<u>High Definition transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (HD-tDCS) for chronic tinnitus:</u> <u>outcomes from a prospective longitudinal large cohort study</u>

- 1 **<u>Dr. Jacquemin Laure</u>**^{1,2}, Prof. Mertens Griet^{1,2}, Dr. Shekhawat Giriraj Singh^{3,4}, Prof. Van de
- 2 Heyning Paul^{1,2}, Prof. Vanderveken Olivier M.^{1,2}, Prof. Topsakal Vedat^{1,2}, Prof. De Hertogh
- 3 Willem
- 4 Dr. Michiels Sarah^{1,2,5}, Dr. Beyers Jolien^{1,2}, MSc Moyaert Julie¹, Prof. Van Rompaey
- 5 Vincent.^{1,2}, Prof. Gilles Annick^{1,2,6}
- ⁶ ¹ University Dept. of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck surgery, Antwerp University
- 7 Hospital, Edegem, Belgium
- ² Dept. of Translational Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Antwerp
- 9 University, Wilrijk, Belgium
- ³ Ear Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁴ Tinnitus Research Initiative, Regensburg, Germany
- ⁵ Dept. of Rehabilitation Sciences and Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health
- 13 Sciences, Antwerp University, Wilrijk, Belgium
- ⁶ Department of Education, Health & Social Work, University College Ghent, Ghent, Belgium
- 15 <u>Corresponding author:</u> Laure Jacquemin, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and
- 16 Neck Surgery, Antwerp University Hospital (UZA), Wilrijkstraat 10 2650 Edegem,
- 17 laure.jacquemin@uza.be
- 18

19

20 Abstract

Background: Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) aims to induce cortical plasticity by modulating the activity of brain structures. The broad stimulation pattern, which is one of the main limitations of tDCS, can be overcome with the recently developed technique called High-Definition tDCS (HD-TDCS).

25 **Objective:** Investigation of the effect of HD-tDCS on tinnitus in a large patient cohort.

Methods: This prospective study included 117 patients with chronic, subjective, non-pulsatile tinnitus who received six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of the right Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLPFC). Therapy effects were assessed by use of a set of standardized tinnitus questionnaires filled out at the pre-therapy (T_{pre}), post-therapy (T_{3w}) and follow-up visit (T_{10w}). Besides collecting the questionnaire data, the perceived effect (i.e. self-report) was also documented at T_{10w} .

Results: The Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) and Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ) total scores improved significantly over time ($p_{TFI} < .01$; $p_{TQ} < .01$), with the following significant post-hoc comparisons: T_{pre} vs. T_{10w} ($p_{TFI} < .05$; $p_{TQ} < .05$) and T_{3w} vs. T_{10w} ($p_{TFI} < .01$; $p_{TQ} < .01$). The percentage of patients reporting an improvement of their tinnitus at T_{10w} was 47%. Further analysis revealed a significant effect of gender with female patients showing a larger improvement on the TFI and TQ ($p_{TFI} < .01$; $p_{TQ} < .05$).

Conclusions: The current study reported the effects of HD-tDCS in a large tinnitus
 population. HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC resulted in a significant improvement of the tinnitus
 perception, with a larger improvement for the female tinnitus patients.

Keywords: tinnitus, treatment, neuromodulation, non-invasive brain stimulation, transcranial
direct current stimulation (tDCS), high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation
(HD tDCS), large cohort, gender

44

46 1. Introduction

47 Subjective tinnitus is the perception of a sound in the absence of an internal or external source, which is often perceived as sizzling, hissing or ringing (Baguley et al., 2013). 48 49 Approximately 10-15% of the adult population experiences this symptom, with 2.4% reporting 50 a considerable amount of distress and a negative impact on their quality of life (Baguley et 51 al., 2013, Axelsson and Ringdahl, 1989, Cardon et al., 2019). It is hypothesized that tinnitus 52 results from maladaptive brain plasticity following hearing damage, which involves a wide 53 network of cortical areas (e.g. central auditory system) and subcortical structures (e.g. limbic 54 system). However, the precise pathophysiology of tinnitus is not yet fully understood (De 55 Ridder et al., 2014, Baguley et al., 2013).

56 The cerebral cortex can be stimulated with transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS), 57 which is a neuromodulation technique that aims to induce cortical plasticity and modulate the 58 activity of the malfunctioning brain structures responsible for tinnitus (Langguth and De 59 Ridder, 2011). The two frequently used sites of stimulation documented in literature for 60 tinnitus relief are: Left Temporal Area (LTA) or Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLPFC) (Song 61 et al., 2012b, Fregni et al., 2006, Rabau et al., 2017, Shekhawat et al., 2013, Faber et al., 62 2012, Frank et al., 2012, Lefaucheur et al., 2017). There exists only limited evidence on the 63 neurophysiological basis of tDCS due to the involvement of multiple brain areas in tinnitus 64 and the non-focal stimulation by tDCS. It might be that tDCS of the LTA also activates 65 surrounding cortical areas which, by inhibitory connections or neural competition, decreases 66 the activity of other tinnitus-related areas (Fregni et al., 2006). Stimulation of the DLPFC, on 67 the other hand, may strengthen deficient inhibitory top-down mechanisms in tinnitus, 68 inducing auditory sensory gating in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Song et al., 2012b). 69 Moreover, a resting-state electroencephalography (EEG) study by Vanneste and De Ridder 70 (2011) showed that tDCS of the DLPFC was able to suppress tinnitus by modulating the 71 primary auditory cortex, the parahippocampus, and the ACC. Hence, tDCS of the DLPFC 72 influences the functionally connected tinnitus-related areas.

73 The effect of tDCS on the tinnitus perception was reviewed by Song et al. (2012b). They 74 found that 39.5% of the tinnitus patients responded to tDCS with a mean tinnitus intensity 75 reduction of 13.5% on a visual analogue scale for loudness (VAS). However, according to 76 Lefaucheur et al. (2017), there is level of evidence B (i.e. probable inefficacy) for anodal 77 tDCS of the LTA to relieve chronic tinnitus. In other words, the clinical effects of stimulating 78 the LTA for tinnitus are probably absent. Recommendations regarding the potential efficacy 79 of tDCS targeting the DLPFC, on the other hand, could not be made at that point, as studies 80 on this topic were limited.

81 Since there is high variability in the results with tDCS, several efforts have been made in 82 literature to identify potential clinical characteristics of participants who respond to tDCS. The 83 influence of gender was documented by Frank et al. (2012), with women having significantly 84 better response to tDCS for their tinnitus suppression. Furthermore, Vanneste et al. (2011b) 85 showed that transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) may predict therapy outcomes with 86 tDCS. Responders to tDCS also differ in the resting-state brain activity in the right auditory 87 cortex and parahippocampal area, as well as in the functional connectivity between DLPFC 88 and the subgenual ACC and parahippocampal area, as discussed by Vanneste et al. 89 (2011a). Nevertheless, these factors are not sufficient to explain the high inter-individual 90 variability in response to tDCS. Moreover, replication of these results is necessary, as most 91 studies' sample sizes are too small to find significant predictive factors.

92 The broad stimulation pattern, which is one of the main limitations of tDCS (Parazzini et al., 93 2012), can be overcome with the recently developed technique called High-Definition tDCS 94 (HD-tDCS), using multiple microelectrodes instead of large sponge electrodes. As a result, 95 the focality of HD-tDCS is increased with limited depth of penetration (Datta et al., 2009, Kuo 96 et al., 2013, Villamar et al., 2013a) and a more unidirectional modulation (Edwards et al., 97 2013, Villamar et al., 2013b). In a pilot study on HD-tDCS, it was shown that the results with 98 this technique were similar to the results with tDCS, reaching a clinically significant 99 improvement in 31% of the 39 patients on the Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) (Jacquemin et

100 al., 2018). Furthermore, HD-tDCS had some practical advantages compared to tDCS for the 101 clinician and the patients (i.e. fewer side-effects and easier to administer the stimulation of 102 the targeted area) (Jacquemin et al., 2018). This study confirmed the positive results of HD-103 tDCS indicated by Shekhawat et al. (2016), showing a reduction in tinnitus loudness or 104 annoyance of at least one point in 78% of the 27 patients. That effect was also significant 105 when compared with a sham session (Shekhawat and Vanneste, 2017). Moreover, these 106 authors indicated the safety of this electrical stimulation, with tingling, scalp pain and 107 sleepiness being the most common transient sensations experienced during the stimulation. 108 Hence, the results with HD-tDCS are promising. Yet, there is a need for studies with larger 109 sample sizes to confirm these results and detect possible associated factors for effect of HD-110 tDCS on tinnitus perception.

The primary objective of this study was to establish the percentage of responders with a large sample size. The secondary objectives were to investigate which tinnitus parameters were changing after HD-tDCS and if there were factors associated with the change in tinnitus severity. The predictive value of the following factors was analyzed: age, gender, type of tinnitus, side of tinnitus, tinnitus etiology and/or tinnitus duration.

116 2. <u>Material and methods</u>

117 2.1 Study design

This prospective longitudinal cohort study was conducted at the Antwerp University Hospital. The clinical effects of six sessions of HD-tDCS with two sessions weekly were investigated. A set of standardized tinnitus questionnaires were filled out at three time points: pre-therapy (T_{pre}) , post-therapy (T_{3w}) and follow-up visit $(T_{10w}; i.e.$ seven weeks post-stimulation). Tinnitus handicap, tinnitus severity, tinnitus loudness, the presence of hyperacusis and anxiety or depression disorders were evaluated respectively by means of the Dutch versions of the TFI, Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ), VAS, Hyperacusis Questionnaire (HQ) and Hospital Anxiety

and Depression Scale (HADS). The questionnaires were filled out on a touch-screen desktop

126 and the scoring was computerized.

Besides collecting the questionnaire data, the perceived effect (i.e. self-report) was also documented at T_{10w} by asking an open-ended question: "To which extent did you perceive a change in your tinnitus perception during and after the HD-tDCS treatment?".

130 2.2 Subjects

A total of 117 patients with chronic, subjective, non-pulsatile tinnitus met the criteria for eligibility (i.e. taking into account contraindications, such as severe brain injuries, presence of metallic devices or implants in the head, or significant skin lesions (Villamar et al., 2013a)) and were included in the present study. Patients with a middle ear pathology or patients who had another tinnitus treatment ongoing were excluded. The demographics and tinnitusrelated details of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The hearing thresholds are illustrated in Figure 1.

138 [Table 1 + Figure 1 near here]

139 2.3 HD-tDCS

140 Each patient received a total of six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of right DLPFC with two 141 sessions weekly and a minimum washout period of one day. This schedule was based on the 142 recommendations of Shekhawat and Vanneste (2018), suggesting six tDCS sessions over 143 three weeks' time. The electrodes were positioned according to the 10/20 international 144 system for EEG electrode placement, with the central anode at F4 and the adjoining 145 cathodes at AF4, FC4, F6 and F2 (Figure 2). The electrodes were silver/silver chloride 146 (Ag/AgCI) ring electrodes with a respectively inner and outer radius of 6 and 12 mm. The 147 current intensity of 2 mA was applied with a fade-in and fade-out of 20 seconds for 20 148 minutes, delivered by a battery-driven 1x1 tDCS low-intensity stimulator and 4x1 149 multichannel stimulation adaptor (Soterix Medical Inc, New York, NY), following the HD-tDCS 150 stimulation guidelines (Villamar et al., 2013a).

151 [Figure 2 near here]

152

153 2.4 Outcome measurements

154 The TFI consists of 25 questions evaluating the severity and negative impact of tinnitus, 155 covering eight tinnitus domains (i.e. intrusiveness, sense of control, cognitive complaints, 156 sleep disturbance, auditory difficulties, relaxation, quality of life and emotional distress) (Meikle et al., 2012, Rabau et al., 2014). Answers have to be given on a Likert scale from 0 157 158 to 10, resulting in a total score ranging from 0-100, with higher scores denoting higher levels 159 of tinnitus severity. It has been indicated that an improvement of 13 points or more can be 160 interpreted as a clinically significant improvement (Meikle et al., 2012). Assessing treatment-161 related changes in tinnitus is the main goal of the TFI (Meikle et al., 2012, Fackrell and 162 Hoare, 2014, Jacquemin et al., 2019).

163 The TQ is a 52-item questionnaire scoring the tinnitus severity, covering five tinnitus domains 164 (i.e. cognitive and emotional distress, intrusiveness, auditory difficulties, sleep disturbance, 165 and somatic complaints). Patients have to score each question on a three-point scale, 166 resulting in a total score ranging from 0-84, with higher scores indicating higher distress 167 levels. A clinically significant improvement has been suggested when TQ improves with 12 168 points or more (Hall et al., 2018). Assessing changes and relationships between different 169 aspects of complaint and other psychological variables to tinnitus is the main goal of the TQ 170 (Fackrell and Hoare, 2014, Hallam, 2008, Meeus et al., 2007).

The mean tinnitus loudness was scored through a VAS from 0-100 with the help of a ruler and anchored at 0 - 'no audible tinnitus' and 100 - 'extremely loud' (Adamchic et al., 2012). When the tinnitus was bilateral, the maximum score of both ears had been taken into account in the statistical analysis of the current study.

Hypersensitivity to sounds was rated with the HQ, which consists of 14 self-rating items.
Answers had to be given on a 4-point scale, with a total score of more than 28 representing
strong auditory hypersensitivity (Khalfa et al., 2002).

The HADS is a self-report screening scale for anxiety and depression disorders, consisting of 179 14 items with four answer possibilities for each question. A cutoff score of eight points or 180 more has been suggested to screen for these disorders (Spinhoven et al., 1997, Wilkinson 181 and Barczak, 1988, Zigmond and Snaith, 1983).

182 2.5 Statistical analysis

183 The current study aimed to investigate (1) the effect of HD-tDCS on tinnitus distress as 184 measured by a set of tinnitus questionnaires and (2) predictive factors for evolutions in these 185 questionnaires' outcomes. There were three repeated measurements for each individual, 186 with the exception of data missing for one individual at T_{3w} and for eight individuals at T_{10w} . 187 The first research question was investigated using linear mixed models, which included time 188 as fixed effect and a random intercept, accounting for the nonindependence between the 189 observations taken from the same individual. Either TFI, TQ, VAS, HADS or HQ were 190 entered as dependent variable. If the effect of time was significant, a post hoc analysis with 191 Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was conducted. Responders were determined by 192 calculating the change in questionnaire (i.e. Tpre - T10w). To test the second research 193 question, a similar linear mixed model was constructed, starting with a model including all 194 fixed effects and their interactions for possible predictive factors, which was simplified using 195 stepwise backward elimination with a significance level of $p \le .05$.

196 2.6 Ethics committee approval

197 The Committee for Medical Ethics of the University Hospital Antwerp approved the study198 (B300201630084). All participants gave written informed consent prior to any treatment.

199 3. <u>Results</u>

200 3.1 Questionnaires

201 The six sessions of anodal HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC resulted in a significant 202 improvement on the TFI total score (p < .01) and the TQ total score (p < .01). As illustrated in figure 3, there was high variability in the evolution of these scores from T_{pre} to T_{10w} . The TFI 203 204 indicated an improvement (i.e. a decrease in total score) in 58% of the patients with a 205 clinically significant improvement in 27%, while the TQ indicated an improvement (i.e. a 206 decrease in total score) in 53% with a clinically significant improvement in 17%. Post-hoc 207 comparisons showed significant changes from T_{pre} to T_{10w} ($p_{TFI} < .05$; $p_{TQ} < .05$) and from T_{3w} 208 to T_{10w} (p_{TFI} < .01; p_{TQ} < .01). The other questionnaires (i.e. HADS, HQ, VAS) did not change 209 significantly.

210 [Figure 3 near here]

211

To explore these significant changes in TFI and TQ in-depth, we investigated the effect on the corresponding subscales. The following TFI subscales changed significantly over time: intrusiveness (p < .01), sense of control (p < .01), cognition (p < .05), relaxation (p < .05) and emotional distress (p < .05). For the TQ, intrusiveness (p < .01), emotional and cognitive distress (p < .05) also changed significantly.

217 3.2 Self-report

Participants were asked about side-effects and perceived improvement at T_{10w} . A total of 47% reported an improvement after HD-tDCS, for example a decrease in mean and/or maximum loudness of the tinnitus or a better coping mechanism. In general, HD-tDCS was well tolerated by the patients. A total of five out of the 117 patients reported once side-effects during the stimulation, such as tingling, itching, headache, burning or feeling blurry. However, two patients reported headache complaints for a few hours after each stimulation session and one patient experienced an aggravation of the tinnitus symptoms during the treatment

and thus needed counseling afterward. The stimulation did not have to be adjusted, as theside-effects were tolerable.

227 3.3 Predictive factors

228 To find possible predictive factors, a model was constructed based on time (i.e. three 229 repeated measurements), age, gender, type of tinnitus, side of tinnitus, tinnitus etiology and 230 tinnitus duration. After correction for different intercepts between male and female patients, 231 the effect of gender had a significant influence on the evolution of the TFI and TQ over time 232 $(p_{TFI} < .01; p_{TQ} < .05)$, including the following TFI subscales: intrusiveness (p < .01), quality of 233 life (p < .01) and emotional distress (p < .01) and the following TQ subscale: emotional and 234 cognitive distress subscale (p < .01). More specifically, the female patients showed a larger 235 improvement on the TFI after HD-tDCS (figure 4). The remaining factors were removed from 236 the model due to non-significance.

237 [Figure 4 near here]

238 4. Discussion

239 To our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated repeated sessions of HD-tDCS in a 240 large sample of chronic tinnitus patients. A total of 47% of the tinnitus patients in the current 241 study reported a positive effect of anodal HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC at the follow-up visit. 242 Furthermore, the total scores of the TFI and TQ changed significantly over time, in particular 243 from pre-therapy to follow-up visit and from post-therapy to follow-up visit. The analysis of the 244 corresponding subscales showed a decrease in the intrusiveness of the tinnitus, cognitive 245 difficulties, emotional and cognitive distress and an increase in the ability to relax and sense 246 of control over the tinnitus. Moreover, the exploration of possible predictive factors showed a 247 remarkable influence of gender on the HD-tDCS effect with women experiencing a larger 248 improvement after HD-tDCS.

There is high inter-individual variability in the effects of HD-tDCS, which also has been reported in other studies investigating neuromodulation techniques (Frank et al., 2012,

251 Langguth et al., 2008, Rabau et al., 2017). This variability impedes the predictability of the 252 clinical effects for an individual in particular. Hence, this emphasizes the importance of taking 253 into account the tinnitus heterogeneity (Cederroth et al., 2019) and the determination of 254 different 'tinnitus profiles' (Van de Heyning et al., 2015). The gender difference, which was 255 also found in a tDCS study by Frank et al. (2012), may play an important role in this context. 256 Firstly, it has been shown that women report higher levels of tinnitus severity or emotional 257 reaction to their tinnitus (Dineen et al., 1997, Erlandsson and Holgers, 2001) prior to therapy, 258 which was also found in the current study. Secondly, the neural activity in tinnitus-related 259 areas, such as the ACC and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), differs between men and women 260 during emotional processing and regulation (Butler et al., 2005, Mak et al., 2009, De Ridder 261 et al., 2014). Moreover, Vanneste et al. (2012) showed that the beta activity in the prefrontal 262 cortex in female tinnitus patients is increased, with increased functional alpha connectivity 263 between the OFC, insula, subgenual ACC, parahippocampal areas and the auditory cortex. 264 These areas may be modulated by neuromodulation of the DLPFC (Keeser et al., 2011, 265 Vanneste and De Ridder, 2011). Finally, previous research also showed that effects of tDCS 266 over various brain areas may be gender-dependent in healthy subjects (Chaieb et al., 2008, 267 Boggio et al., 2008, Fumagalli et al., 2010). Overall, the influence of gender found in the 268 current study might be explained by a difference in neural activity in tinnitus-related areas 269 between men and women, which leads to various effects of transcranial stimulation of these 270 areas.

Besides analyzing predictive factors, the aim of this study was to establish the percentage of responders with a large sample size. These results showed a clinically significant improvement on the TFI in 27% of the patients, whereas a previous study with 39 participants showed a slightly larger effect in 31% (Jacquemin et al., 2018). Particularly, the current study indicated a decrease in the intrusiveness of the tinnitus, the emotional distress and the cognitive difficulties and an increase in the ability to relax and the sense of control over the tinnitus. A previous study found similar results, however quality of life was also

278 changing and intrusiveness and relaxation were not improving (Jacquemin et al., 2018). 279 Moreover, the TQ did evolve significantly over time in the current study, whereas a previous 280 study did not find a significant effect for the TQ (Jacquemin et al., 2018). In contrast to the 281 study of Shekhawat and Vanneste (2017), the tinnitus loudness did not change significantly, 282 possibly due to a different assessment of the tinnitus loudness. However, the current results 283 have to be interpreted with caution, as this study was a clinical trial without a sham-group. 284 Previous research on (HD-) tDCS included sham-controlled trials. It was shown that there 285 were no significant effects on loudness, annoyance, anxiety or depression after sham tDCS. 286 In addition, fewer longer-lasting effects were present (Shekhawat and Vanneste, 2017, Garin 287 et al., 2011, Faber et al., 2012, Song et al., 2012a). Furthermore, a delayed-start group of 288 cervical physical therapy for tinnitus did not improve on the TFI total score during a 6 weeks 289 wait-and-see period (Michiels et al., 2016), indicating no spontaneous improvement of the 290 tinnitus perception. Taken together, these results suggest that a sham group or waiting group 291 does not result in effects on the tinnitus perception. Nevertheless, studies following-up on 292 this trial should aim to exclude the placebo effect.

293 As HD-tDCS appears to be a safe and well-tolerated neuromodulation technique, this 294 technique is promising for tinnitus research. Besides the limited side-effects for patients, the 295 treatment is also easy to administer because of the 10/20 EEG cap (Jacquemin et al., 2018). 296 However, the discrepancy between the self-report (i.e. improvement in 47%) and the 297 subjective questionnaires (i.e. clinically significant improvement in 17-27%) questions the 298 appropriate outcome measure, which is especially important for future explorative studies of 299 innovative treatments. Moreover, the assessment of the therapy outcome during a follow-up 300 visit seems important, as the treatment-related changes were most salient at that point in 301 time and the influence of the act of undergoing therapy could be less. The long-term effect of 302 the HD-tDCS stimulation in the current study is in contrast with the results of previous tDCS 303 studies in tinnitus (Jacquemin et al., 2018, Shekhawat et al., 2015), suggesting that this 304 could be a beneficial effect of HD-tDCS (Kuo et al., 2013). However, it does not rule out the

possible influence of other differences in study design, such as sample size and outcomemeasures.

In the last years, tinnitus research is aiming to improve the effectiveness of tDCS. The
evolution
HD-tDCS resulted in practical advantages, but without reaching significantly better results
compared to tDCS (Jacquemin et al., 2018). Future studies should elaborate on the
predictive factors for HD-tDCS success. Moreover, the effects of simultaneous dual-site
stimulation may be explored.

313 5. Conclusions

The current study is the first to report on the effects of HD-tDCS in a large tinnitus population. A total of 47% of the tinnitus patients reported a positive effect of anodal HD-tDCS of the right DLPFC at the follow-up visit, with a larger improvement on the TFI total score for the female tinnitus patients. Future research should include a sham group and focus on increasing the effectiveness of HD-tDCS and searching for additional predictive factors.

319 Acknowledgments

320 We gratefully acknowledge the statistical support of Kristien Wouters.

321 Funding

The present research is financially supported by VLAIO (Agentschap Innoveren en Ondernemen) and a research grant from the FWO (Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk onderzoek Vlaanderen, Egmontstraat 5, 1000 Brussels) (T001916 N).

325

326

327

328 <u>References</u>

330	ADAMCHIC, I., LANGGUTH, B., HAUPTMANN, C. & TASS, P. A. 2012. Psychometric evaluation of visual
331	analog scale for the assessment of chronic tinnitus. <i>Am J Audiol</i> , 21, 215-25.
332	AXELSSON, A. & RINGDAHL, A. 1989. Tinnitus—a study of its prevalence and characteristics. British
333	Journal of Audiology, 23, 53-62.
334	BAGULEY, D., MCFERRAN, D. & HALL, D. 2013. Tinnitus. <i>Lancet,</i> 382, 1600-7.
335	BOGGIO, P. S., ROCHA, R. R., DA SILVA, M. T. & FREGNI, F. 2008. Differential modulatory effects of
336	transcranial direct current stimulation on a facial expression go-no-go task in males and
337	females. <i>Neurosci Lett,</i> 447, 101-5.
338	BUTLER, T., PAN, H., EPSTEIN, J., PROTOPOPESCU, X., TUESCHER, O., GOLDSTEIN, M., CLOITRE, M.,
339	YANG, Y., PHELPS, E., GORMAN, J., LEDOUX, J., STERN, E. & SILBERSWEIG, D. 2005. Fear-
340	related activity in subgenual anterior cingulate differs between men and women.
341	Neuroreport, 16, 1233-6.
342	CARDON, E., JACQUEMIN, L., MERTENS, G., VAN DE HEYNING, P., VANDERVEKEN, O. M., TOPSAKAL,
343	V., DE HERTOGH, W., MICHIELS, S., VAN ROMPAEY, V. & GILLES, A. 2019. Cognitive
344	Performance in Chronic Tinnitus Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study Using the RBANS-H.
345	Otology & Neurotology, 40, e876-e882.
346	CEDERROTH, C. R., GALLUS, S., HALL, D. A., KLEINJUNG, T., LANGGUTH, B., MARUOTTI, A., MEYER, M.,
347	NORENA, A., PROBST, T., PRYSS, R., SEARCHFIELD, G., SHEKHAWAT, G., SPILIOPOULOU, M.,
348	VANNESTE, S. & SCHLEE, W. 2019. Editorial: Towards an Understanding of Tinnitus
349	Heterogeneity. Front Aging Neurosci, 11, 53.
350	CHAIEB, L., ANTAL, A. & PAULUS, W. 2008. Gender-specific modulation of short-term neuroplasticity
351	in the visual cortex induced by transcranial direct current stimulation. Vis Neurosci, 25, 77-
352	81.
353	DATTA, A., BANSAL, V., DIAZ, J., PATEL, J., REATO, D. & BIKSON, M. 2009. Gyri-precise head model of
354	transcranial direct current stimulation: improved spatial focality using a ring electrode versus
355	conventional rectangular pad. <i>Brain Stimul</i> , 2, 201-7, 207.e1.
356	DE RIDDER, D., VANNESTE, S., WEISZ, N., LONDERO, A., SCHLEE, W., ELGOYHEN, A. B. & LANGGUTH,
357	B. 2014. An integrative model of auditory phantom perception: tinnitus as a unified percept
358	of interacting separable subnetworks. <i>Neurosci Biobehav Rev</i> , 44, 16-32.
359	DINEEN, R., DOYLE, J. & BENCH, J. 1997. Audiological and Psychological Characteristics of a Group of
360	Tinnitus Sufferers, Prior to Tinnitus Management Training. British Journal of Audiology, 31,
361	27-38.
362	EDWARDS, D., CORTES, M., DATTA, A., MINHAS, P., WASSERMANN, E. M. & BIKSON, M. 2013.
363	Physiological and modeling evidence for focal transcranial electrical brain stimulation in
364	humans: a basis for high-definition tDCS. <i>Neuroimage</i> , 74, 266-75.
365	ERLANDSSON, S. & HOLGERS, KM. 2001. The impact of perceived tinnitus severity on health-related
366	quality of life with aspects of gender. <i>Noise and Health</i> , 3 , 39-51.
367	FABER, M., VANNESTE, S., FREGNI, F. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012. Top down prefrontal affective
368	modulation of tinnitus with multiple sessions of tDCS of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Brain
369	Stimul, 5, 492-8.
370	FACKRELL, K. & HOARE, D. 2014. Questionnaires to Measure Tinnitus Severity. ENT & Audiology news,
371 272	22. ERANK E SCHECKLMANNI NA LANDORERE NA RURGER I KREUZER R ROERRI T. R. KLEINHUNG
372	FRANK, E., SCHECKLMANN, M., LANDGREBE, M., BURGER, J., KREUZER, P., POEPPL, T. B., KLEINJUNG,
373 274	T., HAJAK, G. & LANGGUTH, B. 2012. Treatment of chronic tinnitus with repeated sessions of
374 275	prefrontal transcranial direct current stimulation: outcomes from an open-label pilot study. J
375 276	Neurol, 259, 327-33.
376	FREGNI, F., MARCONDES, R., BOGGIO, P. S., MARCOLIN, M. A., RIGONATTI, S. P., SANCHEZ, T. G.,
377	NITSCHE, M. A. & PASCUAL-LEONE, A. 2006. Transient tinnitus suppression induced by

378	repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation. <i>Eur J</i>
379	Neurol, 13, 996-1001.
380	FUMAGALLI, M., VERGARI, M., PASQUALETTI, P., MARCEGLIA, S., MAMELI, F., FERRUCCI, R., MRAKIC-
381	SPOSTA, S., ZAGO, S., SARTORI, G., PRAVETTONI, G., BARBIERI, S., CAPPA, S. & PRIORI, A.
382	2010. Brain switches utilitarian behavior: does gender make the difference? <i>PLoS One,</i> 5,
383	e8865.
384	GARIN, P., GILAIN, C., VAN DAMME, J. P., DE FAYS, K., JAMART, J., OSSEMANN, M. &
385	VANDERMEEREN, Y. 2011. Short- and long-lasting tinnitus relief induced by transcranial
386	direct current stimulation. <i>J Neurol</i> , 258, 1940-8.
387	HALL, D. A., MEHTA, R. L. & ARGSTATTER, H. 2018. Interpreting the Tinnitus Questionnaire (German
388	version): what individual differences are clinically important? <i>Int J Audiol</i> , 57, 553-557.
389	HALLAM, R. S. 2008. TQ Manual of the Tinnitus Questionnaire: Revised and updated, London,
390 391	Polpresa Press.
392	JACQUEMIN, L., MERTENS, G., VAN DE HEYNING, P., VANDERVEKEN, O. M., TOPSAKAL, V., DE HERTOGH, W., MICHIELS, S., VAN ROMPAEY, V. & GILLES, A. 2019. Sensitivity to change and
392 393	convergent validity of the Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) and the Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ):
393 394	Clinical and research perspectives. <i>Hearing Research</i> , 382, 107796.
395	JACQUEMIN, L., SHEKHAWAT, G. S., VAN DE HEYNING, P., MERTENS, G., FRANSEN, E., VAN ROMPAEY,
396	V., TOPSAKAL, V., MOYAERT, J., BEYERS, J. & GILLES, A. 2018. Effects of Electrical Stimulation
397	in Tinnitus Patients: Conventional Versus High-Definition tDCS. <i>Neurorehabil Neural Repair,</i>
398	32, 714-723.
399	KEESER, D., PADBERG, F., REISINGER, E., POGARELL, O., KIRSCH, V., PALM, U., KARCH, S., MOLLER, H.
400	J., NITSCHE, M. A. & MULERT, C. 2011. Prefrontal direct current stimulation modulates
401	resting EEG and event-related potentials in healthy subjects: a standardized low resolution
402	tomography (sLORETA) study. <i>Neuroimage</i> , 55, 644-57.
403	KHALFA, S., DUBAL, S., VEUILLET, E., PEREZ-DIAZ, F., JOUVENT, R. & COLLET, L. 2002. Psychometric
404	normalization of a hyperacusis questionnaire. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec, 64, 436-42.
405	KUO, H. I., BIKSON, M., DATTA, A., MINHAS, P., PAULUS, W., KUO, M. F. & NITSCHE, M. A. 2013.
406	Comparing cortical plasticity induced by conventional and high-definition 4 x 1 ring tDCS: a
407	neurophysiological study. <i>Brain Stimul,</i> 6, 644-8.
408	LANGGUTH, B. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011. Neuromodulation: Introduction. In: MØLLER, A. R., LANGGUTH,
409	B., DE RIDDER, D. & KLEINJUNG, T. (eds.) Textbook of Tinnitus. New York, NY: Springer New
410	York.
411	LANGGUTH, B., DE RIDDER, D., DORNHOFFER, J. L., EICHHAMMER, P., FOLMER, R. L., FRANK, E.,
412	FREGNI, F., GERLOFF, C., KHEDR, E., KLEINJUNG, T., LANDGREBE, M., LEE, S., LEFAUCHEUR, J.
413	P., LONDERO, A., MARCONDES, R., MOLLER, A. R., PASCUAL-LEONE, A., PLEWNIA, C., ROSSI,
414	S., SANCHEZ, T., SAND, P., SCHLEE, W., PYSCH, D., STEFFENS, T., VAN DE HEYNING, P. &
415	HAJAK, G. 2008. Controversy: Does repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation/ transcranial
416	direct current stimulation show efficacy in treating tinnitus patients? <i>Brain Stimul,</i> 1, 192-
417	
418	LEFAUCHEUR, J. P., ANTAL, A., AYACHE, S. S., BENNINGER, D. H., BRUNELIN, J., COGIAMANIAN, F.,
419	COTELLI, M., DE RIDDER, D., FERRUCCI, R., LANGGUTH, B., MARANGOLO, P., MYLIUS, V.,
420	NITSCHE, M. A., PADBERG, F., PALM, U., POULET, E., PRIORI, A., ROSSI, S., SCHECKLMANN,
421 422	M., VANNESTE, S., ZIEMANN, U., GARCIA-LARREA, L. & PAULUS, W. 2017. Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS). <i>Clin</i>
422 423	<i>Reurophysiol,</i> 128, 56-92.
425 424	MAK, A. K., HU, Z. G., ZHANG, J. X., XIAO, Z. & LEE, T. M. 2009. Sex-related differences in neural
424 425	activity during emotion regulation. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> , 47, 2900-8.
425 426	MEEUS, O., BLAIVIE, C. & VAN DE HEYNING, P. 2007. Validation of the Dutch and the French version
420	of the Tinnitus Questionnaire. <i>B-ent</i> , 3 Suppl 7, 11-7.
428	MEIKLE, M. B., HENRY, J. A., GRIEST, S. E., STEWART, B. J., ABRAMS, H. B., MCARDLE, R., MYERS, P. J.,
429	NEWMAN, C. W., SANDRIDGE, S., TURK, D. C., FOLMER, R. L., FREDERICK, E. J., HOUSE, J. W.,
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

430	JACOBSON, G. P., KINNEY, S. E., MARTIN, W. H., NAGLER, S. M., REICH, G. E., SEARCHFIELD,
431	G., SWEETOW, R. & VERNON, J. A. 2012. The tinnitus functional index: development of a new
432	clinical measure for chronic, intrusive tinnitus. <i>Ear Hear,</i> 33, 153-76.
433	MICHIELS, S., VAN DE HEYNING, P., TRUIJEN, S., HALLEMANS, A. & DE HERTOGH, W. 2016. Does
434	multi-modal cervical physical therapy improve tinnitus in patients with cervicogenic somatic
435	tinnitus? <i>Man Ther,</i> 26 , 125-131.
436	PARAZZINI, M., FIOCCHI, S. & RAVAZZANI, P. 2012. Electric field and current density distribution in an
437	anatomical head model during transcranial direct current stimulation for tinnitus treatment.
438	Bioelectromagnetics, 33, 476-87.
439	RABAU, S., SHEKHAWAT, G. S., ABOSERIA, M., GRIEPP, D., VAN ROMPAEY, V., BIKSON, M. & VAN DE
440	HEYNING, P. 2017. Comparison of the Long-Term Effect of Positioning the Cathode in tDCS in
441	Tinnitus Patients. Front Aging Neurosci, 9, 217.
442	SHEKHAWAT, G. S., STINEAR, C. M. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2013. Transcranial direct current
443	stimulation intensity and duration effects on tinnitus suppression. Neurorehabil Neural
444	Repair, 27, 164-72.
445	SHEKHAWAT, G. S., STINEAR, C. M. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2015. Modulation of Perception or
446	Emotion? A Scoping Review of Tinnitus Neuromodulation Using Transcranial Direct Current
447	Stimulation. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 29, 837-846.
448	SHEKHAWAT, G. S., SUNDRAM, F., BIKSON, M., TRUONG, D., DE RIDDER, D., STINEAR, C. M., WELCH,
449	D. & SEARCHFIELD, G. D. 2016. Intensity, Duration, and Location of High-Definition
450	Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation for Tinnitus Relief. Neurorehabil Neural Repair, 30,
451	349-59.
452	SHEKHAWAT, G. S. & VANNESTE, S. 2017. High-definition transcranial direct current stimulation of
453	the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for tinnitus modulation: a preliminary trial. J Neural
454	Transm (Vienna).
455	SHEKHAWAT, G. S. & VANNESTE, S. 2018. Optimization of Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation of
456	Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex for Tinnitus: A Non-Linear Dose-Response Effect. Scientific
457	Reports, 8, 8311.
458	SONG, JJ., VANNESTE, S., VAN DE HEYNING, P. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012a. Transcranial Direct Current
459	Stimulation in Tinnitus Patients: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. The Scientific World
460	Journal, 2012, 427941.
461	SONG, J. J., VANNESTE, S., HEYNING, P. & RIDDER, D. 2012b. Transcranial direct current stimulation in
462	tinnitus patients: a systemic review and meta-analysis. <i>ScientificWorldJournal</i> , 2012.
463	SPINHOVEN, P., ORMEL, J., SLOEKERS, P. P., KEMPEN, G. I., SPECKENS, A. E. & VAN HEMERT, A. M.
464	1997. A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in different
465	groups of Dutch subjects. <i>Psychol Med</i> , 27, 363-70.
466	VAN DE HEYNING, P., GILLES, A., RABAU, S. & VAN ROMPAEY, V. 2015. Subjective tinnitus assessment
467	and treatment in clinical practice: the necessity of personalized medicine. <i>Curr Opin</i>
468	Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 23, 369-75.
469	VANNESTE, S. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011. Bifrontal transcranial direct current stimulation modulates
470	tinnitus intensity and tinnitus-distress-related brain activity. Eur J Neurosci, 34, 605-14.
471	VANNESTE, S., FOCQUAERT, F., VAN DE HEYNING, P. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011a. Different resting state
472	brain activity and functional connectivity in patients who respond and not respond to
472	bifrontal tDCS for tinnitus suppression. <i>Exp Brain Res,</i> 210, 217-27.
474	VANNESTE, S., JOOS, K. & DE RIDDER, D. 2012. Prefrontal cortex based sex differences in tinnitus
474 475	perception: same tinnitus intensity, same tinnitus distress, different mood. <i>PLoS One</i> , 7,
475 476	e31182.
476 477	VANNESTE, S., LANGGUTH, B. & DE RIDDER, D. 2011b. DotDCS and TMS influence tinnitus transiently
477 478	via a direct cortical and indirect somatosensory modulating effect? A combined TMS-tDCS
478 479	and TENS study. Brain Stimul, 4, 242-52.
473	and tend stady. Drain sciniar, 4, 242-32.

- VILLAMAR, M. F., VOLZ, M. S., BIKSON, M., DATTA, A., DASILVA, A. F. & FREGNI, F. 2013a. Technique
 and considerations in the use of 4x1 ring high-definition transcranial direct current
 stimulation (HD-tDCS). *J Vis Exp*, e50309.
- 483 VILLAMAR, M. F., WIVATVONGVANA, P., PATUMANOND, J., BIKSON, M., TRUONG, D. Q., DATTA, A. &
- 484 FREGNI, F. 2013b. Focal modulation of the primary motor cortex in fibromyalgia using 4x1-485 ring high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (HD-tDCS): immediate and delayed 486 analgesic effects of cathodal and anodal stimulation. *J Pain*, 14, 371-83.
- WILKINSON, M. J. B. & BARCZAK, P. 1988. Psychiatric screening in general practice: comparison of the
 general health questionnaire and the hospital anxiety depression scale. *The Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*, 38, 311-313.
- 490ZIGMOND, A. S. & SNAITH, R. P. 1983. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr491Scand, 67.
- 492

Gender	female: n = 20, male: n = 97
Age (T _{pre})	\bar{x} = 51 years [19 – 85 years]
РТА	$PTA_{low right} \bar{x} = 12 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 \text{ dB HL} [0 - 88 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high right} \bar{x} = 18 $
	88 dB HL]
	$PTA_{bw left} \bar{x} = 12 \text{ dB HL } [0 - 48 \text{ dB HL}] PTA_{high left} \bar{x} = 19 \text{ dB HL } [0 - 63 \text{ dB HL}]$
	dB HL]
Tinnitus-related d	etails
Duration tinnitus	\bar{x} = 6.2 years [0.5 – 34 years]
Side tinnitus	bilateral: n = 70, central: n = 18, left: n = 15, right: n = 14
Type tinnitus	pure tone: $n = 79$, noise: $n = 26$, polyphonic: $n = 12$
Aetiology	ear pathology: n = 54, spontaneous: n = 28, psychological: n = 5,
tinnitus	non-otologic: n = 5, unknown: n = 24, other: n = 1

Table 1: Demographic and tinnitus-related details of the 117 chronic tinnitus patients (PTA,

pure tone average; PTA_{low}, PTA at 0.5-1-2 kHz; PTA_{high}, PTA at 1-2-4 kHz).

- 498 Figure 1: Boxplot (min,Q1,Q3,max) of the air conduction thresholds for the right and left ear
- 499 of the 117 patients. The black solid line represents the median air conduction threshold for
- 500 each frequency. (dB HL, dB Hearing Level).
- 501 Figure 2: HD-tDCS set-up
- 502 Electrode positioning at the right DLPFC and a simulation of the current flow of anodal HD-
- 503 tDCS at the right DLPFC with Soterix HD-Explor TM 4. © Soterix Medical Inc. (HD-tDCS,
- 504 high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex).
- 505 *Figure 3:* Evolution of TFI and TQ total scores from pre-therapy to follow-up visit
- 506 Histograms representing change over time (i.e. change = Tpre T10w). (TFI, tinnitus
- 507 functional index; TQ, tinnitus questionnaire).
- 508 Figure 4: Gender difference of the evolution of the TFI total score over time
- 509 Boxplots (min, Q1, median, Q3, max). (TFI, tinnitus functional index).

510







