicate that
total testosterone is not associated with FFMI or handgrip strength in females. To our
knowledge, this relationship has not been tested in a large, representative population of healthy,
pre-menopausal women before.

271 Previous, smaller studies have suggested that total testosterone is not related to lean mass in 272 young females. Total testosterone was not associated with lean mass in healthy 18-40 year old females (n=185) (26). Furthermore, in lean women (BMI approximately 22 kg·m<sup>-2</sup>) aged 273 17-21 years with ovarian hyperandrogenism, defined by amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea and/or 274 hirsutism, lean mass was significantly reduced when compared to healthy controls 275 276 (n=22/group) (27). This finding was replicated in a small cohort of lean (BMI <25 kg·m<sup>-2</sup>) 277 women aged 18-30 suffering from polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS; n=10/group) (28). 278 PCOS is the most common cause of hyperandrogenism in women and affects as much as 4-279 10% of reproductive-aged women (29) and 20-37% of elite female athletes (30). PCOS 280 participants had significantly lower lean mass than their weight and BMI-matched, healthy 281 counterparts, despite having higher testosterone concentrations and similar levels of SHBG, 282 oestradiol, follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinising hormone (n=10/group) (28). In 283 contrast, a small study observed a positive association between FFMI and total testosterone in 284 young women with PCOS (n=48) (31), where only subjects with a FFMI above 14 kg $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup> 285 displayed significantly higher total testosterone (31). Of importance, this model was not 286 adjusted for potential confounders such as SHBG, physical activity or diet, all of which may 287 affect lean mass (22-26).

*In vivo*, testosterone exists either 'free' and unbound, or bound to proteins, such as albumin or SHBG (32). It was historically assumed that only the 'free' form of testosterone was able to exert its effects on cells. There is however evidence suggesting that protein-bound steroids can also activate anabolic pathways, such as the Akt/mTOR pathway in rat myocytes *in vitro* (33).

292 Protein-bound sex steroids can also be internalised by cells via endocyctosis in female and 293 male mice, suggesting that they may be biologically active (34). In population studies, the free 294 proportion of androgens is more commonly related to muscle mass and strength in males and 295 females than the total concentration (35). In line with the existing literature, our results 296 provided evidence for a positive association between FAI, defined as the ratio between total 297 testosterone and SHBG levels, and FFMI and UBLM. FAI has been previously positively 298 associated with lean mass in 18-40 year old females (n=95 PCOS patients, 90 healthy controls) 299 (26); a relationship that dissipated when the model was adjusted for insulin (26). Insulin may 300 mediate SHBG levels by decreasing hepatic SHBG production (36), thereby influencing the 301 FAI and its association with lean mass in females. Women suffering from PCOS with high 302 insulin and IGF-1, another anabolic hormone, consistently display low SHBG concentrations 303 (37-40). One limitation of our model is that it was not adjusted for insulin or IGF-1, as insulin 304 was only measured in females who took part in the morning session of the MEC (constituting 305 less than half of our cohort) and IGF-1 was not measured by NHANES at all; a constraint to 306 bear in mind when interpreting our findings. In line with these results, we also found evidence 307 of a negative relationship between SHBG and FFMI and UBLM. Taken together, our results 308 and others (26) suggest that, in young women either healthy or suffering from PCOS (n=95), 309 the regulation of lean mass in pre-menopausal women may be more strongly mediated by 310 SHBG, via its capacity to bind to testosterone, than by total testosterone itself. Evidence 311 however suggests that SHBG may be more than simply a transport protein (34, 41). Indeed, a 312 SHBG receptor exists on the membrane of rat skeletal muscle (41). Upon ligand binding, this 313 activates cAMP as a secondary messenger to mediate the actions of androgens on their target 314 cells (41, 42). SHBG might therefore also mediate the actions of steroids *in vivo* and provides 315 interesting opportunities for future research. While FAI and SHBG were associated with FFMI 316 and UBLM, the small coefficients ( $\beta$ -values <0.2) should however be kept in mind as they

suggest that only a minor proportion of the variability of FFMI and UBLM can be explained
by steroid concentrations. This highlights the complexity of human physiology, where a myriad
of different internal and external factors influence muscle health.

320 Interestingly, our results indicated an association between FAI and SHBG and UBLM, but not 321 LBLM. In males, AR protein expression is greater in upper body musculature, when compared 322 to lower body (43). It is unknown whether females exhibit this same differential expression, 323 which may suggest that upper body appendicular musculature is more sensitive to androgens 324 than lower body musculature. Indeed, previous research showed a negative relationship 325 between testosterone and trunk:leg muscle ratio and a positive relationship between 326 testosterone and trunk: leg fat ratio in young women (aged 20-38 years) with PCOS (n=67) (44). 327 This suggests that higher levels of free testosterone promote an 'android' body shape, with 328 more fat and muscle deposition in the upper compartments of the body.

329 In line with our hypothesis, there was no evidence of relationships between strength and total 330 testosterone, SHBG or FAI. This is an important set of findings, as the functional capacity of 331 a muscle, measured by muscle strength, is arguably more important than muscle size in both 332 athletic and every-day circumstances. Females can exhibit significant increases in muscular 333 strength with training without a concurrent increase in muscle mass (5). This suggests that 334 neural adaptations are primary drivers of strength gains in women, rather than muscle 335 hypertrophy (5). Muscle strength may therefore be a more important determinant of athletic 336 performance than muscle mass, as previously shown in older adults (45). Our results indicating 337 no associations between markers of androgenicity and handgrip strength in females suggest 338 that, while FAI and SHBG are related to FFMI, this may not necessarily translate to muscle 339 strength and athletic ability. It should however be noted that, despite being a commonly used 340 and robust measure of overall muscular strength (46), handgrip strength is not a perfect proxy

341 for whole-body muscle strength (47) and this should be accounted for when interpreting the 342 results.

343 Recently, endogenous testosterone levels have been used as an eligibility criterion for specific 344 athletic events in female sports (48). Hyperandrogenic athletes (defined as females with 345 testosterone levels over five nmol· $L^{-1}$  (49)) are banned from competing in specific athletic 346 running events on the bases of their naturally-occurring testosterone levels and the assumption 347 of a direct association with athletic performance. Our data suggest that FAI, but not total 348 testosterone, and SHBG are associated with FFMI. However, neither SHBG nor FAI were 349 associated with LBLM. This is an interesting finding in the context of the eligibility of 350 hyperandrogenic athletes for running events. Indeed, it may be argued that lean mass and 351 strength endurance of the lower body are more relevant measures than total body lean mass or 352 upper body lean mass, when considering which events these regulations affect, including 353 running events ranging 400 m to 1500 m (49). Taken together, our data and others (26-28) 354 suggest that more evidence is needed to validate such a relationship in both healthy and 355 hyperandrogenic women.

When compared to the previous literature, the current study includes an unprecedentedly large 356 357 sample size that is representative of the American population. Furthermore, the models used in 358 this study have been adjusted for a number of covariates that can influence lean mass in 359 females, which constitutes a strength of our analyses when compared to previous research. 360 Using FFMI and FAI in our models also provides a more physiologically relevant picture of 361 the relationship between androgens, muscle mass and muscle strength in females. FFMI 362 accounts for the height of individuals, as opposed to lean mass as an absolute measure. Further, 363 our study divided lean mass into upper and lower body compartments. This offers new insights 364 into the differential effects of testosterone on different body compartments and suggests that

365 upper body appendicular musculature may be more sensitive to androgens than lower body366 musculature in females.

Limitations include the cross-sectional, observational data, which prevents inferring causal relationships. In addition, NHANES does not include growth hormone (GH) or insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) measures or complete insulin data. These anabolic hormones may play a role in the regulation of lean mass or muscle strength in females and should be accounted for as covariates when possible.

372 In conclusion, our data indicate that total testosterone is not related to FFMI, UBLM, LBLM 373 or handgrip strength in pre-menopausal females, suggesting that it is not a direct determinant 374 of lean mass or muscle strength in this population. Our findings also indicate a positive 375 relationship between FAI and lean mass, and a negative relationship between SHBG and lean 376 mass. When compared to the total pool, 'free' testosterone concentrations may therefore be 377 more highly associated with lean mass in females. In addition, androgens may also be more 378 highly associated with upper body lean mass compared to lower body lean mass in females. 379 Further, longitudinal or interventional research is warranted to better understand these 380 relationships.

### 381 Acknowledgements

Funding: SA is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP).
No other funding was received as part of this project.

## 384 **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors do not have any personal or professional relationships with companies or manufacturers who will benefit from the results of the present study. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM. The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
206	
390	
397	References
398	1. Perez-Gomez J, Rodriguez GV, Ara I et al. Role of muscle mass on sprint performance:
399	gender differences? Eur J Appl Physiol. 2008;102(6):685-94. doi: 10.1007/s00421-007-0648-
400	8.
401	2. O'Halloran KD. Mind the gap: widening the demographic to establish new norms in
402	human physiology. J Physiol. 2020;n/a(n/a). doi: 10.1113/JP279986.
403	3. Deasy BM, Lu A, Tebbets JC et al. A role for cell sex in stem cell-mediated skeletal
404	muscle regeneration: female cells have higher muscle regeneration efficiency. J Cell Biol.
405	2007;177(1):73-86. Epub 2007/04/11. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200612094. PubMed PMID:
406	17420291; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2064113.
407	4. Haizlip KM, Harrison BC, Leinwand LA. Sex-based differences in skeletal muscle
408	kinetics and fiber-type composition. Physiology (Bethesda, Md). 2015;30(1):30-9. Epub
409	2015/01/07. doi: 10.1152/physiol.00024.2014. PubMed PMID: 25559153; PubMed Central
410	PMCID: PMCPMC4285578.
	20

411 5. Häkkinen K, Pakarinen A, Kallinen M. Neuromuscular adaptations and serum
412 hormones in women during short-term intensive strength training. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occ*413 *Physiol.* 1992;64(2):106-11. Epub 1992/01/01. doi: 10.1007/bf00717946. PubMed PMID:
414 1555555.

Kadi F. Cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for the action of testosterone
on human skeletal muscle. A basis for illegal performance enhancement. *Br J Pharmacol.*2008;154(3):522-8. Epub 2008/04/17. doi: 10.1038/bjp.2008.118. PubMed PMID: 18414389;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2439525.

Vingren JL, Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA, Anderson JM, Volek JS, Maresh CM.
Testosterone Physiology in Resistance Exercise and Training. *Sports Med.* 2010;40(12):103753. doi: 10.2165/11536910-00000000-00000.

422 8. Gentil P, Steele J, Pereira MC, Castanheira RP, Paoli A, Bottaro M. Comparison of
423 upper body strength gains between men and women after 10 weeks of resistance training.
424 *PeerJ*. 2016;4:e1627.

9. O'Hagan FT, Sale DG, MacDougall JD, Garner SH. Response to Resistance Training
in Young Women and Men. *Int J Sports Med.* 1995;16(05):314-21. doi: 10.1055/s-2007973012.

West DW, Burd NA, Churchward-Venne TA, Camera DM, Mitchell CJ, Baker SK, et 428 10. 429 al. Sex-based comparisons of myofibrillar protein synthesis after resistance exercise in the fed 430 state. Physiol. 2012;112(11):1805-13. Epub 2012/03/03. doi: JAppl 431 10.1152/japplphysiol.00170.2012. PubMed PMID: 22383503.

432 11. MacLean HE, Chiu WSM, Notini AJ et al. Impaired skeletal muscle development and
433 function in male, but not female, genomic androgen receptor knockout mice. *FASEB J*.
434 2008;22(8):2676-89. doi: 10.1096/fj.08-105726. PubMed PMID: 18390925.

435 12. Hansen M. Female hormones: do they influence muscle and tendon protein
436 metabolism? *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2018;77(1):32-41. Epub 2017/08/29. doi:
437 10.1017/S0029665117001951.

Mouser JG, Loprinzi PD, Loenneke JP. The association between physiologic
testosterone levels, lean mass, and fat mass in a nationally representative sample of men in the
United States. *Steroids*. 2016;115:62-6. doi: 10.1016/j.steroids.2016.08.009. PubMed PMID:
27543675.

Hasin S, Woodhouse L, Casaburi R et al. Testosterone dose-response relationships in
healthy young men. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;281(6):E1172-81. Epub
2001/11/10. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.2001.281.6.E1172. PubMed PMID: 11701431.

Hirschberg AL, Elings Knutsson J, Helge T et al. Effects of moderately increased
testosterone concentration on physical performance in young women: a double blind,
randomised, placebo controlled study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54(10):599-604. doi:
10.1136/bjsports-2018-100525. PubMed PMID: 31615775.

Park SK, Harlow SD, Zheng H et al. Association between changes in oestradiol and
follicle-stimulating hormone levels during the menopausal transition and risk of diabetes. *Diabet Med.* 2017;34(4):531-8. Epub 2016/12/16. doi: 10.1111/dme.13301. PubMed PMID:
27973745; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5352524.

453 17. Baracos VE, Mazurak VC, Bhullar AS. Cancer cachexia is defined by an ongoing loss
454 of skeletal muscle mass. *Ann Pall Med.* 2019;8(1):3-12. Epub 2019/01/29. doi:
455 10.21037/apm.2018.12.01. PubMed PMID: 30685982.

18. Salvatore D, Simonides WS, Dentice M, Zavacki AM, Larsen PR. Thyroid hormones
and skeletal muscle—new insights and potential implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*.
2014;10(4):206-14. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2013.238.

459 19. Langen RCJ, Gosker HR, Remels AHV, Schols AMWJ. Triggers and mechanisms of
460 skeletal muscle wasting in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.*461 2013;45(10):2245-56. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2013.06.015</u>.

462 20. Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M et al. Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need

463 for a reference standard. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018;9(2):269-78. Epub 2018/01/20.

464 doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12268. PubMed PMID: 29349935; PubMed Central PMCID:
465 PMCPMC5879987.

466 21. Hayes LD, Bickerstaff GF, Baker JS. Interactions of cortisol, testosterone, and
467 resistance training: influence of circadian rhythms. *Chronobiol Int*. 2010;27(4):675-705. Epub
468 2010/06/22. doi: 10.3109/07420521003778773. PubMed PMID: 20560706.

469 22. Sahni S, Mangano KM, Hannan MT, Kiel DP, McLean RR. Higher Protein Intake Is
470 Associated with Higher Lean Mass and Quadriceps Muscle Strength in Adult Men and Women.
471 *J Nutr.* 2015;145(7):1569-75. Epub 2015/05/29. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.204925. PubMed PMID:

472 26019246; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4478942.

473 23. Rizzoli R, Stevenson JC, Bauer JM et al. The role of dietary protein and vitamin D in 474 maintaining musculoskeletal health in postmenopausal women: a consensus statement from the 475 European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis 476 (ESCEO). Maturitas. 2014;79(1):122-32. 2014/08/02. doi: Epub 477 10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.07.005. PubMed PMID: 25082206.

Welch AA, Kelaiditi E, Jennings A, Steves CJ, Spector TD, MacGregor A. Dietary
Magnesium Is Positively Associated With Skeletal Muscle Power and Indices of Muscle Mass
and May Attenuate the Association Between Circulating C-Reactive Protein and Muscle Mass
in Women. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2016;31(2):317-25. Epub 2015/08/20. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2692.
PubMed PMID: 26288012.

483 25. Hayhoe RPG, Lentjes MAH, Mulligan AA, Luben RN, Khaw KT, Welch AA. Cross-

484 sectional associations of dietary and circulating magnesium with skeletal muscle mass in the

485 EPIC-Norfolk cohort. *Clin Nutr.* 2019;38(1):317-23. Epub 2018/02/06. doi:

486 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.01.014. PubMed PMID: 29395373.

487 26. Carmina E, Guastella E, Longo RA, Rini GB, Lobo RA. Correlates of increased lean

488 muscle mass in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2009;161(4):583-

489 9. Epub 2009/07/17. doi: 10.1530/eje-09-0398. PubMed PMID: 19605540.

490 27. Ibáñez L, de Zegher F. Ethinylestradiol-Drospirenone, Flutamide-Metformin, or Both

491 for Adolescents and Women with Hyperinsulinemic Hyperandrogenism: Opposite Effects on

492 Adipocytokines and Body Adiposity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004;89(4):1592-7. doi:

493 10.1210/jc.2003-031281 %J The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.

Kirchengast S, Huber J. Body composition characteristics and body fat distribution in
lean women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* 2001;16(6):1255-60. Epub
2001/06/02. doi: 10.1093/humrep/16.6.1255. PubMed PMID: 11387301.

497 29. Azziz R, Woods KS, Reyna R et al. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary
498 syndrome in an unselected population. *J Clin Endcrinol Metab.* 2004;89(6):2745-9.

499 30. Hagmar M, Berglund B, Brismar K, Hirschberg ALJM, sports si, exercise.
500 Hyperandrogenism may explain reproductive dysfunction in olympic athletes. *Med Sci Sports*501 *Exerc*. 2009;41(6):1241-8.

502 31. Douchi T, Yamamoto S, Oki T, Maruta K, Kuwahata R, Nagata Y. Serum androgen
503 levels and muscle mass in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*.
504 1999;94(3):337-40. Epub 1999/09/03. doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844(99)00311-7. PubMed PMID:
505 10472855.

506 32. Burger HG. Androgen production in women. *Fertil Steril*. 2002;77:3-5. doi:
 507 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(02)02985-0</u>.

508 33. Basualto-Alarcon C, Jorquera G, Altamirano F, Jaimovich E, Estrada M. Testosterone

509 signals through mTOR and androgen receptor to induce muscle hypertrophy. *Med Sci Sports* 

510 *Exerc*. 2013;45(9):1712-20. Epub 2013/03/09. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31828cf5f3. PubMed

511 PMID: 23470307.

512 34. Hammes A, Andreassen TK, Spoelgen R et al. Role of endocytosis in cellular uptake
513 of sex steroids. *Cell*. 2005;122(5):751-62.

514 35. Khosla S. Editorial: Sex hormone binding globulin: inhibitor or facilitator (or both) of 515 sex steroid action? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006;91(12):4764-6. Epub 2006/12/07. doi:

516 10.1210/jc.2006-1990. PubMed PMID: 17148570.

517 36. Karakas SE. New biomarkers for diagnosis and management of polycystic ovary
518 syndrome. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2017;471:248-53. Epub 2017/06/19. doi:
519 10.1016/j.cca.2017.06.009. PubMed PMID: 28624501.

520 37. Conway GS, Jacobs HS, Holly JM, Wass JA. Effects of luteinizing hormone, insulin, 521 insulin-like growth factor-I and insulin-like growth factor small binding protein 1 in the 522 polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol*. 1990;33(5):593-603. Epub 1990/11/01. doi: 523 10.1111/j.1365-2265.1990.tb03897.x. PubMed PMID: 1701371.

38. Pugeat M, Crave JC, Elmidani M et al. Pathophysiology of sex hormone binding
globulin (SHBG): relation to insulin. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 1991;40(4-6):841-9. Epub
1991/01/01. doi: 10.1016/0960-0760(91)90310-2. PubMed PMID: 1958579.

39. Robinson S, Kiddy D, Gelding SV et al. The relationship of insulin insensitivity to
menstrual pattern in women with hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovaries. *Clin Endocrinol.*1993;39(3):351-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.1993.tb02376.x.

530 40. Sharp PS, Kiddy DS, Reed MJ, Anyaoku V, Johnston DG, Franks S. Correlation of
531 plasma insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I with indices of androgen transport and

532 metabolism in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol*. 1991;35(3):253-7.

533 Epub 1991/09/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.1991.tb03531.x. PubMed PMID: 1742883.

Krupenko SA, Krupenko NI, Danzo BJ. Interaction of sex hormone-binding globulin
with plasma membranes from the rat epididymis and other tissues. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.*1994;51(1-2):115-24. Epub 1994/10/01. doi: 10.1016/0960-0760(94)90122-8. PubMed PMID:
7947346.

Fortunati N, Fissore F, Fazzari A et al. Estradiol induction of cAMP in breast cancer
cells is mediated by foetal calf serum (FCS) and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG). J *Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 1999;70(1-3):73-80. Epub 1999/10/21. doi: 10.1016/s09600760(99)00092-8. PubMed PMID: 10529004.

Kadi F, Bonnerud P, Eriksson A, Thornell L-E. The expression of androgen receptors
in human neck and limb muscles: Effects of training and self-administration of androgenicanabolic steroids. *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2000;113:25-9. doi: 10.1007/s004180050003.

545 44. Douchi T, Oki T, Yamasaki H, Kuwahata R, Nakae M, Nagata Y. Relationship of
546 androgens to muscle size and bone mineral density in women with polycystic ovary syndrome.
547 *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001;98(3):445-9. Epub 2001/09/01. doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844(01)01450-8.
548 PubMed PMID: 11530127.

549 45. Kim YH, Kim KI, Paik NJ, Kim KW, Jang HC, Lim JY. Muscle strength: A better
550 index of low physical performance than muscle mass in older adults. *Geriatr Gerentol Int*.
551 2016;16(5):577-85. Epub 2015/05/29. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12514. PubMed PMID: 26017097.

Wind AE, Takken T, Helders PJM, Engelbert RHH. Is grip strength a predictor for total
muscle strength in healthy children, adolescents, and young adults? *Eur J Pediatr*.
2010;169(3):281-7. doi: 10.1007/s00431-009-1010-4.

555 47. Yeung SSY, Reijnierse EM, Trappenburg MC, Hogrel JY, McPhee JS, Piasecki M, et

al. Handgrip Strength Cannot Be Assumed a Proxy for Overall Muscle Strength. J Am Med Dir

557 Assoc. 2018;19(8):703-9. Epub 2018/06/25. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2018.04.019. PubMed
558 PMID: 29935982.

- 48. International Association of Athletics Federations. *IAAF introduces new eligibility*
- 560 regulations for female classification. [Internet]. International Association of Athletics
- 561 Federations; 2018. Available from: <u>https://www.iaaf.org/news/press-release/eligibility-</u>
- 562 <u>regulations-for-female-classifica</u>.
- 563 49. International Association of Athletics Federations. *Eligibility regulations for the female*
- 564 classification (athletes with differences of sex development)[Internet]. International
- 565 Association of Athletics Federations; 2018.