

1 **Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation implies** 2 **common neuropathology across major brain disorders**

3 Shahram Bahrami^{1*}, Kaja Nordengen¹, Alexey A. Shadrin¹, Oleksandr Frei¹, Dennis van der
4 Meer^{1,2}, Anders M. Dale^{3,4,5}, Lars T. Westlye^{1,6}, Ole A. Andreassen¹, Tobias Kaufmann^{1,7*}

5 1 Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research (NORMENT), Division of Mental Health and
6 Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo,
7 Norway

8 2 School of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences,
9 Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

10 3 Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

11 4 Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

12 5 Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA,
13 92037, USA

14 6 Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

15 7 Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Tübingen Center for Mental Health (TüCMH),
16 University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

17 * Correspondence:

Shahram Bahrami, PhD

Norwegian Centre for Mental
Disorders Research, Oslo
University Hospital, PoBox 4956
Nydalén, Norway

shahram.bahrami@medisin.uio.no

& Tobias Kaufmann, PhD

Tübingen Center for Mental Health,
University of Tübingen,
Calwerstraße 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research
Oslo University Hospital, PoBox 4956 Nydalén, Norway

tobias.kaufmann@med.uni-tuebingen.de

18 Word count main text: 2575 | Word count abstract: 80

19 Number of figures: 3 | Number of references: 56

20 **Despite its major role in complex human behaviours across the lifespan, including memory**
21 **formation and decline, navigation and emotions, much of the genetic architecture of the**
22 **hippocampal formation is currently unexplored. Here, through multivariate genome-wide**
23 **association analysis in volumetric data from 35,411 individuals, we revealed 173 unique genetic**
24 **loci with distributed associations across the hippocampal formation. We identified profound**
25 **genetic overlap with eight major developmental and degenerative brain disorders, where**
26 **common genes suggest partly age- and disorder-independent mechanisms underlying**
27 **hippocampal pathology.**

28 The hippocampal formation on each side of the medial temporal lobes of the brain plays critical roles
29 in spatial and episodic memory^{1,2}, navigation^{3,4}, emotions⁵, and other complex human behaviours.
30 Consequently, impaired or lesion-induced loss of hippocampal functioning has tremendous and diverse
31 impact on cognitive and emotional functions^{6,7}, and the hippocampus has been extensively studied
32 across a wide variety of diseases and traits, including trajectories of development and aging.

33 While previous volumetric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the human
34 hippocampus have primarily investigated volume of the structure as a whole, recent advancements into
35 deriving its subdivisions through adaptive segmentation has allowed for a more fine-grained assessment
36 across multiple subregions⁸. The hippocampal formation comprises the histologically distinguishable
37 subfields of the hippocampus proper as well as the dentate gyrus with its own subfields, and the
38 neocortical subiculum, presubiculum and parasubiculum. In all but the latter, the hippocampal
39 formation is also divided into an anterior (head) and a posterior part (body). The various contributions
40 to the function of the hippocampal formation differ along the septo-temporal axis, where for example
41 the anterior part is known to contribute to anxiety-related behaviours and cost-benefit decision making
42 while the posterior part is particularly involved in spatial processing⁵. Such a dichotomy between the
43 hippocampal head and the hippocampal body is also evident from patterns of neurogenesis. For example,
44 although neurogenesis continues throughout life in the dentate gyrus⁹, it is especially the process in its
45 anterior part that has been linked to emotion regulation and appears of relevance for the behavioural
46 effects of antidepressants¹⁰. A total of 19 subregion volumes can currently be segmented with MRI⁸.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

47 The rate of hippocampal maturation and change throughout the lifespan may capture
48 information relevant to the study of major psychiatric and neurological disorders, where the age at
49 which individual neurophysiological trajectories diverge from the norm may reflect key characteristics
50 of the underlying pathophysiology¹¹. Indeed, the hippocampal formation is known to be involved in
51 disorders with typical onset during neurodevelopment¹², such as autism spectrum disorders (ASD),
52 attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia (SCZ) and bipolar disorder (BIP),
53 through its roles in perception, memory processes, modulation of executive function, emotion
54 regulation, among others¹³⁻¹⁵. Furthermore, through its involvement in stress response, the hippocampal
55 formation is potentially involved in migraine (MIG)¹⁶ and tests of recollection memory indicate
56 hippocampal dysfunction in major depression (MD)¹⁷, both of which are disorders that can appear at
57 any stage from adolescence to old age. Finally, the hippocampal formation is implied in diseases that
58 primarily emerge during senescence such as Parkinson's disease (PD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD).
59 Emerging data suggests a complex hippocampal crosstalk among the dopaminergic and other
60 transmitter systems in PD, involved in adaptive memory and motivated behaviour¹⁸. Loss of
61 hippocampal functions like navigation and episodic memory are core markers of AD and hippocampal
62 atrophy is an established finding^{19,20}. Taken together, the hippocampal formation is a central and inter-
63 connected structure that plays important roles in complex human behaviours and is implicated in a range
64 of major psychiatric and neurological disorders across the lifespan.

65 The recent decade has brought significant progress towards a characterization of the genetic
66 architecture of the hippocampal formation, from experimental manual mapping in mice²¹ to brain
67 imaging based genome-wide-association studies (GWAS) in humans²²⁻²⁴, revealing fifteen independent
68 loci when studying individual hippocampal subfields²⁴. Given the broad functional portfolio of the
69 hippocampal formation, however, it is clear that much of the genetic architecture remains to be explored,
70 calling for further studies and novel analytical approaches²⁵. Recent work revealed a distributed genetic
71 architecture of human brain anatomy^{26,27} and function²⁸ and suggested that capitalizing on this
72 distributed nature in a multivariate GWAS approach can significantly improve the discovery beyond
73 standard GWAS approaches²⁶. We hypothesized that the genetic architecture within the hippocampal

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

74 formation is distributed across its subregions and thus aimed to gain novel insights into the genetics of
75 the hippocampal formation by deploying such multivariate GWAS approach. Further, given
76 hippocampal involvement in major brain disorders across the lifespan, we used common neurological
77 and psychiatric disorders as proxies for potential age-dependent hippocampal pathology, aiming to
78 reveal gene variants potentially involving the hippocampus at different stages in life.

79 We accessed raw T1-weighted MRI data from 35,411 genotyped white British individuals (age
80 range: aged 45 – 82 years, mean: 64.4 years, sd: 7.5 years, 51.7% females) from the UK Biobank²⁹
81 (permission no. 27412) and segmented the hippocampal formation into 19 subregions in addition to
82 total hippocampus volume (sum of all subfields) using FreeSurfer 7.1⁸. For each of these, we calculated
83 the average volume between the left and right hemisphere and residualized for age, age squared, sex,
84 scanning site, a proxy of image quality, intracranial volume and the first 20 genetic principal
85 components. The resulting residuals were used in genetic analyses, feeding the 19 subregions alongside
86 whole hippocampus volume into multivariate GWAS (MOSTest²⁶).

87


88 **Multivariate approach identifies 173 loci associated with hippocampal formation**

89 In line with our hypothesis, we found strong support of a distributed genetic architecture in the
90 hippocampal formation. Multivariate GWAS revealed 173 unique genetic loci with distributed
91 associations across the hippocampal formation. The upper panel of **Figure 1** depicts the corresponding
92 multivariate statistics, highlighting the polygenic architecture of the hippocampal formation. For each
93 of the 173 loci, the lower panel of **Figure 1** depicts statistics from univariate GWASs of individual
94 hippocampal subregions. The elevated univariate statistics for multiple hippocampal subregions in
95 some of the same loci supports a distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation,
96 which is also supported by genetic correlation analysis of the univariate GWASs of the individual
97 subregions (**Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1**). Whereas the strongest hits among
98 our 173 discovered loci are also implied in univariate analysis, a large share of the 173 loci showed
99 elevated yet not genome-wide significant effects at univariate level. By capitalizing on these distributed
100 effects across subregions, the multivariate approach boosted discovery. **Supplementary Table 2**

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

101 provides additional details on the 173 discovered loci, most of which were not identified in previous
102 hippocampus GWAS. **Supplementary Figure 2** depicts corresponding quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots,
103 including one from permutation testing that confirms validity of the multivariate test statistic.
104 **Supplementary Figure 3** covers additional validations, including a cross-ethnic replication attempt in
105 an independent set of 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity.

106




112

113 **Functional mapping and annotation identifies 69 genes robustly associated with hippocampal**
 114 **formation**

115 We functionally annotated all candidate SNPs (n=21430) that were in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \geq 0.6$)
 116 with one of the independent significant SNPs using Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS
 117 (FUMA)³⁰. About 90% of the SNPs had a minimum chromatin state of 1–7, thus suggesting they were
 118 in open chromatin regions^{31,32}, and 5.6% were in regulatomeDB category 1 or 2, suggesting potential
 119 regulatory function³¹ (**Supplementary Figure 4A-B**). A majority of these SNPs were intronic (50.7%)
 120 or intergenic (29.9%) and 1.0% were exonic (**Supplementary Figure 4C**).

121 We mapped the 173 loci implied for the hippocampal formation to 813 genes based on different
 122 mapping strategies (positional, expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), chromatin state mapping and
 123 MAGMA analysis). Out of these, 69 genes were identified by all four mapping strategies (**Figure 2**),
 124 supporting robustness of these findings. **Supplementary Tables 3-6** provide additional details. Among
 125 the genes mapped from loci with strongest GWAS effects we found *LEMD3* (also known as *MAN1*)
 126 and *RNFT2* (also known as *TMEM118*), both important for immune regulation^{33,34}. Another strong hit
 127 was *FAM53B*, known for its important roles in neurodevelopment such as control of cell proliferation
 128 and maintenance of a pluripotent state³⁵. Rs2151909 on chromosome 6 was mapped to six different
 129 genes by all four mapping strategies: *KATNA1*, *LATS1*, *NUP43*, *PCMT1*, *GINM1* and *LRP11* (also
 130 known as *SORLI*). The latter is a neuronal apolipoprotein E receptor important in pathogenesis of AD³⁶.

131



132

133 **Figure 2. Gene mapping of the 173 loci associated with the hippocampal formation implied 69**
 134 **genes by all mapping strategies.** (A) Venn diagram showing number of genes mapped by the four
 135 different strategies. (B) The 69 genes implied by all four strategies with color-coded P-values.

136

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

137 Genome-wide gene-based association studies (GWGAS; two-sided $P < 2.752 \times 10^{-6}$) through MAGMA
138 identified 240 unique genes across the hippocampus (**Supplementary Table 5**). Many of the significant
139 gene sets reflected processes related to early development (**Supplementary Table 7**), such as
140 neurogenesis ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8}$), regulation of anatomical structure morphogenesis ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$)
141 and neuronal differentiation ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$), potentially indicating that individual differences in
142 hippocampal volumes later in life may be largely determined early in development. Also, when focusing
143 on the 69 genes implicated from all four mapping strategies (see above), the gene-sets reflect processes
144 related to early development like regulation of cell morphogenesis ($P = 4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$)
145 and axogenesis ($P = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) but also to biological processes on reproduction, like multi organism
146 reproductive process ($P = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$), sexual reproduction ($P = 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$) and gamete generation ($P =$
147 5.0×10^{-5}), which might potentially represent a later hormonal modulation of hippocampal neurogenesis
148 (**Supplementary Table 8**). Although pathways important for neural differentiation are overrepresented
149 (**Supplementary Table 9**) we also found dominant pathways representing processes prominent during
150 the lifetime, like damage mechanisms (spinal cord injury and DNA damage response) and inflammation
151 (*IL-5* signaling pathway, *IL-2* signaling pathway and putative anti-inflammatory metabolites formation
152 from EPA) in addition to overrepresented pathways on vitamin B, D and E metabolism.

153


154 Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and common brain disorders

155 Using major neurological and psychiatric disorders as proxies for potential age-dependent hippocampal
156 pathology, we studied the genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and eight disorders - ASD,
157 ADHD, SCZ, BIP, MIG, MD, PD and AD – with typical onset times across the lifespan. The commonly
158 used approach - genetic correlations of the disorders with individual hippocampus subregions - did not
159 show significant associations after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (**Supplementary**
160 **Table 10**). However, conditional Q–Q plots³⁷ conditioning the multivariate statistic of hippocampal
161 formation on the disorders and vice versa showed a clear pattern of pleiotropic enrichment in both
162 directions (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Conjunctive FDR analysis^{37,38} allowed us to test for shared
163 loci between the hippocampus and each of the disorders. Strikingly, we identified 7 loci significantly

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

164 (conjFDR<0.05) overlapping with ADHD, 4 loci with ASD, 63 with BIP, 130 with SCZ, 23 with MD,
 165 81 with MIG, 24 with AD and 13 loci significantly overlapping with PD (Figure 3A).

166



167

168 **Figure 3. Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and eight disorders with different**
 169 **onset times as proxies of age-dependent hippocampal pathology.** (A) For each disorder, a
 170 conjunctural FDR Manhattan plot is shown, illustrating the $-\log_{10}$ transformed conjunctural FDR
 171 values for each SNP on the y axis and chromosomal positions along the x axis. The dotted horizontal
 172 line represents the threshold for significant shared associations (conjFDR < 0.05). Independent lead
 173 SNPs are encircled in black. (B) Various genes mapped from the conjunctural FDR analysis were

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

174 implied to overlap between hippocampal formation and multiple disorders. The figure illustrates the
175 total number of genes implied in each combination of disorders. For example, 99 of the genes
176 overlapping between hippocampal formation and SCZ were also found to overlap between hippocampal
177 formation and BIP. (C) Panel C complements panel B with a list of genes that were implied for more
178 than 3 disorders. One gene was mapped for five disorders (*AMT*) and 16 genes were mapped for four
179 disorders. ASD autism spectrum disorder, ADHD attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, SCZ
180 schizophrenia, BIP bipolar disorder, MIG migraine, MD, major depression, PD Parkinson's disease,
181 AD Alzheimer's disease and HIP hippocampal formation.

182

183 **Supplementary Tables 11-18** provide a full list of loci overlapping between hippocampal
184 formation and the disorders. We mapped each of these loci to genes using positional, eQTL and
185 chromatin state mapping (**Supplementary Table 19**) and checked for genes that were implicated for
186 multiple disorders. By far strongest overlap was found between SCZ and BIP, where 99 of the genes
187 overlapping between hippocampal formation and SCZ were also found to overlap between hippocampal
188 formation and BIP (**Figure 3B**). While this overlap may be expected given the relatedness of the
189 disorders, it is particularly noteworthy that we found large overlap between other combinations of
190 disorders as well, some of which pertain very different onset times across the lifespan such as ASD and
191 AD (14 genes), ADHD and PD (11 genes), or ASD and PD (14 genes). Many genes were implied for
192 more than two disorders, and **Figure 3C** depicts the subset implicated as overlapping with hippocampal
193 formation for at least four disorders. Again, it is particular worth noting the co-occurrence for distinct
194 disorders in different phases of life. For example, the most frequently mapped gene was the *AMT* gene
195 involved in glycinergic neurotransmission, found to overlap between hippocampal formation and
196 ADHD, SCZ, MD, MIG and PD, respectively (**Supplementary Table 19**). Other examples are the tau
197 protein associated genes *MAPT* and *STH*, found for ASD, SCZ, AD and PD, or the *GPXI* gene, known
198 to protect cells from oxidative stress and here found for ADHD, SCZ, MD and PD. This may illustrate
199 genetic mechanisms independent of life phases and may suggest that some of the pleiotropy between
200 brain disorders might be explained by shared mechanisms in hippocampal pathology.

201

202 **Discussion**

203 Taken together, our multivariate GWAS of the volumes of the hippocampal formation revealed a
204 plethora of genomic loci not identified in previous work, implicating a distributed nature of effects on
205 hippocampus. The mapped genes have roles in neurobiological processes across the lifespan.
206 Importantly, the profound overlap between hippocampal formation and common brain disorders and
207 the identification of some of the same genes implied for disorders with onset in different phases of life
208 suggests age-independent neuropathology and pinpoints potential disease-independent drug targets.

209 The distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation, here revealed through
210 173 hippocampus-associated loci and 69 genes mapped by four mapping strategies, pointed at pathways
211 with involvement across the lifespan, starting with embryogenic brain development, like axon guidance
212 and neuronal migration, then involving neuronal plasticity processes including angiogenesis, and finally
213 neurodegenerative processes such as DNA repair. We also found overrepresented pathways on vitamin
214 B, D and E metabolism, supporting their role in neuropathology³⁹. Finally, the identified immune-
215 related pathways support that inflammatory responses could be involved in hippocampal pathology
216 mediating brain disease⁴⁰⁻⁴².

217 Our results also suggest that several genes have a role in hippocampal pathology across multiple
218 brain disorders, with onset times ranging across the lifespan. These findings not only support the notion
219 of pleiotropy across a spectrum of neurological and psychiatric disorders but may also pinpoint to both,
220 age- and disorder-independent drug targets. For example, our discovery of the *AMT* gene overlapping
221 between hippocampal formation and ADHD, SCZ, MD, MIG and PD, respectively, may implicate an
222 age-independent role of glycine in hippocampal pathology. The *AMT* gene codes for
223 aminomethyltransferase (T-protein), an enzyme crucial for the glycine decarboxylase complex (GCS)
224 in mitochondria. Glycine is a primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the spinal cord and brainstem, but
225 increasing evidence show important glycine involvement also in the hippocampal formation^{43,44}.
226 Glycine exerts a tonic inhibitory role through extrasynaptic glycine receptor chloride channels⁴⁵, in
227 addition to modulation of NMDA receptors in the hippocampal formation^{46,47}. Through regulation of
228 both glycine and serine synthesis and cleavage, aminomethyltransferase as part of the glycine

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

229 decarboxylase complex, may provide a homeostatic regulation of hippocampal function and plasticity
230 by simultaneous activation of excitatory NMDA receptors and inhibitory glycine receptors^{43,48}. The
231 glycine site on the NMDA receptors is currently under investigation as a promising drug target for
232 several of the disorders that we here associated with the *AMT* gene, including ADHD^{49,50}, PD⁵¹, SCZ⁵²
233 and MD⁵³, either by direct glycine supplementation, other substances working on the same receptor site
234 or by increasing endogenous glycine by inhibiting the glycine transporter. Another example for a
235 potential age- and disorder-independent drug target is the microtubule-associated protein tau (*MAPT*)
236 gene, which was here implicated for ASD, SCZ, AD and PD. Indeed, tau has for long been a marker of
237 AD and PD⁵⁴ yet has recently also gained focus for ASD⁵⁵, with animal models suggesting that tau
238 reduction may prevent behavioural signs of this neurodevelopmental disorder⁵⁶. Taken together, our
239 results therefore add support for disorder-independent gene targets for hippocampal pathology across
240 the lifespan, including *AMT* and *MAPT*, among others, and illustrate how the multivariate GWAS
241 approach can reveal overlapping biochemical mechanisms underlying different disorders and traits.

242 In conclusion, our results suggest a polygenic architecture of the hippocampal formation,
243 distributed across its subregions. The genetic overlap with various developmental and degenerative
244 brain disorders implicated genes that may be relevant targets for future studies into the mechanisms
245 underlying hippocampal functioning and pathology across the lifespan. With several of the findings
246 fitting currently studied treatment targets (e.g. the glycine site on the NMDA receptor), our results also
247 confirm the utility of the approach and suggest that capitalizing on the distributed nature of genetic
248 effects on the brain will be instrumental in our future endeavours to further understand mechanisms
249 underlying the brain and its disorders.

250

251 **Acknowledgments**

252 The authors were funded by the Research Council of Norway (TK: 276082. OAA: 213837, 223273,
253 248778, 273291, 262656, 229129, 283798, 311993. LTW: 204966, 249795, 273345), the South-Eastern
254 Norway Regional Health Authority (OAA: 2013-123, 2017-112, 2019-108. LTW: 2014-097, 2015-073,
255 2016-083), Norwegian Health Association (SB: 22731), Stiftelsen Kristian Gerhard Jebsen, the

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

256 European Research Council (LTW: ERCStG 802998), and an NVIDIA Corporation GPU Grant (TK).
257 The funding bodies had no role in the analysis or interpretation of the data; the preparation, review or
258 approval of the manuscript; nor in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. This work was
259 performed on the TSD (Tjeneste for Sensitive Data) facilities, owned by the University of Oslo,
260 operated and developed by the TSD service group at the University of Oslo, IT-Department
261 (USIT) and on resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High
262 Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway. The research has been conducted using the UK
263 Biobank Resource (access code 27412) and using summary statistics for various brain disorders that
264 partly included 23andMe data. We would like to thank the research participants and employees of UK
265 Biobank, the 23andMe and the consortia contributing summary statistics for making this work possible.

266

267 **Author contributions**

268 SB and TK conceived the study and analysed the data. KN and TK interpreted the results and
269 spearheaded the writing. SB and TK drafted the online methods. All authors gave conceptual input on
270 the methods and/or results and all authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

271

272 **Competing interests**

273 OAA has received speaker's honorarium from Lundbeck and Sunovion, and is a consultant to
274 HealthLytix. A.M.D. is a Founder of and holds equity in CorTechs Labs, Inc, and serves on its
275 Scientific Advisory Board. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved
276 by UCSD in accordance with its conflict of interest policies. Other authors report no
277 conflicts.

278

279 **References**

280

281 1 Burgess, N., Maguire, E. A. & O'Keefe, J. The human hippocampus and spatial and
282 episodic memory. *Neuron* **35**, 625-641, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00830-9 (2002).

- 283 2 Eichenbaum, H. A cortical-hippocampal system for declarative memory. *Nat Rev*
284 *Neurosci* **1**, 41-50, doi:10.1038/35036213 (2000).
- 285 3 Epstein, R. A., Patai, E. Z., Julian, J. B. & Spiers, H. J. The cognitive map in humans:
286 spatial navigation and beyond. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 1504-1513, doi:10.1038/nn.4656
287 (2017).
- 288 4 Maguire, E. A. *et al.* Navigation-related structural change in the hippocampi of taxi
289 drivers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 4398-4403, doi:10.1073/pnas.070039597
290 (2000).
- 291 5 Bannerman, D. M. *et al.* Hippocampal synaptic plasticity, spatial memory and
292 anxiety. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **15**, 181-192, doi:10.1038/nrn3677 (2014).
- 293 6 Chudasama, Y., Wright, K. S. & Murray, E. A. Hippocampal lesions in rhesus
294 monkeys disrupt emotional responses but not reinforcer devaluation effects. *Biol*
295 *Psychiatry* **63**, 1084-1091, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.11.012 (2008).
- 296 7 Scoville, W. B. & Milner, B. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal
297 lesions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **20**, 11-21, doi:10.1136/jnnp.20.1.11 (1957).
- 298 8 Iglesias, J. E. *et al.* A computational atlas of the hippocampal formation using ex
299 vivo, ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive segmentation of in vivo
300 MRI. *Neuroimage* **115**, 117-137, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.042 (2015).
- 301 9 Eriksson, P. S. *et al.* Neurogenesis in the adult human hippocampus. *Nat Med* **4**,
302 1313-1317, doi:10.1038/3305 (1998).
- 303 10 Sahay, A. & Hen, R. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in depression. *Nat Neurosci* **10**,
304 1110-1115, doi:10.1038/nn1969 (2007).
- 305 11 Kaufmann, T. *et al.* Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns of
306 apparent aging of the brain. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 1617-1623, doi:10.1038/s41593-019-
307 0471-7 (2019).
- 308 12 Marin, O. Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric
309 disorders. *Nat Med* **22**, 1229-1238, doi:10.1038/nm.4225 (2016).
- 310 13 Godsil, B. P., Kiss, J. P., Spedding, M. & Jay, T. M. The hippocampal-prefrontal
311 pathway: the weak link in psychiatric disorders? *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **23**,
312 1165-1181, doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2012.10.018 (2013).
- 313 14 Heckers, S. *et al.* Impaired recruitment of the hippocampus during conscious
314 recollection in schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* **1**, 318-323, doi:10.1038/1137 (1998).
- 315 15 Eastwood, S. L. & Harrison, P. J. Hippocampal synaptic pathology in schizophrenia,
316 bipolar disorder and major depression: a study of complexin mRNAs. *Mol Psychiatry*
317 **5**, 425-432, doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4000741 (2000).
- 318 16 Borsook, D., Maleki, N., Becerra, L. & McEwen, B. Understanding migraine through
319 the lens of maladaptive stress responses: a model disease of allostatic load. *Neuron*
320 **73**, 219-234, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.001 (2012).
- 321 17 MacQueen, G. M. *et al.* Course of illness, hippocampal function, and hippocampal
322 volume in major depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 1387-1392,
323 doi:10.1073/pnas.0337481100 (2003).
- 324 18 Calabresi, P., Castrioto, A., Di Filippo, M. & Picconi, B. New experimental and
325 clinical links between the hippocampus and the dopaminergic system in Parkinson's
326 disease. *Lancet Neurol* **12**, 811-821, doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70118-2 (2013).
- 327 19 Masters, C. L. *et al.* Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **1**, 15056,
328 doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.56 (2015).
- 329 20 Barnes, J. *et al.* A meta-analysis of hippocampal atrophy rates in Alzheimer's disease.
330 *Neurobiol Aging* **30**, 1711-1723, doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.01.010 (2009).
- 331 21 Thompson, C. L. *et al.* Genomic anatomy of the hippocampus. *Neuron* **60**, 1010-
332 1021, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.008 (2008).

- 333 22 Hibar, D. P. *et al.* Novel genetic loci associated with hippocampal volume. *Nat*
334 *Commun* **8**, 13624, doi:10.1038/ncomms13624 (2017).
- 335 23 Stein, J. L. *et al.* Identification of common variants associated with human
336 hippocampal and intracranial volumes. *Nat Genet* **44**, 552-561, doi:10.1038/ng.2250
337 (2012).
- 338 24 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Brain scans from 21,297 individuals reveal the genetic
339 architecture of hippocampal subfield volumes. *Mol Psychiatry* **25**, 3053-3065,
340 doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0262-7 (2020).
- 341 25 Vilor-Tejedor, N. *et al.* Genetic Influences on Hippocampal Subfields: An Emerging
342 Area of Neuroscience Research. *Neurol Genet* **7**, e591,
343 doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000591 (2021).
- 344 26 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with
345 MOSTest. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3512, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17368-1 (2020).
- 346 27 van der Meer, D. *et al.* The genetic architecture of human cortical folding. *bioRxiv*,
347 2021.2001.2013.426555, doi:10.1101/2021.01.13.426555 (2021).
- 348 28 Roelfs, D. *et al.* Genetic overlap between multivariate measures of human functional
349 brain connectivity and psychiatric disorders. *medRxiv*, 2021.2006.2015.21258954,
350 doi:10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954 (2021).
- 351 29 Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.
352 *Nature* **562**, 203-209, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z (2018).
- 353 30 Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping
354 and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826,
355 doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5 (2017).
- 356 31 Boyle, A. P. *et al.* Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using
357 RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* **22**, 1790-1797, doi:10.1101/gr.137323.112 (2012).
- 358 32 Roadmap Epigenomics, C. *et al.* Integrative analysis of 111 reference human
359 epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317-330, doi:10.1038/nature14248 (2015).
- 360 33 Hellemans, J. *et al.* Loss-of-function mutations in LEMD3 result in osteopoikilosis,
361 Buschke-Ollendorff syndrome and melorheostosis. *Nat Genet* **36**, 1213-1218,
362 doi:10.1038/ng1453 (2004).
- 363 34 Tong, Y. *et al.* The RNFT2/IL-3Ralpha axis regulates IL-3 signaling and innate
364 immunity. *JCI Insight* **5**, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.133652 (2020).
- 365 35 Thermes, V. *et al.* Medaka simplet (FAM53B) belongs to a family of novel vertebrate
366 genes controlling cell proliferation. *Development* **133**, 1881-1890,
367 doi:10.1242/dev.02350 (2006).
- 368 36 Cuccaro, M. L. *et al.* SORL1 mutations in early- and late-onset Alzheimer disease.
369 *Neurol Genet* **2**, e116, doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000116 (2016).
- 370 37 Andreassen, O. A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with
371 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false
372 discovery rate. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003455, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003455 (2013).
- 373 38 Smeland, O. B. *et al.* Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false
374 discovery rate approach. *Hum Genet* **139**, 85-94, doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02060-2
375 (2020).
- 376 39 Eyles, D. W., Burne, T. H. & McGrath, J. J. Vitamin D, effects on brain development,
377 adult brain function and the links between low levels of vitamin D and
378 neuropsychiatric disease. *Front Neuroendocrinol* **34**, 47-64,
379 doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2012.07.001 (2013).
- 380 40 Edvinsson, L., Haanes, K. A. & Warfvinge, K. Does inflammation have a role in
381 migraine? *Nat Rev Neurol* **15**, 483-490, doi:10.1038/s41582-019-0216-y (2019).

- 382 41 Nordengen, K. *et al.* Glial activation and inflammation along the Alzheimer's disease
383 continuum. *J Neuroinflammation* **16**, 46, doi:10.1186/s12974-019-1399-2 (2019).
- 384 42 Yuan, N., Chen, Y., Xia, Y., Dai, J. & Liu, C. Inflammation-related biomarkers in
385 major psychiatric disorders: a cross-disorder assessment of reproducibility and
386 specificity in 43 meta-analyses. *Transl Psychiatry* **9**, 233, doi:10.1038/s41398-019-
387 0570-y (2019).
- 388 43 Keck, T., Lillis, K. P., Zhou, Y. D. & White, J. A. Frequency-dependent glycinergic
389 inhibition modulates plasticity in hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **28**, 7359-7369,
390 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5618-07.2008 (2008).
- 391 44 Keck, T. & White, J. A. Glycinergic inhibition in the hippocampus. *Rev Neurosci* **20**,
392 13-22, doi:10.1515/revneuro.2009.20.1.13 (2009).
- 393 45 Zhang, L. H., Gong, N., Fei, D., Xu, L. & Xu, T. L. Glycine uptake regulates
394 hippocampal network activity via glycine receptor-mediated tonic inhibition.
395 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 701-711, doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301449 (2008).
- 396 46 Johnson, J. W. & Ascher, P. Glycine potentiates the NMDA response in cultured
397 mouse brain neurons. *Nature* **325**, 529-531, doi:10.1038/325529a0 (1987).
- 398 47 Nong, Y. *et al.* Glycine binding primes NMDA receptor internalization. *Nature* **422**,
399 302-307, doi:10.1038/nature01497 (2003).
- 400 48 Liu, L. *et al.* Role of NMDA receptor subtypes in governing the direction of
401 hippocampal synaptic plasticity. *Science* **304**, 1021-1024,
402 doi:10.1126/science.1096615 (2004).
- 403 49 Hegvik, T. A. *et al.* Druggable genome in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and
404 its co-morbid conditions. New avenues for treatment. *Mol Psychiatry*,
405 doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0540-z (2019).
- 406 50 ClinicalTrial. *Sublingual Glycine vs. Placebo on Attentional Difficulties and*
407 *Hyperactivity in Prepuberal Children*,
408 <<https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT02655276>> (Completed).
- 409 51 Heresco-Levy, U., Shoham, S. & Javitt, D. C. Glycine site agonists of the N-methyl-
410 D-aspartate receptor and Parkinson's disease: a hypothesis. *Mov Disord* **28**, 419-424,
411 doi:10.1002/mds.25306 (2013).
- 412 52 Heresco-Levy, U. *et al.* Efficacy of high-dose glycine in the treatment of enduring
413 negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **56**, 29-36,
414 doi:10.1001/archpsyc.56.1.29 (1999).
- 415 53 Huang, C. C. *et al.* Inhibition of glycine transporter-I as a novel mechanism for the
416 treatment of depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **74**, 734-741,
417 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.02.020 (2013).
- 418 54 Zhang, X. *et al.* Tau Pathology in Parkinson's Disease. *Front Neurol* **9**, 809,
419 doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00809 (2018).
- 420 55 Grigg, I. *et al.* Tauopathy in the young autistic brain: novel biomarker and therapeutic
421 target. *Transl Psychiatry* **10**, 228, doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00904-4 (2020).
- 422 56 Tai, C. *et al.* Tau Reduction Prevents Key Features of Autism in Mouse Models.
423 *Neuron* **106**, 421-437 e411, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.038 (2020).

424

425 **Online Methods**

426 *Sample and pre-processing of imaging and genetic data*

427 The UK Biobank was approved by the National Health Service National Research Ethics Service (ref.
428 11/NW/0382). We accessed raw T1-weighted magnetic resonance brain imaging data from 35,411
429 genotyped white British from the UK Biobank²⁹ (age range: aged 45 – 82 years, mean: 64.4 years, sd:
430 7.5 years, 51.7% females) for the main analysis, and of 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity (age
431 range: 45 - 81, mean: 62.9, sd: 7.6 years, 53.6% females) for the replication in independent data.

432 We processed T1-weighted images using the standard *recon-all* pipeline in Freesurfer 5.3⁵⁷,
433 and subsequently segmented the hippocampal formation using Freesurfer 7.1⁸. For genetic analyses, we
434 followed the standard quality control procedures to the UK Biobank v3 imputed genetic data and
435 removed SNPs with an imputation quality score < 0.5 , a minor allele frequency < 0.05 , missing in more
436 than 5% of individuals, and failing the Hardy Weinberg equilibrium tests at a $P < 1e-6$.

437

438 *Multivariate genome-wide association analysis*

439 For each of the 19 regions of the hippocampal formation – parasubiculum, presubiculum head and body,
440 subiculum head and body, CA1/CA3/CA4 head and body, GC-ML-DG head and body, molecular layer
441 head and body, HATA, fimbria, hippocampal tail, hippocampal fissure – as well as for total
442 hippocampus volume we calculated the average volume between the left and right hemisphere and
443 subsequently residualized the volumes for age, age squared, sex, scanning site, Euler number as a proxy
444 of image quality, intracranial volume and the first 20 genetic principal components. The resulting
445 residuals for the 20 regions were jointly fed into MOSTest²⁶ analysis, yielding a multivariate GWAS
446 summary statistic across all 20 features. For comparison to state-of-the art univariate approaches, we
447 also performed univariate GWAS (extracted from the univariate stream of MOSTest²⁶). Supplemental
448 genetic correlation analyses were performed using LD-score regression^{58,59}.

449 To identify genetic loci we uploaded this summary statistic to the FUMA platform v1.3.6³⁰.
450 Using the 1000GPhase3 EUR as reference panel, we identified independent significant SNPs at the

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

451 statistical significance threshold $P < 5e-8$. All SNPs at $r^2 < 0.6$ with each other were considered as
452 *independent significant SNPs* and a fraction of the independent significant SNPs in approximate linkage
453 equilibrium with each other at $r^2 < 0.1$ were considered as *lead SNPs*. Post-GWAS eQTL analysis was
454 also performed using FUMA. The full FUMA analysis including the corresponding parameter file will
455 be made available through <https://fuma.ctglab.nl/browse> upon acceptance.

456

457 *Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and major brain disorders*

458 We accessed GWAS summary statistics for migraine (MIG) from International headache genetics
459 Consortium⁶⁰ and for Parkinson's disease (PD) from the International Parkinson Disease Genomics
460 Consortium^{61,62}. The latter included 23andMe data. 23andMe participants provided informed consent
461 and participated in the research online, under a protocol approved by the external AAHRPP-accredited
462 IRB, Ethical & Independent Review Services (E&I Review). Furthermore, from the Psychiatric
463 Genomics Consortium we accessed summary statistics for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
464 (ADHD)⁶³, autism spectrum disorder (ASD)⁶⁴, bipolar disorder (BIP)⁶⁵ and major depression (MD)⁶⁶.
465 Finally, we included data from recent studies of schizophrenia (SCZ)⁶⁷ and of Alzheimer's disease
466 (AD)⁶⁸

467 Using conjunctive FDR statistics ($FDR < 0.05$)^{37,38}, we identified shared variants associated
468 with hippocampal formation and each of the above-mentioned brain disorders. Two genomic regions,
469 the extended major histocompatibility complex genes region (hg19 location Chr 6: 25119106–
470 33854733) and chromosome 8p23.1 (hg19 location Chr 8: 7242715–12483982) for all cases and *MAPT*
471 region for PD and *APOE* region for AD and ASD, respectively were excluded from the FDR fitting
472 procedures because complex correlations in regions with intricate LD can bias FDR estimation.

473 We submitted the results from conjunctive FDR to FUMA v1.3.6³⁰ to annotate the genomic
474 loci with conjFDR value < 0.10 having an $r^2 \geq 0.6$ with one of the independent significant SNPs. FUMA
475 annotates associated SNPs based on functional Categories, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion
476 (CADD) scores which predicts the deleteriousness of SNPs on protein structure/function⁶⁹,

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

477 RegulomeDB scores which predicts regulatory functions³¹; and chromatin states that shows the
478 transcription/regulation effects of chromatin states at the SNP locus⁷⁰. We also conducted Gene
479 Ontology gene-set analyses based on FUMA's gene ontology classification system³⁰ and pathway
480 analyses⁷¹ for the all mapped genes and the 69 common mapped genes of hippocampal formation.

481

482 *Data availability*

483 In this study we used brain imaging and genetics data from the UK Biobank
484 [<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>], and GWAS summary statistics obtained from the Psychiatric
485 Genomics Consortium [<https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/>], 23andMe [<https://www.23andme.com/>],
486 International headache genetics Consortium (IHGC) [<http://www.headachegenetics.org>], the
487 International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project [[http://web.pasteur-](http://web.pasteur-lille.fr/en/recherche/u744/igap/igap_download.php)
488 [lille.fr/en/recherche/u744/igap/igap_download.php](http://web.pasteur-lille.fr/en/recherche/u744/igap/igap_download.php)], and the International Parkinson Disease Genomics
489 Consortium [<https://pdgenetics.org/>]. The latter included 23andMe data, which was made available
490 through 23andMe under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe
491 participants [<https://research.23andme.com/collaborate/#dataset-access/>].

492 The summary statistics for hippocampal formation derived in this study are made available in
493 our github repository [<https://github.com/norment/open-science>] upon acceptance of the manuscript for
494 publication. Likewise, we will publish the FUMA results on the FUMA website
495 [<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/browse/>] upon acceptance.

496

497 *Code availability*

498 All code and software needed to generate the results is available as part of public resources, specifically
499 MOSTest (<https://github.com/precimed/mostest>), FUMA (<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/>), conjunctonal FDR
500 (<https://github.com/precimed/pleiofdr/>) and LD score regression (<https://github.com/bulik/ldsc>).

501


502 **References of the Online Methods**

- 503 8 Iglesias, J. E. *et al.* A computational atlas of the hippocampal formation using ex
504 vivo, ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive segmentation of in vivo
505 MRI. *Neuroimage* **115**, 117-137, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.042 (2015).
- 506 26 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with
507 MOSTest. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3512, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17368-1 (2020).
- 508 29 Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.
509 *Nature* **562**, 203-209, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z (2018).
- 510 30 Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping
511 and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826,
512 doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5 (2017).
- 513 31 Boyle, A. P. *et al.* Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using
514 RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* **22**, 1790-1797, doi:10.1101/gr.137323.112 (2012).
- 515 37 Andreassen, O. A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with
516 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false
517 discovery rate. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003455, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003455 (2013).
- 518 38 Smeland, O. B. *et al.* Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false
519 discovery rate approach. *Hum Genet* **139**, 85-94, doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02060-2
520 (2020).
- 521 57 Fischl, B. *et al.* Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical
522 structures in the human brain. *Neuron* **33**, 341-355, doi:10.1016/s0896-
523 6273(02)00569-x (2002).
- 524 58 Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and
525 traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236-1241, doi:10.1038/ng.3406 (2015).
- 526 59 Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from
527 polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-295,
528 doi:10.1038/ng.3211 (2015).
- 529 60 Gormley, P. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 375,000 individuals identifies 38 susceptibility
530 loci for migraine. *Nat Genet* **48**, 856-866, doi:10.1038/ng.3598 (2016).
- 531 61 Nalls, M. A. *et al.* Large-scale meta-analysis of genome-wide association data
532 identifies six new risk loci for Parkinson's disease. *Nat Genet* **46**, 989-993,
533 doi:10.1038/ng.3043 (2014).
- 534 62 Chang, D. *et al.* A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies 17
535 new Parkinson's disease risk loci. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1511-1516, doi:10.1038/ng.3955
536 (2017).
- 537 63 Demontis, D. *et al.* Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for
538 attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Genet* **51**, 63-75, doi:10.1038/s41588-
539 018-0269-7 (2019).
- 540 64 Grove, J. *et al.* Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum
541 disorder. *Nat Genet* **51**, 431-444, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8 (2019).
- 542 65 Mullins, N. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar
543 disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nat Genet* **53**, 817-
544 829, doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00857-4 (2021).
- 545 66 Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and
546 refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet* **50**, 668-681,
547 doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3 (2018).
- 548 67 Pardinas, A. F. *et al.* Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-
549 intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat Genet* **50**, 381-
550 389, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2 (2018).

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.


- 551 68 Wightman, D. P. *et al.* Largest GWAS (N=1,126,563) of Alzheimer’s Disease
552 Implicates Microglia and Immune Cells. *medRxiv*, 2020.2011.2020.20235275,
553 doi:10.1101/2020.11.20.20235275 (2020).
- 554 69 Kircher, M. *et al.* A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of
555 human genetic variants. *Nat Genet* **46**, 310-315, doi:10.1038/ng.2892 (2014).
- 556 70 Zhu, Z. *et al.* Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts
557 complex trait gene targets. *Nat Genet* **48**, 481-487, doi:10.1038/ng.3538 (2016).
- 558 71 Kamburov, A., Wierling, C., Lehrach, H. & Herwig, R. ConsensusPathDB--a
559 database for integrating human functional interaction networks. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**,
560 D623-628, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn698 (2009).
- 561

562 **Supplementary Figures**
563



564
565
566 **Supplementary Figure 1. Genetic correlations between hippocampus volumes.** For each pair of
567 regions, the LD-score regression based genetic correlations of the univariate summary statistics are
568 presented.


Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.



569
570
571
572

Supplementary Figure 2. Quantile-quantile plots from MOSTest analysis. The left panel depicts signal from MOSTest analysis. The right panel shows test statistics under null (from permutation testing) and confirms validity of the MOSTest test statistics.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.




573
574 **Supplementary Figure 3. Validation and replication.** We performed two analyses to further assess
575 the robustness of our findings. A) We randomly split the sample used in the main analysis in half and
576 used one half as the discovery sample and the other as the replication sample. We performed a MOSTest
577 analysis in both samples (the figure shows the corresponding manhattan plots) and calculated
578 replication rates as an indicator of robustness of the MOSTest approach. From this split half analysis
579 we found that 96.9% of the loci replicated at nominal P and 46.9% replicated at $5e-8$. B) We performed
580 a cross-ethnic replication of the MOSTest analysis described in the main text using independent data
581 from 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity. 31.9% of the loci found in the full sample of white
582 British individuals replicated at nominal P in the independent replication sample of non-white
583 individuals (indicated with green lines). Given the small sample and differences in ethnicity, replication
584 rates were fairly low yet met our level of expectation, in line with earlier work on other brain structures²⁷.
585

586 27 van der Meer, D. *et al.* The genetic architecture of human cortical folding. *bioRxiv*,
587 2021.2001.2013.426555, doi:10.1101/2021.01.13.426555 (2021).

588


Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.



589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597


Supplementary Figure 4. Distribution of the annotation for all SNPs in the significant genetic loci from the hippocampus GWAS including (A) the minimum chromatin state across 127 tissue and cell types for SNPs in the significant genomic loci, with lower states indicating higher accessibility and states 1–7 referring to open chromatin states, (B) the distribution of RegulomeDB scores for SNPs in the significant genomic loci, with a low score indicating a higher likelihood of having a regulatory function and (C) the distribution of functional consequences of SNPs in the significant genomic risk loci.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.



598

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.



599
600
601
602
603
604

Supplementary Figure 5. Conditional Q-Q plots for hippocampus given associations with the disorder (left figures) and vice versa (right figures). ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. AD: Alzheimer’s disease. MIG: migraine. ASD: autism spectrum disorder. PD: Parkinson’s disease. SCZ: schizophrenia. BIP: bipolar disorder. MD: major depression.