Autism spectrum disorder trios from consanguineous populations are enriched for rare biallelic variants, identifying 32 new candidate genes

Ricardo Harripaul^{1,2}§, Ansa Rabia^{1,3,4}§, Nasim Vasli^{1,5}, Anna Mikhailov¹, Ashlyn Rodrigues¹,

Stephen F. Pastore¹, Tahir Muhammad^{1,2}, Thulasi Madanogopal¹, Aisha Hashmi¹, Clinton Tran¹,

Cassandra Stan¹, Katherine Aw¹, Maleeha Azam⁶, Saqib Mahmood³, Abolfazl Heidari⁷, Raheel

Qamar⁶, Leon French^{2,8}, Shreejoy Tripathy^{2,8}, Zehra Agha⁶, Muhammed Iqbal⁹, Majid Ghadami¹⁰,

Susan Santangelo^{11,12,13}, Bita Bozorgmehr¹, Laila Al Ayadhi¹⁴, Roksana Sasanfar¹⁵, Shazia

Maqbool¹⁶, James A. Knowles¹⁷, Muhammad Ayub^{18,19*}, John B Vincent^{1,2,20*}

¹Molecular Neuropsychiatry & Development (MiND) Lab, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada;

²Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada;

³University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan;

⁴CMH Lahore Medical College & Institute of Dentistry, Lahore, Pakistan;

⁵Genome Diagnostics, Department of Paediatric Laboratory Medicine, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada;

⁶Department of Biosciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan;

⁷Sana Medical Genetics Laboratory, Qazvin, Iran; Reference Laboratory of Qazvin Medical University, Qazvin, Iran;

⁸Krembil Centre for Neuroinformatics, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada;

⁹Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia;

¹⁰Department of Educational Sciences, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran;

¹¹Center for Psychiatric Research, Maine Medical Center Research Institute, 509 Forest Avenue,

Portland, ME, 04101, USA;

¹²Tufts University School of Medicine, 136 Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA, 02110, USA;

¹³Department of Psychiatry, Maine Medical Center, 22 Bramhall Street, Portland, ME, 04102,

USA;

¹⁴Autism Research & Treatment Center, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine,

National Plan for Science and Technology (NPST), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia;

¹⁵Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA;

¹⁶Children's Hospital & The Institute of Child Health, Lahore, Pakistan;

¹⁷Department of Cell Biology, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, New York City, NY,

USA;

¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, Queens University Kingston, Kingston, ON, Canada;

¹⁹Department of Academic Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK;

²⁰Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

§Equal contribution

*Correspondence should be addressed to:

Dr. John B. Vincent, Brain Molecular Science, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute,

Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH), 250 College Street, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5T

1R8; Email: john.vincent@camh.ca

Dr. Muhammad Ayub, Department of Psychiatry, Queen's University, 191 Portsmouth Avenue,

Kingston, ON, K7M 8A6, Canada. Email: ma84@queensu.ca

Abstract

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a severe neurodevelopmental disorder that affects about 1 in 55 children worldwide and imposes enormous economic and socioemotional burden on families and communities. Genetic studies of ASD have identified de novo copy number variants (CNVs) and point mutations that contribute significantly to the genetic architecture of ASD, but the majority of these studies were conducted in outbred populations, which are not ideal for detecting autosomal recessive (AR) inheritance. However, several studies have investigated ASD genetics in consanguineous populations and point towards AR as an under-appreciated source of ASD variants. Here, we used trio whole exome sequencing (WES) to look for rare variants for ASD in 115 proband-mother-father trios from populations with high rates of consanguinity, namely Pakistan, Iran, and Saudi Arabia. In total, we report 87 candidate sequence variants, with 57% biallelic, 21% autosomal dominant/de novo, and the rest X-linked. 52% of the variants were loss of function (LoF) or putative LoF (splice site, stop loss) and 47% non-synonymous. Our analysis indicates an enrichment of previously identified and candidate AR genes. These include variants in genes previously reported for AR ASD and/or intellectual disability (ID), such as AGA, ASL, ASPA, BTN3A2, CC2D1A, DEAF1, HTRA2, KIF16B, LINS1, MADD, MED25, MTHFR, RSRC1, TECPR2, VPS13B, ZNF335, and 32 previously unreported candidates, including 15 LoF or splice variants, in genes such as DAGLA, EFCAB8, ENPP6, FAXDC2, ILDR2, PKD1L1, SCN10A, and SLC36A1. We also identified candidate biallelic exonic loss CNVs a number of trios, implicating genes including DNAH7 and DHRS4/DHRS4L2.

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by deficits in social communication as well as repetitive and restricted behaviours and interests. Apart from a small percentage of individuals who recover (Helt et al., 2008), ASD is a life-long condition and only about 20% have good outcome as reported in a recent meta-analysis of longitudinal studies (Mason et al., 2021). ASD is quite heterogeneous in terms of level of intellectual functioning, co-morbid psychiatric and behavioural problems and these factors play an important role in the long term outcome of the diagnosis (Lai et al., 2019). In a systematic review its prevalence was estimated to be 0.76% internationally (Baxter et al., 2015). In another systematic review of international data, the median estimate was 62/10,000 (Elsabbagh et al., 2012). In this review, the prevalence of ASD showed little variation by geographic region, ethnic, cultural and socioeconomic factors (Elsabbagh et al., 2012).

The reported prevalence of ASD has increased with time. In the 1960s it was estimated to affect as few as 1 in 10000 individuals (Elsabbagh et al., 2012), prevalence studies from the 1980s suggested that as many as 72 in 10000 individuals had ASD, rising to 1% in the 2000s (Baird et al., 2006; Elsabbagh et al., 2012) More recent studies report prevalence rates of more than 2%. (Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year 2010 Principal Investigators and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2014; Kim et al., 2011; Lundström et al., 2015). In the United States, the prevalence across eleven sites in 2012 was 14.5 per 1,000 (one in 69) children aged 8 years (Christensen et al., 2018) and in 2016 it increased to 18.5 per 1,000 (one in 54) children (Maenner, 2020), This increase at least partly is

because of changes in diagnostic criteria, reporting practices, increased awareness (Fombonne, 2018; Hansen et al., 2015; Lord et al., 2020; Rødgaard et al., 2019). For example, a Swedish study showed that although ASD diagnoses became more common over time among individuals born between 1992 and 2002, the underlying level of autistic traits did not (Lundström et al., 2015). Studies that examined the differences between individuals with and without ASD on symptom and cognitive measures indicated a decrease in the differences between the two groups (Arvidsson et al., 2018; Rødgaard et al., 2019). However, in a recent study from Denmark only a third of ASD diagnoses could be explained because of broadened diagnostic criteria(Hansen et al., 2015). The search for alternative explanations for the increase in the prevalence includes the possibility of a bigger contribution of the environmental factors that might have become more common with time and have caused a genuine increase in the numbers of affected individuals(Hertz-Picciotto et al., 2018). Many of the reported environmental exposures associated with ASD, such as air pollution exposure, paternal age, and maternal psychotropic medication use during pregnancy tend to occur during the prenatal period (Bölte et al., 2019; Modabbernia et al., 2017). This has been further examined in a longitudinal twin study where there was an increase in the ASD traits while the contribution of environmental factors had not changed (Taylor et al., 2020).

Describing the genetic architecture of ASD is the first step towards understanding the pathogenesis of the disorder. There has been significant progress to date, and in genetic studies of ASD it has been shown that *de novo* copy number variants (CNVs) and point mutations play a prominent role, however, the majority of these studies have been conducted in outbred populations (Ramaswami and Geschwind, 2018)

A systematic review of published literature from South Asian countries reported a prevalence of ASD in the range of 0.09-1.07 % (Hossain et al., 2017). To date, there has been no community study of prevalence from Pakistan. Studies from child psychiatry clinics reported rates of 2.4% and 3.2%, 4.5%, 5% (Imran et al., 2012; Sarwat et al., 2009; Syed et al., 2007; Tareen et al., 2009). Clinical services for ASD are available but limited to big cities. There are special schools in every big city for children with ASD (Minhas et al., 2015). The literature on clinical presentation is limited. One study with a small sample size shows symptoms consistent with studies elsewhere in the world (Rauf et al., 2014).

Several projects have highlighted recessive inheritance as an important component of the genetic architecture of ASD. A large study of consanguineous versus non-consanguineous families in India concluded that consanguinity increases risk for ASD with an odds ratio of 3.22 (Mamidala et al., 2015). Morrow and colleagues used SNP microarrays to map homozygous loci in 104 small ASD families from the Middle East, Turkey and Pakistan, finding homozygous deletions implicating *SLC9A9*, *PCDH10*, *CNTN3* and others (Morrow et al., 2008). Research in outbred populations also support AR inheritance as an important piece of the genetic puzzle for ASD. For example, previous work has estimated that loss-of-function (LoF) recessive mutations contribute 3% of ASD genetics in two US-based case-control cohorts (Lim et al., 2013). These findings are not limited to population isolates or ethnic subgroups (Howrigan et al., 2016; Kaiser et al., 2015). Consanguineous marriages lead to a marked increase in the frequency of rare recessive disorders (Bundey and Alam, 1993; Modell and Darr, 2002). Many genetic variants will only be pathogenic in recessive form; this includes variants for ID, and almost certainly for ASD too. Identification of recessive genes in outbred populations is problematic, as the analysis

pipelines for WES/WGS data are non-optimal for discovering compound heterozygous mutations. Populations with a high proportion of consanguinity have been important for describing autosomal recessive genes in intellectual disability in Pakistan(Harripaul et al., 2018; Riazuddin et al., 2017), Iran (Hu et al., 2018; Najmabadi et al., 2011), Syria (Reuter et al., 2017), and Saudi Arabia (Anazi et al., 2017), yet, to date, relatively few reports of AR genes underlying ASD in consanguineous populations have been published (Al-Mubarak et al., 2017; Morrow et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2013). Despite the higher prevalence of recessive inheritance in consanguineous populations, data from studies of developmental disorder (DD) suggest that *de novo* variants are also prominent, albeit in a lower proportion e.g. in a UK study of 6040 families from the Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study, individuals of Pakistani ancestry had 29.8% *de novo* compared with 49.9% in the European ancestry UK population (Martin et al., 2018). In an Iranian ID study, the *de novo* rate was 27.86% (Kahrizi et al., 2019).

Here, we report on a study of genetic and genomic variants in a cohort of 115 ASD trios from three countries with a high frequency of consanguineous marriages (Pakistan, Iran, and Saudi Arabia). Of the candidate sequence variants we report (not including CNVs), the proportion that are *de novo* is ~24%, similar to the rates reported among Pakistani ancestry DD individuals in the UK and in ID individuals in Iran. We report 57% of the candidate sequence variants identified in the study are AR. This is somewhat higher than the 31% AR reported for Pakistani ancestry subjects in the UK DDD study (3.6% for those of European ancestry). Of the 28 sequence variants identified in genes previously linked to neurodevelopmental disorders, 25% were *de novo*, and 55% AR. This compares well with rates in a study of Iranian ID families (28% de novo, 69% AR) (Kahrizi et al., 2019).

Materials and Methods

Trio Family Ascertainment

Institutional Research Ethics Board approval was received for this study through the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) and other institutional recruiting sites. Twenty-seven trios were ascertained by Dr. Sasanfar by the Children's Health and Evaluation project (CHEP) sponsored by the Special Education Organization (SEO), as described previously (Sasanfar et al, 2010; PMID 20678245). Children in the cohort were between ages 4 and 11, and received a clinical diagnosis of ASD by a child psychiatrist. All parents from Iranian cohorts filled out the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ) (Rutter, Michael et al., 2007), using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (fourth edition-text revision (DSM-IV-TR)(American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The diagnosis of ASD was confirmed in all subjects using ADI-R (Lord et al., 1994) and ADOS (Lord et al., 1989) by a certified physician. Another 14 trios were ascertained through clinical services in Iran: Dr. Bita Bozorgmehr clinically assessed 11 trios (33 samples) and Dr. Abolfazl Heidari assessed three trios (nine samples). 54 Pakistani trios were recruited from the University of Health Sciences and Children Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. Pakistani ASD trio probands were assessed using the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS)(Schopler et al., 1980). The clinical assessment has been performed by Drs. Sagib Mahmood, Shazia Maqbool and Dr. Ansa Rabia. A further eight Pakistani trios were recruited through COMSATS University, Islamabad, by Drs Raheel Qamar and Zehra Agha, with ascertainment through the National Rural Support Program and the Ghazi Barotha Taragiata iDara. Diagnosis of ASD was performed using combined DSM-5 (American Psychiatric

Association et al., 2013, p. 5) and ICD-10 criteria (World Health Organization, 2010, p. 10), after assessment using CARS (Schopler et al., 1980). The 13 Saudi Arabian trios were provided by Dr. Laila Al Ayadhi through the Autism Treatment Centre, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and included subjects aged 2-12 years old who had a diagnosis of ASD confirmed using the ADI-R (Lord et al., 1994), Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) (Lord et al., 1989) and 3DI (Developmental, dimensional diagnostic interview) scales (Skuse et al., 2004). Disease severity was also measured using the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS)(Schopler et al., 1980). Saudi subjects were excluded if they had dysmorphic features, or diagnosis of fragile X or other serious neurological (e.g., seizures), psychiatric (e.g., bipolar disorder) or known medical conditions.

Overall, we have sequenced 115 trios: 61 trios from Pakistan, 42 trios from Iran, and 12 trios from Saudi Arabia. In total, we have sequenced 345 samples from different institutions with collaborator contributions listed in Table 1.

Whole Exome Sequencing, Alignment and Variant Calling

Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) was performed using the Thruplex DNA-Seq (Rubicon Genomics) Library Preparation Kit with the Agilent SureSelect V5 Exome Capture kit. Samples were sheared using the Covaris ME220 Focused-Sonicator to ensure that the DNA is sheared to 200 bp. Samples were then analyzed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System for fragment length distribution and quantification. Library preparation and adapter ligation was performed using the Thruplex DNA-seq kit. All trios, representing the proband, mother and father, were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 or the Illumina NovaSeq sequencing system.

After sequencing, FastQC was used to assess the quality of sequences and determine the adapter contamination and read quality. Unligated adapters and low quality sequences were removed using FastX Toolkit (Updated Aug 4th 2017: https://github.com/agordon/fastx toolkit) ("FASTX-Toolkit," n.d.) and Trimmomatic (v 0.33: Updated Mar. 10th, 2015: https://github.com/timflutre/trimmomatic)(Bolger et al., 2014). Cleaned fastq files were then aligned to the hg19 reference genome using BWA 0.7.17 (Li, 2013) and variants were called using the GATK Best Practices for variant calling and quality control (DePristo et al., 2011). Briefly, after alignment, duplicate reads were removed using Samtools version 1.4 (Li et al., 2009) and the GATK v 3.8 (Van der Auwera et al., 2013) was used for Base Recalibration, Indel Realignment and then variant calling using the Global Haplotyper (Van der Auwera et al., 2013). This created raw Variant Call Format (VCF) files. The variants called per sample were then checked for their transition-transversion ratio which was approximately 2.5.

Annotation and Variant Prioritization

VCF files were annotated using ANNOVAR (Yang and Wang, 2015), integrating allele frequencies, from gnomAD (Wang et al., 2020), The Greater Middle Eastern Variome Server (Scott et al., 2016) and ExAC (Lek et al., 2016), as well as functional pathogenicity scores from Polyphen2 (Adzhubei et al., 2013), SIFT (Ng and Henikoff, 2003) and MutationTaster (Schwarz et al., 2010), MutationAssessor (Reva et al., 2011), FATHMM (Shihab et al., 2015), and CADD (Rentzsch et al., 2019). Variants were assessed, firstly, if they passed the quality metrics as set by the Convolutional Neural Network scoring algorithm by GATK (Van der Auwera et al., 2013).

Briefly, variants were compared against known variants from dbSNP and a convolutional neural network was trained to filter out poor variant calls based on several metrics (Van der Auwera et al., 2013) and minor allele frequency (MAF) in gnomAD (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) of equal to or lower than 1×10^{-3} for autosomal recessive, or 1×10^{-5} for autosomal dominant/de novo, with a preference for variants that had no homozygotes in the gnomAD non-Neuro Cohort. For autosomal recessive variants, as the MAF used was on the conservative side, reanalysis was also performed using less stringent values (1 x 10^{-3} , 1 x 10^{-2}), to see if any variants in known ASD/ID genes were missed under the stringent analysis. Variants were then split into either homozygote or heterozygote categories and then prioritized by functional impact of mutation type. For example, LoF variants would carry more weight than missense variants leading to assessing stopgain, frameshift, splicing, and missense variants, in that order. The LoF mutations were given highest priority as it is assumed that LoF variants will disrupt protein function more severely and, depending on the position within the transcript, cause nonsense mediated mRNA decay (NMD). The variants were then assessed for their functional pathogenicity score, where variants that met at least two functional prediction scores between Polyphen2 (Adzhubei et al., 2013), and MutationTaster (Schwarz et al., 2010) and CADD (Rentzsch et al., 2019) were further investigated. Special attention was given to splicing with additional functional annotation scores being used for splicing events with the addition of FATHMM (Shihab et al., 2015) and dbscSNV (Jian et al., 2014). Due to the large number of missense variants for autosomal dominant/de novo inheritance, stricter criteria were used where a variant would only be considered if it was predicted as pathogenic by all software used including Polyphen2 (Adzhubei et al., 2013), MutationTaster (Schwarz et al., 2010),

MutationAssessor (Reva et al., 2011), FATHMM (Shihab et al., 2015), CADD(Rentzsch et al., 2019) and M-CAP(Jagadeesh et al., 2016). The overall prioritization method is outlined in Figure 1. Variants were also analyzed to determine if they are in genes associated with any previous studies reporting association with ASD or ID. Variants in genes in pathways such as neuron growth and guidance were also considered as a higher priority. Finally, variants were Sanger-sequenced and segregation checked with both parents for validation.

Splice site annotation

Standard annotation software has been noted to be suboptimal for splicing variants and cryptic splice sites (Song et al., 2016). To improve detection of splicing variants, particularly in neurodevelopmental disorders, SpliceAI was used to splicing variants or cryptic splice sites (Jaganathan et al., 2019). All VCF files were run through the standard SpliceAI algorithm as per documentation and filtered for variants with a SpliceAI score greater than 0.5. Once variants were extracted, they were annotated with ANNOVAR (Wang et al., 2010) to add additional meta data for biological interpretation. Variants were then binned into heterozygous, X-linked and homozygous and filtered by gnoMAD (Karczewski et al., 2020) allele frequency (MAF < 0.01). Variants that survived filtering were then investigated for appropriate inheritance patterns (i.e. *de novo* for heterozygous variants and both parents contributing one allele for homozygous variants).

Copy Number Variant (CNV) analysis

Microarrays were run using DNA from 103 ASD probands from the 115 trios (where sufficient DNA was available), including 19 using Affymetrix CytoScanHD, and 84 using Illumina CoreExome. CNV analysis for the CytoScanHD arrays was performed using ChAS and PennCNV; for CoreExome using Illumina Genome Suite/CNVpartition and PennCNV. Evidence of homozygous loss CNVs was cross-references with WES data, using the Integrated Genome Viewer (IGV: https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/; (Robinson et al., 2011), and CNVs corroborated in this manner were then checked by PCR.

Also, for WES data we performed CNV analysis using CLAMMS (Packer et al., 2016), XHMM (Fromer and Purcell, 2014) and CoNIFER (Krumm et al., 2012). The analysis of exome sequencing data has drawback due to the capture technology used. A majority of these methods employ a read based exome calling strategy after normalizing for the depth of sequencing across different regions. CLAMMS was used because it offered the ability to create subset of the samples and construct more specific references through PCA of sequencing metrics. All software used was run according to standard procedures described on their respective documentation. Filtering was done for quality metrics outlined in the documentation. Once CNVs were called they were also flited against the gnomAD structural variation callset (Collins et al., 2020) and the Database of Genomic Variants (DGV: http://dgv.tcag.ca/dgv/app/home). CNVs that overlapped more than 50% with gnomAD or DGV SVs were filtered out. Once the final callset after filtration was created, CNVs were then binned into homozygous, heterozygous or X-linked variants and further validated with qPCR if they followed expected inheritance patterns for their respective zygosity. For instance, homozygous CNVs in the proband would have to have both parents that were heterozygous and

heterozygous proband variants would have to be *de novo*. X-linked variants were also further validated in males as they lack a second copy of the X chromosome. The CNV analysis was also performed to follow the inheritance pattern of known genes in mind.

Cross-referencing with other datasets

In order to evaluate the strength of candidacy of the variants/genes identified here or find supporting evidence, we attempted to cross-reference with other available datasets. We attempted where possible to identify rare variants in the genes we report here (Tables 2-4) that were either: 1. De novo, 2. Heterozygous LoF but status either de novo or unknown; 3. Biallelic (i.e. homozygous); 4. Putative biallelic (possibly compound heterozygous, but phasing unknown); 4. X-linked in males, maternally inherited. Data are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

MSSNG: More than 10,000 individuals from the Autism Genetic Research Exchange (AGRE) repository and other cohorts have been whole-genome sequenced, through Autism Speaks, and data made available through the MSSNG database (research.mss.ng; (Yuen et al., 2016). MSSNG data was accessed in April 2020.

SFARI: SSC biallelic: The Simons Simplex Collection (SSC) includes 2600 simplex autism or related developmental disorder families. Whole exome sequence data is available for ~2,500 of these families, biallelic variants for our gene list were searched using the GPF browser (https://gpf.sfari.org/gpf19/datasets/SSC/browser). Putative compound heterozygous variants criteria for minor allele frequency (MAF) in SSC exome, gnomAD exome and genome frequencies, of <0.001, or, for missense variants, MCP scores >1 and CADD scores >18. SVIP biallelic: the autism Simons Variation in Individuals Project (SVIP) dataset was searched for

putative biallelic variants through the GPF browser

(https://gpf.sfari.org/gpf19/datasets/SVIP/browser). Putative compound heterozygous variants checked for criteria including minor allele frequency (MAF) in SSC exome, gnomAD exome and genome frequencies, of <0.001, or, for missense variants, MCP scores >1 and CADD scores >18.

Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study: includes sequence data for ~14,000 children, was searched for variants in genes overlapping with our study through the known developmental genes list (https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/ddd#ddgenes), as well as the list of research variants (https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/ddd#research-variants), which are 2,723 variants of unknown significance from 4,293 children. For *de novo* variants, all are constitutive, unless specifically noted as mosaic here. CNV variants are not included here.

Autism Sequencing Consortium: genes with de novo variants, either in control set or case set, from the Autism Sequencing Consortium (ASC) exome analysis browser (https://asc.broadinstitute.org/). This dataset lists numbers of de novo protein truncating variants, as well as de novo missense variants with MCP scores either 1-2 or ≥2. The ASC dataset includes 6,430 probands, and includes the SSC data.

DeRubeis: genes with de novo variants reported in the DeRubeis et al, 2014 study, with either LoF or missense variants(De Rubeis et al., 2014). As subject IDs were not reported, overlap with other studies recorded here is not possible.

AutismKB: additional studies where variants have been reported for the genes are taken from the Autism Knowledge Base (http://db.cbi.pku.edu.cn/autismkb_v2/quick_search.php), (Yang et al., 2018).

Neuroanatomical Enrichment Analysis

The Allen Adult Human Brain Atlas (Hawrylycz et al., 2012), Brainspan (Li et al., 2018), and a single-cell atlas of the mouse nervous system(Zeisel et al., 2018) were used to test for neuroanatomically specific expression. For each gene, expression was standardized across regions or cell-types. For these compartments, each gene was then ranked from most specific to most depleted. The area under the receiver operating statistic (AUC) was used to quantify specific expression for the genes of interest within a region or cell cluster. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to test statistical significance, and the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was used to correct for multiple tests.

Results

Our study used whole exome sequence data for a total of 115 proband/mother/father trios where the proband had a diagnosis of ASD. These included 62 from Pakistan, 40 from Iran, and 13 from Saudi Arabia. Of the probands, 91 were male, 24 were female (Table 1).

Whole exome sequencing: Of the 115 trios, 18 were found to have an autosomal biallelic mutation in a gene previously reported for ASD, ID or other neurodevelopmental disorder (see Table 2). Of these, eight were loss-of-function (LoF), or putative LoF (e.g. canonical splice site) mutations. *De novo* autosomal or X-linked mutations in known or previously reported ASD, ID, or other neurodevelopmental disorder were identified in a further 7 trios (see Tables 3 and 4). A known *de novo* Rett syndrome-associated mutation (NM_004992: c.763C>T; p.Arg255*) was identified in one trio.

Biallelic mutations: We identified mutations in several genes that have been previously reported to be associated with autosomal recessive non-syndromic ID, such as TECPR2 (Anazi et al., 2017), MTHFR (Reuter et al., 2017), MADD (Anazi et al., 2017), BTN3A2 (Anazi et al., 2017), LINS1 (Najmabadi et al., 2011), CC2D1A (MIM 608443; MRT3; (Basel-Vanagaite et al., 2006)Basel-Vanagaite et al., 2006), RSRC1 (Maddirevula et al., 2018; Perez et al., 2018) and ZNF335 (Hu et al., 2018), and a number known for syndromic or metabolic forms of autosomal recessive ID, including VPS13B (Cohen syndrome), AGA (aspartylglucosaminuria), ASL (arginosuccinic aciduria), HTRA2 (3-methylglutaconic aciduria) ASPA (aspartoacylase deficiency/Canavan disease), and MED25 (Basel-Vanagaite-Smirin-Yosef syndrome). CC2D1A, VPS13B, and DEAF1 have also previously been associated with ASD or autistic features (Douzgou and Petersen, 2011; Manzini et al., 2014; Nabais Sá et al., 2019). Biallelic mutations in DEAF1 are known to cause neurodevelopmental disorder with hypotonia, impaired expressive language, with or without seizures (MIM 617171). LoF mutations were reported for new candidate genes HRNR, ILDR2, SCN10A, DDIT4L, SLC36A1, FAXDC2, GIMAP8, DAGLA, B4GALNT1 , VPS16, and EFCAB8, and potentially LoF (such as canonical splice site mutations) in DENND1B, LRRC34, PKD1L1, CNPY4, and PPP1R36. VPS16 has previously been reported for autosomal dominant dystonia (MIM 608559), B4GALNT1 for AR spastic paraplegia (MIM 609195), and PKD1L1 for visceral heterotaxy 8 (MIM 617205). Heterozygous missense and LoF mutations in SHH are known to be involved in autosomal dominant holoprosencephaly 3 (MIM 142945), and so it is somewhat surprising to find a homozygous missense variant associated with a milder phenotype with no obvious dysmorphic features. The Asn69lle variant in SHH substitutes an

asparagine for isoleucine at a residue that is conserved across vertebrates, is predicted to be damaging, and is found in heterozygous form in only 6 out of 125,748 gnomAD exomes.

We report a homozygous missense variant in *SGSM3*. Previously *de novo* variants in *SGSM3* have been reported (Sanders et al., 2012), and SFARI lists this an ASD gene with a score of 3 (https://gene.sfari.org/).

De novo mutations: *De novo* dominant mutations have been shown to be a major causal factor in ASD disease causality. In this set of 93 identified WES variants from 115 trios, 25 variants were identified as *de novo* variants (22 autosomal and 3 X-linked). Among the *de novo* variants identified, variants in three of the genes have been identified in previous studies (*MECP2*, *SCN2A*, *MYT1L*, *ZNF292*). 12 were LoF variants in the genes *MECP2*, *TMIGD3*, *ECM1*, *SLAMF7*, *MYT1L*, *SCN2A*, *NCL*, *FAM53C*, *ZNF292* (2 trios), *ATP2B1*, and *RETN* (Table 3). Four were putative LoF (splice site or stop loss) variants, including *ADGRF2*, *DGKZ*, *CLEC4E*, and *PPIL2*, and the remainder were nonsynonymous. There were also several other genes that were listed as *de novo* variants that have not been associated with ASD (or ID) previously. These variants have met the criteria for filtering as described in the Materials and Method, and may represent novel putative variants for ASD.

ZNF292 (Mirzaa et al, 2020): Our study identified a *de novo* LoF mutation in *ZNF292* (NM_015021:c.6159_6160del; p.Glu2054Lysfs*14) in two trios (IAU-65 (Iranian) and Autism-10 (Pakistani). These two trios and 26 additional families (from multiple studies and cohorts) with mutations in *ZNF292* were reported recently (Mirzaa et al., 2019). Out of these 28 families, the mutations were *de novo* in all but one, in which the mutation was inherited in dominant

fashion. The clinical features associated with *ZNF292* mutations spanned a range of severities and diagnoses, including ASD and ID.

X-linked: A number of mutations were identified in well-established ASD or ID genes, for instance *MECP2* (Rett syndrome: MIM 312750), *AIFM1* (Cowchock syndrome; MIM 310490), *MID2* (XLID101: MIM 300928). For other trios there are mutations in genes that have been implicated in other recent studies of ASD and/or ID. For instance, we report a hemizygous nonsynonymous mutation in *CLTRN*- hemizygous mutations in *CLTRN* have recently been reported in several males with neutral aminoaciduria accompanied with autistic features, anxiety, depression, compulsions and motor tics (Pillai et al., 2019)- a clinical picture reminiscent of the autosomal recessive Hartnup disorder (MIM 234500). For other trios there are variants in genes linked with other nervous system disorders, such as *DRP2* in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (Berciano et al., 2017).

Overlap with studies in non-consanguineous populations: Although not focused on consanguineous populations, WGS data from the MSSNG study, as well as WES studies such as DDD and SFARI, shows possible support for several of the candidate AR genes, with possible compound heterozygous damaging rare variants in *DNAH8* (in 3 MSSNG individuals), *CLCA4*, *ANO10*, *SCN10A*, and *WDR90* (see Supplementary Table S1). There was also evidence of disease-causing biallelic variants in known neurodevelopmental genes *MTHFR* (1 homozygous), *AGA* (1 homozygous), *DEAF1* (1 homozygous), *ZNF335* (1 homozygous, 1 compound heterozygous), and *VPS13B* (6 homozygous, 9 compound heterozygous). There was also

support for new candidate dominant/de novo autosomal genes, including *ECM1*, *SLAMF7*, *NCL*, *DGKZ*, *ATP2B1* (5 individuals), *CBFA2T3*, *RETN*, and *PPIL2* (see Supplementary Table S2). There was strong support for X-linked recessive (in males, maternally inherited or de novo) candidate gene *CNGA2* (16 individuals), *GUCY2F* (13 individuals), *SAGE1* (8 individuals), as well as support for *EGFL6* (6 individuals), *NRK* (6 individuals), *PIR* (5 individuals), *MSN* (5 individuals), *ACTRT1* (5 individuals), *TRPC5* (4 individuals), and *ZNF185* (2 individuals).

Splice sites

Eight of the biallelic autosomal mutations (16%), four of the *de novo* autosomal variants (22%), and two of the X-linked recessive variants (11%) were at canonical splice positions. In addition to detection of variants at canonical splice donor and splice acceptor sites, use of SpliceAl suggests that, for the biallelic nonsynonymous variant in the known ASD/ID gene *ASL* in trio SA7, an alternative explanation could be the generation of an alternative splice donor by the cytosine to thymine transition at this site. However, other splice algorithms such as https://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/splice.html do not support this.

Copy Number Variants

A number of autosomal biallelic loss CNVs were identified, which were validated through observation of WES reads using IGV, and through molecular methods, using PCR and/or Sanger sequencing. Of these implicated genes, *DHRS4* and *KLK15* both have supportive evidence of neurobehavioral phenotype in mouse models (Supplementary Table S5). *DNAH7* shows strong transcription in brain tissues, and both *SHPK* and *WDR73* have high protein levels in cerebellum. Of the biallelic CNVs identified, *WDR73* has previously been associated with the autosomal

recessive Galloway-Mowat syndrome 1 (MIM 251300), which includes microcephaly, delayed psychomotor development and cerebellar atrophy. WDR73 mutation was also reported for ID (Riazuddin et al., 2017). In other ASD or ID genome or exome datasets, a homozygous stop-gain and two homozygous missense mutations in SIRPB1 were found in affected individuals in the MSSNG data (1-0157-003: Chr20: 1585484C>A; NM 001135844.2:c.655G>T:p.Glu219*; AU3915301: Chr20:1584614T>G; NM 001135844.2:c.926A>C:p.Tyr309Ser; 3-0410-000: Chr20:1585517T>A; NM 001135844.2:c.622A>T:p.lle208Phe). None of these variants are present in the gnomAD v3.1.2 control database. For CYP2A7, the MSSNG data affected individuals included a homozygous frameshift insertion (1-1093-003: Chr19: 41383105dupA; NM 000764.2: c.1151dupT: p.Leu385Profs*3) and two with homozygous missense mutations (both AU4302301 and AU008505: Chr19: 41382543C>T; NM 000764.2:c.1192G>A: p.Val398Met), neither variant present in gnomAD. While there is evidence of brain expression for SIRPB1, expression of CYP2A7 appears to be restricted to liver and testes (Supplementary Table S9). However, the WRD73, CYP2A7, and KLK15 CNVs were all identified in a proband with a biallelic splice mutation in the Canavan gene ASPA, SHPK CNV is in an individual with a biallelic LOF mutation in known ID gene DEAF1, and the SIRPB1 CNV is in an individual with a biallelic non-frameshift deletion in known gene B4GALNT1, and are less likely to be the causative variants (see Supplementary Table S4). A biallelic CNV loss implicates the gene SEMG1, however expression of this gene is restricted to the seminal vesicle (see Supplementary Table S9), and thus unlikely to be related to the ASD phenotype.

There are also three large, multi-genic *de novo* CNV losses among the trios, which may also be pathogenic (Table 6).

Neuroanatomical Enrichment Analysis

Testing for regional and cell-type specific expression did not indicate clear anatomical targets with higher expression of the candidate genes. Enrichment in the cerebellum and cerebral cortex was observed in the human developmental atlas, but this was not supported by either the mouse or adult human atlases. We found no consistent neuroanatomical expression pattern for the identified genes, suggesting they may disrupt heterogeneous neural circuits.

Discussion

Many recent studies involving NGS in ASD have involved large cohorts, focusing predominantly on dominant/*de novo* inheritance. This is largely driven by the fact that autosomal recessive variants in novel genes are more difficult to identify in the outbred population, and informatic pipelines are not sufficiently tuned to identify compound heterozygote variants. Compound heterozygous variants would need to have variants on opposite alleles, and in order to identify them would require informatic pipelines that focus on multiple damaging heterozygous variants in the same gene in a proband, and comparing with genotypes from the maternal and paternal WES or WGS data. This requires extra care when analyzing variants. The use of genomewide association studies (GWAS) to identify variants is also inadequate for finding recessive variants because the sample size required would be significantly larger than current Psychiatric Genomics Consortium studies to have sufficient power to find biallelic variants. Also, currently most ASD genetics research emanates from North American and European countries, where larger families, which are optimal for recessive variant mapping are not as readily available.

Using whole exome trio analysis in consanguineous families, we have enriched for recessive variants to assess the role these variants play in ASD in populations where endogamy is common. This study of 115 trios has identified WES variants for 28 trios in genes previously associated with ASD or other neurodevelopmental disorder, resulting in a diagnostic yield of 24%. In our ASD cohort, 18 recessive, five *de novo*, and five X-linked known genes. With a further three trios with large, multi-genic, loss CNVs validated experimentally as *de novo* and thus likely pathogenic (Table 6), this yield increases to 27%. There were many other variants identified that met filtering criteria which could potentially represent novel ASD genes or targets. Cumulatively, 18 candidate autosomal *de novo* variants were identified in the 115 trios and 50 candidate autosomal recessive variants identified in this cohort. Within this cohort we identified variants in five genes that are associated with known metabolic syndromes (4.3% of the diagnosis cohort): *AGA*, *ASL*, *HTRA2*, *ASPA*, and *MTHFR*. These may represent better clinical management opportunities for patients and potentially better therapies.

We identified a biallelic nonsynonymous mutation in calcyphosine-like protein gene, *CAPSL*. Biallelic mutations have previously been reported for ID in both of the calcyphosine–related genes, *CAPS* (Harripaul et al., 2018) and *CAPS2* (Anazi et al., 2017). Other than being calciumbinding EF-hand domain proteins, remarkably little is currently known about the role of calcyphosine. Given the possible involvement of all three calcyphosine related genes in neurodevelopmental disorders, further investigation into this biological pathway is clearly warranted.

A number of the ID genes identified here among ASD trios have also previously been reported for ASD or ASD-like features, e.g. *CC2D1A* (Manzini et al., 2014; Sener et al., 2020),

VPS13B (Yu et al., 2013), DEAF1 (Nabais Sá et al., 2019), ZNF335 (Wu et al., 2018), ZNF292 (Guo et al., 2018; Mirzaa et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016), MYT1L (Coursimault et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2016), SCN2A (Jiang et al., 2013; Sanders et al., 2012; Weiss et al., 2003), and PLXNA3 (Steele et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Of the candidate genes identified here, MSSNG, SFARI, and other datasets provide putative support (however it is not possible to determine whether two reported mutations in the same gene are on the same alleles or not, unless occurring within the same sequence read) for the biallelic genes DNAH8, SCN10A, CLCA4, ANO10, WDR90 (Supplementary Table S1), and for de novo/dominant genes NCL, SLAMF7, ADGRF2, DGKZ, ATP2B1, CBFA2T3, RETN, and PPIL2 (Supplementary Table S2).

There are two genes identified here for which multiple trios from our cohort with mutations were identified: *ZNF292* and *SCN2A*. *De novo* mutations in *ZNF292* in four families, including two frameshift deletions (Autism-10 and IAU65). These two trios, one from Pakistan, the other from Iran, and the frameshift mutation they share, were reported previously in Mirzaa et al., 2020 (Mirzaa et al., 2019). *ZNF292* encodes a zinc finger protein, a transcription factor that binds to the promoter of the growth hormone gene, GH (Lipkin et al., 1993). Mutations in the known ASD gene *SCN2A* (Weiss et al., 2003) were identified in two trios- one *de novo* and the other paternally inherited. In addition, a biallelic LoF mutation was identified in another voltage-gated sodium channel member (*SCN10A*), in which gain of function missense mutations have previously linked to familial episodic pain syndrome 2 (FEPS2; MIM 615551)(Faber et al., 2012).

For some of the autosomal recessive candidate genes, there is additional support from animal models. Rasal2 (MGI:2443881) and Vps16 (MGI:2136772) knockout mice have behavioral/neurological and nervous system phenotypes (http://www.informatics.jax.org/; Supplementary Table S5). Note that VPS16 is a vesicle mediated trafficking protein similar to VPS13B. Homozygous knockout of Ephb1 results in impaired contextual and cued conditioning, as well as abnormal freezing behaviour, and homozygous knockout of Slc36a1 results in embryonic growth retardation, decreased freezing behaviour, and preweaning lethality (www.mousephenotypes.org; Supplementary Table S5). Biallelic knockout of *Dagla* results in decreased brain size, hypoactivity, abnormal behaviour, and decreased thigmotaxis (Supplementary Table S5). In general, there is a high rate of neurodevelopmental phenotypes in knockout mice available for the genes with biallelic variants (~70%), and in biallelic or hemizgous knockouts for the X-linked genes (~58%). However, it is a remarkably contrasting story for the de novo/dominant variants, for which there is little or no support by way of neurodevelopmental or behavioural phenotypes in heterozygous mouse models (0%). Moreover, where there are biallelic knockout mouse models for genes from the de novo/dominant set, the phenotypes described are mainly unrelated to CNS and behaviour (<10%; Supplementary Table S5). This would suggest that the autosomal biallelic (and X-linked) mutations among our cohort are much more likely to be etiopathologically relevant to ASD.

There are a number of trios for which there are two or more candidate variants

(Supplementary Materials Table S4). For some of these, there may be a variant that clearly

delivers a more plausible etiopathological explanation. For instance, trio SMPA3 carries a

biallelic nonsynonymous change in the gene *MTHFR*, mutations in which have been reported to

cause recessive homocystinuria and a known cause of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders. For the same trio, there is a biallelic nonsynonymous change in RASAL2, and a maternally inherited hemizygous LoF mutation in MAGEB2, as well as a biallelic loss CNV encompassing PCDHA9 and 10. The likelihood of disease due to mutation in MTHFR thus diminishes the candidacy of alternative RASAL2, MAGEB2 and PCDHA9/10. Similarly, for trio IAH2, a biallelic LoF in TECPR2 – a gene which has been reported for autosomal recessive spastic paraplegia 49 (SPG49; MIM 615031) as well as for autosomal recessive ID (Anazi et al., 2017) and hereditary sensory neuropathy with ID (Neuser et al., 2021) – also lessens the case for the PCDHA9/10 biallelic loss CNV. For trio IABB2, the biallelic LoF mutation in DEAF1 – a gene associated with an AR neurodevelopmental disorder with hypotonia, impaired expressive language, with or without seizures (NEDHELS; MIM 617171) – seems a highly probable candidate, and thus diminishes the possible involvement of biallelic loss CNVs at UBE2U and SHPK. The initial report for DEAF1 was, in fact, in a consanguineous Omani family with autism, ID and epilepsy (Rajab et al., 2015). A biallelic splice mutation in the Canavan disease (a severe neurodevelopmental disorder; MIM 271900) gene, ASPA, is the likely cause in trio IABB14, making candidate CNVs/genes WDR73, KLK15, and CYP2A7 more likely to be benign.

For other trios with two or more variants reported, the decision process was not as clear-cut or unequivocal. Seven *de novo* autosomal mutations reported for trio RQPA20 were all validated by Sanger sequencing, however, none of these candidate genes are particularly convincing in terms of the biology, support from other human disease studies, or support from mouse models. However, expression of the genes *DGKZ* and *CBFA2T3* are associated with numerous electrophysiological phenotypes (Bomkamp et al., 2019)(Supplementary Table S6),

and show high transcription and protein expression in brain regions, which could warrant further investigation into the effects of the variants identified.

Since our initial methodology used relatively stringent MAF cut off criteria for biallelic variants (<0.001), we attempted to justify this level by reiterating the analysis but with lower thresholds (<0.01). Using the more relaxed criteria resulted in just two additional candidate variants, in the genes *CLCA4* (gnomAD South Asian MAF=1.72E-3), and *RASAL2* (gnomAD South Asian MAF=6E-3), but none in known neurodevelopmental genes. Our methodology was particularly stringent in regards to *de novo* nonsynonymous variants, owing to the large number of likely spurious calls, and the inclusion of only variants predicted as damaging by all algorithms used may have excluded some *bona fide* mutations. Other variants, particularly intronic or intergenic, would also likely be missed by our approach. Also, a proportion of exons, and particularly in GC-rich regions, are either missed or have low coverage in WES. Follow up with whole genome sequencing could be considered as a possible next step. However, parsing and assessing rare non-coding variants is particularly challenging.

It was recently estimated in the DDD cohort that recessive variants make up 3.6% of diagnoses, whereas *de novo* variants would contribute 48.6% of variants (Martin et al., 2018). The same study also compared homozygous variants in a narrowly defined group of Pakistani Ancestry in the British Isles (PABI), which is most like our cohort (Martin et al., 2018). The PABI cohort had 333 undiagnosed probands, for which it was estimated that 5.2% of cases would be explained by homozygous recessive variants (Martin et al., 2018). In contrast, our study reports a yield of 16% of biallelic variants in known NDD genes (18/115), or 34% including novel

candidate genes (39/115), with just 4% autosomal *de novo* variants in known NDD genes (or 10% (11/115) including novel candidates) and two X-linked mutations (or 10% (12/115) including novel candidate genes). The increased diagnostic yield of AR mutations compared to the BAPI cohort could be due to differences in the methodologies used, or could reflect differences in rates of consanguinity in the respective studies. Either way, the findings demonstrate the importance of autosomal recessive mutations in ASD in countries with high rates of consanguinity, as well as identifying genes that should be examined for autozygous *and* allozygous mutations in outbred populations, just as would be done for more established autosomal recessive gene disorders.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the families for their participation in this study. This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research to JBV (#MOP-102758 and #PJT-156402), also a National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression (NARSAD)

Young Investigator Award to NV. RH was supported by a Peterborough K.M. Hunter Charitable Foundation Graduate Scholarship. AR and AH were supported by International Research Fellowship Program scholarships from the Pakistani Higher Education Commission. CS was supported by a Summer University Research Program award from the Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto. Computations were performed on the CAMH Specialized Computing Cluster. The SCC is funded by The Canada Foundation for Innovation, Research Hospital Fund.

The authors wish to acknowledge the resources of MSSNG (research.mss.ng), Autism Speaks and The Centre for Applied Genomics at The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada. We also thank the participating families for their time and contributions to this database, as well as the generosity of the donors who supported this program.

DDD: The DDD study presents independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund [grant number HICF-1009-003], a parallel funding partnership between Wellcome and the Department of Health, and the Wellcome Sanger Institute [grant number WT098051]. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of Wellcome or the Department of Health. The study has UK Research Ethics Committee approval (10/H0305/83, granted by the Cambridge South REC, and GEN/284/12 granted by the Republic of Ireland REC). The research team acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research, through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to the current study.

References

Adzhubei, I., Jordan, D.M., Sunyaev, S.R., 2013. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet. Chapter 7, Unit7.20. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg0720s76

Al-Mubarak, B., Abouelhoda, M., Omar, A., AlDhalaan, H., Aldosari, M., Nester, M., Alshamrani, H.A., El-Kalioby, M., Goljan, E., Albar, R., Subhani, S., Tahir, A., Asfahani, S., Eskandrani, A., Almusaiab, A., Magrashi, A., Shinwari, J., Monies, D., Al Tassan, N., 2017. Whole exome sequencing reveals inherited and de novo variants in autism spectrum disorder: a trio study from Saudi families. Sci. Rep. 7, 5679. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06033-1

- American Psychiatric Association, 2000. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition, Text Revision, 4th edition. ed. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- American Psychiatric Association, American Psychiatric Association, DSM-5 Task Force, 2013. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5.
- Anazi, S., Maddirevula, S., Salpietro, V., Asi, Y.T., Alsahli, S., Alhashem, A., Shamseldin, H.E., AlZahrani, F., Patel, N., Ibrahim, N., Abdulwahab, F.M., Hashem, M., Alhashmi, N., Al Murshedi, F., Al Kindy, A., Alshaer, A., Rumayyan, A., Al Tala, S., Kurdi, W., Alsaman, A., Alasmari, A., Banu, S., Sultan, T., Saleh, M.M., Alkuraya, H., Salih, M.A., Aldhalaan, H., Ben-Omran, T., Al Musafri, F., Ali, R., Suleiman, J., Tabarki, B., El-Hattab, A.W., Bupp, C., Alfadhel, M., Al Tassan, N., Monies, D., Arold, S.T., Abouelhoda, M., Lashley, T., Houlden, H., Faqeih, E., Alkuraya, F.S., 2017. Expanding the genetic heterogeneity of intellectual disability. Hum. Genet. 136, 1419–1429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-017-1843-2
- Arvidsson, O., Gillberg, C., Lichtenstein, P., Lundström, S., 2018. Secular changes in the symptom level of clinically diagnosed autism. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 59, 744–751. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12864
- Baird, G., Simonoff, E., Pickles, A., Chandler, S., Loucas, T., Meldrum, D., Charman, T., 2006. Prevalence of disorders of the autism spectrum in a population cohort of children in South Thames: the Special Needs and Autism Project (SNAP). Lancet Lond. Engl. 368, 210–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69041-7
- Basel-Vanagaite, L., Attia, R., Yahav, M., Ferland, R.J., Anteki, L., Walsh, C.A., Olender, T., Straussberg, R., Magal, N., Taub, E., Drasinover, V., Alkelai, A., Bercovich, D., Rechavi, G., Simon, A.J., Shohat, M., 2006. The CC2D1A, a member of a new gene family with C2 domains, is involved in autosomal recessive non-syndromic mental retardation. J. Med. Genet. 43, 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2005.035709
- Baxter, A.J., Brugha, T.S., Erskine, H.E., Scheurer, R.W., Vos, T., Scott, J.G., 2015. The epidemiology and global burden of autism spectrum disorders. Psychol. Med. 45, 601–613. https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329171400172X
- Berciano, J., García, A., Gallardo, E., Peeters, K., Pelayo-Negro, A.L., Álvarez-Paradelo, S., Gazulla, J., Martínez-Tames, M., Infante, J., Jordanova, A., 2017. Intermediate Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: an electrophysiological reappraisal and systematic review. J. Neurol. 264, 1655–1677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-017-8474-3
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 30, 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bölte, S., Girdler, S., Marschik, P.B., 2019. The contribution of environmental exposure to the etiology of autism spectrum disorder. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. CMLS 76, 1275–1297. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2988-4
- Bomkamp, C., Tripathy, S.J., Bengtsson Gonzales, C., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Craig, A.M., Pavlidis, P., 2019.

 Transcriptomic correlates of electrophysiological and morphological diversity within and across excitatory and inhibitory neuron classes. PLoS Comput. Biol. 15, e1007113.

 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007113
- Bundey, S., Alam, H., 1993. A five-year prospective study of the health of children in different ethnic groups, with particular reference to the effect of inbreeding. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. EJHG 1, 206–219.
- Christensen, D.L., Braun, K.V.N., Baio, J., Bilder, D., Charles, J., Constantino, J.N., Daniels, J., Durkin, M.S., Fitzgerald, R.T., Kurzius-Spencer, M., Lee, L.-C., Pettygrove, S., Robinson, C., Schulz, E., Wells, C., Wingate, M.S., Zahorodny, W., Yeargin-Allsopp, M., 2018. Prevalence and Characteristics of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years Autism and Developmental

- Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2012. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. Surveill. Summ. Wash. DC 2002 65, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6513a1
- Collins, R.L., Brand, H., Karczewski, K.J., Zhao, X., Alföldi, J., Francioli, L.C., Khera, A.V., Lowther, C., Gauthier, L.D., Wang, H., Watts, N.A., Solomonson, M., O'Donnell-Luria, A., Baumann, A., Munshi, R., Walker, M., Whelan, C.W., Huang, Y., Brookings, T., Sharpe, T., Stone, M.R., Valkanas, E., Fu, J., Tiao, G., Laricchia, K.M., Ruano-Rubio, V., Stevens, C., Gupta, N., Cusick, C., Margolin, L., Genome Aggregation Database Production Team, Genome Aggregation Database Consortium, Taylor, K.D., Lin, H.J., Rich, S.S., Post, W.S., Chen, Y.-D.I., Rotter, J.I., Nusbaum, C., Philippakis, A., Lander, E., Gabriel, S., Neale, B.M., Kathiresan, S., Daly, M.J., Banks, E., MacArthur, D.G., Talkowski, M.E., 2020. A structural variation reference for medical and population genetics. Nature 581, 444–451. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2287-8
- Coursimault, J., Guerrot, A.-M., Morrow, M.M., Schramm, C., Zamora, F.M., Shanmugham, A., Liu, S., Zou, F., Bilan, F., Le Guyader, G., Bruel, A.-L., Denommé-Pichon, A.-S., Faivre, L., Tran Mau-Them, F., Tessarech, M., Colin, E., El Chehadeh, S., Gérard, B., Schaefer, E., Cogne, B., Isidor, B., Nizon, M., Doummar, D., Valence, S., Héron, D., Keren, B., Mignot, C., Coutton, C., Devillard, F., Alaix, A.-S., Amiel, J., Colleaux, L., Munnich, A., Poirier, K., Rio, M., Rondeau, S., Barcia, G., Callewaert, B., Dheedene, A., Kumps, C., Vergult, S., Menten, B., Chung, W.K., Hernan, R., Larson, A., Nori, K., Stewart, S., Wheless, J., Kresge, C., Pletcher, B.A., Caumes, R., Smol, T., Sigaudy, S., Coubes, C., Helm, M., Smith, R., Morrison, J., Wheeler, P.G., Kritzer, A., Jouret, G., Afenjar, A., Deleuze, J.-F., Olaso, R., Boland, A., Poitou, C., Frebourg, T., Houdayer, C., Saugier-Veber, P., Nicolas, G., Lecoquierre, F., 2021. MYT1L-associated neurodevelopmental disorder: description of 40 new cases and literature review of clinical and molecular aspects. Hum. Genet. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-021-02383-z
- De Rubeis, S., He, X., Goldberg, A.P., Poultney, C.S., Samocha, K., Cicek, A.E., Kou, Y., Liu, L., Fromer, M., Walker, S., Singh, T., Klei, L., Kosmicki, J., Shih-Chen, F., Aleksic, B., Biscaldi, M., Bolton, P.F., Brownfeld, J.M., Cai, J., Campbell, N.G., Carracedo, A., Chahrour, M.H., Chiocchetti, A.G., Coon, H., Crawford, E.L., Curran, S.R., Dawson, G., Duketis, E., Fernandez, B.A., Gallagher, L., Geller, E., Guter, S.J., Hill, R.S., Ionita-Laza, J., Jimenz Gonzalez, P., Kilpinen, H., Klauck, S.M., Kolevzon, A., Lee, I., Lei, I., Lei, J., Lehtimäki, T., Lin, C.-F., Ma'ayan, A., Marshall, C.R., McInnes, A.L., Neale, B., Owen, M.J., Ozaki, N., Parellada, M., Parr, J.R., Purcell, S., Puura, K., Rajagopalan, D., Rehnström, K., Reichenberg, A., Sabo, A., Sachse, M., Sanders, S.J., Schafer, C., Schulte-Rüther, M., Skuse, D., Stevens, C., Szatmari, P., Tammimies, K., Valladares, O., Voran, A., Li-San, W., Weiss, L.A., Willsey, A.J., Yu, T.W., Yuen, R.K.C., DDD Study, Homozygosity Mapping Collaborative for Autism, UK10K Consortium, Cook, E.H., Freitag, C.M., Gill, M., Hultman, C.M., Lehner, T., Palotie, A., Schellenberg, G.D., Sklar, P., State, M.W., Sutcliffe, J.S., Walsh, C.A., Scherer, S.W., Zwick, M.E., Barett, J.C., Cutler, D.J., Roeder, K., Devlin, B., Daly, M.J., Buxbaum, J.D., 2014. Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. Nature 515, 209–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13772
- DePristo, M.A., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K.V., Maguire, J.R., Hartl, C., Philippakis, A.A., del Angel, G., Rivas, M.A., Hanna, M., McKenna, A., Fennell, T.J., Kernytsky, A.M., Sivachenko, A.Y., Cibulskis, K., Gabriel, S.B., Altshuler, D., Daly, M.J., 2011. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat. Genet. 43, 491–498. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.806
- Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year 2010 Principal Investigators, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2014. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2010. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. Surveill. Summ. Wash. DC 2002 63, 1–21.

- Douzgou, S., Petersen, M.B., 2011. Clinical variability of genetic isolates of Cohen syndrome. Clin. Genet. 79, 501–506. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2011.01669.x
- Elsabbagh, M., Divan, G., Koh, Y.-J., Kim, Y.S., Kauchali, S., Marcín, C., Montiel-Nava, C., Patel, V., Paula, C.S., Wang, C., Yasamy, M.T., Fombonne, E., 2012. Global Prevalence of Autism and Other Pervasive Developmental Disorders. Autism Res. 5, 160–179. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.239
- Faber, C.G., Lauria, G., Merkies, I.S.J., Cheng, X., Han, C., Ahn, H.-S., Persson, A.-K., Hoeijmakers, J.G.J., Gerrits, M.M., Pierro, T., Lombardi, R., Kapetis, D., Dib-Hajj, S.D., Waxman, S.G., 2012. Gain-of-function Nav1.8 mutations in painful neuropathy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 19444–19449. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216080109
- FASTX-Toolkit [WWW Document], n.d. URL http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/ (accessed 12.18.21).
- Fombonne, E., 2018. Editorial: The rising prevalence of autism. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry 59, 717–720. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12941
- Fromer, M., Purcell, S.M., 2014. Using XHMM Software to Detect Copy Number Variation in Whole-Exome Sequencing Data. Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet. 81, 7.23.1-21. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg0723s81
- Guo, H., Wang, T., Wu, H., Long, M., Coe, B.P., Li, H., Xun, G., Ou, J., Chen, B., Duan, G., Bai, T., Zhao, N., Shen, Y., Li, Yun, Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Baker, C., Liu, Y., Pang, N., Huang, L., Han, L., Jia, X., Liu, C., Ni, H., Yang, X., Xia, L., Chen, J., Shen, L., Li, Ying, Zhao, R., Zhao, W., Peng, J., Pan, Q., Long, Z., Su, W., Tan, J., Du, X., Ke, X., Yao, M., Hu, Z., Zou, X., Zhao, J., Bernier, R.A., Eichler, E.E., Xia, K., 2018. Inherited and multiple de novo mutations in autism/developmental delay risk genes suggest a multifactorial model. Mol. Autism 9, 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-018-0247-z
- Hansen, S.N., Schendel, D.E., Parner, E.T., 2015. Explaining the increase in the prevalence of autism spectrum disorders: the proportion attributable to changes in reporting practices. JAMA Pediatr. 169, 56–62. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.1893
- Harripaul, R., Vasli, N., Mikhailov, A., Rafiq, M.A., Mittal, K., Windpassinger, C., Sheikh, T.I., Noor, A., Mahmood, H., Downey, S., Johnson, M., Vleuten, K., Bell, L., Ilyas, M., Khan, F.S., Khan, V., Moradi, M., Ayaz, M., Naeem, F., Heidari, A., Ahmed, I., Ghadami, S., Agha, Z., Zeinali, S., Qamar, R., Mozhdehipanah, H., John, P., Mir, A., Ansar, M., French, L., Ayub, M., Vincent, J.B., 2018.
 Mapping autosomal recessive intellectual disability: combined microarray and exome sequencing identifies 26 novel candidate genes in 192 consanguineous families. Mol. Psychiatry 23, 973–984. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.60
- Hawrylycz, M.J., Lein, E.S., Guillozet-Bongaarts, A.L., Shen, E.H., Ng, L., Miller, J.A., van de Lagemaat, L.N., Smith, K.A., Ebbert, A., Riley, Z.L., Abajian, C., Beckmann, C.F., Bernard, A., Bertagnolli, D., Boe, A.F., Cartagena, P.M., Chakravarty, M.M., Chapin, M., Chong, J., Dalley, R.A., David Daly, B., Dang, C., Datta, S., Dee, N., Dolbeare, T.A., Faber, V., Feng, D., Fowler, D.R., Goldy, J., Gregor, B.W., Haradon, Z., Haynor, D.R., Hohmann, J.G., Horvath, S., Howard, R.E., Jeromin, A., Jochim, J.M., Kinnunen, M., Lau, C., Lazarz, E.T., Lee, C., Lemon, T.A., Li, L., Li, Y., Morris, J.A., Overly, C.C., Parker, P.D., Parry, S.E., Reding, M., Royall, J.J., Schulkin, J., Sequeira, P.A., Slaughterbeck, C.R., Smith, S.C., Sodt, A.J., Sunkin, S.M., Swanson, B.E., Vawter, M.P., Williams, D., Wohnoutka, P., Zielke, H.R., Geschwind, D.H., Hof, P.R., Smith, S.M., Koch, C., Grant, S.G.N., Jones, A.R., 2012. An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. Nature 489, 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11405
- Helt, M., Kelley, E., Kinsbourne, M., Pandey, J., Boorstein, H., Herbert, M., Fein, D., 2008. Can children with autism recover? If so, how? Neuropsychol. Rev. 18, 339–366. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-008-9075-9

- Hertz-Picciotto, I., Schmidt, R.J., Krakowiak, P., 2018. Understanding environmental contributions to autism: Causal concepts and the state of science. Autism Res. Off. J. Int. Soc. Autism Res. 11, 554–586. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1938
- Hossain, M.D., Ahmed, H.U., Jalal Uddin, M.M., Chowdhury, W.A., Iqbal, M.S., Kabir, R.I., Chowdhury, I.A., Aftab, A., Datta, P.G., Rabbani, G., Hossain, S.W., Sarker, M., 2017. Autism Spectrum disorders (ASD) in South Asia: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 17, 281. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1440-x
- Howrigan, D.P., Simonson, M.A., Davies, G., Harris, S.E., Tenesa, A., Starr, J.M., Liewald, D.C., Deary, I.J., McRae, A., Wright, M.J., Montgomery, G.W., Hansell, N., Martin, N.G., Payton, A., Horan, M., Ollier, W.E., Abdellaoui, A., Boomsma, D.I., DeRosse, P., Knowles, E.E.M., Glahn, D.C., Djurovic, S., Melle, I., Andreassen, O.A., Christoforou, A., Steen, V.M., Hellard, S.L., Sundet, K., Reinvang, I., Espeseth, T., Lundervold, A.J., Giegling, I., Konte, B., Hartmann, A.M., Rujescu, D., Roussos, P., Giakoumaki, S., Burdick, K.E., Bitsios, P., Donohoe, G., Corley, R.P., Visscher, P.M., Pendleton, N., Malhotra, A.K., Neale, B.M., Lencz, T., Keller, M.C., 2016. Genome-wide autozygosity is associated with lower general cognitive ability. Mol. Psychiatry 21, 837–843. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.120
- Hu, H., Kahrizi, K., Musante, L., Fattahi, Z., Herwig, R., Hosseini, M., Oppitz, C., Abedini, S.S., Suckow, V., Larti, F., Beheshtian, M., Lipkowitz, B., Akhtarkhavari, T., Mehvari, S., Otto, S., Mohseni, M., Arzhangi, S., Jamali, P., Mojahedi, F., Taghdiri, M., Papari, E., Soltani Banavandi, M.J., Akbari, S., Tonekaboni, S.H., Dehghani, H., Ebrahimpour, M.R., Bader, I., Davarnia, B., Cohen, M., Khodaei, H., Albrecht, B., Azimi, S., Zirn, B., Bastami, M., Wieczorek, D., Bahrami, G., Keleman, K., Vahid, L.N., Tzschach, A., Gärtner, J., Gillessen-Kaesbach, G., Varaghchi, J.R., Timmermann, B., Pourfatemi, F., Jankhah, A., Chen, W., Nikuei, P., Kalscheuer, V.M., Oladnabi, M., Wienker, T.F., Ropers, H.-H., Najmabadi, H., 2018. Genetics of intellectual disability in consanguineous families. Mol. Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-017-0012-2
- Imran, N., Bhatti, M.R., Anwar, A., Najmi, F., Haider, I.I., 2012. Children's Mental Health: Pattern of referral, distribution of disorders and service use in child psychiatry outpatient setting. Pak J Med Sci 28, 22–26.
- Jagadeesh, K.A., Wenger, A.M., Berger, M.J., Guturu, H., Stenson, P.D., Cooper, D.N., Bernstein, J.A., Bejerano, G., 2016. M-CAP eliminates a majority of variants of uncertain significance in clinical exomes at high sensitivity. Nat. Genet. 48, 1581–1586. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3703
- Jaganathan, K., Kyriazopoulou Panagiotopoulou, S., McRae, J.F., Darbandi, S.F., Knowles, D., Li, Y.I., Kosmicki, J.A., Arbelaez, J., Cui, W., Schwartz, G.B., Chow, E.D., Kanterakis, E., Gao, H., Kia, A., Batzoglou, S., Sanders, S.J., Farh, K.K.-H., 2019. Predicting Splicing from Primary Sequence with Deep Learning. Cell 176, 535-548.e24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.015
- Jian, X., Boerwinkle, E., Liu, X., 2014. In silico prediction of splice-altering single nucleotide variants in the human genome. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 13534–13544. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1206
- Jiang, Y., Yuen, R.K.C., Jin, X., Wang, M., Chen, N., Wu, X., Ju, J., Mei, J., Shi, Y., He, M., Wang, G., Liang, J., Wang, Z., Cao, D., Carter, M.T., Chrysler, C., Drmic, I.E., Howe, J.L., Lau, L., Marshall, C.R., Merico, D., Nalpathamkalam, T., Thiruvahindrapuram, B., Thompson, A., Uddin, M., Walker, S., Luo, J., Anagnostou, E., Zwaigenbaum, L., Ring, R.H., Wang, Jian, Lajonchere, C., Wang, Jun, Shih, A., Szatmari, P., Yang, H., Dawson, G., Li, Y., Scherer, S.W., 2013. Detection of clinically relevant genetic variants in autism spectrum disorder by whole-genome sequencing. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 93, 249–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.012
- Kahrizi, K., Hu, H., Hosseini, M., Kalscheuer, V.M., Fattahi, Z., Beheshtian, M., Suckow, V., Mohseni, M., Lipkowitz, B., Mehvari, S., Mehrjoo, Z., Akhtarkhavari, T., Ghaderi, Z., Rahimi, M., Arzhangi, S., Jamali, P., Chian, M.F., Nikuei, P., Kermani, F.S., Sadeghinia, F., Jazayeri, R., Tonekaboni, S.H., Khoshaeen, A., Habibi, H., Pourfatemi, F., Mojahedi, F., Khodaie-Ardakani, M.-R., Najafipour, R.,

- Wienker, T.F., Najmabadi, H., Ropers, H.-H., 2019. Effect of inbreeding on intellectual disability revisited by trio sequencing. Clin. Genet. 95, 151–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.13463
- Kaiser, V.B., Svinti, V., Prendergast, J.G., Chau, Y.-Y., Campbell, A., Patarcic, I., Barroso, I., Joshi, P.K., Hastie, N.D., Miljkovic, A., Taylor, M.S., Generation Scotland, UK10K, Enroth, S., Memari, Y., Kolb-Kokocinski, A., Wright, A.F., Gyllensten, U., Durbin, R., Rudan, I., Campbell, H., Polašek, O., Johansson, Å., Sauer, S., Porteous, D.J., Fraser, R.M., Drake, C., Vitart, V., Hayward, C., Semple, C.A., Wilson, J.F., 2015. Homozygous loss-of-function variants in European cosmopolitan and isolate populations. Hum. Mol. Genet. 24, 5464–5474. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv272
- Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B.B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.L., Laricchia, K.M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D.P., Gauthier, L.D., Brand, H., Solomonson, M., Watts, N.A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., England, E.M., Seaby, E.G., Kosmicki, J.A., Walters, R.K., Tashman, K., Farjoun, Y., Banks, E., Poterba, T., Wang, A., Seed, C., Whiffin, N., Chong, J.X., Samocha, K.E., Pierce-Hoffman, E., Zappala, Z., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Minikel, E.V., Weisburd, B., Lek, M., Ware, J.S., Vittal, C., Armean, I.M., Bergelson, L., Cibulskis, K., Connolly, K.M., Covarrubias, M., Donnelly, S., Ferriera, S., Gabriel, S., Gentry, J., Gupta, N., Jeandet, T., Kaplan, D., Llanwarne, C., Munshi, R., Novod, S., Petrillo, N., Roazen, D., Ruano-Rubio, V., Saltzman, A., Schleicher, M., Soto, J., Tibbetts, K., Tolonen, C., Wade, G., Talkowski, M.E., Genome Aggregation Database Consortium, Neale, B.M., Daly, M.J., MacArthur, D.G., 2020. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581, 434–443. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7
- Kim, Y.S., Leventhal, B.L., Koh, Y.-J., Fombonne, E., Laska, E., Lim, E.-C., Cheon, K.-A., Kim, S.-J., Kim, Y.-K., Lee, H., Song, D.-H., Grinker, R.R., 2011. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders in a total population sample. Am. J. Psychiatry 168, 904–912. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.10101532
- Krumm, N., Sudmant, P.H., Ko, A., O'Roak, B.J., Malig, M., Coe, B.P., NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project, Quinlan, A.R., Nickerson, D.A., Eichler, E.E., 2012. Copy number variation detection and genotyping from exome sequence data. Genome Res. 22, 1525–1532. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.138115.112
- Lai, M.-C., Kassee, C., Besney, R., Bonato, S., Hull, L., Mandy, W., Szatmari, P., Ameis, S.H., 2019. Prevalence of co-occurring mental health diagnoses in the autism population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 6, 819–829. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30289-5
- Lek, M., Karczewski, K.J., Minikel, E.V., Samocha, K.E., Banks, E., Fennell, T., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Ware, J.S., Hill, A.J., Cummings, B.B., Tukiainen, T., Birnbaum, D.P., Kosmicki, J.A., Duncan, L.E., Estrada, K., Zhao, F., Zou, J., Pierce-Hoffman, E., Berghout, J., Cooper, D.N., Deflaux, N., DePristo, M., Do, R., Flannick, J., Fromer, M., Gauthier, L., Goldstein, J., Gupta, N., Howrigan, D., Kiezun, A., Kurki, M.I., Moonshine, A.L., Natarajan, P., Orozco, L., Peloso, G.M., Poplin, R., Rivas, M.A., Ruano-Rubio, V., Rose, S.A., Ruderfer, D.M., Shakir, K., Stenson, P.D., Stevens, C., Thomas, B.P., Tiao, G., Tusie-Luna, M.T., Weisburd, B., Won, H.-H., Yu, D., Altshuler, D.M., Ardissino, D., Boehnke, M., Danesh, J., Donnelly, S., Elosua, R., Florez, J.C., Gabriel, S.B., Getz, G., Glatt, S.J., Hultman, C.M., Kathiresan, S., Laakso, M., McCarroll, S., McCarthy, M.I., McGovern, D., McPherson, R., Neale, B.M., Palotie, A., Purcell, S.M., Saleheen, D., Scharf, J.M., Sklar, P., Sullivan, P.F., Tuomilehto, J., Tsuang, M.T., Watkins, H.C., Wilson, J.G., Daly, M.J., MacArthur, D.G., Exome Aggregation Consortium, 2016. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 536, 285–291. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19057
- Li, H., 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio.

- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup, 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 25, 2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Li, M., Santpere, G., Imamura Kawasawa, Y., Evgrafov, O.V., Gulden, F.O., Pochareddy, S., Sunkin, S.M., Li, Z., Shin, Y., Zhu, Y., Sousa, A.M.M., Werling, D.M., Kitchen, R.R., Kang, H.J., Pletikos, M., Choi, J., Muchnik, S., Xu, X., Wang, D., Lorente-Galdos, B., Liu, S., Giusti-Rodríguez, P., Won, H., de Leeuw, C.A., Pardiñas, A.F., BrainSpan Consortium, PsychENCODE Consortium, PsychENCODE Developmental Subgroup, Hu, M., Jin, F., Li, Y., Owen, M.J., O'Donovan, M.C., Walters, J.T.R., Posthuma, D., Reimers, M.A., Levitt, P., Weinberger, D.R., Hyde, T.M., Kleinman, J.E., Geschwind, D.H., Hawrylycz, M.J., State, M.W., Sanders, S.J., Sullivan, P.F., Gerstein, M.B., Lein, E.S., Knowles, J.A., Sestan, N., 2018. Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and neuropsychiatric risks. Science 362. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat7615
- Lim, E.T., Raychaudhuri, S., Sanders, S.J., Stevens, C., Sabo, A., MacArthur, D.G., Neale, B.M., Kirby, A., Ruderfer, D.M., Fromer, M., Lek, M., Liu, L., Flannick, J., Ripke, S., Nagaswamy, U., Muzny, D., Reid, J.G., Hawes, A., Newsham, I., Wu, Y., Lewis, L., Dinh, H., Gross, S., Wang, L.-S., Lin, C.-F., Valladares, O., Gabriel, S.B., dePristo, M., Altshuler, D.M., Purcell, S.M., NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project, State, M.W., Boerwinkle, E., Buxbaum, J.D., Cook, E.H., Gibbs, R.A., Schellenberg, G.D., Sutcliffe, J.S., Devlin, B., Roeder, K., Daly, M.J., 2013. Rare complete knockouts in humans: population distribution and significant role in autism spectrum disorders. Neuron 77, 235–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.029
- Lipkin, S.M., Näär, A.M., Kalla, K.A., Sack, R.A., Rosenfeld, M.G., 1993. Identification of a novel zinc finger protein binding a conserved element critical for Pit-1-dependent growth hormone gene expression. Genes Dev. 7, 1674–1687. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.7.9.1674
- Lord, C., Brugha, T., Charman, T., Cusack, J., Dumas, G., Frazier, T., Jones, E., Jones, R., Pickles, A., State, M., Taylor, J.L., Veenstra-Vanderweele, J., 2020. Autism spectrum disorder. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer 6, 5. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0138-4
- Lord, C., Rutter, M., Goode, S., Heemsbergen, J., Jordan, H., Mawhood, L., Schopler, E., 1989. Autism diagnostic observation schedule: a standardized observation of communicative and social behavior. J. Autism Dev. Disord. 19, 185–212. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02211841
- Lord, C., Rutter, M., Le Couteur, A., 1994. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. J. Autism Dev. Disord. 24, 659–685. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02172145
- Lundström, S., Reichenberg, A., Anckarsäter, H., Lichtenstein, P., Gillberg, C., 2015. Autism phenotype versus registered diagnosis in Swedish children: prevalence trends over 10 years in general population samples. BMJ 350, h1961. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1961
- Maddirevula, S., AlZahrani, F., Anazi, S., Almureikhi, M., Ben-Omran, T., Abdel-Salam, G.M.H., Hashem, M., Ibrahim, N., Abdulwahab, F.M., Meriki, N., Bashiri, F.A., Thong, M.-K., Muthukumarasamy, P., Azwani Mazlan, R., Shaheen, R., Alkuraya, F.S., 2018. GWAS signals revisited using human knockouts. Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet. 20, 64–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2017.78
- Maenner, M.J., 2020. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 8 Years Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2016. MMWR Surveill. Summ. 69. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6904a1
- Mamidala, M.P., Kalikiri, M.K., Praveen Kumar, P.T.V., Rajesh, N., Vallamkonda, O.R., Rajesh, V., 2015.

 Consanguinity in India and its association with autism spectrum disorder. Autism Res. Off. J. Int. Soc. Autism Res. 8, 224–228. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1431

- Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J.C., Rich, S.S., Daly, K., Sale, M., Chen, W.-M., 2010. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 26, 2867–2873. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559
- Manzini, M.C., Xiong, L., Shaheen, R., Tambunan, D.E., Di Costanzo, S., Mitisalis, V., Tischfield, D.J., Cinquino, A., Ghaziuddin, M., Christian, M., Jiang, Q., Laurent, S., Nanjiani, Z.A., Rasheed, S., Hill, R.S., Lizarraga, S.B., Gleason, D., Sabbagh, D., Salih, M.A., Alkuraya, F.S., Walsh, C.A., 2014. CC2D1A regulates human intellectual and social function as well as NF-κB signaling homeostasis. Cell Rep. 8, 647–655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.06.039
- Martin, H.C., Jones, W.D., McIntyre, R., Sanchez-Andrade, G., Sanderson, M., Stephenson, J.D., Jones, C.P., Handsaker, J., Gallone, G., Bruntraeger, M., McRae, J.F., Prigmore, E., Short, P., Niemi, M., Kaplanis, J., Radford, E.J., Akawi, N., Balasubramanian, M., Dean, J., Horton, R., Hulbert, A., Johnson, D.S., Johnson, K., Kumar, D., Lynch, S.A., Mehta, S.G., Morton, J., Parker, M.J., Splitt, M., Turnpenny, P.D., Vasudevan, P.C., Wright, M., Bassett, A., Gerety, S.S., Wright, C.F., FitzPatrick, D.R., Firth, H.V., Hurles, M.E., Barrett, J.C., Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study, 2018. Quantifying the contribution of recessive coding variation to developmental disorders. Science 362, 1161–1164. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar6731
- Mason, D., Capp, S.J., Stewart, G.R., Kempton, M.J., Glaser, K., Howlin, P., Happé, F., 2021. A Metaanalysis of Outcome Studies of Autistic Adults: Quantifying Effect Size, Quality, and Metaregression. J. Autism Dev. Disord. 51, 3165–3179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04763-2
- Minhas, A., Vajaratkar, V., Divan, G., Hamdani, S.U., Leadbitter, K., Taylor, C., Aldred, C., Tariq, A., Tariq, M., Cardoza, P., Green, J., Patel, V., Rahman, A., 2015. Parents' perspectives on care of children with autistic spectrum disorder in South Asia Views from Pakistan and India. Int. Rev. Psychiatry 27, 247–256. https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2015.1049128
- Mirzaa, G.M., Chong, J.X., Piton, A., Popp, B., Foss, K., Guo, H., Harripaul, R., Xia, K., Scheck, J., Aldinger, K.A., Sajan, S.A., Tang, S., Bonneau, D., Beck, A., White, J., Mahida, S., Harris, J., Smith-Hicks, C., Hoyer, J., Zweier, C., Reis, A., Thiel, C.T., Jamra, R.A., Zeid, N., Yang, A., Farach, L.S., Walsh, L., Payne, K., Rohena, L., Velinov, M., Ziegler, A., Schaefer, E., Gatinois, V., Geneviève, D., Simon, M.E.H., Kohler, J., Rotenberg, J., Wheeler, P., Larson, A., Ernst, M.E., Akman, C.I., Westman, R., Blanchet, P., Schillaci, L.-A., Vincent-Delorme, C., Gripp, K.W., Mattioli, F., Guyader, G.L., Gerard, B., Mathieu-Dramard, M., Morin, G., Sasanfar, R., Ayub, M., Vasli, N., Yang, S., Person, R., Monaghan, K.G., Nickerson, D.A., van Binsbergen, E., Enns, G.M., Dries, A.M., Rowe, L.J., Tsai, A.C.H., Svihovec, S., Friedman, J., Agha, Z., Qamar, R., Rodan, L.H., Martinez-Agosto, J., Ockeloen, C.W., Vincent, M., Sunderland, W.J., Bernstein, J.A., Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Eichler, E.E., Vincent, J.B., University of Washington Center for Mendelian Genomics (UW-CMG),, Bamshad, M.J., 2019. De novo and inherited variants in ZNF292 underlie a neurodevelopmental disorder with features of autism spectrum disorder. Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0693-9
- Modabbernia, A., Velthorst, E., Reichenberg, A., 2017. Environmental risk factors for autism: an evidence-based review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Mol. Autism 8, 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0121-4
- Modell, B., Darr, A., 2002. Science and society: genetic counselling and customary consanguineous marriage. Nat. Rev. Genet. 3, 225–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg754
- Morrow, E.M., Yoo, S.-Y., Flavell, S.W., Kim, T.-K., Lin, Y., Hill, R.S., Mukaddes, N.M., Balkhy, S., Gascon, G., Hashmi, A., Al-Saad, S., Ware, J., Joseph, R.M., Greenblatt, R., Gleason, D., Ertelt, J.A., Apse, K.A., Bodell, A., Partlow, J.N., Barry, B., Yao, H., Markianos, K., Ferland, R.J., Greenberg, M.E., Walsh, C.A., 2008. Identifying autism loci and genes by tracing recent shared ancestry. Science 321, 218–223. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1157657

- Nabais Sá, M.J., Jensik, P.J., McGee, S.R., Parker, M.J., Lahiri, N., McNeil, E.P., Kroes, H.Y., Hagerman, R.J., Harrison, R.E., Montgomery, T., Splitt, M., Palmer, E.E., Sachdev, R.K., Mefford, H.C., Scott, A.A., Martinez-Agosto, J.A., Lorenz, R., Orenstein, N., Berg, J.N., Amiel, J., Heron, D., Keren, B., Cobben, J.-M., Menke, L.A., Marco, E.J., Graham, J.M., Pierson, T.M., Karimiani, E.G., Maroofian, R., Manzini, M.C., Cauley, E.S., Colombo, R., Odent, S., Dubourg, C., Phornphutkul, C., de Brouwer, A.P.M., de Vries, B.B.A., Vulto-vanSilfhout, A.T., 2019. De novo and biallelic DEAF1 variants cause a phenotypic spectrum. Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet. 21, 2059–2069. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0473-6
- Najmabadi, H., Hu, H., Garshasbi, M., Zemojtel, T., Abedini, S.S., Chen, W., Hosseini, M., Behjati, F., Haas, S., Jamali, P., Zecha, A., Mohseni, M., Püttmann, L., Vahid, L.N., Jensen, C., Moheb, L.A., Bienek, M., Larti, F., Mueller, I., Weissmann, R., Darvish, H., Wrogemann, K., Hadavi, V., Lipkowitz, B., Esmaeeli-Nieh, S., Wieczorek, D., Kariminejad, R., Firouzabadi, S.G., Cohen, M., Fattahi, Z., Rost, I., Mojahedi, F., Hertzberg, C., Dehghan, A., Rajab, A., Banavandi, M.J.S., Hoffer, J., Falah, M., Musante, L., Kalscheuer, V., Ullmann, R., Kuss, A.W., Tzschach, A., Kahrizi, K., Ropers, H.H., 2011. Deep sequencing reveals 50 novel genes for recessive cognitive disorders. Nature 478, 57–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10423
- Neuser, S., Brechmann, B., Heimer, G., Brösse, I., Schubert, S., O'Grady, L., Zech, M., Srivastava, S., Sweetser, D.A., Dincer, Y., Mall, V., Winkelmann, J., Behrends, C., Darras, B.T., Graham, R.J., Jayakar, P., Byrne, B., Bar-Aluma, B.E., Haberman, Y., Szeinberg, A., Aldhalaan, H.M., Hashem, M., Al Tenaiji, A., Ismayl, O., Al Nuaimi, A.E., Maher, K., Ibrahim, S., Khan, F., Houlden, H., Ramakumaran, V.S., Pagnamenta, A.T., Posey, J.E., Lupski, J.R., Tan, W.-H., ElGhazali, G., Herman, I., Muñoz, T., Repetto, G.M., Seitz, A., Krumbiegel, M., Poli, M.C., Kini, U., Efthymiou, S., Meiler, J., Maroofian, R., Alkuraya, F.S., Abou Jamra, R., Popp, B., Ben-Zeev, B., Ebrahimi-Fakhari, D., 2021. Clinical, neuroimaging, and molecular spectrum of TECPR2-associated hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy with intellectual disability. Hum. Mutat. 42, 762–776. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24206
- Ng, P.C., Henikoff, S., 2003. SIFT: Predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 3812–3814.
- Packer, J.S., Maxwell, E.K., O'Dushlaine, C., Lopez, A.E., Dewey, F.E., Chernomorsky, R., Baras, A., Overton, J.D., Habegger, L., Reid, J.G., 2016. CLAMMS: a scalable algorithm for calling common and rare copy number variants from exome sequencing data. Bioinformatics 32, 133–135. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv547
- Perez, Y., Menascu, S., Cohen, I., Kadir, R., Basha, O., Shorer, Z., Romi, H., Meiri, G., Rabinski, T., Ofir, R., Yeger-Lotem, E., Birk, O.S., 2018. RSRC1 mutation affects intellect and behaviour through aberrant splicing and transcription, downregulating IGFBP3. Brain J. Neurol. 141, 961–970. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy045
- Pillai, N.R., Yubero, D., Shayota, B.J., Oyarzábal, A., Ghosh, R., Sun, Q., Azamian, M.S., Arjona, C., Brandi, N., Palau, F., Lalani, S.R., Artuch, R., García-Cazorla, A., Scott, D.A., 2019. Loss of CLTRN function produces a neuropsychiatric disorder and a biochemical phenotype that mimics Hartnup disease. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 179, 2459–2468. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.61357
- Rajab, A., Schuelke, M., Gill, E., Zwirner, A., Seifert, F., Morales Gonzalez, S., Knierim, E., 2015. Recessive DEAF1 mutation associates with autism, intellectual disability, basal ganglia dysfunction and epilepsy. J. Med. Genet. 52, 607–611. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103083
- Ramaswami, G., Geschwind, D.H., 2018. Genetics of autism spectrum disorder. Handb. Clin. Neurol. 147, 321–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63233-3.00021-X
- Rauf, N.K., Anis-ul-Haq, null, Aslam, N., Anjum, U., 2014. Characteristic symptoms and adaptive behaviors of children with autism. J. Coll. Physicians Surg.--Pak. JCPSP 24, 658–662. https://doi.org/09.2014/JCPSP.658662

- Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G.M., Shendure, J., Kircher, M., 2019. CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D886–D894. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1016
- Reuter, M.S., Tawamie, H., Buchert, R., Hosny Gebril, O., Froukh, T., Thiel, C., Uebe, S., Ekici, A.B., Krumbiegel, M., Zweier, C., Hoyer, J., Eberlein, K., Bauer, J., Scheller, U., Strom, T.M., Hoffjan, S., Abdelraouf, E.R., Meguid, N.A., Abboud, A., Al Khateeb, M.A., Fakher, M., Hamdan, S., Ismael, A., Muhammad, S., Abdallah, E., Sticht, H., Wieczorek, D., Reis, A., Abou Jamra, R., 2017. Diagnostic Yield and Novel Candidate Genes by Exome Sequencing in 152 Consanguineous Families With Neurodevelopmental Disorders. JAMA Psychiatry 74, 293–299. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3798
- Reva, B., Antipin, Y., Sander, C., 2011. Predicting the functional impact of protein mutations: application to cancer genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, e118. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr407
- Riazuddin, S., Hussain, M., Razzaq, A., Iqbal, Z., Shahzad, M., Polla, D.L., Song, Y., van Beusekom, E., Khan, A.A., Tomas-Roca, L., Rashid, M., Zahoor, M.Y., Wissink-Lindhout, W.M., Basra, M. a. R., Ansar, M., Agha, Z., van Heeswijk, K., Rasheed, F., Van de Vorst, M., Veltman, J.A., Gilissen, C., Akram, J., Kleefstra, T., Assir, M.Z., UK10K, Grozeva, D., Carss, K., Raymond, F.L., O'Connor, T.D., Riazuddin, S.A., Khan, S.N., Ahmed, Z.M., de Brouwer, A.P.M., van Bokhoven, H., Riazuddin, S., 2017. Exome sequencing of Pakistani consanguineous families identifies 30 novel candidate genes for recessive intellectual disability. Mol. Psychiatry 22, 1604–1614. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.109
- Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., Mesirov, J.P., 2011. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 24–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754
- Rødgaard, E.-M., Jensen, K., Vergnes, J.-N., Soulières, I., Mottron, L., 2019. Temporal Changes in Effect Sizes of Studies Comparing Individuals With and Without Autism: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 76, 1124–1132. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.1956
- Rutter, Michael, Bailey, A., Lord, C., Cianchetti, C., Fancello, G.S., 2007. SCQ: Social Communication Questionnaire: Manuale. Giunti, OS.
- Sanders, S.J., Murtha, M.T., Gupta, A.R., Murdoch, J.D., Raubeson, M.J., Willsey, A.J., Ercan-Sencicek, A.G., DiLullo, N.M., Parikshak, N.N., Stein, J.L., Walker, M.F., Ober, G.T., Teran, N.A., Song, Y., El-Fishawy, P., Murtha, R.C., Choi, M., Overton, J.D., Bjornson, R.D., Carriero, N.J., Meyer, K.A., Bilguvar, K., Mane, S.M., Sestan, N., Lifton, R.P., Günel, M., Roeder, K., Geschwind, D.H., Devlin, B., State, M.W., 2012. De novo mutations revealed by whole-exome sequencing are strongly associated with autism. Nature 485, 237–241. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10945
- Sarwat, A., Ali, S.M.I., Ejaz, M.S., 2009. MENTAL HEALTH MORBIDITY IN CHILDREN: A HOSPITAL BASED STUDY IN CHILD PSYCHIATRY CLINIC. Pak J Med Sci 25, 982–985.
- Schopler, E., Reichler, R.J., DeVellis, R.F., Daly, K., 1980. Toward objective classification of childhood autism: Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS). J. Autism Dev. Disord. 10, 91–103. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02408436
- Schwarz, J.M., Rödelsperger, C., Schuelke, M., Seelow, D., 2010. MutationTaster evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations. Nat. Methods 7, 575–576. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0810-575
- Scott, E.M., Halees, A., Itan, Y., Spencer, E.G., He, Y., Azab, M.A., Gabriel, S.B., Belkadi, A., Boisson, B., Abel, L., Clark, A.G., Greater Middle East Variome Consortium, Alkuraya, F.S., Casanova, J.-L., Gleeson, J.G., 2016. Characterization of Greater Middle Eastern genetic variation for enhanced disease gene discovery. Nat. Genet. 48, 1071–1076. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3592
- Sener, E.F., Onal, M.G., Dal, F., Nalbantoglu, U., Ozkul, Y., Canatan, H., Oztop, D.B., 2020. Novel alterations of CC2D1A as a candidate gene in a Turkish sample of patients with autism spectrum disorder. Int. J. Neurosci. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207454.2020.1860968

- Shihab, H.A., Rogers, M.F., Gough, J., Mort, M., Cooper, D.N., Day, I.N.M., Gaunt, T.R., Campbell, C., 2015. An integrative approach to predicting the functional effects of non-coding and coding sequence variation. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 31, 1536–1543. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv009
- Skuse, D., Warrington, R., Bishop, D., Chowdhury, U., Lau, J., Mandy, W., Place, M., 2004. The developmental, dimensional and diagnostic interview (3di): a novel computerized assessment for autism spectrum disorders. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 43, 548–558. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200405000-00008
- Song, L., Sabunciyan, S., Florea, L., 2016. CLASS2: accurate and efficient splice variant annotation from RNA-seq reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, e98. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw158
- Steele, J.L., Morrow, M.M., Sarnat, H.B., Alkhunaizi, E., Brandt, T., Chitayat, D.A., DeFilippo, C.P., Douglas, G.V., Dubbs, H.A., Elloumi, H.Z., Glassford, M.R., Hannibal, M.C., Héron, B., Kim, L.E., Marco, E.J., Mignot, C., Monaghan, K.G., Myers, K.A., Parikh, S., Quinonez, S.C., Rajabi, F., Shankar, S.P., Shinawi, M.S., van de Kamp, J.J.P., Veerapandiyan, A., Waldman, A.T., Graf, W.D., 2021. Semaphorin-Plexin Signaling: From Axonal Guidance to a New X-Linked Intellectual Disability Syndrome. Pediatr. Neurol. 126, 65–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.10.008
- Syed, E.U., Hussein, S.A., Yousafzai, A.W., 2007. Developing Services with Limited Resources: Establishing a CAMHS in Pakistan. Child Adolesc. Ment. Health 12, 121–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2006.00429.x
- Tareen, A., Mirza, I., Minhas, A., Minhas, F., Rahman, A., 2009. Developing a child and adolescent mental health service in a low-income country: a global partnership model. Psychiatr. Bull. 33, 181–183. https://doi.org/10.1192/pb.bp.108.020487
- Taylor, M.J., Rosenqvist, M.A., Larsson, H., Gillberg, C., D'Onofrio, B.M., Lichtenstein, P., Lundström, S., 2020. Etiology of Autism Spectrum Disorders and Autistic Traits Over Time. JAMA Psychiatry 77, 936–943. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.0680
- Van der Auwera, G.A., Carneiro, M.O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., Del Angel, G., Levy-Moonshine, A., Jordan, T., Shakir, K., Roazen, D., Thibault, J., Banks, E., Garimella, K.V., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., DePristo, M.A., 2013. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma. 43, 11.10.1-11.10.33. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43
- Wang, K., Li, M., Hakonarson, H., 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, e164. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603
- Wang, Q., Pierce-Hoffman, E., Cummings, B.B., Alföldi, J., Francioli, L.C., Gauthier, L.D., Hill, A.J., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Genome Aggregation Database Production Team, Genome Aggregation Database Consortium, Karczewski, K.J., MacArthur, D.G., 2020. Landscape of multi-nucleotide variants in 125,748 human exomes and 15,708 genomes. Nat. Commun. 11, 2539. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12438-5
- Wang, T., Guo, H., Xiong, B., Stessman, H.A.F., Wu, H., Coe, B.P., Turner, T.N., Liu, Y., Zhao, W., Hoekzema, K., Vives, L., Xia, L., Tang, M., Ou, J., Chen, B., Shen, Y., Xun, G., Long, M., Lin, J., Kronenberg, Z.N., Peng, Y., Bai, T., Li, H., Ke, X., Hu, Z., Zhao, J., Zou, X., Xia, K., Eichler, E.E., 2016. De novo genic mutations among a Chinese autism spectrum disorder cohort. Nat. Commun. 7, 13316. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13316
- Wang, T., Zhang, Y., Liu, L., Wang, Y., Chen, H., Fan, T., Li, J., Xia, K., Sun, Z., 2021. Targeted sequencing and integrative analysis of 3,195 Chinese patients with neurodevelopmental disorders prioritized 26 novel candidate genes. J. Genet. Genomics Yi Chuan Xue Bao 48, 312–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2021.03.002

- Weiss, L.A., Escayg, A., Kearney, J.A., Trudeau, M., MacDonald, B.T., Mori, M., Reichert, J., Buxbaum, J.D., Meisler, M.H., 2003. Sodium channels SCN1A, SCN2A and SCN3A in familial autism. Mol. Psychiatry 8, 186–194. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001241
- World Health Organization, 2010. The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders.
- Wu, J., Yu, P., Jin, X., Xu, X., Li, J., Li, Z., Wang, M., Wang, T., Wu, X., Jiang, Y., Cai, W., Mei, J., Min, Q., Xu, Q., Zhou, B., Guo, H., Wang, P., Zhou, W., Hu, Z., Li, Y., Cai, T., Wang, Y., Xia, K., Jiang, Y.-H., Sun, Z.S., 2018. Genomic landscapes of Chinese sporadic autism spectrum disorders revealed by whole-genome sequencing. J. Genet. Genomics Yi Chuan Xue Bao 45, 527–538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2018.09.002
- Yang, C., Li, J., Wu, Q., Yang, X., Huang, A.Y., Zhang, J., Ye, A.Y., Dou, Y., Yan, L., Zhou, W.-Z., Kong, L., Wang, M., Ai, C., Yang, D., Wei, L., 2018. AutismKB 2.0: a knowledgebase for the genetic evidence of autism spectrum disorder. Database J. Biol. Databases Curation 2018. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bay106
- Yang, H., Wang, K., 2015. Genomic variant annotation and prioritization with ANNOVAR and wANNOVAR. Nat. Protoc. 10, 1556–1566. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.105
- Yu, T.W., Chahrour, M.H., Coulter, M.E., Jiralerspong, S., Okamura-Ikeda, K., Ataman, B., Schmitz-Abe, K., Harmin, D.A., Adli, M., Malik, A.N., D'Gama, A.M., Lim, E.T., Sanders, S.J., Mochida, G.H., Partlow, J.N., Sunu, C.M., Felie, J.M., Rodriguez, J., Nasir, R.H., Ware, J., Joseph, R.M., Hill, R.S., Kwan, B.Y., Al-Saffar, M., Mukaddes, N.M., Hashmi, A., Balkhy, S., Gascon, G.G., Hisama, F.M., LeClair, E., Poduri, A., Oner, O., Al-Saad, S., Al-Awadi, S.A., Bastaki, L., Ben-Omran, T., Teebi, A.S., Al-Gazali, L., Eapen, V., Stevens, C.R., Rappaport, L., Gabriel, S.B., Markianos, K., State, M.W., Greenberg, M.E., Taniguchi, H., Braverman, N.E., Morrow, E.M., Walsh, C.A., 2013. Using whole-exome sequencing to identify inherited causes of autism. Neuron 77, 259–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.11.002
- Yuen, R.K.C., Merico, D., Cao, H., Pellecchia, G., Alipanahi, B., Thiruvahindrapuram, B., Tong, X., Sun, Y., Cao, D., Zhang, T., Wu, X., Jin, X., Zhou, Z., Liu, X., Nalpathamkalam, T., Walker, S., Howe, J.L., Wang, Z., MacDonald, J.R., Chan, A., D'Abate, L., Deneault, E., Siu, M.T., Tammimies, K., Uddin, M., Zarrei, M., Wang, M., Li, Y., Wang, Jun, Wang, Jian, Yang, H., Bookman, M., Bingham, J., Gross, S.S., Loy, D., Pletcher, M., Marshall, C.R., Anagnostou, E., Zwaigenbaum, L., Weksberg, R., Fernandez, B.A., Roberts, W., Szatmari, P., Glazer, D., Frey, B.J., Ring, R.H., Xu, X., Scherer, S.W., 2016. Genome-wide characteristics ofde novomutations in autism. NPJ Genomic Med. 1, 160271–1602710. https://doi.org/10.1038/npjgenmed.2016.27
- Zeisel, A., Hochgerner, H., Lönnerberg, P., Johnsson, A., Memic, F., van der Zwan, J., Häring, M., Braun, E., Borm, L.E., La Manno, G., Codeluppi, S., Furlan, A., Lee, K., Skene, N., Harris, K.D., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Arenas, E., Ernfors, P., Marklund, U., Linnarsson, S., 2018. Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. Cell 174, 999-1014.e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021

Table 1: Cohort Description.

Cohort ID (Recruited By)	Institute	Nationality	Number of Trios (Number of DNAs)	Proband Male: Female
SMPA (Saqib Mahmood, Ansa Rabia, Shazia Maqbool)	University of Health Sciences and Children Hospital, Lahore	Pakistan	54 (162)	42:12
IAU (Dr. Sasanfar)	Special Education Organization	Iran	27 (81)	23:4
IABB (Dr. Bita Bozorgmehr)	Shahid Beheshti Medical University	Iran	10 (30)	9:2
SADD (Laila Al Ayadhi)	King Saud University	Saudi Arabia	13 (39)	12:1
Autism (Raheel Qamar, Maleeha Azam and Zehra Agha)	COMSATS University,	Pakistan	4 (12)	4:4
RQPA (Raheel Qamar, Maleeha Azam and Zehra Agha)	Islamadad		4 (12	
IAH (Abolfazl Heidari)	Qazvin Medical University, Qazvin	Iran	3 (9)	2:1
Total			115 (345)	91:24

Table 2: Biallelic/homozygous variants: Genes previously reported as pathogenic for ASD/ID or other disorder are indicated, with annotation using (in order of priority): OMIM: https://omim.org/; SFARI ASD genes https://gene.sfari.org/; DDD gene2phenotype genes: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gene2phenotype/; Geisinger developmental brain disorder gene database: https://dbd.geisingeradmi.org/; NPdenovo: http://www.genemed.tech/gene4denovo/search. Genes previously unreported for ASD or

ID are in bold type. Control population frequencies show are from gnomAD (all, and for South Asian sub-population). All variants were validated by IGV (Integrated Genomics Viewer; Broad Institute) and by Sanger sequencing (except for IAU80/BTN3A2, for which unique PCR primers could not

be designed). Validations are shown in Supplementary Materials.

Variant	Family	Chr	Coordinate s (hg19)	Ref.	Alt.	Gene	Variant Type	cDNA/Amino Acid Change	gnomAD All Freq.	gnomAD S. Asian Freq.
1	SMPA3	1	11855404	С	A	MTHFR (Reuter et al, 2017; MIM 236250; SFARI score 3)	nonsynonymous	NM_001330358.2: exon6: c. 905G>T: p. Gly302Val	4.07E-06	3.25E-05
2	IABB16	1	87029377	G	А	CLCA4	nonsynonymous	NM_012128.3:c.482G>A:p.Arg161Gln	4.11E-04	1.72E-03
3	SMPA4	1	152193673- 152193682		-	HRNR	frameshift del	NM_001009931.3: exon3: c.423_432del: p.Arg142Glufs*15	4.467E-05	4.0E-4
4	SMPA76	1	166891853- 166891854	СТ	-	ILDR2	frameshift del	NM_199351.3: exon8: c.1187_1188del: p. Glu396Glyfs*39)	0	4.07E-06
5	SMPA3	1	178425880	С	А	RASAL2	Nonsynonymous	NM_004841.3: exon11: c.1813C>A: p. Leu605lle	8.0E-4	6E-3
6	SMPA40	1	197621364	С	А	DENND1B	Splicing	NM_001195215.1: exon7: c.447+1G>T	0	0
7	Autism8	2	74759786	G	Т	HTRA2 (MIM 617248)	Nonsynonymous	NM_013247.3: exon7: c.1156G>T: p. Asp386Tyr	8.12E-06	6.50E-05
8	SMPA19	3	38802185	С	А	SCN10A	Stopgain	NM_001293306.2: exon7: c.937G>T; p. Gly313*	0	0
9	SMPA76	3	43593070	С	A	ANO10 (spinocerebellar ataxia 10, MIM 613728)	Nonsynonymous	NM_001346463.2:exon11:c.1679G>T:p.Cys560Phe	0	0
10	SA15	3	47164429	G	С	SETD2 (LLS (AD) MIM 616831; SFARI score 1)	Nonsynonymous	NM_001349370.3: exon2:c.1565C>G: p. Ser522Cys	0	0
11	SMPA27	3	134911657	Т	С	EPHB1	Nonsynonymous	NM_004441.3: exon11: c.2122T>C: p. Phe708Lys	4.07E-06	3.25E-05
12	Autism3	3	157840002	С	Т	RSRC1 (MRT70; MIM 618402; SFARI score S)	Stopgain	NM_001271834.2: exon2:c.109C>T: p. Arg37*	0	0

SMPA8	3	169524635	С	Т	LRRC34	Splicing	NM_001172779.1: exon5: c.528+1G>A	0	0
IAU66	4	101108876	тт	С	DDIT4L	frameshift del	NM_145244.3: exon3: c.539_540delinsG: p. Lys180Serfs*5	0	0
RQPA12	4	178360822	G	Α	AGA (MIM 208400)	Nonsynonymous	NM_000027.3: exon3: c.302C>T: p. Ala101Val	0	4.06E-06
SMPA35	4	185033930	CAT	С	ENPP6	frameshift del	NM_153343.3: exon6: c.886_887del: p.Met296Aspfs*28	0	0
IABB11	5	35910583	С	Т	CAPSL	Nonsynonymous	NM_144647.3:c.200G>A:p.Gly67Glu	0	0
Autism8	5	150846823	-	CTGG	SLC36A1	frameshift ins	NM_001308150.2: exon6:c.483_484insCTGG: p. Asp164Glyfs*2	8.01E-06	6.6E-05
IAU56	5	154199963	С	-	FAXDC2	frameshift del	NM_032385.3: exon9: c.915delG: p.Thr306Profs*98	5.35E-05	4E-4
SMPA48	6	13634723	С	G	RANBP9	Nonsynonymous	NM_005493.3: exon11: c.1735G>C: p. Gly579Arg	0	2.44E-05
IAU80	6	26368279	G	A		Splicing	NM_001197247.2: exon2: c1+1G>A (5'UTR)	0	0
IABB8	6	38851674	A	Т	DNAH8	Nonsynonymous	NM_001206927.2: exon56: c.8159A>T: p. Asp2720Val	0	0
Autism9	6	170058095	С	Α	WDR27	Nonsynonymous	NM_001350625.2: exon13: c.1246G>T: p. Gly416Trp	0	0
IAU7	7	47944924	Т	С	PKD1L1 (AR laterality defects; MIM 616205)	Splicing	NM_138295.3: exon11: c.1523-2A>G	0	0
SA7	7	65551627	С	Т	ASL (MIM 207900)#	Nonsynonymous	NM_001024943.2: exon6:c.502C>T: p. Arg168Cys	0	0
IABB7	7	99720009	G	С	CNPY4	Splicing	NM_152755.1: exon2: c.245+1G>C	0	0
IAU79	7	150174821	тсс	Т	GIMAP8	frameshift del	NM_175571.3: exon5: c.1952_1953del: p. Gln652Serfs*6	0	0
SMPA11	7	155604611	Т	Α	SHH (HPE3 (AD) MIM 142945)	Nonsynonymous	NM_000193.4: exon1: c.206A>T: p. Asn69Ile	2.39E-06	1.96E-4
IABB3	8	100155379	AG	А	VPS13B (COH1 MIM 216550; SFARI score 1)	frameshift del	NM_015243.3: exon13: c.1829delG: p. Ser610Thrfs*3	0	0
SA4	9	5805613	Т	С	ERMP1	Nonsynonymous	NM_024896.3: exon9: c.1721A>G: p.His574Arg	0	0
IABB2	11	679701	G	GA	T	frameshift ins	NM_001293634.1: exon6: c.845dupT: p. Ala283Argfs*20	0	0
SMPA11	11	6477889	С	А	TRIM3	Nonsynonymous	NM_033278.3:exon6:c.1067G>T:p.Arg356Leu	0	0
	IAU66 RQPA12 SMPA35 IABB11 Autism8 IAU56 SMPA48 IAU80 IABB8 Autism9 IAU7 SA7 IABB7 IAU79 SMPA11 IABB3 SA4 IABB2	IAU66 4 RQPA12 4 SMPA35 4 IABB11 5 Autism8 5 IAU56 5 SMPA48 6 IAU80 6 IAU80 6 IAU7 7 SA7 7 IABB7 7 IAU79 7 SMPA11 7 IABB3 8 SA4 9 IABB2 11	IAU66 4 101108876 RQPA12 4 178360822 SMPA35 4 185033930 IABB11 5 35910583 Autism8 5 150846823 IAU56 5 154199963 SMPA48 6 13634723 IAU80 6 26368279 IABB8 6 38851674 Autism9 6 170058095 IAU7 7 47944924 SA7 7 65551627 IABB7 7 99720009 IAU79 7 150174821 SMPA11 7 155604611 IABB3 8 100155379 SA4 9 5805613	IAU66	AU66	AU66 4 101108876 TT C DDIT4L	IAU66	Autom	Number N

33	SMPA20	11	47305749	А	G	MADD (Anazi et al 2017 PMID 27431290), Hu et al, 2019	Nonsynonymous	NM_001135943.2: exon11: c. 1883A>G: p. Tyr628Cys	0	0
34	SMPA21	11	61495635	С	G	DAGLA (SCA20; MIM 608687; SFARI score 3)	Stopgain	NM_006133.3: exon7:c.647C>G: p. Ser216*	0	0
35	SMPA80	11	67290028	С	Т	CABP2 (Deafness, MIM 60314)	Nonsynonymous	NM_016366.3: exon2: c.202G>A: p. Ala68Thr	0	6.60E-05
36	SMPA8	11	118403863	A	G	TMEM25	Nonsynonymous	NM_001144036.2: exon3: c. 302A>G: p. Asn101Ser	0	0
37	IAU79	12		GGGAAGCCGAC GAGCTCGTGGT	G	B4GALNT1 (Spastic paraplegia 26; MIM 609195)	nonframeshift del	NM_001276468.2: exon9: c.1094_1114 del: p.His365_Phe372del	0	0
38	IABB10	12	69263140	С	G	СРМ	Nonsynonymous	NM_001005502.2: exon6: c.752G>C: p. Gly251Ala	0	0
39	SMPA8	14	65032074	G	С	PPP1R36	Splicing	NM_172365: exon5: c.270-1G>C	0	0
40	IAH2	14	102963429	TGTGGGGACCGC CTG	Т	TECPR2 Anazi et al, 2017 PMID 27431290); SPG49 MIM 615031	frameshift del	NM_014844.3: exon18: c.3904_3917del: p. Val1302Glyfs*60	0	0
41	IABB4	15	101113962	Т	-	LINS1 (MRT27; MIM 614340)	frameshift del	NM_001040616.3: exon5: c.1116delA: p. Glu372Aspfs*9	0	0
42	SMPA76	16	716004	С	Т	WDR90	Nonsynonymous	NM_145294.4: exon36: c.4489C>T: p. Arg1497Trp	3.24E-05	0
43	IABB14	17	3392637	G	Т	ASPA (Canavan disease; MIM 271900)	Splicing	NM_001128085: exon5: c.634+1G>T	0	0
44	SA2	19	14024452	G	Т	CC2D1A (MRT3; MIM 608443; SFARI score 2)	Splicing	NM_017721: exon6: c.748+1G>T	0	/0
45	IABB16	19	50321659	G	A	MED25 (BVFYS; MIM 616449)	Nonsynonymous	NM_030973.3: exon1: c.61G>A: p. Val21Met	0	0
46	IABB16	20	2847201	С	A	VPS16 (Dystonia (AD) MIM 619291)	Nonsynonymous	NM_080413.3: exon20: c.2069C>A: p. Ala690Glu	0	0
47	IABB16	20	16360737	A	G	KIF16B (PMID 29736960)	Nonsynonymous	NM_001199865.2: exon19: c. T1910T>C: p. Val637Ala	0	0
48	SMPA9	20	31531544	С	Т	EFCAB8	Stopgain	NM_001143967.2: exon23:c.2893C>T: p. Gln965*	0	0
49	IABB5	20	44578999	С	Т	ZNF335 Hu et al, 2019; MCPH10; MIM 615095)	Nonsynonymous	NM_022095.3: exon22: c.3346G>A: p. Gly1116Arg	0	0
50	IABB11	22	40803252	G	С	SGSM3 (SFARI score 3)	Nonsynonymous	NM_001350039.2:c.1288G>C:p.Glu430Gln	1.99E-05	1.63E-4

[#] SpliceAI predicts the generation of a splice donor site.

Table 3: *De novo* variants. Genes previously reported as pathogenic for ASD/ID or other disorder are indicated, with annotation using (in order of priority): OMIM: https://omim.org/; SFARI ASD genes https://gene.sfari.org/; DDD gene2phenotype genes: https://dbd.geisingeradmi.org/; NP*denovo*: https://dbd.geisingeradmi.org/; NP*denovo*: http://www.wzgenomics.cn/NPdenovo/; Gene4denovo: http://www.genemed.tech/gene4denovo/search. Genes previously unreported for ASD or ID are in bold type. Control population frequencies show are from gnomAD (all, and for South Asian sub-population). All variants were validated by

IGV (Integrated Genomics Viewer; Broad Institute) and by Sanger sequencing (except for SMPA39/CLEC4E, for which DNA was exhausted).

Validations are shown in Supplementary Materials.

Variant	Family	Chr	Coordinates (hg19)	Ref.	Alt.	Gene (previously reported)	Variant Type	cDNA/Amino Acid Change	gnomAD All Freq.	gnomAD S. Asian Freq.
1	RQPA20	1	28919246	G	А	RAB42	nonsynonymous	NM_001193532.3: exon1: c. 223G>A: p. Glu75Lys	0	0
2	RQPA20	1	112031311	А	-	TMIGD3	frameshift del	NM_001302680.2: exon2: c.286delT: p. Trp96Glyfs*36	0	0
3	RQPA20	1	150483966	G	Т	ECM1 (DDDG2P: lipoid proteinosis, AR)	Stopgain	NM_001202858.2: exon7: c.823G>T: p. Glu275*	0	8.12E-06
4	RQPA20	1	160722912	С	А	SLAMF7	Stopgain	NM_001282589.2: exon5:c.560C>A: p. Ser187*	0	0
5	IAU29	2	1946865	С	-	MYT1L (MRD39: MIM 616521)	frameshift del	NM_001303052.2: exon9: c.394delG: p. Glu132Argfs*42	0	0
6	SMPA53	2	166166958	С	Т	SCN2A (DEE11: MIM 6137219)	Stopgain	NM_001040143.2: exon6:c.823C>T: p. Arg275*	0	0
7	SMPA38	2	166201156	С	A	SCN2A (DEE11: MIM 6137219)	Nonsynonymous	NM_001040143.2:exon15:c.2654C>A:p.Thr885Asn	0	0
8	IAU66	2	232320177	С	-	NCL	frameshift del	NM_005381: exon13: c.1991delG: p. Gly664Glufs*70	0	0
9	SMPA75	5	137680632	-	Т	FAM53C	frameshift ins	NM_001135647.2: exon4: c.256dupT: p. Ser86Phefs*14	0	0
10	SMPA75	6	47646871	G	A	ADGRF2	Splicing	NM_153839: exon5: c.267+1G>A	4.07E-06	3.25E-05

11	Autism10	6	87969506- 87969507	AG	_	ZNF292 (MRD64: MIM 619188; SFARI score 1)	frameshift del	NM_015021.3: exon8: c.6159_6160del: p. Glu2054Lysfs*14	0	0
12	IAU65	6	87969506- 87969507	AG	-	ZNF292 (MRD64: MIM 619188; SFARI score 1)	frameshift del	NM_015021.3: exon8: c.6159_6160del: p. Glu2054Lysfs*14	0	0
13	RQPA20	11	46389550	Α	С	DGKZ	Splicing	NM_201533: exon4: c.379-2A>C	0	0
14	SMPA39	12	8687235	-	А	CLEC4E	Stoploss	NM_014358.3: exon6: c.658dupT: p.*220Leuext*36	1.22E-05	9.81E-05
15	SMPA73	12	89998008	G	С	ATP2B1	Stopgain	NM_001001323.2: exon15:c.2558C>G: p. Ser853*	0	0
16	RQPA20	16	89006655	G	Α	LOC100129697/CBFA2T3	Nonsynonymous	NM_001290330.2: exon1: c.53G>A: p. Arg18Lys	0	0
17	SMPA75	19	7734220- 7734221	СТ	-	RETN	frameshift del	NM_001193374.1: exon2: c.8_9del: p. Cys5Serfs*13	4.47E-05	0.0003
18	RQPA20	22	22051034	А	G	PPIL2	Splicing	NM_148175: exon21: c.1570-2A>G	0	0

Table 4: X-linked variants. Genes previously reported as pathogenic for ASD/ID or other disorder are indicated, with annotation using (in order of priority): OMIM: https://omim.org/; SFARI ASD genes https://gene.sfari.org/; DDD gene2phenotype genes: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gene2phenotype/; Geisinger developmental brain disorder gene database: https://dbd.geisingeradmi.org/; NP*denovo*: https://www.genemed.tech/gene4denovo/search. Genes previously unreported for ASD or

ID are in bold type. Control population frequencies show are from gnomAD (all, and for South Asian sub-population). All variants were validated by

IGV (Integrated Genomics Viewer; Broad Institute) and by Sanger sequencing. Validations are shown in Supplementary Materials.

Variant	Family	Mode of Inheritance	Coordinates (hg19)	Ref	Alt	Gene (previous reports)	Variant Type	cDNA/Amino Acid Change	gnomAD All Freq.	gnomAD S. Asian Freq.
1	SMPA43	Recessive	13621531	GC	G	EGFL6	frameshift del	NM_001167890.1: exon5: c.497delC: p. Pro167Glnfs*7	0	0
2	SMPA27	Recessive	15474108	G	Т	PIR	Nonsynonymous	NM_003662.4:c.343C>A:p.Gln115Lys	5.60E-05	0
3	SMPA3	Recessive	30236779	С	-	MAGEB2	frameshift del	NM_002364.4:c.82delC:p.Pro28Leufs*84	0	0
4	IAU69	Recessive	55779942	Т	С	RRAGB	Nonsynonymous	NM_006064.4: exon7: c.730T>C: p. Phe244Leu	0	0
5	IAU45	Recessive	64947718	С	А	MSN	Nonsynonymous	NM_002444.3: exon3: c.139C>A: p. Leu47Met	0	0
6	SMPA15	Recessive	105193588	С	Т	NRK	Stopgain	NM_198465.4: exon27: c.4375C>T: p. Gln1459*	0	0
7	IAU47	Recessive	107170098	А	G	MID2 (MRX101; MIM 300928)	nonsynonymous	NM_012216.4:c.2003A>G:p.Tyr668Cys	0	0
8	SMPA15	Recessive	108625363	С	Т	GUCY2F	nonsynonymous	NM_001522.3: exon17: c.3134G>A: p. Gly1045Glu	6.25E-05	0.0006
9	Autism6	Recessive	111019623	А	G	TRPC5	nonsynonymous	NM_012471.3: exon11: c.2840T>C: p. Phe947Ser	4.59E-05	0.0004

10	IAU78	Recessive	127185449	С	Т	ACTRT1	nonsynonymous	NM_138289.4: exon1: c.737G>A: p. Gly246Glu	0	0
11	IAU24	Recessive	129290446	С	Т	AIFM1 (Cowchock syndr.; MIM 310490)	nonsynonymous	NM_001130847.4: exon2: c. 238G>A: p. Ala80Thr	0	0
12	SMPA35	Recessive	134987552	G	Α	SAGE1	Splicing	NM_018666: exon5: c.454+1G>A	0	0
13	IAU51	Recessive	140994661	-	А	MAGEC1	frameshift ins	NM_005462.3:c.1471_1472insA; p.Leu491Tyrfs*10	4.37E-05	0
14	SMPA70	Recessive	150912120	G	А	CNGA2	nonsynonymous	NM_005140.3: exon7: c.1145G>A: p. Arg382GIn	0	0
15	IABB8	Recessive	152106616	А	G	ZNF185	Splicing	NM_001178110: exon13: c.942-2A>G	0	0
16	SMPA41	Recessive	153689047	С	Т	PLXNA3 (ASD: PMID: 339941 18; SFARI score 3)	nonsynonymous	NM_017514.3: exon2: c.524C>T: p. Ser175Leu	4.62E-05	7.37E-05
17	SA1	De Novo	15677205	Т	A	CLTRN (TMEM27)	nonsynonymous ^{&}	NM_020665.3:exon3:c.137A>T:p.Glu46Val	0	0
18	SMPA84	De Novo	100507601	A	С	DRP2 (CMTX1 MIM 302800; PMID 26227883)	nonsynonymous	NM_001171184.2: exon15: c. 1639A>C: p. Ser547Arg	0	0
19	SMPA78	De Novo	153296516	G	A	MECP2 (RTT; MIM 312750; SFARI score 1)	Stopgain	NM_001110792.2:exon3:c.799C>T:p. Arg267*	0	0

[&]MutationTaster predicts splice donor created

Table 5: CNV summary: validated biallelic loss CNVs called by CNVpartition or ChAS. Biallelic losses called from microarray data by PennCNV and from WES data by CLAMMS (Packer 2016; PMID 26382196) did not validate using IGV, and hence are not included. Comparison with control population CNVs used the Database of Genomic Variants (DGV) Gold, accessed through the Decipher genomic browser (www.deciphergenomics.org), also through gnomAD. Coordinates given using hg19.

Family	Mode of Inheritance	(hg19)	Cytoband	Size (Kb)	CN	CNV confidence	Genes	FREQ IN DGV GOLD STANDARD, gnomAD, or rare?	IGV	PCR
SMPA4	Recessive	14:24,454,924-24,464,325	14q11.2	9.402	0	345.9659	DHRS4 exon 8; DHRS4L2 exons 1-4	Partial overlap with gssvL33876 FREQ 0.0029	Y	Y
SMPA4	Recessive	20: 43836785-43836964	20q13.12	0.18	0	228.5597	SEMG1 exon 2, 180bp, 60 amino acids	Partial overlap with gssvL72784 FREQ 0.0038	Y	Y
SMPA8	Recessive	2:196,799,394-196,801,362	2q32.3	1.969	0	139.6294	DNAH7 exon 20-21	NONE	Υ	Y
SMPA3	Recessive	5:140,224,038-140,242,319	5q31.3	18.282	0	1417.866	PCDHA9, PCDHA10 (SFARI score 3)	Also Hom in mother	Y	Υ
IAH2	Recessive	5:140,224,038-140,242,319	5q31.3	18.282	0	1417.866	PCDHA9, PCDHA10 (SFARI score 3)	Also Hom in father	Y	Y
IAU79	Recessive	20:1,559,259-1,559,330	20p13	0.072	0	171.6751	SIRPB1 exon 2	Partial OVERLAP WITH COMMON CNV (0.0894)	Y	Υ
IABB2	Recessive	17:3513856- 3514054	17p13.2	0.198	0	318.3258	SHPK exon 7	Partial OVERLAP WITH 80Kb gssvL47583 (0.00274)	У	Υ
IABB14	Recessive	15:85186877-85186894	15q25.2	0.018	0	55.68398	WDR73 exon 8 ^{\$}	rs760394400; gnomAD MAF 0.1672	Υ	Υ
IABB14	Recessive	19:41356319-41386033	19q13.2	29.714	0	579.0146	CYP2A7 6 exons	gssvL58578 0.002 Plus gssvL58575 0.0017	Y	Y
IABB14	Recessive	19: 51330951-51331063	19q13.33	0.112	0	579.0146	KLK15 exon 2	Overlap with gssvL59230 0.02	Υ	Y

SMPA42	X-linked	X:47912041-47970683	Xp11.23	58.643	0	1665.299	ZNF630; SSX6	Deletions in ZNF630 do not segregate with ID; PMID 20186789	Y	
SMPA9	X-linked	X:47917867-47970683	Xp11.23	52.817	0	1558.271	ZNF630; SSX6	Ditto	Υ	

\$ see Riazuddin et al, 2017; PMID_30171209)

Table 6: CNV summary: likely pathogenic, high confidence CNVs. Coordinates given using hg19. Comparison with control population CNVs used the Database of Genomic Variants (DGV) Gold, accessed through the Decipher genomic browser (www.deciphergenomics.org).

Family	Mode of Inheritance	(hg19)	Cytoband	Size (Kb)	CN	CNV confidence	Genes	DGV gold standard	PCR/ RT- PCR	Comments
SMPA80	Paternal	9:370,244-13,398,587	9p24.3-p23	13,028	1	809.7327	Many	N	Υ	
IAU25	De novo	17:16,778,164-20,364,207	17p11.2	3,586	1	693.2049	Many	N	Υ	Unaffected sibling also tested negative
IAU74	De novo	2:235,370,826-243,034,519	2q37.1-37.3	7,664	1	4781.854	Many	N	Υ	
IAU7	De novo	1:249357-699532	19p13.3	450.176	1	547.5059	Many	N	Y	Distal probe (PPAP2C) validated, proximal probe (HCN2) not so clear cut.
SMPA15	Paternal	15:91,290,633-91,347,451	15q26.1	57	1		BLM		Υ	
SMPA15	Paternal	15:40,477,419-41,026,994	15q15.1	550	1		Many		Y	CASC5: Y PAK6:Y

Figure 1: Variant prioritization methods where variants that pass GATK best practices would be filtered for MAF less than or equal to 10⁻⁴ and then categorized into recessive or homozygous variants. The variants are then prioritized based on how damaging it would be to the protein and then filtered based on scoring algorithms to predict pathogenicity.

