The COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel Study (CHPS): Overview, Methods and Preliminary Report

Charles DiMaggio, David Abramson, Ezra Susser, Christina W. Hoven, Qixuan Chen,
Howard Andrews, Daniel Herman, Jonah Kreniske, Megan Ryan, Ida Susser, Lorna
Thorpe, Guohua Li.

Introduction. The COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel Study (CHPS) was designed to assess and mitigate adverse short and long-term physical and mental health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on New York's health care workforce. Here we report selected baseline results.

Methods. Online survey of New York State physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants registered with the New York State Department of Health. Survey-weighted descriptive results were analyzed using frequencies, proportions, and means, with 95% confidence intervals. Odds ratios were calculated for association using survey-weighted logistic regression.

Results. Approximately 51.5% (95% CI 49.1, 54.0) of the survey-weighted respondents reported having worked directly or in close physical contact with COVID-19 patients. Of those tested for COVID-19, 27.3% (95% CI 22.5, 32.2) were positive. Having symptoms consistent with COVID-19 was associated with reporting a subsequent positive COVID-19 test (OR=14.0, 95% CI 5.7, 34.7). Over half of the respondents, (57.6%) reported a negative impact of the COVID-19 efforts on their mental health. Respondents who indicated that they were redeployed or required to do different functions than usual in response to COVID-19 were more likely to report negative mental health impacts (OR=1.3, 95% CI 1.1, 1.6).

Conclusions. At the height of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York State in Spring 2020, more than half of physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants included in this study responded to the crisis, often at a cost to their physical and mental health and disruption to their lives.

Introduction

The sudden onslaught of the COVID-19 in 2020 pandemic placed severe demands on US health systems and the health care workforce, especially in New York State (NYS) and New York City (NYC), the American epicenter. Early in the course of the pandemic, hospitals ran the risk of exhausting their supplies of ventilators, ICU beds, and personal protective equipment (PPE); the capacity of the health care workforce to meet the added demand was equally strained. In the late winter and early spring of 2020, NYS and New York City bore a disproportional share of the burden, with approximately half of all confirmed cases in America. NYS instituted a number of strategies to expand hospital capacity and the workforce: the governor mandated that all hospitals increase bed capacity by 50%; specialized hospital facilities were constructed in large convention spaces; efforts to purchase and obtain donated PPE were accelerated, and; volunteer, retired, and student health care professionals were enlisted to supplement the workforce. Hospitals and health systems explored ways of repurposing and expanding their stock of critical equipment.

During Spring 2020, a period of extreme system stress, when the nature and context of health care rapidly adapted and changed, the COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel Study (CHPS) was launched to longitudinally assess and mitigate the adverse health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the NYS health care workforce. Between 28 April and 30 June 2020, the height of the pandemic in NYS, CHPS collaborators recruited members of multiple health care professions across NYS to participate. Professions included physicians, nurses and nurse practitioners, medical residents, physician assistants, and ancillary health professionals such as respiratory therapists, paramedics, emergency medical technicians, visiting nurses, home health care workers, and others. Participants were drawn from community-based settings, as well as hospitals and other institutional settings.

Our initial goals were to help define the challenges and stressors experienced by healthcare workers (HCW), to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on their mental, physical, and social health and that of their families, and to evaluate the role of interventions such as just-in-time training, counseling and childcare services implemented to reduce adverse health outcomes of the health care workforce and to facilitate their professional response to the pandemic.

We present here initial results of CHPS, based on the first wave of responses from physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants. We report key characteristics of respondents, their exposures and risk factors, including regional distribution and type of practice, exposure to and experience with treating COVID-19 patients, reported impact of the pandemic on health and practice patterns, and association of treating COVID-19 patients with testing positive for SARS-CoV2. In addition to establishing a baseline for longitudinal assessments of this important cohort this report documents its impact on healthcare professionals during an uncharted period of extreme stress.

Methods

On 28 April 2020, an invitation to participate in the CHPS survey was sent from the office of the NYS Commissioner of Health to 103,103 physicians, 21,503 nurse practitioners and 14,503 physician assistants, representing all persons licensed to practice in the state, for a total of 139,109 emails. All physicians (licensed MD/DO) are required by law (Public Health Law Section 2995-a, Education Law Section 6524) to have a NYS Health Commerce System (HCS) account and maintain information related to practice and education via the Physician Profile Application on the HCS. Other professions are not subject to this regulatory requirement. All Health Commerce System (HCS) users, regardless of profession, are presented with their contact information every six months and need to either verify that the information is correct or (edit it. This baseline survey was closed to enrollment on 30 June 2020.

The survey was administered through REDCap, a secure web application managed by Data Coordinating Center at the New York State Psychiatric Institute (NYSPI) for building and managing online surveys and databases. Data were downloaded and read into the R statistical computing system, checked for outliers and cleaned. We used raking procedure to assign a survey weight for each respondent to make the sample representative of the target population of physicians, nurse practitioners and medical assistants in age, gender and geographic location across 10 regions of New York State. A Raking used the population margins of age, race and geographic location to create weights such that the weighted sample distributions of these variables conform to their population distributions. Estimated extrapolations to population-level frequencies and proportions of physicians, nurse practitioners and medical assistants by age, gender and geographic locations were based on documentation from the Center for Health Workforce Studies. And the New York State Department of Education. In the case of physician assistants, population statistics were supplemented with national-level data.

Statistical analyses consisted of survey-weighted counts, proportions, means, and 95% confidence intervals using the R "survey" package. 11 Odds ratios for association were calculated using survey-weighted logistic regression models. A one-way ANOVA for the association of an 8-category clinical specialty variables (Primary Care, Pediatrics, Emergency Medicine, Critical Care, Non-Surgical Specialties, Surgery, Behavioral Health, and Other) was conducted on non-weighted data. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of Columbia University Medical Center, the New York State Psychiatric Institute, the City University of New York, and NYU School of Medicine.

Results

Descriptive Epidemiology

Of the 139,109 emails sent, 38,090 (27.4%) reached an intended recipient and were opened. Of these, 2,076 physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants completed the survey, for a response rate of 5.5%. More female physicians responded (49% female physicians in the study sample vs 35% in the target population). Physicians responding to the survey were also skewed older (32% sample older than 60 vs 23% population). Physicians from upstate regions were represented slightly more than downstate regions. After the raking procedure, the weighted sample more closely approximated the physicians target population in age, gender and geographic distribution. (Table 1) Similar adjustments were seen with the Nurse Practitioner and Physician Assistant groups. After raking, the survey-weighted sample equaled a target population of 137,710 (95% CI 134422, 140999) physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants licensed in New York State. Our weighted sample had a mean age of 50.4 (95% CI 49.9, 51.0), of whom 46.1% (95% CI 43.7, 48.5) were female. (Table 2)

The largest survey-weighted proportion of respondents (41.6%) practiced in New York City, followed by Long Island (19.3%), and the Hudson Valley (11.7%). (Table 3) A survey-weighted proportion of 68.7% (95% CI 66.6, 70.9) of all respondents usually practiced in the New York City Metro area (5 boroughs of New York City, Nassau, Suffolk, Rockland, and Westchester Counties), and 67.7% (95% CI 65.5, 69.9) were practicing in the NYC Metro area at the time they completed the survey.

A large majority of respondents had more than 5 years of practice experience, with nearly 27% (95% CI 29.08, 29.12) in practice for 25 years or more. The largest proportion of respondents (46.9%, 95% CI 46.88, 46.92) reported working in private practice or for a non-academic not for profit institution; 46.5% (95% CI 44.1, 49.0) of respondents practiced primarily in a hospital

setting. (Table 4) The largest proportion of respondents (30% 95% CI 30.18, 30.22) practiced in primary care. (Table 5)

Exposure to COVID-19 and Changes in Practice Patterns

A survey-weighted 51.5% (95% CI 49.1, 54.0) or an estimated survey-weighted frequency of 69,586 (95% CI 65,572, 73,599) of NYS physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants reported having worked directly or in close physical contact with COVID-19 patients. Nearly a quarter of all respondents (24.1%; 95% CI 21.6, 26.5) reported changing their living arrangements because of concern about exposure to COVID-19. An estimated survey-weighted frequency of 1,159 (95% CI 586, 1,733) NYS MD/NP/PA's came out of retirement to work on the COVID-19 response.

A survey-weighted one third (32.8%, 95% CI 30.5, 35.1) of respondents indicated that they were required to perform functions different than their usual practice in response to COVID-19. Of these, more than half (51.8%, 95% CI 45.9, 57.8) felt their new work setting placed them at increased risk for contracting COVID-19.

Willingness to Respond and Concerns

A large survey-weighted proportion of respondents (43.3%; 95% CI 40.9, 45.8) reported reluctance to work directly with COVID-19 patients. The primary reasons for reluctance were fear of infecting oneself and fear of infecting others. (Table 6) A large survey-weighted proportion of respondents (44.5%; 95% CI 42.1, 47.0) had children under the age of 18, the large majority of whom (97.2%; 95% CI 96.5, 98.9) were living at home. While a survey-weighted majority of respondents (70.8%; 95% CI 68.6, 73.0) had parents, grandparents or other relatives over the age of 70, only 8.4% of them (95% CI 6.7, 10.0) had persons over the age of 70 living at home with them.

Over a third of survey-weighted respondents (37.7%, 95% CI = 37.63, 37.70) reported shortages of N95 masks, and over half (51.5%, 95% CI= 48.6, 54.1) reported having had to reuse disposable personal protective equipment in a manner which seemed unsafe.

Approximately 22.8% (22.73, 22.80) reported shortages of test kits, and nearly a fifth (19.5%, 95% CI = 19.48 19.52) reported shortages of personnel. A smaller proportion reported shortages of ventilators (2%, 95% CI = 1.98 2.0) or beds (4.4%, 95% CI = 4.4, 4.42).

COVID-19 Symptoms and Infections

Approximately 30% (95% CI 27.9, 32.5) of respondents reported symptoms consistent with possible COVID-19 infection (fever greater than 99.5 degrees Fahrenheit; persistent cough; persistent sore throat; headaches). A survey-weighted estimate of 37,759 (95% CI 34,498, 41,021) or 28.0% (95% CI 25.7, 30.3) NYS physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants attempted to obtain a test for COVID-19. Of these, an estimated 28,992 (95% CI 26105, 31877) or 21.4% (95% CI 19,4, 23.5) were able to obtain a test. Of these, a survey-weighted estimate of 7,876 (95% CI 6276, 9475) tested positive for a survey-weighted proportion of positive tests of 27.3% (95% CI 22.5, 32.2). Reporting symptoms consistent with COVID-19 was strongly associated with reporting a positive test (OR=14.0, 95% CI 5.7, 34.7).

There was no statistically significant association between reporting working directly with COVID patients and reporting a positive test (OR=1.1, 95% CI 0.6, 1.9). Nor was there any statistically significant difference in a one-way ANOVA between clinical specialties among those reporting a positive COVID-19 test (p-value = 0.135).

Mental Health Impacts

Well over half of the respondents, (57.6%) reported a negative impact on their mental health as a result of COVID-19 efforts, and 43% felt it had negatively impacted personal relationships. A third of those reporting a negative impact felt it had affected their physical health and/or ability to work. (Table 7) There was no statistically significant association between working directly with COVID patients and negative mental health impacts (OR=0.7, 95% CI 0.4, 1.2). Respondents who indicated that they were redeployed or required to perform different functions in response to COVID-19 were more likely to report negative mental health impacts (OR=1.3, 95% CI 1.1, 1.6) There was a very similar association between reporting a hospital-based practice and reporting mental health symptoms (OR=1.3, 95% CI 1.1, 1.6). Having symptoms consistent with COVID-19 was associated with an adverse effect on mental health (OR=1.7, 95% CI 1.3, 2.1).

Discussion

If the experience of New York State HCWs serves as a bellwether for the United States in the months and perhaps years to come, the COVID-19 pandemic will continue to be an unprecedented healthcare and public health challenge. We find that the COVID-19 pandemic increased the risk of infection, adversely impacted mental health and disrupted lives of HCWs. Despite this, over half of all physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants in this cohort provided direct patient care, indicating that they rose to the challenge despite such risks. A third changed from their usual practice or specialty, and a considerable number came out of retirement to meet the needs of their fellow New Yorkers.

The literature on HCWs responses to previous epidemics is characterized by conflicting emotions of duty and fear. These findings are consistent with results of a study of 83 French HCWs regarding their state of preparedness to treat Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever in 2015, the majority of whom (73%) wanted to be "personally involved" in patient care. Although the large majority (91%) of a group of Saudi Arabian nurses reported an "ethical duty" to respond to an outbreak of MERS-CoV outbreak that occurred in Jeddah, 96% "felt nervous and scared", and 92% had thought of quitting. Although to guitting.

Interpersonal and mental health issues may prove to be among the most important impacts of COVID-19 on HCWs. The well-publicized suicide of an emergency physician in New York City during the fielding of this survey brought this issue to the fore. Our study supports early reports from China that a considerable proportion of health care workers (treating COVID-19 patients) reported experiencing symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and distress, as well as a survey of Saudi HCWs who responded to the 2014 MERS outbreak in which almost two thirds reported having psychological problems Although we did not find an association of direct COVID-19 patient care with symptoms of mental health distress, we did find that redeployment

to non-familiar duties was associated with adverse mental health outcomes. Training and clinical knowledge may be key factors in addressing HCW concerns about responding to a pandemic.¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁹

The literature on the mental health effects of COVID-19 on HCWs remains nascent, but we can look to research on the effect SARS in the early 2000s and more recent MERS-CoV outbreaks as a guide. A 2003 survey of 769 Canadian HCWs found "higher levels of burnout (p = 0.019), psychological distress (p<0.001), and posttraumatic stress (p<0.001)" among those who had treated SARS patients compared to those who did not, one to two years after the outbreak.²⁰ In an overview of the experience of HCWs responding to the Toronto SARS outbreak in 2003, the investigators "estimated that a high degree of distress was experienced by 29-35% of hospital workers." They concluded there were "Three categories of contributory factors...being a nurse, having contact with SARS patients and having children."²¹

Concern for children and childcare is a frequent issue. One metanalysis concluded that "Respondents living with children or having childcare obligations were one-third less likely to be willing to work compared with those without these obligations." In a series of studies of the 2003 SARS epidemic in Canada, Canadian HCW's who developed SARS chiefly expressed concern about "the effects of quarantine and contagion on family members and friends." It is vitally important to "alleviate the concerns and fears of HCWs and remove potential barriers to working" during a pandemic. A 2009 study addressing this issue concluded that among the most important factors were "being ill, transportation, childcare (and) concern for family. Our study results are consistent with these findings from studies of these earlier outbreaks. Fully two thirds of our respondents who expressed reluctance in treating COVID-19 patients cited fear of infecting themselves or others as the primary reason.

There is evidence supporting specific measures to address distress among HCWs responding to pandemics. Among helpful factors reported by HCWs in coping with a MERS-CoV outbreak

that occurred in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia were a "Positive attitude from colleagues in your department" and financial compensation. The authors of a series of studies of HCW attitudes toward responding to SARS concluded that "Reducing pandemic-related stress may best be accomplished through interventions designed to enhance resilience in psychologically healthy people," by providing psychological first aid, institutional training, support and leadership. The same authors also pointed to the importance of practical and tangible support, as well as the potential utility of computer-based tools to improve confidence and self-efficacy.

Our data support a high risk of contracting COVID-19 among HCWs carrying out their professional responsibilities, and describe important and meaningful challenges like a lack of personal protective equipment that contributed to that risk.²⁷ Our study's s results indicate that accessing a test for SARS-Cov2 at the height of the pandemic in NYS was difficult for clinicians. A large proportion of HCWs who did access a test, tested positive, with an alarming 27% reported test positivity rate. A recent study reports a nearly 12-fold increased risk of infection for HCW compared to the general population, but comparisons and estimates of relative risk based on our data are difficult. During a similar time period the overall NYS positivity rate was approximately 40%,²⁸ although tests for SARS CoV-2 in NYS were in short supply²⁹ and often reserved for the sickest patients, likely increasing the general positivity rate.

Our study is subject to a number of important limitations. These results apply narrowly to physicians and advanced practice clinicians. The demographics of this group differ from other groups demonstrated to be at increased risk of COVID-19, including Blacks/African Americans who make up a large proportion of persons providing non-medical direct services to COVID-19 patients in NYC, but may not be well represented among physicians and advanced practice clinicians.³⁰ This is an area in which additional results from the CHPS addressing nursing and non-medical providers can provide insights.

While response rate was low, it was based on a single-request and is in line with recent studies of physicians.³¹ Low response rates are increasingly a feature of modern surveys, and less than optimal response rates are not necessarily indicative of poor survey quality or bias; in some instances lower response rates have been associated with less bias.³²

We utilized statistical procedure to align our survey-adjusted sample with important demographics of the target population, ^{6,7,8,9,10} but our sample may be biased. One or more additional factors associated with the domains we measured may have affected the likelihood of responding to the survey, and could have biased the results. The direction of that bias is difficult to discern. Respondents may have been more severely impacted by the pandemic and thus more motivated to respond than a representative population, in which case our results may be overestimated. Alternatively, during a time when much activity in the state, including routine patient care, had been curtailed there may have been more responses from clinicians less impacted than those healthcare workers who were busier providing direct patient care to COVID-19 patients.

Conclusion

Our study adds to increasing evidence on the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the U. S. healthcare workforce. Our study finds that at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York State in early 2020, the majority of physicians, nurse practitioners and physician assistants responded, often having to change their usual practice, and at a cost of physical and mental health and disruption to their lives. Future research should address the evolution of these impacts to facilitate the ability and willingness of HCWs to respond to the pandemic, expanding the target population to include other workers in the healthcare workforce, understanding the ways that the COVID-19 pandemic is affecting clinical decision-making and practice behavior, and documenting the impact of altered standards of care and triage decisions on vulnerable and socially marginalized groups.

About the COVID-19 Healthcare Personnel Study (CHPS):

CHPS is a collaborative enterprise representing a concerted effort by health researchers from multiple public and private New York academic institutions, including the Hunter College-City University of New York, Columbia University, the New York State Psychiatric Institute (NYSPI), New York University (NYU), and NYU Langone Health. The primary contacts for the study are Drs. Guohua Li (Columbia University), Charles DiMaggio (NYU Langone), and David Abramson (NYU). The Steering Committee consists of the primary contacts and Drs. Ezra Susser and Christina Hoven (Columbia University-NYSPI). Co-Investigators include Drs. Loma Thorpe (NYU Langone); Howard Andrews (NYSPI); Dan Herman and Elizabeth Cohn (Hunter College); and Jonah Kreniske (Research Fellow Harvard Medical School).

Acknowledgments:

We thank Barbara Lang for administrative support, Dr. Jennifer Norton for data cleaning and preparation, and Dr. Howard Zucker and the office of the New York State Commissioner of Health for inviting the NYS Healthcare workforce to participate in this survey.

Author Affiliations

Charles DiMaggio,_PhD, MPH, PA-C
Department of Surgery
NYU School of Medicine

David Abramson, PhD, MPH

NYU Global Institute for Public Health

New York University

Ezra S. Susser, MD, DrPH

Mailman School of Public Health

Columbia University

Christina W. Hoven, DrPH, MPH
New York State Psychiatric Institute
Mailman School of Public Health
Columbia University

Qixuan Chen, PhD

Department of Biostatistics

Mailman School of Public Health

Columbia University

Howard F Andrews, PhD, MS

Data Coordinating Center (DCC)

Columbia University Irving Medical Center

NY State Psychiatric Institute

Daniel Herman, MSW, PhD Silberman School of Social Work Hunter College, CUNY

Jonah Kreniske, MD

Department of Global Health and Social Medicine

Harvard Medical School

Megan Ryan, MA Global Psychiatric Epidemiology Group New York State Psychiatric Institute

Ida Susser, Ph.D

Department of Anthropology

Hunter College and Graduate Center

City University of New York

Lorna E. Thorpe, PhD

Department of Population Health

NYU Grossman School of Medicine

Guohua Li, MD, DrPH

Department of Anesthesiology

Columbia University Medical Center

Tables

Table 1. Effect of Raking Procedures on Physician Respondents. Frequency (Percent)

Unweighted Responses (n=2,026), Post-Raking Weighted Responses and Target Population

Percentage.⁶ New York State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey. First Wave. Physicians, Nurse

Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

						Target
						Proportio
Variable	Value	U	nweighted	We	ighted	n
Gender	Female	674	(48.49)	34705	(34.85)	34
	Male	715	(51.44)	64874	(65.14)	66
Age	20 To 39 Years	279	(20.96)	18375	(19.46)	19
Group	40 To 59 Years	623	(46.81)	52571	(55.67)	58
	60 Years or Older	428	(32.16)	23479	(24.86)	23
Region	Western New					
	York	84	(6.11)	5155	(5.22)	Ę
	Finger Lakes	118	(8.58)	5155	(5.22)	

Southern Tier	48	(3.49)	2062	(2.09)	2
Central New					
York	55	(4.0)	3093	(3.13)	3
Mohawk Valley	22	(1.6)	2062	(2.09)	2
North Country	15	(1.09)	1031	(1.04)	1
Capital District	77	(5.6)	5155	(5.22)	5
Hudson Valley	166	(12.07)	12372	(12.53)	12
New York City	611	(44.44)	45117	(45.69)	47
Long Island	161	(11.71)	17528	(17.75)	17

Table 2. Survey-Weighted Descriptive Statistics (Estimate and 95% CI) Stratified by

Profession. New York State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey. First Wave. Physicians, Nurse

Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

Profession	Weighted Count	Mean Age	Proportion Female
MD/DO	100762.3 (96835.4, 04689.2)	51.2 (50.5, 51.8)	0.34 (0.32, 0.37)
NP,CNMW	20794.4 (18867.9, 22720.9)	49.2 (47.9, 50.4)	0.93 (0.90, 0.95)
PA	14164.8 (11738.5, 16591.1)	46.9 (45.5, 48.3)	0.67 (0.58, 0.76)

Table 3. Survey-Weighted Regional Distribution (Percent and 95% CI) of Survey Respondents

Usual Work Locations. New York State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey. First Wave.

Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

Region	Percent (95% CI)
Western New York	6.2 (6.19 ,6.21)
Finger Lakes	6.2 (6.19, 6.21)
Southern Tier	2.3 (2.29, 2.31)
Central New York	4.0 (3.99, 4.01)
Mohawk Valley	1.9 (1.89, 1.91)
North Country	1.2 (1.20, 1.20)
Capital District	5.4 (5.39, 5.41)
Hudson Valley	11.7 (11.68, 11.72)
New York City	41.6 (41.58, 41.62)
Long Island	19.3 (19.28, 19.32)

Table 4. Survey-Weighted Percent (95% Confidence Interval) Years and Type of Practice. New York State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey. First Wave. Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

	Percent (95% CI)		Percent (95% CI)
Less Than 5 Years	13.5 (13.48, 13.52)	Government	14.2 (14.18, 14.22)
5 To 15 Years	28.9 (28.88, 28.92)	Academic	30.0 (29.98, 30.02)
16 To 25 Years	28.5 (28.48, 28.52)	Private/Non-Profit	46.9 (46.88, 46.92)
Greater Than 25 Years	29.1 (29.08, 29.12)	Other	9.0 (8.99, 9.01)

Table 5. Survey-Weighted Percent (95% Confidence Interval) of Clinical Specialties. New York

State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey. First Wave. Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician

Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

	Percent (95% CI)
Primary Care	30.2 (30.18, 30.22)
Pediatrics	14.7 (14.68, 14.72)
Emergency	8.8 (8.79, 8.81)
Critical Care	6.7 (6.69, 6.71)
Non-surgical Specialties	19.9 (19.88, 19.92)
Surgery	13.0 (12.98, 13.02)
Behavioral	6.1 (6.09, 6.11)
Other	0.7 (0.70, 0.70)

Table 6. Survey-Weighted Percent (95% Confidence Interval) Reasons for Reluctance in

Treating Covid-19 Patients Among Those Expressing Reluctance. New York State COVID-19

Health Provider Survey. First Wave. Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28

April – 30 June 2020.

	Percent (95% CI)
Fear of Infecting Self	34.1 (34.09, 34.14)
Fear of Infecting Others	32.6 (32.61, 32.66)
Pre-Existing Health Condition	15.3 (15.26, 15.3)
Insufficient Protective Equipment	19.8 (19.78, 19.82)
Insufficient Skills or Expertise	12.3 (12.3, 12.33)

Table 7. Survey-Weighted Percent (95 % Confidence Interval) of Respondents Experiencing

Negative Impacts as a Result of COVID-19. New York State COVID-19 Health Provider Survey.

First Wave. Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants. 28 April – 30 June 2020.

	Percent (95% CI)
Mental Health	57.6 (57.59, 57.64)
Physical Health	32.5 (32.49, 32.54)
Ability to work	36.9 (36.85, 36.9)
Relationships	43.9 (43.84, 43.89)

Citations

² R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

³ Lumley T. (2019) "survey: analysis of complex survey samples". R package version 3.35-1.

⁴ Lumley (2004) Analysis of complex survey samples. Journal of Statistical Software 9(1):1-19

⁵ State of New York. Metrics to Guide Reopening New York.

https://forward.ny.gov/metrics-guide-reopening-new-york Accessed 28 July 2020

⁶ New York Physician Workforce Profile 2014 Edition, The New York Health Workforce Data System, School of Public Health, University at Albany, State University of New York. https://www.chwsny.org/our-work/reports-briefs/r-2015-6/. Accessed 15 July 2020

¹ REDCap. https://www.project-redcap.org/. Accessed 28 July 2020

Martiniano R, Wang S, Moore J. A Profile of New York State Nurse Practitioners, 2017. Rensselaer, NY: Center for Health Workforce Studies, School of Public Health, SUNY Albany; October 2017.

⁸ Liu Y, Martiniano R, Moore J. A Comparative Analysis of New York's Active Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants. Rensselaer, NY: Center for Health Workforce Studies, School of Public Health, SUNY Albany; May 2018.

⁹ State of New York Deptartment of Education. PA Licenses by County. http://www.op.nysed.gov/prof/med/medcounts.htm. Accessed 28 July 2020

¹⁰ American Academy of Physician Assistants. 2017 Statistical Profile of Certified Physician Assistants

https://prodcmsstoragesa.blob.core.windows.net/uploads/files/2017StatisticalProfileofCertifiedPhysicianAssistants%206.27.pdf

- 11 Lumley T. Analysis of complex survey samples. Journal of Statistical Software 9(1):1-19. (2004)
- ¹² Tarantini, C., Peretti-Watel, P., Yazdanpana, Y. et al. Preparedness of healthcare workers at French Ebola referral centres. New Microbes and New Infections. Volume 6, July 2015, Pages 40-41.

¹³ Khalid I, Khalid TJ, Qabajah MR, et al. Healthcare Workers Emotions, Perceived Stressors and Coping Strategies During a MERS-CoV Outbreak. Clinical Medicine & Research. March 1, 2016 vol. 14 no. 1 7-14.

¹⁴ Watkins A, Rothfeld M, Rashbaum WK et al. Top E.R. Doctor Who Treated Virus Patients Dies by Suicide New York Times. 27 April 2020.
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/27/nyregion/new-york-city-doctor-suicide-coronavirus.html Accessed 18 September 2020.

¹⁵ Lai, J, Ma, S, Wang, Y, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Network Open, 3(3), e203976-e203976. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976

¹⁶ Alsahafi, A.J. and Cheng, A.C. Knowledge, Attitudes and Behaviours of Healthcare Workers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to MERS Coronavirus and Other Emerging Infectious Diseases. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2016, 13, 1214.

¹⁷ Aoyagi Y, Beck CR, Dingwall R, et al. Healthcare workers willingness to work during an influenza pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses. Volume 9, Issue 3 May 2015.

- ¹⁸ Ma, X., He, Z., Wang, Y. et al. Knowledge and attitudes of healthcare workers in Chinese intensive care units regarding 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic. BMC Infect Dis 11, 24 (2011).
- ¹⁹ Khan, M.U., Shah, S., Ahmad, A. et al. Knowledge and attitude of healthcare workers about middle east respiratory syndrome in multispecialty hospitals of Qassim, Saudi Arabia. BMC Public Health 14, 1281
- ²⁰ Maunder RG, Lancee, WJ, Balderson, KE, et al. Long-term Psychological and Occupational Effects of Providing Hospital Healthcare during SARS Outbreak. Emerg Infect Dis. Volume 12, Number 12—December 2006
- ²¹ Maunder, R. The experience of the 2003 SARS outbreak as a traumatic stress among frontline healthcare workers in Toronto: lessons learned. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B. Biological Sciences. 29 July 2004 Volume 359 Issue 1447.
- ²² Maunder R, Hunter J, Vincent L, et al. The immediate psychological and occupational impact of the 2003 SARS outbreak in a teaching hospital. CMAJ. 2003 May 13; 168(10): 1245–1251.

- ²³ Draper H, Wilson S, Ives J, et al. Healthcare workers attitudes towards working during pandemic influenza: A multi method study. BMC Public Health volume 8, Article number: 192 (2008)
- ²⁴ Ives, J., Greenfield, S., Parry, J.M. et al. Healthcare workers' attitudes to working during pandemic influenza: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health 9, 56 (2009).
- ²⁵ Maunder, RG, Leszcz M, Savage D, et al. Applying the Lessons of SARS to Pandemic Influenza: An Evidence-based Approach to Mitigating the Stress Experienced by Healthcare Workers. Canadian Journal of Public Health volume 99, pages 486–488 (2008).
- ²⁶ Maunder RG, Lancee WJ, Mae R, et al. Computer-assisted resilience training to prepare healthcare workers for pandemic influenza: a randomized trial of the optimal dose of training. BMC Health Serv Res. 10, Article number: 72 (2010)
- ²⁷ Nguyen LH, Drew DA, Graham MS et al. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 31 July 2020. 5(9):E475-E483. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30164-X (Accessed 18 September 2020)

²⁸ New York State. Percentage Positive Results By Region Dashboard.

https://forward.ny.gov/percentage-positive-results-region-dashboard Accessed 18 September 2020.

²⁹ Feuer W. New York City doctor says he has to 'plead to test people' for coronavirus. CNBC. Health and Science. 2 March 2020.

https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/02/coronavirus-new-york-city-doctor-has-to-plead-to-test-people.html Accessed 15 October 2020.

³⁰ Hong N. 3 hospital workers gave out masks. Weeks later, they all were dead. *New York Times*. Published online May 2020.

https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/04/nyregion/coronavirus-ny-hospital-workers.html
Accessed 9 May 2020

³¹ West CP, Dyrbye LN, Sinsky C, et al. Resilience and Burnout Among Physicians and the General US Working Population. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(7):e209385. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.9385. Accessed 14 October 2020

³² American Association for Public Opinion Research. Response Rates: An Overview. https://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/For-Researchers/Poll-Survey-FAQ/Response-Rates-An-Overview.aspx Accessed 14 October 2020