Predicting the Effect of Malaria Control Strategies Using Mathematical Modeling Approach

Felix Yakubu Eguda[1,3#], Samuel Eneojo Abah[2,3,4*#], James Andrawus[1], Sunday Atuba[5], Aliyu Abba[6],

1 Department of Mathematics, Federal University Dutse, Nigeria
2 Department of Applied Biology, Coventry University, United Kingdom
3 British Community Hospital and Outpatient Clinics Ipaja, Lagos, Nigeria
4 British Pathodiagnostic and Biotechnology Laboratory, Ipaja, Lagos, Nigeria
5 Department of Engineering, Coventry University, United Kingdom
6 Department of Statistics, Jigawa State Polytechnic, Dutse, Nigeria
# Contributed equally to this work.
∗ Correspondence to: Samuel.Abah@cusc.coventry.ac.uk;samuelabah@gmail.com

Abstract

Malaria is a life-threatening disease which has caused enormous public health challenge. A mathematical model describing the dynamics of malaria between the human and vector population is formulated to understand the important parameters in the transmission and develop effective prevention and control strategies. We analysed the model and found that the model has a disease-free equilibrium (DFE) which is locally and globally asymptotically stable if the effective reproduction number can be brought below unity. Our model shows that the infectivity of mildly infected children and adults amplifies the disease burden in a population. It was shown that the model does not undergo the phenomenon of backward bifurcation so long as the recovered children and adults do not lose their acquired immunity and if the infection of mildly infected adult is not high enough to infect susceptible mosquitoes. However, control strategies involving mosquito reduction through high rate of application of insecticide will serve as an effective malaria control strategy. It is further shown that whenever the effective reproduction number is greater than unity the model has a unique endemic equilibrium which is globally stable for the case when there is loss of acquired immunity in children and adults. Numerical simulations show that the presence of all the control strategies is more effective in preventing mild malaria cases in adult and children as compared to severe malaria cases in adult and children.

Keywords: Malaria, Mathematical model, Bifurcation, Stability.

1 Introduction

Malaria poses a substantial public health problem with about 228 million cases worldwide and 405,000 deaths globally [1]. Majority of this malaria burden up to 93% occur in Africa of which 85%

is within the sub-Saharan Africa, of which Nigeria bears 25% of this burden. Hence, the country with the highest malaria burden [1]. Children under 5 years of age are the most affected and an increasing re-emergence of some severe clinical manifestation in adults is likely [1–3]. Malaria is a life-threatening disease that is transmitted through the bites of infected female anopheles mosquito (vector). After entering a human, the parasites transform through a complicated life-cycle in the liver and bloodstream. A stage in the life cycle developed into gametocytes, which spreads through a susceptible mosquito that bites the infectious human [3]. After approximately 10 to 15 days the mosquito takes her next blood meal and can infect a new person. After a human gets bitten, the symptoms appear in about 9-14 days. Clinical symptoms such as fever, pain, chills and sweats may develop a few days after infected mosquito bites. The infection can lead to serious complications affecting the brain, lungs, kidneys and other organs [3–5]. Since malaria increases morbidity and mortality, it continues to inflict major public health and socioeconomic burdens in developing countries. Malaria control even in countries with relatively low malaria endemicity proves to be a significant challenge. The complexity of the disease control process, the cost of the control programme and resistance of the parasite to anti-malarial drugs, and vectors to insecticides, are some of the challenges [3, 4, 6]. The rate of acquisition of immunity to severe malaria depends on age distribution of humans and the level of exposure to infections [7, 8]. Recently, the international community has increased its focus on eradicating malaria burden worldwide [1].

The battle towards the eradication and or control of malaria would have to take a collaborative approach to be achieved. Partly involving the role of mathematicians and their modelling approach in studying the dynamics of malaria, giving an insight into the interaction between the host and vector population and how to control its transmission. This is a collaborative work aimed at constructing a vector-borne compartmental model in a heterogeneous population incorporating mosquito reduction strategy, personal protection strategy, vaccination as control strategies and immune compartments in both children and adult population. This work considers all of these control strategies, which were not completely captured in previous report [8–10].

2 Model Formulation

A mathematical model for endemic malaria is formulated in a heterogeneous population with two human populations consisting of adults and children. The vector (mosquito) population is considered in this work where $N_A(t)$, $N_C(t)$ and $N_V(t)$ denote the total number of adults, children and vectors at time t, respectively. As specified in (**Table 2.1**), the total population of human and vectors is divided into the following mutually exclusive epidemiological classes, namely, susceptible adults ($S_A(t)$), adults with asymptomatic malaria ($E_A(t)$), adults with malaria at mild stage ($I_{AM}(t)$), adults with severe malaria ($I_{AS}(t)$), adults treated of malaria ($R_A(t)$), immune adults ($R(t)$), susceptible children ($S_C(t)$), children with asymptomatic malaria ($E_C(t)$), children with mild malaria ($I_{CM}(t)$),children with severe malaria ($I_{CS}(t)$),children treated of malaria ($R_C(t)$), immune children ($Q(t)$), susceptible vectors ($S_V(t)$), vectors with parasite at latent stage($E_V(t)$), vectors with parasite($I_V(t)$), Hence, we have that in,

$$N_A(t) = S_A(t) + E_A(t) + I_{AM}(t) + I_{AS}(t) + R_A(t) + R(t)$$
$$N_C(t) = S_C(t) + E_C(t) + I_{CM}(t) + I_{CS}(t) + R_C(t) + Q(t)$$
$$N_h(t) = N_A(t) + N_C(t)$$
$$N_V(t) = S_V(t) + E_V(t) + I_V(t)$$



Figure A: Flow diagram of malaria model

Susceptible adults contact malaria with disease force of infection rate

$$\lambda_A = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V I_V}{N_A}$$

Susceptible children contact malaria at a rate

$$\lambda_C = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V I_V}{N_C}$$

Susceptible vectors acquire the gametocytes from infected humans at a rate

$$\lambda_V = \beta_V b_v \left(\frac{I_{AS} + \eta_A I_{AM} + \eta(I_{CS} + \eta_C I_{CM})}{N_h} \right)$$

Susceptible children and adults are recruited at rates Λ_C, Λ_A while the susceptible mosquitoes are

recruited at a rate Λ_V (**Table 2.1**). Also, susceptible adults and children are vaccinated at rates ψ_A, ψ_C respectively. We assume that fraction ϕ_A, ϕ_C of adults and children with asymptomatic malaria progress to the mild stage of infection (at rates γ_A, γ_C respectively) while remaining fraction $1-\phi_A$, $1-\phi_c$ of adults and children progress to the severe stage of infection (at rates γ_A, γ_C).

Let $\beta_A, \beta_C, \beta_V$ represent transmission probability per contact for adults, children and susceptible mosquitoes. Infected adults at mild and severe stages of infection progress to class of recovered adults at rates σ_A, τ_A respectively, while infected children at mild and severe stages of infection progress to class or recovered children at rates, σ_C, τ_C respectively.

The parameters $\delta_{AM}, \delta_{AS}, \delta_{CM}, \delta_{CS}$, represent the disease induced death rates for adults and children at mild and severe stage respectively. δ_V represent the death rate of mosquitoes from insecticide. The parameters θ represent the fraction of recovered adults who develop immunity after recovery while $1-\theta$ represent the remaining fraction of recovered adults who become susceptible after treatment. b_V is the mosquito biting rate while q is the rate of insecticide treated nets (ITN) compliance, ω represent the rate at which recovered adults revert to either the immune or susceptible adult class while ω_C is the rate at which recovered children revert to susceptible children class. Vectors at latent stage become infectious at the rate γ_V . The parameters η_A, η_C represent the infectivity modification parameters in adults and children. η is a modification parameter that indicates that children exposure rate is different from that of adults. That is, children protected from mosquito bites are less likely to get malaria infections. We assume that the infection in the mild classes might not be high enough to infect susceptible mosquitoes or is at the same level as the infectious individual giving $0 \leq \eta_C, \eta_A \leq 1$.

The model equations are given below

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{S}_A &= \Lambda_A - (\psi_A + \mu_A)S_A - \lambda_A S_A + (1-\theta)\omega R_A + \alpha S_C \\
\dot{E}_A &= \lambda_A S_A - (\gamma_A + \mu_A)E_A \\
\dot{I}_{AM} &= \gamma_A \phi_A E_A - (\sigma_A + \delta_{AM} + \chi_A + \mu_A)I_{AM} \\
\dot{I}_{AS} &= (1-\phi_A)\gamma_A E_A + \chi_A I_{AM} - (\tau_A + \delta_{AS} + \mu_A)I_{AS} \\
\dot{R}_A &= \sigma_A I_{AM} + \tau_A I_{AS} - (\omega + \mu_A)R_A + \alpha R_C \\
\dot{R} &= \omega\theta R_A + \Psi_A S_A - \mu_A R + \alpha Q \\
\dot{S}_C &= \Lambda_C - (\psi_C + \alpha + \mu_C)S_C - \lambda_C S_C + \omega_C R_C \\
\dot{E}_C &= \lambda_C S_C - (\gamma_C + \mu_C)E_C \\
\dot{I}_{CM} &= \gamma_C \phi_C E_C - (\sigma_C + \delta_{CM} + \chi_C + \mu_C)I_{CM} \\
\dot{I}_{CS} &= (1-\phi_c)\gamma_C E_C + \chi_C I_{CM} - (\tau_C + \delta_{CS} + \mu_C)I_{CS} \\
\dot{R}_C &= \sigma_C I_{CM} + \tau_C I_{CS} - (\omega_C + \alpha + \mu_C)R_C \\
\dot{Q} &= \psi_C S_C - (\alpha + \mu_C)Q \\
\dot{S}_V &= \Lambda_V - \lambda_V S_V - (\delta_V + \mu_V)S_V \\
\dot{E}_V &= \lambda_V S_V - (\gamma_V + \delta_V + \mu_V)E_V \\
\dot{I}_V &= \gamma_V E_V - (\delta_V + \mu_V)I_V
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

2.1 Basic Properties

Since the model monitors both human and mosquito population during malaria epidemic, it is important to prove that all the state variables of the model are non-negative for all time (t) for the model (1) to be epidemiologically meaningful. That is, the solutions of the model (1) with positive initial data will remain positive for all time $t > 0$. Model (1) is basically divided into two regions, thus $D = D_1 \times D_2$.

2.1.1 Boundedness

Lemma 1. *The region $D = D_1 \times D_2$. of system (1) is positively invariant with non-negative initial conditions in $\mathbb{R}^{15}_+$*

Proof: The rate of change of the total human population is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dN_h}{dt} &= \Lambda_A + \Lambda_C - \mu_A N_A - \mu_c N_c - (\delta_{AM} I_{AM} + \delta_{AS} I_{AS} + \delta_{CM} I_{CM} + \delta_{CS} I_{CS}) \\
\frac{dN_h}{dt} &\leq \Lambda_A + \Lambda_C - \mu_A N_A - \mu_C N_C \\
\frac{dN_h}{dt} &\leq \Lambda_A + \Lambda_C - \mu_h N_h
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

Table 2.1: Description of the variables and parameters of the Malaria model

Variable	**Description**
S_A, S_C	Population of susceptible adults and children
E_A, E_C	Population of adults and children with asymptomatic malaria
I_{AM}, I_{CM}	Population of infected adults and children at mild stage
I_{AS}, I_{CS}	Population of infected adults and children at severe stage
R_A, R_C	Population of recovered adults and children
$R,\ Q$	Immune adults and children
S_V, E_V, I_V	Susceptible, Exposed and Infected Mosquitoes
Parameter	**Description**
$\Lambda_A,\ \Lambda_C$	Recruitment rate into the population of adults and children respectively
Λ_V	Vector recruitment rate
$\mu_A,\ \mu_C,\ \mu_C$	Natural death rate for humans (adults & children), mosquitoes respectively
$\beta_A,\ \beta_C,\ \beta_V$	Transmission probability per contact for adults, children and susceptible mosquitoes
$\gamma_A,\ \gamma_C,$	Rate at which exposed adults and children revert to the mild stage of infection
ϕ_A,ϕ_C	Fraction of exposed adults and children who progress to the mild stage of infection
$\delta_{AM},\ \delta_{AS}$	Disease induced death rates of adults at mild and severe stage
$\delta_{CM},\ \delta_{CS}$	Disease induced death rates of children at mild and severe stage
δ_V	Death rate of mosquitoes from insecticide
$\sigma_A,\ \tau_A$	Treatment rates for adults at mild and severe stage
$\sigma_C,\ \tau_C$	Treatment rates for children at mild and severe stage
θ	Fraction of recovered adults who develop immunity after recovery
ω	Rate at which recovered adults revert to either the immune or susceptible adult class
$\omega\theta$	Acquisition of immunity in adults
$\omega(1-\theta)$	Loss of immunity in adults
α	Growth and maturation rate
$\psi_A,\ \psi_C$	Vaccination rate for adults and children
ω_C	Rate at which recovered children revert to susceptible children class
γ_V	Rate at which exposed mosquitoes become infectious
b_V	Mosquito biting rate
$\varepsilon,\ 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$	Efficacy of insecticide treated nets(ITN)
q	Rate of ITN compliance
η	modification parameter
$\eta_A,\ \eta_C$	Infectivity modification parameters in adults and children
$\chi_A,\ \chi_C$	Rate at which infected adults and children at mild stage progress to the severe stage of infection

Where $\mu_h = \min\{\mu_A, \mu_C\}$
A standard comparison theorem [11] can then be used to show that

$$N_h(t) = N_h(0)e^{-\mu_h t} + \frac{\Lambda_A + \Lambda_C}{\mu_h}\left(1 - e^{-\mu_h t}\right),$$

In particular, if

$$N_h(0) \leq \frac{\Lambda_A + \Lambda_C}{\mu_h}$$

then,

$$N_h(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda_A + \Lambda_C}{\mu_h}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

The rate of change of the total vector population is given as

$$\frac{dN_V}{dt} = \Lambda_V - (\delta_V + \mu_V)N_V.$$

By standard comparison theorem,

$$\frac{dN_V}{dt} \leq \Lambda_V - \mu_V N_V.$$

Solving gives

$$N_v(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V} + \left(N_V(0) - \frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V}\right) e^{-\mu_v t}$$

In particular, if

$$N_V(0) \leq \frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V}$$

then,

$$N_V(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Then either the solution enters D in finite time or $N_V(t)$ approaches $\frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V}$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, the region $D = D_1 \times D_2$ is positively invariant so that no solution path leaves through any boundary of D. Thus the feasible solution of the human population is in the region

$$D_1 = \left\{(S_A, E_A, I_{AM}, I_{AS}, R_A, R, S_C, E_C, I_{CM}, I_{CS}, R_C, Q) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{12} : N_h \leq \frac{\Lambda_A + \Lambda_C}{\mu_h}\right\}$$

and the feasible solution of the vector population is in the region

$$D_2 = \left\{(S_V, E_V, I_V) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : N_V \leq \frac{\Lambda_V}{\mu_V}\right\}$$

Hence it is sufficient to consider the dynamics of the model (1) in the region . In this region, the model can be considered as being mathematically and epidemiologically well posed. Hence, the solution set of model (1) are contained in D .

2.2 Positivity of Solutions

We assumed that the initial conditions of the model are non-negative and we also showed that the solution of the model is also positive.

Lemma 2. *Let the initial data for the model be* $S_A(0) > 0, E_A(0) > 0, I_{AM}(0) > 0, I_{AS}(0) > 0, R_A(0) > 0, R(0) > 0, S_C(0) > 0, E_C(0) > 0,$ $I_{CM}(0) > 0, I_{CS}(0) > 0, R_C(0) > 0, Q(0) > 0, S_V(0) > 0, E_V(0) > 0, I_V(0) > 0$, *then the solutions* $(S_A, E_A, I_{AM}, I_{AS}, R_A, R, S_C, E_C, I_{CM}, I_{CS}, R_C, Q, S_V, E_V, I_V)$ *of the model (1) with initial data will remain positive for all time* $t > 0$.

Proof:

$t_1 = \sup\Big\{t > 0 : S_A(0) > 0, E_A(0) > 0, I_{AM}(0) > 0, I_{AS}(0) > 0, R_A(0) > 0, R(0) > 0, S_C(0) > 0,$
$E_C(0) > 0, I_{CM}(0) > 0, I_{CS}(0) > 0, R_C(0) > 0, Q(0) > 0, S_V(0) > 0, E_V(0) > 0, I_V(0) > 0\Big\} > 0$

From the first equation in model (1)

$$\dot{S}_A = \Lambda_A - (\psi_A + \mu_A)S_A - \lambda_A S_A + (1-\theta)\omega R_A + \alpha S_C$$

which implies

$$\dot{S}_A \geq \Lambda_A - (\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A)S_A$$

Using integrating factor method, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big\{S_A(t)\exp\Big((\psi_A+\mu_A)t + \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big)\Big\} = \Lambda_A \exp\Big((\psi_A+\mu_A)t + \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$

$$S_A(t_1)\exp\Big((\psi_A+\mu_A)t + \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big) - S_A(0) \geq \int_0^{t}\Lambda_A \exp\Big((\psi_A+\mu_A)t + \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$

$$S_A(t_1) \geq S_A(0)\exp\Big(-(\psi_A+\mu_A)t - \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$

$$+\exp\Big(-(\psi_A+\mu_A)t - \int_0^{t_1}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big) \times \int_0^{t_1}\Lambda_A\Big[\exp\Big((\psi_A+\mu_A)y + \int_0^{y}\lambda_A(\tau)d\tau\Big)\Big]dy > 0$$

Similarly, it can be shown that all state variables of the model remain positive for all time $t > 0$ $E_A(t) > 0, I_{AM}(t) > 0, I_{AS}(t) > 0, R_A(t) > 0, R(t) > 0, S_C(t) > 0, E_C(t) > 0,$ $I_{CM}(t) > 0, I_{CS}(t) > 0, R_C(t) > 0, Q(t) > 0, S_V(t) > 0, E_V(t) > 0, I_V(t) > 0$ for all time $t > 0$.

3 Analysis of the Model

3.1 Local stability of the disease-free equilibrium(DFE)

The disease-free equilibrium of the model (1) is given by

$$\xi_0 = (S_A^*, E_A^*, I_{AM}^*, I_{AS}^*, R_A^*, R^*, S_C^*, E_C^*, I_{CM}^*, I_{CS}^*, R_C^*, Q^*, S_v^*, E_v^*, I_v^*)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Lambda_A(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)+\alpha\Lambda_c}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\psi_A[\Lambda_A(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)+\alpha\Lambda_c](\alpha+\mu_c)+\alpha\psi_c\Lambda_c(\psi_A+\mu_A)}{\mu_A(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\alpha+\mu_c)(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)}, \\ \frac{\Lambda_c}{(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\psi_c\Lambda_c}{(\alpha+\mu_c)(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_c)}, \frac{\Lambda_v}{\delta_v+\mu_v}, 0, 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Using the next generation operator method previously described [12],the local stability of ξ_0 can be established, it follows that matrices, F and V for the new infection terms and the remaining transition terms are respectively given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_v S_A^*}{N_A} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_c b_v S_c^*}{N_c} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta_v b_v \eta_A S_v^*}{N_h} & \frac{\beta_v b_v S_v^*}{N_h} & 0 & \frac{\beta_v b_v \eta\eta_c S_v^*}{N_h} & \frac{\beta_v b_v \eta S_v^*}{N_h} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_A\phi_A & k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(1-\phi_A)\gamma_A & -\chi_A & k_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_c\phi_c & k_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -(1-\phi_c)\gamma_c & \chi_c & k_9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_v & k_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

where,

$$k_1 = (\psi_A + \mu_A), k_2 = (\gamma_A + \mu_A), k_3 = (\sigma_A + \delta_{AM} + \chi_A + \mu_A), k_4 = (\tau_A + \delta_{AS} + \mu_A)$$
$$k_5 = (\omega + \mu_A), k_6 = (\psi_C + \alpha + \mu_C), k_7 = (\gamma_C + \mu_C) k_8 = (\sigma_C + \delta_{CM} + \chi_C + \mu_C), k_9 = (\tau_C + \delta_{CS} + \mu_C)$$
$$k_{10} = (\omega_C + \alpha + \mu_C), k_{11} = (\delta_V + \mu_V), k_{12} = (\gamma_V + \delta_V + \mu_V), k_{13} = (\delta_V + \mu_V), k_{14} = (\mu_V + \alpha)$$

It follows that the effective reproduction number of model (1) denoted by $\mathcal{R}_E$ is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_E = \rho(FV^{-1}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_V(\mathcal{R}_A + \mathcal{R}_C)} \quad \text{, Where} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_V = \frac{\beta_V b_V S_V^* \gamma_v}{N_h k_{12} k_{13}} \tag{4a}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_A = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V S_A^* \beta_A \gamma_A [(1-\phi_A)k_3 + \phi_A(\eta_A k_4 + \chi_A)]}{N_A k_2 k_3 k_4} \tag{4b}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_C = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V S_C^* \beta_C \gamma_C \eta [(1-\phi_C)k_8 + \phi_C(\eta_C k_9 + \chi_C)]}{N_C k_7 k_8 k_9} \tag{4c}$$

The result below follows from Theorem (2) in [12].

Lemma 3. *The DFE ξ_0 of model (1) is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) if $\mathcal{R}_E < 1$, and unstable if $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$*

The threshold quantity $\mathcal{R}_E$, is the average number of malaria cases generated by a typically infected individual introduced into a completely susceptible population. The expression $\mathcal{R}_C$ is the number of secondary infections in children introduced by one infectious mosquito, while the expression $\mathcal{R}_A$ is the number of secondary infections in adult introduced by one infectious mosquito. The biological significance of lemma 3 is that malaria can be adequately controlled in a community with children and adults if the quantity $\mathcal{R}_E$ can be reduced to a value less than unity ($\mathcal{R}_E < 1$)

3.2 Analysis of the Control Reproduction Number

The threshold quantity, will be used to determine the effect of the control parameters $\mathcal{R}_E$ on the eradication of malaria in the population. For the sake of mathematical tractability in the analysis of our malaria transmission model, we shall work with the square of the reproduction number since our conclusion will not be altered if the actual expression of the reproduction number is used [13, 14]. From (4) , we have

$$\lim_{\sigma_A \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A (1-\phi_A)}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} N_A k_1 k_2 k_4} > 0 \tag{5a}$$

$$\lim_{\tau_A \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A \phi_A \eta_A}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} N_A k_1 k_2 k_3} > 0 \tag{5b}$$

$$\lim_{\sigma_C \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C \eta (1-\phi_C)}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} N_c k_6 k_7 k_9} > 0 \tag{5c}$$

$$\lim_{\tau_C \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C \eta \phi_C \eta_C}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} N_C k_6 k_7 k_8} > 0 \tag{5d}$$

$$\lim_{\delta_V \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = 0 \tag{5e}$$

. It therefore follows that control programme that results in high treatment rates and ITN compliance ($\sigma_A, \tau_A, \sigma_c, \tau_c, \delta_v \to \infty$) can lead to effective malaria control if these result in the respective

right-hand sides of (5a-5d) being less than unity. From (5e), a near total eradication of malaria is achievable. This implies that focusing on reducing mosquito population through high rate of application of insecticide will serve as an effective malaria control strategy. Thus, differentiating the square of the reproduction number, $\mathcal{R}_E^2$, given in (4) partially with respect to the parameters $(\sigma_A, \tau_A, \sigma_C, \tau_C)$, further reveals the effect of these parameters on malaria control in the community. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \sigma_A} = -\frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A \phi_A (1-\phi_A)[\eta_A(\tau_A+\delta_{AS}+\mu_A)+\chi_A]}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_1 N_A k_2 k_3^2 k_4} < 0 \tag{6a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \tau_A} = -\frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A [(1-\phi_A)k_3+\phi_A \chi_A]}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_1 N_A k_2 k_3 k_4^2} < 0 \tag{6b}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \sigma_C} = -\frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C \eta \phi_C [\eta_C(\tau_C+\delta_{CS}+\mu_C)+\chi_C]}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_6 N_c k_7 k_8^2 k_9} < 0 \tag{6c}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \tau_C} = -\frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C \eta [(1-\phi_C)(\sigma_C+\delta_{CM}+\chi_C+\mu_C)+\phi_C \chi_C]}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_6 N_c k_7 k_8 k_9^2} < 0 \tag{6d}$$

.

3.3 Assessing the Impact of the Mild Classes

Differentiating the square of the reproduction number, $\mathcal{R}_E^2$, given in (4) partially with respect to the parameters (η_A, η_c) [13, 15] gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \eta_A} = \frac{\phi_A \beta_A b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A (\tau_A+\delta_{AS}+\mu_A)}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_1 N_A k_2 k_3 k_4} > 0 \tag{7a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_E^2}{\partial \eta_C} = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C \eta \phi_C (\tau_C+\delta_{CS}+\mu_C)}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_6 N_c k_7 k_8 k_9} > 0 \tag{7b}$$

This means that the square of the effective reproduction number, $\mathcal{R}_E^2$, is an increasing function of the parameters (η_A, η_c). Thus, the disease burden of malaria in the community will increase as the infectivity of mildly infected children and adults increases. However, taking the limit of $\mathcal{R}_E^2$ as $(\eta_A, \eta_c \to 1)$ implies that the infectivity of mildly infected children and adults is the same as that of the severely infected adults and children. Thus,

$$\lim_{\eta_A, \eta_C \to 1} \mathcal{R}_E^2 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V}{N_h k_{11} k_{12} k_{13}} \left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_A \beta_A \gamma_A [(1-\phi_A)k_3+\phi_A(k_4+\chi_A)]}{N_A k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \\ + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V \Lambda_C \beta_C \gamma_C [(1-\phi_C)k_3+\phi_C(k_9+\chi_C)]}{N_A k_6 k_7 k_8 k_9} \end{array} \right] > 0 \tag{8}$$

This implies that, as the infectivity of the mildly infected children and adults increases, the disease burden increases thereby increasing the number of malaria infected individuals in the community.

3.4 Existence of Endemic Equilibrium Point (EEP) of the Model

Lemma 4. *The model (1) has a unique endemic(positive) equilibrium for the special case when* $\omega = \omega_C = \alpha = 0$ *whenever* $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$

See **Appendix** for the proof of **Lemma 4**.

3.5 Bifurcation Analysis of the Model

It is important to explore the existence of bifurcation as this will go a long way in determining the parameter that will hinder the possibility of eradicating malaria transmission if the reproduction number is less than one. The centre manifold theorem is used here to investigate possibility of the existence of backward bifurcation as described [14, 16, 17].

Lemma 5. *The transformed model (2) will undergo a backward bifurcation if the bifurcation coefficient a is positive*

See **Appendix** for the proof of **Lemma 5**.

3.6 Global Asymptotic Stability of DFE

Lemma 6. *The DFE of the model (1) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) in D whenever* $\mathcal{R}_E \leq 1$

See **Appendix** for the proof of **Lemma 6**.

3.7 Global Asymptotic Stability of EEP

Lemma 7. *The EEP of the model (1) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) in D whenever* $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$

See **Appendix** for the proof of **Lemma 7**.

4 Analysis of Control Strategies

To effectively study the behavioral pattern of malaria transmission, some important parameters of the model are explored here to quantify the effectiveness of malaria intervention strategies. We now carry out numerical analysis to investigate the relative importance of the parameters of our model in disease transmission and how best to tackle malaria outbreak and reduce malaria mortality. In particular, we target intervention strategies using parameters such as mosquito recruitment rate (Λ_V), mosquito death rate (δ_V), mosquito biting rates (b_V), rate of ITN compliance (q), vaccination rates (ψ_A, ψ_C) and treatment rates (σ_A, τ_A , σ_C, τ_C). Theoretical values are used to represent parameter values and initial conditions in our simulations since they are similar to comparable parameters for other mosquito-transmitted diseases [13, 14].

Table 4.1: Description of parameter values

Parameter	**Values**	**Range**	**References**
Λ_A	235	$100 - 500$	Estimated
Λ_C	156	$100 - 500$	Estimated
Λ_V	16667	$7000 - 40000$	Estimated
μ_A	$\frac{1}{70\times365}$	$\frac{1}{76\times365} - \frac{1}{68\times365}$	[13]
μ_C	$\frac{1}{18.60\times365}$	$\frac{1}{14.88\times365} - \frac{1}{22.32\times365}$	[1]
μ_V	$\frac{1}{21}$	$\frac{1}{42} - \frac{1}{8}$	[18],[19]
β_A, β_C	0.017 , 0.14	$0.01 - 0.27$	[8]
β_V	0.356	$0.072 - 0.64$	[8]
γ_A, γ_C	0.134 , 0.122	$0.067 - 0.20$	[8]
$\phi_A, \phi_C, \psi_A, \psi_C$	0.5	$0.1 - 1.0$	Assumed
δ_{AM}, δ_{AS}	0.00021 , 0.0046	$0.0 - 0.00041$	[8]
δ_{CM}, δ_{CS}	0.0003454, 0.00671	$0.000135 - 0.00817$	[10]
δ_V	0.5	$0.25 - 0.75$	[13]
σ_A, τ_A	0.0099 , 0.014	$0.0014 - 0.017$	[8]
σ_C, τ_C	0.0082 , 0.011	$0.0014 - 0.017$	[8]
θ	0.4	$0.1 - 1.0$	Assumed
ω	0.0243	$0.0025 - 0.067$	[10]
α	$\frac{1}{16\times365}$	$\frac{1}{18\times365} - \frac{1}{15\times365}$	[13]
ω_C	0.046	$0.0025 - 0.067$	Estimated
γ_V	0.18	$0.029 - 0.33$	[8]
b_V	0.5	$0.1 - 1.0$	[13]
ε; $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$	0.5	$0.2 - 1.0$	[9]
q	0.53	$0.1325 - 0.6625$	[9]
η	0.5	$0.1 - 1.0$	Assumed
η_A, η_C	0.5	$0.1 - 1.0$	Assumed
χ_A, χ_C	0.091 , 0.11	$0.067 - 0.20$	[8]

4.1 Mosquito-Reduction Strategy

The use of indoor residual spraying (IRS) and DDT reduce the average lifespan(δ_V)of mosquitoes and mosquito recruitment rate/birth rate (Λ_V)respectively. The following three levels of mosquito-reduction strategies are considered for simulation [13, 15]

1. Low mosquito-reduction strategy
   $\Lambda_V = 33334/day; \delta_V = 0.25\ /day$

2. Moderate mosquito-reduction strategy
   $\Lambda_V = 16667/day; \delta_V = 0.5\ /day$

3. High mosquito-reduction strategy
   $\Lambda_V = 8334/day; \delta_V = 0.75\ /day$



Figure 1: Simulation of the Malaria model (1) for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (1a). New cases of adult population with mild malaria. (1b). New cases of adult population with severe malaria. (1c). New cases of children population with mild malaria. (1d) New cases of children population with severe malaria. The parameter values used are in Table2.1 with
$q = 0, \psi_A = 0, \psi_C = 0, \delta_V = 0, \sigma_A = 0, \sigma_C = 0, \tau_C = 0, \tau_A = 0,$

Fig (1a) and (1c) indicate a decreasing pattern in both adult and children population with mild malaria while varying the recruitment rate of mosquitoes whereas Fig (1b) and (1d) show that adult and children population with severe malaria increase to a maximum value before they start decreasing gradually while varying mosquito recruitment rate.



Figure 2: Simulation of the Malaria model (1) for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (2a). New cases of adult population with mild malaria. (2b). New cases of adult population with severe malaria. (2c). New cases of children population with mild malaria. (2d) New cases of children population with severe malaria. The parameter values used are in Table 2.1 with $q = 0, \psi_A = 0, \psi_C = 0, \sigma_A = 0, \sigma_C = 0, \tau_C = 0, \tau_A = 0,$

Fig (2a) and (2c) indicate a decreasing pattern in both adult and children population with mild malaria irrespective of the level of mosquitoes insecticide spray applied whereas Fig (2b) and (2d) show that high effectiveness mosquito reduction strategy lead to a considerable reduction in the number of severe malaria cases in adult and children population compared to the moderate-effectiveness level [13, 15].

4.2 Personal Protection Strategy

Personal protection involving the use of ITN (q)reduces the exposure rate of humans to mosquitoes which in turn reduces biting rates (b_V) and the transmission of parasites between humans and (b_V) mosquitoes. We consider the following three levels [13];

1. Low personal protection strategy
   $q = 0.133/day; b_V = 0.75\ /day$

2. Moderate personal protection strategy
   $q = 0.265/day; b_V = 0.50\ /day$

3. High personal protection strategy
   $q = 0.53/day; b_V = 0.25\ /day$



Figure 3: Simulation of the Malaria model (1) for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (3a). New cases of adult population with mild malaria. (3b). New cases of adult population with severe malaria. (3c). New cases of children population with mild malaria. (3d) New cases of children population with severe malaria. The parameter values used are in Table 2.1 with
$\psi_A = 0, \psi_C = 0, \delta_V = 0, \sigma_A = 0, \sigma_C = 0, \tau_C = 0, \tau_A = 0,$

In Fig (3a) and (3d),mild malaria cases in adult reduces faster within a shorter period as compared to mild malaria cases in children whereas Fig (3b) and (3c) show that with high personal protection strategy (use of insecticide treated nets) the number of severe malaria cases in adult and children population increase considerably to a level before decreasing gradually.



Figure 4: Simulation of the Malaria model 1 for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (4a). New cases of adult population with mild malaria. (4b). New cases of adult population with severe malaria. (4c). New cases of children population with mild malaria. (4d) New cases of children population with severe malaria. The parameter values used are in Table2.1 with $q = 0, \psi_A = 0, \psi_C = 0, \delta_V = 0, \sigma_A = 0, \sigma_C = 0, \tau_C = 0, \tau_A = 0$

In Fig (4a) and (4c), mild malaria cases in adult reduces faster within a shorter period as compared to mild malaria cases in children except when the biting rate is low in children population whereas Fig (4b) and (4d) show that when there is low personal protection strategy (high biting rate)the children population with severe malaria will increase more compared to the moderate-effectiveness level and high-effectiveness level.

4.3 Vaccination Strategy

1. Low vaccination strategy
   $\psi_A = 0.25/day; \psi_C = 0.25/day$

2. Moderate vaccination strategy
   $\psi_A = 0.5/day; \psi_C = 0.5/day$

3. High vaccination strategy
   $\psi_A = 0.75/day; \psi_C = 0.75/day$



Figure 5: Simulation of the Malaria model (1) for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (5a). New cases of susceptible adult population. (5b). New cases of susceptible children population. (5c). New cases of immune adult population (5d) New cases of immune children population. The parameter values used are in Table 2.1 with $q = 0, \delta_V = 0, \sigma_A = 0, \sigma_C = 0, \tau_C = 0, \tau_A = 0$

In Fig (5a) and (5b), susceptible adult and children population reduces faster due to vaccination whereas Fig (5c) and (5d) show that vaccination increases the immune adult and children population.

4.4 Combined Strategy

This strategy combines the mosquito reduction, personal protection and vaccination strategy under the following three control levels;

1. Low Combined Control
   $\Lambda_V = 33334/day; \delta_V = 0.25/day; q = 0.133/day; b_V = 0.75/day; \psi_A = 0.25/day; \psi_C = 0.25/day$

2. Moderate Combined Control
   $\Lambda_V = 16667/day; \delta_V = 0.5/day; q = 0.265/day; b_V = 0.50/day; \psi_A = 0.5/day; \psi_C = 0.5/day$

3. High Combined Control
   $\Lambda_V = 8334/day; \delta_V = 0.75/day; q = 0.53/day; b_V = 0.25/day; \psi_A = 0.75/day; \psi_C = 0.75/day$



Figure 6: Simulation of the Malaria model (1) for various control levels of the mosquito reduction strategy. (6a). New cases of adult population with mild malaria. (6b). New cases of adult population with severe malaria. (6c). New cases of children population with mild malaria. (6d) New cases of children population with severe malaria. The parameter values used are in Table 2.1

In Fig (6a), (6b), (6c) and (6d), the presence of all the control strategies is more effective in preventing mild malaria cases in adult and children as compared to severe malaria cases in adult and children.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

For decades now, deliberate policies have been formulated to prevent and reduce the transmission of malaria with some degree of success recorded in some developed parts of the world. In this paper, we formulated and analysed a mathematical model of malaria in a heterogeneous population incorporating mosquito reduction strategy, personal protection strategy and vaccination as control strategies. These control strategies are designed to reduce the contact rates between humans and mosquitoes. Some epidemiological findings of this study are summarized below:

1. The Disease Free Equilibrium point (DFE) of the malaria model (1) is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) if $\mathcal{R}_E < 1$(unstable if $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$) and globally asymptotically stable (GAS) in D whenever $\mathcal{R}_E \leq 1$

2. The model (1) has a unique endemic(positive) equilibrium for the special case when $\omega = \omega_C = \alpha = 0$ wheneverR_E>1

3. The endemic equilibrium point of model (1) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) if $\mathcal{R}_E$>1

4. The model (1) will undergo a backward bifurcation whenever a stable disease free equilibrium point coexists with a stable endemic equilibrium point when the associated reproduction number is less than unity. However, the model (1) does not undergo the phenomenon of backward bifurcation if $\omega(1-\theta) = \omega_C = \eta_A = \alpha = 0$ Hence, this study shows that the loss of acquired immunity of recovered adults ($\omega(1-\theta)$), loss of acquired immunity of recovered children (ω_C) and the loss of likelihood (η_A) of adults getting mild infection are the causes of backward bifurcation in the malaria transmission model. However, the presence of α in the bifurcation coefficient a indicates that imbalance in growth and maturation from childhood to adulthood can equally cause backward bifurcation. Hence the model does not undergo the phenomenon of backward bifurcation so long as the recovered children and adults do not lose their acquired immunity, if the infection of mildly infected adult is not high enough to infect susceptible mosquitoes and if there is proper balance in the factors affecting growth and maturation. These growth factors include heredity, environment, exercise & health, hormones, nutrition, familial influence, geographical influence, socio-economic status, learning and reinforcement.

5. From analysis of control reproduction number, focusing on reducing mosquito population through high rate of application of insecticide will serve as an effective malaria control strategy.

6. From numerical simulation the following results were obtained

   (i) mild malaria cases are easier to control in both adult and children as compared to the severe cases.

   (ii) high mosquito biting rate causes more harm in children population than in adult population.

   (iii) the presence of all the control strategies is more effective in preventing mild malaria cases in adult and children as compared to severe malaria cases in adult and children.

7. Therefore, more effort should be put in place to prevent severe malaria cases in the population

Appendix

Proof:(Proof of Lemma 4)
The existence of endemic equilibrium of model (1) for the special case when $\omega = \omega_C = \alpha = 0$ is established as follows.
Let the EEP of the model be
$\xi^{**} = (S_A^{**}, E_A^{**}, I_{AM}^{**}, I_{AS}^{**}, R_A^{**}, R^{**}, S_C^{**}, E_C^{**}, I_{CM}^{**}, I_{CS}^{**}, R_C^{**}, Q^{**}, S_V^{**}, E_V^{**}, I_V^{**})$. . The equations in (1) with $\omega = \omega_C = \alpha = 0$ is solved in terms of the forces of infection at the steady state to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_A^{**} = \frac{\Lambda_A}{\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**}}, \quad E_A^{**} = \frac{\lambda_A^{**}\Lambda_A}{k_2(\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**})}, \quad I_{AM}^{**} = \frac{\gamma_A\phi_A\lambda_A^{**}\Lambda_A}{k_2k_3(\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**})},\\
&I_{AS}^{**} = \frac{\gamma_A\lambda_A^{**}\Lambda_A M_1}{k_2k_3k_4(\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**})}, \quad R_A^{**} = \frac{\gamma_A\lambda_A^{**}\Lambda_A M_2}{k_2k_3k_4k_5(\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**})}, \quad R^{**} = \frac{\omega\theta\gamma_A\lambda_A^{**}\Lambda_A M_2 + \psi_A\Lambda_A k_2k_3k_4k_5}{k_2k_3k_4k_5\mu_h(\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**})},\\
&S_C^{**} = \frac{\Lambda_C}{\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**}}, \quad E_C^{**} = \frac{\lambda_C^{**}\Lambda_C}{k_7(\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**})}, \quad I_{CM}^{**} = \frac{\gamma_C\phi_C\lambda_C^{**}\Lambda_C}{k_7k_8(\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**})},\\
&I_{CS}^{**} = \frac{\gamma_C\lambda_C^{**}\Lambda_C M_3}{k_7k_8k_9(\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**})}, \quad R_C^{**} = \frac{\gamma_C\lambda_C^{**}\Lambda_C M_4}{k_7k_8k_9k_{10}(\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**})}, \quad Q^{**} = \frac{\psi_C\Lambda_C}{\mu_h(\psi_C + \mu_C + \lambda_C^{**})},\\
&S_V^{**} = \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V + \mu_V + \lambda_V^{**}}, \quad E_V^{**} = \frac{\lambda_V^{**}\Lambda_V}{k_{12}(\delta_V + \mu_V + \lambda_V^{**})}, \quad I_V^{**} = \frac{\gamma_V\lambda_V^{**}\Lambda_V}{k_{12}k_{13}(\delta_V + \mu_V + \lambda_V^{**})}
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&k_1 = (\psi_A + \mu_A), K_2 = (\gamma_A + \mu_A), k_3 = (\sigma_A + \delta_{AM} + \chi_A + \mu_A), k_4 = (\tau_A + \delta_{AS} + \mu_A)\\
&k_5 = (\omega + \mu_A), k_6 = (\psi_C + \alpha + \mu_C), k_7 = (\gamma_C + \mu_C)k_8 = (\sigma_C + \delta_{CM} + \chi_C + \mu_C), k_9 = (\tau_C + \delta_{CS} + \mu_C)\\
&k_{10} = (\omega_C + \alpha + \mu_C), k_{11} = (\delta_V + \mu_V), k_{12} = (\gamma_V + \delta_V + \mu_V), k_{13} = (\delta_V + \mu_V), k_{14} = (\mu_C + \alpha)\\
&M_1 = (1 - \phi_A)k_3 + \chi_A\phi_A, \quad M_2 = \sigma_A\phi_A k_4 + \tau_A(1 - \phi_A)k_3 + \tau_A\chi_A\phi_A,\\
&M_3 = (1 - \phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C\phi_C, \quad M_4 = \sigma_C\phi_C k_9 + \tau_C M_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values of I_V^{**} into $\lambda_A^{**}, \lambda_C^{**}$ gives

$$
\lambda_A^{**} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V\gamma_V\Lambda_V\lambda_V^{**}}{N_A k_{13}k_{12}(\delta_V + \mu_V + \lambda_V^{**})} \tag{10a}
$$

$$
\lambda_C^{**} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V\gamma_V\Lambda_V\lambda_V^{**}}{N_C k_{13}k_{12}(\delta_V + \mu_V + \lambda_V^{**})} \tag{10b}
$$

.

Substituting the values of $I_{AM}^{**}, I_{AS}^{**}, I_{CM}^{**}, I_{CS}^{**}$ into λ_V^{**} gives

$$
\lambda_V^{**} = \frac{\beta_V b_V}{N_h}\left(\frac{D_3\lambda_A^{**}}{\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**}} + \frac{\eta_A D_4\lambda_A^{**}}{\psi_A + \mu_A + \lambda_A^{**}} + \frac{\eta D_6\lambda_C^{**}}{\psi_C + \mu_A + \lambda_C^{**}} + \frac{\eta\eta_C D_5\lambda_C^{**}}{\psi_C + \mu_A + \lambda_C^{**}}\right) \tag{11}
$$

Where

$D_3 = \frac{\gamma_A \Lambda_A M_1}{k_2 k_3 k_4}$, $D_4 = \frac{\gamma_A \phi_A \Lambda_A}{k_2 k_3}$, $D_5 = \frac{\gamma_C \phi_C \Lambda_C}{k_7 k_8}$, $D_6 = \frac{\gamma_C \Lambda_C M_3}{k_7 k_8 k_9}$,
Substituting $\lambda_A^{**}, \lambda_C^{**}$ into λ_V^{**} and simplifying gives

$$\lambda_V^{**}(A_1 \lambda_V^{**2} + A_2 \lambda_V^{**} + A_3) = 0 \tag{12}$$

Which implies either $\lambda_V^{**} = 0$ or

$$A_1 \lambda_V^{**2} + A_2 \lambda_V^{**} + A_3 = 0 \tag{13}$$

Where

$$A_1 = N_h \left[\psi_A + \mu_A + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\right]\left[\psi_C + \mu_C + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\right] > 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = {} & N_h \left[k_1 k_{11}\left(k_6 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\right) + \left(k_1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\right) k_6 k_{11}\right] \\ & - \beta_V b_V \left[\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\left(\frac{\gamma_A \Lambda_A}{k_2 k_3 k_4}((1-\phi_A)k_3 + \chi_A \phi_A) + \frac{\eta_A \gamma_A \phi_A \Lambda_A}{k_2 k_3}\right)\left(k_6 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\right)\right] \\ & - \beta_V b_V \left[\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \eta}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\left(\frac{\gamma_C \Lambda_C}{k_7 k_8 k_9}((1-\phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C \phi_C) + \frac{\gamma_C \phi_C \Lambda_C \eta_C}{k_7 k_8}\right)\left(k_1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{15a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = {} & N_h k_1 k_{11}\left(k_6 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\right)\left[1 - \left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_V b_V \beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \gamma_A \Lambda_A[(1-\phi_A)k_3 + \chi_A \phi_A + \eta_A \phi_A k_4]}{N_h k_1 N_A k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_2 k_3 k_4}\right)\right. \\ & + N_h k_6 k_{11}\left(k_1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\right)\left[1 - \left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_V b_V \beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \gamma_C \Lambda_C[(1-\phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C \phi_C + \phi_C \eta_C k_9]}{N_h k_6 N_C k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_7 k_8 k_9}\right)\right. \end{aligned} \tag{15b}$$

$$A_2 = N_h k_1 k_{11}\left(k_6 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\right)[1 - R_V R_A] + N_h k_6 k_{11}\left(k_1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\right)[1 - R_V R_C] \tag{15c}$$

.

$$A_2 < 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{R}_V \mathcal{R}_A > 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_V \mathcal{R}_C > 1,\ A_2 > 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{R}_V \mathcal{R}_A < 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_V \mathcal{R}_C < 1$$

$$A_3 = k_{11}\begin{bmatrix} N_h k_1 k_6 k_{11} - \frac{\beta_V b_V (1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V}{N_A k_{12} k_{13}}\left(\frac{\gamma_A \Lambda_A}{k_2 k_3 k_4}((1-\phi_A)k_3 + \chi_A \phi_A) + \frac{\eta_A \gamma_A \phi_A \Lambda_A}{k_2 k_3}\right) k_6 \\ - \frac{\beta_V b_V k_1 (1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \eta}{N_C k_{12} k_{13}}\left(\frac{\gamma_C \Lambda_C}{k_7 k_8 k_9}((1-\phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C \phi_C) + \frac{\eta_C \gamma_C \phi_C \Lambda_C}{k_7 k_8}\right) k_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{16a}$$

$$A_3 = k_{11}^2 N_h k_1 k_6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_V b_V \beta_A b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \gamma_A \Lambda_A}{N_h k_1 N_A k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_2 k_3 k_4}[(1-\phi_A)k_3 + \chi_A \phi_A + \eta_A \phi_A k_4] \\ - \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_V b_V \beta_C b_V \gamma_V \Lambda_V \gamma_V \Lambda_C}{N_h k_6 N_c k_{11} k_{12} k_{13} k_7 k_8 k_9}[(1-\phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C \phi_C + \eta_C \phi_C k_9] \end{bmatrix} \tag{16b}$$

$$A_3 = k_{11}^2 N_h k_1 k_6 (1 - \mathcal{R}_V(\mathcal{R}_A + \mathcal{R}_C)) \tag{16c}$$

$A_3 > 0$ if $\mathcal{R}_v(\mathcal{R}_A + \mathcal{R}_C) < 1$

$A_3 < 0$ if $\mathcal{R}_v(\mathcal{R}_A + \mathcal{R}_C) > 1$

The model (1) has a unique endemic(positive) equilibrium for the special case when $\omega = \omega_C = \alpha = 0$ *whenever* $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$

Proof:(Proof of Lemma 5)

For model (1), let

$(x_1 = S_A, x_2 = E_A, x_3 = I_{AM}, x_4 = I_{AS}, x_5 = R_A, x_6 = R, x_7 = S_C, x_8 = E_C, x_9 = I_{CM}, x_{10} = I_{CS}, x_{11} = R_C, x_{12} = Q, x_{13} = S_V, x_{14} = E_V, x_{15} = I_V)$.

Thus, the model can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= \Lambda_A - k_1 x_1 + (1-\theta)\omega x_5 + \alpha x_7 - \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \beta_v b_v}{N_A N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_C x_9))x_1 = f_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \beta_V b_V}{N_A N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_C x_9))x_1 - k_2 x_2 = f_2 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= \gamma_A \phi_A x_2 - k_3 x_3 = f_3 \\
\dot{x}_4 &= (1-\phi_A)\gamma_A x_2 + \chi_A x_3 - k_4 x_4 = f_4 \\
\dot{x}_5 &= \sigma_A x_3 + \tau_A x_4 + \alpha x_{11} - k_5 x_5 = f_5 \\
\dot{x}_6 &= \omega\theta x_5 + \psi_A x_1 + \alpha x_{12} - \mu_h x_6 = f_6 \\
\dot{x}_7 &= \Lambda_C - k_6 x_7 + \omega_C x_{11} - \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_v \beta_V b_V}{N_C N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_C x_9))x_7 = f_7 \\
\dot{x}_8 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \beta_V b_V}{N_C N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_C x_9))x_7 - k_7 x_8 = f_8 \\
\dot{x}_9 &= \gamma_C \phi_C x_8 - k_8 x_9 = f_9 \\
\dot{x}_{10} &= (1-\phi_C)\gamma_C x_8 + \chi_C x_9 - k_9 x_{10} = f_{10} \\
\dot{x}_{11} &= \sigma_C x_9 + \tau_C x_{10} - k_{10} x_{11} = f_{11} \\
\dot{x}_{12} &= \psi_C x_7 - k_{14} x_{12} = f_{12} \\
\dot{x}_{13} &= \Lambda_V - \frac{\beta_V b_V}{N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_c x_9))x_{13} - k_{11} x_{13} = f_{13} \\
\dot{x}_{14} &= \frac{\beta_V b_V}{N_h}(x_4 + \eta_A x_3 + \eta(x_{10} + \eta_C x_9))x_{13} - k_{12} x_{14} = f_{14} \\
\dot{x}_{15} &= \gamma_V x_{14} - k_{13} x_{15} = f_{15}
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

Consider the case when $\beta_c = \beta_c^*$ is chosen as the bifurcation parameter. Solving for $\beta_c = \beta_c^*$ from $\mathcal{R}_E = 1$ gives

$$
\beta_C^* = \frac{N_C k_7 k_8 k_9 N_h k_{12} k_{13}\left[1 - \frac{\beta_V b_V S_V^* \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V S_A^* \beta_A \gamma_A [(1-\phi_A)k_3 + \phi_A(\eta_A k_4 + \chi_A)]}{N_h k_{12} k_{13} N_A k_2 k_3 k_4}\right]}{\beta_V b_V S_V^* \gamma_V (1-\varepsilon q) b_V S_C^* \gamma_C \eta[(1-\phi_C)k_8 + \phi_C(\eta_C k_9 + \chi_C)]}
$$

The Jacobian $J(\xi^*)$ of the transformed system (17) evaluated at the disease-free equilibrium with $\beta_C = \beta_C^*$ gives

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1-\theta)\omega & 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_1 \\
0 & -k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_2 \\
0 & \gamma_A\phi_A & -k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (1-\phi_A)\gamma_A & \chi_A & -k_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_A & \tau_A & -k_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\psi_A & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega\theta & -\mu_A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_c & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_C\phi_C & -k_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1-\phi_C)\gamma_C & \chi_C & -k_9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_C & \tau_C & -k_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & j_5 & j_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_7 & j_8 & 0 & 0 & -k_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & j_9 & j_{10} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j_{11} & j_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_v & -k_{13}
\end{pmatrix}$$

where,

$j_1 = \frac{-(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V x_1}{N_A}$, $j_2 = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V x_1}{N_A}$, $j_3 = \frac{-(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V x_7}{N_C}$, $j_4 = \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V x_7}{N_V}$,

$j_5 = \frac{-\beta_V b_V \eta_A x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_6 = \frac{-\beta_V b_V x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_7 = \frac{-\beta_V b_V \eta\eta_C x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_8 = \frac{-\beta_V b_V \eta x_{13}}{N_h}$,

$j_9 = \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta_A x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_{10} = \frac{\beta_V b_V x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_{11} = \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta\eta_V x_{13}}{N_h}$, $j_{12} = \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta x_{13}}{N_h}$

The right eigen vector of $J(\xi^*)$ is given by $w = (w_1, w_2, ...w_{15})^T$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V g_5 w_{15}}{N_A k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5 N_c k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}} \\
w_2 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V x_1 w_{15}}{N_A k_2} > 0 \\
w_3 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\gamma_A \phi_A \beta_A b_V x_1 w_{15}}{N_A k_2 k_3} > 0 \\
w_4 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\gamma_A \phi_A \beta_A b_V x_1 w_{15}[(1-\theta)k_3 + \chi_A \phi_A]}{N_A k_2 k_3 k_4} > 0 \\
w_5 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V g_4 w_{15}}{N_A k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5 N_c k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}} > 0 \\
w_6 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q) b_V g_7 w_{15}}{\mu_A N_A k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5 N_c k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10} k_{14} k_6} \\
w_7 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V x_7 w_{15}[\omega_C \gamma_C (\sigma_C k_9 \phi_C + \tau_C g_3) - k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}]}{N_C k_6 k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}} \\
w_8 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V x_7 w_{15}}{N_C k_7} > 0 \\
w_9 &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \gamma_C \phi_C x_7 w_{15}}{N_c k_7 k_8} > 0 \\
w_{10} &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\gamma_C \beta_C b_V x_7 w_{15}[(1-\phi_C)k_8 + \chi_C \phi_C]}{N_C k_7 k_8 k_9} > 0 \\
w_{11} &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\gamma_C \beta_C b_V x_7 w_{15}[\sigma_C k_9 \phi_C + \tau_C g_3]}{N_C k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}} > 0 \\
w_{12} &= \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\gamma_C \beta_C b_V x_7 w_{15} \psi_C [\omega_C \gamma_C (\sigma_C k_9 \phi_C + \tau_C g_3) - k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}]}{N_C k_6 k_7 K_8 k_9 k_{10} k_{14}} \\
w_{13} &= \frac{-\beta_V b_V (1-\varepsilon q) x_{13} b_V w_{15}[\gamma_A \beta_A x_1 g_{11} N_C k_7 k_8 k_9 + \beta_C \gamma_C x_7 \eta g_{12} N_A k_2 k_3 k_4]}{N_h k_{11} N_A k_2 k_3 k_4 N_C k_7 k_8 k_9} \\
w_{14} &= \frac{k_{13} w_{15}}{\gamma_V} > 0 \\
w_{15} &= w_{15} > 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g_1 = k_3(1-\phi_A) + \chi_A \phi_A, \quad g_2 = \sigma_C k_9 \phi_C + \tau_C g_3, \quad g_3 = k_8(1-\phi_C) + \chi_C \phi_C \\
&g_4 = x_1 \gamma_A \beta_A N_C k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10}(\phi_A k_4 + \tau_A g_1) + \alpha \gamma_C \beta_C x_7 g_2 N_A k_2 k_3 k_4 \\
&g_5 = k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5 k_8 k_9 k_{10}(\beta_C x_7 N_A \alpha - \beta_A x_1 N_C k_7) + (1-\theta)\omega g_4, \ g_6 = \omega_C \gamma_C (\sigma_C k_9 \phi_C + \tau_C g_3) - k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{10} \\
&g_7 = \psi_A g_5 k_6 k_{14} + \omega \theta k_6 g_4 k_1 k_{14} + \alpha \beta_C x_7 \psi_c g_6 N_A k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 k_5
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $J(\xi^*)_{\beta_c=\beta_c^*}$ has a left eigenvector $v=(v_1,v_2,...,v_{15})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= v_5 = v_6 = v_7 = v_{11} = v_{12} = v_{13} = 0\\
v_2 &= \frac{\beta_V b_V \gamma_V \gamma_A x_{13} v_{15}[\phi_A g_8 + (1-\phi_A)k_3]}{N_h k_2 K_3 k_4 k_{12}}\\
v_3 &= \frac{\beta_V b_V \gamma_V x_{13} v_{15}[\chi_A - \eta_A k_4]}{N_h k_3 k_4 k_{12}}\\
v_4 &= \frac{\beta_V b_V \gamma_V x_{13} v_{15}}{N_h k_4 k_{12}} > 0\\
v_8 &= \frac{\beta_C b_V \eta \gamma_V \gamma_C x_{13} v_{15}[\phi_C g_{10} + (1-\phi_C)k_8]}{N_h k_7 k_8 k_9 k_{12}} > 0\\
v_9 &= \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta \gamma_V x_{13} v_{15}[\chi_c + \eta_c k_9]}{N_h k_8 k_9 k_{12}} > 0\\
v_{10} &= \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta \gamma_V x_{13} v_{15}}{N_h k_9 k_{12}} > 0\\
v_{14} &= \frac{\gamma_V v_{15}}{k_{12}} > 0\\
v_{15} &= v_{15}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Where
$g_8 = \chi_A - \eta_A k_4, \quad g_{10} = \chi_C - \eta_C k_9, \quad g_{11} = \phi_A \eta_A k_4 + k_3(1-\phi_A) + \chi_A \phi_A, \quad g_{12} = \phi_C \eta_C k_9 + g_3$
The associated non-zero partial derivatives of system (17) evaluated at the DFE gives the associated bifurcation coefficients a and b defined by

$$a = \sum_{k,i,j=1}^{n} v_k w_i w_j \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0,0)$$
$$b = \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} v_k w_i \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_j \partial \beta_c^*}(0,0)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
a = {} & 2v_2 w_1 w_4 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \beta_V b_V}{N_A N_h} + 2v_2 w_1 w_3 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \beta_V b_V \eta_A}{N_A N_h} + 2v_2 w_1 w_{10} \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V \beta_V b_V \eta}{N_A N_h}\\
& + 2v_2 w_1 w_9 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_v \beta_V b_V \eta \eta_C}{N_A N_h} + 2v_8 w_4 w_7 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \beta_V b_V}{N_C N_h} + 2v_8 w_3 w_7 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \beta_V b_V \eta_A}{N_C N_h}\\
& + 2v_8 w_7 w_{10} \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \beta_V b_V \eta}{N_C N_h} + 2v_8 w_7 w_9 \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V \beta_V b_V \eta \eta_C}{N_C N_h} + 2v_{14} w_4 w_{13} \frac{\beta_V b_V}{N_h}\\
& + 2v_{14} w_4 w_{13} \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta_A}{N_h} + 2v_{14} w_{10} w_{13} \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta}{N_h} + 2v_{14} w_9 w_{13} \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta \eta_C}{N_h}
\end{aligned} \tag{20a}$$

$$a = G_1 + G_2 - G_3 - G_4 - G_5 \tag{20b}$$

. where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= \frac{2(1-\varepsilon q)^2\beta_A b_V^4\beta_V^2(R_A+R_C)\gamma_V\gamma_A x_{13}v_{15}w_{15}}{N_A^2N_h^2N_Ck_1k_2^2k_3^2k_4^2k_5k_7k_8k_9k_{10}k_{12}}\begin{bmatrix}\phi_A\chi_Ak_2k_3k_4k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_C^*x_7N_A\alpha+\phi_A\chi_A(1-\theta)\omega g_4\\ +\phi_A\eta_Ak_4^2k_2k_3k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_Ax_1N_Ck_7\\ +(1-\phi_A)k_2k_3^2k_4k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_C^*x_7N_A\alpha+(1-\phi_A)k_3(1-\theta)\omega g_4\end{bmatrix}w_{15}\\
G_2 &= \frac{2(1-\varepsilon q)^2\beta_C^{*2}b_V^4\beta_V^2(R_A+R_C)x_7\eta\gamma_V\gamma_Cx_{13}v_{15}w_{15}}{N_C^2N_h^2k_6k_7^2k_8^2k_9^2k_{10}k_{12}}\begin{bmatrix}\phi_Cg_{10}\omega_C\gamma_C\sigma_Ck_9\phi_C+\phi_Cg_{10}\omega_C\gamma_C\tau_Cg_3\\ +(1-\phi_C)k_8\omega_C\gamma_C\sigma_Ck_9\phi_C+(1-\phi_C)k_8\omega_C\gamma_C\tau_Cg_3\end{bmatrix}w_{15}\\
G_3 &= \frac{2(1-\varepsilon q)^2\beta_A b_V^4\beta_V^2(R_A+R_C)\gamma_V\gamma_Ax_{13}v_{15}w_{15}}{N_A^2N_h^2N_Ck_1k_2^2k_3^2k_4^2k_5k_7k_8k_9k_{10}k_{12}}\begin{bmatrix}\phi_A\chi_Ak_2k_3k_4k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_Ax_1N_Ck_7+\phi_A\eta_Ak_4(1-\theta)\omega g_4\\ +\phi_A\eta_Ak_4^2k_2k_3k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_C^*x_7N_A\alpha\\ +(1-\phi_A)k_3^2k_2k_4k_5k_8k_9k_{10}\beta_Ax_1N_Ck_7\end{bmatrix}w_{15}\\
G_4 &= \frac{2(1-\varepsilon q)^2\beta_C^{*2}b_V^4\beta_V^2(R_A+R_C)x_7\eta\gamma_V\gamma_Cx_{13}v_{15}w_{15}}{N_C^2N_h^2k_6k_7^2k_8^2k_9^2k_{10}k_{12}}\left[\phi_Cg_{10}k_7k_8k_9k_{10}+(1-\phi_C)k_8^2k_7k_9k_{10}\right]w_{15}\\
G_5 &= \frac{2(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_V(R_A+R_C)}{N_h}\left[\frac{\gamma_Vv_{15}\beta_vx_{13}b_v[\gamma_A\beta_Ax_1g_{11}N_Ck_7k_8k_9+\beta_C^*\gamma_Cx_7\eta g_{12}N_Ak_2k_3k_4]}{N_hN_Ak_2k_3k_4N_ck_7k_8k_9k_{11}k_{12}}\right]w_{15}
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

with $g_1,\ g_2,\ g_3,\ g_{10},\ g_{11},\ g_{12}>0$

$$
b=\sum_{k,i=1}^{n}v_kw_i\frac{\partial^2f_k}{\partial x_i\partial\beta_C^*}(0,0)
$$

$$
b=v_8w_4\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_Vb_vx_7}{N_CN_h}+v_8w_3\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_Vb_V\eta_Ax_7}{N_CN_h}+v_8w_{10}\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_Vb_V\eta x_7}{N_CN_h}+v_8w_9\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_Vb_V\eta\eta_Cx_7}{N_CN_h} \tag{22a}
$$

$$
b=\frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_Vb_vb_vx_7(R_A+R_C)\beta_Vb_V\eta\gamma_Vx_{13}\gamma_Cv_{15}[\phi_Cg_{10}+(1-\phi_C)k_8]w_{15}}{N_CN_hN_hk_7k_8k_9k_{12}}>0 \tag{22b}
$$

. Since the bifurcation coefficient is positive. It follows from theorem 2 of ([16]) that the transformed model (17) will undergo a backward bifurcation if the bifurcation coefficient a is positive. The phenomenon of backward bifurcation examines the scenario where a stable DFE coexist with a stable EEP when the associated reproduction number is less than unity.

The epidemiological implication of the backward bifurcation of the model (1) is that the classical requirement of the reproduction number being less than unity becomes only a necessity, but not sufficient condition for malaria control. However, if we set $\omega(1-\theta)=\omega_C=\eta_A=\alpha=0$ in the expression for a in (20b), the bifurcation parameter becomes negative. Thus, it follows from the a Castillo Chavez theorem in [16], that model (1) does not undergo the phenomenon of backward bifurcation if $\omega(1-\theta)=\omega_C=\eta_A=\alpha=0$.

Proof:(Proof of Lemma 6)

To prove the global asymptotic stability of DFE we use a previously described approach in [13]. Let $X=(S_A,R_A,R,S_C,R_C,Q,S_V)$ and $Z=(E_A,I_{AM},I_{AS},E_C,I_{CM},I_{CS},E_V,I_V)$ and writing the model equation (1) in the form

$\frac{dX}{dt}=F(X,0),\quad \frac{dZ}{dt}=G(X,Z)$, where $E_A=I_{AM}=I_{AS}=E_C=I_{CM}=I_{CS}=E_V=I_V=0$

with $F(X,0)$ being the RHS of $\dot{S}_A,\dot{R}_A,\dot{R},\dot{S}_C,\dot{R}_C,\dot{Q},\dot{S}_V$ and $G(X,Z)$ the RHS of

$\dot{E}_A, \dot{I}_{AM}, \dot{I}_{AS}, \dot{E}_C, \dot{I}_{CM}, \dot{I}_{CS}, \dot{E}_V, \dot{I}_V$

Next, consider the reduced system: $\dfrac{dX}{dt} = F(X, 0)$ given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{S}_A &= \Lambda_A - (\psi_A + \mu_A)S_A + (1-\theta)\omega R_A + \alpha S_C \\
\dot{R}_A &= -(\omega + \mu_A)R_A + \alpha R_C \\
\dot{R} &= \omega\theta R_A + \Psi_A S_A - \mu_A R + \alpha Q \\
\dot{S}_C &= \Lambda_C - (\psi_C + \alpha + \mu_C)S_C + \omega_C R_C \\
\dot{R}_C &= -(\omega_C + \alpha + \mu_C)R_C \\
\dot{Q} &= \psi_C S_C - (\alpha + \mu_C)Q \\
\dot{S}_V &= \Lambda_V - (\delta_V + \mu_V)S_V
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X^* &= (S_A^*, R_A^*, R^*, S_C^*, R_C^*, Q^*, S_v^*) \\
&= \left(\frac{\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, 0, \frac{\psi_A[\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C](\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\psi_C\Lambda_C(\psi_A+\mu_A)}{\mu_A(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \frac{\Lambda_C}{(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, 0, \frac{\psi_C\Lambda_C}{(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V+\mu_V} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

be an equilibrium of the reduced system (23), we now show that X^* is a globally stable equilibrium in D by solving equations (23) and taking limit as $t \to \infty$

Solving for $S_A(t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S_A(t) =& S_A(0)e^{-(\psi_A+\mu_A)t} + \frac{\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}(1-e^{-(\psi_A+\mu_A)t}) \\
&+ \left[\int_0^t (1-\theta)\omega R_A(\tau)e^{(\psi_A+\mu_A)t}d\tau\right] e^{-(\psi_A+\mu_A)t}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} S_A(t) = \dfrac{\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$

Solving for $R_A(t)$ gives

$$R_A(t) = R_A(0)e^{-(\omega+\mu_A)t} + \left[\int_0^t \alpha R_C(\tau)e^{(\omega+\mu_A)t}d\tau\right] e^{-(\omega+\mu_A)t} \tag{26}$$

$\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} R_A(t) = 0$ Solving for $R_A(t)$ and substituting the value of $S_A(t)$ in the expression obtained gives

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t) =& R(0)e^{-\mu_A t} + \left(\frac{\psi_A[\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C](\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\psi_C\Lambda_C(\psi_A+\mu_A)}{\mu_A(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}\right)(1-e^{-\mu_A t}) \\
&+ \left[\int_0^t \omega\theta R_A(\tau)e^{\mu_A t}d\tau\right] e^{-\mu_A t}
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} R_A(t) = \dfrac{\psi_A[\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C](\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\psi_C\Lambda_C(\psi_A+\mu_A)}{\mu_A(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$

Solving for $S_C(t)$ gives

$$S_C(t) = S_C(0)e^{-(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)t} + \frac{\Lambda_C}{(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}(1-e^{-(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)t}) + \left[\int_0^t \omega_C R_C(\tau)e^{(\psi_C+\alpha\mu_C)t}d\tau\right]e^{-(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)t} \tag{28}$$

$\lim_{t\to\infty} S_C(t) = \frac{\Lambda_C}{(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$

Solving for $R_C(t)$ gives

$$R_C(t) = R_C(0)e^{-(\omega_C+\alpha+\mu_C)t} \tag{29}$$

$\lim_{t\to\infty} R_C(t) = 0$

Solving for $Q(t)$ and substituting the value of $S_C(t)$ in the expression obtained gives

$$Q(t) = \left[Q(0) + \frac{\psi_C\Lambda_C}{(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}e^{(\alpha+\mu_C)t}\right]e^{-(\alpha+\mu_C)t} \tag{30}$$

$\lim_{t\to\infty} Q(t) = \frac{\psi_C\Lambda_C}{(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$

Solving for $S_V(t)$ gives

$$S_V(t) = \left[S_V(0) + \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V+\mu_V}e^{(\delta_V+\mu_V)t} - 1\right]e^{-(\delta_V+\mu_V)t} \tag{31}$$

taking limit of $S_V(t)$ as $t\to\infty$ gives

$\lim_{t\to\infty} S_V(t) = \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V+\mu_V}$

The asymptotic dynamics are independent of initial conditions in D. Hence, the solutions of (23) converge globally in D. According to previous study [16] it is required to show that $G(X,Z)$ satisfies the two stated conditions

(i) $G(X,0) = 0$ and

(ii) $G(X,Z) = D_z G(X^*,0)Z - \hat{G}(X,Z),\ \hat{G}(X,Z) \geq 0$ where

$$(X^*,0) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, 0, \frac{\psi_A[\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C](\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\psi_C\Lambda_C(\psi_A+\mu_A)}{\mu_A(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, \\ \frac{\Lambda_C}{(\psi_c+\alpha+\mu_C)}, 0, \frac{\psi_C\Lambda_C}{(\alpha+\mu_C)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}, \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V+\mu_V}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$D_z G(X^*,0)$ is the Jacobian of $G(X,Z)$ taken with respect to the infected classes and evaluated at $(X^*,0)$.

$$D_zG(X^*,0)Z = \begin{pmatrix} -k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V S_A^*}{N_A} \\ \gamma_A\phi_A & -k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (1-\phi_A)\gamma_A & \chi_A & -k_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V S_C^*}{N_C} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_C\phi_C & -k_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1-\phi_C)\gamma_C & \chi_C & -k_9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta_A S_V^*}{N_h} & \frac{\beta_V b_V S_V^*}{N_h} & 0 & \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta\eta_C S_V^*}{N_h} & \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta S_V^*}{N_h} & -k_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_V & -k_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{G}(X,Z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_A b_V S_A^*}{N_A^*}\left(1-\frac{N_A^*}{S_A^*}\frac{S_A}{N_A}\right) I_V \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{(1-\varepsilon q)\beta_C b_V S_C^*}{N_C^*}\left(1-\frac{N_C^*}{S_C^*}\frac{S_C}{N_C}\right) I_V \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \left(\begin{matrix}\frac{\beta_V b_V \eta_A S_V^*}{N_h^*} I_{AM} + \frac{\beta_V b_V S_V^*}{N_h^*} I_{AS} \\ +\frac{\beta_V b_V \eta\eta_C S_V^*}{N_h^*} I_{CM} + \frac{\beta_V b_V \eta S_V^*}{N_h^*} I_{CS}\end{matrix}\right)\left(1-\frac{N_h^*}{S_V^*}\frac{S_V}{N_h}\right) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since we have
$S_A^* = \frac{\Lambda_A(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)+\alpha\Lambda_C}{(\psi_A+\mu_A)(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$, $S_C^* = \frac{\Lambda_C}{(\psi_C+\alpha+\mu_C)}$, $S_V^* = \frac{\Lambda_V}{\delta_V+\mu_V}$

In D, $S_A \le S_A^*$, $S_C \le S_C^*$, $S_V \le S_V^*$, $N_h = N_C + N_A$ and thus $N_h \le N_h^*$
If the human population is at equilibrium, we have $\left(1-\frac{N_A^*}{S_A^*}\frac{S_A}{N_A}\right) > 0$, $\left(1-\frac{N_C^*}{S_C^*}\frac{S_C}{N_C}\right) > 0$, $\left(1-\frac{N_h^*}{S_V^*}\frac{S_V}{N_h}\right) > 0$; thus $\hat{G}(X,Z) \ge 0$. Therefore, the DFE is globally asymptotically stable by the theorem in [16].

Proof:(Proof of Lemma 7)
Suppose $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$ then the existence of the endemic equilibrium point is guaranteed. Using the common quadratic Lyapunov function,

$$V(x_1,x_2,x_3,...x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{2}(x_i - x_i^*)^2 \tag{32}$$

As formerly illustrated [20], we consider a Lyapunov function with the following state variables

$$\begin{aligned}
&V(S_A, E_A, I_{AM}, I_{AS}, R_A, R, S_C, E_C, I_{CM}, I_{CS}, R_C, Q, S_V, E_V, I_V) = \\
&\frac{1}{2}[(S_A - S_A^*) + (E_A - E_A^*) + (I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) + (I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) + (R_A - R_A^*) + (R - R^*)]^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[(S_C - S_C^*) + (E_C - E_C^*) + (I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) + (I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) + (R_C - R_C^*) + (Q - Q^*)]^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[(S_V - S_V^*) + (E_V - E_V^*) + (I_V - I_V^*)]^2
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Now, differentiating (33) along the solution curve of (32) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dV}{dt} = [(S_A - S_A^*) + (E_A - E_A^*) + (I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) + (I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) + (R_A - R_A^*) + (R - R^*)]\times \\
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_A + E_A + I_{AM} + I_{AS} + R_A + R) + \\
&+ [(S_c - S_c^*) + (E_c - E_c^*) + (I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) + (I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) + (R_C - R_C^*) + (Q - Q^*)]\times \\
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_C + E_C + I_{CM} + I_{CS} + R_C + Q) + [(S_V - S_V^*) + (E_V - E_V^*) + (I_V - I_V^*)]\times \\
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_V + E_V + I_V)
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

from (32), it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_A + E_A + I_{AM} + I_{AS} + R_A + R) = \Lambda_A - \mu_A(S_A + E_A + I_{AM} + I_{AS} + R_A + R) - \delta_{AM} I_{AM} - \delta_{AS} I_{AS} \\
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_C + E_C + I_{CM} + I_{CS} + R_C + Q) = \Lambda_C - \mu_C(S_C + E_C + I_{CM} + I_{CS} + R_C + Q) - \delta_{CM} I_{CM} - \delta_{CS} I_{CS} \\
&\frac{d}{dt}(S_v + E_v + I_V) = \Lambda_V - (\mu_V + \delta_V)(S_V + E_V + I_V)
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Plugging (34) to (35) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{dV}{dt} = [(S_A - S_A^*) + (E_A - E_A^*) + (I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) + (I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) + (R_A - R_A^*) + (R - R^*)]\times \\
&(\Lambda_A - \mu_A(S_A + E_A + I_{AM} + I_{AS} + R_A + R) - \delta_{AM} I_{AM} - \delta_{AS} I_{AS}) + \\
&+ [(S_C - S_C^*) + (E_C - E_C^*) + (I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) + (I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) + (R_C - R_C^*) + (Q - Q^*)]\times \\
&(\Lambda_C - \mu_C(S_C + E_C + I_{CM} + I_{CS} + R_C + Q) - \delta_{CM} I_{CM} - \delta_{CS} I_{CS}) + [(S_V - S_V^*) + (E_V - E_V^*) + \\
&(I_V - I_V^*)] \times (\Lambda_V - (\mu_V + \delta_V)(S_V + E_V + I_V))
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Now assuming,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_A &= \mu_A\left(S_A^* + E_A^* + I_{AM}^* + I_{AS}^* + R_A^* + R^*\right) + \delta_{AM} I_{AM}^* + \delta_{AS} I_{AS}^* \\ \Lambda_C &= \mu_C\left(S_C^* + E_C^* + I_{CM}^* + I_{CS}^* + R_C^* + Q^*\right) + \delta_{CM} I_{CM}^* + \delta_{CS} I_{CS}^* \\ \Lambda_V &= \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)\left(S_V^* + E_V^* + I_V^*\right)\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Substituting (37) into (36)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{dV}{dt} = \left[\left(S_A - S_A^*\right) + \left(E_A - E_A^*\right) + \left(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*\right) + \left(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*\right) + \left(R_A - R_A^*\right) + \left(R - R^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left(\begin{array}{l} \mu_A\left(S_A^* + E_A^* + I_{AM}^* + I_{AS}^* + R_A^* + R^*\right) + \delta_{AM} I_{AM}^* + \delta_{AS} I_{AS}^* \\ -\mu_A\left(S_A + E_A + I_{AM} + I_{AS} + R_A + R\right) - \delta_{AM} I_{AM} - \delta_{AS} I_{AS} \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \left[\left(S_C - S_C^*\right) + \left(E_C - E_C^*\right) + \left(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*\right) + \left(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*\right) + \left(R_C - R_C^*\right) + \left(Q - Q^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left(\begin{array}{l} \mu_C\left(S_C^* + E_C^* + I_{CM}^* + I_{CS}^* + R_C^* + Q^*\right) + \delta_{CM} I_{CM}^* + \delta_{CS} I_{CS}^* \\ -\mu_C\left(S_C + E_C + I_{CM} + I_{CS} + R_C + Q\right) - \delta_{CM} I_{CM} - \delta_{CS} I_{CS} \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \left[\left(S_V - S_V^*\right) + \left(E_V - E_V^*\right) + \left(I_V - I_V^*\right)\right] \times \left(\left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)\left(S_V^* + E_V^* + I_V^*\right) - \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)\left(S_V + E_V + I_V\right)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{dV}{dt} = \left[\left(S_A - S_A^*\right) + \left(E_A - E_A^*\right) + \left(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*\right) + \left(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*\right) + \left(R_A - R_A^*\right) + \left(R - R^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[\begin{array}{l} -\mu_A(S_A - S_A^*) - \mu_A(E_A - E_A^*) - \mu_A(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) - \mu_A(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) - \mu_A(R_A - R_A^*) - \mu_A(R - R^*) \\ -\delta_{AM}(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) - \delta_{AS}(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) \end{array} \right] \\ &+ \left[\left(S_C - S_C^*\right) + \left(E_C - E_C^*\right) + \left(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*\right) + \left(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*\right) + \left(R_C - R_C^*\right) + \left(Q - Q^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[\begin{array}{l} -\mu_C(S_C - S_C^*) - \mu_C(E_C - E_C^*) - \mu_C(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) - \mu_C(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) - \mu_C(R_C - R_C^*) - \mu_C(Q - Q^*) \\ -\delta_{CM}(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) - \delta_{CS}(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) \end{array} \right] \\ &+ \left[\left(S_V - S_V^*\right) + \left(E_V - E_V^*\right) + \left(I_V - I_V^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[-\left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)(S_V - S_V^*) - \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)(E_V - E_V^*) - \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)\left(I_V - I_V^*\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{dV}{dt} = -\left[\left(S_A - S_A^*\right) + \left(E_A - E_A^*\right) + \left(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*\right) + \left(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*\right) + \left(R_A - R_A^*\right) + \left(R - R^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[\begin{array}{l} \mu_A(S_A - S_A^*) + \mu_A(E_A - E_A^*) + \mu_A(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) + \mu_A(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) + \mu_A(R_A - R_A^*) + \mu_A(R - R^*) \\ +\delta_{AM}(I_{AM} - I_{AM}^*) + \delta_{AS}(I_{AS} - I_{AS}^*) \end{array} \right] \\ &- \left[\left(S_C - S_C^*\right) + \left(E_C - E_C^*\right) + \left(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*\right) + \left(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*\right) + \left(R_C - R_C^*\right) + \left(Q - Q^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[\begin{array}{l} \mu_C(S_C - S_C^*) + \mu_C(E_C - E_C^*) + \mu_C(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) + \mu_C(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) + \mu_C(R_C - R_C^*) + \mu_C(Q - Q^*) \\ +\delta_{CM}(I_{CM} - I_{CM}^*) + \delta_{CS}(I_{CS} - I_{CS}^*) \end{array} \right] \\ &- \left[\left(S_V - S_V^*\right) + \left(E_V - E_V^*\right) + \left(I_V - I_V^*\right)\right] \times \\ &\left[\left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)(S_V - S_V^*) + \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)(E_V - E_V^*) + \left(\mu_V + \delta_V\right)\left(I_V - I_V^*\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

This shows that $\frac{dV}{dt}$ is negative and $\frac{dV}{dt} = 0$ if and only if

$S_A = S_A^*,\ E_A = E_A^*,\ I_{AM} = I_{AM}^*,\ I_{AS} = I_{AS}^*,\ R_A = R_A^*,\ R = R^*,$
$S_C = S_C^*,\ E_C = E_C^*,\ I_{CM} = I_{CM}^*,\ I_{CS} = I_{CS}^*,\ R_C = R_C^*,\ Q = Q^*,$
$S_V = S_V^*,\ E_V = E_V^*,\ I_V = I_V^*$
Additionally, every solution of (1) with the initial conditions approaches ξ^{**} as $t \to \infty$.
Therefore, the largest compact invariant set in
$\left\{(S_A, E_A, I_{AM}, I_{AS}, R_A, R, S_C, E_C, I_{CM}, I_{CS}, R_C, Q, S_V, E_V, I_V) \in D : \frac{dV}{dt} \leq 0\right\}$ is a singleton set $\{\xi^{**}\}$
Therefore, from Lassalle's invariant principle [21], it implies that the endemic equilibrium ξ^{**} is globally asymptotically stable in D whenever $\mathcal{R}_E > 1$

References

[1] World malaria report 2019. *World Health Organization*, 2019.

[2] Dondorp A.M., Lee S.J., Faiz M.A., Mishra S., Price R., Tjitra E., Than M., Htut Y., Mohanty S., Yunus E.B., et al. The relationship between age and the manifestations of and mortality associated with severe malaria. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 47(2):151–157, 2008.

[3] Abah S.E. *The Plasma Proteome of Children Recovering From Severe Malarial Anaemia*. PhD thesis, The Open University, 2016.

[4] Autino B., Corbett Y., Castelli F., and Taramelli D. Pathogenesis of malaria in tissues and blood. *Mediterranean journal of hematology and infectious diseases*, 4(1), 2012.

[5] Huo H.F. and G.M. Qiu. Stability of a mathematical model of malaria transmission with relapse. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2014. Hindawi, 2014.

[6] Gebremeskel A. A. and Krogstad H. E. Mathematical modelling of endemic malaria transmission. *American Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 3(2):36–46, 2015.

[7] Griffin J. T., Hollingsworth T. D., Reyburn H., Drakeley C.J., Riley E.M., and Ghani A.C. Gradual acquisition of immunity to severe malaria with increasing exposure. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 282(1801):20142657, 2015.

[8] Ducrot A., Sirima S.B., Some B., and Zongo P. A mathematical model for malaria involving differential susceptibility, exposedness and infectivity of human host. *Journal of biological dynamics*, 3(6):574–598, 2009.

[9] Bala S. and Gimba B. Global sensitivity analysis to study the impacts of bed-nets, drug treatment, and their efficacies on a two-strain malaria model. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 24(1):32, 2019.

[10] Mojeeb A.L., Yang C., and Adu I. K. Mathematical model of malaria transmission with optimal control in democratic republic of the congo. 2019.

[11] Lakshmikantham V., Leela S., and Martynyuk A.A. *Stability analysis of nonlinear systems*. Springer, 1989.

[12] Van den Driessche P. and Watmough J. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. *Mathematical biosciences*, 180(1-2):29–48, 2002.

[13] Agusto F.B., Bewick S., and Fagan W.F. Mathematical model of zika virus with vertical transmission. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 2(2):244–267, 2017.

[14] Eguda A.J. and Yakubu F. Analysis of a mathematical model to investigate the dynamics of dengue fever. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 21(4):626–638, 2017.

[15] Agusto F.B., Easley S., Freeman K., and Thomas M. Mathematical model of three age-structured transmission dynamics of chikungunya virus. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2016, 2016.

[16] Castillo-Chavez C. and Song B. Dynamical models of tuberculosis and their applications. *Mathematical Biosciences & Engineering*, 1(2):361, 2004.

[17] Iboi E. and Okuonghae D. Population dynamics of a mathematical model for syphilis. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(5-6):3573–3590, 2016.

[18] Trpis M. and Hausermann W. Dispersal and other population parameters of aedes aegypti in an african village and their possible significance in epidemiology of vector-borne diseases. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, 35(6):1263–1279, 1986.

[19] Trpis M., Häusermann W., and Craig Jr G.B. Estimates of population size, dispersal, and longevity of domestic aedes aegypti aegypti (diptera: Culicidae) by mark–release–recapture in the village of shauri moyo in eastern kenya. *Journal of medical entomology*, 32(1):27–33, 1995.

[20] Esteva L., Gumel A.B., and De LeóN C.V. Qualitative study of transmission dynamics of drug-resistant malaria. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 50(3-4):611–630, 2009.

[21] LaSalle J. and Artstein Z. The stability of dynamical systems/jp lasalle. *Appendix A, Limiting Equations and Stability of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia*, 1976.