1	Causal relationship between Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (EED), WaSH
2	practices and growth failure in children from Rukwa-Tanzania
3	
4	Grantina Modern, ^a * Emmanuel Mpolya, ^a Elingarami Sauli ^a
5	^a Global Health and Biomedical Sciences Department, School of Life Sciences and Bio-
6	engineering, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, P. O. Box
7	447, Tengeru, Arusha, Tanzania.
8	*Corresponding author: Email address: grantinamodern@gmail.com or
9	moderng@nm-aist.ac.tz

10 Abstract

11 This was a hospital based prospective cohort study aimed at assessing causal relationship 12 between environmental enteric dysfunction (EED), WaSH practices and growth failure in 13 children aged between 1-2 years from Rukwa, Tanzania, using univariate and multivariate logistic regression models. We found very significant causal relationship 14 15 between growth failure and combined water availability (p=0.0055), toilet sharing by parents/caregivers (OR= 2.632, CI: 1.156 - 6.233, p=0.023 from univariate analysis, and 16 17 OR=4.067, CI: 1.484 - 12.206, p=0.008 from multivariate analysis), and handwashing by 18 parents/caregivers before food (OR=3.363, CI: 0.928 -11.454, p=0.05 from univariate analysis and OR=15.038, CI:2.422 - 115.8, p=0.005 from multivariate analysis). This 19 significant causal relationship may be linked to increased diarrheal incidence and 20 21 intestinal worm infections among the studied children, which may then lead to inadequate absorption of nutrients and subsequent growth failure. The findings herein should 22 therefore improve policy and programs designed to deliver targeted intervention 23 strategies in Tanzania, to also identify and include other entry points for managing child 24 25 growth failure and improving WaSH practices.

26 Keywords: Stunting, growth failure, Enteric dysfunction, WaSH, Diarrhea, Rukwa,

27 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Undernutrition affects 25% of children in the developing world and has been associated with half of all deaths worldwide. The known forms of chronic undernutrition include; wasting (low weight for height), stunting (low height for age), underweight (low weight forage) and deficiencies in vitamins and minerals (1). Stunting is the most common and

32 prevalent form of chronic undernutrition (2) that affects approximately 165 million children globally, equivalent to 23% of all children below the age of five (3). Rukwa had 33 highest prevalence of stunting in Tanzania (56%) among children aged between 1-2 years 34 in 2015 (4), despite it being one of the regions in Tanzania with secured food production. 35 The long-term effects of stunting in early childhood have been associated with cognitive 36 and physical growth deficits across generations (5). Models depicting use of all known 37 interventions to tackle under nutrition, including vitamin A and zinc supplementation, 38 39 complementary feeding, breastfeeding promotion and micronutrient supplementation in pregnancy, have shown that their use in 99% of children would only decrease stunting by 40 33% worldwide, clearly indicating that there is a large knowledge gap in our 41 42 understanding of stunting and its associated causes that cannot only be explained by food insecurity (6). The main objective of this study was therefore to assess causal relationship 43 between Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (EED), WaSH practices and growth failure 44 among children from Rukwa, Tanzania. 45

46

47 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

48 2.1. Study design, sample size and sampling design

This was a hospital-based prospective cohort study to assess causal relationship between
EED, water, sanitation and hygiene (WaSH) practices, and growth failure in children
below 2 years of age from Rukwa, Tanzania.

52 Two health care facilities; Mazwi RCH Clinic and Kizwite Health Care Centre were 53 conveniently selected as data collection centers to equally represent caretakers/parents

54 from households (HHs) from poor versus improved environmental conditions respectively. Children were then randomly selected from the two healthcare facilities to 55 make a sample of 149 children below two years of age. This sample size was calculated 56 using the Kothari 2004 formula (7); which is used for cross-sectional survey. In this 57 formula, the stunting prevalence of 56% for Rukwa (4) was used to calculate a sample 58 59 size at 5% a precision for rejecting a true null hypothesis, as well as z-score of 1.96 for the 95% confidence interval. This gave us a formula with enough power to show true 60 differences in proportions of growth failure based on covariates that were statistically 61 62 significantly causing such a difference. Since the population of Sumbawanga (Rukwa) is more than 10,000, no correction for finite population was deemed necessary, and the 63 response rate was 100%. Children below two years of age without medical complications, 64 65 and whose mothers were willing to participate were included in this study. Those above the stated age were excluded along with children below two years of age who were sick. 66

67 2.2. Socio-demographic, anthropometric and WaSH data collection

68 A structured questionnaire with clearly defined and harmonized questions was used in this study to obtain information on socio-demographic characteristics of the study 69 population, anthropometric data (for nutritional status determination), water availability, 70 hygiene and sanitation (WaSH) practices, as well as occurrence of infections. The 71 questionnaire was deployed twice during a six months' follow up period in 2021. The 72 73 data was collected at the beginning of follow up (to give baseline information) and at the end of the six months follow up. The questionnaire was administered at the named data 74 collection centers through interviews to parents/guardians of selected children. 75 76 Height/length (in cm) was measured using the UNICEF height/length board to a precision

of 0.1 cm, while weight (in Kg) was measured using a calibrated electronic scale to a
precision of 0.1 kg. Determination of nutritional status (stunting, underweight, and
wasting) of each child was done using WHO growth standards (Z-scores) and Tanzania
Nutrition Assessment, Counseling and Support (NACS) job Aids of 2016.

81 2.3. Urine and stool samples collection

82 Urine and stool samples were collected from each child using universal bottles. The 83 samples were collected only once for each child. Prior to urine collection, a 20 mLs 84 disaccharide solution (a mixture of Lactulose and Mannitol sugars) was given to the 85 selected children, followed by intake of 300 mLs of drinking water. Urine samples were then collected after 30 minutes to two hours, for lactulose-mannitol ratio test. Collected 86 87 urine samples were then put into aliquots in 2 mLs cryovials, mixed with three drops of 88 Thimerosal as preservative and stored in a -20°C freezer, awaiting transportation to NM-AIST laboratory for analysis. Stool samples were randomly collected for fecal 89 myeloperoxidase (MPO) marker investigation. These samples were mixed thoroughly 90 91 and put into aliquots in 2 mLs cryovials, then by stored in a -20°C freezer also awaiting 92 transportation to NM-AIST laboratory for analysis.

93 2.4. Laboratory sample analysis

94 Determination of EED through the Lactulose: Mannitol (L:M) and MPO test was 95 conducted at NM-AIST laboratories. EED was characterized in this study by increased 96 gut permeability and inflammation, as they are associated with linear growth faltering in 97 children living in low-income countries.

98 In the L: M test, both lactulose and mannitol were excreted intact by children in the urine after oral ingestion, following minimal metabolism. Urinary mannitol therefore gave us 99 an index of absorptive capacity, while lactulose in the urine indicated impaired barrier 100 function. Higher urinary L: M ratios thus reflected greater abnormalities of one or both 101 functions. Data also suggest that fecal myeloperoxidase (MPO) is a useful test for gut 102 inflammation and that it also predicts linear growth deficits. Prior to the assays, the 103 sample aliquots and sample analysis kits were equilibrated to room temperature as per 104 Manufacturer's instructions. All assay procedures for L:M ratio and MPO were 105 106 conducted according to the manufacturer's protocols. Optical densities were then read at 565 nm using an UV spectrometer and results were recorded in the form of an excel sheet. 107

108 2.5. Data analysis

109 Data entry and processing was done manually into an Excel sheet, which was later cleaned, arranged and coded for analyses. The cleaned and coded data was then imported 110 and attached into the R script of R software version 3.4.4 and ran for descriptive statistics. 111 112 Univariate and multivariate analyses (linear regression models) were used to assess the risk (causal relationship) posed by individual EED markers (MPO and L: M ratios) and 113 WaSH practices in causing growth failure/stunting. From the model summaries, odds 114 ratios, their 95% confidence intervals and p values were extracted to form summarized 115 116 tables of results for discussion. Significance was considered at p < 0.05 for all statistical analyses. 117

118 2.6. Ethical Approval

119 This study was approved by the Northern Tanzania Health Research Ethics committee120 (KNCHREC), with approval number KNCHREC0011.

121 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

122 The general objective of this study was to assess the causal relationship between environmental enteric dysfunction (EED), WaSH practices and growth failure in children 123 124 aged between 1-2 years from Rukwa (Sumbawanga District)-Tanzania. Rukwa was chosen for this study due its highest prevalence of stunting (56%) during the study period 125 126 (4). Also, Rukwa is known in Tanzania for having secured food production, and yet it had the highest prevalence of stunting. This raised the hypothesis for this study that, perhaps 127 128 growth failure and stunting among children in Rukwa may also be associated with other 129 factors apart from commonly known food insecurity.

We used a structured questionnaire with clearly defined and harmonized questions to 130 131 obtain information on socio-demographic characteristics of the study population, nutritional status, water availability, hygiene and sanitation (WaSH) practices, as well as 132 occurrence of infections/morbidity. The structured questionnaire was deployed twice 133 134 during the study, at the beginning of follow up (to give baseline information) and at the end of the follow-up. The questionnaire was administered at data collection centers 135 through interviews to parents/guardians of the selected children. Two data collection 136 Centers were set, one representing the urban sub population that attended the Mazwi 137 health care Centre, while the other represented rural sub population that attended Kizwite 138 clinic. 139

140 **3.1. Results**

Table 1 below represents descriptive statics for socio demographic characteristics,
nutritional status, EED, and WaSH practices of the study population.

143 Table 1: Descriptive statistics for socio demographic characteristics, nutritional status, EED, and WaSH

144 practices of study population

Demographics	Variable	Female	Males				
		(%)	(%)				
	Sex	77 (52%)	72 (48%)				
	Variable	Sample	mean	median	sd	min	max
		size					
	Age in	149	25.02	22	10.834	12	54
	months						
	Variable	Rural	Urban				
		(%)	(%)				
	Residence	86 (57.7)	63 (42.3)				
	Variable	Sample	mean	median	sd	min	max
		size					
	Mother's age	149	27.77	26	6.21	17	44
	Variable	None (%)	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary		
			(%)	(%)	(%)		
	Mother's	5 (3.4)	72 (48.3)	61 (40.9)	11 (7.4)		
	education						
	Variable	Sample	mean	median	sd	min	max
		size					
	Number of	149	1.32	1	0.49	1	3
	children aged						
	over 5 years						
Socioeconomic	Variable	Not	Employed				

		employed	(%)				
		(%)					
	Father's	114 (76.5)	35 (23.5)				
	employment						
	Variable	Not	Employed				
		employed	(%)				
		(%)					
	Mother's	145 (97.3)	4 (2.7)				
	employment						
Undernutrition	Variable	Stunted	Normal				
		(%)	(%)				
	Stunting	29 (19.5)	120 (80.5)				
	Variable	Normal	Moderate	Severe			
		(%)	(%)	(%)			
	Underweight	141 (94.6)	6 (4.0)	2 (1.4)			
	Variable	Normal	Moderate	Severe			
		(%)	(%)	(%)			
	Wasting	144 (96.6)	2 (1.4)	3 (2.0)			
	Variable	Present	Absent				
		(%)	(%)				
	EED	9 (6)	140 (94)				
	Variable	Sample	mean	median	sd	min	max
		size					
	MPO activity	113	1.145	0.484	2.602	-2.727	16.364
	Variable	abnormal	cut-off	normal			
	MPO activity	89 (59.7)	36 (24.2)	24 (16.1)			
WaSH practices	Variable	Well (%)	Tap (%)	Bottled	Unprotected		

			water (%)	spring (%)				
Source of	28 (18.8)	118 (79.2)	2 (1.3)	1 (0.7)				
drinking								
water								
Variable	Yes (%)	No (%)						
Water	98 (65.8)	51 (34.2)						
availability								
Variable	Yes (%)	No (%)						
Water	57 (38.3)	92 (61.7)						
treatment								
Variable	Settle (%)	Boil (%)	Bleach	Bottled				
			(%)					
Safety of	92 (61.7)	53 (35.6)	2 (1.3)	2 (1.3)				
drinking								
water								
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)						
Toilet sharing	85 (57)	64 (43)						
Variable	Sample	mean	median	sd	min	max		
,	size			54				
Number of	149	1.799	0	2.743	0	15		
toilets sharing								
households								
(continuous)								
Variable	No	2 HHs	3 HHs (%)	4 HHs	5 HHs	7 HHs	9	
	sharing	(%)	~ /				нн	[s
	(%)							
Number of	85 (57.0)	21 (14.1)	16 (10.7)	7 (4.7)	7 (4.7)	6 (4.0)	2	

toilets sharing						(1.3)
households						
(categorical)						
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)				
Use of toilet	117 (78.5)	32 (21.5)				
by child						
Variable	Child use	Rinse into	Throw in	Bury (%)		
	toilet (%)	toilet (%)	garbage			
			(%)			
Disposal of	32 (21.5)	114 (76.5)	2 (1.3)	1 (0.7)		
child feces						
Variable	Burn (%)	Dump				
		(%)				
Family waste	1 (0.7)	148 (99.3)				
disposal						
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)				
Handwashing	67 (45)	82 (55)				
after toilet						
use						
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)				
Handwashing	137 (91.9)	12 (8.1)				
before food						
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)				
Child	102 (68.5)	47 (31.5)				
diarrhea						
Variable	No (%)	Yes (%)				
Other	138 (92.6)	11 (7.4)				
	× /					

Waterborne Diseases

childhood								
illnesses								
Variable	Sample	None (%)	Amoeba	Rashes (%)	Cough	Flu	Fever	Malaria
	size		(%)		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Type of other	152	138 (90.8)	2 (1.3)	3 (2.0)	4 (2.6)	3 (2.0)	1	1 (0.7)
childhood	(repeated						(0.7)	
illnesses	measures)							

145

Overall, there were 149 children recruited for this study, of whom 77 (52%) were females 146 and 72 (48%) were males. The children age ranged between 1-2 years, with 86 (57.7%) 147 148 of them from the rural attending the Kizwite health care Centre, while 63 (42.3%) from urban attended the Mazwi RCH clinic. The children's mean mother's age was 27 as seen 149 150 from table 1, which shows that the mothers' age was generally young. The children's parents were hugely unemployed, with 114 (76.5%) unemployed fathers and 145 (97.3%) 151 unemployed mothers, which may have a negative impact in decision making on matters 152 153 related to their children's health care. Moreover, there were generally lower levels of undernutrition as seen from table 1, with only 29 (19.5%) stunted cases, 6 (4%) moderate 154 underweight cases, 2 (1.4%) severe underweight cases, 2 (1.4%) moderate wasting, and 3 155 (2%) severe wasting cases. 156

As for EED, only 9 (6%) of children were found to have EED, while 140 (94%) of remaining children were found to have no EED. However, there was increased MPO activity in 89 (59.7%) of children, while only 24 (16.1%) of children had normal MPO activity (cut-off: 36 (24.2%).

161 As for WaSH practices, 118 (79.2%) of children used tap water while 28 (18.8%) consumed water from the wells, and the rest used water from other sources. Overall, only 162 57 (38.3%) of children consumed treated/boiled water while the rest 92 (61.7%) 163 consumed un treated/un boiled water, putting them at major risk of getting waterborne 164 diseases. As for toilet use, majority of caretakers/parents 117 (78.5%) did not use toilet 165 while only 32 (21.5%) used toilet, this also putting majority of them at high risk of 166 contaminating their children, which leads to frequent diarrhea and other waterborne 167 infections. Moreover, 137 (91.9%) of parents/caretakers did not wash their hands before 168 169 food and only 12 (8.1%) of them did wash their hands before food. As for toilet sharing, 64 (43%) of caretakers/ parents shared their toilet while 85 (57%) did not share their 170 toilet. There were only 47 (31.5%) reported cases of diarrhea among the studied children, 171 172 with remaining 102 (68.5%) having no reported diarrhea.

The risk posed by individual EED markers and other studied factors in causing growth
failure/stunting was in this study determined by univariate and multivariate logistic
regression models as depicted in table 2 below.

176

177

178

179

180

Table 2: Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models' output for predictors of stunting/linear
growth deficits

Univariate regres	ssion		Multivariable regression			
Variable	cOR (95% CI)	p-value	Variable	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	
EED	1.196 (0.172 - 5.285)	0.829	EED	0.922 (0.087 -	0.93815	
				6.266)		
MPO activity	1.011 (0.828 - 1.185)	0.904	MPO activity	1.105 (0.894 -	0.32791	
				1.357)		
Sex: female	Reference		Sex: female	Reference		
Sex: male	1.407 (0.624 - 3.223)	0.412	Sex: male	0.821 (0.291 -	0.70371	
				2.272)		
Age in months	1.012 (0.975 - 1.049)	0.52270	Age in months	1.001 (0.937 -	0.96369	
				1.067)		
Residence:	Reference		Residence: rural	Reference		
rural						
Residence:	0.550 (0.222 - 1.275)	0.176	Residence: urban	0.429 (0.135 -	0.12553	
urban				1.213)		
Mother's age	0.965 (0.895 - 1.032)	0.315	Mother's age	0.962 (0.879 -	0.37630	
				1.045)		
Mother's	Reference		Mother's	Reference		
education: none			education: none			
Mother's	1.053 (0.142 -21.403)	0.965	Mother's	0.766 (0.072 -	0.83982	
education:			education:	19.070)		
primary			primary			
Mother's	0.980 (0.129 -20.091)	0.986	Mother's	0.636 (0.052 -	0.74474	
education:			education:	17.359)		
secondary			secondary			
Mother's	0.400 (0.013 -11.796)	0.550	Mother's	0.147 (0.002 -	0.32313	
education:			education:	7.893)		

tertiary			tertiary		
ter that y			ter that y		
Number of	0.417 (0.135 - 1.054)	0.0889.	Number of	0.462 (0.121 -	0.21214
children aged			children aged <5	1.430)	
<5 yrs			yrs		
Father's	Reference		Father's	Reference	
employment:			employment:		
employed			employed		
Father's	2.177 (0.769 - 7.824)	0.177944	Father's	1.326 (0.346 -	0.69616
employment:			employment:	6.289)	
none			none		
Water	Reference		Water	Reference	
availability: no			availability: no		
Water	0.335 (0.144 - 0.765)	0.00981 **	Water	0.232 (0.079 -	0.00549 **
availability: yes			availability: yes	0.633)	
Water	Reference		Water treatment:	Reference	
			no		
treatment: no			по		
treatment: no Water	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305)	0.192	Water treatment:	0.647 (0.210 -	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305)	0.192	Water treatment: yes	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858)	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing:	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference	0.192	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing:	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference	0.192	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing:	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233)	0.192	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing:	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 -	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233)	0.192	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206)	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233) Reference	0.192	 Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by 	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206) Reference	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233) Reference	0.192	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206) Reference	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233) Reference 1.524 (0.575- 3.766)	0.192 0.0232 * 0.374	 Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by 	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206) Reference	0.42783
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by child: yes	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233) Reference 1.524 (0.575- 3.766)	0.192 0.0232 * 0.374	Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by child: yes	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206) Reference 2.426 (0.433 - 14.552)	0.42783 0.00843 ** 0.31617
treatment: no Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by child: yes	0.552 (0.215 - 1.305) Reference 2.632 (1.156 - 6.233) Reference 1.524 (0.575 - 3.766) Reference	0.192 0.0232 * 0.374	 Water treatment: yes Toilet sharing: no Toilet sharing: yes Use of toilet by child: no Use of toilet by child: yes Handwashing 	0.647 (0.210 - 1.858) Reference 4.067 (1.484 - 12.206) Reference 2.426 (0.433 - 14.552) Reference	0.42783

no			no		
Handwashing	0.848 (0.375 - 1.926)	0.69	Handwashing	0.648 (0.227 -	0.41129
after toilet use:			after toilet use:	1.830)	
yes			yes		
Handwashing	Reference		Handwashing	Reference	
before food: no			before food: no		
Handwashing	3.363 (0.928 -11.454)	0.0531.	Handwashing	15.038 (2.422 -	0.00528 **
before food: yes			before food: yes	115.867)	
Use of soap for	Reference		Use of soap for	Reference	
handwashing:			handwashing: no		
no					
Use of seen for					
Use of soap for	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138)	0.6984	Use of soap for	3.379 (0.500 -	0.25547
handwashing:	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138)	0.6984	Use of soap for handwashing:	3.379 (0.500 - 38.360)	0.25547
handwashing:	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138)	0.6984	Use of soap for handwashing: yes	3.379 (0.500 - 38.360)	0.25547
handwashing: yes Child	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138) Reference	0.6984	Use of soap for handwashing: yes Child diarrhoea:	3.379 (0.500 - 38.360) Reference	0.25547
handwashing: yes Child diarrhoea: no	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138) Reference	0.6984	Use of soap for handwashing: yes Child diarrhoea: no	3.379 (0.500 - 38.360) Reference	0.25547
handwashing: yes Child diarrhoea: no Child	1.362 (0.340 - 9.138) Reference 1.426 (0.598 - 3.291)	0.6984 0.411	Use of soap for handwashing: yes Child diarrhoea: no Child diarrhoea:	3.379 (0.500 - 38.360) Reference	0.25547 0.62419

184 As seen from table 2, there was no causal association between growth failure/stunting and the following factors/variables; EED, MPO activity, age of child (in months), residence, 185 mother's age, mother's education, number of children, father's employment, water 186 treatment, use of toilet by child, hand washing after toilet, use of soap for hand washing, 187 188 and child's diarrhea. However, there was very significant causal relationship between stunting/growth failure and water availability, with p=0.0098 from univariate analysis 189 and p=0.0055 from multivariate analysis. Moreover, there was significantly increased 190 191 risk between growth failure/stunting and toilet sharing among parents/caretakers (OR=

192 2.632, CI: 1.156 - 6.233, p=0.023 from univariate analysis and (OR=4.067, CI: 1.484 -

193 12.206, p=0.008 from multivariate analysis) as seen from table 2.

194 Handwashing before food by parents/caretakers was also found to have very significant

high risk (OR=3.363, CI: 0.928 -11.454, p=0.05 from univariate analysis and OR=15.038,

196 CI:2.422 - 115.8, p=0.005 from multivariate analysis) of causing growth failure/stunting

among the studied children in this study, as seen in table 2.

198 **3.2. Discussion**

199 A multivariate logistic regression model was in this study applied to assess combined 200 causal association between access to WaSH practices and child growth outcomes in 201 Rukwa, Tanzania, and odds ratios (ORs) were estimated as indicated in table 2. We found 202 very significant causal relationship between stunting/growth failure and water availability (p=0.0098 from univariate analysis and p=0.0055 from multivariate analysis). This 203 204 significant causal relationship may be due to water being the main link to growth failure 205 through its use in hand washing and cooking, lack of which may lead to frequent contamination and diarrhea and subsequent growth failure among affected children. 206 207 Another link between water and growth failure/stunting may be through consumption of 208 un treated/un boiled water as seen by majority of children in this study (92 (61.7%)).

Moreover, there was significantly increased risk between growth failure/stunting and toilet sharing among parents/caretakers as seen from table 2 (OR= 2.632, CI: 1.156 -6.233, p=0.023 from univariate analysis and (OR=4.067, CI: 1.484 - 12.206, p=0.008 from multivariate analysis). This may be due to use of contaminated water during toilet sharing by caretakers or use of shared contaminated toilet by caretakers, which may also

lead to frequent contamination of their children, which may then lead to frequent diarrhea
and subsequent growth failure. A study by Bekele. T. et al (8) found that, combined
access to improved water, sanitation and handwashing was associated with reduced child
linear growth failure in Ethiopia.

Handwashing before food by parents/caretakers was also found to have very significant high risk of causing growth failure/stunting among the studied children in this study (OR=3.363, CI: 0.928 -11.454, p=0.05 from univariate analysis and OR=15.038, CI:2.422 - 115.8, p=0.005 from multivariate analysis) as seen in table 2. This may again be directly linked to frequent direct contamination of children (by their caretakers) during feeding, which may then cause frequent waterborne infections/diarrhea among the children in the study population.

225 Safe drinking water, effective sanitation and adequate hygiene (WaSH) services are key drivers of human health and nutrition (9). Freeman and colleagues estimated in 2014 that, 226 227 only 19% of the world's population washed their hands after contact with excreta and only 14% of people in Sub-Saharan Africa wash their hands with soap after using toilet 228 or before eating (10). Inadequate food intake, frequent infections, micronutrient 229 230 deficiencies, and poor WaSH practices have all been found to contribute to child growth 231 failure. The significant association between child growth failure, poor access to clean water, handwashing by parents/caretakers before food, and poor toilet facilities in this 232 study may be linked to increased diarrhea incidence (11) and intestinal worm infections, 233 which lead to inadequate/poor absorption of nutrients and subsequent growth failure of 234 235 children (12). Strategies have been put in place in Tanzania to reduce child growth failure through nutritional interventions and diarrheal disease control (13)(14). However, there 236

has been limited knowledge on the extent to which inadequate/poor WaSH practices have
been contributing to child growth failure in Tanzania, which was the main focus of this
study.

240 To quantify the causal association between environmental enteric dysfunction (EED) and linear growth failure in children from a region with highest prevalence of stunting in 241 242 Tanzania (Rukwa), we also assessed myeloperoxidase (MPO) and L:M ratios. Data suggest that fecal myeloperoxidase (MPO) is a useful test for gut inflammation and it also 243 predicts linear growth deficits (15). Myeloperoxidase (MPO) is an enzyme found in 244 245 neutrophils (16) and, at much lower concentration in monocytes and macrophages (17)(18). This enzyme catalyzes the reaction of hydrogen peroxide and halide ions to 246 247 produce cytotoxic acids, such as hypochlorous acid (19). The level of MPO in a suspension of neutrophils was found in another study to be directly proportional to the 248 number of neutrophils present over a wide range of neutrophil concentrations. Stool MPO 249 levels were also found to be significantly higher in patients with active inflammatory 250 bowel disease (IBD) compared to patients with inactive disease and normal controls. The 251 252 MPO activity was also present in low amounts in the stool of patients with inactive IBD 253 and normal controls, and was markedly increased in patients with active disease (20). Although we found increased MPO activity in the studied children in this current study 254 MPO activity was not significantly related to growth 255 (table 1), the increased 256 failure/stunting (table 2), independent of whether the children were from rural or urban environments, and this was also the same for EED, which was found in a limited number 257 of children from both urban and rural environments as seen in table 1. However, despite 258 the findings from this current study, other bigger epidemiological studies have found 259

260 increased levels of inflammation (as measured by individual biomarkers like MPO and EED) associated with growth failure outcomes in most diverse epidemiologic settings, 261 suggesting that the patterns of intestinal injury, or at least those assessed with these 262 markers are consistent in diverse epidemiologic contexts in children living in poverty like 263 Tanzania. Study findings by Kosek. M et al; (2013) (15) also suggested that intestinal 264 265 inflammation is an important component of environmental enteropathy. Linear growth failure/stunting is a known persistent problem in children living in poverty in the 266 developing world, including Tanzania, hence we propose conduction of bigger 267 268 epidemiological studies that will measure intestinal inflammation level as a composite disease activity score rather than individual biomarkers, to give proper account of growth 269 270 failure pattern and monitoring of other possible inflammatory conditions like IBD in 271 children.

272 4. CONCLUSIONS

273 We in this study found significant causal association between growth failure and combined water availability, hand washing before food and toilet sharing by 274 parents/caretakers in Rukwa. Future research with robust methods is therefore needed to 275 276 examine whether these combined WaSH practices have synergistic effect on child growth outcomes in Tanzania. The proposed study should also measure intestinal inflammation 277 level as a composite disease activity score rather than individual biomarkers, to give 278 proper account of growth failure pattern and monitoring of other possible inflammatory 279 conditions like IBD in children. The findings herein should also improve policy and 280 281 programs designed to deliver targeted intervention strategies, to also identify and include other entry points for child growth failure and WaSH practices. 282

283 Acknowledgements

- 284 We acknowledge the Sumbawanga (Rukwa) District Council, NM-AIST Management
- and laboratory, for ensuring smooth implementation of this research work despite all the
- incurred challenges. This research work was fully supported by a Grant from the Nestle
- Foundation for the study of problems of nutrition in the World, Lausanne, Switzerland.

288 Conflicting interests

- 289 The authors declare no conflicting interests
- 290 Authors' contributions
- 291 Grantina Modern: Research conception, proposal development, field and lab work, and
- 292 manuscript writing
- 293 **Emmanuel Mpolya**: Statistical analysis
- 294 Elingarami Sauli: Research conception, proposal development and implementation, field295 and lab work supervision, manuscript writing and revision.

296 **REFERENCES**

- 297 1. WHO. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age,
- weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and
- development. 2006;
- Campisi SC, Cherian AM, Bhutta ZA. World perspective on the epidemiology of
 stunting between 1990 and 2015. Horm Res Paediatr. 2017;88(1):70–8.
- 302 3. Marie C, Ali A, Chandwe K, Petri WA, Kelly P. Pathophysiology of

303		environmental enteric dysfunction and its impact on oral vaccine efficacy.
304		Mucosal Immunol. 2018;1.
305	4.	Ministry of Health (MoH) [Zanzibar]. Tanzania 2015-16 Demographic Health
306		Survey and Malaria Indicator Survey. Tanzania 2015-16 Demogr Heal Surv Malar
307		Indic Surv. 2015;
308	5.	Mbuya MNN, Humphrey JH. Preventing environmental enteric dysfunction
309		through improved water, sanitation and hygiene: an opportunity for stunting
310		reduction in developing countries. Matern Child Nutr. 2016;12:106-20.
311	6.	Korpe PS, Petri Jr WA. Environmental enteropathy: critical implications of a
312		poorly understood condition. Trends Mol Med. 2012;18(6):328-36.
313	7.	Kothari CR. Research methodology: Methods and techniques. New Age
314		International; 2004.
315	8.	Bekele T, Rahman B, Rawstorne P. The effect of access to water, sanitation and
316		handwashing facilities on child growth indicators: Evidence from the Ethiopia
317		Demographic and Health Survey 2016. PLoS One. 2020;15(9):e0239313.
318	9.	World Health Organization (WHO); the United Nations Children's Fund
319		(UNICEF). Progress on drinking water, sanitation and hygiene: 2017. Who and
320		UNICEF. 2017. 1–66 p.
321	10.	Freeman MC, Stocks ME, Cumming O, Jeandron A, Higgins JPT, Wolf J, et al.
322		Systematic review: hygiene and health: systematic review of handwashing
323		practices worldwide and update of health effects. Trop Med Int Heal.

324 2014;19(8):906–16.

325	11.	Pr A, Neira M, Stocks M, Wolf J. Burden of disease from inadequate water,
326		sanitation and hygiene in low- and middle-income settings : a retrospective
327		analysis of data from 145 countries. 2014;19(8):894-905.
328	12.	Owino V, Ahmed T, Freemark M, Kelly P, Loy A, Manary M, et al.
329		Environmental enteric dysfunction and growth failure/stunting in global child
330		health. Pediatrics. 2016;138(6):e20160641.
331	13.	Deborah A, Kavita S, Elisabeth S, Lesley O, Tara K, Joyceline K, et al. Reducing
332		Malnutrition in Tanzania:Estimates to Support Nutrition Advocacy: Tanzania
333		PROFILES 2014 [Internet]. Reducing Malnutrition in Tanzania: Estimates to
334		Support Nutrition Advocacy Tanzania PROFILES 2014. 2014. Available from:
335		https://www.fantaproject.org/sites/default/files/resources/Tanzania-PROFILES-
336		Report-2014-June2017.pdf
337	14.	United Republic of Tanzania. Tanzania National Nutrition Survey 2018. 2019.
338	15.	Kosek M, Haque R, Lima A, Babji S, Shrestha S, Qureshi S, et al. Fecal markers
339		of intestinal inflammation and permeability associated with the subsequent
340		acquisition of linear growth deficits in infants. Am J Trop Med Hyg.
341		2013;88(2):390.
342	16.	Weissmann G, Smolen JE, Korchak HM. Release of inflammatory mediators from
343		stimulated neutrophils. N Engl J Med. 1980;303(1):27-34.
344	17.	Bos A, Wever R, Roos D. Characterization and quantification of the peroxidase in

345	human monocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA)-Enzymology. 1978;525(1):37-
346	44.

346

- 18. Matheson NR, Wong PS, Travis J. Isolation and properties of human neutrophil 347
- myeloperoxidase. Biochemistry. 1981;20(2):325-30. 348
- 19. SAIKI T. Myeloperoxidase concentrations in the stool as a new parameter of 349
- 350 inflammatory bowel disease. Kurume Med J. 1998;45(1):69-73.
- Krawisz JE, Sharon P, Stenson WF. Quantitative assay for acute intestinal 351 20.
- 352 inflammation based on myeloperoxidase activity: assessment of inflammation in
- 353 rat and hamster models. Gastroenterology. 1984;87(6):1344-50.

354

355