Are culturally vibrant communities healthier? Relationships between performing arts activity and health outcomes in the 500 largest US cities

J. Matt McCrary^{1,2}

Michael Großbach¹

Eckart Altenmüller¹

¹Institute of Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine, Hannover University of Music Drama and Media; Hannover, Germany

²Prince of Wales Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney; Sydney, Australia

Corresponding Author:

J. Matt McCrary Institute for Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine Hannover University for Music, Drama and Media Neues Haus 1 30175 Hannover Germany j.matt.mccrary@gmail.com

KEYWORDS: Public Health; Music; Dance; Theatre; Epidemiology

1 Abstract

2	Aims: Recent reviews have demonstrated broad links between performing arts participation (<i>e.g.</i>
3	music-making; dancing; acting) and receptive engagement (e.g. listening to music; attending a
4	dance/theatre performance) and improved health, including reduced disease and mortality risk.
5	However, no investigations to date have interrogated the links between community-level
6	performing arts activity (<i>i.e. participation</i> + <i>receptive engagement</i>) and health outcomes – i.e. do
7	the performing arts help create healthy communities? This study aims to address this question by
8	examining links between performing arts activity and health outcomes across 500 cities in the
9	United States.
10	Methods: Secondary analysis of demographic, health outcome, performing arts activity
11	(estimated by annual performing arts revenue), and preventive/unhealthy behaviour data for 500
12	large cities in the United States – data extracted from the US Centers for Disease Control 500
13	Cities Project, Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers Database, and US Census. Links between performing
14	arts activity and 12 health/disease outcomes were evaluated using a series of hierarchical beta
15	regression models which progressively controlled for demographic variables and
16	preventive/unhealthy behaviour prevalence.
17	Results: The 500 analysed US cities comprise 33.4% of the total US population and 84,010
18	performing arts businesses (total annual revenue \$27.84 billion). No significant associations
19	were found between performing arts activity and nine of twelve health outcomes in fully adjusted
20	models (p \geq .17). Statistically significant relationships (p<.01) between increased performing arts
21	activity and increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke
22	were determined to be clinically equivocal.

23	Conclusions: This study contributes to a growing body of conflicting epidemiologic evidence
24	regarding the impact of the performing arts on health/disease and mortality outcomes, evaluated
25	using a range of disparate methodologies. A consensus, psychometrically rigorous approach is
26	required to address this prevailing uncertainty in future epidemiologic studies examining effects
27	of performing arts activities both within and across countries and communities.
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	

42 Introduction

Recent systematic and scoping reviews have demonstrated broad links between performing arts 43 44 participation (e.g. music-making; dancing; acting) and receptive engagement (e.g. listening to *music: attending a dance or theatre performance*) and improved health and wellbeing (1, 2). 45 Included amongst these broad health benefits are suggestive links between the performing arts 46 47 and a reduced risk of early mortality and non-communicable diseases including cancer, dementia and cardiovascular disease (3-7). However, no investigations to date have interrogated the links 48 49 between community-level performing arts activity (*i.e. participation* + *receptive engagement*) and health outcomes – in other words, do the performing arts help create healthy communities? 50 In addition to being directly health-promoting activities, the performing arts are also substantial 51 52 contributors to culture in communities in the United States, with culture also independently noted to substantially impact health (8). The performing arts (i.e. music, dance, theatre) are the most 53 popular artistic modalities in the United States - 43% of adults attend performing arts events at 54 55 least once annually; 74% engage with the performing arts using electronic media (e.g. television; online); and 40% participate in the performing arts (i.e. play an instrument, sing, dance, act) at 56 least once every year (9). This study aims to provide insights into the impact of the performing 57 58 arts on community health by examining links between performing arts activity and health outcomes across 500 cities in the United States. 59

60

61 Methods

Study aims were addressed through secondary analyses of data obtained from the US Centers for
Disease Control and Prevention 500 Cities Project (10), Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers Database
(11), and the US Census Bureau (12).

65 US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 500 Cities Project (10) – Health Outcomes;

66 Unhealthy & Preventive Behaviours

67 The 500 Cities Project uses small area estimation methods (13) to approximate the prevalence of 13 health outcomes, 9 preventive behaviours, and 5 unhealthy behaviours in the 497 largest US 68 cities (as per 2010 US census). Additionally, to ensure representation from each US state, data 69 70 from the largest cities in Vermont, West Virginia and Wyoming are included. The 2019 release of 500 Cities Project data was used in the present study. 71 72 Included health outcomes are: *arthritis; asthma; cancer; chronic kidney disease; chronic* 73 obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); coronary heart disease; diabetes; high blood pressure; high cholesterol; poor mental health; poor physical health; tooth loss (all teeth); and stroke. 74 75 Included unhealthy behaviours are: *binge drinking; smoking, physical activity; obesity; and* insufficient sleep. Included preventive behaviours are (restricted to appropriate age groups as 76 77 relevant): annual dental visit; annual medical check-up; breast cancer screening 78 (mammography); cervical cancer screening (Papanicolanou smear); cholesterol screening; colorectal cancer screening (colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, faecal occult blood test); core set of 79 clinical preventive services for older adults; health insurance coverage; and high blood pressure 80 81 *medication adherence*. All data are reported as an age-adjusted % prevalence of the respective health outcome or behaviour for each of the 500 included cities. Full details of the 500 Cities 82

- 83 Project can be found in (10).
- 84

85 Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers (11) – Performing Arts Activity

86 Performing arts activity was estimated for each of the 500 cities included in the 500 Cities

87 Project by extracting annual revenue (2019) data for performing arts-related for- and non-profit

88	entities from the Dun & Bradstreet Hoovers database. Hoovers is the world's largest commercial
89	financial database including over 170 million businesses, and has been previously used to
90	estimate cultural activity in US cities and analyse relationships between cultural activity and
91	health outcomes (14). Performing arts-related entities were identified by eight-digit Standard
92	Industrial Classification (SIC) codes (15), with 78 codes related to performing arts participation
93	and/or receptive engagement selected for inclusion (Supplementary Table 1). All included SIC
94	codes are related to participation and/or receptive engagement with live performing arts. SIC
95	codes related to recorded performing arts were explicitly excluded given more tenuous links
96	between revenue and performing arts participation/receptive engagement.
97	US Census Bureau (12) – Demographic covariates
98	Population, median per capita income and racial (% White, African-American, Hispanic) data
99	were extracted from the most recent US Census (2010) for each of the 500 cities included in the
100	500 Cities Project. Demographic data were extracted to serve as covariates given the
101	demonstrated impact of economic and racial disparities on health outcomes (16, 17).
102	Statistical analyses
103	Relationships between performing arts activity and each health outcome were analysed using a
104	series of hierarchical beta regression models. Beta regression has been shown to be ideal for
105	effectively modelling proportion outcomes (i.e. the 13 included health outcomes) which are
106	limited to the interval [0,1](18). Hierarchical modelling enabled consideration of established
107	social and economic relationships between cities contained within the same metropolitan area;
108	for example, hierarchical models could appropriately treat Santa Monica, Los Angeles (city), and
109	Long Beach as related cities with the Los Angeles metropolitan area, rather than completely
110	independent entities (19). Hierarchical models included two levels: Level 1 = metropolitan areas

111	including at least one of the analysed 500 cities; Level $2 =$ the individual 500 cities, coded and
112	stratified by metropolitan area. Metropolitan areas were defined using Combined Statistical Area
113	designations assigned by the US Office of Management and Budget in reflection of social and
114	economic links between cities (20, 21).
115	Four hierarchical beta regression models were created using the PROC GLIMMIX procedure in
116	SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) to analyse the relations between performing arts
117	activity and each of the 13 health outcomes across the 500 included cities:
118	Model 1 – completely unadjusted
119	Model 2 – adjusted for demographic covariates (median annual per capita income;
120	population size; % White; % African-American; % Hispanic)
121	Model 3 – adjusted for everything in Model 2 + prevalence of five unhealthy behaviours
122	Model 4 – adjusted for everything in Model 3 + prevalence of nine preventive behaviours
123	All models exceed recommended ratios of observation to predictor variables; additionally, a
124	priori designation of covariates has been shown to minimize potential risks of overfitting (22).
125	Variance Inflation Factors for predictor variables (i.e. performing arts activity) were checked to
126	ensure that potentially problematic levels of multicollinearity (Variance Inflation Factor > 10)
127	were not present (23). Using the logit link, odds ratios were derived from parameter estimates of
128	each model (18); odds ratios are multipliers describing the impact of a \$1 billion increase in
129	performing arts revenue on the % prevalence of each health outcome. Analyses of cancer
130	prevalence were excluded due to particularly tight clustering of cancer prevalence data which
131	precluded accurate modelling. Missing cervical cancer screening data from 47 cities were
132	multiply imputed (10 imputations) using the PROC MI procedure (SAS v9.4); Model 4

- parameter estimates were averaged across the 10 multiply imputed datasets using the *PROC*
- 134 *MIANALYZE* procedure (SAS v9.4). Data for all other investigated variables were complete.

	Median	Minimum	Maximum					
Annual performing arts revenue (\$ - millions)	7.56	0.29	4186.22					
Population	106,106	42,417	8,175,133					
Median annual per capita income (\$)	29,031	14,509	90,042					
Racial Demographics (%)								
White	72.2	12.3	96.8					
African-American	9.7	0.0	84.9					
Hispanic	16.4	1.0	96.8					
Health Outcomes (% prevalence)								
Arthritis	22.0	13.3	33.9					
Asthma	9.4	6.7	14.2					
Chronic kidney disease	3.1	2.1	4.8					
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	6.1	3.1	11.3					
Coronary heart disease	5.7	3.5	8.8					
Diabetes	10.3	5.6	20.3					
High blood pressure	30.0	20.7	47.3					
High cholesterol	29.5	24.1	34.1					
Poor mental health	13.6	8.3	19.6					
Poor physical health	12.7	7.0	20.5					
Teeth lost (all)	14.5	5.1	31.8					
Stroke	3.1	1.8	6.1					
Unhealthy Behaviours (% prevalence)								
Binge drinking	17.7	6.2	25.4					
Current smoker	17.2	7.9	29.6					
Insufficient sleep	35.4	24.5	50.1					
Physical inactivity	26.2	12.9	45.4					
Obesity	30.5	15.3	49.1					
Preventive Behaviours (% prevalence)								
Annual dental	63.4	41.8	81.5					
Annual medical	67.9	54.2	81.3					
Breast cancer screening	74.9	60.0	83.5					
Cervical cancer screening	78.6	67.7	85.9					
Cholesterol screening	79.8	70.2	85.2					
Colon cancer screening	64.6	43.2	77.7					
Health insurance	15.1	4.8	43.9					
High blood pressure medication adherence	57.1	46.6	69.7					
Preventive services – older men	34.0	19.4	53.3					
Preventive services – older women	32.1	17.5	46.6					
Table 1. Descriptive demographic, health outcome and behavioural data for the 500 analysed								

¹³⁶ cities.

137

138 Results

The 500 analysed US cities comprise 33.4% of the total U.S. population (103,020,808 people) 139 and 84,010 performing arts businesses with a total annual revenue of \$27.84 billion. Of this total 140 performing arts revenue, \$10.42 billion went to performing artists, \$11.84 billion went to 141 performing arts production/support services, \$3.30 billion went to performing arts venues, and 142 143 \$2.27 billion went to performing arts education. Median, minimum and maximum values for demographic and health and behavioural outcomes across the 500 analysed cities are detailed in 144 145 Table 1. <<INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE>> 146 Fully adjusted regression models (Model 4) demonstrate statistically significant associations 147 148 between increased performing arts activity and increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke (p<.01; Table 2). Odds ratios indicate that a \$1 billion increase 149

in performing arts revenue is linked to increases in chronic kidney disease, coronary heart

disease, and stroke by factors of 1.06, 1.13, and 1.11, respectively (Figure 1). For a hypothetical

152 city of median population, performing arts revenue and incidence of health outcomes, Model 4

- demonstrates that a 100% increase in performing arts revenue would be associated with an
- additional 2, 6, and 3 cases of chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke,
- respectively. No other significant links between performing arts activity and health outcomes
- 156 were found in fully adjusted models (Model 4; $p \ge .17$).

157

158

159

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4		
	Parameter			Parameter			Parameter			Parameter		
Outcome	estimate	SE	р	estimate	SE	р	estimate	SE	р	estimate	SE	р
Arthritis	-0.045	0.039	0.25	0.062	0.059	0.29	0.011	0.039	0.78	0.009	0.037	0.81
Asthma	0.008	0.026	0.77	0.065	0.053	0.22	0.034	0.043	0.43	-0.018	0.037	0.53
Chronic kidney disease	0.047	0.027	0.09	0.103**	0.035	< 0.01	0.061*	0.027	0.02	0.061**	0.023	< 0.01
Chronic obstructive	-0.00001	0.050	0 00	0 225**	0.081	<0.01	0.063	0.046	0.17	0.059	0.042	0.17
pulmonary disease	-0.00001	0.050	0.77	0.225	0.001	<0.01	0.005	0.0+0	0.17	0.057	0.0+2	0.17
Coronary heart disease	0.025	0.034	0.47	0.207***	0.052	< 0.001	0.115***	0.024	< 0.001	0.120***	0.023	< 0.001
Diabetes	0.077	0.044	0.08	0.057	0.063	0.37	0.001	0.036	0.98	0.036	0.033	0.28
High blood pressure	0.021	0.042	0.62	-0.029	0.061	0.63	-0.069	0.039	0.08	-0.012	0.035	0.73
High cholesterol	0.009	0.018	0.60	0.006	0.041	0.88	-0.017	0.035	0.62	0.022	0.032	0.51
Poor mental health	-0.001	0.036	0.97	0.169*	0.055	0.02	0.076*	0.035	0.03	0.041	0.031	0.19
Poor physical health	0.022	0.042	0.60	0.188**	0.059	< 0.01	0.081*	0.034	0.02	0.043	0.029	0.14
Teeth lost (all)	0.071	0.067	0.29	0.376***	0.113	< 0.001	0.177*	0.078	0.02	0.041	0.063	0.52
Stroke	0.064	0.037	0.09	0.204***	0.045	< 0.001	0.122***	0.030	< 0.001	0.102***	0.025	< 0.001

160

161 Table 2. Hierarchical beta regression results describing the relationships between performing arts activity and all health outcomes * -

162 p<.05: ** - p<.01; p<.001. Model 1: Unadjusted. Model 2: Adjusted for population size, median annual per capita income, and racial

demographics (% White; % African-American; % Hispanic). Model 3: Adjusted for all in Model 2 + prevalence of unhealthy

behaviours. Model 4: Adjusted for all in Model 3 + prevalence of preventive behaviours.

166 Discussion

This secondary analysis of performing arts activity and health outcome data across 500 US cities 167 representing 1/3 of the total US population revealed minimal links between community-level 168 performing arts activity and health outcomes. Fully adjusted models only revealed statistically 169 significant relationships between performing arts activity and an increased incidence of three of 170 171 twelve investigated health outcomes: chronic kidney disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke 172 incidence. However, the public health implications of these statistically significant links are 173 equivocal – models indicate that considerable 100% increases in performing arts revenue would 174 be linked to only single digit increases in disease incidence in most analysed cities. Such small but statistically significant associations in observational epidemiologic research have been shown 175 to be most likely the result of uncontrolled bias and thus unlikely to be credible (24-26). Further, 176 177 performing arts participation has been shown to positively impact chronic kidney disease, 178 coronary heart disease and stroke risk factors (e.g. body composition; inflammation) in prior 179 studies (1); no links between performing arts activity and increased incidence or risk factors for these diseases have been reported (1, 2). Accordingly, the results of this analysis are judged to 180 181 illustrate an absence of *clinically* significant associations between performing arts activity and 182 health outcomes – the remainder of the discussion has been written on this basis.

Given the broad benefits of performing arts participation and receptive engagement related to a range of health outcomes (1, 2), the absence of links between community-level performing arts activity and health outcomes in the present study was unexpected. However, null results of the present study are far from the exception in epidemiologic studies investigating performing arts impact. Only one of three studies found a positive effect of performing arts activity on all-cause mortality (5, 27, 28), while two of three studies have demonstrated protective effects of

performing arts activity on dementia incidence (3, 7, 29). Methods of evaluating performing arts participation and receptive engagement vary across this and prior epidemiologic studies, with a notable dearth of validated and/or psychometrically tested approaches. Taken together, variation in the content and psychometric rigour of evaluation methods seems likely to be at least partially responsible for the present prevalence of mixed results.

194 In the present study, use of annual performing arts revenue to estimate performing arts activity is a notably indirect assessment approach and a key limitation. The authors hypothesized that the 195 health impact of the performing arts would be robust enough to tolerate such indirect estimation 196 197 methods, particularly given the availability of a wide array of behavioural and demographic 198 covariates which would theoretically permit the detection of smaller effects. However, results 199 indicate that the effects of performing arts activity may be smaller and/or more specific than predicted. Additionally, associations between performing arts revenue and participation/receptive 200 201 engagement may be weaker than prior research (14) suggests. And alternately, performing arts 202 activity may simply have no effect on broad health/disease outcomes. Further epidemiologic 203 research using a consensus, psychometrically tested approach to evaluating performing arts activity is required to more confidently and precisely quantify performing arts effects. 204

Notably, studies which more directly assessed performing arts activity – e.g. questionnaires
regarding the type and/or frequency of performing arts activities – have also returned conflicting
null and positive results (3, 5, 7, 27-29). This suggests that direct assessment methods are not
inherently the solution. However, one prior study interrogating links between dancing and
cardiovascular disease mortality provides guidance regarding an approach that could be
expanded to evaluate performing arts more broadly (6). This study used a validated intervieweradministered questionnaire to quantify the frequency, duration *and* physical intensity of dancing,

finding that moderate, but not light, intensity dancing was linked to reduced cardiovasculardisease mortality.

214 While physical intensity is not relevant to many forms of performing arts participation and 215 receptive engagement, direct assessment of the physiologic response to performing arts activity 216 appears likely to facilitate better evaluations of performing arts' impact on health outcomes. 217 Physiologic responses to performing arts have been shown to be highly variable, even within the same type of performing arts participation or receptive engagement - in a particularly clear 218 219 example, performing or listening to the same piece of music can elicit a significant physiologic 220 response in one individual but no response in another, both on average and during emotional 221 'peaks' in the music (1, 30-35). Evidence from other domains, in particular physical activity, has 222 demonstrated clear links between the short-term physiologic responses to activities and their long-term impact on disease and mortality (36, 37). Similar links between short-term physiologic 223 224 responses to performing arts activities and long-term health outcomes seem likely, underscoring 225 the prospective importance of evaluating these physiologic responses in future epidemiologic 226 research.

227 Conclusions

This study contributes to a growing body of conflicting epidemiologic evidence regarding the health impacts of performing arts activity, revealing no relationships between community-level performing arts activity and health/disease outcome across 500 cities in the US. However, considering the context, results should be interpreted as an impetus to refine and consolidate presently disparate evaluation methods, rather than as conclusive insights regarding the impact of performing arts activity on community-level health. A consensus, psychometrically rigorous

- method of evaluating performing arts participation and receptive engagement is required to
- address this prevailing uncertainty in future epidemiologic studies.

236 Funding

- 237 The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship,
- and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship from the
- 239 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

240 Conflicts of Interest

241 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

- 242
- 243

244 **References**

2451.McCrary J, Redding E, Altenmüller E. Performing arts as a health resource? An umbrella review246of the health impacts of music and dance participation. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(6):e0252956.

Fancourt D, Finn S. What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well being? A scoping review. 2020.

2493.Verghese J, Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Hall CB, Derby CA, Kuslansky G, et al. Leisure activities and the250risk of dementia in the elderly. New England Journal of Medicine. 2003;348(25):2508-16.

- Bygren LO, Johansson S-E, Konlaan BB, Grjibovski AM, Wilkinson AV, Sjöström M. Attending
 cultural events and cancer mortality: A Swedish cohort study. Arts & Health. 2009;1(1):64-73.
- Bygren LO, Konlaan BB, Johansson S-E. Attendance at cultural events, reading books or
 periodicals, and making music or singing in a choir as determinants for survival: Swedish interview
 survey of living conditions. BMJ. 1996;313(7072):1577-80.
- 256 6. Merom D, Ding D, Stamatakis E. Dancing participation and cardiovascular disease mortality: a
- pooled analysis of 11 population-based British cohorts. American journal of preventive medicine.
 2016;50(6):756-60.
- 259 7. Balbag MA, Pedersen NL, Gatz M. Playing a musical instrument as a protective factor against
 260 dementia and cognitive impairment: A population-based twin study. International Journal of Alzheimer's
 261 Disease. 2014;2014.
- 262 8. Napier AD, Ancarno C, Butler B, Calabrese J, Chater A, Chatterjee H, et al. Culture and health.
 263 The Lancet. 2014;384(9954):1607-39.
- 9. National Endowment for the Arts. U.S. Patterns of Arts Participation: A full report from the 2017
 Survey of Public Participation in the Arts. 2017.
- 266 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and
- 267 Health Promotion Division of Population Health. 500 Cities Project Data [online].
- 268 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/places/about/500-cities-2016-2019/index.html2019</u>.

- 269 11. Dun & Bradstreet. Hoovers. <u>www.dnb.com2019</u>.
- 270 12. US Census Bureau. Census. <u>www.census.gov2010</u>.
- 271 13. Wang Y, Holt JB, Zhang X, Lu H, Shah SN, Dooley DP, et al. Comparison of methods for estimating
- prevalence of chronic diseases and health behaviors for small geographic areas: Boston validation study,
 2013. Preventing chronic disease. 2017;14:E99.
- 274 14. American Association of Retired People Public Policy Institute. AARP Livability Index. 2018.
- 275 15. Dun & Bradstreet. Firmographic code tables SIC 8-Digit Code. <u>https://www.dnb.com/utility-</u>
 276 <u>pages/dnb-demographic-firmographic-code-tables.html2019</u>.
- 16. Kaplan GA, Pamuk ER, Lynch JW, Cohen RD, Balfour JL. Inequality in income and mortality in the
 United States: analysis of mortality and potential pathways. Bmj. 1996;312(7037):999-1003.
- 17. National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2015: With special feature on racialand ethnic health disparities. 2016.
- 18. Ferrari S, Cribari-Neto F. Beta regression for modelling rates and proportions. Journal of applied
 statistics. 2004;31(7):799-815.
- 19. Clark JS, Gelfand AE. Hierarchical modelling for the environmental sciences: statistical methodsand applications: OUP Oxford; 2006.
- 285 20. US Office of Management and Budget. Revised delineations of metropolitan statistical areas,
- 286 micropolitan statistical areas, and combined statistical areas, and guidance on uses of the delineations in 287 these areas. https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Bulletin-20-01.pdf; 2020.
- 288 21. US Census Bureau. Delineation Files. <u>https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-files/time-</u>
 289 <u>series/demo/metro-micro/delineation-files.html2020</u>.
- 290 22. Babyak MA. What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to 291 overfitting in regression-type models. Psychosomatic medicine. 2004;66(3):411-21.
- 292 23. Hair JF. Multivariate data analysis. 2009.
- 293 24. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. False alarms and pseudo-epidemics: the limitations of observational 294 epidemiology. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2012;120(4):920-7.
- 295 25. Ioannidis JP. Why most discovered true associations are inflated. Epidemiology. 2008:640-8.
- 296 26. Young SS, Karr A. Deming, data and observational studies: a process out of control and needing
 297 fixing. Significance. 2011;8(3):116-20.
- 27. Konlaan BB, Bygren LO, Johansson S-E. Visiting the cinema, concerts, museums or art exhibitions
 as determinant of survival: a Swedish fourteen-year cohort follow-up. Scandinavian Journal of Public
 Health. 2000;28(3):174-8.
- 28. Løkken BI, Rangul V, Merom D, Ekholm O, Krokstad S, Sund ER. Are Playing Instruments, Singing
- or Participating in Theatre Good for Population Health? Associations with Self-Rated Health and All Cause Mortality in the HUNT3 Study (2006–2008), Norway. Music and Public Health: Springer; 2018. p.
- 304 33-54.
- Hughes TF, Chang C-CH, Vander Bilt J, Ganguli M. Engagement in reading and hobbies and risk of
 incident dementia: the MoVIES project. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias[®].
 2010;25(5):432-8.
- 30830.Salimpoor VN, Benovoy M, Longo G, Cooperstock JR, Zatorre RJ. The rewarding aspects of music309listening are related to degree of emotional arousal. PloS one. 2009;4(10):e7487.
- 310 31. Lynar E, Cvejic E, Schubert E, Vollmer-Conna U. The joy of heartfelt music: An examination of 311 emotional and physiological responses. International Journal of Psychophysiology. 2017;120:118-25.
- 312 32. Grewe O, Nagel F, Kopiez R, Altenmüller E. Emotions over time: synchronicity and development
- of subjective, physiological, and facial affective reactions to music. Emotion. 2007;7(4):774.
- 314 33. Chapin H, Jantzen K, Kelso JS, Steinberg F, Large E. Dynamic emotional and neural responses to 315 music depend on performance expression and listener experience. PloS one. 2010;5(12):e13812.

- 316 34. Nakahara H, Furuya S, Obata S, Masuko T, Kinoshita H. Emotion-related changes in heart rate
- and its variability during performance and perception of music. Annals of the New York Academy of
- 318 Sciences. 2009;1169(1):359-62.
- 319 35. Grewe O, Nagel F, Kopiez R, Altenmüüller E. Listening to music as a re-creative process:
- 320 Physiological, psychological, and psychoacoustical correlates of chills and strong emotions. Music
- 321 Perception. 2007;24(3):297-314.
- 322 36. Barisic A, Leatherdale ST, Kreiger N. Importance of frequency, intensity, time and type (FITT) in
- 323 physical activity assessment for epidemiological research. Canadian Journal of Public Health.
- 324 2011;102(3):174-5.
- 325 37. Rhodes RE, Janssen I, Bredin SS, Warburton DE, Bauman A. Physical activity: Health impact,
- prevalence, correlates and interventions. Psychology & Health. 2017;32(8):942-75.
- 327



Figure 1. Odds ratios (\pm 95% confidence interval) generated from hierarchical beta regression results using the logit link (18). Odds ratios are multipliers describing the impact of a \$1 billion increase in performing arts revenue on the % prevalence of each Health Outcome.