

Antibody landscape against SARS-CoV-2 proteome revealed significant differences between non-structural/ accessory proteins and structural proteins

Yang Li^{1, #}, Zhaowei Xu^{1,4, #}, Qing Lei^{2, #}, Dan-yun Lai^{1, #}, Hongyan Hou^{3, #}, He-wei Jiang¹, Yun-xiao Zheng¹, Xue-ning Wang¹, Jiaoxiang Wu⁵, Ming-liang Ma¹, Bo Zhang³, Hong Chen¹, Caizheng Yu⁶, Jun-biao Xue¹, Hai-nan Zhang¹, Huan Qi¹, Shu-juan Guo¹, Yandi Zhang², Xiaosong Lin², Zongjie Yao², Huiming Sheng⁵, Ziyong Sun³, Feng Wang^{3, *}, Xionglin Fan^{2, *}, Sheng-ce Tao^{1, *}

¹Shanghai Center for Systems Biomedicine, Key Laboratory of Systems Biomedicine (Ministry of Education), Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

²Department of Pathogen Biology, School of Basic Medicine, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

³Department of Clinical Laboratory, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

⁴Key Laboratory of Gastrointestinal Cancer (Fujian Medical University), Ministry of Education, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China

⁵Tongren Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

⁶Department of Public Health, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

These authors contribute equally to this work.

*Corresponding Authors, e-mail: taosc@sjtu.edu.cn (S.-C. Tao), xfan@hust.edu.cn (X.-L. Fan), fengwang@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn (F. W.)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Summary

The immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 proteome is largely unknown, especially for non-structural proteins and accessory proteins. Here we collected 2,360 COVID-19 sera and 601 control sera. We analyzed these sera on a protein microarray with 20 proteins of SARS-CoV-2, built an antibody response landscape for IgG and IgM. We found that non-structural proteins and accessory proteins NSP1, NSP7, NSP8, RdRp, ORF3b and ORF9b elicit prevalent IgG responses. The IgG patterns and dynamic of non-structural/ accessory proteins are different from that of S and N protein. The IgG responses against these 6 proteins are associated with disease severity and clinical outcome and declined sharply about 20 days after symptom onset. In non-survivors, sharp decrease of IgG antibodies against S1 and N protein before death was observed. The global antibody responses to non-structural/ accessory proteins revealed here may facilitate deeper understanding of SARS-CoV-2 immunology.

Key words

Humoral immunity, non-structural/accessory proteins, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, proteome microarray

Highlights

- An antibody response landscape against SARS-CoV-2 proteome was constructed
- Non-structural/accessory proteins elicit prevalent antibody responses but likely through a different mechanism to that of structural proteins
- IgG antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins are more associated with disease severity and clinical outcome
- For non-survivors, the levels of IgG antibodies against S1 and N decline significantly before death

Introduction

COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2^{1,2}, has become one of the most threatening crisis to global public health. By November 4, 2020, 47,328,401 cases were diagnosed and 1,212,070 lives were claimed (<https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>)³. SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the betacoronavirus genus and its genome encodes four major structural proteins, *i. e.*, spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N), and 15 non-structural proteins (Nsp1-10 and Nsp12-16) and 9 accessory proteins⁴. Among them, the S protein, consists of N-terminal S1 fragment and C-terminal S2 fragment, plays an essential role in viral attachment, fusion,

and entry into the target cells that express the viral receptor, *i. e.*, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)⁵⁻⁹. While the function, including immunogenicity of most of the non-structural proteins and accessory proteins are still elusive.

One of the major features of COVID-19 patients is the extreme variability of clinical severity from asymptom to death¹⁰. However, the factors that cause this variability are still largely unknown. Humoral immune responses elicited by SARS-CoV-2 play essential roles, especially in diagnosis, neutralizing antibody production and vaccine development¹¹⁻¹³. Among all the SARS-CoV-2 proteins, S protein and N protein exhibit high immunogenicity. Antibodies against S protein and N protein are elicited in most patients, and with higher titers in severe patients, demonstrating the association between severity and humoral immune responses^{12,14}. It was reported that the antibodies against peptides derived from non-structural and accessory proteins were also detectable in patients^{10,15,16}. However, the prevalence, clinical relevance and the dynamic of non-structural proteins and accessory proteins in patients are still largely unknown.

Recently, we constructed a SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray, containing S protein, N protein and most of the NSPs and accessory proteins¹⁴. The microarray is a powerful tool to systematically study the humoral immune response, especially the IgG and IgM responses against the SARS-CoV-2 proteome. Based on this platform, we have successfully characterized the humoral immune in convalescent patients¹⁴, asymptomatic patients¹⁷.

Here, we adopted an updated SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray that contains 20 proteins, profiled 2,360 sera from 783 COVID-19 patients and 601 control sera. We identified that NSP1, NSP7, NSP8, RdRp, ORF3b and ORF9b which can strongly elicit antibodies in COVID-19 patients. Further analysis revealed that the patterns of humoral immunity of these non-structural/accessory proteins were distinct from that of S and N protein. The global antibody responses to non-structural proteins and accessory proteins revealed in this study will facilitate the comprehensive understanding of SARS-CoV-2 humoral immunity, and may provide potential biomarkers for precise monitoring of COVID-19 progression.

Results

COVID-19 severity and clinical outcome are associated with a set of clinical parameters

To systematically analyze the clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection, we first analyzed the correlations between severity and each of the available laboratory parameters of 783 COVID-19 patients monitored when admission (**Table S1**). According to the severity and clinical outcome, the patients were divided into three groups, *i. e.*, Non-severe patients, all of whom were recovered, severe but survived patients and non-survivors. Statistical comparison among these three groups enables us to investigate the features either related to the severity for survivors or to the outcome under similar severity (**Table 1**). Since for some patients some

laboratory examinations were missing, for each clinical parameter only the effective patient numbers were given. Expectedly, gender, age, comorbidities of hypertension and diabetes are associated factors of severity, however, only age are significantly associated with clinical outcome for severe patients. In addition, in consistent with many previous studies¹⁸⁻²⁰, we identified a set of clinical and laboratory parameters which are highly related to severity or outcome, such as lymphopenia, increased CRP (C reaction protein) and factors associated with blood coagulation, cardiac injury, liver injury and kidney injury. Most of these factors are associated both with severity and outcome, while some are likely associated either with severity or outcome. For instance, thrombocytopenia and some kidney injury related factors are more common in non-survivors as compared to the other two groups, while most liver injury related factors are only associated with severity but not outcome.

Several non-structural and accessory proteins elicit highly prevalent antibody responses

Previously, we have constructed a SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray (**Figure S1a, b**) and screened a small cohort of convalescent patients¹⁴. Here, we aimed to systematically analyze the immune responses and its dynamic change against SARS-CoV-2 proteins with a much larger cohort of samples. In total, we collected 2,360 sera from 783 laboratory confirmed COVID-19 patients as well as 601 control sera (**Table S1**). All of these sera were analyzed on the SARS-CoV-2 protein microarray. To acquire high-quality data for the microarray experiments, we prepared a positive control by mixing 50 randomly selected COVID-19 sera. This control was then probed on each microarray to assess and normalize the data. It turned out that high reproducibility was achieved in our assay (**Figure S1c, d**). To simplify the analysis and assure the comparability among different SARS-CoV-2 proteins, we defined “initial serum” as the first serum collected 14 days after symptom onset for each patient. The results of the initial sera were used to construct the antibody response landscape (**Figure 1**). Immune response frequency was calculated for each protein with the cutoff value set by mean + 2 x SD of the control group. Except for S1 and N, which are known of highly antigenic, we found that several non-structural and accessory proteins elicited prevalent antibody responses, especially for IgG, including NSP1, NSP7, NSP8, RdRp, ORF3b and ORF9b, for which the positive rates are 38%, 48.4%, 27.9%, 30.3%, 52.1% and 28%, respectively. Although the IgM responses were high in some cases, the overall responses are much lower than that of IgG. We then decided to focus on IgG for in-depth analysis.

The IgG pattern of Non-structural and accessory proteins is distinct from that of S1 and N protein

We next asked whether the IgG responses to these proteins are associated with each other. We chose NSP7 as an example. The samples were divided into two groups depending on positive or negative of NSP7 IgG. Positive rates of the rest proteins were calculated for the two groups.

Unexpectedly, for all the non-structural and accessory proteins, except for ORF3a, ORF6 and ORF7a which barely elicit antibodies, the positive rates in NSP7-IgG positive group was significantly higher than that in NSP7-IgG negative group, demonstrating high correlations (Figure 2a). Interestingly, there is no obvious difference for the IgG responses of S1 and N. To further confirm our observation, we reversely compared the positive rates of IgG to non-structural/accessory proteins between the groups of S1-IgG positive and negative (Figure 2b). The positive rates of IgG response of N protein are significantly different between these two groups, while no obvious difference was shown for the non-structural/accessory proteins. These observations demonstrate that the structural proteins elicit antibodies with distinct pattern to that of the non-structural and accessory proteins, suggesting that the underlying mechanisms by which the antibodies are triggered are different for these two groups of proteins. To further study the correlations of IgG signal intensity among the proteins, Pearson correlation coefficients between any two of these proteins were calculated and then clustered. The proteins with less than 10% response frequency were not included due to statistical limit. (Figure 2c).

Consistently, the S and N proteins have lower correlations with the non-structural/accessory proteins, while the non-structural/accessory proteins were clustered together. In addition, several sub-clusters were shown among the non-structural/accessory proteins. Interestingly, NSP8 and RdRp have a high correlation (Figure 2d). It is known that RdRp, NSP8 and NSP7 could form a tight complex^{21,22}, which might contribute to the high correlation. However, the correlation between NSP8 and NSP7 is less significant (Figure 2c, e). The structure of the complex shows NSP7 physically connect to RdRp and NSP8, but with most of the protein surface blocked (Figure 2f), while NSP8 and RdRp are more accessible. However, NSP7 elicit antibody in a higher frequency (Figure 1a), suggesting NSP7 might mainly exist in other forms rather than complex with NSP8 and RdRp, thus has other yet to be discovered biological function(s). In addition, the IgG responses of NSP2 and NSP16 also have a high correlation (Figure 2c, d-g). It was reported that PPI (protein-protein interaction) was detected between NSP2 and NSP16²³. However, we did not detect any direct binding signals between NSP2 and NSP16 *in vitro* (data not shown), suggesting NSP2 and NSP16 might form a complex through the bridging of other proteins. In addition, differences of the response frequencies among the proteins are not associated with the *in vivo* protein expression level²⁴ and the protein length (Figure S2).

IgG responses are associated with severity

It is known that IgG responses against S and N proteins are associated with disease severity and clinical features^{12,14}, however, the correlations to other SARS-CoV-2 proteins, especially non-structural and accessory proteins haven't been revealed yet. As described above, we divided the patient population into three groups, *i.e.* Non-severe, severe survivors and severe

non-survivors. Two statistical methods were applied to assess the correlations. One is to analyze the positive rate of IgG against each protein, and the other is to compare the signal intensity distribution among groups (**Figure 3**). For both S1 and N, the overall signals for severe groups were slightly higher than that of non-severe group, but there was no significant difference between the survivors and non-survivors. In contrast, the non-structural/accessory proteins, that with high positive rates/ signal intensities, are more significantly correlated with severity. It is worth noting that for the 6 non-structural and accessory proteins, both the positive rates and signal intensities are significantly higher as the disease exacerbates to more severe stages (**Figure 3**). These results indicate that the IgG responses against non-structural/accessory proteins are of higher correlations with the disease severity, and may could serve as a better predictor of COVID-19 severity than that of S1 and N proteins.

We next analyzed the correlation between antibody response and clinical parameter. The clinical parameters, which have statistical correlations with IgG against S1, N, NSP7, NSP8, RdRp, ORF3b and ORF9b, were determined (**Table S3, Figure S3**). All of these parameters are related with severity, suggesting severity is a major factor and confounder that contribute to the correlations. Interestingly, thrombocytopenia, is related with clinical outcome but not severity (**Table 1**), is significantly correlated with NSP7 and ORF3b but not S1 and N, further confirming the higher correlations among antibodies of non-structural/accessory proteins and clinical outcome.

IgG responses of S1 and N proteins decrease several days before death in non-survivors

The preserve of high tiers of neutralizing antibodies is essential for protecting the patients from re-infection and vaccine development. One critical question is that how long the antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 can last. A recent study found that antibody titers did not decline within 4 months after diagnosis ²⁵, while another studies observed rapid decay of antibodies in mild patients ^{26,27}. So we analyzed the antibody dynamics with our sample set in which the sera were collected from 0 to about 60 days after initial symptom onset. The seroprevalence or positive rates for both S1 and N reached plateau at about 20 days after symptom onset and maintained afterwards for at least two months for all the three groups (**Figure 4a, b**). However, with regard to signal intensity, a dramatic decrease was observed for the non-survivor group, while not for other two groups, though a slight decline was observed for severe-survivor group (**Figure 4c, d**). The sharp decline in non-survivors might be related to death. To confirm this possibility, we analyzed 35 patients with serum available 0-2 days before death, and 108 survivors with serum available 0-2 day before discharge as control. For each patient, we defined the relative signal for each sample to the sample immediately before death or discharge. Overall, the relative signals declined gradually during the disease progression from about 10 days before death (**Figure 4e**) for non-survivors though the trend differed among individuals. In contrast, there is no significant change for the survivors (**Figure 4f**). These observations might imply a

collapse in SARS-CoV-2 related humoral immune in a majority of patients before death and further study are needed to confirm this.

IgG against the 6 non-structural/accessory proteins decline rapidly during COVID-19 progression

We next analyzed the dynamic of IgG responses for the 6 non-structural/accessory proteins. Surprisingly, the IgG responses, *i.e.*, the signal intensities and positive rates, against the 6 proteins reached plateau in all the three groups at about 20 days after the symptom onset, and then decreased rapidly for all the three groups (**Figure 5a, 5b**), this is largely different from S1 and N protein (**Figure 4**). We next selected NSP7 IgG as an example for further analysis and depicted the change for each patient (**Figure 5c-e**). Continuous and dramatic decline of IgG against NSP7 for most patients were observed (**Figure 5d, 5e**). These results imply the B cells that producing IgG antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins might be short-lived, and/ or the underlying mechanism of generating IgG antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins may differ from that of S1 and N proteins.

Discussion

In this study, we profiled 2,360 sera from 783 COVID-19 patients and 601 control sera using a SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray. We found that 6 non-structural/accessory proteins elicit strong antibody responses in COVID-19 patients, including NSP1, NSP7, NSP8, RdRp, ORF3b and ORF9b.

It is broadly reported that a batch of clinical laboratory parameters are associated with disease severity of COVID-19 patients, such as lymphopenia, neutrophilia, increased value of CRP, LDH and D-dimer¹⁸⁻²⁰. What we observed are mostly consistent with these reports. We also found some parameters that were more related with clinical outcome. For example, there is no obvious difference of the levels of thrombocytopenia between non-severe and severe survivors, but significant decline in non-survivors, suggesting the issue of blood coagulation in COVID-19 patients should be carefully monitored during therapy and fully evaluation for the contribution to death.

NSP1 is a major virulence factor that binds with the small subunit of host ribosome to suppress host gene expression²⁸. NSP7, NSP8 and RdRp (NSP12) form a complex, which is involved in the replication and transcription of the SARS-CoV-2 genome, playing an essential role for virus replication. RdRp is the target of a promising drug Remdesivir²². ORF3b is reported to be a potent IFN antagonist²⁹ and was identified to elicit antibodies in COVID-19 patients³⁰. ORF9b can suppress type I IFN production by targeting host protein TOM70³¹. Previous studies have found the presence of antibodies against SARS ORF9b^{32,33}, and ORF9b IgG antibody identified in convalescent sera, though from a small cohort of sera¹⁴. However,

to our knowledge, all of these findings are based on small cohorts of samples. Here, by analyzing a large cohort of samples, we actually constructed an antibody response landscape of SARS-CoV-2 proteome. This landscape extent our knowledge of the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and the immune system. However, due to the difficulty of protein preparation, there are still some proteins that are missing on the SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray, such as ORF8, which has recently been reported to be able to elicit strong antibody response³⁰. Some of these missing proteins will be added when we update the microarray.

Comparison of the IgG responses among the antigenic proteins revealed the possibility that the generation of antibodies against the non-structural/accessory proteins are not independent with each other, which means for one patient that positive for one protein tend to has a significant chance to be positive for other proteins. This is expected since these proteins may be simultaneously exposed to or not to the immune system. Interestingly, high correlations were shown between some particular proteins, likely revealing the high associations of these proteins during infection and disease progression. For instance, it is known that RdRp, NSP7 and NSP8 form a complex for replication and translation of the viral genome. Correspondingly, high correlations of their elicited antibody responses, particular for NSP8 and RdRp, were observed. We also observed high correlations between NSP2 and NSP16, suggesting the two proteins might associate *in vivo*.

The function of the antibodies to non-structural/accessory proteins are still largely unknown, our results reveal that the antibody levels are more associated with disease severity, particularly with the final outcome. These findings imply that the antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins may play more important roles, thus worth further and in-depth investigation. One concern about the antibody against S protein is the possible ADE (antibody dependent enhancement)³⁴ which causes uncontrolled release of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1, IL-6, IFN- γ , and TNF- α ^{35,36}. Meanwhile, the severity of COVID-19 is highly associated with cytokine release syndrome or cytokine storm^{36,37}. One possible role of these antibodies against the non-structural/accessory proteins might be to trigger production of more cytokines when they bind the released antigens from the infected cells.

It is still controversial about the duration of the protective antibodies in patients^{25,26}. Our data reveal that there is no significant decline of IgG antibodies against S or N protein for mild and severe survivors within 60 days after symptom onset. While the IgG antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins rapidly decline when they reach the plateau about 20 days after symptom onset. This may could be explained by the gradual decline of virus load which is usually detectable around about 20 days after symptom onset^{38,39}. A recently published study identified ORF3b can elicit antibodies and the antibodies can last for two to three months³⁰. In fact, for most of the patients, an obvious trend of decline is observed. The duration of antibody is largely dependent on the type of the corresponding B cells or antibody secreting plasma cells, either long-lived or short lived^{40,41}. The obvious short life time of the antibodies against non-

structural/accessory proteins might due to the suppressed production of long-lived B cells or tend to generate short-lived B cells with unknown mechanism⁴⁰. It seems that there are two distinct mechanisms through which the proteins of SARS-CoV-2 elicit host humoral immune responses: 1) Viral particle is involved as antigen resource, specifically S and N proteins, which elicit potent antibody responses and tend to generate long-lived B cells. These antibodies mainly play a protective role. 2) The infected cell is involved as antigen resource with non-structural/accessory proteins, which elicit weaker antibody responses and tend to be suppressed to generate long-lived B cells. These antibodies might be stronger to induce cytokines to contribute severer outcomes. However, this hypothesis should be confirmed by further studies.

In contrast to the antibody levels of S and N protein are stable for survivors, we observed the overall antibody levels start to decline in non-survivors at about ten days before death. This observation implies the possible protective function of these antibodies in patients, and a collapse of humoral immune might occur for most patients immediately before death. Further studies are needed to confirm this observation with more samples.

Taken together, we revealed a comprehensive antibody landscape against SARS-CoV-2 proteome. The results were assured by a large cohort of 2,360 COVID-19 sera. Distinct characteristics of the antibodies against non-structural/accessory proteins and structural proteins were shown for the first time, with regard to patterns of antibody responses, associations with severity/outcome, and the dynamic. We strongly believe that the antibody landscape revealed in this study will facilitate a deeper understanding the immunity of SARS-CoV-2, predict the final outcome, may provide potential biomarkers for precise monitoring of COVID-19 progression, and may guide the development of effective vaccines.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Daniel M. Czajkowsky for English editing and critical comments. We thank Prof. H. Eric Xu (Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica) for providing RdRp protein and Dawei Shi from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, Beijing, China for providing negative reference control samples. We also thank Healthcode Co., Ltd., Hangzhou Bioeast biotech Co., Ltd. and VACURE Biotechnology Co., Ltd. for providing reagents. This work was partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China Grant (No. 2016YFA0500600), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31970130, 31600672, 31670831, 31370813, 31900112 and 21907065).

Author contributions

S-C. T. and X-L. F. developed the conceptual ideas and designed the study. Y.L., Z-W.X., Q.L., D-Y.L., Z-W.X., M-L. M., B.Z., H.C., C-Z.Y., J-B.X., X-N.W., Y-X.Z., H-N.Z., H-W.J., H.Q.

Y-D.Z., X-S.L., Z-J.Y. and S-J.G. performed the experiments and data analysis.. Z-Y.S., F.W., H-Y.H., Y-D.Z., X-S. L., Z-J.Y., H-M.S., J-X.W., L-Y.C., S-Q.L., P-F.P., and H.S. collected the sera samples. S-C.T. and Y.L. wrote the manuscript with suggestions from other authors.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Tables

350 Table 1. Clinical Parameters related to severity of COVID-19 patients

Related functions	Clinical Parameters*	Non-Severe		Severe (survivors)		Severe (Non-Survivors)		P-value 1 [#]	P-value 2 [#]
		samples (n)	n, % (95% CI)	samples (n)	n, % (95% CI)	samples (n)	n, % (95% CI)		
	Gender (Male) ↑→	369	156,42.3% (37.3-47.4%)	354	193, 56.2% (51.6-60.7%)	60	38, 58.2% (47.2-68.5%)	<0.0001	0.733
	Hypertension ↑→	369	106, 28.7% (23.3-33.5%)	354	176, 49.7% (42.6-51.7%)	60	24, 40.0% (28.6-52.6%)	<0.0001	0.164
	Diabetes ↑→	369	49, 13.3% (10.2-17.1%)	354	82, 23.2% (19.1-27.8%)	60	15, 25.0% (15.8-37.2%)	0.0006	0.756
Infection			Median (IQR)		Median (IQR)		Median (IQR)		
	Age ↑↑	369	58 (47, 67.5)	354	65 (56, 72)	60	68 (59.5, 78)	2.74E-14	2.27E-03
	Lymphocyte (#) ↓↓	246	1.115 (0.7875, 1.5525)	305	0.85 (0.5825, 1.17)	48	0.64 (0.45, 0.86)	7.39E-14	1.86E-04
	Lymphocyte (%) ↓↓	246	22.5 (15.25, 29.625)	305	13.4 (9.1, 20.275)	48	8.4 (4.9, 12.2)	4.07E-25	3.42E-07
	Neutrophils (#) ↑↑	246	3.57 (2.49, 4.94)	305	4.795 (3.4175, 6.7825)	48	6.77 (4.5, 11.38)	6.63E-15	3.33E-05
	Neutrophils (%) ↑↑	246	66.9 (58.6, 76.1)	305	77.75 (70.05, 85)	48	86.4 (80.8, 90.1)	8.47E-24	3.42E-07
	Eosinophils (#) ↓↓	246	0.03 (0, 0.08)	305	0.01 (0, 0.0575)	48	0 (0, 0.01)	3.27E-04	3.30E-04
	Eosinophils (%) ↓↓	246	0.5 (0, 1.4)	305	0.2 (0, 0.9)	48	0 (0, 0.2)	2.51E-05	5.20E-05
	Basophils (%) ↓↓	246	0.2 (0.1, 0.4)	305	0.2 (0.1, 0.2)	48	0.1 (0, 0.2)	2.39E-06	0.01
	Monocytes (%) ↓↓	246	8.4 (6.6, 10.1)	305	7.3 (4.825, 9.6)	48	4.9 (2.7, 7.2)	1.98E-06	3.89E-05
	White blood cell ↑↑	246	5.375 (4.1775, 6.95)	305	6.29 (4.8625, 8.405)	43	8.03 (5.49, 13.08)	1.19E-08	1.27E-04
	CRP ↑↑	228	20.15 (5.3, 57.95)	277	55.5 (20.1, 102.4)	38	86.25 (50.85, 170.15)	2.42E-14	5.26E-05
	Procalcitonin ↑↑	197	0.05 (0.03, 0.09)	236	0.07 (0.04, 0.18)	43	0.18 (0.09, 0.9)	2.18E-09	2.20E-07
Blood coagulation	Globulin ↑→	228	33.3 (30.1, 36.8)	284	35.05 (32.025, 38.7)	40	35.8 (31.55, 40.05)	7.61E-06	0.45
	D-dimer ↑↑	216	0.68 (0.36, 1.55)	251	1.29 (0.68, 2.47)	41	2.82 (1.41, 13.545)	1.87E-11	1.84E-07
	Prothrombin activity ↓↓	218	92 (85, 101)	256	88 (80, 96.25)	41	78 (69, 89)	7.64E-06	4.51E-06
	Prothrombin time ↑↑	218	13.8 (13.3, 14.375)	256	14.1 (13.5, 14.825)	36	15 (14.1, 15.9)	1.23E-06	8.27E-06
	Fibrinogen ↑→	200	4.935 (3.975, 5.76)	211	5.47 (4.64, 6.47)	23	5.215 (3.4, 6.525)	1.91E-06	0.12
	FDP ↑↑	102	4 (4, 5.3)	99	4.4 (4, 7.8)	48	13.6 (6.8, 51.55)	1.10E-03	1.66E-06
	Platelet count → ↓	246	219 (162, 278)	305	222 (165, 295)	46	179 (119, 247)	0.51	1.88E-04
Cardiac injury	Plateletcrit ↑→	239	0.23 (0.18, 0.29)	300	0.24 (0.18, 0.31)	43	0.2 (0.14, 0.26)	0.29	6.67E-04
	LDH ↑↑	229	251 (210, 306)	284	330.5 (270.25, 443)	41	480 (364, 552.5)	7.19E-23	1.95E-07
	hs-cTnI ↑↑	167	4 (1.9, 8.525)	211	7.25 (3.8, 15.025)	37	24.4 (8.1, 103.7)	4.00E-09	2.35E-08
	NT-proBNP ↑↑	142	99.5 (33.75, 281.25)	189	269 (113.75, 569.25)	23	942.5 (349.25, 2794.25)	1.82E-11	7.08E-08
Liver Injury	Myoglobin ↑↑	66	40.1 (29.825, 76.625)	93	75.3 (46.625, 124.325)	43	157.7 (85.5, 344.85)	4.71E-06	2.50E-04
	Aspartate aminotransferase ↑↑	229	25 (18, 37)	284	31 (22, 48)	43	38 (25.5, 55.5)	4.57E-07	0.02
	Alanine aminotransferase ↑→	229	21 (13, 39.5)	284	28 (18, 44)	43	29 (18, 39)	3.10E-04	0.56
	γ-GT ↑→	228	29 (18, 53)	284	35 (22, 71)	43	40 (27, 87.5)	8.74E-04	0.11
	Total bilirubin ↑↑	228	8.6 (6.4, 11.7)	284	10.05 (8, 13.875)	43	12 (9.4, 18.1)	1.39E-07	5.85E-03
	Direct bilirubin ↑↑	228	3.7 (2.7, 5)	284	4.65 (3.5, 6.475)	41	5.4 (4.1, 9.7)	5.39E-10	5.39E-03
	Indirect bilirubin ↑→	224	4.8 (3.5, 6.425)	283	5.2 (4, 7.6)	43	5.8 (4.325, 7.475)	9.45E-04	0.34
	Albumin ↓↓	228	35.7 (32.8, 38.7)	284	32.6 (30.025, 35.4)	43	32 (29.3, 34.3)	3.13E-16	0.07
	Albumin to globulin ratio ↓↓	228	1.06 (0.92, 1.26)	284	0.92 (0.8, 1.0775)	43	0.87 (0.775, 0.995)	2.51E-15	0.12
	Total Protein ↓→	228	69.3 (65.8, 72.9)	284	67.8 (64.325, 72.125)	43	68.5 (62.9, 72.65)	8.14E-03	0.72
	Total cholesterol ↓→	228	3.81 (3.24, 4.41)	284	3.55 (3.0625, 4.04)	30	3.48 (2.975, 4.1)	6.30E-05	0.84
	Ferritin ↑↑	119	488.5 (303.85, 745.95)	121	852.85 (525.5, 1542.975)	43	1340.4 (953.3, 2005.45)	3.38E-10	6.39E-03
	Alkaline Phosphatase ↑→	228	65 (54, 82)	284	67 (55.25, 86)	43	80 (60, 102)	0.30	3.46E-03
Kidney injury	Creatinine →↑	228	67 (57, 82)	282	69 (56.75, 86)	23	82 (66.5, 102.5)	0.15	3.25E-04
	Creatine kinase ↑↑	61	0.6 (0.4, 1.425)	89	1 (0.675, 1.9)	41	1.8 (1, 2.95)	1.77E-03	2.70E-03
	eGFR ↓↓	225	92.7 (79.1, 104.45)	272	89.7 (76.3, 99.3)	43	69.9 (47.7, 91)	7.70E-03	1.96E-05
	Bicarbonate →↓	228	24.3 (22.9, 26.1)	282	24.1 (22.4, 26.1)	43	21.9 (19.5, 24)	0.35	1.95E-06
	Urea ↑↑	228	4.2 (3.3, 5.4)	282	4.9 (3.575, 6.325)	43	8 (5.75, 12.2)	6.21E-05	2.02E-11
	Uric acid →↑	228	253 (198.1, 309)	282	236 (181.75, 298.125)	11	270 (184.5, 388)	0.05	0.03
Thyroid function	Free T3 ↓↓	56	4.38 (3.57, 4.82)	62	3.67 (3.3, 4.32)	11	2.94 (2.6475, 3.22)	2.66E-03	6.31E-05
	Free T4 ↑→	56	17.5 (15.07, 20.08)	62	19.63 (16.89, 21.35)	13	17.25 (16.25, 17.985)	1.49E-03	0.10
Cytokines	IL6 ↑↑	47	6.025 (2.6225, 28)	32	24.785 (5.575, 59.565)	12	89.47 (16.82, 283.7)	1.17E-03	8.65E-03
	IL8 ↑↑	40	7.5 (5, 13.4)	29	10.9 (6.275, 21.9)	12	30.95 (16.975, 156.175)	0.02	7.67E-04
	IL10 →↑	40	5 (5, 5.7)	29	5 (5, 9.2)	12	10.8 (6.9, 22.6)	0.09	8.60E-04
	IL2R ↑↑	40	395 (243, 676)	29	731.5 (413, 1007)	12	1321.5 (811.5, 2083.5)	4.77E-04	7.05E-04
	TNFα ↑↑	40	7.3 (5.3, 9.2)	29	8.55 (6.375, 12.25)	42	14.15 (10.15, 21.15)	0.04	9.69E-04
	Glucose ↑↑	215	5.9 (5.1975, 7.02)	275	6.69 (5.6575, 8.8275)	47	7.42 (6.4375, 9.1475)	1.78E-08	0.01
Others	RDW (CV) →↑	242	12.3 (11.9, 13)	303	12.4 (11.9, 13)	47	12.85 (12.2, 14.1)	0.39	7.13E-05
	RDW (SD) →↑	242	40 (38, 42.1)	303	40 (38.4, 42.125)	43	41.55 (39.8, 44.225)	0.46	3.63E-04
	Potassium →↑	228	4.18 (3.82, 4.51)	282	4.17 (3.78, 4.54)	43	4.42 (3.865, 4.79)	0.97	0.02
	Chlorine ↓→	228	100.8 (98.225, 103)	282	100 (97.1, 102.725)	43	100.6 (97.75, 103.8)	9.61E-03	0.18
	Calcium ↓→	228	2.17 (2.11, 2.27)	282	2.11 (2.05, 2.2)	43	2.11 (2.04, 2.17)	1.19E-10	0.50
	Sodium ↓→	228	139.8 (137.5, 141.875)	282	138.9 (136.3, 141.225)	59	139.2 (136.25, 141.8)	3.01E-03	0.54

351 # P-value 1: comparison between non-severe and severe survivors. P-value 2: comparison between severe survivors
352 and non-survivors. * The two arrows immediately after each clinical parameter indicates the change of severe
353 survivors compared with non-severe patients and severe non-survivors compared with severe survivors, respectively.
354 ↓significantly decrease; ↑ significantly increase; → no significant change. CPR, C reaction protein; FDP,
355 fibrinogen degradation products; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; hs-cTnI, high sensitivity cardiac troponin I; NT-
356 proBNP, N terminal pro B type natriuretic peptide; γ-GT, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; eGFR, epidermal growth factor
357 receptor; RDW (CV), red cell volume distribution width (coefficient of variation); RDW (SD), red cell volume
358 distribution width (standard deviation).

Methods and Materials

Protein microarray fabrication

The SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray used this study is a updated version of the original one¹⁴. Three more proteins, *i. e.*, ORF3a, ORF3b and ORF7b, were expressed by ourself and added. The 4th protein, RdRp was provided by H. Eric Xu²². The protein microarray was fabricated as previously described¹⁴. Briefly, the proteins with indicated concentrations, along with the negative (GST, Biotin-control and eGFP) and positive controls (Human IgG, Human IgM and ACE2-Fc), were printed in quadruplicate on PATH substrate slide (Grace Bio-Labs, Oregon, USA) to generate identical arrays in a 2× 7 subarray format using Super Marathon printer (Arrayjet, UK). The microarrays were used for serum profiling as described previously with minor modifications¹⁹. Protein microarrays were stored at -80°C until use.

Patients and samples

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (ITJ-C20200128). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants enrolled in this study. All COVID-19 patients were laboratory confirmed and hospitalized during the period from 25 January 2020 and 28 April 2020. Sera of the control group from healthy donors, lung cancer patients, patients with autoimmune diseases were collected from Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai, China or Tongren Hospital, Shanghai, China. The negative reference samples were from National Institutes for Food and Drug Control. All the samples were stored at -80°C until use.

Microarray-based serum analysis

A 14-chamber rubber gasket was mounted onto each slide to create individual chambers for the 14 identical subarrays. The microarray was used for serum profiling as described by Li, *et al.*³⁹ with minor modifications. Briefly, the arrays stored at -80°C were warmed to room temperature and then incubated in blocking buffer (3% BSA in 1×PBS buffer with 0.1% Tween 20) for 3 h. Serum samples were diluted 1: 200 in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20, added with 0.5 mg/mL⁻¹ total *E. coli* lysate. A total of 200 μL of diluted serum or buffer only was incubated with each subarray overnight at 4°C. The arrays were washed with 1 × PBST and the signals were readout by incubating with Cy3-conjugated goat anti-human IgG and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated donkey anti-human IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch, PA, USA). These two fluorescent conjugated antibodies were diluted 1: 1,000 in 1 × PBST and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The microarrays were then washed with 1 × PBST, dried by centrifugation at room temperature, scanned by LuxScan 10K-A (CapitalBio Corporation, Beijing, China) with the

parameters set as 95% laser power/ PMT 550 and 95% laser power/ PMT 480 for IgM and IgG, respectively. The fluorescent intensity data was extracted by GenePix Pro 6.0 software (Molecular Devices, CA, USA). A pool of 50 randomly selected patient sera was used as a standard positive control. Block #14 of each slide was incubated with the positive control. Data normalization among slides was performed by a linear method with the data from the positive control. Specifically, a normalization factor for each slide was calculated by a linear regression function of the signals of the positive control of the given slide with the averaged signals of all slides, and then the signals of all the proteins from the slide were divided by the factor.

Statistics

Signal Intensity was defined as the median of the foreground subtracted by the median of background for each spot and then the quadruplicate spots were averaged for each protein. IgG and IgM data were analyzed separately. Pearson correlation coefficient between two proteins or indicators and the corresponding *p*-value was calculated by SPSS software under the default parameters. Cluster analysis was performed by heatmap package of R ⁴². To calculate the positive rate of antibody response for each protein, mean + 2 x standard deviation (SD) of the control sera were used to set the threshold.

Data availability

The SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray data are deposited on Protein Microarray Database under the accession number PMDE243 (<http://www.proteinmicroarray.cn>). Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

Supplemental Information

Figure S1. SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray and the assessment of reproducibility (related to Figure 1).

Figure S2. Antibody responses are not associated with protein abundance or length (related to figure 2).

Figure S3. IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to figure 3).

Table S1. Serum Samples and patients (related to Figure 1).

Table S2. SARS-CoV-2 proteins included in the proteome microarray (related to Figure 1 and Figure S1).

Table S3. IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to Figure 3 and Figure S3).

References:

1. Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270–273 (2020).
2. Wu, F. *et al.* A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature* **579**, 265–269 (2020).
3. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).
4. Wu, A. *et al.* Genome Composition and Divergence of the Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Originating in China. *Cell Host Microbe* **27**, 325–328 (2020).
5. Ge, X.-Y. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **503**, 535–538 (2013).
6. Wrapp, D. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science (80-.)*. **367**, 1260–1263 (2020).
7. Yan, R. *et al.* Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science (80-.)*. **367**, 1444–1448 (2020).
8. Lan, J. *et al.* Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **581**, 215–220 (2020).
9. Hoffmann, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* **181**, 271–280.e8 (2020).
10. Shrock, E. *et al.* Viral epitope profiling of COVID-19 patients reveals cross-reactivity and correlates of severity. *Science (80-.)*. doi: 10.1126/science.abd4250 (2020) doi:10.1126/science.abd4250.
11. Jiang, S., Hillyer, C. & Du, L. Neutralizing Antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and Other Human Coronaviruses. *Trends Immunol.* **41**, 355–359 (2020).
12. Long, Q. X. *et al.* Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 845–848 (2020).
13. Vabret, N. *et al.* Immunology of COVID-19: Current State of the Science. *Immunity* **52**, 910–941 (2020).
14. Jiang, H. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray for global profiling of COVID-19 specific IgG and IgM responses. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3581 (2020).
15. Wang, H. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray for mapping COVID-19 antibody interactions at amino acid resolution. *ACS Cent. Sci.* DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.0c00742 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.03.26.994756.

- 462 16. Li, Y. *et al.* Longitudinal serum autoantibody repertoire profiling identifies surgery-associated
463 biomarkers in lung adenocarcinoma. *EBioMedicine* **53**, 102674 (2020).
- 464 17. Lei, Q. *et al.* Antibody dynamics to SARS-CoV-2 in asymptomatic COVID-19 infections.
465 *Allergy* doi: 10.1111/all.14622 (2020).
- 466 18. Wu, C. *et al.* Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in
467 Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **180**,
468 934–943 (2020).
- 469 19. García, L. F. Immune Response, Inflammation, and the Clinical Spectrum of COVID-19.
470 *Front. Immunol.* **11**, 1441 (2020).
- 471 20. Huang, C. *et al.* Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan ,
472 China. *Lancet* **6736**, 1–10 (2020).
- 473 21. Gao, Y. *et al.* Structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from COVID-19 virus.
474 *Science (80-.)*. **368**, 779–782 (2020).
- 475 22. Yin, W. *et al.* Structural basis for inhibition of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from
476 SARS-CoV-2 by remdesivir. *Science (80-.)*. **368**, 1499–1504 (2020).
- 477 23. Li, J. *et al.* Virus-Host Interactome and Proteomic Survey Reveal Potential Virulence Factors
478 Influencing SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis. *Med* DOI: 10.1016/j.medj.2020.07.002 (2020)
479 doi:10.1016/j.medj.2020.07.002.
- 480 24. Finkel, Y. *et al.* The coding capacity of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2739-1
481 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2739-1.
- 482 25. Gudbjartsson, D. F. *et al.* Humoral Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Iceland. *N. Engl. J.*
483 *Med.* (2020) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2026116.
- 484 26. Ibarrondo, F. J. *et al.* Rapid Decay of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Persons with Mild
485 Covid-19. *The New England journal of medicine* vol. 383 1085–1087 (2020).
- 486 27. Long, Q. X. *et al.* Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2
487 infections. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 1200–1204 (2020).
- 488 28. Thoms, M. *et al.* Structural basis for translational shutdown and immune evasion by the Nsp1
489 protein of SARS-CoV-2. *Science (80-.)*. **369**, 1249–1255 (2020).
- 490 29. Konno, Y. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 ORF3b Is a Potent Interferon Antagonist Whose Activity Is
491 Increased by a Naturally Occurring Elongation Variant. *Cell Rep.* **32**, 108185 (2020).
- 492 30. Hachim, A. *et al.* ORF8 and ORF3b antibodies are accurate serological markers of early and
493 late SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat. Immunol.* **21**, 1293–1301 (2020).
- 494 31. Jiang, H.-W. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Orf9b suppresses type I interferon responses by targeting

495 TOM70. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **17**, 998–1000 (2020).

496 32. Guo, J.-P., Petric, M., Campbell, W. & McGeer, P. L. SARS corona virus peptides recognized
497 by antibodies in the sera of convalescent cases. *Virology* **324**, 251–256 (2004).

498 33. Qiu, M. *et al.* Antibody responses to individual proteins of SARS coronavirus and their
499 neutralization activities. *Microbes Infect.* **7**, 882–889 (2005).

500 34. Wu, F. *et al.* Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of SARS-CoV-2 infection in recovered
501 COVID-19 patients: studies based on cellular and structural biology analysis. *medRxiv*
502 2020.10.08.20209114 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.10.08.20209114.

503 35. Liu, L. *et al.* Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage responses
504 during acute SARS-CoV infection. *JCI insight* **4**, e123158 (2019).

505 36. Ragab, D., Salah Eldin, H., Taeimah, M., Khattab, R. & Salem, R. The COVID-19 Cytokine
506 Storm; What We Know So Far. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, 1446 (2020).

507 37. Mangalmurti, N. & Hunter, C. A. Cytokine Storms: Understanding COVID-19. *Immunity* **53**,
508 19–25 (2020).

509 38. Azkur, A. K. *et al.* Immune response to SARS-CoV-2 and mechanisms of immunopathological
510 changes in COVID-19. *Allergy* **75**, 1564–1581 (2020).

511 39. He, X. *et al.* Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. *Nat.*
512 *Med.* **26**, 672–675 (2020).

513 40. Nutt, S. L., Hodgkin, P. D., Tarlinton, D. M. & Corcoran, L. M. The generation of antibody-
514 secreting plasma cells. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **15**, 160–171 (2015).


515 41. Cyster, J. G. & Allen, C. D. C. B Cell Responses: Cell Interaction Dynamics and Decisions.
516 *Cell* **177**, 524–540 (2019).

517 42. Kolde, R. Pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html)
518 [project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) (2015).

519

520

a IgG antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 proteins



b IgM antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 proteins




Figure 1. Antibody response landscape against SARS-CoV-2 proteins. IgG (a) and IgM (b) responses against each SARS-CoV-2 protein was depicted as boxplot according to the signal intensity of each sample on the proteome microarray. The data were presented as median with quintiles and the hinges (n = 756). Cutoff values (the red line) for each protein were set as mean + 2 x SD of the control group (n = 601), the positive rates of the patient group were labeled for each protein, positive rates > 25% are labeled as red.




Figure 2. Antibodies against structural proteins and other proteins are in different patterns. **a.** Antibody positive rates for the SARS-CoV-2 proteins in two patient groups, the patient groups were divided according to NSP7 IgG signal, either positive or negative. **b.** Antibody positive rates for selected proteins in two patient groups, the patient groups were divided according to S1 IgG signal, either positive or negative. **c.** The Pearson correlation coefficients of the IgG responses among the proteins were calculated and clustered. **d-e.** Correlations of the IgG responses against RdRp and NSP8 (**d**), NSP8 and NSP7 (**e**). **f.** The location and accessibility of NSP7, NSP8 and RdRp in the SARS-CoV-2 RNA polymerase complex (PDB: 7BV1). **g.** Correlations of the IgG responses against NSP2 and NSP16. For **a-b**, error bar was given as the 95% confidential interval. P -value was calculated by two-sided χ^2 test. *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, $P < 0.001$, ****, $P < 0.0001$, n. s., not significant.




Figure 3. IgG responses are associated with disease severity. a-h. IgG positive rate and signal intensity distribution among three patient groups, *i.e.*, non-severe, severe (survivors) and severe (non-survivors) patients for S1 (a), N protein (b), NSP1 (c), NSP7 (d), NSP8 (e), RdRp (f) ORF3b (g) and ORF9b (h). For positive rate analysis, error bar was given as the 95% confidential interval. *P*-value was calculated by two-sided χ^2 test. *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, $P < 0.001$, ****, $P < 0.0001$, n. s., not significant. For signal intensity analysis, the middle line was median value; the upper and lower hinges were the values of 75% and 25% percentile. *P*-value was calculated by two-sided *t* test.




Figure 4. S1 and N IgG decrease several days before death in non-survivors. a-b. The trends of median signal intensities of IgG at different time points for S1 (a) and N (b), among three sample groups, *i. e.*, non-severe, severe (survivors) and severe (non-survivors). Samples were grouped per day and the time points with sample number less than 4 were excluded due to lack of statistical significance. **c-d.** Relative S1-IgG signal levels were calculated for each patient, by dividing the signal intensity of the samples collected at other time points vs. samples collected at 0-2 days before the death of non-survivors (c, $n = 35$) or the discharge of survivors (d, $n = 108$). The samples were grouped per three days. For each patient, the signals were averaged if there were more than one sample during each three-day. P -value was calculated by two-sided t test between the indicated group and the first group (0 - 2 days). *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, $P < 0.001$, n. s., not significant.




Figure 5. Antibody responses against non-structural proteins and accessory proteins decrease rapidly after 20 days of symptom onset. **a.** The trends of median signal intensities of IgG at different time points for NSP1, NSP7 and ORF3b, among three samples groups, *i. e.*, non-severe, severe (survivors) and severe (non-survivors). Samples were grouped per day and the points with sample number less than 4 were excluded. **b.** The trends of positive rate of IgG at different time points for NSP1, NSP7 and ORF3b, among three samples groups, *i. e.*, non-severe, severe (survivors) and severe (non-survivors). Samples were grouped per three days. **c-e.** NSP7-IgG signal dynamic changes for the patients with 4-5 samples (**c**) or more samples (**d**) or for some representative individuals (**e**). Each line represents one person.

Supplemental Information

Figure S1. SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray and the assessment of reproducibility (related to Figure 1).

Figure S2. Antibody responses are not associated with protein abundance or length (related to figure 2).

Figure S3. IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to figure 3).

Table S1. Serum Samples and patients (related to Figure 1).

Table S2. SARS-CoV-2 proteins included in the proteome microarray (related to Figure 1 and Figure S1).

Table S3. IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to Figure 3 and Figure S3).






Figure S1. SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray and the assessment of reproducibility (related to Figure 1). **a.** The layout of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome microarray. The locations of proteins and controls are indicated. **b.** Representative images of the microarray screened by sera from a healthy control and a COVID-19 patient. **c.** Correlation analysis between two microarrays probed independently with a positive control serum. **d.** Statistical analysis of the Pearson correlation coefficients between the microarrays incubated with the positive control serum with the averaged data set (see methods). The data are present as mean \pm SD.



Protein	Translation level		response frequency
	Vero E6	Calu3	
ORF1a	659.2	1640.7	24%
ORF1b	394.3	852.9	17.30%
S protien	15648.7	34603.9	96.7%
ORF3a	40845.5	50519.3	4.5%
E protein	12351.5	14590.6	1.7%
ORF6	25280.8	33751.7	0.4%
ORF7b	20951.1	46634.2	1.6%
N protein	293655.1	252780.7	97.4%



Protein	Length (aa)	Response frequency
S	1273	96.7%
N protein	421	97.4%
E protein	75	1.7%
NSP1	180	38.0%
NSP2	638	22.1%
NSP4	500	20.5%
NSP5	306	14.3%
NSP7	83	48.4%
NSP8	198	27.9%
NSP9	113	7.0%
NSP10	139	6.2%
RdRp	932	30.3%
NSP14	527	20.1%
NSP15	345	15.3%
NSP16	298	16.4%
ORF3a	275	4.5%
ORF3b	22	52.1%
ORF6	61	0.4%
ORF7b	43	1.6%
ORF9b	99	28.0%

Figure S2. Antibody responses are not associated with protein abundance or length (related to figure 2). a-b. Correlations between antibody response frequency and protein abundance (Finkel et al., 2020) (a), and protein length (b).




Figure S3. IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to figure 3). a-d. Correlations and statistical analysis of IgG response against indicate proteins and clinical parameters. The right part for each panel depicts the distribution of the values for corresponding clinical parameter in lower and higher IgG response groups. *P*-values were calculated with two-sided *t* test.

Table S1. Serum Samples and patients (related to Figure 1)

Group		COVID-19	Control-1	Control-2
Patients (n)		783	469	73
Serum samples (n)		2,360	469	73
Patients with samples >14 days after onset		756	-	-
Age		61.4±14.5	53.7±20.6	N/A
Gender	Male	377	224	N/A
	Female	379	245	N/A
Severity/ outcome	non-severe	347		
	Severe (survivors)	354	-	-
	Severe (non-survivors)	55		
Source		Tongji Hospital, Wuhan	Tongren Hospital, Shanghai Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai	National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, Beijing
Subtype and number		-	Healthy: 92; URI: 104; AID: 120; LC: 41; Other diseases: 112	Negative reference samples

Table S2. SARS-CoV-2 proteins included in the proteome microarray (related to Figure 1 and Figure S1)

Protein ID	Name	Resources	Concentration (mg/mL)	Tag(s)	Expression system
1	S1	Hangzhou Bioeast biotech (SC2S302)	0.17, 0.5	C-His	Mammalian Cells
	N Protein	Our Lab	0.125	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
	N Protein	VACURE Biotechnology (AG-PL-2101)	0.08, 0.25	C-His	Mammalian Cells
2	N-Cter	Healthcode PROTN_nCoV-N-CterHG01000	0.25	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
	N-Nter	Healthcode PROTN_nCoV-N- NterHG01000	0.25	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
3	NSP1	Our Lab	0.125	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
4	NSP2	Healthcode PROTN_nCoVNSP2H G01000	0.17, 0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
5	NSP4	Our Lab	0.1	His-Trx/C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
6	NSP5	Healthcode PROTN_nCoV3C1pHG01000	0.17, 0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
7	NSP7	Our Lab	0.125	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
8	NSP8	Our Lab	0.25	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
9	NSP9	Our Lab	0.25	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
10	NSP10	Our Lab	0.17, 0.5	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
11	RdRp	H. Eric Xu's Lab	0.17, 0.5	His	Insect Cells
12	NSP14	Healthcode PROTN_nCoVNSP14HG01000	0.17, 0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
13	NSP15	Healthcode PROTN_nCoVNdUHG01000	0.17, 0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
14	NSP16	Healthcode PROTN_nCoVOMTHG01000	0.17,0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)
15	ORF-3a	Our Lab	0.1	N-GST/C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
16	ORF-3b	Our Lab	0.1	N-GST/C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
17	ORF6	Our Lab	0.1	N-GST/C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
18	ORF-7b	Our Lab	0.125	N-GST/C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
19	ORF-9b	Our Lab	0.125	C-His	<i>E.coli</i>
20	E-protein	Healthcode PROTN_nCoVVEHG01000	0.17, 0.5	N-His/C-EGFP	Cell free(Yeast)

Table S3 . IgG responses are associated with clinical parameters (related to Figure 3 and Figure S3)

	S1	N	NSP1	NSP7	NSP8	RdRp	ORF3b	ORF9b
Neutrophils(#)	0.13	0.04	0.18	0.15	0.08	0.18	0.26	0.11
Neutrophils(%)	0.23	0.12	0.23	0.18	0.11	0.22	0.29	0.14
LDH	0.2	0.11	0.21	0.15	0.1	0.23	0.29	0.13
Globulin	0.28	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.11	0.21	0.33	0.17
Urea	0.12	0.03	0.2	0.13	0.09	0.21	0.27	0.1
Bicarbonate	0.21	0.11	0.23	0.13	0.13	0.24	0.31	0.18
CRP	0.24	0.12	0.26	0.16	0.13	0.25	0.33	0.16
D-dimer	0.22	0.12	0.23	0.14	0.06	0.18	0.27	0.09
Fibrinogen	0.32	0.23	0.2	0.23	0.1	0.18	0.27	0.16
FDP	0.18	0.08	0.18	0.2	0.08	0.18	0.28	0.06
Myoglobin	0.06	-0.05	0.18	0.12	0.07	0.21	0.29	0.1
ESR	0.27	0.16	0.11	0.1	0.02	0.08	0.22	0.11
Lymphocyte(#)	-0.2	-0.12	-0.21	-0.15	-0.1	-0.2	-0.27	-0.13
Lymphocyte(%)	-0.23	-0.11	-0.22	-0.18	-0.1	-0.2	-0.28	-0.13
Platele count	-0.06	0.01	-0.18	-0.11	-0.07	-0.18	-0.26	-0.09
Eosinophils(#)	-0.17	-0.13	-0.18	-0.15	-0.12	-0.2	-0.25	-0.14
Eosinophils(%)	-0.18	-0.12	-0.21	-0.17	-0.14	-0.22	-0.27	-0.16
Plateletcrit	-0.07	0.01	-0.19	-0.11	-0.07	-0.18	-0.26	-0.1
Calcium	-0.25	-0.12	-0.25	-0.17	-0.14	-0.28	-0.36	-0.17
Total cholesterol	-0.13	-0.09	-0.21	-0.11	-0.06	-0.2	-0.26	-0.13
Albumin	-0.32	-0.16	-0.27	-0.18	-0.14	-0.27	-0.36	-0.19
Albumin/ globulin	-0.35	-0.23	-0.27	-0.2	-0.14	-0.26	-0.37	-0.21
Prothrombin activity	-0.14	-0.08	-0.23	-0.26	-0.11	-0.21	-0.31	-0.1
Phosphorus	-0.13	-0.07	-0.18	-0.16	-0.06	-0.17	-0.3	-0.08
Antithrombin	-0.09	-0.04	-0.23	-0.19	-0.1	-0.2	-0.28	-0.11
LDL	-0.04	-0.01	-0.17	-0.08	-0.05	-0.17	-0.29	-0.15
HDL	-0.09	-0.03	-0.2	-0.05	-0.06	-0.21	-0.32	-0.15
LDL+HDL	-0.06	-0.02	-0.2	-0.08	-0.06	-0.2	-0.33	-0.16
Cholinesterase	-0.12	-0.04	-0.21	-0.12	-0.08	-0.22	-0.32	-0.15
Prealbumin	-0.06	-0.02	-0.19	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.29	-0.16
Free T3	-0.19	0.01	-0.2	-0.12	-0.06	-0.13	-0.26	-0.07

Red color marks the correlation coefficients more than 0.2, and the green color marks the correlation coefficients less than -0.2.