

A new Reproduction Index R_i and its Usefulness for Germany's Covid19-Data

Robert N.J. Conradt¹

Abstract: In the course of a large-scale infectious disease a time-dependent Reproduction rate is an important parameter for political, economic and social decisions. In this paper we focus on that parameter and introduce a mathematical implementation in addition to the mostly used definition of Robert-Koch-Institute (RKI) in Germany.

Such value is of particular interest in order to serve as a criterion for possible Lock-Downs and "LockUps" in society and can provide deep insights into a pandemic event.

Both the definition of the new Reproduction index and the RKI's Reproduction number are compared analytically, applied to simple model calculations and finally on real Covid19 data. Clear advantages of the new Reproduction index become apparent and some weaknesses of the RKI's Reproduction number become clearly visible.

In addition we propose two additional ways of displaying pandemic data to have the pandemic behaviour at a glance. We find that some signatures of the pandemic appear now very well expressed - especially in conjunction with the new Reproduction index R_i .

This all could be very helpful for future political, social and economic decisions.

1 Introduction

The Reproduction index indicates how many people are infected by another infected person during their infectious phase, if such infected person appears in the population of susceptible individuals with a given social environment. The Reproduction index is a dimensionless number. For a population of infected individuals with $R_i > 1$ this means that the part of infected individuals increases. For $R_i = 1$ the size of this infected sub-population stays constant and for $R_i < 1$ it shrinks - the pandemic is fading out.

All these properties are also claimed by the definition of the well known RKI-Reproduction number R_t [8].²

In Fig.1, the necessary states for an infection and the transition rates between the single states can be represented using a simple SIRD-model.

¹CONRADT Mess- und Regeltechnik, Allensbach, robert@conradt.com

²NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. The new index, R_i (Reproduction number) denotes the definition of the RKI. The general term "Reproduction Rate" refers to both numbers (R_i and/or R_t).




Figure 1: **Simple SIRD-model:**

Between the states S (Susceptible), I (Infected), R (Recovered) and D (Deceased), individuals change their state using the specified rates. N is the total number of all individuals and remains constant.

The transition rates \dot{n}_x from one state of the model to another are given by the following set of expressions:

$$\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I} = c \frac{SI}{N} \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{n}_{I \rightarrow R} = r_0 I \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{n}_{I \rightarrow D} = m_0 I \quad (3)$$

Applied to the model given in Fig.1 this results in the well-known set of differential equations:

$$\dot{S} = -c \frac{SI}{N} \quad (4a)$$

$$\dot{I} = c \frac{SI}{N} - r_0 I - m_0 I \quad (4b)$$

$$\dot{R} = r_0 I \quad (4c)$$

$$\dot{D} = m_0 I \quad (4d)$$

Remarks: In Eq.(1), the tools to contain a pandemic are easily identified as factors of the product $c \cdot I \cdot S$: Reducing c can be achieved with measures such as masks, hygiene, contact reduction. S is reduced actively by vaccination³ and I can be reduced by identifying infected persons (testing, tracing). Since all measures come in as a product, they are equally important - but vary in ease of use.

The much more detailed compartment model given by Meyer-Hermann's group [5] knows significantly more states and is important for a detailed understanding of the whole infection process. It can primarily distinguish between identified infected and unrecognized infected individuals. To bring this model to full value it is required to calibrate the number of undetected (asymptomatic) infected people against the number of obviously infected

³Herd immunity is achieved through the pandemic itself or by vaccination.

72 on representative numbers of the population this
 73 remains an issue. Unfortunately, currently this is
 74 not done systematically in Germany.
 75 Streeck et al. did this on a small scale in the well
 76 known "Heinsberg-study" [11]. The high value of
 77 this study consists of the response to a well defined
 78 pulse-like superspreading-event.

80 Using Fig.1 and Eq.(4) the definition of a
 81 Reproduction index R_i becomes immediately clear
 82 and simple. It results from the ratio of new infections
 (incidence) to the average number of active infections
 84 (prevalence) for the duration of infectivity Δt :

$$R_i(t) = \frac{\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I}}{I(t)} \Delta t \quad (5)$$

$$= c \frac{S(t)}{N} \Delta t \quad (6)$$

Δt is the dwell time in the Infected state and can
 86 also be represented by $(r_0 + m_0)^{-1}$. Eq.(6) thus
 becomes

$$R_i(t) = c \frac{S(t)}{N(r_0 + m_0)} \quad (7)$$

88 In words: the number of new infections $R_i(t)$
 89 caused by an infected person depends on the (time
 90 dependant) probability to meet susceptible persons
 and on the "efficiency" of the contact (from the
 92 point of view of the virus)⁴ between the infected
 person and susceptible person. The duration of
 94 the infectiosity $(r_0 + m_0)^{-1}$ ofcourse plays a role.
 Notice: the number of new infections caused by an
 96 infected person does not depend on how many other
 infected persons exist around the infecting person.

98 Using $S(t=0) = N$, the basic Reproduction index
 100 $R_{i,0}$ is obtained from Eq.(7):

$$R_{i,0} = \frac{c}{r_0 + m_0} \quad (8)$$

Using Eq.(7) in Eq.(4b) we immediately obtain

$$\frac{\dot{I}}{I} = (R_i(t) - 1)(r_0 + m_0) \quad (9)$$

102 The pandemic stagnates at a critical reproduction
 index $R_{i,c} = 1$ corresponding to $\dot{I} = 0$. Above this,
 104 the number of infected individuals increases and for
 values $R_i(t) < 0$ the pandemic fades away.

106 For the period and duration Δt of infectivity, we
 108 draw on a distribution given by Cori et al. [3] for
 the SARS 2003 pathogen. This agrees well with the
 110 analysis of a smaller sample of the first cases from
 Wuhan, China, by Li et al. [6].

⁴distance, viral load, contact-duration, the immune
 response of the infected person, etc.




Figure 2: **Distribution of infectivity w_i in SARS-2003**

After an infection at $t = 0$, the infectivity, i.e., the
 time course of the discharge of viruses, is as shown
 by Cori et al. [3]. The colored area shows the
 90%-quantil of infectiosity. In an interval $\Delta t_{90} =$
 $[3, 2 \dots 15, 5]$, 90% of the total virus-load emitted by
 an infected person is released. Please note that 22%
 of the virus-load is spread before any symptoms show.

114 For the duration $\Delta t_{90\%}$ of infectiosity resulting
 from Fig.2 we obtain about 12.3 days.

116 This coincides quite well with the inverse of the
 118 rate $r_0 + m_0$ which is 14.2 days and corresponds to
 the dwell time in the "Infected" state.

120 To conclude the introduction, we present a
 numerical integration of the system of equations (4)
 122 together with real data of the same time.
 Apart from the simple accessibility in a simulation,
 124 values for $(\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I})$ and (I) are given in the Daily
 Situation Reports of the Robert-Koch-Institute
 126 (RKI)[9]⁵.

128 The following parameters belonging to Fig.3
 describe the first wave 2020 very well⁶:

$$c = 0,24 \text{ day}^{-1}$$

$$r_0 = 0,0673 \text{ day}^{-1}$$

$$m_0 = 0,0033 \text{ day}^{-1}$$

$$N_{total} = 171.500 \text{ and } I_{t=0} = 1$$

⁵Since around October 2020, a value for I will be specified
 explicitly. Before, I could be derived from the totaled new
 infections minus the recovered R and deceased D individuals.

⁶values for mortality m_0 and recovery rate r_0 can be
 obtained independently, values for c and N_{ges} are obtained
 by plotting the rate according to Eq.(1) against the number
 of actively infected I ,




Figure 3: **Course Covid19**
Data of the pandemic from the RKI Daily Situation Reports [9]. The solid curves (simulation according to Eq.(4)) describe very well the course of the first wave. The continuation of the pandemic is already indicated at the end of April when the true numbers leave the simulation-curves.

2 Definitions of a Reproduction Rate

Let us look at the properties of the new Reproduction index R_i as given in Eq.(5) and the Reproduction number R_t given by the RKI in terms of properties and differences.

2.1 Proposal of a supplementary Reproduction Index R_i

Instead of using the continuous version according to Eq.(5) we have to use the daily given numbers⁷ by RKI [9] and define a discrete version of Eq.(5). In Eq.(10), we average the values of incidence over 3 days with the actual day in the middle to get a little smoothing and divide by the mean of the prevalences before. The mean value of the prevalence could be obtained by averaging the number of active cases for about 10 days, which in turn is in the same order of magnitude as the duration of the infectivity. Since the variation in prevalence is not as strong as in incidence-values, finally the choice of the interval for averaging prevalence plays a minor role.

$$R_i(t) = \frac{\frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=-1 \dots 1} \dot{n}(t-j)}{\frac{1}{10} \sum_{j=2 \dots 11} I(t-j)} \Delta_t \quad (10)$$

For Δ_t , we used an approximate value of 13 days for the duration of infectivity in the evaluations given below⁸.

⁷cases (new or total), deceased persons (new or total), recovered persons (new or total). Self-consistency of the data can be checked by summing up the new counts and comparing them to the totals.

⁸This is in the middle of the mentioned 12.3 days (see Fig.2) and the 14.2 days derived from $(r_0 + m_0)^{-1}$

2.2 R_t given by the Robert-Koch-Institute

The RKI reproduction number R_t is based on the idea of calculating the ratio of the incidence values of two successive instants. Such a snapshot can itself consist of an average over a few days for smoothing. The time distance between these two snapshots is the generation time t_G . This is the time interval between one's own infection and a "successful" transmission of the infection to a third person. The RKI uses a value of 4 days for t_G . First we define a continuous version for R_t to check its properties applied on the DEs Eq.(4). As term for incidence we use $\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I}$ as given in Fig.1 and Eq.(1).

$$R_t = \frac{\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I}(t)}{\dot{n}_{S \rightarrow I}(t-t_G)} \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{S(t)I(t)}{S(t-t_G)I(t-t_G)} \quad (12)$$

Please note that this has no correspondence to Eq.(5) or Eq.(6). First of all it is surprising that R_t does not depend on c , although this is generally considered to be the parameter for the coupling between susceptibles and infected. It is the parameter that is also supposed to be reduced by social-distancing as a measure. Thus, such a measure would not be able to be seen in RKI's R_t .

In a first-order-approximation, we get $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(I \cdot S) = 0$ as a condition for the critical Reproduction number $R_{t,c} = 1$. This differs from the correspondig condition $\dot{I} = 0$ for $R_{i,c} = 1$ and results in a slightly different timing when crossing then $R = 1$ -line.

Also Eq.(12) can be written in a discrete version with daily case-numbers. The ratio of the mean-value of new cases of two subsequent time intervals is determined. RKI uses an averaging over 4 days for each of the two intervals and a shift of $t_G = 4$ days. In the following graph Fig.4 the slope of the total number of cases corresponds to the (averaged) number of new cases. The ratio between two subsequent slopes is RKI's R_t .

Assuming that the time axis is in discrete steps (days), the following definition is given for the Reproduction number R_t [7][1]:

$$R_{t,RKI} = \frac{\sum_{i=0 \dots 3} \dot{n}(t-i)}{\sum_{i=4 \dots 7} \dot{n}(t-i)} \quad (13)$$

With Eq.(13), the relative change in the averaged case counts of two consecutive intervals of 4 days each is given⁹.

⁹In the 7-day R value, both sums are shifted by 4 days, but extend over 7 days each for even better smoothing.




Figure 4: **For the definition of R_t by the RKI:** Shown are the cumulative case numbers announced daily by the RKI [8]. The Reproduction number R_t is given as the ratio of the two averaged slopes plotted.

Let us summarize some very remarkable properties of the definitions according to Eq.(12) or Eq.(13):

- R_t does not depend on contact-intensity. The reproduction number is independent of whether infectious persons are in the vicinity of susceptible persons or not. According to this, quarantine measures would have no effect in R_t and thus can not be monitored by that definition of R_t .
- As example, 10 new infections in each of 8 consecutive days yield a value $R_t = 1$, just as 10.000 new infections in each of 8 consecutive days result in a value of $R_t = 1$, too; regardless by how many currently infected persons these new infections were generated. 10 new infections generated by (e.g.) 1000 active cases would be acceptable while 10.000 new infections generated by 1000 infected would be very critical.
- The definition according to Eq.(13) reflects the relative change of the new infection rate, it does not represent a Reproduction rate in the sense of Eq.(5) or Eq.(6) in section 1 as the number of infected is ignored.
- Even when the infection has almost completely faded away, the value R_t stays close to "1".
- Since only case-numbers are required, the calculation of R_t is done quite easy.

2.3 Properties of the two Reproduction Rates

To compare the implementations of the two methods of calculating R_t and R_i , the definitions according to Eq.(13) and Eq.(10) are applied on the simulation-dataset shown in Fig.3 (solid lines). Here we can test the two definitions of a Reproduction rate on a

model system before applying them in a second step to real Covid19 data (dotted curves in Fig.3) to gain new insights there.

2.3.1 R_t and R_i in Simulation-Data

Let us first have a look at the definitions of R_t and R_i and their application to the simulation-dataset already shown in Fig.3:




Figure 5: R_t associated with SIRD simulation. The comparison of the two calculation methods for R_t in contrast. We notice the qualitative difference that does not show the RKI R -curve to decay monotonically towards the end of the pandemic. The time at which R_i and R_t indicate a stationary situation ($\text{ReproductionRate} = 1$) differs slightly.

It can be clearly seen in Fig.5 that the course of a pandemic wave is reproduced completely differently by the two reproduction rates.

In contrast to R_t , the alternative calculation R_i starts at R_0 and falls monotonically. Exactly when $\dot{I} = 0$ the index R_i takes the value "1" on 9.4.2020¹⁰. Here New cases and (Recovered + Deceased) balance each other. Then R_i tends towards a value R_∞ , which is given in Fig.14 (Supporting Information). For $R_0 = 3,3$ we find $R_{i,\infty} = 0,13$.

2.3.2 R_t and R_i applied on real Data

Applying the definitions for R_t acc. Eq.(13) and for R_i given by Eq.(10) on real Covid19-data is shown in Fig.6¹¹.

Clearly a difference can be seen when applying the two definitions of the Reproduction rate to the real data.

Easily seen is the tendency of RKI's definition of R_t to gravitate toward the value "1".

In contrast the definition of R_i proposed here has the property of reflecting the dynamic event more

¹⁰corresponding to the maximum of Active Cases in Fig.3

¹¹Also here the tiny shift between the two curves arise from principal time-shift between R_t and R_i and additionally from the subsequent assignment of some cases to the correct infection date in the RKI's Nowcastig-data




Figure 6: **Comparison of Reproduction rates**
Interesting is the property of $R_{t,RKI}$ to tend to the value "1", while R_i is able to show values over a significantly wider range.

pronouncedly. The intermediate increase of R_i up to a value of 2.5 is not shown by RKI's value R_t .

Since the daily values of R_t published by the RKI are based on the data set of the nowcasting [8], for the sake of completeness the small differences to the evaluation based on the daily situation reports are shown in Supporting Information (3.2.4, p.10).

A detailed analysis or interpretation of signatures in Fig.6 is not carried out here, but a few striking signatures should nevertheless be mentioned: the clearly visible outbreak at the Tönnies/Gütersloh company around 18.6.2020, a comparatively high number "imported infections" during 4 weeks starting at the beginning of August and the strong increase of new infections at the beginning of October rising up to a $R_i = 2,5$.

At the end of October, a LockDown-Light was imposed in Germany at $R_i = 2$ while R_t was already decreasing. Since a sharp increase in new infections was expected at this time of the year, the decreased valued $R_i = 0.77$ at the end of November is remarkable¹².

Since the case numbers were comparatively high at about 20,000 per day and the Reproduction number of the RKI did not signal any change, a tightening of the lock-down was announced for December 2020 - although R_i was already quite low. This also happened due to the 7th Ad-Hoc-statement of the Leopoldina published on December 8th, 2020 [4]. In particular, this statement formulates a target value for $R = 0.7 \dots 0.8$.

By such criteria, any Reproduction rate is given an importance that it should necessarily be able to satisfy.

¹²Note that RKI's R_t does not reproduce this observation.

2.4 Proposal for two new kind of Plots

It is easy to consider that two projections of the three-dimensional SI(R+D) space fully describe the pandemic. Here we present two projections of that three-dimensional space with slightly different variables¹³. We have chosen the coordinate-system (R_i | Incidence | Prevalence) for the following two plots because they are widely spread and give a quite good insight respectively overview over the pandemic - and they fully describe the pandemic. Please note: the plots don't explain the pandemic in the meaning that one can fully understand the pandemic - they describe some details and give the required information for decisions at a glance. We think that the projection of the 3-dimensional space only on the axis "Incidence" is not sufficient since important information stays invisible.

2.4.1 Incidence vs. Prevalence

In the first type of plot we focus on the state of the pandemic by using "Incidence vs. Prevalence" as coordinate-system which can be regarded as something like the state diagram of a pandemic:




Figure 7: **The "Pandemic state Compass"**
provides a state diagram of a pandemic event by plotting incidences vs. prevalences. The orange dot-line-curve (Covid19 (RKI, daily Status)) shows true data. Additional guidelines are given for different Reproduction indices (lines through origin), 7-day-incidences (horizontal lines, used currently for political decisions), health-care limit region (vertically oriented region at the right side) and free running pandemic waves (blobs for different numbers of involved individuals).

In Fig.7, the most important curve is the orange dotted-lined trajectory¹⁴ of new infections (7-days averaged) vs. active/infected cases at the same time.

¹³One easily can derive from the differential equations that the proposed coordinates can be derived from the coordinates SI(R+D) directly.

¹⁴marked as "Covid19 (RKI, Daily Status)" in the legend.

324 We can recognize the first wave 2020 as nearly free
 326 running pandemic event (blob-shaped at bottom left
 328 corner), while the second wave with its numbers has
 almost reached the capacity of the German health
 care system.

Further entry details observable in Fig.7:

- 330 • Lines through origin represent different
 332 Reproduction indices, whose slope is given by
 Eq.(5)¹⁵.
- 334 • The 7-day incidences which serve currently in
 politics as criteria can be marked as horizontal
 lines¹⁶.
- 336 • Based on data from Stang et al. [10] there are
 338 16734 intensive care beds available in Germany
 340 ¹⁷. Furthermore, the percentage of patients
 requiring an intensive care bed is 3%. This
 342 implies that the maximum number of active
 cases should not exceed 500,000 - otherwise the
 344 health care system reaches its limits. This is
 indicated by the reddish part in the right side
 of Fig.7. The closer we come to this region the
 346 more the German health care system is stressed.
 Arentz and Wild [2] give a valuable overview
 on 15 European states and the capacity of their
 348 health-care system.
- As a final guide, blob-shaped simulations of
 350 unaffected pandemic waves are drawn. The
 simulations were calculated according to Eq.(4)
 352 with the parameters of the first 2020 wave (see
 Fig.3). Between the "blobs" only the envolved
 354 population size N_{ges} was varied. This results in
 additional useful blob-shaped auxiliary lines.

356 2.4.2 Reproduction Index vs. Incidence

358 The second very informative visualization is to plot
 R_i against the incidence. This plot is based on
 the use of Eq.(10) for R_i . It is another projection
 360 of the "3-dimensional pandemic space" where the
 "incidence" axis coincides with that in Fig.7.

362 We remark that, despite a decreasing incidence,
 the pandemic wave gains very strong momentum
 364 first in spring 2020 after the first wave (where it
 was strongly depressed by the following summer).
 366 Politically unnoticed, in early October in a
 pronounced outbreak with $R_t = 2.5$ and an average
 368 incidence up to 16,000 cases per day started. After
 this wave already shows significant decay at the
 370 end of October, a lock-down light is imposed.
 This is reinforced with very restrictive measures
 372 at the end of November, although the event had
 already decayed very strongly. Consideration of

¹⁵This is not completely correct, because for the calculation of $R_i(t)$ there is a time offset (generation time) between incidence and prevalence

¹⁶here for 35 new infections in 7 days per 100,000 inhabitants, for 50 and for 200. The values are based on the population of Germany with currently 83,166,711 inhabitants

¹⁷Assuming that all intensive care beds can actually be used




Figure 8: **Indicator for Infectious Dynamic**
 Plotting R_i vs. Incidence make distinct features
 visible. Auxiliary lines, hyperbolas of constant
 development of the pandemic are drawn. The labels
 at the hyperbolas mean e.g. "100 cases per 100.000
 inhabitants in 7 days at $R_i = 1$ ". Corresponding for
 the 50- and 35-label. In addition, the trajectories of
 the first wave in 2020 and another unaffected wave
 are plotted. Distance between the dots is 1 day.

374 incidence alone may have suggested this. Strong
 376 reactions that briefly put a lot of strain on the
 health system followed the announcement of the
 378 December-Lockdown at the end of November 2020.
 The small spike as a result of New Year's Day could
 have been to be expected.

380 In addition to the simulated waves we draw
 382 hyperbolas where (Incidence $\cdot R_i$) is constant. This
 could be recognized as a kind of Spreading Index.

384 This type of plot does not only look at the
 incidence alone, but also, in conjunction with the
 386 new R_i , at the entire pandemic dynamic in a very
 efficient way.

390 In Fig.8 some points stand out strikingly, which
 we want to illuminate more closely and also give
 392 suggestions for an interpretation. In any case,
 however, the times of the outbreaks of several waves
 394 are quite clearly recognizable. They cannot be seen
 when concentrating on the incidence axis alone.
 396 In the following graph, some points are labeled, on
 some of them we want to focus briefly.

398 The following paragraphs take up some of the
 points highlighted in Fig.9 in chronological order.
 400 Prior to this, the first wave of infections in 2020 (see
 Fig.3) passed through. This part of the trajectory
 402 follows very closely the simulation and is not further
 discussed here.




Figure 9: R_i vs. Incidence, obvious features marked

Individual distinctive signatures are marked with their corresponding date. The markings are taken up again in the text as a reference.

3.5.2020 to 1.10.2020 For May 6 2020, the German Chancellor and the Conference of Minister Presidents decided to relax public life. This concerned opening of stores, restaurants, cultural institutions and museums.




Figure 10: Spring and summer 2020

After 3.5.2020, loosening came into effect. 6.18.2020: Covid19 outbreak at the Tönnies/Gütersloh company. Summer vacations end in early to mid-September.

Because of little change in incidence in both, spring and end of summer, it was not noticed that the Reproduction rate was undergoing strong movements. However, these strong changes were only indicated by the Reproduction index R_i , but not by RKI's Reproduction number R_t .

1.11.2020 to 31.12.2020 After the incidence rose very sharply in the fall of 2020, the German government decided on a lockdown light from November 2. This was to be associated with the hope of easing at Christmas.




Figure 11: Fall and winter 2020

While the Reproduction index R_i was already falling considerably, a lockdown light was put into effect on 2.11.2020. A hard Lockdown was announced on 1.12. for the time starting from 16.12. German Christmas vacations start on 22.12. The small rash on New Year's Eve was to be expected.

Since the decrease of the Reproduction rate is not reflected by R_t , it was not noticed that the situation has relaxed since the end of October with respect to the Reproduction index R_i . Possibly the population, warned by the daily news, reacted itself. Since policy decisions were based mainly on incidence values, a lockdown light was first put into effect from Nov. 2nd. On Dec. 1st a hard lockdown was announced starting Dec. 16th. This hard lockdown provided for widespread closures of businesses, schools, etc. In particular, the relaxations for Christmas that had been promised were withdrawn. This announcement led to a short-lived but sharp increase in infections as many people were squeezed through the eye of a needle in both time and space for their Christmas shopping. The situation eased with the simultaneous start of the Christmas vacations throughout Germany on Dec 22nd 2020.

The small rash on New Year's Eve was to be expected.

The special thing about the winter until 1.12.2020 was that the felt situation did not correspond to the situation announced in the daily news but rather to the relaxation seen in Fig.11. As a result, the acceptance in the population towards political measures decreased strongly. This can be a danger at the moment when strong restrictions are actually necessary - which may not be accepted by the

450 population.

452 **11.2.2021 up to now** Under the influence of
 453 the still ongoing lockdown from 16.12.2020, both
 454 incidence and Reproduction index R_i continued
 455 to decrease. From 11.2.2021, incidence values
 456 stagnated and did not drop further. A simultaneous
 457 sharp increase in the Reproduction index R_i was
 458 not noticed, as the RKI's Reproduction number R_t
 459 continued to hover around the value of "1" and
 460 showed only a small abnormality. By the way: the
 same kind of peak-event as in Streeck's study[11].




Figure 12: **Start of 3rd wave**

With the 11.2.2021 ("Weiberfasnacht" in Germany) the decrease of the incidence values stagnates and at the same time the Reproduction index R_i increases.

462 For completeness, the same kind of diagram is
 463 shown again, but this time RKI's R_t -values are used
 464 instead:

466 Finally we can state that introducing a new
 467 Reproduction index R_i can provide a lot of additional
 468 information in with respect to the RKI's R_t .

469 The method of projecting the pandemic data onto
 470 two different layers yields a much more meaningful
 471 insight into pandemic events than projection onto
 472 the incidence axis alone. This proposed way of
 473 plotting only shows information when using the new
 474 proposed Reproduction Index R_i .

475 The way of using R_i and displaying pandemic data
 476 is not limited to the present Covid19-pandemic. We
 477 assume this to be a powerfull tool for monitoring
 478 future pandemic to keep them under immediate
 479 control.

480 It would be very helpfull and easy to generate
 481 a map with spatially resolved indication of a
 482 kind of "Spreading Index" which is given by $R_i \cdot$
 $CaseNumbers$. In such map pandemic growth is
 immediately visible.




Figure 13: **R_t vs. Incidence**

does not show any typical signature compared to Fig.8 when the value according to Eq.(13) is used for R . De facto, it corresponds to a projection of Fig.8 onto the Incidence axis

484 The pronounced signatures in the $(R_i | I)$ -plots
 485 give the possibility to use a Lock-Down for a
 486 short interrupt - find the infected individuals and
 487 continue the life with minor restrictions. This
 488 makes a lockdown a short-term tool to find infected
 489 individuals. This avoids using a hard lockdown as a
 490 permanent measure to dry up a pandemic.

492 This paper is dedicated to all those who died in
 493 the pandemic, especially to those who passed away
 494 in loneliness.

496 Allensbach, 28.3.2021, Robert Conradt

Many thanks to...

498 ... Prof. Paul Leiderer for the great support, a
 499 lot of discussions and the multiple review of the
 500 manuscript. Dear Paul - thank you very very much!!!
 501 I would like to take this opportunity to thank
 502 Prof. Stephan Herminghaus for the encouraging
 503 and spontaneous midnight discussion. Thank you,
 504 Stephan!
 505 Getting the ball rolling in March 2020 I, was
 506 supported by my classmate Prof. Christoph Klein.
 507 Thank you very much, Christoph!
 508 My good friend Dr. Armin Lambacher was a very
 509 great help in reviewing the first manuscripts. Dear
 510 Armin - thank you very much!

References

- [1] M. an der Heiden and O. Hamouda. Schätzung der aktuellen Entwicklung der SARS-CoV-2- Epidemie in Deutschland – Nowcasting. *Epidemiol. Bull.*, (17):10–16, apr 2020.
- [2] C. Arentz and F. Wild. Vergleich europäischer Gesundheitssysteme in der Covid-19-Pandemie. Technical report, Wissenschaftliches Institut der PKV, Köln, 2020.
- [3] A. Cori, N. M. Ferguson, C. Fraser, and S. Cauchemez. A new framework and software to estimate time-varying reproduction numbers during epidemics. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 178(9):1505–1512, 2013.
- [4] E. D. Coronavirus-pandemie and T. Aussicht. Coronavirus-Pandemie : Die Feiertage und den Jahreswechsel für einen harten Lockdown nutzen. Technical report, Leopoldina, Nationale Akademie der Wissenschaften, Halle (Saale), dec 2020.
- [5] S. Khailaie, T. Mitra, B. Arnab, S. Marta, P. Mascheroni, P. Vanella, B. Lange, S. Binder, and M. Meyer-Hermann. Estimate of the development of the epidemic reproduction number R . *medRxiv*, pages 0–18, 2020.
- [6] Q. Li, X. Guan, P. Wu, X. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Tong, R. Ren, K. S. Leung, E. H. Lau, J. Y. Wong, X. Xing, N. Xiang, Y. Wu, C. Li, Q. Chen, D. Li, T. Liu, J. Zhao, M. Liu, W. Tu, C. Chen, L. Jin, R. Yang, Q. Wang, S. Zhou, R. Wang, H. Liu, Y. Luo, Y. Liu, G. Shao, H. Li, Z. Tao, Y. Yang, Z. Deng, B. Liu, Z. Ma, Y. Zhang, G. Shi, T. T. Lam, J. T. Wu, G. F. Gao, B. J. Cowling, B. Yang, G. M. Leung, and Z. Feng. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 382(13):1199–1207, 2020.
- [7] RKI. Erläuterung der Schätzung der zeitlich variierenden Reproduktionszahl R . Technical report, Robert Koch Institut, Berlin, 2020.
- [8] Robert Koch Institut. Nowcasting und R -Schätzung: Schätzung der aktuellen Entwicklung der SARS-CoV-2-Epidemie in Deutschland.
- [9] Robert Koch Institut. Aktueller Lage-/Situationsbericht des RKI zu COVID-19, 2020.
- [10] A. Stang, M. Stang, and K. H. Jöckel. Estimated Use of Intensive Care Beds Due to COVID-19 in Germany Over Time. *Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.*, 117(19):329–335, 2020.
- [11] H. Streeck, B. Schulte, B. M. Kümmerer, E. Richter, T. Höller, C. Fuhrmann, E. Bartok, R. Dolscheid, M. Berger, L. Wessendorf,

M. Eschbach-Bludau, A. Kellings, A. Schwaiger, M. Coenen, P. Hoffmann, B. Stoffel-Wagner, M. M. Nöthen, A. M. Eis-Hübinger, M. Exner, R. M. Schmithausen, M. Schmid, and G. Hartmann. Infection fatality rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a German community with a super-spreading event. *medRxiv*, 2020.

3 Supporting Information

3.1 The Reproduction Index R_∞

Using the set of equations Eq.(4) we combine R and D to a new R' . This is the population which has left the population I with the rate $m_0 + r_0$. For the duration of inecctiosity Δt we use $(m_0 + r_0)^{-1}$. We result in a SIR' -model with a graph for the Reproduction:




Figure 14: **Final Reproduction Index R_∞** only depends on the base Reproduction index R_0 .

At the end of a pandemic the Reproduction Index decays to a number which is given by the following two expressins which can be easily derived from the DES Eq.(4):

$$R_\infty = xR_0 \quad (14)$$

together with a transcendental equation for x :

$$x = e^{-R_0(1-x)} \quad (15)$$

3.2 Determination of the parameters for the SIRD model

From the data of the daily situation report of the RKI [9] the parameters of the SIRD model (see Fig.1) can be obtained¹⁸.

¹⁸as of June 2020

592 **3.2.1 Infection rate c**




Figure 15: **To get the infection rate c :** From the exponential onset the infection rate can be determined. With a duration of infectivity of $\Delta t=12$ days, a basic Reproduction index results in $R_0=4.0$

3.2.2 Recovery rate r_0




Figure 16: **Determination of the recovery rate r_0 :** The values of the increase in recovered persons are plotted against the infected persons existing at the same time. A value of $r_0=0.067$ recovered persons per day and infected person is determined. Note that the number of recovered individuals is an estimation by RKI.

594 **3.2.3 Mortality rate m_0**




Figure 17: **Obtaining the mortality rate m_0 :** The values of daily deceased persons is plotted against the infected at the same time. A mortality rate of $m_0=0.0033 \text{ day}^{-1}$ is found.

3.2.4 Nowcasting vs. daily situation reports

596 The RKI releases the Reproduction number with the
 598 definition according to Eq.(13) based on the data of
 600 the so-called nowcasting [8]. The new infections are
 602 not assigned to the date of their reporting - as in
 the daily situation reports - but to the reconstructed
 date of the actual infection. This makes these data
 more reliable and valuable.




Figure 18: **Comparison R_t : Nowcasting and Daily Situation Report** Both curves for R_t of the RKI are performed according to the same definition Eq.(13). The nowcasting data are subsequently checked and corrected if necessary. As a result, this curve appear be partially shifted to earlier dates to be smoother