# The optics of the human eye at 8.6 µm lateral resolution

- 3 Sergio Bonaque-González<sup>\*1</sup>, Juan M. Trujillo-Sevilla<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Velasco-Ocaña<sup>1</sup>,
- 4 Óscar Casanova-González<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Sicilia-Cabrera<sup>1</sup>, Alex Roqué-Velasco<sup>1</sup>, Sabato
- 5 Ceruso<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Oliva-García<sup>1</sup>, Javier Martín-Hernández<sup>1</sup>, Oscar Gomez-
- 6 Cardenes<sup>1</sup>, José G. Marichal-Hernández<sup>1</sup>, Damien Gatinel<sup>2</sup>, Jack T. Holladay<sup>3</sup>,
- 7 José M. Rodríguez-Ramos<sup>1\*</sup>
- 8 1. Wooptix S.L. Avda, Trinidad 61 planta 7, La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary
- 9 Islands. Spain
- 10 2. Rothschild Foundation Hospital, 29 Rue Manin, Paris, France,
- 11 3. Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- 12
- 13 \* Corresponding authors:
- 14 Sergio Bonaque-González: Wooptix S.L. Avda, Trinidad 61 planta 7,
- 15 38204 La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands. Spain.
- sergiob@wooptix.com 0034682121870
- 17 José M. Rodríguez-Ramos: Wooptix S.L. Avda, Trinidad 61 planta 7,
- 18 38204 La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands. Spain.
- 19 jmramos@wooptix.com 0034619177299
- 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
- 27 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## 28 Abstract

Ocular optics is normally estimated based on 2,600 measurement 29 points within the pupil of the eye, which implies a lateral resolution of 30 approximately 175 microns for a 9 mm pupil diameter. This is because 31 information below this resolution is not thought to be relevant or even 32 possible to obtain with current measurement systems. In this work, we 33 characterize the in vivo ocular optics of the human eye with a lateral 34 resolution of 8.6 microns, which implies roughly 1 million measurement 35 points for a pupil diameter of 9 mm. The results suggest that the 36 normal human eye presents a series of hitherto unknown optical 37 patterns with amplitudes between 200 and 300 nm and is made up of a 38 series of in-phase peaks and valleys. If the results are analysed at only 39 high lateral frequencies, the human eye is also found to contain a 40 whole range of new information. This discovery could have a great 41 impact on the way we understand some fundamental mechanisms of 42 human vision and could be of outstanding utility in certain fields of 43 ophthalmology. 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

# 51 Introduction

Knowledge of the optics of the human eye has allowed us to broaden 52 the understanding of the mechanism of human vision. It has led the 53 current field of ophthalmology to a highly technical level where 54 customized treatments and instrumentation-based diagnostics have 55 become the norm. However, measuring the optics of the eye is not a 56 trivial task. In 1961, Smirnov developed an advanced version of the 57 Scheiner disk [1] to subjectively estimate the optical imperfections of 58 the eye, also called aberrations or phase map, in a process named 59 ocular aberrometry [2]. At that time, he anticipated that his invention 60 would have no practical application, stating that "...the calculations 61 take 10–12 hours [...] Therefore, it is unlikely that such detailed 62 measurements will ever be adopted by practitioner-ophthalmologists." 63 He did not foresee that advances in computer science would greatly 64 speed up calculations and that scientists would find the measurement 65 of ocular aberrations an invaluable tool, progressing from a research 66 instrument to a clinical application system. Indeed, some of modern 67 ophthalmology could not be understood without the deep 68 understanding of ocular optics that aberrometers have made possible. 69 Currently, there are several options for the estimation of ocular 70 aberrations based on different techniques, i.e., Hartmann-Shack 71 sensors (H-S) [3], pyramidal sensors (P-S) [4], interferometric 72 techniques [5], laser ray tracing [6] and curvature sensors [7]. 73 Techniques based on H-S sensors are the most widely used in 74 ophthalmology. However, they are restricted to sampling the phase 75

map at up to 2,600 measurement points within the pupil of the eye 76 (approximately 175 µm of lateral resolution for a 9 mm pupil diameter 77 [8]) and also suffer from a limited dynamic range [9]. These limitations 78 constrain its usefulness in abnormal eyes, which are precisely the most 79 interesting to characterize [10]. Currently, P-S offers the highest 80 resolution in ocular aberrometry, up to 45,000 measurement points 81 (approximately 37 µm theoretical lateral resolution for a 9 mm pupil 82 diameter) [8]. Nevertheless, P-S generally suffers from non-linear 83 behaviour, diffraction effects between its different pupil images, and a 84 relatively limited dynamic range as a result of the trade-off between 85 the slope accuracy and achievable spatial resolution [11]. These 86 drawbacks cause the phase maps obtained to be somewhat fuzzy, and 87 the details that can be visualized do not match the theoretical 88 resolution [8]. Therefore, the H-S sensor is still considered the gold 89 standard in ophthalmology. 90

Actual knowledge about the optics of the normal human eye can be 91 roughly summarized as follows: it has relatively smooth optics with no 92 optical structures that diverge too greatly from a conical shape with 93 some astigmatism. Its phase map is usually expressed as a 94 decomposition of orthonormal polynomials within a circular pupil, in 95 particular Zernike polynomials [12], where most of the deformations 96 can be described with the first two to three dozen terms [13, 14]. Thus, 97 up to 66 Zernike terms are usually considered sufficient to represent 98 ocular optics with high quality even in pathological eyes, assuming a 99 loss of high frequencies that is not considered to hide any relevant 100

information. [15, 16]. However, some authors have already drawnattention to the inefficiency of this approach [17].

In this work, we characterize the ocular optics of living human eyes 103 104 with a lateral resolution of approximately 8.55 µm. This implies more than a million points of measurement for a pupil with a diameter of 9 105 mm, orders of magnitude higher than the current gold standard 106 offered by the H-S sensor. We show evidence that when ocular optics 107 are measured at a sufficiently high resolution, a series of phase 108 structures emerge. We hypothesize that this finding could have a great 109 impact on some current ophthalmic surgical procedures and on the 110 clarification of some fundamental mechanisms of human vision. 111

112

### 113 Methods

#### 114 The phase sensor

To measure the phase map, we use the wavefront phase imaging 115 (WFPI) sensor, which offers high resolution and has been used with 116 success in other fields, such as semiconductor silicon metrology [18]. 117 WFPI captures two intensity images around the pupil plane of the 118 instrument using a standard image sensor, i.e., a charge-coupled 119 device (CCD). Then, the phase gradients along two orthogonal 120 directions are recovered from both the captured intensity distributions. 121 A standard WFPI setup for transparent samples is depicted in figure 1a. 122 123 A collimated beam (with an almost flat phase map) from a lightemitting diode (LED) is used as the light source. The use of incoherent 124 light, in contrast to the H-S sensor, makes this sensor insensitive to 125

speckle effects. The light beam passes through the sample, which 126 modifies the shape of the beam according to the optical behaviour of 127 the sample, thus generating a distorted outgoing phase map. An 128 imaging telescope composed of a pair of converging lenses,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , 129 translates the plane of the sample, P, into a conjugated plane, P'. The 130 two required intensity images,  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , are acquired around P' in 131 equidistant planes along the z-axis. Choosing focal lengths of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ 132 appropriately allows us to adjust the sample size to the sensor size. 133 This optical setup is similar to some proposals for curvature sensors [7]; 134 however, the mathematical treatment of the data in WFPI is completely 135 different, as explained below. 136



Figure 1. (a) Basic optical setup of the wavefront phase intensity (WFPI)
technique for transparent samples; (b) graphical representation of Eq.
1; (c) graphical representation of Eq. 2. P: pupil plane; L: lens; I: intensity
image; CCD: charge-coupled device; P': conjugated pupil plane.
Let H(x, y) be a continuous two-dimensional function defined for
positive values of x, y and taking only positive numbers as values, and
let V be an auxiliary transformation function acting on H as follows:

$$W(H_{y,\alpha})(x) = \int_{0}^{x} H(x, \tan(\alpha) x + y) for \begin{cases} x \in [0, \infty) \\ \forall y \in [0, \infty) \\ \forall \alpha \in \{-\pi/2, \pi/2\} \end{cases}$$
(1)

145

where  $\alpha$  is a variable composed of a set of k discrete angles uniformly 146 distributed in the interval  $\left[-\pi/2, \pi/2\right]$ , each defining a line with its origin 147 in y and an angular separation from the x - axis equal to  $\alpha$  (see figure 148 1b). Then, for each pair of values y and  $\alpha$ ,  $V(H_{y,\alpha})(x)$  is a one-dimensional 149 function that represents the accumulated H(x, y) values along the 150 defined line of H. The graphical representation of V(x) for particular 151 values of  $(y, \alpha)$  is similar to one of the coloured curves, green or red, in 152 figure 1c. 153

Let *D* be another auxiliary function that represents the distance on the abscissa axis between two unidimensional continuous functions represented by f(x) and g(x), defined for positive values of *x* and yielding only positive numbers. Figure 1c shows a graphical representation of *D*, which can be mathematically described as:

$$D(f,g)(x) = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}(f(x) - y) - \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}}(g(x) - y) \text{ for } y \in [0,\infty).$$
(2)

Let  $I_1(x, y)$  and  $I_2(x, y)$  be two continuous bi-dimensional functions representing two different intensity maps from which it is desired to recover the phase map. The phase gradients in the horizontal and vertical directions,  $\varphi_h$  and  $\varphi_v$ , are directly related to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  as follows:

163

$$\varphi_{h}(x,y) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\alpha = -\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}} \cos(\alpha) D(V(I_{1(y,\alpha)}, V(I_{2(y,\alpha)}))(x)$$
(3)

$$\varphi_{\nu}(x,y) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\alpha = -\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(\alpha) D(V(I_{1(y,\alpha)}, V(I_{2(y,\alpha)}))(x)$$
(4)

where k is the number of discrete angles considered, defined as:

$$k = \left| -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right| \tag{5}$$

165

Note that the units of  $\varphi_h$  and  $\varphi_v$  correspond to those of the input intensity images, i.e., pixels. To convert these units into physical units, i.e., metres, we apply a factor that takes into account the pixel size, *s*, and the distance between the images,  $2\Delta z$ :

$$\varphi_h'(x,y) = \frac{s^2}{2\Delta z} \varphi_h(x,y) \tag{6}$$

$$\varphi_{\nu}'(x,y) = \frac{s^2}{2\Delta z} \varphi_{\nu}(x,y)$$
(7)

170

Finally, numerical integration is required to obtain the phase map fromits derivatives. In our case, we use the algorithm developed by Talmi &

173 Ribal [19]; however, very similar results can be obtained using other174 methods [20].

For simplicity, the above mathematical equations have been made 175 176 assuming continuous functions, but the same reasoning can be followed for a real implementation where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are discrete and 177 finite intensity image maps. In this case, it would be necessary to apply 178 affine transformations to functions D and V to be able to deal with the 179 discrete nature of the real intensity images, which can be done 180 computationally in an intuitive way through interpolation. Regarding 181 the value of k, we did not find a significant increase in the precision of 182 the algorithm when k > 120 for resolution  $\leq 4096 \times 4096$  and pixel 183 size  $\approx 9\mu m$ . However, with other configurations, the optimal value of k 184 should be studied. This is a generalized and formal explanation of the 185 WFPI basis, and its computational implementation is simpler. We 186 encourage the interested reader to consult the step-by-step 187 explanation of the algorithm in patent PCT/EP2018/052533 [21], which 188 is more focused on the computational and discrete aspects of the 189 methodology. 190

191

#### 192 The apparatus

Measurements were performed in a custom-developed double-pass
 optical setup incorporating a WFPI implementation. Its current
 configuration, outlined in figure 2, has 4 different channels:



```
196
```

197	Figure 2. Schematic diagram of a custom-made optical system with 4
198	different channels: (1) the illumination channel (pink line); (2) the pupil
199	monitoring channel (yellow line); (3) the science channel (red line); and
200	(4) the fixation target channel (green line). NIR: near infrared light; MO:
201	microscope objective; PH: pinhole; L: lens; CM: cold mirror; PO:
202	photographic objective; BS: beam splitter; M: mirror; AP: aperture; H-S:
203	Hartmann-Shack sensor; VIS: visible light.
204	
205	(1) The illumination channel contains a single-mode
206	superluminescent diode (SLD) (QSDM-780-15D, QPhotonics,

LLC, Ann Arbor, USA) with a central wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) of 780 nm

and a spectral bandwidth of 20 nm. It works in combination with

a control unit (CLD1015, Thorlabs, Newton, USA) that allows the

210 maximum emission time to be set at 35 ms. The microscope

objective focuses light into a pinhole that removes aberrant rays. 211 Then, an achromatic lens is placed with its back focal point in the 212 pinhole to obtain a collimated (nearly parallel) beam. After 213 passing through a cold mirror and a non-polarizing 10:90 beam 214 splitter, the beam is directed towards the eye with a beam 215 diameter of approximately 800 µm. An offset of the beam from 216 the centre of the pupil is introduced to avoid corneal reflections 217 in the WFPI intensity images. The maximum permissible 218 exposure in the pupil plane for 35 ms at  $\lambda$ =780 nm is 2.4 mW, 219 220 which is calculated using the safety limits of the ANSI standards for the wavelength used [22]. We measured 0.78 mW in the 221 pupil plane, which is well below the ANSI safety standards. 222 (2) The pupil monitoring channel allows the position of the subject to 223 be monitored during the measurements. It consists of a 224 monochrome camera (UI-3370SE-M-GL, IDS, Obersulm, Germany) 225 in combination with a camera lens that conjugates the pupil plane 226 of the system with that of the camera. A ring with 18 small LEDs of 227  $\lambda$ =630 nm placed before the eye provides the illumination for this 228 229 channel. Its light power measured in the corneal plane is orders of magnitude below the ANSI standard safety limits for the 230 wavelength used. 231

(3) The science channel. The retina spreads the incoming light from
channel 1 from back to front. When the dispersed light beam
emerges from the eye, it will carry the phase information for the
entire eye, including the cornea and the lens. This beam is guided

through a variety of converging lenses to adjust the beam size to 236 the WFPI camera size and to correct for defocus in the Badal system 237 in a range of [-10.00 + 10.00] D. As the presence of defocus will 238 change the size of the intensity images in the WFPI, its correction 239 by the Badal system allows us to provide an almost constant lateral 240 resolution between eyes with different spherical refractions. A 241 monochromatic camera positioned in the retinal plane (UI-3370SE-242 M-GL, IDS, Obersulm, Germany) allows us to estimate the Badal 243 position that offers the best spherical correction by maximizing the 244 245 central intensity of the focused light beam. In the event of an error in the correction process of the Badal system, it is shown by specific 246 measurements that residual defocus values can be characterized by 247 the WFPI sensor in a range of  $\pm 6.00$  D. An aperture is placed in a 248 retina plane to reduce reflections within the system. To capture two 249 250 intensity images around the pupil plane of the eye at a separation of 13.33 mm, a small system composed of a 50:50 beam splitter 251 and a mirror divides the science beam into two parts, which are 252 simultaneously captured by a low-noise, 11-megapixel cooled 253 camera (Bigeye G-1100 Cool, Allied Vision Technologies, Stadtroda, 254 Germany). The magnification of the apparatus is approximately 255 1.0523, and each pixel in the estimated phase map represents 256 approximately 8.553 µm in the plane of the pupil of the eye. 257

(4) The fixation target channel consists of a modified liquid crystal
 display (LCD) retro-illuminated monochromatically at λ=620 nm.
 The projected image is a binary Maltese cross that can be more
 clearly observed by the experimental subject once the Badal system

has made the spherical correction. The centre of the cross is
coincident with the optical axis of the system, so that when the
subject fixes on it, the optical axis of the system is aligned with the
line of sight. Its light power measured in the corneal plane is orders
of magnitude below the ANSI standard safety limits for the
wavelength used.

268 For calibration and validation of the system (see next section), an H-S

sensor (WFS20-5C, Thorlabs, Newton, USA) and/or a deformable mirror

(DM) (DM140A-35-UP01; Boston Micromachines, Boston, USA) were

placed in the pupil planes within the system, as depicted in figure 2.

272 The optical setup was mounted on an x-y-z translation stage that was

273 manually controlled by a joystick to align the pupil of the eye with the

instrument using the pupil-monitoring channel. A conventional

275 ophthalmic chinrest intended to position the experimental subject was

attached to the table that supported the entire instrument.

All the optoelectronic and mechanical elements of the apparatus were

automatically controlled and synchronized using custom-built software

in C++. All processing of the H-S and WFPI intensity images was

performed using custom-built software in MATLAB (v2019a,

281 MathWorks Inc., Natick, USA).

The WFPI software provides the phase map within the actual pupil of

the measured element, which can be circular or not. When measuring

at a high resolution, the fit to the Zernike polynomials does not provide

a good physical representation of the object under study [17].

286 Nevertheless, in all cases, a Zernike adjustment was made for

compatibility with the H-S sensor used for validation and to split the
overall phase error into the main Zernike components. The required
circular pupil defined for this fitting was the largest one inscribed
within the real pupil when not specified otherwise.

291

#### 292 Calibration and validation

For the calibration of the prototype, a custom-designed eye model, 293 composed of an artificial retina rotating at 300 rpm, an artificial cornea 294 (an achromatic doublet with a focal length of 40 mm), and an artificial 295 pupil with a 7 mm diameter, was placed in the measurement position. 296 All the components of the eye model shared the same optical axis. Its 297 emmetropic condition, when needed, was calibrated with a shearing 298 interferometer (SI100, Thorlabs, Newton, USA). A series of defocuses 299 was induced by increasing or decreasing the distance between the 300 retina and the cornea of the artificial eye, in a range of 301 approximately -7.00 to 7.00 D in 13 steps. The defocus status of the 302 artificial eye was determined by independent measurement (outside 303 the apparatus) with the H-S sensor using a small optical assembly 304 designed for this purpose. Additionally, cylindrical trial lenses of +0.50, 305 +1.00, +2.00 and +3.00 D with axes of 90, 45, and 22° were centred in 306 front of the emmetropic artificial eye with back vertex distances of 5 307 mm. The measurements were recorded with respect to the pupil plane 308 309 and compared to the powers of the trial lenses, transferring the obtained power from the pupil plane to the trial lens plane. For both 310 conditions, defocus and astigmatism, the phase map was estimated 311

using the WFPI sensor by taking 3 consecutive measurements. The
defocus or astigmatism in diopters was calculated from the obtained
phase maps by decomposing it into Zernike polynomials and using the
methodology proposed by Salmon T. et al. [23], with the difference that
half of the cylindrical value was not added to the value of the sphere to
facilitate the determination of the origin of possible errors.

The repeatability of the instrument was tested by using the 318 "continuous"-mode capturing option of the science camera, which 319 acquires an intensity image approximately once per second (even 320 though the exposure time can be much shorter). One hundred 321 consecutive images were taken with the eye model with a 7 mm pupil 322 diameter in which a slight fixed defocus was induced by manually 323 moving the position of the retina. For the reproducibility tests, we 324 325 made measurements under the same conditions, but two technicians took turns performing the measurements alternately, misaligning and 326 realigning the device between each measurement. The results are 327 reported in terms of the root-mean-square (RMS) error. Additionally, 328 each individual phase map was decomposed into the first 66 Zernike 329 330 polynomials according to the OSA standards [24] without considering the piston or the tip/tilt. The analysis in terms of the RMS error is 331 shown for low-order (Zernikes 3 to 5), medium-order (Zernikes 6 to 14), 332 and high-order (Zernikes from 15 to 65) aberrations. 333

For validation in the presence of higher frequencies (high-order aberrations), a DM was placed in a pupil plane (see figure 2) and directed with 800 phase maps with amplitudes uniformly ranging

between 0.35 and 2.8 µm in 0.35 µm steps. The phase maps that fed 337 the DM were composed of the random values of the first 66 Zernike 338 polynomials (piston and tip/tilt were not included). For this test, the H-339 S sensor was placed in a pupil plane within the setup (see figure 2) to 340 simultaneously estimate the phase. The pupil diameter was restricted 341 to 4 mm due to the small size of the aperture of the DM (4.4 mm). To 342 make comparisons between the sensors possible, the phase maps 343 obtained by both sensors were resampled to the DM input resolution 344 by bilinear interpolation. The images in each measurement were bias-345 346 subtracted and flat-fielded (with the DM in its resting position). The results in terms of RMS errors were statistically analysed in Microsoft 347 Excel 2016 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) by means of the two-tailed 348 Student's t-test (two-sided). 349

#### 350 In vivo tests

Finally, five living human eyes belonging to five subjects aged between 351 27 and 38 years were tested monocularly with the apparatus and with 352 the H-S sensor placed in the system, as depicted in figure 2, in order to 353 simultaneously obtain a reference map. The exposure time for each of 354 the measurements was 30 ms. First, the repeatability/reproducibility in 355 a real eye was estimated by taking 20 "consecutive" measurements, 356 allowing the volunteer to blink between measurements, with a time 357 between measurements of approximately 3 seconds. Second, simple 358 measurements were taken with the apparatus for the remaining 4 eyes. 359 360 All the eyes tested had a best corrected visual acuity of 20/20 or better and had no observable pathology. These eyes were not chosen for 361 having any specific characteristics and correspond to volunteers from 362

the research laboratory itself. As the instrument is capable of taking 363 images in 30 ms, cycloplegia was not used, and nor was a bit-bar 364 required to take the measurements. The images in each measurement 365 were bias-subtracted and flat-fielded. The subjects were aligned with 366 the instrument using the x-y-z stage, while the natural pupil was 367 viewed on a monitor using the pupil camera. As in the previous case, a 368 simple estimation of the sphero-cylindrical correction was carried out 369 using the same methodology as described above. 370

When the results of both sensors, the WFPI and H-S, were compared,

the phase map obtained by WFPI was resampled to the H-S resolution

by bilinear interpolation, and both maps, the H-S and WFPI, were

decomposed into the first 66 Zernike polynomials. Since the pupils of

real eyes are not perfectly circular, the H-S edge microlenses and their

corresponding areas in WFPI were removed from processing to ensure

the measurement of an area completely covered by the microlenses.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital

Universitario de Canarias, was conducted in accordance with the

provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki, all volunteers were acquainted

with the nature and possible consequences of the study, and providedwritten informed consent. Also, all subjects gave their consent for the

383 publication of the data.

## 384 **Results**

## 385 **Calibration and validation**

The apparatus was able to recover the defocus introduced by the

artificial eye with good precision, as shown in figure 3a. The error bars

are not visible because the standard deviation was very low in all cases. 388 The mean error was  $0.04 \pm 0.06$  D (range [0.003 0.12]). Regarding the 389 cylinder, introduced by means of +0.50, +1.00, +2.00 and +3.00 D 390 cylindrical trial lenses with 90°, 45°, and 22° axes, the results are shown 391 in figure 3b for all the measurement conditions. The mean absolute 392 error in the cylinder power was  $0.05 \pm 0.05$  D (range [0 0.18]). The 393 worst measurements were clearly those corresponding to the 2.00 D 394 cylinder oriented at 90 degrees (error of  $0.18 \pm 0.005$  D). We do not 395 know why these specific measurements were not as accurate as the 396 rest of the measurements at 90 degrees or with the 2.00 D lens. The 397 mean error in the axis orientation was  $1.67 \pm 2.06$  degrees (range [0] 398 6.6]). This seems reasonably accurate, as the trial lenses were oriented 399 following a manual procedure using the pupil camera as a reference. 400 Small angular differences between the science camera and the pupil 401 402 camera could also explain this apparent inaccuracy.



403

Figure 3. Calibration of the apparatus for different induced defocuses
and astigmatisms. (a) Defocus induced in artificial eyes with different
axial lengths versus defocus recovered by the apparatus. (b) Different
cylindrical trial lenses in different orientations and their measurement

with the apparatus. Three different measurements were taken in eachcondition, and the data were for a 7 mm pupil diameter.

Calculations of the repeatability were performed using 100 consecutive 410 411 measurements on an artificial eye with a 7 mm pupil diameter and yielded an average phase RMS of  $0.984 \pm 0.014 \mu m$ , with a maximum 412 RMS difference between any two phase maps of 0.063 µm. When the 413 obtained phase maps were decomposed into the first 66 Zernike 414 polynomials, the low-order RMS (Zernikes 3 to 5) was 0.57±0.008 µm, 415 with a maximum difference of 0.037 µm. The medium-order RMS 416 (Zernikes 6 to 14) was  $0.051\pm0.004$  µm, with a maximum difference of 417 0.019 µm. The high-order RMS (Zernikes 15 to 65) was 0.01±0.001 µm, 418 with a maximum difference of 0.005  $\mu$ m. 419

The reproducibility calculations performed on 100 measurements on an 420 421 artificial eye with a 7 mm pupil diameter yielded an RMS of 1.024±0.028 µm, with 0.129 µm being the maximum difference 422 between any two phase maps. When the obtained phase maps were 423 decomposed into the first 66 Zernike polynomials, the low-order RMS 424 (Zernikes 3 to 5) was 0.599±0.02 µm, with a maximum difference of 425 0.092 µm. The medium-order RMS (Zernikes 6 to 14) was 0.055±0.008 426 μm, with a maximum difference of 0.036 μm. The high-order RMS 427 (Zernikes 15 to 65) was 0.011±0.002 µm, with a maximum difference of 428 0.009 µm. 429

Figure 4 shows the validation of the apparatus in the presence of high
frequencies induced by a DM for a 4 mm pupil diameter. The RMS
error was calculated for each of the measurements by subtracting the

phase obtained with each sensor from the reference map. To make this 433 operation possible, each measured phase map was resampled to the 434 resolution of the reference map using bilinear interpolation. As shown 435 in figure 4a, both sensors, the H-S and WFPI, showed similar behaviour, 436 with the WFPI being statistically (p < 0.05) more precise for amplitudes 437 between 0.35 and 1.75 µm. No significant outliers were observed in 438 either of the two sensors. Small errors with respect to the reference 439 map may be due to the resampling process by interpolation, or they 440 may have a component associated with inaccuracies in the 441 442 characterization of the response of the microactuators for complex input maps [25]. In figure 4b, the first row shows the case in which the 443 WFPI sensor yielded its best result (amplitude or peak to valley (P-V) 444 2.45 µm; RMS errors of 0.036 and 0.076 µm for the WFPI and H-S 445 sensors, respectively), while the second row shows its worst result (P-V 446 447 2.1 µm; RMS errors of 0.202 and 0.124 µm for the WFPI and H-S sensors, respectively). The third row shows the case in which the H-S 448 sensor gave its worst result (P-V 1.75 µm; RMS errors of 0.048 and 449 0.167 µm for the WFPI and H-S sensors, respectively). We do not show 450 the best case for the H-S sensor, since WFPI also showed a very good 451 result (P-V 1.05 µm; RMS errors of 0.047 and 0.032 µm for the WFPI 452 and H-S sensors, respectively). The first column of figure 4b shows the 453 result of the WFPI sensor at full resolution. When measured at a high 454 resolution, a mesh-like lattice emerges as a background image, as seen 455 in figure 4b. This background is compatible with the shape of the 456 microactuators, so their individual influences on the phase can be 457 estimated. 458





459

Figure 4. Instrument validation results using the WFPI sensor, a
 deformable mirror (DM), and a Hartmann-Shack sensor (H-S). (a) For a
 variety of different amplitudes or peak-to-valley values, the average

root-mean-square (RMS) errors of the residual phase map calculated 463 by subtracting the measured phase maps from the reference maps and 464 the error bars corresponding to the standard deviation are shown. An 465 asterisk, \*, indicates a statistically significant difference between the 466 two sensors (p-value < 0.05). (b) The first row shows the case in which 467 the WFPI sensor yielded its best result, the second row shows the case 468 in which the WFPI sensor yielded its worst result, and the third row 469 shows the case in which the H-S sensor yielded its worst result. The first 470 column shows the high-resolution result of the WFPI sensor. The data 471 are for a 4 mm pupil diameter. 472

#### 473 In vivo test

As a first step, repeatability/reproducibility was estimated in a 474 real eye. It was a myopic eye with a spherical equivalent of 475 approximately -6.21 D (eye #MVO in figures 5 and 6) and a naturally 476 unusually large pupil size. 20 relatively consecutive measurements were 477 taken. The subject was instructed to blink between measurements, with 478 the approximate time between each being 3 seconds. As the 479 measurements were performed without pharmacological dilation of the 480 pupil, its diameter ranged from 7.3 to 7.7 mm during the test. 481 Therefore, the analysis was restricted to a pupil diameter of 7.3 mm for 482 all measurements. Piston and tip/tilt were removed from the 483 measurements. The analysis of all obtained phase maps yielded a total 484 RMS of 11.028±0.32 µm, with the maximum difference between any 485 two phase maps being 1.25  $\mu$ m. When the obtained phase maps were 486 decomposed into the first 66 Zernike polynomials, the low-order RMS 487

(Zernikes 3 to 5) was 6.198±0.196 µm, with a maximum difference of 488 0.801 µm. The medium-order RMS (Zernikes 6 to 14) was 0.109±0.01 489  $\mu$ m, with a maximum difference of 0.034  $\mu$ m. The high-order RMS 490 (Zernikes 15 to 65) was 0.012±0.002 µm, with a maximum difference of 491 0.013 µm. These measurements can be understood as halfway between 492 repeatability and reproducibility since accommodation is not paralyzed. 493 Additionally, its activation could explain the variability in the total RMS 494 and in the pupil diameter. 495

Figure 5a shows the comparative measurements of both sensors in the 496 apparatus, the WFPI and H-S, for the 5 different real eyes, both as 497 phase maps together with a difference map and in terms of the Zernike 498 polynomial decomposition. In the latter, the defocus value (Zernike 4 or 499 Z(2,0)) was set to zero to allow a better visualization of the graph, as 500 501 defocus was the predominant component in almost all the eyes. Nevertheless, its value is shown in the data included in the graph, 502 which also shows the sphero-cylindrical refraction of the eyes 503 calculated from the data of both sensors. To make these comparisons, 504 the WFPI measurement in circular pupils was resampled at the 505 resolution of the H-S sensor. The differences in RMS between the two 506 sensors were on the order of those observed during the device 507 validation process. However, in eye #MSC, a clinically significant 508 difference (>0.25 D) in refraction was detected in both the cylinder and 509 defocus. We do not have a clear explanation of why this difference 510 occurred, although we verified that our custom software for H-S data 511 treatment detects high oblique astigmatisms poorly. Figure 5b shows 512 an example of what the intensity images captured by the instrument 513

- <sup>514</sup> look like, corresponding to eye #MVO with a pupil diameter of 7.3 mm.
- Although we have not verified this, we believe that this type of intensity
- image could be useful in the diagnosis or monitoring of ocular
- 517 pathologies that modify the amplitude, such as the presence of
- 518 cataracts.



(b)



Figure 5. Measurements of 5 real eyes with the device. (a) Recovered 520 phase map with the WFPI and with the H-S as well as its difference 521 map together with its RMS value. On the right, the comparison of 522 Zernike polynomials can be seen. For clarity, the defocus value (Zernike 523 4) is set to zero on the graph, and the numerical value is provided, 524 along with the sphero-cylindrical refraction, in the upper box of each 525 individual graph. (b) Example of intensity images captured with the 526 WFPI sensor, corresponding to eye #MVO. 527

All of the above leads us to figure 6, which is the main result of 528 this work. These are the same eyes and measurements as those 529 displayed in figure 5, but the entire phase maps were analysed in 530 depth, making use of the whole available lateral resolution, 531 approximately 8.55 µm. The first column, labelled "WFPI natural pupil", 532 shows the measurement result as it is returned by the apparatus. Note 533 that this measurement was performed on the subject's natural pupil, 534 which in no case turned out to be completely circular. As these 535 measurements include the piston and tip/tilt, the details are masked by 536 their high amplitudes. The second column, labelled "WFPI / 66 Zernikes 537 / Difference", is made up of 3 sub-figures. The first sub-figure shows 538 the previous result but within a circular pupil (the largest circular pupil 539 inscribed within the natural pupil). In addition, the piston and tip/tilt 540 have been removed. The second subfigure shows the fit to the first 66 541 Zernike polynomials. The third sub-figure shows the difference 542 between the two previous phase maps together with its RMS. The third 543 column, labelled "Difference (own scale)", shows the difference 544 between the phase map adjusted to Zernikes and without the 545

adjustment with its own colour map, that is, the third sub-figure of 546 column 2 but with its own scale. This last image represents the 547 information that cannot be captured with a decomposition in terms of 548 the first 66 Zernike polynomials (similar to the information that is 549 usually provided by commercial aberrometers) and is the main 550 contribution of this work. In all cases, a substructure of peaks and 551 valleys emerges with an approximate amplitude between 200 and 300 552 nm. The case of eye #SC is remarkable, as there were 0.24 microns of 553 RMS that could not be characterized with a decomposition in terms of 554 the first 66 Zernike polynomials, which is a significant quantity for the 555 human eye. Finally, the last column, labelled "High-pass filter", shows 556 the result of the first sub-image of the second column, to which a 557 Gaussian filter of sigma 0.5 was applied to reveal the high-frequency 558 components of the phase map. There were notable differences 559 between the 5 eyes. While some sort of vertical line is observed in eye 560 #MVO (the most myopic), this pattern is not present in the rest of the 561 eyes. Although we cannot be sure of the origin of these lines, they are 562 compatible with the molecular arrangement of some macular 563 components, such as the xanthophilic pigment of the macula lutea, 564 which is arranged in parallel lines [26], and this could have somehow 565 been transmitted to the recovered phase map. Its origin could also be 566 originated in tensions of the posterior capsule. Future studies will be 567 necessary to clarify the origin of these lines, since we have already 568 ruled out instrumental effects. In eye #SBG, a filamentous structure 569 with an amplitude on the order of 0.4 nm can be seen that would be 570 compatible with a floater in the vitreous body. Eye #JTS, the most 571

emmetropic, is perhaps the most striking due to its differences with 572 respect to the rest, since a mottling can be observed that covers the 573 entire pupil. We hypothesize that this finding may be related to some 574 degree of dry eye. Applying high-pass filters is somewhat tricky, and 575 different filters can be applied that reveal different phase components. 576 We applied this filter specifically because we think it reveals the 577 underlying structure well in most eyes. However, for the interested 578 reader, we provide the raw phase maps as additional data (column 1 of 579 figure 6). We encourage alternative analyses. 580



583 Figure 6. Measurements of 5 real eyes (the same as in figure 5) with the 584 device and its analysis with a lateral resolution of 8.55 microns. The first

column is the phase map retrieved by the apparatus. The second 585 column is a group of 3 sub-images comprising the WFPI result within a 586 circular pupil and without piston/tip/tilt, its fit to the first 66 Zernike 587 polynomials, and the difference between the two. The third column is 588 the same difference phase map as above but with its own colour map 589 or scale. The fourth column is the first phase map of the second 590 column, to which a Gaussian filter with sigma = 0.5 was applied, thus 591 revealing the high-frequency components of the phase. Finally, the last 592 column indicates the diameter of the pupil when considering a circular 593 pupil (the largest inscribed pupil). 594

595

## 596 **Discussion**

In this work, we provided strong evidence that ocular optics are more 597 complex than previously assumed and, below the previous resolution 598 limits, there is a large amount of information that until now has been 599 unknown. To visualize the optics with a lateral resolution of 600 approximately 8.55 µm, we used a relatively new phase sensor, the 601 WFPI sensor. An exhaustive calibration and validation of the WFPI with 602 the apparatus was carried out, focusing on ocular measurements, using 603 all the tools at our disposal, and improving (by including more tests) 604 the methodology used for the validation of other ocular aberrometer 605 proposals. [9, 27]. At this point, it should not matter which phase 606 sensor is used, and in fact, the same results should be obtained using 607 any other sensor (i.e., H-S) adapted to measure with a similar 608

resolution, perhaps in smaller areas within the pupil to be able toachieve this lateral resolution.

In this small sample of 5 healthy eyes, an optical structure in the 611 612 200-300 nm range was clearly revealed in all cases. Since the phase map represents the integration of all ocular structures, it is still too 613 early to say exactly where this structure comes from. The next step will 614 be the construction of a prototype capable of measuring in real time 615 (we have had difficulties in getting a camera capable of measuring in 616 617 real time with the characteristics of the one used.) In this way, in combination with cycloplegia or not or by measuring pseudophakic 618 patients, it could be estimated whether this structure comes from the 619 tear, the lens, the cornea, other ocular media, or the retina. However, in 620 the repeatability measurements for the real eyes, this pattern was 621 consistent among all measures, suggesting that it did not come from 622 the tear. When a high-pass filter was applied, another series of details 623 were revealed, different for each eye, for which we still do not have a 624 clear explanation. Especially striking is the case of the most myopic eye, 625 #MVO, where a series of parallel and vertical lines appeared that cross 626 627 the pupil.

The scope of this discovery is unknown. Most likely, it will take years of clinical studies to learn how to interpret this new information, associate it with different pathologies or conditions, and determine whether it has a real clinical application or is just a curiosity. The applications of ocular aberrometry are ubiquitous: they include refractive surgery (i.e., LASIK) [28], the diagnosis and monitoring of

diseases [29], myopia control [30], intraocular lenses [31], light-634 adjustable intraocular lenses [32], objective refraction [33], 635 intraoperative aberrometry [34], and vision science [35]. Additionally, 636 637 combining a wavefront sensor with a compensating element, such as a liquid crystal spatial light modulator, has dramatically improved in vivo 638 observations of the human retina [36]. It has also enabled the 639 possibility of improved visual perception, so-called super-vision, which 640 is a visual acuity far beyond the normal values [37]. Although all of 641 these are potential applications of this finding, it would be pure 642 speculation to say at this point that it will have a significant impact on 643 any of them (however, we are optimistic about it). 644

It is important to note that we are not using a commercial H-S 645 sensor built expressly for ophthalmic measurements but a general-646 purpose sensor. Additionally, resampling the high-resolution phase 647 648 map to the H-S resolution may not exactly represent what an H-S sensor would detect. We believe that the small differences between the 649 measurements of the two sensors can be justified in this way. While 650 different approaches could be taken to improve this aspect, especially 651 regarding interpolation, it would be difficult to justify this, as such 652 approaches would appear purposefully made to make the results 653 appear accurate. For this reason, we preferred to perform a simple 654 bilinear interpolation for the comparisons. We believe that the 655 existence of differences between the two sensors after an exhaustive 656 validation of WFPI is also valuable information, and it may be behind 657 the typical errors of H-S sensors applied in ophthalmology. [38-41]. 658 However, analysing in detail what the H-S is not capable of detecting 659

would deviate too much from the main objective of this work, which is
to show the phase map of the human eye with high lateral resolution,
and it will be the subject of future studies.

663

# 664 Conclusion

In this work, we showed that when the optics of the human eye 665 are measured at a lateral resolution of 8.55 µm, a series of optical 666 substructures with a pattern of peaks and valleys that appear in an 667 amplitude range of 200 to 300 nm are revealed. Furthermore, the 668 phase map of the human eye was shown to be rich in high frequencies 669 when a high-pass filter is applied to the result at a high resolution. This 670 is the first time this finding has been described, and it could have a 671 significant impact on our understanding of some fundamental 672 mechanisms of human vision as well as outstanding utility in certain 673 fields of ophthalmology. 674

675

# 676 **References**

- 1. Scheiner, C. Oculus, hoc est: Fundamentum Opticum. Flesher.
- Oeniponti, Innsbruck, Austria (1619).
- 2. Smirnov, M. S. Measurement of wave aberration in the human
- eye. *Biophysics (English Translation of Biofizika)*, 6, 52-64 (1961).
- 3. Thibos, L. N. Principles of Hartmann-Shack aberrometry. J. Refract.
- *Surg.*, 16 S563-565 (2000).

683	4. Chiesa, S., & Dainty, J. C. Calibration and performance of a pyramid
684	wavefront sensor for the eye. J. Mod. Opt., 59(16), 1415-1427 (2012).
685	5. Szczesna, D. H., Alonso-Caneiro, D., Iskander, D. R., Read, S. A., &
686	Collins, M. J. Lateral shearing interferometry, dynamic wavefront
687	sensing, and high-speed videokeratoscopy for noninvasive assessment
688	of tear film surface characteristics: a comparative study. J. Biomed. Opt.,
689	15(3), 037005 (2010).
690	6. Navarro, R., & Losada, M. A. Aberrations and relative efficiency of
691	light pencils in the living human eye. Optom. Vis. Sci., 74(7), 540-547
692	(1997).
693	7. Díaz-Doutón, F., Pujol, J., Arjona, M., & Luque, S. O. Curvature sensor
694	for ocular wavefront measurement. Opt. Lett., 31(15), 2245-2247 (2006).
695	8. Plaza-Puche, A. B., Salerno, L. C., Versaci, F., Romero, D., & Alio, J. L.

696 Clinical evaluation of the repeatability of ocular aberrometry obtained

with a new pyramid wavefront sensor. *Eur. J. Ophthalmol.*, 29(6), 585592 (2019).

9. Cheng, X., Himebaugh, N. L., Kollbaum, P. S., Thibos, L. N., & Bradley,
A. Validation of a clinical Shack-Hartmann aberrometer. *Optom. Vis. Sci.*, 80(8), 587-595 (2003).

10. Wu, Y., He, J. C., Zhou, X. T., & Chu, R. Y. A limitation of Hartmann-

<sup>703</sup> Shack system in measuring wavefront aberrations for patients received

<sup>704</sup> laser refractive surgery. *PloS one*, 10(2), e0117256 (2015).

- 11.Shatokhina, I., Hutterer, V., & Ramlau, R. Review on methods for
- <sup>706</sup> wavefront reconstruction from pyramid wavefront sensor data. J.
- 707 Astron. Telesc. Instruments, Syst., 6(1), 010901 (2020).
- 12. M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics* (Pergamon, New
- 709 York, 1975), Sec. 9.2 (1999).
- 13. Porter, J., Guirao, A., Cox, I. G., & Williams, D. R. Monochromatic
- aberrations of the human eye in a large population. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A.,
- 712 18(8), 1793-1803 (2001).
- 14. del Águila Carrasco, A. J., Taboada, J. J. E., Albert, N. M., Romín, D.
- 714 M., & Micó, R. M. Fitting Zernike polynomials to ocular wavefront
- aberrations. Effect of the radial order on the fitting accuracy. J.
- 716 *Emmetropia*, 6(2), 87-90 (2015).
- 15. Carvalho, L. A. Accuracy of Zernike polynomials in characterizing
- <sup>718</sup> optical aberrations and the corneal surface of the eye. *Invest*.
- 719 *Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.*, 46(6), 1915-1926 (2005).
- 16. Llorente, L., Marcos, S., Dorronsoro, C., & Burns, S. A. Effect of
- sampling on real ocular aberration measurements. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A.,
- 722 24(9), 2783-2796 (2007).
- 17. Klyce, S. D., Karon, M. D., & Smolek, M. K. Advantages and
- disadvantages of the Zernike expansion for representing wave
- aberration of the normal and aberrated eye. J. Refract. Surg., 20(5),
- 726 S537-S541 (2004).
- 18. Trujillo-Sevilla, J. M., Gonzalez, O. C., Bonaque-González, S.,
- Gaudestad, J., & Ramos, J. R. High-resolution wave front phase sensor

- <sup>729</sup> for silicon wafer metrology. In Photonic Instrumentation Engineering VI
- (Vol. 10925, p. 109250I). International Society for Optics and Photonics(2019).
- 19. Talmi, A., & Ribak, E. N. Wavefront reconstruction from its
- 733 gradients. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A., 23(2), 288-297 (2006).
- 20. Ceruso, S., Bonaque-González, S., Pareja-Ríos, A., Carmona-
- 735 Ballester, D., & Trujillo-Sevilla, J. Reconstructing wavefront phase from
- measurements of its slope, an adaptive neural network based
- 737 approach. Opt. Lasers. Eng., 126, 105906 (2020).
- 738 21. Rodríguez-Ramos, JM., Lüke, JP., Trujillo-Sevilla, JM., & Fernández-
- 739 Valdivia JJ. Method for determining the complex amplitude of the
- r40 electromagnetic field associated with a scene. Worlwide patent
- 741 PCT/ES2015/070936 (2015).
- 22. Delori, F. C., Webb, R. H. & Sliney, D. H. Maximum permissible
- exposures for ocular safety (ANSI 2000), with emphasis on ophthalmic
- <sup>744</sup> devices. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A., 24(5), 1250–1265 (2007).
- 23. Salmon, T. O., West, R. W., Gasser, W., & Kenmore, T. Measurement
  of refractive errors in young myopes using the COAS Shack-Hartmann
  aberrometer. *Optom. Vis. Sci.*, 80(1), 6-14 (2003).
- 24. Thibos LN, Applegate RA, Schwiegerling JT, Webb RH. Members
- 749 VST Standards for reporting the optical aberrations of eyes. Vision
- 750 Science and its Applications TOPS Volume. 2000.35.

- 25. Horsley, D. A., Park, H., Laut, S. P., & Werner, J. S. Characterization of
- a bimorph deformable mirror using stroboscopic phase-shifting
- <sup>753</sup> interferometry. Sens. Act. A: Physical, 134(1), 221-230 (2007).
- 26. Ahmed, S. S., Lott, M. N., & Marcus, D. M. The macular xanthophylls.
- 755 Surv. Ophthalmol., 50(2), 183-193 (2005).
- <sup>756</sup> 27. Singh, N. K., et al. Validation of a clinical aberrometer using
- 757 pyramidal wavefront sensing. *Optom. Vis. Sci.*, 96(10), 733-744 (2019).
- 28. Uceda-Montañés, A., Rogers, M., & Piñero, D. P. Visual and
- refractive outcomes with a new topography-integrated wavefront-
- <sup>760</sup> guided lasik procedure. *Curr. Eye Res.*, 1-7 (2020).
- 29. Markoulli, M., et al. Clinical utility of irx3 in keratoconus. *Clin. Exp. Optom.* (2020).
- <sup>763</sup> 30. Vincent, S. J., et al. Higher order aberrations and axial elongation in
- combined 0.01% atropine with orthokeratology for myopia control.
- 765 *Ophthalmic. Physiol. Opt.*, 40(6), 728-737 (2020).
- 31. Bonaque-González, S., Ríos, S., Amigó, A., & López-Gil, N. Influence

on visual quality of intraoperative orientation of asymmetric intraocular

- 768 lenses. J. Refract. Surg., 31(10), 651-657 (2015).
- 32. Patnaik, J. L., & Kahook, M. Y. Long-term follow-up and clinical
- evaluation of the light-adjustable intraocular lens implanted after
- cataract removal: 7-year results. J. Cataract. Refract. Surg., 46(6), 929
- 772 (2020).

773	33. Fu	. D	Ding, X.	Shang, J.	Yu. Z.,	& Zhou	X. Accuracy	v of WASCA
,,,,,	55.10						7	

- aberrometer refraction compared to manifest refraction and
- cycloplegic refraction in hyperopia measurement. Transl. Vis. Sci.
- 776 *Technol.*, 9(11), 5-5 (2020).
- 34. Page, T. P. Intraoperative wavefront aberrometry to determine
- planar endpoint for the repositioning of vaulted accommodative
- intraocular lenses. J. Cataract. Refract. Surg. (2020).
- 780 35. Bonaque-González, S., Ríos-Rodríguez, S., & López-Gil, N.
- Improving vision by pupil masking. Biomed. Opt. Express., 7(7), 2538-
- 782 2550 (2016).
- 36. Li, W., et al. Quality improvement of adaptive optics retinal images
- using conditional adversarial networks. *Biomed. Opt. Express.*, 11(2),
  831-849 (2020).
- 37. Sabesan, R., & Yoon, G. Visual performance after correcting higher
  order aberrations in keratoconic eyes. J. Vis., 9(5), 6-6 (2009).
- 38. Visser, N., Berendschot, T. T., Verbakel, F., Tan, A. N., de Brabander,
- J., & Nuijts, R. M. Evaluation of the comparability and repeatability of
- four wavefront aberrometers. Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci., 52(3),

791 1302-1311 (2011).

- 39. Mirshahi, A., Bühren, J., Gerhardt, D., & Kohnen, T. In vivo and in
- vitro repeatability of Hartmann-Shack aberrometry. J. Cataract. Refract.
- *Surg.*, 29(12), 2295-2301 (2003).

- 40. Burakgazi, A. Z., Tinio, B., Bababyan, A., Niksarli, K. K., & Asbell, P.
- <sup>796</sup> Higher order aberrations in normal eyes measured with three different
- <sup>797</sup> aberrometers. J. Refract. Surg., 22(9), 898-903 (2006).
- 41. López-Miguel, A., et al. Precision of a commercial Hartmann-Shack
- aberrometer: limits of total wavefront laser vision correction. Am. J.
- 800 *Ophthalmol.*, 154(5), 799-807 (2012).

801

# 802 Acknowledgements

We thank José Luis Hernández-Afonso for his administrative and
logistical assistance. We thank Jan Gaudestad for a critical review of the
manuscript.

806

# 807 Author contributions

S.B.G. contributed to all stages of the project. J.M.T.S. contributed to all
stages of the project. M.V.O. contributed to all stages of the project

except the development of the WFPI algorithm. O.C.G. contributed as

the main computer engineer. M.S.C. contributed as the main electronic

- engineer. A.R.V. contributed as the main mechanical engineer. S.C.
- contributed to the development of the WFPI algorithm. R.O.G.
- contributed to the processing software and hardware control. J.M.H.
- contributed to the hardware control. O.G.C. contributed to the
- hardware control. J.G.M.H. contributed to the processing software. D.G.
- contributed to the interpretation of data. J.T.H. contributed to the

818	interpretation of data. J.M.R.R. contributed to the development of the
819	WFPI algorithm and to the conception and design of the work.

820

# 821 Additional information

The data used to generate figure 6 are included for anyone to

use at https://bitbucket.org/wooptix/wfpi\_data. The rest of the data

generated or analysed during this study are included in this published

article. These additional data are available from the corresponding

- 826 author upon reasonable request.
- 827 The authors declare the following competing interests:
- S.B.G., employment. J.M.T.S., employment. M.V.O., employment. O.C.G.,

employment. M.S.C., employment. A.R.V., employment. S.C.,

employment. R.O.G., employment. J.M.H., employment. O.G.C.,

- employment. J.G.M.H., employment. D.G., personal financial interests.
- J.T.H., personal financial interests. J.M.R.R., personal financial interests.