Title: Milder disease trajectory among COVID-19 patients hospitalised with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant compared with the Delta variant in Norway

Authors: Jeanette Stålcrantz ^{1,2*}, Anja Bråthen Kristoffersen ³, Håkon Bøås ¹, Lamprini Veneti ⁴, Elina Seppälä ¹, Nina Aasand ⁵, Olav Hungnes ⁶, Reidar Kvåle ^{7,8}, Karoline Bragstad ⁶, Eirik Alnes Buanes ^{7,9}, Robert Whittaker ¹

Affiliations

- 1 Department of Infection Control and Vaccines, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- 2 European Program for Intervention Epidemiology Training, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Stockholm, Sweden
- 3 Department of Method Development and Analytics, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- 4 Department of Infection Control and Preparedness, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo,
 Norway
- 5 Department of Infectious Disease Registries, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- 6 Department of Virology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- 7 Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
- 8 Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- 9 Norwegian Intensive Care and Pandemic Registry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
- * Corresponding author. Address: Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Lovisenberggata 8, 0456
 Oslo, Norway. Email address: jeanette.stalcrantz@fhi.no

Abstract

Using individual-level national registry data, we conducted a cohort study to estimate differences in

the length of hospital stay, and risk of admission to an intensive care unit and in-hospital death

among patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant, compared to patients infected with

Delta variant in Norway. We included 409 (38%) patients infected with Omicron and 666 (62%)

infected with Delta who were hospitalised with COVID-19 as the main cause of hospitalisation

between 6 December 2021 and 6 February 2022. Omicron patients had a 48% lower risk of intensive

care admission (aHR: 0.52, 95%CI: 0.34-0.80) and a 56% lower risk of in-hospital death (aHR: 0.44,

95%CI: 0.24-0.79) compared to Delta patients. Omicron patients had a shorter length of stay (with or

without ICU stay) compared to Delta patients in the age groups from 18-79 years and those who had

at least completed their primary vaccination. This supports growing evidence of reduced disease

severity among hospitalised Omicron patients compared with Delta patients.

Key words: COVID-19, Omicron, hospitalisation, length of stay, intensive care, severe disease,

Norway.

Word count: 1192 words

Introduction

The first coronavirus disease (COVID-19) cases infected with the severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Omicron variant (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak

Lineages (Pangolin) designation B.1.1.529) were detected in Norway on 26 November 2021 (1). By

late December, Omicron had superseded the Delta variant (Pangolin designation B.1.617.2) as the

dominant circulating variant (2).

In order to provide timely and ongoing support for patient management and capacity planning in

hospitals in Norway, we estimated the length of hospital stay (LoS) and risk of admission to an

intensive care unit (ICU) and in-hospital death among hospitalised patients infected with Omicron, compared to patients infected with Delta.

Methods

Study population

We conducted a cohort study on patients hospitalised with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test between 6

December 2021 and 6 February 2022. We included patients for whom COVID-19 was reported as the main cause of hospitalisation, who were known to be infected with the Omicron or Delta variant and who had a national identity number registered.

Data sources

We extracted data from the Norwegian national emergency preparedness registry – Beredt C19 (3). This registry contains individual-level national data on all COVID-19 related hospitalisations, ICU admissions and deaths. Further details on the data sources and definitions, including the categorisation of variants, can be found in supplement A, section 1. Data were extracted on 15 February 2022, allowing a minimum 8 days of follow-up since last date of hospitalisation.

Data analysis

Full details on the data analysis are presented in supplementary material A, section 2. Briefly, our outcomes were discharge from hospital (with and without ICU stay), admission to ICU, in-hospital death and a composite measure of ICU admission or in-hospital death. LoS was calculated as the time between first admission and last discharge. To estimate differences in our outcomes we calculated adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) using a Cox proportional hazards model. Explanatory variables included virus variant, sex, age, country of birth, underlying risk factors, regional health authority and vaccination status (see table 1). We also conducted subgroup analysis by age group and vaccination

status for subgroups with ≥50 omicron and ≥50 delta patients, and ≥10 outcomes. Estimates from the univariable model for all patients and all multivariable models are presented in supplement B.

We also assessed the representativeness of patients with known variant among all COVID-19 patients in the study period (supplementary material A, section 3).

Ethics

Ethical approval was granted by Regional Committees for Medical Research Ethics - Southeast Norway, reference number 249509.

Results

During the study period, 1747 patients were hospitalised with COVID-19 as the main cause of hospitalisation. Of these, 1710 (98%) had a national identity number, of which 1079 (63%) had known variant. Our study cohort comprised 409 Omicron (38%) and 666 Delta patients (62%). We excluded three patients reported to be infected with another variant, and one Delta patient with a date of positive test two months before hospitalisation. The median number of days from positive test to admission was 0 (interquartile range (IQR): 0–1) for Omicron patients and 4 (IQR: 0–7) for Delta patients. Detailed characteristics of the study cohort are presented in table 1. Descriptive results for each outcome by subgroup are presented in table 2.

Omicron patients had a 48% lower risk of ICU admission (aHR: 0.52, 95%CI: 0.34–0.80) and a 56% lower risk of in-hospital death (aHR: 0.44, 95%CI: 0.24–0.79), compared to Delta patients. By age subgroup, Omicron patients 18–79 years had a lower risk of ICU admission than Delta patients. We did not observe a difference in the risk of death between Omicron and Delta patients 65–79 years old. Patients ≥80 years were infrequently admitted to ICU, but Omicron patients had a lower risk of death than Delta patients. Omicron patients vaccinated with three doses had an 80% lower risk of

ICU admission (aHR: 0.20, 95%CI: 0.08–0.47), and a 70% lower risk of in-hospital death (aHR: 0.30, 95%CI: 0.11–0.83). Results tended in the same direction for unvaccinated patients (aHR for ICU admission or death: 0.51, 95%CI: 0.26–0.98). We did not observe a difference in the risk of ICU admission or death between Omicron and Delta patients who had completed primary vaccination with maximum two doses (table 3).

In the multivariable model including all patients, the variable 'variant' did not satisfy the proportional hazards assumption for either LoS outcome. However, our subgroup analysis suggested a shorter LoS (with or without ICU stay) for Omicron patients compared to Delta patients in the age subgroups 18–79 years and those who had completed primary vaccination. For example, for Omicron patients vaccinated with three doses the aHR for discharge overall was 1.58 (95%CI: 1.16–2.17). Assuming exponential distribution of the survival data (see supplement A, part 2.3), this translates into an expected 37% (95%CI: 14%–54%) shorter overall LoS for Omicron patients (1-(1/1.58)) (table 3).

Discussion

We find that hospitalised COVID-19 patients infected with the Omicron variant have a milder disease trajectory than patients infected with Delta in Norway. This supports the growing evidence of reduced disease severity among those infected with Omicron (2;4-8). Results from similar studies in South Africa, USA and France have also reported a reduction in the median LoS, risk of ICU admission and/or death among Omicron patients compared to Delta patients (9-12).

Our subgroup analyses generally supported the main results, although we did not observe any difference in the risk of ICU admission or death between Omicron and Delta patients who had completed primary vaccination with maximum two doses. This may be in line with evidence of reduced vaccine effectiveness against infection with Omicron (13-15), and in Norway we have

previously observed similar results when analysing the risk of hospitalisation among reported COVID-19 cases (2). However, we did not observe an interaction between variant and vaccination status in this study, while the size of each subgroup must be considered. Such relationships should be further explored in larger patient cohorts.

We have analysed national data from a cohort of patients with known variant data, encompassing 63% of all hospitalisations due to COVID-19 in the study period. One limitation with our study is that a higher proportion of patients admitted to ICU had known variant (see supplement A, part 3). Given the increased risk of ICU admission for Delta patients, we may have oversampled severely ill Delta patients, which may cause us to slightly overestimate the reduction in our outcomes for Omicron patients. Another important limitation is that we could not distinguish sublineage BA.1 and BA.2 for all Omicron patients. In Norway BA.2 has gradually begun to outcompete BA.1 (16). However, up to the end of the study period, BA.1 was still the dominant circulating Omicron sublineage, and our results were robust when we excluded 57 patients known to be infected with BA.2 (see supplement A, part 2.4). Further studies are needed to investigate whether disease severity differs between Omicron sublineages.

Evidence of lower disease severity among hospitalised Omicron patients in Norway and elsewhere is encouraging in the ongoing response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Analyses of circulating variants in a local context are important for informing decision-making on control measures and hospital capacity planning in different settings.

Notes and acknowledgements

Authors' contributions

All co-authors were involved in the conceptualisation of the study. RW coordinated the study. OH,

RK, KB and EB contributed to the acquisition of data. LV, HB, JS, OH, NA, ES, KB and RW contributed

to data cleaning, verification and/or preparation. ABK, JS, ES, HB, LV and RW had access to the final

linked dataset. ABK conducted the statistical analysis in consultation with JS and RW. JS and RW

drafted the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to the interpretation of the results. All co-authors

contributed to the revision of the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or

not-for-profit sectors.

Data sharing statement

The dataset analysed in the study contains individual-level linked data from various central health

registries, national clinical registries and other national administrative registries in Norway. The

researchers had access to the data through the national emergency preparedness registry for COVID-

19 (Beredt C19), housed at the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH). In Beredt C19, only fully

anonymised data (i.e. data that are neither directly nor potentially indirectly identifiable) are

permitted to be shared publicly. Legal restrictions therefore prevent the researchers from publicly

sharing the dataset used in the study that would enable others to replicate the study findings.

However, external researchers are freely able to request access to linked data from the same

registries from outside the structure of Beredt C19, as per normal procedure for conducting health

research on registry data in Norway. Further information on Beredt C19, including contact information for the Beredt C19 project manager, and information on access to data from each individual data source, is available at https://www.fhi.no/en/id/infectious-diseases/coronavirus/emergency-preparedness-register-for-covid-19/.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, we wish to thank all those who have helped report data to the national emergency preparedness registry at the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH) throughout the pandemic. We also highly acknowledge the efforts that regional laboratories have put into establishing a routine variant screening procedure or whole genome sequencing at short notice and registration of all analysis in national registries for surveillance. Thanks also to the staff at the Virology and Bacteriology departments at NIPH involved in national variant identification and whole genome analysis of SARS-CoV-2 viruses. We also highly acknowledge the efforts of staff at hospitals around Norway to ensure the reporting of timely and complete data to the Norwegian Intensive Care and Pandemic Registry, as well as colleagues at the register itself. We would also like to thank Anja Elsrud Schou Lindman, project director for the national preparedness registry, and all those who have enabled data transfer to this registry, especially Gutorm Høgåsen at the NIPH, who has been in charge of the establishment and administration of the registry.

References

- 1. Brandal LT, MacDonald E, Veneti L, Ravlo T, Lange H, Naseer U, et al. Outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in Norway, November to December 2021. Euro Surveill 2021;26(50).
- Veneti L, Bøås H, Bråthen Kristoffersen A, Stålcrantz J, Bragstad K, Hungnes O, et al. Reduced risk of hospitalisation among reported COVID-19 cases infected with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant compared with the Delta variant, Norway, December 2021 to January 2022. Eurosurveillance 2022;27(4):2200077.
- 3. Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Emergency preparedness register for COVID-19 (Beredt C19)[cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: https://www.fhi.no/en/id/infectious-diseases/coronavirus/emergency-preparedness-register-for-covid-19/
- 4. Ulloa AC, Buchan SA, Daneman N, Brown KA. Early estimates of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant severity based on a matched cohort study, Ontario, Canada. medRxiv 2022; (cited 2022 Jan 29). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.24.21268382
- 5. Wolter N, Jassat W, Walaza S, Welch R, Moultrie H, Groome M, et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant in South Africa: a data linkage study. Lancet 2022;399(10323):437-46.
- 6. UK Health Security Agency. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England: Technical briefing: Update on hospitalisation and vaccine effectiveness for Omicron VOC-21NOV-01 (B.1.1.529) [cited 2022 Feb 10]. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachmentdata/file/1045619/Technical-Briefing-31-Dec-2021-Omicron_severity_update.pdf
- 7. Agency UHS. National flu and COVID-19 surveillance reports: 2021 to 2022 season: 27
 January 2022 (week 4).)[cited 2022 Feb 10]. Available from:

 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment
 data/file/1050508/Weekly Flu and COVID-19 report w4.pdf
- 8. Naleway AL, Groom HC, Crawford PM, et al. Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection, Emergency Department Visits, and Hospitalizations Because of COVID-19 Among Persons Aged ≥12 Years, by COVID-19 Vaccination Status Oregon and Washington, July 4–September 25, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1608–1612.)[cited 2022 Feb 10]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7046a4
- 9. Abdullah F, Myers J, Basu D, Tintinger G, Ueckermann V, Mathebula M, et al. Decreased severity of disease during the first global omicron variant covid-19 outbreak in a large hospital in Tshwane, South Africa. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 2022;116:38-42.
- 10. Auvigne V, Vaux S, Strat YL, Schaeffer J, Fournier L, Montagnat C, et al. Serious hospital events following symptomatic infection with Sars-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants: an exposed-unexposed cohort study in December 2021 from the COVID-19 surveillance databases in France. medRxiv 2022; (cited 2022 Jan 29). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.02.22269952
- 11. Lewnard JA, Hong VX, Patel MM, Kahn R, Lipsitch M, Tartof SY. Clinical outcomes among patients infected with Omicron (B.1.1.529) SARS-CoV-2 variant in southern California. medRxiv 2022; (cited 2022 Jan 29). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.11.22269045
- 12. Vieillard-Baron A, Flicoteaux R, Salmona M, Annane D, Ayed S, Azoulay E, et al. Epidemiological characteristics and severity of Omicron variant cases in the APHP critical care units. medRxiv 2022; (cited 2022 feb 20). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.25.22269839

- 13. Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. medRxiv 2021; (cited 2022 feb 20). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.14.21267615
- 14. Buchan SA, Chung H, Brown KA, Austin PC, Fell DB, Gubbay JB, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against Omicron or Delta infection. medRxiv 2022; (cited 2022 feb 20). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.30.21268565
- 15. Hansen CH, Schelde AB, Moustsen-Helm IR, Emborg H-D, Krause TG, Mølbak K, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron or Delta variants following a two-dose or booster BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccination series: A Danish cohort study. medRxiv 2021; (cited 2022 feb 20). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966
- 16. Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Ukerapport om koronavirus og COVID-19, uke 5 Oslo: Norwegian Institute of Public Health; 2022.)[cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: https://www.fhi.no/contentassets/8a971e7b0a3c4a06bdbf381ab52e6157/vedlegg/2022/ukerapport-uke-5-31.01---06.02.22.pdf

Tables and figures

Table 1. Characteristics of patients hospitalised with COVID-19 as the main cause of hospitalisation and infected with the SASRS-CoV-2 omicron or delta variant, by variant, Norway, 6 December 2021 – 6 February 2022 (n=1075)

Characteristics		Variant							
Characteristics		Omicron (n=409)	Delta (n=666)	p value					
Sex	Male	196 (47.9%)	389 (58.4%)	<0.001					
	Female	213 (52.1%)	277 (41.6%)						
Age group	0-17 years	44 (10.8%)	15 (2.3%)	<0.001					
	18-29 years	31 (7.6%)	27 (4.1%)						
	30-44 years	68 (16.6%)	123 (18.5%)						
	45-54 years	61 (14.9%)	99 (14.9%)						
	55-64 years	46 (11.2%)	137 (20.6%)						
	65-79 years	91 (22.2%)	177 (26.6%)						
	≥80 years	68 (16.6%)	88 (13.2%)						
Median age	In years (IQR)	55 (35–75)	59 (45–73)	0.010					
Born in Norway	Yes, with at least one	257 (62.8%)	370 (55.6%)	<0.001					
	parent born in Norway								
	Yes, two parents born	19 (4.6%)	14 (2.1%)						
	outside of Norway								
	No	106 (25.9%)	250 (37.5%)						
	Unknown	27 (6.6%)	32 (4.8%)						
Underlying risk factors	Asthma	46 (11.2%)	62 (9.3%)	0.305					
	Cancer ^a	51 (12.5%)	33 (5.0%)	<0.001					
	Chronic lung disease,	65 (15.9%)	76 (11.4%)	0.035					
	excluding asthma								
	Chronic neurological or	31 (7.6%)	62 (9.3%)	0.567					
	neuromuscular disease								
	Diabetes (type 1 and 2)	68 (16.6%)	102 (15.3%)	0.327					

	Heart disease,	147 (35.9%)	232 (34.8%)	0.712
	including hypertension			
	Immunosuppression,	55 (13.4%)	65 (9.8%)	0.062
	including HIV and			
	immunosuppressive			
	treatment ^b			
	Kidney disease,	58 (14.2%)	67 (10.1%)	0.041
	including kidney failure			
	Liver disease, including	10 (2.4%)	12 (1.8%)	0.470
	liver failure			
	BMI ≥30 °	57 (13.9%)	144 (21.6%)	0.119
	Pregnant	19 (4.6%)	15 (2.3%)	0.029
	Current smoker	22 (5.4%)	41 (6.2%)	0.598
Vaccination status ^d	Not vaccinated	99 (24.2%)	401 (60.2%)	<0.001
	One dose <21 days	4 (1.0%)	9 (1.4%)	
	before positive test			
	Partially completed	8 (2.0%)	10 (1.5%)	
	primary vaccination			
	series ≥21 days before			
	positive test			
	Completed primary	77 (18.8%)	63 (9.5%)	
	vaccination series with			
	maximum two doses 7–			
	179 days before			
	positive test			
	Completed primary	69 (16.9%)	111 (16.7%)	
	vaccination series with			
	maximum two			
	doses2≥180 days			
	before positive test			

	Vaccinated with three	152 (37.2%)	71 (10.7%)	
l	doses ≥7 days before			
l	positive test			
l		2 (2 22()	1 (0.200)	
l	Unvaccinated, but	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.2%)	
l	previously diagnosed			
l	with COVID-19 6-12			
l	months before positive			
	test			
Week of admission	49/2021	2 (0.5%)	164 (24.6%)	<0.001
l	50/2021	3 (0.7%)	168 (25.2%)	
	51/2021	6 (1.5%)	132 (19.8%)	
	52/2021	32 (7.8%)	97 (14.6%)	
	1/2022	49 (12.0%)	61 (9.2%)	
	2/2022	60 (14.7%)	28 (4.2%)	
	3/2022	56 (13.7%)	9 (1.4%)	
	4/2022	94 (23.0%)	5 (0.8%)	
	5/2022	107 (26.2%)	2 (0.3%)	
Regional health	South-East	248 (60.6%)	462 (69.4%)	<0.001
authority	West	70 (17.1%)	138 (20.7%)	
	Mid	70 (17.1%)	39 (5.9%)	
l	North	21 (5.1%)	27 (4.1%)	
Admission to ICU	No	378 (92.4%)	501 (75.2%)	<0.001
	Yes	31 (7.6%)	165 (24.8%)	
Death ^e	Died in ICU	4 (1.1%)	20 (3.2%)	0.002
l	Died in hospital, not in	11 (2.9%)	43 (6.8%)	
	ICU			
1	Alive at discharge	364 (96.0%)	568 (90.0%)	
Status at end of	In ICU	5 (1.2%)	8 (1.2%)	0.311
follow-up (14	In hospital, not in ICU	25 (6.1%)	27 (4.1%)	
February 2022)	Discharged from	379 (92.7%)	631 (94.7%)	
1	hospital			

IQR: interquartile range; ICU: Intensive care unit; BMI: Body mass index. P values comparing patients with Omicron and Delta calculated using chi-squared tests or Wilcoxon rank sum tests as appropriate.

^a Refers to cancer patients undergoing treatment or with regular controls (>1 per year).

^b Includes ongoing use of steroids in doses equivalent to at least 5mg Prednisolone daily.

c In our dataset, 215 (52,6%) omicron patients and 264 (39,6%) delta patients had unknown information on height and weight, and thus unknown data on BMI. BMI ≥30 was therefore included as a three-level categorical variable in the models; yes, no and unknown.

Data on vaccine type among vaccinated patients are presented in supplement A, part 1. Among the 298 Omicron and 245 Delta patients who had completed their primary vaccination series, 99% had received a homologous or mixed mRNA vaccine regimen.

^e Excludes patients still in hospital at end of follow-up.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.10.22272196; this version posted March 13, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granged medRxiv a ligense to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Table 2 Number of patients, median number of days from admission to discharge from hospital, admissions to ICU and deaths in hospital among patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 as the main cause of hospitalisation, by variant and subgroup, Norway, 6 December 2021 – 6 February 2022

	Omicron D						Delta				
			Median					Median		=	
		Median	number of				Median	number of		is ma	
		number of	days from				number of	days from		de ava	
		days from	admission to				days from	admission to		ailable	
		admission to	discharge,				admission to	discharge,		unde	
		discharge	patients not	Number	Number of		discharge	patients not	Number	Number of S	
	Number of	from hospital	ad mitted to	admitted to	deaths in	Number of	from hospital	admitted to	admitted to	deaths in	
	patients	(IQR) ^a	ICU (IQR) a	ICU (%)	hospital (%) b	patients	(IQR) ^a	ICU (IQR) a	ICU (%)	hospital (%	
All patients	409	2.82	2.64	31	15	666	5.93	4.61	165	:03	
		(1.49–6.24)	(1.30–4.94)	(7.6%)	(4.0%)		(3.01–11.98)	(2.50–7.77)	(24.8%)	(10.0%	
Age groups										tional	
– <18 years	44	С	С	С	С	15	С	С	С	It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 40 in mational license. Number of city in mational license in the city i	
– 18-44 years	99	1.81	1.80	4	0	150	4.68	3.61	27	. (
		(0.83–3.00)	(0.82-2.92)	(4.0%)	(0%)		(2.67-8.12)	(1.93–5.90)	(18.0%)	(0%	
– 45-64 years	107	2.79	2.63	9	3	236	7.22	4.61	78	(2.3%	
		(1.67–7.64)	(1.53–6.69)	(8.4%)	(3.1%)		(3.27–15.10)	(2.50-8.00)	(33.1%)	(2.3%	

(which was not certified by peer rev.ew) is the author/runder, who has granted medically a license to display the peep 2 6 3 9 It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4 0 Intervational license . % 11 (12.6%) (16.6	medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.10.22272196; this version posted March 13, 2022. The copyright ho
16eprintin perpetuity.	ight holder for this preprint

									¥
91	4.16	3.97	11	5	177	7.42	6.08	48	215
	(2.10-7.84)	(2.06–6.52)	(12,1%)	(6.2%)		(3.88–13.65)	(3.15–9.76)	(27.1%)	21% n 21% n (12.6% 0
68	3.82	3.82	3	7	88	5.24	4.56	11	36
	(2.05–7.66)	(2.05–8.08)	(4.4%)	(11.1%)		(2.76-8.31)	(2.72–7.77)	(12.5%)	(40.9%)
									Peer re It is
99	2.27	2.13	8	3	401	6.68	4.87	117	mad
	(0.88–4.31)	(0.83–3.71)	(8.1%)	(3.2%)		(3.15–12.35)	(2.76–7.88)	(29.2%)	e %.4%aii.
4	С	С	c	c	9	С	С	с	able i
									under a
8	С	С	C	Ċ	10	С	С	c	C. ×
									BY-N
									C-ND 4
77	1.88	1.83	6	1	63	4.40	3.16	11	035 25 27 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37
	(0.97–2.92)	(0.92–2.72)	(7.6%)	(1.4%)		(1.38–6.93)	(1.21–6.08)	(17.5%)	(5.2% X
									iv a lice ional lic
69	3.82	3.06	9	3	111	4.88	4.00	15	ense t
	(2.06–6.94)	(1.86–6.07)	(13.0%)	(4.6%)		(2.71–10.80)	(2.09–7.77)	(13.5%)	16.0% (16.0%)
									Made Wallable under a CC-BY-NC-ND 40 Internal license to display the peepint in peemade Wallable under a CC-BY-NC-ND 40 Internal license . 09 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 19 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
152	3.79	3.61	7	8	71	6.94	5.24	18	1 <u>0</u>
	(1.90–7.57)	(1.86–7.12)	(4.6%)	(5.8%)		(3.20–13.22)	(2.56–9.76)	(25.4%)	(14.9%
	68 99 4 8 77	(2.10-7.84) 68	(2.10–7.84) (2.06–6.52) 68	(2.10-7.84) (2.06-6.52) (12,1%) 68 3.82 3.82 3 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) 99 2.27 2.13 8 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) 8 c c c 77 1.88 1.83 6 (0.97-2.92) (0.92-2.72) (7.6%) 69 3.82 3.06 9 (2.06-6.94) (1.86-6.07) (13.0%) 152 3.79 3.61 7	(2.10-7.84) (2.06-6.52) (12,1%) (6.2%) 68 3.82 3.82 3 7 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) (11.1%) 99 2.27 2.13 8 3 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) (3.2%) 4 ° ° ° ° 8 ° ° ° ° (0.97-2.92) (0.92-2.72) (7.6%) (1.4%) 69 3.82 3.06 9 3 (2.06-6.94) (1.86-6.07) (13.0%) (4.6%)	(2.10-7.84) (2.06-6.52) (12,1%) (6.2%) 68 3.82 3.82 3 7 88 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) (11.1%) (6.2%) 99 2.27 2.13 8 3 401 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) (3.2%) 9 8 """ """ """ 10 77 1.88 1.83 6 1 63 (0.97-2.92) (0.92-2.72) (7.6%) (1.4%) 11 69 3.82 3.06 9 3 111 (2.06-6.94) (1.86-6.07) (13.0%) (4.6%) 7	(2.10-7.84) (2.06-6.52) (12,1%) (6.2%) (3.88-13.65) 68 3.82 3.82 3 7 88 5.24 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) (11.1%) (2.76-8.31) 99 2.27 2.13 8 3 401 6.68 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) (3.2%) 9 ° 8 ° ° ° ° ° 9 ° 8 ° ° ° ° ° 10 ° 9 3.82 3.06 9 3 111 4.88 (2.06-6.94) (1.86-6.07) (13.0%) (4.6%) (2.71-10.80)	(2.10-7.84) (2.06-6.52) (12,1%) (6.2%) (3.88-13.65) (3.15-9.76) 68 3.82 3.82 3 7 88 5.24 4.56 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) (11.1%) (2.76-8.31) (2.72-7.77) 99 2.27 2.13 8 3 401 6.68 4.87 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) (3.2%) 9 0 0 0 8 0	68 3.82 3.82 3 7 88 5.24 4.56 11 (2.05-7.66) (2.05-8.08) (4.4%) (11.1%) (2.76-8.31) (2.72-7.77) (12.5%) 99 2.27 2.13 8 3 401 6.68 4.87 117 (0.88-4.31) (0.83-3.71) (8.1%) (3.2%) (3.15-12.35) (2.76-7.88) (29.2%) 8 5 6 5 9 5 2.76-7.88 (29.2%) 77 1.88 1.83 6 1 63 4.40 3.16 11 (0.97-2.92) (0.92-2.72) (7.6%) (1.4%) (1.38-6.93) (1.21-6.08) (17.5%) 69 3.82 3.06 9 3 111 4.88 4.00 15 (2.06-6.94) (1.86-6.07) (13.0%) (4.6%) (2.71-10.80) (2.09-7.77) (13.5%)

Unvaccinated, but previously	0	С	С	С	С	1	С	С	c
diagnosed with COVID-19 6–12									
months before positive test									

IQR: interquartile range; ICU: Intensive care unit.

^a Estimates from univariable Cox regression.

b Crude proportions calculated excluding those still admitted at the end of the study period. All patients: 30 Omicron, 35 Delta; 18–44 years: 2 Omicron, 6 Delta; 45–64 years: 11 Omicron, 19 Delta; 65–79 years: 10 Omicron, 10 Delta; ≥80 years: 5 Omicron, 0 Delta; Unvaccinated: 6 Omicron, 21 Delta; Completed primary vaccination series with maximum two doses 7–179 days before positive test: 4 Omicron, 5 Delta; Completed primary vaccination series with maximum two doses 15 Omicron, 4 Delta.

^c Subgroup analysis not conducted due to small sample size (<50 omicron and/or <50 delta patients and/or <10 outcomes).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.10.22272196; this version posted March 13, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granged medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Table 3 Crude and adjusted hazard ratios for discharge from hospital with and without stay in intensive care, intensive care admission and/or in-hospital death from a Cox proportional hazards model, patients admitted to hospital with omicron variant compared with patients admitted with delta variant of SARS-CoV-2, overall and by subgroup, Norway, 6 December 2021 – 6 February 2022

	5: 1 6		Discharge fr	om hospital,					ICU admission OR death in ্র		
	Discharge fi	rom hospital	patients not admitted to ICU		Admission to ICU		Death in	hospital	hospital		
	Crude hazard	Adjusted	Crude hazard	Adjusted	Crude hazard	Adjusted	Crude hazard	A d ju sted	Crude hazard	Adjusted available hazard ratio	
	ratio (95%CI)	hazard ratio	ratio (95%CI)	hazard ratio	ratio (95%CI)	hazard ratio	ratio (95%CI)	hazard ratio	ratio (95%CI)	_	
		(95%CI)		(95%CI)		(95%CI)		(95%CI)		(95%CI) nder	
All patients	1.77	a	1.44	a	0.33	0.52	0.83	0.44	0.38	0.46 C	
	(1.55–2.02)		(1.26–1.65)		(0.23-0.49)	(0.34-0.80)	(0.47–1.47)	(0.24-0.79)	(0.27-0.53)	(0.32-0.67)	
Age groups										-ŇD 4	
– <18 years	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	VC-ND 4:0 Inte	
– 18-44 years	2.32	1.98	1.98	2.40	0.31	0.31	b	b	0.31	0.31 ic	
	(1.78–3.03)	(1.44-2.71)	(1.50 -2.61)	(1.80-3.19)	(0.11-0.90)	(0.11-0.90)			(0.11-0.90)	(0.11-0.9 <mark>0</mark>)	
– 45-64 years	1.87	1.56	1.33	1.26	0.25	0.38	b	b	0.27	0.37	
	(1.46–2.39)	(1.14-2.13)	(1.02-1.73)	(0.92-1.72)	(0.13-0.50)	(0.17-0.83)			(0.14-0.52)	(0.17-0.78)	
– 65-79 years	1.47	1.19	1.33	1.13	0.47	0.47	0.92	0.67	0.55	0.73`	
	(1.12–1.92)	(0.87–1.64)	(1.00-1.78)	(1.00-1.78)	(0.24–0.90)	(0.24–0.90)	(0.34–2.47)	(0.24–1.88)	(0.31-0.98)	(0.37–1.14)	
– ≥80 years	1.20	1.20	1.09	1.05	0.37	0.31	0.32	0.26	0.33	0.31	

	(0.86–1.66)	(0.86–1.67)	(0.77-1.53)	(0.72-1.52)	(0.10-1.32)	(0.09-1.13)	(0.14-0.72)	(0.11-0.61)	(0.16-0.68)	(0.15–0.6
accination status										
Unvaccinated	2.42	a	1.97	a	0.32	0.53	1.05	0.41	0.36	0.5
	(1.92–3.05)		(1.54-2.50)		(0.15–0.65)	(0.24–1.14)	(0.32–3.47)	(0.16-1.44)	(0.19–0.68)	(0.26–0.9
– One dose <21 days	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	
before positive test										
Partially completed	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	
primary vaccination										
series ≥21 days										
before positive test										
 Completed primary 	1.80	1.46	1.97	1.63	0.57	0.73	b	b	0.70	0
vaccination series	(1.26–2.55)	(0.99–2.14)	(1.35-2.88)	(1.10-2.42)	(0.21–1.58)	(0.25–2.12)			(0.27–1.85)	(0.32–2.
with maximum two										
doses 7–179 days										
before positive test										(0.32–2.
 Completed primary 	1.32	1.34	1.32	1.41	1.17	1.17	0.44	0.50	0.78	0
vaccination series	(0.96–1.80)	(0.98-1.83)	(0.95–1.83)	(1.01–1.97)	(0.51–2.67)	(0.51–2.67)	(0.13–1.50)	(0.14-1.74)	(0.38-1.61)	(0.31–1.
with maximum two										
doses⊡≥180 days										
before positive test										

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.10.22272196; this version posted March 13, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

 Vaccinated with 	1.58	1.58	1.17	1.22	0.19	0.20	0.67	0.30	0.28	0.28
three doses2≥7 days	(1.18-2.14)	(1.16–2.17)	(0.85–1.62)	(0.88-1.68)	(0.08-0.46)	(0.08-0.47)	(0.26–1.74)	(0.11-0.83)	(0.15-0.54)	(0.15-0.54)
before positive test										
 Unvaccinated, but 	b	b	b	b	b	b	Ь	b	b	Ŀ
previously diagnosed										₫
with COVID-19 6-12										t is made
months before										
positive test										available i
101111111111111111111111111111111111111										

ICU: Intensive care unit; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval. Bold text = statistically significant results. Estimates from the univariable model for all patients and all multivariable models are presented in supplement B.

^a Adjusted estimates not presented as the variable 'variant' had to be stratified in this model to satisfy the proportional hazards assumption.

^b Subgroup analysis not conducted due to small sample size (<50 omicron and/or <50 delta patients and/or <10 outcomes).