1	Article Summary Line: The COVID-19 pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on the
2	global community. Here we provide epidemiological and genomic details of the early stages of
3	the pandemic on Reunion Island.
4	Running Title: Genomics insight into early SARS-CoV-2 in Reunion Island
5	Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Coronavirus, COVID-19, Genomics, Oxford Nanopore Technologies,
6	Reunion Island
7	Title: Genomic insights into early SARS-CoV-2 strains isolated in Reunion Island
8	Authors: *David A Wilkinson, Camille Lebarbenchon, Célestine Atyame, Sarah Hafsia, Marie-
9	Christine Jaffar-Bandjee, Luce Yemadje-Menudier, Sébastien Tanaka, Olivier Meilhac, *Patrick
10	Mavingui
11	* Corresponding authors
12	Affiliations:
13	Université de La Réunion, UMR Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical (PIMIT)
14	INSERM 1187, CNRS 9192, IRD 249, Sainte-Clotilde, La Réunion, France. (DA Wilkinson, C
15	Lebarbenchon, C Atyamé, S Hafsia, P Mavingui)
16	Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de la Réunion, Saint-Denis, France (O Meilhac, M-C Jaffar-
17	Bandjee)
18	Santé Publique France, Saint Denis, France (L Yemadje-Menudier)
19	Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Department of Anesthesiology and Critical

20 Care Medicine, Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, Paris, France (S Tanaka)

- 21 Réunion Island University, French Institute of Health and Medical Research (INSERM), U1188
- 22 Diabetes atherothrombosis Réunion Indian Ocean (DéTROI), CYROI Plateform, Saint-Denis de
- 23 La Réunion, Réunion, France. Réunion Island University-affiliated Hospital, Réunion, France.

24 (O Meilhac, S Tanaka)

25

26 Abstract

27	The relative isolation of many island communities provides some protection from the COVID-19
28	pandemic, as imported cases can be limited and traced effectively. Until recently, this was true
29	for the population of the French overseas department, Reunion Island, where only limited
30	numbers of autochthonous cases were observed prior to August 2020. Since the report of the first
31	case of COVID-19, contact tracing has been carried out for each new case identified in Reunion
32	Island to identify transmission and clusters. To contribute to the public health response and
33	understand the diffusion of SARS-Cov-2 strains in Reunion Island, we established in-house
34	genome sequencing capability in Reunion using Oxford nanopore technology (MinION) as an
35	inexpensive option for genomic typing of SARS-CoV-2 lineages on the island, and cross-
36	validated typing results between viral isolation methods and different sequencing technologies.
37	The results of our work during the early phase of the epidemics are presented herein.

38 Introduction:

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first identified as 39 40 the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China in December 2019 after 41 its emergence from wild animal populations[1]. Since, it has infected more than 45,000,000 42 individuals with 1.2 million deaths worldwide (at the time of writing). Its transmission has been rapid and widespread despite global intervention measures (such as quarantine and travel 43 44 restrictions) due largely to the fact that viral transmission can occur from asymptomatic individuals and pre-symptomatic individuals^[2]. This coupled with its relatively high case 45 fatality rate[3] means that SARS-CoV-2 remains a public health emergency of unprecedented 46 47 scale.

48 The response to this pandemic has also been of an unprecedented scale. Governments 49 have restructured healthcare systems to accommodate increased testing capacity for improved 50 contact tracing, and genomics has played an important role in improving our understanding of COVID-19 transmission pathways and outbreaks[4,5]. As the number of acquired mutations in 51 52 the SARS-CoV-2 genome has been relatively low over the course of the pandemic, whole genome sequencing has been essential to allow accurate strain typing, which in turn allows cases 53 54 to be associated based on genetic similarity of the infecting strains. One study from Australia 55 estimated that the use of genomic typing in conjunction with classical epidemiological tracing improved the case assignment rate by as much as 16%[6]. Next generation sequencing has 56 therefore been of great importance during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and emerging 57 technologies such as Oxford Nanopore sequencing have made genomic approaches more 58 accessible: To date, there have been more than 100,000 SARS-CoV-2 genomes deposited in the 59 60 Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) database[7].

61 Reunion Island is an overseas department of France situated in the Indian Ocean and is largely subject to the same regulations concerning COVID-19-related practices as mainland 62 France and the other French overseas departments. Reunion Island has a population of 63 approximately 850,000 people who live mainly in coastal regions. The population is relatively 64 65 young, with people 65 years or over representing 8.1 % fewer of the population than in mainland 66 France (2020 institute of national statistics data, www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques). The first case of COVID-19 was reported in Reunion on 11th March 2020, four days before French regulations 67 68 imposed strict lockdown measures which significantly reduced mobility across the country[8]. 69 Prior to this in February, all direct commercial flights between Reunion and China had been suspended. In addition, lockdown measures provided effective protection for the Reunion 70 population, meaning that numbers of cases remained low and were mainly imported as local 71 inhabitants returned from areas of active SARS-CoV-2 circulation. Importantly, during this time 72 most inbound flights were canceled, returning passengers were obliged to undergo a 14-day 73 confinement period upon arrival, and all returning individuals were closely monitored by 74 regional health authorities. Limited local virus circulation occurred in Reunion at this time, with 75 approximately 70 % imported cases and 30 % autochthonous cases (defined as most likely local 76 77 transmission with no history of travel), with a limited severity [9]. Subsequently, Reunion avoided the first pandemic wave that swept through Europe and placed the European population 78 at the epicenter of the pandemic from March to April 2020. A timeline of reported cases and 79 80 important events in the epidemiology of COVID-19 on Reunion Island is presented in Figure 1. Here we isolated and provided genomic data of SARS-CoV-2 strains circulating during the early 81 phase of the epidemics on Reunion Island. 82

- Methodology: 83
- Patients and samples 84

Nasopharyngeal specimens were recovered either from patients admitted to CHU 85 86 Reunion or from outpatients, all with laboratory confirmed COVID-19. Before use, we ascertained the presence of viral genomic ARN in each specimen swab by real time RT-qPCR 87 (described below). Samples were handled and stored at -80°C in a biosecurity level three 88 virology laboratory. Consent was obtained from each patient, classified as non-opposition, at the 89 90 time of entry to the hospital.

91 RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR

92 Nucleic acids were extracted using the QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, 93 California, USA) and eluted in 60 μ L of AVE buffer. Reverse transcription was performed on 10 µL of RNA using the ProtoScript II Reverse Transcriptase and Random Primer 6 (New England 94 95 BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) as previously described [10]. cDNAs were tested for the presence 96 of the N and Nsp14 genes using the PCR protocol published by The University of Hong Kong 97 (https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/molecular-assays-to-diagnose-covid-19-summary-98 table-of-available-protocols). PCR were performed with the QuantiNova Probe RT-PCR Kit 99 (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA) and 5 µL of cDNA in a CFX96 Touch[™] Real-Time PCR 100 Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

101 Viral isolation and production

SARS-CoV-2 strains were isolated in the African green monkey kidney cell line, Vero 102

E6. Briefly, cells were cultured in a 25 cm^2 flask containing 5 ml of Eagle medium (MEM: 103

Gibco/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine 104

serum (FBS), 2 mmol/L L-Glutamine, 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 100 U/mL of penicillin, 100 105

- µg/mL of streptomycin and 0.5 µg/mL of Amphotericin B (PAN Biotech, Aidenbach, Germany), 106
- 107 at 37° C under a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. When cell density reached approximately 80%, cells were

rinsed once with MEM medium supplemented with 2% FBS, then 50 to 100 µl of SARS-CoV-2positive swab specimen was mixed with 2 mL MEM 2% FBS and layered on the Vero cell
monolayer. After 2 h of incubation the inoculum was removed, cells were rinsed once and 6 mL
of fresh MEM 2% FBS medium was added. Flasks were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂
atmosphere. Cultured were checked daily. Cell lines demonstrated a cytopathic effect 3 to 5 days
post-infection. Supernatants of positive cultures were recovered, centrifuged at 400 X g for 5 min
at 4°C, then aliquoted and stored at -80°C until used.

For viral production, a total of 100 μl supernatant of positive culture was used to infect a
monolayer of Vero cells grown in 75 cm2 flask as above. After three days, cytopathic effect was
visible and the supernatant was recovered, cleared by centrifugation, aliquoted and stored at 80°C.

119 Viral titration

Titration was performed by plaque-forming assay. Vero cells (cultured as above) were 120 seeded in 6-well culture plates. Tenfold dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 solution were made in MEM 121 122 2% FBS culture medium and 500 µl of each dilution was added to the cells in duplicate. After an incubation of 2 h at 37°C under a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, unabsorbed viral particles were removed 123 and 2 ml of culture medium supplemented with 0,8% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC; Sigma-124 125 Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) was added to each well and incubation was extended for 5 days. The CMC overlay was carefully removed, and the cells were fixed with 2 mL of 3.7 126 127 % paraformaldehyde in PBS. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The cells were then rinsed once with PBS and stained with 0.5% crystal violet in 20% ethanol. Plaques were visually 128 counted and expressed as plaque-forming units per mL (PFU/mL). 129

130 Nanopore (MinION) Sequencing and Analysis:

Genomes were sequenced from viruses originally infecting four independent patients. 131 Two samples (RUN-PIMIT1 and RUN-PIMIT2) were from couple of travelers returning from 132 133 France mainland. Sample RUN-PIMIT8 was obtained from a tourist originating from Greece 134 whereas sample RUN-PIMIT20 was quoted as real autochthonous case with unidentified contact with an imported case. We combined different sequencing technologies to cross-validate the 135 136 sequences generated by different protocols. We also re-sequenced the same isolates from different passage histories to examine whether cell-line isolation may result in the accumulation 137 of mutations. 138

139 In total, ten SARS-COV2 genomes were sequenced on a single Oxford Nanopore

140 Technologies FLO-MIN106D (R9.4.1) flowcell using the Artic Network's overlapping amplicon

141 protocol[11,12]. Following first-strand cDNA synthesis (as above), all sample preparation

142 methods followed the nCoV-2019 sequencing protocol version 2

143 (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bdp7i5rn). Briefly, genomic material was amplified in

144 two independent PCR reactions using version 3 primer pools obtained from of the Artic network

145 website (https://github.com/artic-network/artic-ncov2019). PCR products were pooled, dA-tailed

146 using the NEBNext® UltraTM II End Repair/dA-Tailing Module then barcoded using the

147 Nanopore Native Barcoding Expansion kit (EXP-NBD104). Barcoded amplicons were then

148 purified using a 0.4x volume of AMPure-XP SPRI beads, washing the beads with an excess

149 volume of Nanopore's small fragment buffer (SFB) to ensure that un-ligated barcode molecules

150 were removed. Purified amplicons were then pooled in equimolar proportions before adapter

151 ligation and sequencing.

152	The sequencing run was left for 24 hours and stopped when predicted coverage exceeded
153	1000-fold for each genome. Basecalling and demultiplexing were performed using guppy
154	(v4.0.11). Basecalling used default parameters in accurate mode, and demultiplexing was
155	performed using the "require_barcodes_both_ends" parameter to minimize sample crosstalk.
156	Reads were then assembled using Medaka v1.0.3 (https://nanoporetech.github.io/medaka/) as
157	part of the Artic network bioinformatics standard operating procedure
158	(https://artic.network/ncov-2019/ncov2019-bioinformatics-sop.html), subsampling amplicon
159	coverage to 400x and using genome accession MN908947.3 for read mapping. Geneious
160	v9.1.8[13] was used to inspect and curate mapped sequence data. Consensus base-calling
161	required a minimum of 30-fold coverage.
162 163	Illumina Sequencing and Analysis: Four of the SARS-CoV-2 samples (2 isolates and 2 swabs) that were sequenced using the
164	ONT amplicon protocol were additionally sequenced using an Illumina shotgun method from
165	unamplified cDNA in order to complete genomic loci that were poorly amplified by the tiling
166	PCR and to allow cross validation of the ONT sequencing methodology against the Illumina gold
167	standard.

Briefly, sequencing libraries were generated from 10 ng of cDNA using the Celero[™]
PCR Workflow with Enzymatic fragmentation (DNA-Seq) following the manufacturer's
instructions. Sequencing was performed on the MiSeq platform, with 1 * 170 bp single end
reads. Demultiplexed sequences were provided by the sequencing company (Biofidal, Lyon,
France). Sequences were quality trimmed and adapters removed using Trimmomatic v0.39.
Trimmed reads were mapped to reference sequence MN908947.3 using minimap2 v.2.17-

r941[14]. Geneious v9.1.8[13] was used to inspect and curate mapped sequence data andconsensus sequences.

176 Genome typing:

Assembled genomes were assigned to global major lineages using pangolin v.2.0.5[15] to compare to the pangoLEARN database (version from 20th July 2020), default parameters were used for quality control filtering and probability scores for lineage calling.

180 Results and Discussion:

MinION sequencing generated complete genomic data sets for six of the eight sequenced 181 182 isolates and for one swab out of two (Table 1). The remaining three samples (two isolates and one swab) demonstrated high Ct values when detecting viral cDNA by qPCR, suggesting that the 183 concentrations of virus in these samples were low. PCR amplification was not uniform in these 184 185 samples and genomic assemblies had short gaps where 30-fold coverage was not achieved. The primers used in the tiling protocol are unable to target the 3' and 5' extremities of the virus, and 186 therefore all assemblies were missing 54 bases from the 5' extremity and 67 bases from the 3' 187 188 extremity.

189 Illumina shotgun sequencing produced near-complete genome assemblies for four of the 190 samples (two isolates and two swabs), again only excluding 5' and 3' extremities of the genome, which had limited coverage that varied between isolates. By combining Illumina and MinION 191 192 data mapped to the same reference strain we were able to improve the genomic assemblies for 193 these four genome sequences. Furthermore, in genomic regions that were successfully sequenced 194 by both approaches, no disagreements were observed in consensus sequences generated by 195 MinION and Illumina sequencing. However, MinION assemblies had a slightly higher 196 proportion of ambiguous base calls.

197 Sequencing data are summarized in Table 1.

198	Resequencing of isolated passage variants produced identical sequence variants to the
199	parental strain in each instance (Table 2), suggesting that the process of viral isolation and
200	passaging (in this case in Vero E6 cells) does not select for mutant variants.
201	Typing assigned all genomes to pangolin lineage B.1, either belonging to GISAID
202	lineage G, or GH (Table 2) which (along with lineage GR) are the prevailing lineages circulating
203	globally at the time of writing [16]. Phylogenetic analysis identified three different sequence
204	variants, which differed from each other by a maximum of seven mutations (Figure 2).
205 206	Conclusion: Our findings provide support that MinION sequencing can be successfully applied for
207	rapid sequencing and generation of genomic data in remote locations, such as on Reunion Island.
208	Paired comparison with genomes obtained with Illumina sequencing further highlight that the
209	quality of the generated sequences can be used confidently in molecular epidemiology and
210	phylodynamic studies.
211	Additionally, we observed that viral isolation, limited passaging and production in Vero
212	E6 cell lines were not associated with the selection of mutations that could bias genomic typing
213	results. This is important due to the strong genetic similarity between prevailing SARS-CoV-2
214	lineages and because cell-line based viral amplification is a useful method for extracting
215	increased quantities of viral material when direct sequencing of swabs with low viral loads may
216	provide incomplete genomic data.

At the time of writing, Reunion Island is facing its first wave of COVID-19 infection, with more than 400 cases of COVID-19 reported weekly[17]. As has been demonstrated

219 elsewhere, genomic data can be used to significantly improve the case assignment rate and 220 contact tracing measures that help to mitigate future disease[6]. Phylodynamic investigations based on these data can also inform the timing and origin of viral introduction, as well as their 221 222 local transmission dynamics and epidemiological characteristics. Thus, the capacity to perform in-house, rapid and comparatively cheap genomic typing of SARS-CoV-2 isolates will hopefully 223 play an important role in improving Reunion Island's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. 224 225 These analyses are intended to continue on a larger local scale in Reunion Island in order to 226 understand the circulation of SARS-CoV-2 during the current, largely autochthonous, COVID-

227 19 epidemic wave.

228 Acknowledgments

229	This research	was funded by	Université de	La Réunion	(COVID-19	emergency see	d funding).
-----	---------------	---------------	---------------	------------	-----------	---------------	-------------

230 **Conflicts of interest:**

231 Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

232 **References**

- 233 1. Zhou P, Yang X Lou, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak
- associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020.
- 235 doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7
- 236 2. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Zarebski A, Chowell G. Estimating the asymptomatic proportion
- of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise
- ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020. Eurosurveillance. 2020. doi:10.2807/1560-
- 239 7917.ES.2020.25.10.2000180
- 240 3. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, et al. Estimates of the
- severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020.

242 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7

- 243 4. Geoghegan JL, Ren X, Storey M, Hadfield J, Jelley L, Jefferies S, et al. Genomic
- 244 epidemiology reveals transmission patterns and dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in Aotearoa
- 245 New Zealand. medRxiv. 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.08.05.20168930
- 5. Candido DS, Claro IM, de Jesus JG, Souza WM, Moreira FRR, Dellicour S, et al.
- Evolution and epidemic spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Brazil. Science (80-). 2020.
- 248 doi:10.1126/science.abd2161

249	6.	Rockett RJ, Arnott A, Lam C, Sadsad R, Timms V, Gray KA, et al. Revealing COVID-19
250		transmission in Australia by SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing and agent-based modeling.
251		Nat Med. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1000-7
252	7.	Shu Y, McCauley J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision
253		to reality. Eurosurveillance. 2017. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494
254	8.	Ruktanonchai NW, Floyd JR, Lai S, Ruktanonchai CW, Sadilek A, Rente-Lourenco P, et
255		al. Assessing the impact of coordinated COVID-19 exit strategies across Europe. Science
256		(80-). 2020. doi:10.1126/science.abc5096
257	9.	COVID-19 : point épidémiologique à la Réunion du 13 août 2020. [cited 6 Nov 2020].
258		Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/regions/ocean-indien/documents/bulletin-
259		regional/2020/covid-19-point-epidemiologique-a-la-reunion-du-13-aout-2020
260	10.	Lebarbenchon C, Ramasindrazana B, Joffrin L, Bos S, Lagadec E, Le Minter G, et al.
261		Astroviruses in bats, Madagascar. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2017;6: e58.
262		doi:10.1038/emi.2017.47
263	11.	Quick J, Grubaugh ND, Pullan ST, Claro IM, Smith AD, Gangavarapu K, et al. Multiplex
264		PCR method for MinION and Illumina sequencing of Zika and other virus genomes
265		directly from clinical samples. Nat Protoc. 2017. doi:10.1038/nprot.2017.066
266	12.	Grubaugh ND, Gangavarapu K, Quick J, Matteson NL, De Jesus JG, Main BJ, et al. An
267		amplicon-based sequencing framework for accurately measuring intrahost virus diversity
268		using PrimalSeq and iVar. Genome Biol. 2019. doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1618-7
269	13.	Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious

270		Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and
271		analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
272	14.	Li H. Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2018.
273		doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191
274	15.	Rambaut A, Holmes EC, O'Toole Á, Hill V, McCrone JT, Ruis C, et al. A dynamic
275		nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat
276		Microbiol. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5
277	16.	Mercatelli D, Giorgi FM. Geographic and Genomic Distribution of SARS-CoV-2
278		Mutations. Front Microbiol. 2020;11: 1800. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01800
279	17.	COVID-19 : point épidémiologique à la Réunion du 29 octobre 2020. [cited 6 Nov
280		2020]. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/regions/ocean-
281		indien/documents/bulletin-regional/2020/covid-19-point-epidemiologique-a-la-reunion-
282		du-29-octobre-2020
283	18.	Year-letter Genetic Clade Naming for SARS-CoV-2 on Nextstain.org. [cited 11 Sep
284		2020]. Available: https://nextstrain.org/blog/2020-06-02-SARSCoV2-clade-naming

285

- 286 Address for correspondence:
- 287 Dr David A Wilkinson, UMR Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical (PIMIT)
- INSERM 1187, CNRS 9192, IRD 249, 2 Rue Maxime Rivière, Sainte-Clotilde, La Réunion,
- 289 France. Email : david.wilkinson@univ-reunion.fr
- 290 Dr Patrick Mavingui, UMR Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical (PIMIT) INSERM
- 1187, CNRS 9192, IRD 249, 2 Rue Maxime Rivière, Sainte-Clotilde, La Réunion, France.
- 292 Email : patrick.mavingui@cnrs.fr

	Swah (S)	Isolation/		MinION	, amplicon tiling	MinION, amplicon tiling			Illumina				nbined
ID	or Culture (C)	Passage Details ⁺	Complete	Missing	Ambiguities	Coverage**	Complete	Missing	Ambiguities	Coverage	Complete ?	Missing	Amb
CoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT20	С	Vero E6	No	1.7 %	1	407x	Yes*	2.1 %	0	1500x	Yes*	0.1 %	
CoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT1	S	NA	Yes*	0.4 %	0	435x	Yes*	2.1 %	0	3250x	Yes*	0.1 %	
CoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT2	S	NA	No	1.5 %	1	356x	Yes*	2.4 %	0	675x	Yes*	0.2 %	
CoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT8	С	Vero E6	Yes*	0.4 %	0	413x	Yes*	0.1 %	0	1700x	Yes*	0.1 %	
RUN-PIMIT1c	С	RUN-PIMIT1a, passage 1	Yes*	0.4 %	0	385x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RUN-PIMIT1d	С	RUN-PIMIT1c, passage 2	Yes*	0.4 %	0	423x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RUN-PIMIT1a	С	RUN-PIMIT1, Vero E6	No	1.4 %	0	336x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RUN-PIMIT1b	С	RUN-PIMIT1, Vero E6	Yes*	0.4 %	0	404x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RUN-PIMIT2a	С	RUN-PIMIT2, Vero E6	Yes*	0.4 %	0	394x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RUN-PIMIT20a	С	RUN-PIMIT20, Vero E6	Yes*	0.4 %	0	407x	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MinION coverage is ca *Genomic sequences we base call in the Illumin ² or cultured isolates, cel	leulated by maj ere considered a a sequence). l lines are indic	pping in Medaka aft as identical if no pol ated. For isolates the	er subsampling ymorphisms w at are resequer	g sequence co	overage to a maxi Base calls in Illui evious passages, c	mum of 400x per mina data versus corresponding pa	^r primer pair. C ambiguous cal rental strain is	verlapping r ls in MinION indicated.	egions increase a	verage covera sidered to be c	ge. contradictory (un	less the amb	iguity o

294 Table 2. Lineage typing data of different isolates.

ID	Pangolin lineage	GISAID lineage	Year/Letter lineage*	Isolate history	Mutations from parent strain
hCoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT1	B.1	G	20A	Swab	NA
RUN-PIMIT1a	B.1	G	20A	1 st generation isolate	0
RUN-PIMIT1b	B.1	G	20A	1 st generation isolate	0
RUN-PIMIT1c	B.1	G	20A	2 nd generation passage	0
RUN-PIMIT1d	B.1	G	20A	3 rd generation passage	0
hCoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT2	B.1	G	20A	Swab	NA
RUN-PIMIT2a	B.1	G	20A	1 st generation isolate	0
hCoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT8	B.1	GH	20C	1 st generation isolate	NA
hCoV-19/Réunion/RUN- PIMIT20	B.1	G	20A	1 st generation isolate	NA
RUN-PIMIT20a	B.1	G	20A	2 nd generation passage	0

*Year/Letter lineage as defined by Hodcroft et al.[18]

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

- Figure 1: Epidemiological timeline of COVID-19 cases in Reunion Island corresponding to the
- first six months since the first identified cases (11th March 2020 11 September 2020).
- Figure 2: Phylogenetic tree and pairwise mutation number between genomes of SARS-CoV-2
- 298 from independent cases in La Reunion.

299





a. hCov-19/Reunion/RUN-PIMIT8 (GH/20C)

b. hCov-19/Reunion/RUN-PIMIT20 (G/20A)

c. hCov-19/Reunion/RUN-PIMIT1 (G/20A)

d. hCov-19/Reunion/RUN-PIMIT2 (G/20A)

Pairwise mutation number