1

Serological Analysis Reveals an Imbalanced IgG Subclass Composition

2

Associated with COVID-19 Disease Severity

- Jennifer L. Yates¹, Dylan J. Ehrbar^{1,6}, Danielle T. Hunt^{1,6}, Roxanne C. Girardin¹, Alan Dupuis II¹, Anne
- 4 F. Payne¹, Mycroft Sowizral¹, Scott Varney³, Karen E. Kulas¹, Valerie L. Demarest¹, Kelly M. Howard¹,
- 5 Kyle Carson¹, Margaux Hales¹, Monir Ejemel², Qi Li², Yang Wang², Nicholas J. Mantis^{1,4}, Kathleen A.
- 6 McDonough^{1,4}, and William T. Lee^{1,4,7}
- 7
- ¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany,
- 9 NY, 12208 USA; ²MassBiologics of the University of Massachusetts Medical School, Boston, MA,
- 10 02126 USA; ³Department of Surgery Albany Medical College, Albany, NY,12208 USA ⁴Biomedical
- 11 Sciences, The School of Public Health, The University at Albany, Albany, NY, 12222 USA ⁵Senior
- 12 author ⁶Authors contributed equally to this work ⁷Senior Author.
- 13 *Correspondence: william.lee@health.ny.gov

14 Summary

15	COVID-19 is associated with a wide spectrum of disease severity, ranging from asymptomatic to acute
16	respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Paradoxically, a direct relationship has been suggested
17	between COVID-19 disease severity, and the levels of circulating SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies,
18	including virus neutralizing titers. Through a serological analysis of serum samples from 536
19	convalescent healthcare workers, we found that SARS-CoV-2-specific and virus-neutralizing antibody
20	levels were indeed elevated in individuals that experienced severe disease. The severity-associated
21	increase in SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody was dominated by IgG, with an IgG subclass ratio skewed
22	towards elevated receptor binding domain (RBD)- and S1-specific IgG3. However, RBD- and S1-
23	specific IgG1, rather than IgG3 were best correlated with virus-neutralizing titers. We propose that
24	Spike-specific IgG3 subclass utilization contributes to COVID-19 disease severity through
25	potent Fc-mediated effector functions. These results have significant implications for SARS-CoV-2
26	vaccine design, and convalescent plasma therapy.

27 Introduction

28 The novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the 29 causative agent of COVID-19, a disease responsible for more 1 million deaths in just a matter of months. The case incidence based on virus detection estimates 35 million cases globally to date. 30 31 However, if population-based serological surveys of SARS-CoV-2 are taken into account, the infection 32 rate of SARS-CoV-2 is likely much higher (McLaughlin et al., 2020; Pollan et al., 2020). This discrepancy highlights the variability of COVID-19 disease presentation in the human population. The 33 34 severity of disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from an asymptomatic presentation, to 35 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and death. Risk factors such as age, gender, and underlying disease are known to be associated with COVID-19 disease severity; however, a subset of 36 37 patients with severe disease are younger without obvious comorbidities. The immunological features 38 associated with severe COVID-19 disease include high levels of inflammatory cytokines, low 39 lymphocyte counts, high neutrophil to lymphocyte ratios, and increased serum proteins such as Creactive protein (CRP), ferritin, and D-dimer (Chen et al., 2020a; Giamarellos-Bourboulis et al., 2020; 40 Kuri-Cervantes et al., 2020; Mathew et al., 2020). In addition, several studies have shown that SARS-41 CoV-2-specific antibody and neutralizing titers are increased in patients who exhibit more severe 42 43 disease (Long et al., 2020; Piccoli et al., 2020). Therefore, it is important to consider that SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies may play multiple roles in COVID-19 pathogenesis, including control of viral 44 infection, disease resolution, and immunopathology. 45

The humoral response to SARS-CoV-2 is primarily directed towards the nucleocapsid (N) protein, and the spike protein that decorates the surface of the virus. The N protein is an RNA binding protein composed of an N-terminal RNA binding domain, and a C-terminal oligomerization domain that are essential for viral RNA transcription and replication (Kang et al., 2020). The spike protein is a multidomain trimeric glycoprotein composed of two distinct subunits. The S1 subunit is composed of four domains, including the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the spike glycoprotein (Wrapp et al., 2020).

52 The S2 domain forms the stalk-like portion of the full-length trimeric protein and is responsible for viral 53 fusion with the host cell membrane. Antibody responses directed at the spike protein and RBD in particular, have been identified as the main neutralizing component of the SARS-CoV-2 antibody 54 response (Chen et al., 2020b; Robbiani et al., 2020; Suthar et al., 2020). A recent study found that 55 56 distinct antibody signatures could be linked to different COVID-19 disease outcomes. Specifically, early 57 spike-specific responses were associated with a positive outcome (convalescence), while early Nspecific responses were associated with a negative outcome (death). Moreover, the Fc-associated 58 59 functions of the antibody response such as antibody-mediated phagocytosis, cytotoxicity, and 60 complement deposition were critical for disease resolution (Atyeo et al., 2020). However, little is known 61 about antibody isotypes and subclasses generated in response to SARS-CoV-2, or their role in COVID-62 19 pathogenesis. In this study, we analyzed the humoral immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in a unique cohort of 536 convalescent healthcare workers that were stratified by COVID-19 disease severity. This 63 cohort provided us with a unique snapshot of the SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody profile at 64 65 convalescence, as a window to previous disease pathogenesis. We found a significantly increased SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody response in severe COVID-19 patients when compared to patients who 66 experienced mild and moderate disease symptoms. This severity-associated antibody increase was 67 68 dominated by IgG, with a disproportionate IgG subclass response dominated by IgG3.

69 Results

70 Study Cohort

A total of 536 COVID-19 serum specimens from convalescent healthcare workers (HCW) were 71 72 received by the Wadsworth Center for SARS-CoV-2 serology testing. The sera were obtained from 73 individuals who had tested positive by RT-PCR and had illness consistent with SARS-CoV-2 infection. 74 Table 1 provides basic patient demographic information stratified by self-reported COVID-19 disease 75 severity. The gender distribution of the study cohort was bias towards females (29% male, 69% female, with 2% gender unknown) reflecting the gender disparity within the HCW. The mean age and days 76 77 post-onset of symptoms (DPO) was roughly the same in all gender categories. Approximately 10% of 78 the study cohort experienced severe disease, 40% moderate disease, 40% mild disease, with 10% 79 uncharacterized disease. The average age of each group in our cohort increased with disease severity. 80 as did the percentage of males within each group. In fact, the representation of males (65%) in the 81 severe group was more than double that of the mild group (31%), illustrating a clear gender bias in 82 COVID-19 disease severity.

Relationship of Antibody Production and Virus Neutralization Capability with COVID-19 Disease
Severity

A total of 536 serum samples were assessed for SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies using a clinical 85 86 microsphere immunoassay (MIA) to detect total antibody directed against N protein or RBD of SARS-87 CoV-2. We used the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of PE-labeled anti-human Ig to antigencoupled beads as a qualitative measure of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody abundance in donor serum. 88 89 We found that total serum antibody specific to the N protein, and RBD of SARS-CoV-2 were increased 90 with increasing disease severity (Table 1; Figure 1a). To evaluate the relationship between overall 91 antibody levels and protective antibody we measured viral neutralization using a plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) where the highest dilution of sera providing 50% (PRNT50) or 90% 92

93 (PRNT90) viral plaque reduction relative to a virus only control was reported as the neutralizing titer. 94 Both PRNT50 and PRNT90 measurements identified a concomitant increase in virus neutralizing titers with disease severity (Figure 1b). RBD-specific responses were strongly correlated with virus 95 96 neutralization, as revealed through a Spearman's correlation analysis with PRNT90 values and MFI 97 values. The correlation with PRNT90 titers was greater for RBD-specific Ig than N-specific Ig (r = 0.68) 98 and 0.53, respectively)(Figure 1c). Since the function of the RBD is host cell attachment through ACE-2 binding, our data suggests that the neutralization activity of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies in our 99 100 PRNT assay is primarily based on the ability to block viral attachment and uptake by host cells (Chen et 101 al., 2020b; Robbiani et al., 2020; Suthar et al., 2020).

102 Isotype and Antigen Distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 specific Antibody Profile Across COVID-19

103 Disease Severities

104 To better resolve the SARS-CoV-2 humoral immune response across COVID-19 severity groups, we 105 tested additional antibody isotypes and specificities in our clinical MIA assay. Positive antibody 106 reactivity was defined as 6 standard deviations above the mean MFI, as determined by a panel of 93 107 pre-COVID-19 normal human serum specimens tested against each antibody isotype/antigen 108 combination. Index value measurements were calculated by dividing the MFI by the previously 109 determined cutoff MFI. In addition to N and RBD, we included the S1 and S2 sub-unit domains as 110 antibody targets allowing us to incorporate all the potential epitopes from the Spike protein, and to 111 assess the contribution of each domain to the overall antibody response. In general, we found that 112 antibody reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 antigens differed dramatically between isotypes. As expected, IgG 113 was the dominant isotype generated in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection, with antibodies from 97-114 98% of serum specimens yielding positive recognition of all antigens and antigenic subunits tested 115 (Figure 2a, Table S1,). IgM and IgA were primarily reactive towards the Spike S1 subunit, including the RBD—with 73% and 79% positivity, respectively. The presence of antigen-specific IgM at this 116 117 convalescent time-point is notable (~ day 40), as IgM is generally considered a biomarker of acute-

phase infection. IgM and IgA with specificity to the nucleocapsid were rare, with only 22% of specimens 118 119 testing positive for each isotype, respectively. IgM antibodies with specificity for the Spike S2 subunit 120 were even more rare (5%), while IqA responses displayed a 36% positivity rate. As shown in Figure 1, 121 we observed that total SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody increased with disease severity. We next 122 examined individual isotype distributions across disease categories. There was a >1.5 fold increase in 123 IgG generated in the severe COVID-19 group as compared to mild, against all antigens tested (N, RBD, 124 S1, and S2). In addition, IgM against the N-protein had a 1.6-fold increase in the severe group, as 125 compared to mild, with little or no change in other antigens (Figure 2b). Finally, IgA generated against 126 the N-protein and Spike S1 subunit resulted in a 2.4 and 2.0-fold increase, respectively, the largest fold 127 changes observed between mild and severe disease categories. The increase in N-specific IgA is 128 consistent with the observation that exceptionally high levels of serum IgA were associated with acute 129 respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)(https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.108308). Furthermore, the 130 observed correlation of IgM and IgA specific for the N-protein increasing with disease severity is 131 reminiscent of the early N-dominated response in deceased individuals reported by (Atyeo et al., 2020). Our results illustrate that while total SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody levels increase with COVID-19 132 133 severity, this change is not equal among antibody specificities or isotypes. The increase in total N-134 specific antibody and spike-specific IgG suggests there are enhanced levels of viral antigens and corresponding increases in T cell-dependent B cell responses during severe COVID-19. 135 136 IgG Subclass and Antigen Distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 specific Antibody Profile Across COVID-19

137 Disease Severities

IgG is the classic antibody isotype involved in T cell-dependent B cell responses, resulting in durable
humoral memory. In humans, IgG can be further divided into four functional subclasses – IgG1, IgG2,
IgG3, and IgG4. Each subclass has unique properties and effector functions that are primarily driven by
the Fc portion of the antibody molecule including complement activation, Fc receptor (FcR) binding, and
serum half-life. In particular, the ability of an antibody to bind and signal through FcR on effector cells

can have profound effects on disease resolution in many models of infectious disease. Therefore, we 143 144 sought to characterize the IgG subclass usage of the SARS-CoV-2 antibody response. We found that IgG1 and IgG3 were the dominant IgG subclasses produced in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection 145 146 (Figure 3A). The responses to nucleocapsid, RBD, and S1 subunit were each dominated by IgG1 with 147 over 90% of specimens testing positive (**Table S2**). Strikingly, the IgG response toward the S2 subunit 148 was dominated by the IgG3 subclass with 94% of specimens yielding a positive result. IgG2 responses 149 were moderate, with a positivity rate ranging from 8 to 21%. Finally, IgG4 responses were especially rare with a positivity rate of 0 - 9% (Figure S1, Table S2). Next, we asked whether the IgG subclass 150 151 representation changes in relation to COVID-19 disease severity. We observed significant increases in both IgG1 and IgG3 with increasing COVID-19 severity, specific for all antigens tested (N, RBD, S1, 152 153 and S2) (Figure 3B). A small, yet significant increase in N-specific IgG2 was associated with disease 154 severity, while RBD-specific IgG2 trended downward with disease severity. The largest difference was 155 seen with the IgG3-specific response toward the RBD and S1 subunit with a 7 and 6-fold increases in 156 the mean index ratio between the mild and severe groups, respectively. Together, these results 157 highlight distinct differences in the SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody profile among individuals that experience mild, moderate, or severe symptoms of COVID-19. 158

159 Correlation of Antibody Measurements with COVID-19 Disease Severity

160 We sought to determine a minimal set of criteria that could best distinguish between individuals with 161 different disease severities without overfitting. A training subset of the data was used to create an initial ordered probit regression model that included all potentially predictive variables, including antibody 162 163 reactivities, sex, age, days post onset, and neutralizing antibody titers. Backwards stepwise selection by Akaike information criterion (AIC) was performed on this model to determine the optimal set of 164 165 features. When this model's performance was measured on a testing subset of the data, it displayed 166 higher accuracy (60%, Table S3) than both the initial all-inclusive model and any individual univariate 167 model. The combined model that best discriminates between mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19

168 includes age, RBD-specific IgG1, and S1-specific IgG3. As shown in Figure 4, the severe group 169 clusters at high IgG1 RBD, IgG3 S1, and age measurements. In contrast, the mild and moderate 170 groups cluster toward lower IgG1 RBD, IgG3 S1, and age measurements. This model suggests that 171 increased age, and Spike-specific IgG responses play important roles in COVID-19 disease severity. In 172 order to account for the confounding effects of age, a similar series of ordered probit regression models 173 were created that included age as a covariate. All variables that were significantly associated with 174 disease severity in the previous models retained their significant association with disease severity 175 except S2-specific IgG1 (Table S4).

176 Correlation of IgG Subclasses with COVID-19 Disease Severity

177 To define the contribution of each IgG subclass to the total SARS-CoV-2 antibody response, we 178 calculated a ratio based on the index value (MFI/cutoff) of each IgG subclass/antigen pair divided by 179 the IgG index value (MFI/cutoff) of the same antigen. While the S1 and RBD-specific IgG1 index ratio 180 remained constant across the severity groupings (Figure 5A), there was a significant enrichment of the RBD-specific and S1- specific IgG3 index ratio in severe patients compared to mild (>10-fold and 2-fold 181 182 increase, respectively). In contrast, a moderate yet significant decrease of the RBD-specific IgG2 index 183 ratio was observed to correlate with severity. To verify the changes in IgG subclass proportions with 184 respect to COVID-19 severity, we evaluated the relative changes in normalized group means across 185 the three severity groups. The relative change between the mild/moderate, and severe groups was 186 most pronounced for RBD-specific IgG2, and RBD/S1-specific IgG3. The group mean MFI of the RBDspecific IgG2 for patients identified with mild or moderate disease (78.3) was higher than the mean for 187 188 patients identified with severe disease (27.9). Conversely, the RBD/S1-specific mean for patients identified with mild or moderate disease (164.1/142.9) was significantly lower than patients with severe 189 190 disease (953.6/598.9). A t-test established statistically significant differences between these group 191 means (0.0004, and 0.0483/0.0320, respectively) (Figure 5B), reinforcing that divergent IgG subclass 192 responses are associated with COVID-19 disease severity.

193 A correlation network was created to examine the relationship between antibody isotypes, subclasses, 194 antigen specificity, viral neutralization, and clinical features of the HCW dataset. The network was 195 based on a comprehensive correlation matrix (Figure S2), and stringently gated on only the strongest 196 associations with a Spearman's coefficient above 0.65. As expected, S1 and RBD measurements were 197 highly correlated, as were IgG and corresponding IgG subclasses. A dominant network cluster was 198 formed between N-specific IgG, S1-specific IgG (including the RBD domain), and viral neutralizing titers (Figure 6). N-specific IgG/IgG1/IgG3 formed its own mini-cluster on the edge of the highly inter-related 199 200 IgG response to S1 and RBD. In addition, PRNT50 and PRNT90 measurements were very strongly 201 correlated with each other ($r_s = 0.848$), and the PRNT90 measurement was strongly correlated ($0.5 \le r_s$ 202 \leq 0.7) with IgG, IgG1, antibodies targeting the S1 domain and RBD of the spike protein. This cluster 203 was also significantly correlated with disease severity, as determined by ordered probit regression 204 (Table S3). IgM, IgA, and IgG2 responses to S1 and RBD, were also highly correlated, but separate 205 from the central cluster. Taken together, our results reveal a shift in the proportional Spike-specific IgG response toward the highly inflammatory IgG3 subclass (Vidarsson et al., 2014) that is linked to 206 207 COVID-19 disease severity, and not with increases in viral neutralizing activity.

208 Discussion

209 In the present study, we performed a comprehensive analysis of the serum antibody response to 210 SARS-CoV-2 in a cohort of more than 500 recovered HCW who experienced varying degrees of 211 COVID-19 severity. In general, we found that age, total SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody, and viral 212 neutralizing activity was increased in individuals who experienced severe disease. The serological profile for those who experienced severe COVID-19 was characterized by both a global increase in N-213 214 specific antibodies, as well as an increase in spike-specific IgG. The overall increase in SARS-CoV-2 215 specific antibody in severe patients may be due to increased viral loads, and thus increased antigen for driving humoral responses. Paradoxically, high-titer, neutralizing antibodies are known to be protective. 216 217 and a biomarker of immunity in many viral infections (Ng et al., 2019; Plotkin, 2010). To rectify the 218 disconnect between increased antibodies, increased neutralization, and increased disease severity, we 219 performed a thorough analysis of the IgG subclass response to SARS-CoV-2. Our analysis revealed a 220 substantial difference in the spike-specific IgG subclass composition, where a greater proportion of S1 and RBD-specific IgG3, and lower proportion of S1-specific IgG2 were associated with COVID-19 221 severity. Spike-specific IgG1, and not IgG3 was most closely correlated with in vitro viral neutralization, 222 223 leading us to the conclusion that excess IgG3 may play an inflammatory role in the pathogenesis of 224 COVID-19 in some individuals.

225 In viral infections, blockade of cell attachment, and direct viral neutralization are thought to be the 226 primary effector mechanisms through which antibodies contribute to host protection. However, 227 antibodies also facilitate other mechanisms of pathogen clearance through the conserved Fc portion of 228 the molecule. In humans, IgG1 and IgG3 are the most common subclasses elicited by viral infections (Ferrante et al., 1990). While IgG1 is the most abundant subclass in the serum, IgG3 is particularly 229 230 effective at inducing effector functions through high affinity interactions with complement proteins and 231 by activating type 1 Fc receptors. In fact, IgG3-dependent antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis 232 (ADCP), and cytotoxicity (ADCC), were shown to be critical mechanisms for vaccine-mediated

233 protection against HIV (Chung et al., 2014; Neidich et al., 2019). The importance of antibody-mediated 234 effector functions has also been shown for COVID-19 disease resolution, where Spike-specific ADCP, antibody-dependent neutrophil phagocytosis (ADNP), and antibody-dependent complement deposition 235 236 (ADCD) were enhanced in COVID-19 survivors. However, Spike-specific antibodies that primarily 237 promoted Fc-mediated NK cell activities were expanded in non-survivors, highlighting the potential for 238 potent Fc-mediated functions to exacerbate pathologic inflammation (Atyeo et al., 2020). The large proportional increase of RBD-specific IgG3 (>10-fold) in severe COVID-19 suggests these antibodies 239 240 may promote immunopathology rather than tissue repair. Therefore, we propose that an unbalanced 241 IgG response, enriched in IgG3 promotes excess inflammation, exacerbating the symptoms of COVID-242 19. Supporting our hypothesis, Lui et al. showed that anti-spike IgG promoted acute lung injury in 243 humans and macagues infected with SARS-CoV-1 through macrophage polarization to an inflammatory 244 phenotype (Liu et al., 2019). Serum from COVID-19 patients who experienced severe symptoms was 245 also shown to promote the release of disease promoting neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), although 246 the stimulating factor was not addressed (Zuo et al., 2020). Finally, both immune complexes and 247 complement pathways were shown to play a pathogenic role in IgG-mediated lung injury in pandemic H1N1 influenza infection (Monsalvo et al., 2011). Future studies are needed to address the FcR 248 249 dependence and functional differences of IgG1 and IgG3 in COVID-19 serum. Cryoglobulins are a 250 group of antibodies that precipitate in blood at temperatures below 37°C, and their presence is 251 associated with autoimmune and chronic infectious diseases with pathologic effects including vascular, 252 renal, and neurologic complications. Interestingly, IgG3 is the only IgG subclass capable of forming 253 cryoglobulins through Fc-Fc interactions (Otani et al., 2012). Determination of the glycosylation status 254 of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies is also warranted to assess the potential inflammatory nature of 255 antibodies in severe COVID-19 (Wang and Ravetch, 2019). In conclusion, we recommend that the 256 contribution of S1 and RBD-specific IgG3 to COVID-19 disease severity should be a strong consideration for both SARS-CoV-2 vaccine design, monoclonal antibody therapeutics, and 257 258 convalescent plasma therapy.

259 Limitations of Study

- 260 The size of our patient cohort enabled a robust analysis of the antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 in
- 261 individuals with self-reported mild, moderate, or severe disease. However, the addition of samples from
- the of the full spectrum of COVID-19 presentation, including asymptomatic individuals, and non-
- survivors would significantly strengthen our study. The convalescent time-point at which this study was
- 264 conducted allowed us to capture a large cohort that was with well documented clinical criteria.
- However, the late time-point (day 40 post onset) of this study weakens the predictive capacity of our
- analysis for earlier points during infection. In future studies we would like to follow a comprehensive
- 267 cohort of individuals from COVID-19 symptom onset through and beyond recovery to assess how the
- 268 early immune response influences both disease severity, and durable memory.

269 Acknowledgements

- 270 We acknowledge and thank the members of the following Wadsworth Center laboratories and core
- 271 facilities for their expert technical assistance: Diagnostic Immunology, Steven Bush, Andrea Furuya,
- 272 Theresa Lamson, Mary Marchewka, Randy Stone, Colleen Walsh, and Casey Warszycki; and the
- 273 Tissue Culture Core. We thank Elizabeth Leadbetter and Gary Winslow for providing helpful
- 274 discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

275 Funding Sources

- 276 This work was performed in part under a Project Award Agreement from the National Institute for
- 277 Innovation in Manufacturing Biopharmaceuticals (NIIMBL) and financial assistance award
- 278 70NANB20H037 from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and
- 279 Technology. A portion of the work described in this publication was supported by Cooperative
- Agreement Number NU50CK000516 from the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents
- are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the
- 282 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

283 Author Contributions

- J.Y. Designed experiments, conducted experiments, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote thepaper.
- D.E. Designed statistical models, performed statistical analyses, assisted with data interpretation, andcreated figures.
- 288 D.H. Designed experiments, conducted experiments, and assisted in data analysis.
- 289 R.G., A.D., and A.P. designed and performed PRNT assays.
- 290 M.S. and S.V. Performed statistical analysis.
- 291 K.K., V.D., K.H., K.C, and M.H. assisted with data entry and running experiments.
- 292 M.E., Q.L., and Y.W. were responsible for generating the RBD and S1 subunit proteins used in the MIA.
- N.M., K.M., and W.L. Oversaw the design, implementation, and analysis of experiments/data.

294 **Declaration of Interests**

295 The authors declare no competing interests.

296 Figure Legends

- 297 Figure 1: Relationship of Antibody Production and Virus Neutralization Capability with COVID-
- 19 Disease Severity (a) Total Ig reactivity (MFI) to SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and RBD from

convalescent COVID-19 individuals as grouped by disease severity. (b) Spearman's correlation of the

- nucleocapsid and RBD MFI from the full cohort of 536 patients. (c) Reciprocal PRNT90 dilutions from
- 301 the same convalescent COVID-19 individuals, grouped by disease severity. (d) Spearman's correlation
- 302 of PRNT90 dilutions versus the nucleocapsid or RBD MFI from the full cohort of convalescent
- 303 individuals.
- 304

305 Figure 2: Isotype and Antigen Distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 specific Antibody Response

Reactivity of IgM, IgA, and IgG specific to the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, RBD, S1 subunit, or S2

307 subunit of the full patient cohort (a) or grouped by disease severity (b). Index value represents the raw

308 MFI divided by the background cutoff value determined by a panel of 93 normal human serum

309 specimens. Statistical significance was determined by the non-parametric Kruskall-Wallace test where *

310 $p \ge 0.05 ** p \ge 0.001 *** p \ge 0.0001$, and **** $p \ge 0.00001$.

311

Figure 3: IgG Subclass and Antigen Distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 SARS-CoV-2 specific Antibody Profile Across COVID-19 Disease Severities. (a) Reactivity of IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3 to SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, RBD, S1 subunit, or S2 subunit of the full patient cohort (b) or grouped by disease severity. Index value represents the raw MFI divided by the background cutoff value determined by a panel of 93 normal human serum specimens. Statistical significance was determined by the non-parametric Kruskall-Wallace test where * $p \le 0.05$ ** $p \le 0.001$ *** $p \le 0.0001$, and **** $p \le$ 0.00001.

319 Figure 4: Correlation of Antibody Measurements with COVID-19 Disease Severity. (a) Three-

- 320 dimensional scatter plot depicting the optimal feature set of disease severity-associated features (age,
- 321 log10-transformed index values (MFI/cutoff) for S1-specific IgG3, and RBD-specific IgG1) as
- 322 determined by ordered probit regression and backwards stepwise selection by Akaike information
- 323 criterion. Data is displayed as the distribution of mild (yellow), moderate (orange), and severe (red)
- disease severities across variables in 478 patients.

325 Figure 5: IgG Subclass Ratios Associated with COVID-19 Disease Severity. (a) IgG/Subclass

- index ratios for IgG1, 2, and 3 specific to the nucleocapsid, RBD, S1, and S2 subunits in mild,
- moderate, and severe patients. (b) Heat map represents the average MFI of each severity group
- divided by the average MFI of the entire data set. * denotes statistical significance. (c) Spearman's
- 329 correlation coefficient comparing the IgG response to the PRNT90 neutralizing titer.

330 Figure 6: Correlation Network

331 (a) Correlation network displaying strongly correlated (Spearman's $r_s > 0.65$) variables. Edge thickness 332 represents the magnitude of the correlation between variables. Node size represents eigenvector 333 centrality, showing the influence of each node on the network. Node color represents whether that 334 variable corresponds to an antibody targeting the nucleocapsid (orange), S1 (blue), S2 (green), or RBD 335 (grey) regions, or to neutralizing antibody titers (pink). Black borders around nodes correspond to 336 variables with a significant correlation with severity determined by ordered probit regression modeling, while controlling for age as a confounding variable. All displayed correlations are statistically significant 337 338 (Benjamini and Hochberg adjusted p < 0.05).

340 Materials and Methods

341 COVID-19 Serum Samples

342 Studies were performed on sera from clinical specimens submitted to the Wadsworth Center, New York 343 State Department of Health for determination of antibody reactivity to SARS-CoV-2. The study 344 population were recovered individuals who were all RT-PCR confirmed by Roche COVAS 6800 or Cepheid XPert for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 and who had a self-reported degree of disease 345 346 severity (mild, moderate, or severe). All individuals had recovered from the disease and had defined 347 days between the onset of symptoms and sample collection. Specimens were stored at 4°C until 348 clinical tested was completed (>1 week) and transferred to -80°C for long-term storage. Aliguots were made to minimize freeze-thaw. All testing and archiving of human specimens was approved by 349 350 NYSDOH Institutional Review Board (IRB 20-021). 351 Reagents

353 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Chemicals, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) 354 carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (sulfo-NHS), were supplied by Pierce 355 Chemicals (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Microspheres, calibration microspheres, and sheath fluid were obtained from Luminex Corporation (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX), R-phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated goat 356 anti-human Ig, goat anti-human IgG, anti-human IgM, anti-human IgA, mouse anti-human IgG1, mouse 357 358 anti-human IgG2, mouse anti-human IgG3, and mouse anti-human IgG4 were purchased (Southern Biotech). Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and spike S2 domain were purchased (Native 359 Antigen, Oxfordshire, UK). Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 RBD and spike S1 domain were provided by 360 361 MassBiologics (Boston, MA), and produced as described below.

For MIAs, wash buffer and phosphate buffered saline pH 7.4, 0.05% sodium azide (PBS-TN) were

362

352

363 Spike Glycoprotein Expression and Purification

364 The amino-acid sequence of the SARS-CoV-2 S glycoprotein sequence (GeneBank: MN908947) were used to design a codon-optimized version for mammalian cell expression. The synthetic gene encoding 365 the Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) a.a. 319- 541 and S1 subunit a.a. 1-604 of the S glycoproteins 366 367 were cloned into pcDNA 3.1 Myc/His in-frame with c-Myc and 6-histidine epitope tags that enabled 368 detection and purification. The cloned genes were sequenced to confirm that no errors had 369 accumulated during the cloning process. All constructs were transfected into Expi293 cells using 370 ExpiFectamine 293 Transfection Kit (Thermo Fisher). And recombinant proteins were purified by 371 immobilized metal chelate affinity chromatography using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose 372 beads. Proteins were eluted from the columns using 250 mmol/L imidazole and then dialyzed into phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2 and checked for size and purity by sodium dodecyl sulfate 373 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). 374

375 Microsphere Immunoassay

Specimens were assessed for the presence of antibodies reactive with SARS-CoV-2 using an MIA 376 377 modified from a previously described procedure (Wong et al., 2017). Briefly, recombinant SARS-CoV-2 378 nucleocapsid and spike RBD, S1, and S2 subunits were covalently linked to the surface of fluorescent 379 microspheres (Luminex Corporation). Serum samples (25 µL at 1:100 dilution) and antigen-conjugated 380 microspheres (25 µL at 5x10⁴ microspheres/mL) were mixed and incubated 30 minutes at 37°C before 381 washing and further incubation with phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated secondary antibody. The PE-382 conjugated antibodies were chosen to specifically recognize, as indicated, total antibodies (pan-lg), or, 383 individually IgM, IgA, IgG, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4. After washing and final resuspension in buffer, the samples were analyzed on a FlexMap 3D analyzer using xPONENT software, version 4.3 (Luminex 384 385 Corporation).

386 Plaque Reduction Neutralization Assay (PRNT)

This assay has been described and is considered the standard for determination of neutralizing virusspecific antibody titers (Calisher et al., 1989; Lindsey et al., 1976; Shambaugh et al., 2017). For the

389 detection of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies, 2-fold serially diluted test serum (100µl) was mixed 390 with 100µl of 150-200 plaque forming units (PFUs) of SARS-CoV-2 (isolate USA-WA1/2020, BEI Resources, NR-52281) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The virus:serum mixture (100µl) was 391 applied to VeroE6 cells (C1008, ATCC CRL-1586) grown to 95-100% confluency in 6 well plates. 392 393 Adsorption of the virus:serum mixture was allowed to proceed for 1 hour at 37°C, 5% CO₂ Following 394 the adsorption period, a 0.6% agar overlay prepared in cell culture medium (Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium, 2% heat inactivated FBS, 100µg/ml Penicillin G, 100 U/ml Streptomycin) was applied. Two 395 396 days post-infection, a second agar overlay containing 0.2% neutral red prepared in cell culture medium was applied, and the number of plaques in each sample well were recorded after an additional 1-2 days 397 398 incubation. Neutralizing titers were defined as the inverse of the highest dilution of serum providing 399 50% (PRNT50) or 90% (PRNT90) viral plaque reduction relative to a virus only control.

400 Data Analysis

401 Subjects with known disease severity and sex were included in ordered probit regression analysis. The 402 data was randomly separated into training (70%) and testing (30%) subsets. Data was then centered by 403 subtracting the mean of each predictor from that predictor's values, and then scaled by dividing by the 404 standard deviation. Imputation of missing values was performed using the k-nearest neighbors 405 algorithm. Each created model's accuracy was assessed by measuring its performance on the testing 406 subset of data. One-sided binomial tests were performed to determine if the accuracy of each model 407 was significantly better than the no-information rate. Variable selection for the final model was 408 performed by backwards stepwise selection by Akaike information criterion.

For construction of the correlation matrix, Spearman's correlations were calculated using all complete pairs of variables in the dataset. All associated p-values were then adjusted via the Benjamini and Hochberg method. The network created using these Spearman's correlations was gated to only include those relationships with an $r_s > 0.65$. Eigenvector centrality was calculated for each variable and is

represented by the size of the respective node. Edge width corresponds with the strength of eachcorrelation.

415 R version 4.0.2 was used for the probit regression modeling and construction of the correlation matrix 416 (R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for 417 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.). The caret, MASS, and RANN 418 packages were also used for the probit regression (Max Kuhn (2020). caret: Classification and 419 Regression Training. R package version 6.0-86. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caret)(Venables, 420 W. N. & Ripley, B. D. (2002) Modern Applied Statistics with S. Fourth Edition. Springer, New York. 421 ISBN 0-387-95457-0) (Sunil Arya, David Mount, Samuel E. Kemp and Gregory Jefferis (2019). RANN: 422 Fast Nearest Neighbour Search (Wraps ANN Library) Using L2 Metric. R package version 2.6.1. 423 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RANN). The corrplot package was used to create the correlation 424 matrix (Taiyun Wei and Viliam Simko (2017). R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix 425 (Version 0.84). Available from https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot). Gephi 0.9.2 was used in the construction of the correlation network (Bastian M., Heymann S., Jacomy M. (2009). Gephi: an open 426 source software for exploring and manipulating networks. International AAAI Conference on Weblogs 427 428 and Social Media.).

430 **References**:

- 431 Atyeo, C., Fischinger, S., Zohar, T., Slein, M.D., Burke, J., Loos, C., McCulloch, D.J., Newman, K.L., Wolf, C., Yu, J.,
- 432 *et al.* (2020). Distinct Early Serological Signatures Track with SARS-CoV-2 Survival. Immunity *53*, 524-532 e524.
- 433 Calisher, C.H., Karabatsos, N., Dalrymple, J.M., Shope, R.E., Porterfield, J.S., Westaway, E.G., and Brandt, W.E.
- 434 (1989). Antigenic relationships between flaviviruses as determined by cross-neutralization tests with polyclonal
 435 antisera. J Gen Virol *70 (Pt 1)*, 37-43.
- 436 Chen, G., Wu, D., Guo, W., Cao, Y., Huang, D., Wang, H., Wang, T., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Yu, H., et al. (2020a).
- 437 Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. J Clin Invest *130*, 2620438 2629.
- 439 Chen, X., Li, R., Pan, Z., Qian, C., Yang, Y., You, R., Zhao, J., Liu, P., Gao, L., Li, Z., et al. (2020b). Human
- 440 monoclonal antibodies block the binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to angiotensin converting enzyme 2
 441 receptor. Cell Mol Immunol *17*, 647-649.
- 442 Chung, A.W., Ghebremichael, M., Robinson, H., Brown, E., Choi, I., Lane, S., Dugast, A.S., Schoen, M.K., Rolland,
- 443 M., Suscovich, T.J., *et al.* (2014). Polyfunctional Fc-effector profiles mediated by IgG subclass selection
- distinguish RV144 and VAX003 vaccines. Sci Transl Med *6*, 228ra238.
- Ferrante, A., Beard, L.J., and Feldman, R.G. (1990). IgG subclass distribution of antibodies to bacterial and viral
 antigens. Pediatr Infect Dis J 9, S16-24.
- 447 Giamarellos-Bourboulis, E.J., Netea, M.G., Rovina, N., Akinosoglou, K., Antoniadou, A., Antonakos, N., Damoraki,
- 448 G., Gkavogianni, T., Adami, M.E., Katsaounou, P., *et al.* (2020). Complex Immune Dysregulation in COVID-19 449 Patients with Severe Respiratory Failure. Cell Host Microbe *27*, 992-1000 e1003.
- 450 Kang, S., Yang, M., Hong, Z., Zhang, L., Huang, Z., Chen, X., He, S., Zhou, Z., Zhou, Z., Chen, Q., et al. (2020).
- 451 Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein RNA binding domain reveals potential unique drug
- 452 targeting sites. Acta Pharm Sin B 10, 1228-1238.
- 453 Kuri-Cervantes, L., Pampena, M.B., Meng, W., Rosenfeld, A.M., Ittner, C.A.G., Weisman, A.R., Agyekum, R.S.,
- 454 Mathew, D., Baxter, A.E., Vella, L.A., *et al.* (2020). Comprehensive mapping of immune perturbations associated 455 with severe COVID-19. Sci Immunol *5*.
- Lindsey, H.S., Calisher, C.H., and Mathews, J.H. (1976). Serum dilution neutralization test for California group
 virus identification and serology. J Clin Microbiol *4*, 503-510.
- Liu, L., Wei, Q., Lin, Q., Fang, J., Wang, H., Kwok, H., Tang, H., Nishiura, K., Peng, J., Tan, Z., *et al.* (2019). Antispike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage responses during acute SARS-CoV infection. JCI
 Insight 4.
- 461 Long, Q.X., Liu, B.Z., Deng, H.J., Wu, G.C., Deng, K., Chen, Y.K., Liao, P., Qiu, J.F., Lin, Y., Cai, X.F., et al. (2020).
- 462 Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med 26, 845-848.
- 463 Mathew, D., Giles, J.R., Baxter, A.E., Oldridge, D.A., Greenplate, A.R., Wu, J.E., Alanio, C., Kuri-Cervantes, L.,
- Pampena, M.B., D'Andrea, K., et al. (2020). Deep immune profiling of COVID-19 patients reveals distinct
 immunotypes with therapeutic implications. Science *369*.
- 466 McLaughlin, C.C., Doll, M.K., Morrison, K.T., McLaughlin, W.L., O'Connor, T., Sholukh, A.M., Bossard, E.L.,
- Phasouk, K., Ford, E.S., Diem, K., *et al.* (2020). High Community SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Seroprevalence in a Ski
 Resort Community, Blaine County, Idaho, US. Preliminary Results. medRxiv.
- 469 Monsalvo, A.C., Batalle, J.P., Lopez, M.F., Krause, J.C., Klemenc, J., Hernandez, J.Z., Maskin, B., Bugna, J.,
- 470 Rubinstein, C., Aguilar, L., *et al.* (2011). Severe pandemic 2009 H1N1 influenza disease due to pathogenic
- 471 immune complexes. Nat Med *17*, 195-199.
- 472 Neidich, S.D., Fong, Y., Li, S.S., Geraghty, D.E., Williamson, B.D., Young, W.C., Goodman, D., Seaton, K.E., Shen, X.,
- 473 Sawant, S., *et al.* (2019). Antibody Fc effector functions and IgG3 associate with decreased HIV-1 risk. J Clin
- 474 Invest *129*, 4838-4849.

- 475 Ng, S., Nachbagauer, R., Balmaseda, A., Stadlbauer, D., Ojeda, S., Patel, M., Rajabhathor, A., Lopez, R., Guglia,
- A.F., Sanchez, N., *et al.* (2019). Novel correlates of protection against pandemic H1N1 influenza A virus infection.
 Nat Med *25*, 962-967.
- 478 Otani, M., Kuroki, A., Kikuchi, S., Kihara, M., Nakata, J., Ito, K., Furukawa, J., Shinohara, Y., and Izui, S. (2012).
- 479 Sialylation determines the nephritogenicity of IgG3 cryoglobulins. J Am Soc Nephrol *23*, 1869-1878.
- 480 Piccoli, L., Park, Y.J., Tortorici, M.A., Czudnochowski, N., Walls, A.C., Beltramello, M., Silacci-Fregni, C., Pinto, D.,
- 481 Rosen, L.E., Bowen, J.E., et al. (2020). Mapping Neutralizing and Immunodominant Sites on the SARS-CoV-2 Spike
- 482 Receptor-Binding Domain by Structure-Guided High-Resolution Serology. Cell.
- 483 Plotkin, S.A. (2010). Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. Clin Vaccine Immunol *17*, 1055-1065.
- 484 Pollan, M., Perez-Gomez, B., Pastor-Barriuso, R., Oteo, J., Hernan, M.A., Perez-Olmeda, M., Sanmartin, J.L.,
- Fernandez-Garcia, A., Cruz, I., Fernandez de Larrea, N., *et al.* (2020). Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study. Lancet *396*, 535-544.
- 487 Robbiani, D.F., Gaebler, C., Muecksch, F., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Wang, Z., Cho, A., Agudelo, M., Barnes, C.O., Gazumyan,
- 488 A., Finkin, S., *et al.* (2020). Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. Nature 489 *584*, 437-442.
- 490 Shambaugh, C., Azshirvani, S., Yu, L., Pache, J., Lambert, S.L., Zuo, F., and Esser, M.T. (2017). Development of a
- High-Throughput Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fluorescent Focus-Based Microneutralization Assay. Clin Vaccine
 Immunol 24.
- 493 Suthar, M.S., Zimmerman, M.G., Kauffman, R.C., Mantus, G., Linderman, S.L., Hudson, W.H., Vanderheiden, A.,
- 494 Nyhoff, L., Davis, C.W., Adekunle, O., *et al.* (2020). Rapid Generation of Neutralizing Antibody Responses in
 495 COVID-19 Patients. Cell Rep Med *1*, 100040.
- 496 Vidarsson, G., Dekkers, G., and Rispens, T. (2014). IgG subclasses and allotypes: from structure to effector 497 functions. Front Immunol *5*, 520.
- Wang, T.T., and Ravetch, J.V. (2019). Functional diversification of IgGs through Fc glycosylation. J Clin Invest *129*,
 3492-3498.
- 500 Wong, S.J., Furuya, A., Zou, J., Xie, X., Dupuis, A.P., 2nd, Kramer, L.D., and Shi, P.Y. (2017). A Multiplex
- 501 Microsphere Immunoassay for Zika Virus Diagnosis. EBioMedicine *16*, 136-140.
- 502 Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K.S., Goldsmith, J.A., Hsieh, C.L., Abiona, O., Graham, B.S., and McLellan, J.S.
- 503 (2020). Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science *367*, 1260-1263.
- Zuo, Y., Yalavarthi, S., Shi, H., Gockman, K., Zuo, M., Madison, J.A., Blair, C., Weber, A., Barnes, B.J., Egeblad, M.,
- 505 *et al.* (2020). Neutrophil extracellular traps in COVID-19. JCI Insight 5.

507 Table 1: Study Cohort of Convalescent Healthcare Workers

	All	Male	Female	Unknown
Count	536	154 (29%)	370 (69%)	12 (2%)
Mean Age (years)	40	40	40	40
Days Post Onset	41	40	41	42
% Mild Disease	40.5%	44.2%	38.9%	41.7%
% Moderate Disease	40.1%	35.0%	42.1%	41.7%
% Severe Disease	9.1%	10.4%	8.7%	8.3%
% Unknown Severity	10.3%	10.4%	10.3%	8.3%

508

510 Supplemental Table 1:

	IgM				IgA			lgG				
	NP	RBD	S1	S2	NP	RBD	S1	S2	NP	RBD	S1	S2
Average Index Value	1.35	6.45	9.75	0.50	1.51	3.86	6.06	3.14	8.49	12.43	19.68	23.21
Positive	22%	73%	79%	5%	22%	60%	70%	34%	97%	97%	98%	98%
Negative	78%	27%	21%	95%	78%	40%	30%	66%	3%	3%	2%	2%

511

512 **Supplemental Table 2:**

		Average Index Value	Positive	Negative
	NP	19.03	97%	3%
	RBD	18.71	91%	9%
IgG1	S1	137.97	97%	3%
	S2	16.43	53%	47%
	NP	1.61	21%	10%
	RBD	1.09	10%	90%
iggz	S1	1.69	14%	86%
	S2	3.20	8%	92%
	NP	4.93	64%	36%
	RBD	3.91	39%	61%
iges	S1	6.18	59%	41%
	S2	43.90	94%	6%
	NP	1.58	9%	91%
	RBD	0.61	1%	99%
1904	S1	0.36	1%	99%
	S2	0.24	0%	100%

514 Supplemental Table 3:

515

UNIVARIATE PROPORTIONAL ODDS PROBIT REGRESSION

MODEL PERFORMANCE ON TESTING

Variable	Coefficient (SE)	p-value	AIC	Accuracy (95% CI)	p-value ACC>NIR
DPO	0.00842029 (0.06290226)	8.94E-01	635.23	0.4214 (0.3385, 0.5077)	7.77E-01
Age	0.1792742 (0.06333862)	4.65E-03	627.22	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
Sex (Male)	-0.1172834 (0.13943004)	4.00E-01	634.54	0.4214 (0.3385, 0.5077)	7.77E-01
CLIMS PRNT90	0.1958114 (0.06226123)	1.66E-03	625.25	0.45 (0.3659, 0.5363)	5.33E-01
CLIMS PRNT50	0.1824153 (0.06318260)	3.89E-03	626.90	0.4643 (0.3797, 0.5505)	3.98E-01
IgM N	0.1192098 (0.06165475)	5.32E-02	631.52	0.5571 (0.4708, 0.641)	7.02E-03
lgM RBD	0.07171105 (0.06237824)	2.50E-01	633.93	0.4786 (0.3935, 0.5646)	2.76E-01
IgM S1	0.08198261 (0.06196963)	1.86E-01	633.50	0.5 (0.4144, 0.5856)	1.35E-01
lgM S2	0.05418636 (0.06214503)	3.83E-01	634.49	0.4214 (0.3385, 0.5077)	7.77E-01
lgA N	0.2121957 (0.06457068)	1.02E-03	624.14	0.5 (0.4144, 0.5856)	1.35E-01
lgA RBD	0.1038650 (0.06144069)	9.09E-02	632.39	0.4429 (0.359, 0.5292)	6.00E-01
lgA S1	0.1057137 (0.06116121)	8.39E-02	632.27	0.4286 (0.3453, 0.5149)	7.23E-01
lgA S2	-0.006981466 (0.06471904)	9.14E-01	635.23	0.45 (0.3659, 0.5363)	5.33E-01
lgG N	0.2282152 (0.06524374)	4.69E-04	622.79	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG RBD	0.3024209 (0.06405524)	2.34E-06	612.70	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
lgG S1	0.3205334 (0.06427497)	6.14E-07	610.04	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
lgG S2	0.1731082 (0.06260303)	5.69E-03	627.59	0.5643 (0.478, 0.6478)	4.32E-03
lgG1 N	0.1873592 (0.06359648)	3.22E-03	626.54	0.55 (0.4637, 0.6341)	1.11E-02
lgG1 RBD	0.3164681 (0.06377248)	6.96E-07	610.31	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.56E-02
lgG1 S1	0.3069176 (0.06392332)	1.58E-06	611.87	0.5071 (0.4214, 0.5926)	1.02E-01
lgG1 S2	0.1230611 (0.06162206)	4.58E-02	631.28	0.5071 (0.4214, 0.5926)	1.02E-01
lgG2 N	0.09762629 (0.06173738)	1.14E-01	632.76	0.4714 (0.3866, 0.5575)	3.35E-01
lgG2 RBD	-0.07505903 (0.07014432)	2.85E-01	634.03	0.4786 (0.3935, 0.5646)	2.76E-01
lgG2 S1	-0.0594108 (0.07129139)	4.05E-01	634.50	0.45 (0.3659, 0.5363)	5.33E-01
lgG2 S2	0.0397431 (0.05994935)	5.07E-01	634.81	0.4643 (0.3797, 0.5505)	3.99E-01
lgG3 N	0.2194403 (0.06429924)	6.43E-04	623.24	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG3 RBD	0.2619276 (0.08327653)	1.66E-03	622.19	0.5071 (0.4214, 0.5926)	1.02E-01
lgG3 S1	0.3560372 (0.07712245)	3.90E-06	609.82	0.5071 (0.4214, 0.5926)	1.02E-01
lgG3 S2	0.1104608 (0.06175604)	7.37E-02	632.07	0.4571 (0.3728, 0.5434)	4.65E-01
lgG4 N	0.007072853 (0.06156942)	9.09E-01	635.23	0.45 (0.3659, 0.5363)	5.33E-01
lgG4 RBD	0.03380058 (0.05986258)	5.72E-01	634.93	0.45 (0.3659, 0.5363)	5.33E-01
lgG4 S1	0.1080485 (0.06200676)	8.14E-02	632.20	0.5 (0.4144, 0.5856)	1.35E-01
lgG4 S2	-0.03506842 (0.07450493)	6.38E-01	635.01	0.4429 (0.359, 0.5292)	6.00E-01
All variables			648.02	0.5071 (0.4214, 0.5926)	1.02E-01
Selected variables			600.80	0.6 (0.5139, 0.6818)	2.56E-04

517 Supplemental Table 4:

PROPORTIO	NAL ODDS PROBIT REGRESSION	MODEL PERFORMANCE ON TESTING DATA			
	COVARIATE				
Variable	Coefficient (SE)	p-value	AIC	Accuracy (95% CI)	p-value ACC>NIR
DPO	-0.004119273 (0.06338651)	9.48E-01	629.22	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
Sex (Male)	-0.1293131 (0.13987964)	3.55E-01	628.36	0.5429 (0.4566, 0.6272)	1.71E-02
CLIMS PRNT90	0.1559160 (0.06547446)	1.73E-02	623.51	0.5429 (0.4566, 0.6272)	1.71E-02
CLIMS PRNT50	0.1449436 (0.06572414)	2.74E-02	624.36	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
IgM N	0.07836274 (0.06409214)	2.21E-01	627.73	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
IgM RBD	0.01943351 (0.06557456)	7.67E-01	629.13	0.55 (0.4637, 0.6341)	1.11E-02
IgM S1	0.02322937 (0.06622030)	7.26E-01	629.10	0.5571 (0.4708, 0.641)	7.02E-03
IgM S2	0.05514419 (0.06216877)	3.75E-01	628.44	0.5643 (0.478, 0.6478)	4.32E-03
IgA N	0.1710039 (0.06742154)	1.12E-02	622.63	0.5429 (0.4566, 0.6272)	1.71E-02
IgA RBD	0.09119512 (0.06167864)	1.39E-01	627.04	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.56E-02
lgA S1	0.09161639 (0.06145615)	1.36E-01	627.01	0.5143 (0.4284, 0.5996)	7.47E-02
lgA S2	-0.0227294 (0.06522780)	7.27E-01	629.10	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
lgG N	0.1942685 (0.06750208)	4.00E-03	620.84	0.5571 (0.4708, 0.641)	7.02E-03
IgG RBD	0.2820277 (0.06481207)	1.35E-05	610.10	0.5929 (0.5067, 0.675)	4.76E-04
lgG S1	0.2993826 (0.06512311)	4.28E-06	607.84	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.56E-02
lgG S2	0.1487403 (0.06345589)	1.91E-02	623.72	0.5857 (0.4995, 0.6683)	8.61E-04
lgG1 N	0.1443364 (0.06732723)	3.20E-02	624.62	0.5929 (0.5067, 0.675)	4.76E-04
lgG1 RBD	0.2937825 (0.06482250)	5.84E-06	608.46	0.5786 (0.4923, 0.6615)	1.52E-03
lgG1 S1	0.2837305 (0.06491573)	1.24E-05	609.88	0.5214 (0.4354, 0.6065)	5.36E-02
lgG1 S2	0.09804867 (0.06240420)	1.16E-01	626.76	0.5571 (0.4708, 0.641)	7.02E-03
lgG2 N	0.08248615 (0.06185257)	1.82E-01	627.45	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG2 RBD	-0.06202069 (0.07071954)	3.80E-01	628.41	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.57E-02
lgG2 S1	-0.05381328 (0.07181056)	4.54E-01	628.62	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.57E-02
lgG2 S2	0.03299862 (0.06017975)	5.83E-01	628.92	0.5357 (0.4495, 0.6203)	2.57E-02
lgG3 N	0.1925910 (0.06495653)	3.03E-03	620.21	0.5714 (0.4851, 0.6547)	2.59E-03
lgG3 RBD	0.2625168 (0.08549222)	2.14E-03	616.70	0.5 (0.4144, 0.5856)	1.35E-01
lgG3 S1	0.3463172 (0.07767068)	8.24E-06	605.61	0.5429 (0.4566, 0.6272)	1.71E-02
lgG3 S2	0.1169920 (0.06213372)	5.97E-02	625.71	0.5 (0.4144, 0.5856)	1.35E-01
lgG4 N	-0.008487359 (0.06277202)	8.92E-01	629.20	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG4 RBD	0.04314625 (0.06013673)	4.73E-01	628.70	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG4 S1	0.1071146 (0.06217504)	8.49E-02	626.25	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02
lgG4 S2	-0.02925658 (0.07612034)	7.01E-01	629.06	0.5286 (0.4425, 0.6134)	3.75E-02

Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3:



Figure 4:



Figure 5:





Figure 6:



Supplemental Figure 1: IgG4





Supplemental Figure 2: Correlation Matrix

