- 1 **Title:** Day Camp in the Time of COVID-19: What Went Right?
- 2 Authors: Sharon Nachman, MD^1 ; Gabrielle Brauner, BS^1 ; Aviva Beleck, BA^1 ; Andrew S.
- 3 Handel, MD^1
- Author Affiliations: ¹ Department of Pediatrics, Stony Brook Children's Hospital, Stony Brook,
 NY
- 6 **Key Words:** COVID-19; Camp; Children; Infection Prevention; School
- 7 Running Title: Day Camp COVID-19 Experience
- 8 Corresponding author: Sharon Nachman, MD
- 9 Address: Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Stony Brook
- 10 Children's Hospital, 101 Nicolls Road, HSC- T11, Stony Brook, NY 11794
- 11 **Telephone:** 631-444-7692
- 12 **Fax:** 631-444-7292

- 13 Email: Sharon.nachman@stonybrookmedicine.edu
- 15 Alternate Corresponding author: Andrew Handel, MD
- 16 Address: Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Stony Brook
- 17 Children's Hospital, 101 Nicolls Road, HSC- T11, Stony Brook, NY 11794
- **Telephone:** 631-444-7692
- 19 **Fax:** 631-444-7292
- 20 Email: <u>Andrew.handel@stonybrookmedicine.edu</u>
- 21 Funding Sources: None
- 22 **Potential Conflicts of Interest:** None

23 Abstract

24	Objective : To evaluate whether a successful camp experience can be achieved with
25	implementation of COVID-19 education, screening and hygiene protocols, and
26	designated cohorts during the summer of 2020.
27	Study Design: A survey study of summer day camp directors in the metro-New York
28	area was conducted in September, 2020. The survey inquired about camper
29	demographics, COVID-10 related policies, and the number of COVID-19 cases and
30	exposures at each camp.
31	Results: Responses were received from 77% (23/30) of camp directors at the completion
32	of the 2020 summer. There were 8,480 camper children and 3,698 staff across the 23
33	camps surveyed. A variety of precautions were taken to limit COVID-19 incidence
34	among campers and staff, most often including COVID-19 screening at entry,
35	cohorting campers, maximizing outdoor activities, mandating mask use when indoors,
36	and frequent hand sanitizing. Six staff and one camper tested positive for COVID-19.
37	There was no secondary spread within the staff or campers in any of the camps.
38	Conclusion: Camps successfully stayed open in the summer of 2020. The low level of
39	COVID-19 in the community was critical to the initial success of camp opening.
40	Policies that were consistent and maintained among the camps helped prevent further
41	spread.

42 Introduction

43 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in December 2019, 44 quickly leading to a global health crisis. As of August 2020, there have been 20 million cases and over 750,000 deaths internationally¹. In March 2020, many states in the United States closed 45 schools, nonessential businesses, and public gatherings in an attempt to slow the virus's spread. 46 47 In May and June 2020, states began to gradually reopen these businesses and organizations. On 48 June 1, New Jersey's Governor Murphy announced that summer day camps could open on July 6.² On June 2, New York State's Governor Cuomo announced that summer day camps would be 49 permitted to open as of June 29.³ The United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 50 51 (CDC) published guidelines for safely reopening camps, which included separating campers into 52 non-mixing cohorts, encouraging six-foot social distancing, prioritizing outdoor activities, 53 isolating campers when ill, enforcing mask use, and encouraging proper hand hygiene and sanitation⁴. 54

55 Long Island Camps and Private Schools Association (LICAPS) is an organization of twenty-two 56 licensed summer day camps and private schools in Nassau and Suffolk County, New York, typically attended by hundreds of children and staff members^{5,6}. Many LICAPS affiliates 57 58 adopted policies and protocols for reducing COVID-19 cases among campers and staff, and 59 subsequently opened for day camp during the summer of 2020. Investigation of how well these camps performed with regard to identifying COVID-19 cases, preventing virus spread, and 60 adhering to pre-determined camp policies and CDC recommendations will have significant 61 implications for reopening schools and maintaining an environment free of COVID-19. 62

64

65 Methods

66	We designed an original survey (Supplement 1) for distribution to the directors of day camps
67	affiliated with LICAPS or located in other metro-New York regions. The survey inquired about
68	general camp demographics, camp policies regarding COVID-19 screening and prevention, and
69	actual illnesses occurring among campers and staff during the 2020 summer. The survey was
70	distributed to all LICAPS camp directors, and other camp directors through three direct email
71	messages over the course of one week in early September, 2020. Of the camps that did not
72	submit a completed survey, specific targeted questions regarding camp size and COVID-19
73	events were requested and received.
74	Our study was evaluated by the Stony Brook University Hospital institutional review board and
75	deemed exempt. Study consent was assumed based upon completion of the survey instrument.
76	Results were analyzed using descriptive statistics.
77	
78	Analysis:
70	Working with LICADS allowed us to contact many camps easily. This type of convenience

Working with LICAPS allowed us to contact many camps easily. This type of convenience
sampling made it possible to reach a large number of camps quickly. Once the surveys were
submitted, we were able to download the responses and analyze them for commonalities and
outliers.

83

84 **Results**

85 Camp Demographics

23 of 30 camp directors invited to participate completed the survey (77% response rate). Of the camps that did not complete the survey, all responded to specific questions sent to the camp directors requesting limited information. Of those camps, all had an attendance size that fell within the ranges of the group that did respond.

90 Overall attendance was lower in 2020 than 2019, with a total 2020 attendance of 8,480 camper

children and 3,698 staff across the 23 camps who completed the full survey. Attendance in 2019

ranged from 300 to 1,300 campers per camp, compared to 100 to 1,000 campers in 2020 (Figure

1). Compared to 2019, 12 camps had the same number of staff members, while the remaining 11

camps hired fewer staff members. Staff size decreased on average by 83% (range decrease by

95 525% to an increase by 4%).

96 On average, the proportion of campers in each of the designated age cohorts did not vary

between 2019 and 2020: 23% of campers in 2020 were in Pre-Kindergarten/Kindergarten, (range

98 0-55%), 53% were in Elementary School (range 38-55%), and 23% were in Middle School/High

99 School (range 0-58%). All of the camps had similar numbers of males to females per age group.

100

101 Cohorting

Each of the camps surveyed employed closed cohort methodology to limit mixing between large groups of children. Camps maintained an average of 12 campers per cohort (range 3-30). Two camps allowed limited mixing across cohorts. One allowed mixing during drop off and pick up and the other allowed limited age-specific mixing during lunch and swim time. All other camps surveyed did not permit any mixing between the cohorts.

107

108 Facilities

109 All 23 camps conducted camp outside at least 75% of the time. Regularly used indoor facilities 110 included changing rooms, activity areas, dining areas, health center, and bathrooms. When 111 inclement weather was expected, 16 camps cancelled camp for the day, while others held indoor 112 activities. Camps that cancelled for poor weather conditions missed an average of three days 113 during the summer (range 1-7 days). When inclement weather developed during the day, nine 114 camps held camp inside. There were no field trips in 2020. All camps except one had children 115 change clothing indoors. 90% of the camps had campers eat meals outdoors, with half (10/21)requiring six-foot social distancing while eating. 116

117

118 Screening

Protocols for pre-camp COVID-19 screening varied. 11 camps required proof of a negative COVID-19 nasopharyngeal PCR from all campers within one week of the start date. Of the 12 camps not requiring a negative COVID-19 PCR, nine required that campers have no COVID-19 symptoms during the 2 weeks prior to starting camp, and one other camp required campers have no contact with SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals for two weeks prior to camp start. The remaining two camps did not require a negative COVID-19 PCR and did not provide details about pre-camp screening protocols.

Daily COVID-19 screening included a mandatory temperature check at all camps. In addition to
 temperature screening upon arrival onto the campgrounds, 10 camps also required morning home

128	temperature screens, and one camp screened campers' temperatures on the morning bus. 20/23
129	(87%) of the camps also asked parents to complete daily symptom screening questionnaires.

130

131 **Transportation**

Each camp allowed private drop off for the arrival of the campers. In addition, seven of the
camps also employed the use of buses, of which five cleaned the buses after every use and two
cleaned the buses once daily.

135

136 Masking and Hand Hygiene

137 78% (18/23) of camps required some form of masking. The majority of camps (78%) required

138 campers to wear a mask whenever inside. Two additional camps required campers to wear a

139 mask when indoors for a prolonged time (i.e. for an activity, but not during change for swim).

140 Only one camp required campers to wear masks all day. All camps except for one (96%)

141 required staff members to wear masks. 65% of camps required staff members wear masks at all

times, and 30% only required staff members wear masks when inside. All camps enforcing mask

143 use did provide one when a camper or staff member did not bring one.

All 23 camps supplied hand sanitizer at multiple stations on their campgrounds, as well as soap and water near bathrooms, changing rooms, and the activity areas. Hand sanitizing by campers was required upon arrival to the campgrounds by 70% of camps, before and after activities by 87% of camps, and before eating by 91%. 82% of camps required staff and campers to carry

sanitizer with them at all times. All camps reported sanitizing the camp on a nightly basis,

though specific cleaning regimens were not reported.

150

151 COVID-19 Symptomatic & Exposed Individuals

152 All camps required campers or staff members with fever, shortness of breath, and/or cough to

leave the campgrounds immediately. Most camps (78% for campers, 70% for staff) required

documented clearance from a healthcare provider prior to returning. The majority of camps (83%

155 for campers, 70% for staff) also required a two-day symptom-free period prior to returning.

156 Only one camp required a negative COVID-19 assay for all sick campers prior to returning to

157 camp. Regarding staff, one camp required all symptomatic staff members quarantine at home for

two weeks prior to returning, and two camps required staff members demonstrate a negative

159 COVID-19 test before returning. 11 camps required a note from the staff member's doctor and

160 the staff member to be asymptomatic for 2 days before returning to camp.

161 The camps all developed protocols regarding return to camp for COVID-19 infected individuals.

162 Most camps required infected individuals have a 14-day home isolation period after testing

positive (82% for campers, 78% for staff) and a documented negative COVID-19 PCR (86% for

164 campers; 78% for staff) prior to returning to camp. 74% of camps also required written clearance

to return to camp from the camper's healthcare provider.

166

167 Illness events

168	Excluding symptoms due to laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, only 57/12,178 individuals
169	developed an illness during a camp day. Daily, each camp had an additional 1-2 campers or staff
170	out of attendance due to feeling ill. None of these resulted in a new COVID-19 diagnosis, and all
171	required either written clearance from a healthcare provider, a COVID-19 test, or both prior to
172	re-entry. Overall, the most common reasons campers and staff were sent home included fever (13
173	campers and 19 staff members) and vomiting or diarrhea (11 campers and 14 staff). Symptoms
174	concerning for COVID-19 accounted for sending 10 campers and 5 staff members home.
175	COVID-19 exposures accounted for 4 campers and 3 staff being sent home. None of these
176	individuals were COVID-19 positive.
177	

178 Documented COVID-19 cases

COVID-19 cases occurred in two camps. The first camp documented 1 camper and 5 staff 179 members (with a common exposure outside of camp) with positive COVID-19 PCR testing, with 180 181 an infection rate of 1.4%. The individual camper was ill on day 2 of camp, suggesting a prior 182 outside exposure as well. One staff member, several days into the season, was diagnosed with 183 COVID-19 at the second camp, giving an infection rate of 0.08%. Both infection rates were well 184 below the local infection rate at that time, which were under 3% for the county where the first camp is located⁷ and under 7% for where the second camp is located.⁸ Of the camps that did not 185 complete the survey, one camp also documented several cases of COVID-19. These individuals 186 (4 campers and 8 staff members) tested positive around the 3rd week of camp. They were sent 187 home to be isolated, the camp was closed for 1 week, and no further campers or staff were 188 infected. 189

190

191 Discussion

192 Our understanding of COVID-19 transmission has changed countless times since the pandemic 193 was first recognized. Among the many unresolved questions is the extent to which minimally 194 symptomatic or entirely asymptomatic children contribute to the virus' propagation. As the vast majority of infected children exhibit few signs of illness⁹, a clear challenge exists in safely 195 restarting schools and camps while minimizing inadvertent spread of the virus to other children, 196 teachers, and the greater community. Our study found that, in a region with relatively well-197 198 controlled COVID-19 spread, and with close attention to prevention policies, new infections 199 were uncommon among day camp attendees and staff. Further, the single outbreak that did occur was quickly halted, with only a handful of individuals infected, all from outside of the camp 200 201 activities. A similar outcome, lack of spread among campers and staff, occurred in the camp with little in-depth information. Although not a controlled study, the tactics used by these camps may 202 203 assist other childhood institutions in designing protocols for safely reopening. A review of camp policies showed a high degree of similarity. This was due to industry-shared 204 protocols. Although some policies may have differed between camps, these were limited. These 205 206 included across all camps: decreasing the number of campers (due to a self-selective process of 207 which families wanted to participate in camp), requiring staff members to wear masks when inside, isolating any person with any illness or COVID-19 symptoms or exposure. Other 208 209 common policies included conducting camps in cohorts, holding most camp activities outside, 210 daily temperature screening, providing ample hand sanitizer, and cleaning the camp nightly. The 211 degree to which each of these strategies limited COVID-19 episodes in the camp cannot be

212 determined.

Pivotal to the success of camp reopening was the low rate of infection in the community.
Throughout the summer (starting in June), the percent positivity was under 3% for Suffolk
County⁷, Nassau County⁷, and Somerset County⁸, and under 2% for New York County⁷,
Westchester County⁸, and Rockland County⁷. Underscoring this point, the camp with one
diagnosed COVID-19 case occurred in Burlington County, which had a much higher infection
rate of 7%.⁸

Contact tracing and prevalence studies have suggested that those younger than 10-14 years old might be less susceptible to COVID-19 infection than those 20 years of age and older. There is also evidence that adolescents and children might contribute a smaller role in transmission of COVID-19 than people of other ages.¹⁰ These would, in part, help explain the low rates of infection seem among day camps.

As a side product of masking of staff, screening of campers, and good hand hygiene, very low
rates of other illnesses were seen as well. Most summers, cases of Coxsackievirus, Streptococcal
pharyngitis, and acute viral gastroenteritis are common (personal communication Mark
Transport, LICAPS President). This past summer, however, there were no cases of
Coxsackievirus or Streptococcal pharyngitis among the 8,480 camper children and 3,698 staff
members across the 23 camps surveyed.

What was difficult to quantify is the level of loyalty to the camp. Parents, staff, and campers all appreciated that what they did in and out of camp hours directly influenced the ability of the camp to succeed. Often campers and staff had a long-standing relationship with the individual camps, and a sense of community with that camp. By explicit and implicit discussion, they all understood that attendance in camp was a privilege and that disregard of social distancing, mask

wearing, and hand hygiene rules both inside and out of camp put continued attendance andsuccess at risk.

237 Critical to success was ongoing good communication between staff, parents, and camp directors. 238 Frequent contact between camp directors, staff, and parents permitted ongoing discussion and 239 reinforcement of the camp's COVID-19 rules. Sleep away camps, which were prohibited from opening in New York State but allowed to open in twenty-five other states,¹¹ have also provided 240 241 valuable insights into reopening during the pandemic. Notably, numerous sleep away camps throughout the United States were closed after opening due to COVID-19 outbreaks, despite 242 following state specific guidelines^{11,12,13,14}. Good communication was not always the norm for 243 244 these camps as compared to the summer day camps in our survey. Typical examples of these poor communications included lack of notification to families when a camper tested positive or 245 holding large group sessions to notify campers that a COVID-19 outbreak had occurred, risking 246 further spread.¹² Additionally, one large camp of 7,000 campers seemingly did not adhere to its 247 own social distancing policies.¹³ 248

249 Just as with camps, reopening schools are now exploring optimal strategies for minimizing 250 COVID-19 cases, and they may look to camp successes for guidance. One crucial difference is 251 the general reliance of schools on indoor activities. Schools may benefit from strategies 252 recommended by the CDC and employed by the camps, namely enforcing proper hand hygiene, 253 mask use, social distancing, and student cohorting, facilitating frequent COVID-19 testing, and 254 quickly isolating COVID-19 infected and exposed individuals. Frequent school-wide sanitizing 255 and closures following a single case has been implemented since school reopening, though these 256 practices are not evidence-based at this time.

258 Conclusions

259 When a decision was made to allow day camps to reopen in New York in summer 2020, many 260 parents feared putting their children at risk by sending them to camp. We report here data from 261 23 camps which responded to a survey regarding camp activates. The majority of the summer 262 camps surveyed had no positive COVID-19 cases identified. Two summer camps who completed 263 the full survey, and one additional camp who completed a partial survey had positive cases. 264 There was no spread within the camps due to fast recognition and action. These camps were 265 successful because of a low level of virus in the community, effective screening practices, 266 emphasizing outdoor activities, and proper hygiene and masking. With these examples of 267 successful summer camp experiences, we are hopeful that safe school reopening and limiting 268 COVID-19 spread within the school and the community at large can be maintained.

269

270 Acknowledgements: The following camps participated in the survey. We thank all of their staff 271 and leadership for providing us with the data described in the manuscript. In alphabetical order: 272 Breezemont Day Camp (Gordon Josey), Brookhaven Country Day Camp (Michael Pollack), 273 Camp Jacobson (Paul Isserles), Camp Nabby (Rita C. Bertino), Crestwood Day Camp (Mark 274 Transport and Mark Hemmerdinger), Deerkill Day Camp (Todd Rothman), Driftwood Day 275 Camp (Mike Wagenberg and Ron Kuznetz), Elmwood Day Camp (Gregg Licht), Hidden Pond 276 Day Camp (Matt Pagliari), Ivy League Day Camp (Meredith Stern), Kenwal Day Camp (Howard 277 Feinstein), Liberty Lake Day Camp (Andy Pritikin), Maplewood School & Summer Program 278 (Sheri Holden), Mohawk Day Camp (Adam Wallach), North Shore Day Camp (Joni Iacono), 279 Oak Crest Day Camp (Jonathon Gold), Park Shore Country Day Camp (Robert Budah), Pierce

- 280 Day Camp (Will and Courtney Pierce), Rolling River Day Camp (Marissa Allaben and Mark
- 281 Goodman), Shibley Day Camp (Heath Levine), Summer Trails Day Camp (Jamie Sirkin), The
- 282 Nature Place Day Camp (Scott Dunn), Woodmont Day Camp (Sam Borek)

283 Abbreviations:

- 284 SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
- 285 CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- 286 LICAPS: Long Island Camps and Private Schools Association
- 287

288 **References**

289	1.	COVID-19 Map. https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html. Accessed September 12, 2020.
290	2.	Feuer W. New Jersey to open outdoor dining, retail shops on June 15 as tri-state region
291		slowly eases restrictions. https://www.cnbc.com/2020/06/01/coronavirus-new-jersey-to-
292		open-for-outdoor-dining-retail-on-june-15.html. Published June 1, 2020. Accessed
293		September 22, 2020.
294	3.	NBC New York. New York Sets Opening Date for Summer Day Camps; Western NY
295		Enters Phase II. https://www.nbcnewyork.com/news/local/new-york-sets-opening-date-
296		for-summer-day-camps-western-ny-enters-phase-ii/2443390/. Published June 3, 2020.
297		Accessed October 1, 2020.
298	4.	Suggestions for Youth and Summer Camps. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
299		https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/summer-
300		camps.html. Accessed September 12, 2020.
301	5.	About LICAPS. Long Island Camps and Private Schools.
302		http://www.longislanddaycamps.com/about-licaps/. Published November 2, 2018.
303		Accessed September 12, 2020.
304	6.	Day Camp Directory. Long Island Camps and Private Schools.
305		http://www.longislanddaycamps.com/day-camp-directory/. Published January 16, 2019.
306		Accessed September 12, 2020.
307	7.	Percentage Positive Results By County Dashboard. https://forward.ny.gov/percentage-
308		positive-results-county-dashboard. Accessed September 14, 2020.

309 8. New Jersey Statistics. New Jersey Department of Heal	309	8.	New Jersey	V Statistics.	New Jersey	Department	of Health.
---	-----	----	------------	---------------	------------	------------	------------

- 310 https://www.nj.gov/health/cd/documents/topics/NCOV/COVID_Confirmed_Case_Summ
- ary.pdf. Published 2020. Accessed September 14, 2020.
- 9. Liguoro I, Pilotto C, Bonanni M, et al. SARS-COV-2 infection in children and newborns:
- a systematic review. European Journal of Pediatric.
- 314 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7234446/pdf/431_2020_Article_3684.pd
- f. Published 2020. Accessed September 12, 2020
- 10. Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among
- 317 Children and Adolescents Compared With Adults. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2020.
- 318 doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573
- 11. State Opening Guidance for Summer 2020. Box.
- https://acacamps.app.box.com/s/gwjgyzbzlmactz1awhwp3d49chsxrqvn. Published 2020.
- 321 Accessed September 12, 2020.
- 12. Golden A. Kanakuk Kamps battle a COVID cluster. NBCNews.com.
- 323 https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/kanakuk-kamps-battle-COVID-cluster-
- n1233186. Published July 10, 2020. Accessed September 12, 2020.
- 13. Carroll PCand S. Arkansas summer camp closes after campers, staff test positive for
- 326 virus. KATV. https://katv.com/news/local/arkansas-summer-camp-closes-after-campers-
- 327 staff-test-positive-for-virus. Published July 9, 2020. Accessed September 12, 2020.
- 14. Slotkin J. At Least 82 Coronavirus Cases Linked To Missouri Sleepaway Camp. NPR.
- 329 https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-updates/2020/07/10/889718877/at-least-

- 330 82-coronavirus-cases-linked-to-missouri-sleepaway-camp. Published July 10, 2020.
- Accessed September 12, 2020.

333 Figure Legend

Figure 1: Number of campers in attendance at each surveyed camp in 2019 and 2020

335

336 Supplement Legend

337 Supplement 1: Complete survey instrument

