# An update to the LIFEtime-perspective CardioVascular Disease (LIFE-CVD) model for prediction of individualized lifetime benefit from cardiovascular risk factor management in apparently healthy people

Tamar I. de Vries MD MSc<sup>1</sup>, Nicole E.M. Jaspers MD PhD<sup>1</sup>, Frank L.J. Visseren MD PhD<sup>1\*</sup>, Jannick A.N. Dorresteijn MD PhD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands \* Corresponding author, email: <u>F.L.J.Visseren@umcutrecht.nl</u>

#### Abstract

## **Introduction**

The previously developed LIFEtime-perspective CardioVascular Disease (LIFE-CVD) model can be used to predict lifetime cardiovascular disease risk, CVD-free life expectancy, and lifetime benefit from cardiovascular risk factor treatment in apparently healthy people aged 45 to 80 years. However, there was an unmet need to be able to apply the model in patients younger than 45 years, and to accurately estimate treatment effects in patients with a life expectancy exceeding 90 years.

# Aim

Update the LIFE-CVD model to enable application of the model in people aged 35 to 89 years, and to allow more accurate estimation of treatment effects in patients with a life expectancy exceeding 90 years.

#### Methods

The study was conducted using data from the same studies as were used for derivation and validation of the original model, including the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) cohort, and the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Netherlands (EPIC-NL) and EPIC-Norfolk cohort studies. Age-specific baseline survivals were smoothed by predicting the progression of baseline survivals with age, using a local polynomial regression function and a exponential function for CVD, and non-CVD mortality basefin bis preprint reports new research that has not been certified by page finite survivals were then to entry of the tractice to the the finite survival of the strategy of the progress of the strategy of the survival of the strategy of the st

age range of 35 to 100 years. External validation using the newly updated baseline survivals was performed.

## Results

Performance of the updated model was not dissimilar from the original model, with C-statistics for discrimination ranging from 0.70-0.76 in the external study populations. Calibration plots showed a good agreement between predicted and observed 10-year CVD risks. Estimation of treatment effects in patients with a life expectancy exceeding 90 years was improved.

## **Conclusion**

This update of the LIFE-CVD model improves the clinical usability of the model by increasing the age range and improving the method of estimation of lifetime treatment effects.

## Introduction

In 2019, the LIFEtime-perspective CardioVascular Disease (LIFE-CVD) model for the prediction of lifetime cardiovascular disease risk, life expectancy free from CVD, and lifetime treatment benefit from cardiovascular risk factor treatment in apparently healthy people aged 45 to 80 years was published in the European Heart Journal.<sup>1</sup> This risk model is available as online tool on the website <u>www.U-Prevent.com</u>. Using the model in daily clinical practice, treatment effects estimated in patients with a life expectancy exceeding 90 years appeared to be underestimated. Furthermore, there was an unmet need to be able to apply the model in patients younger than 45 years. The present paper aims to solve both these issues by providing an updated list of age-specific baseline survival rates for a wider age-range and describe an adapted method for lifetime benefit calculation.

#### Methods

#### Study populations

The study was conducted using data from the same studies as described in the original paper by Jaspers et al.,<sup>1</sup> including the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort,<sup>2</sup> Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) cohort,<sup>3</sup> and the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Netherlands (EPIC-NL) and EPIC-Norfolk cohort studies.<sup>4,5</sup> Participants between 35 and 45 years of

age or aged >80 years, which had been excluded from original model derivation, were included in the present update.

## The LIFE-CVD prediction algorithm

Details on the methods for derivation and validation of the LIFE-CVD algorithm have been described previously.<sup>1</sup> In summary, the LIFE-CVD prediction algorithm exists of two complementary externally validated competing-risk adjusted left-truncated Fine and Gray-hazard functions for lifetime predictions for major cardiovascular events (MACE; myocardial infarction, stroke, or cardiovascular mortality) and non-cardiovascular death in apparently healthy persons.<sup>1</sup> It was derived in the MESA cohort (n=6715) and validated in the ARIC, HNR, EPIC-NL and EPIC-Norfolk studies (total n=62808), with C-statistics for discrimination ranging between 0.67-0.76. It is based on the following clinically readily available characteristics: sex, systolic blood pressure, non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol, body mass index, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, and parental history of premature myocardial infarction. The model can be used for estimation of both 10-year risk of MACE and CVD-free life expectancy. Additionally, it can be used to predict the lifetime treatment effects from cholesterol lowering, blood pressure lowering, antithrombotic therapy, and smoking cessation.

Individual estimations are based on lifetables with 1-year age intervals. Each life-year has an agespecific 1-year baseline survival for both CVD-events and for the competing outcome of non-CVD mortality. By combining the baseline survival with the clinical predictors (coefficients), the individual risk of having a CVD-event or dying from non-cardiovascular causes can be estimated for each lifeyear. The cumulative survival for each life-year can then be estimated by multiplying the survival probability of that life year (1 minus CVD-risk minus non-CVD mortality risk) with the survival probability at the beginning of each life-year. This process is repeated until the maximum model age. The CVD-free life expectancy is defined as the median survival without a CVD-event or death (i.e. the age at which the cumulative survival probability becomes <50%).

#### Updating the LIFE-CVD algorithm

The age-specific baseline survivals for the original LIFE-CVD prediction algorithm (presented in Supplemental Table 3 of the 2019 paper, and Table 1 of this report)<sup>1</sup> are based on the observed events per life-year (i.e. at which age the observed events occurred). Due to chance, there is some variation between life years that cannot be explained by the natural progression of the 1-year risk for CVD or non-CVD mortality with increasing age. By predicting the progression of baseline survivals with age, the corresponding individual survival plots will also be smoothed and therefore more intuitive than when using the observed baseline survivals. Additionally, by using a model to predict the progression of baseline survivals, it becomes possible to extrapolate the baseline survivals for ages outside of this original age range according to the formula predicting the baseline survivals.

The updated baseline survivals were predicted according to functions weighted for the number of individual participants contributing data to each life-year. The CVD baseline survivals were predicted and smoothed using local polynomial regression (function *loess*, package stats in R studio) using a smoothing parameter  $\alpha$  of 1.05. The non-CVD mortality baseline survivals followed an exponential function according to the form E(Y) = a \* exp(bx) + c and were predicted using a non-linear regression function (Figure 1). The baseline survivals were then extrapolated to the age range of 35 to 100 years, allowing predictions over a wider age range (Table 2).

Finally, external validation using the newly updated baseline survivals was then performed in ARIC, HNR, EPIC-NL, and EPIC-Norfolk. Model discrimination was assessed using c-statistics and agreement between expected-and-observed 10-year risk was assessed visually using calibration plots.

#### Calculating treatment effects when the life expectancy exceeds 100 years

Treatment benefit for each risk factor treatment is estimated as the difference between on- and offtreatment median CVD-free life expectancy. In people whose life expectancy exceeds the model's maximum age, this approach cannot be used as the cumulative survival curve does not drop below 50%. Previously, we proposed using the difference in area under the curve (AUC) in such cases as a possible solution. However, the AUC-method gives underestimation of true lifetime treatment benefit. As a better

alternative, we here propose a new method of using the last observed cumulative survival. This means that in the case the on-treatment CVD-free cumulative survival exceeds 50% at the maximum age (i.e. 100 years), lifetime treatment benefit is defined as the difference between the maximum age and the age with the corresponding predicted percentage off-treatment cumulative survival.

## Results

The updated age-specific baseline survivals are presented in Table 2. Internal and external validation of the model using the updated baseline survival and the wider age range are shown in Figure 2. The model performance of the updated model was not dissimilar from model performance as presented in the 2019 paper (Figure 2). C-statistics for discrimination in the external study populations range from 0.70-0.76.

To show an example of how the updated methodology influences the treatment benefit estimations, Figure 3 shows the distribution of treatment effects (using an example of statin treatment) comparing the original methodology to the updated methodology. The updated methodology gives noticeably higher mean treatment effects, as the method based on the AUC gave important underestimation of treatment effect in all patients with a median life expectancy higher than 90 years.

Figure 4 shows an individual person example comparing the original methodology to the updated methodology, by showing an individual survival curve for a currently 65-year old patient. Figure 4b, the updated model, shows that the new model gives a smoother curve that extends to 100 years. Because in Figure 4a the survival curve did not drop below 50% and the treatment effect was estimated using the difference in AUC, the treatment effect was estimated as 0.3 years. In Figure 4b, however, the survival curve did drop below 50% and the lifetime treatment effect was estimated as 1.1 years (the difference between 91.6 and 92.7 years). In a third scenario, where the age range of the model was not extended to 90, but the last know survival was used for estimating the treatment effect, the treatment effect for this patient would have been 1.0 years (not shown in the figure), much closer to the 1.1 years estimated when the age range was extended. Both figures 3 and 4 thus demonstrate how the AUC underestimates treatment effect compared to using the last observed survival.

## Discussion

In this update of the LIFE-CVD model for the prediction of lifetime cardiovascular disease risk, life expectancy free from CVD, and lifetime treatment benefit from cardiovascular risk factor treatment in apparently healthy people, we have shown that it is possible to extend the age range of this lifetime prediction model without comprising on model performance. Furthermore, we have developed a new method to predict treatment effect of cardiovascular risk factor treatment in persons with a high median life expectancy.

Traditionally, in international guidelines 10-year risk models are used to decide which patients to treat with (pharmacological) cardiovascular risk factor lowering therapies.<sup>6,7</sup> However, during the last decades, 10-year risk of especially fatal CVD has decreased importantly in all age groups in the Western world.<sup>8</sup> Keeping the risk thresholds for treatment the same, less and less people would be treated with preventive medication. However, especially in the older age range, CVD becomes more and more prevalent.

As atherosclerosis is a lifelong gradual and progressive process, it seems logical to intervene early in that process to slow it down or stop it from happening all together. Younger patients with a high burden of cardiovascular risk factors may have a high lifetime risk but a very low 10-year risk. Based on 10-year risk thresholds for initiation of risk factor treatment in the current international guidelines,<sup>6,7</sup> younger apparently healthy persons are usually not eligible for preventive pharmacotherapy. The updated LIFE-CVD model, which now allows lifetime risk and lifetime treatment benefit estimation starting at the age of 35 years, can help in selecting patients who will benefit from risk factor treatment at a young age. Perhaps even more importantly, lifetime risk and treatment effect estimations can facilitate in communicating the importance of healthy lifestyle and risk factor management at a young age, especially smoking cessation, even when pharmacotherapy is not (yet) considered.

The updated LIFE-CVD model has as advantage that is gives more accurate lifetime estimations of treatment effects in *all* patients, even those who have life expectancies exceeding 90 years. As the worldwide life expectancy keeps increasing,<sup>9</sup> this will be increasingly important.

Some limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. Firstly, as this is an update of the original LIFE-

CVD model, this model suffers from the same limitations as the original model. The discussion of these limitations can be found in the original 2019 paper by Jaspers et al.<sup>1</sup> Secondly, the used methodology assumes that the age-specific baseline survival follows a certain line that can be predicted, and more importantly, can be extrapolated outside of the original age range. Looking at the plot of the original baseline survival (in the supplemental material) we do not have a reason to assume that this assumption is not met. Furthermore, the extrapolation does not seem to influence validation. However, we cannot know for certain whether this is actually true, as there are too little persons aged < 45 or >90 in the study population to formally assess this assumption.

In conclusion, this update to the LIFE-CVD algorithms improves the clinical usability of the model by increasing the age range and improving the method of estimation of lifetime treatment effects.

## References

- Jaspers NEM, Blaha MJ, Matsushita K, van der Schouw YT, Wareham NJ, Khaw K-T, et al. Prediction of individualized lifetime benefit from cholesterol lowering, blood pressure lowering, antithrombotic therapy, and smoking cessation in apparently healthy people. Eur Heart J. 2019;31:1–10.
- Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux A V, Folsom AR, et al. Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;156(9):871–81.
- The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study: design and objectives. The ARIC investigators. Am J Epidemiol. 1989;129(4):687–702.
- Day N, Oakes S, Luben R, Khaw KT, Bingham S, Welch A, et al. EPIC-Norfolk: study design and characteristics of the cohort. European Prospective Investigation of Cancer. Br J Cancer. 1999;80 Suppl 1:95–103.
- Beulens JWJ, Monninkhof EM, Verschuren WMM, van der Schouw YT, Smit J, Ocke MC, et al. Cohort profile: the EPIC-NL study. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;39(5):1170–8.
- Goff DCJ, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S, D'Agostino RB, Gibbons R, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am

Coll Cardiol. 2014;129(25):S49-73.

- Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(29):2315–81.
- Townsend N, Nichols M, Scarborough P, Rayner M. Cardiovascular disease in Europe epidemiological update 2015. Eur Heart J [Internet]. 2015 Aug 25;36(40):2696–705. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv428
- 9. World Health Organization. Life expectancy and Healthy life expectancy: Data by WHO region [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Aug 19]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.SDG2016LEXREGv

**Table 1:** Original age-specific baseline survival for CVD-events and non-CVD mortality based on the observed events per life-year

1-year CVD	1-year non-CVD		1-year CVD	1-year non-CVD
baseline	mortality baseline		baseline	mortality baseline
survival	survival	Age	survival	survival
1	1	68	0.999645771	0.970398098
1	0.979380684	69	0.999663492	0.965410198
0.999725398	0.985480904	70	0.999566041	0.956805621
1	0.978988162	71	0.999513256	0.954653450
1	1	72	0.999692656	0.955233741
0.999878410	0.98607217	73	0.999702516	0.953443559
0.999516952	0.988522333	74	0.999679667	0.969421315
0.999591619	0.985415629	75	0.999647779	0.947674229
0.999792279	0.979056609	76	0.999630632	0.939724478
0.999879900	0.985072499	77	0.999686488	0.954401682
0.999412090	0.989896930	78	0.999661579	0.930794801
0.999571482	0.990764307	79	0.999598915	0.947523700
0.999699809	0.985900751	80	0.999725086	0.944907534
0.999682114	0.986623736	81	0.999769337	0.931903316
0.999511434	0.987138590	82	0.999684311	0.918651265
0.999322636	0.979874870	83	0.999603926	0.916640537
0.999656409	0.973393148	84	0.999668146	0.882636308
0.999481427	0.966878497	85	0.999583733	0.902748240
0.999630190	0.985990456	86	0.999488751	0.896307118
0.999465298	0.977289395	87	0.999585936	0.884407038
0.999726810	0.964981886	88	0.999723251	0.918574710
0.999772552	0.976599292	89	0.999513316	0.868249158
0.999693501	0.967697361			
	1-year CVD         baseline         survival         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         1         0.999725398         0.999878410         0.999516952         0.999591619         0.999591619         0.999792279         0.999879900         0.999879900         0.999630190         0.999682114         0.999682114         0.999656409         0.999656409         0.999630190         0.999465298         0.999726810         0.999726810         0.999726810         0.999693501	1-year CVD1-year non-CVDbaselinemortality baselinesurvivalsurvival1110.9793806840.9997253980.98548090410.978988162110.9998784100.986072170.9995169520.9854156290.9995916190.9854156290.9995916190.9850724990.9995714820.9907643070.9995714820.9907643070.9996821140.9866237360.9995114340.9871385900.9995564090.9733931480.9996564090.9733931480.9994652980.9772893950.9997268100.9649818860.9997725520.9765992920.9997725520.9765929220.9996305010.967697361	1-year CVD         1-year non-CVD           baseline         mortality baseline           survival         survival         Age           1         1         68           1         0.979380684         69           0.999725398         0.985480904         70           1         0.978988162         71           1         1         72           0.999725398         0.98607217         73           0.999878410         0.988522333         74           0.999516952         0.98851629         75           0.999516952         0.979056609         76           0.999591619         0.9885072499         76           0.999792279         0.97056609         76           0.999879900         0.98896930         78           0.9999571482         0.990764307         79           0.999682114         0.986623736         81           0.99995322636         0.9773393148         84           0.9999551434         0.9873393148         84           0.9999630190         0.966878497         85           0.9999630190         0.985990456         86           0.9999726810         0.96769395         87	1-year CVD         1-year CVD           baseline         mortality baseline         baseline           survival         Age         survival           1         1         68         0.999645771           1         0.979380684         69         0.999663492           0.999725398         0.985480904         70         0.999566041           1         0.978988162         71         0.999513256           1         1         72         0.99962656           0.999878410         0.98607217         73         0.9996702516           0.999516952         0.988522333         74         0.99967067           0.999591619         0.985072499         75         0.999647779           0.999879900         0.985072499         77         0.99968488           0.999412090         0.98896930         78         0.99961579           0.999682114         0.986623736         81         0.999769337           0.999682114         0.986623736         81         0.9996337           0.999656409         0.973393148         84         0.999668146           0.999656409         0.973393148         84         0.999681363           0.999656409         0.97289395

	1-year CVD	1-year non-CVD		1-year CVD	1-year non-CVD
	baseline	mortality baseline		baseline	mortality baseline
Age	survival	survival	Age	survival	survival
35	0.99991823	0.99307706	68	0.99963267	0.96820266
36	0.99990882	0.99289348	69	0.99963845	0.96615015
37	0.99989875	0.99269573	70	0.99964348	0.96394804
38	0.99988803	0.99248272	71	0.99964759	0.96158622
39	0.99987669	0.99225328	72	0.99965088	0.95905400
40	0.99986477	0.99200614	73	0.99965348	0.95634012
41	0.99985230	0.99173997	74	0.99965548	0.95343274
42	0.99983936	0.99145329	75	0.99965695	0.95031941
43	0.99982601	0.99114455	76	0.99965794	0.94698712
44	0.99981233	0.99081206	77	0.99965847	0.94342224
45	0.99979842	0.99045401	78	0.99965857	0.93961058
46	0.99978436	0.99006846	79	0.99965824	0.93553740
47	0.99977027	0.98965332	80	0.99965748	0.93118742
48	0.99975627	0.98920634	81	0.99965629	0.92654486
49	0.99974247	0.98872513	82	0.99965466	0.92159349
50	0.99972899	0.98820709	83	0.99965258	0.91631671
51	0.99971594	0.98764944	84	0.99965001	0.91069758
52	0.99970343	0.98704922	85	0.99964692	0.90471895
53	0.99969156	0.98640322	86	0.99964327	0.89836352
54	0.99968043	0.98570803	87	0.99963904	0.89161400
55	0.99967011	0.98495998	88	0.99963418	0.88445322
56	0.99966066	0.98415513	89	0.99962867	0.87686428
57	0.99965211	0.98328928	90	0.99962247	0.86883075
58	0.99964450	0.98235793	91	0.99961554	0.86033685
59	0.99963787	0.98135627	92	0.99960784	0.85136764
60	0.99963223	0.98027914	93	0.99959933	0.84190928
61	0.99962760	0.97912105	94	0.99958995	0.83194926
62	0.99962404	0.97787613	95	0.99957966	0.82147664
63	0.99962160	0.97653813	96	0.99956838	0.81048235
64	0.99962042	0.97510036	97	0.99955607	0.79895946
65	0.99962066	0.97355572	98	0.99954263	0.78690343
66	0.99962260	0.97189666	99	0.99952800	0.77431242
67	0.99962652	0.97011515			

Table 2: Updated age-specific baseline survival for CVD-events and non-CVD mortality

**Figure 1:** Smoothing and extrapolation of baseline survivals for (A) 1-year CVD baseline survival (using local polynomial regression), and (B) 1-year non-CVD mortality baseline survival (using non-linear regression). Black dots indicate the original baseline survivals based on the observed events per life-year, the red lines show the predicted progression of baseline survivals from the age of 35 years to 100 years (the updated baseline survivals).



**Figure 2:** Calibration plots of expected versus observed risks with C-statistics for discrimination of the updated model stratified for age groups: (A) internal validation in MESA, (B) external validation in ARIC, (C) external validation in EPIC-NL, and (D) external validation in EPIC-Norfolk



**Figure 3:** Distribution of treatment effects in the EPIC-Norfolk study population of a hypothetical situation where moderate-intensity lipid lowering therapy (e.g. simvastatin 40 mg) is initiated in all participants, using the area under the curve when life expectancy exceeds the model's maximum age (A) compared to using the last observed survival (B)



Figure 4: an individual person example of a survival curve for predicting the treatment effect from moderate-intensity lipid lowering therapy, using the original methodology based on difference in area under the curve (A) to the updated methodology using the last observed survival (B).



40%

20%

0% 65

70

75

80

Age (years)

85

90

95

100