

Serological Responses to the First Three Doses of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Prospective Cohort Study

Joshua Quan¹, BKin; Christopher Ma, MD¹; MPH, Remo Panaccione, MD¹; Lindsay Hracs, PhD¹; Nastaran Sharifi, MD¹; Michelle Herauf, MSc¹; Ante Markovinović, BA¹; Stephanie Coward, PhD¹; Joseph W. Windsor, PhD¹; Léa Caplan, BHSc¹; Richard J. M. Ingram, MD, PhD¹; Jamil N. Kanji, MD^{2,3,4}; Graham Tipples, PhD^{2,5}; Jessalyn K. Holodinsky, PhD⁶; Charles N. Bernstein⁷, MD; Douglas J. Mahoney⁸, PhD; Sasha Bernatsky⁹, MD PhD; Eric I. Benchimol, MD, PhD¹⁰⁻¹²; Gilaad G. Kaplan, MD, MPH¹ on behalf of the STOP COVID-19 in IBD Research Group*.

*Serological Testing to Outline Protocols for COVID19 in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STOP COVID-19 in IBD) Research Group: Lisa Barrett, Gurmeet Bindra, Carmen Charlton, Melissa Chan, Usha Chauhan, Jose Ferraz, Sharyle Fowler, Anne Griffiths, Jennifer Jones, Reena Khanna, M. Ellen Kuenzig, Peter L. Lakatos, Kate Lee, Cathy Lu, David Mack, John Marshall, Kerri Novak, Cynthia H. Seow, Abdu Sharkawy, Tushar Shukla, Laura Targownik, LeeAnn Turnbull, and Sandra Zelinsky.

Affiliations:

1. Inflammatory Bowel Disease Clinic, Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta
2. Alberta Precision Laboratories, Public Health Laboratory, Alberta, Canada
3. Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
4. Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
5. Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Li Ka Shing Institute of Virology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
6. Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta
7. University of Manitoba IBD Clinical and Research Centre and Department of Medicine, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
8. Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Disease, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta
9. Divisions of Rheumatology and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
10. ICES, Toronto, ON, Canada
11. SickKids Inflammatory Bowel Disease Centre, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada.
12. Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Grant support:

1. Canadian Institutes of Health Research Operating Grant: COVID-19 Rapid Research Funding Opportunity funding reference number VR5-172684.

2. Crohn's and Colitis Canada COVID-19 and IBD Taskforce
3. Public Health Agency of Canada through the Vaccine Surveillance Reference Group (VSRG) and the COVID-19 Immunity Task Force (CITY)
4. The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust Grant #G-2209-05501

Abbreviations:

anti-S	antibodies to the receptor-binding domain of the S1 subunit of the spike protein
anti-N	antibodies to the nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2
anti-TNF	tumour necrosis factor antagonist
APL	Alberta Precision Laboratories
AU	arbitrary units
CI	confidence interval
COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
FC	fold change
GMT	geometric mean titer
IBD	inflammatory bowel disease
RBD	receptor-binding domain
SARS-COV-2	severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
SD	standard deviation

Corresponding Author:

Gilaad G. Kaplan, MD, MPH, FRCPC
Teaching Research and Wellness Building, 3D03-18
3280 Hospital Drive NW
Calgary, Alberta, T2N 4Z6
Canada
Tel: 403-220-2293
Fax: 403-270-7307
Email: ggkaplan@ucalgary.ca

Disclosures:

Dr. Kaplan has received honoraria for speaking or consultancy from AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, Amgen, and Takeda. He has received research support from Ferring, Janssen, AbbVie, GlaxoSmith Kline, Merck, and Shire. He has been a consultant for Gilead. He shares ownership of a patent: TREATMENT OF INFLAMMATORY DISORDERS, AUTOIMMUNE DISEASE, AND PBC. UTI Limited Partnership, assignee. Patent WO2019046959A1. PCT/CA2018/051098. 7 Sept. 2018.

Dr. Bernstein is supported by the Bingham Chair in Gastroenterology. Dr. Bernstein has served on advisory Boards for AbbVie Canada, Amgen Canada, Avir Pharmaceuticals, Bristol Myers Squibb Canada, Roche Canada, JAMP Pharmaceuticals Canada, Janssen Canada, Sandoz Canada, Takeda Canada, and Pfizer Canada; Consultant for Mylan Pharmaceuticals and Takeda; Educational grants from AbbVie Canada, Pfizer Canada, Takeda Canada, and Janssen Canada. Speaker's panel for AbbVie Canada, Janssen Canada, and Takeda Canada. Received research funding from AbbVie Canada, Amgen Canada, Sandoz Canada and Pfizer Canada.

Dr. Ma has received consulting fees from AbbVie, Alimentiv, Amgen, AVIR Pharma Inc, BioJAMP, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celltrion, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, McKesson, Mylan, Takeda, Pendopharm, Pfizer, Roche; speaker's fees from AbbVie, Amgen, AVIR Pharma Inc, Alimentiv, Ferring, Janssen, Takeda, and Pfizer; research support from Ferring, Pfizer.

Dr. Panaccione has received consulting fees, speaker fees, and research support from AbbVie, Abbott, Alimentiv (formerly Robarts), Amgen, Arena Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Celltrion, Cosmos Pharmaceuticals, Eisai, Elan, Eli Lilly, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Galapagos, Genentech, Gilead Sciences, Glaxo-Smith Kline, Janssen, Merck, Mylan, Oppilan Pharma, Pandion Pharma, Pfizer, Progenity, Protagonist Therapeutics, Roche, Satisfai Health, Sandoz, Schering-Plough, Shire, Sublimity Therapeutics, Theravance Biopharma, UCB, and Takeda Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Benchimol has acted as a legal consultant for Hoffman La-Roche Limited and Peabody & Arnold LLP for matters unrelated to a medication used to treat inflammatory bowel disease.

No conflict of interests: Joshua Quan, Joseph Windsor, Stephanie Coward, Lindsay Hracs, Nastaran Sharifi, Michelle Herauf, Ante Markovinovic, Lea Caplan, Richard Ingram, Jamil Kanji, Graham Tipples, Jessalyn Holodinsky, Douglas Mahoney, Sasha Bernatsky.

Writing Assistance: None.

Author Contributions:

GGK has full access to all data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. GGK, CM, JQ, JK, GT, RP conceived and designed the study. GGK, CM, RP, NS, MH, RJI, AM were responsible for clinical data. JK, GT, were responsible for serological data. JQ, GGK, SC, LH analyzed the data. JQ and GK drafted the manuscript. All authors interpreted the data and provided critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Ethical Considerations: All participants provided informed consent and the study was approved by the University of Calgary's Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board.

Data Sharing: Data is available on an open-access online interactive dashboard found here: https://kaplan-gi.shinyapps.io/COVID_Serology/

Title Character Count:	130
Abstract Word Count:	246
Word Count Abstract, Body, References and Legends:	4940
References:	30

Background

Individuals with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) who are immunocompromised may have a reduced serological response to the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. We investigated serological responses following 1st, 2nd, and 3rd doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in those with IBD.

Methods

A prospective cohort study of persons with IBD ($n = 496$) assessed serological response 1–8 weeks after 1st dose vaccination, 1–8 weeks after 2nd dose, 8 or more weeks after 2nd dose, and at least 1 week after 3rd dose. Seroconversion and geometric mean titer (GMT) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were assessed for antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Multivariable linear regression models assessed the adjusted fold change (FC) in antibody levels.

Results

Seroconversion and GMT increased from post-1st dose to 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose (81.6%, 1814 AU/mL vs. 98.7%, 9229 AU/mL, $p < 0.001$), decreased after 8 weeks post-2nd dose (94.9%, 3002 AU/mL, $p < 0.001$), and rebounded post-3rd dose (99.6%, 14639 AU/mL, $p < 0.001$). Prednisone was the only IBD-related medication associated with diminished antibody response after 3rd-dose vaccination (FC: 0.07 [95% CI: 0.02, 0.20]). Antibody levels steadily decline following the 2nd (FC: 0.92 [95% CI: 0.90, 0.94] per week) and 3rd dose (FC: 0.88 [95% CI: 0.84, 0.92] per week) of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.

Conclusion

A three-dose regimen of vaccination to SARS-CoV-2 yields a robust antibody response for those with IBD across all classes of IBD therapies except for prednisone. The decaying antibody levels following the 3rd dose of the vaccine should be monitored in future studies.

Keywords: *Crohn's disease; ulcerative colitis; COVID-19 vaccination; antibodies*

Background

Individuals with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) may have an increased risk of infection and complications of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) because of disease activity and/or an immunocompromised state due to their medical therapy. Highly effective vaccines against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have been made widely available to reduce disease severity of COVID-19.¹ The most commonly used vaccines in Canada have been: Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 mRNA (Comirnaty®), NIH-Moderna mRNA-1273 (Spikevax®), and AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Vaxzevria®) adenovirus vector vaccine, which were initially administered as two-dose regimens.²⁻⁴

Several studies in the IBD population have demonstrated adequate serological response to the two-dose regimen of vaccines.⁵ However, a reduced antibody response has been reported for those on certain treatments, including: tumor necrosis factor antagonists (anti-TNF), immunomodulators, tofacitinib, oral corticosteroids, and combinations of immunosuppressive therapies.⁶⁻¹¹ Moreover, the decay of antibody levels has been shown in the IBD population, with therapies such as anti-TNF associated with a more rapid decline.⁷ Consequently, gastroenterology organizations and public health officials have recommended three-dose vaccine regimens for individuals with IBD.¹² Despite recommendations, uptake of 3rd dose vaccines remains low in the IBD population.¹³

We examined the serological response following the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in those with IBD; the decay of antibodies over time; and the factors, including medications, associated with antibody titres in those with IBD.

Methods

Patients and Recruitment

Serological Testing to Outline Protocols for COVID-19 in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STOP COVID-19 in IBD) is a prospective, observational cohort study of adults with IBD who are followed for serological response to vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. Participants were recruited from October 22, 2020, to September 15, 2021 based on the following inclusion criteria: 1. age 18 years or older, 2. a confirmed diagnosis of IBD, 3. Alberta resident managed by a gastroenterologist in the Calgary Health Zone, and 4. received at least one dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.

All participants provided informed consent, and the study was approved by the University of Calgary's Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board (REB20-1082). Reporting was conducted according to STROBE guidelines for reporting observational studies.

Vaccination and Serology

Serum was processed at the Public Health Laboratory (Alberta Precision Laboratories [APL]) for SARS-CoV-2 serology testing. The Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG Architect assay was used to detect antibodies to the nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2 (anti-N). Nucleocapsid antibody levels were assessed for serosurveillance of natural infection to SARS-CoV-2 with seroconversion defined as an anti-N antibody concentration of ≥ 0.7 signal/cutoff index.¹ Anti-N antibody levels were measured to assess a prior infection with SARS-CoV-2.

COVID-19 vaccines also generate an antibody response to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the S1 subunit; thus, antibodies to the spike protein can be caused by natural infection or vaccination. Antibodies to the RBD of the S1 subunit of the spike protein (anti-S) were assessed using the Abbott Architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay (Abbott, Abbott Park,

Illinois, USA).¹⁴ The threshold for antibody positivity was defined as ≥ 50 arbitrary units per millimetre (AU/mL).¹⁵ The sensitivity and specificity of the SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay was 98.1% and 99.6%, respectively.¹⁶ Additionally, antibody levels are reported in the World Health Organization binding antibody units (BAU) to allow for comparison across assays.^{17,18}

Serum samples were drawn for assessment of anti-S and anti-N antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 following the 1st, 2nd, and/or 3rd dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Samples were stratified into four groups based on availability of serum samples following vaccination: A. 1–8 weeks following the 1st dose of the vaccine, B. 1–8 weeks following the 2nd dose of the vaccine, C. >8 weeks following the 2nd dose of the vaccine, and D. at least one week following the 3rd dose of the vaccine. Additional samples following 3rd dose vaccination were collected when available but were excluded from the primary analysis; these data were only used for a sub-analysis of within-individual comparisons.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes of interest were anti-S antibody concentration 1–8 weeks following the 1st dose of a vaccine, 1–8 weeks following the 2nd dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, >8 weeks following the 2nd dose, and >1 week following the 3rd dose of a vaccine. Secondarily, we assessed the proportion of participants who seroconverted following each dose of vaccine as defined by a threshold ≥ 50 AU/mL.

Variables

Study coordinators performed medical chart reviews, and participants completed self-report electronic questionnaires. Age, sex, IBD type (Crohn's disease versus ulcerative colitis or IBD type unclassified), and IBD medications were collected. Age at date of collection was defined as both a continuous variable and a binary variable stratified as 18–65 years versus >65 years. IBD

medications were classified in the following mutually exclusive groups: A. No immunosuppressive therapies including no medical treatment, use of 5-ASA (oral or topical), and/or oral budesonide; B. immunomodulator monotherapy (azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, or methotrexate); C. anti-TNF monotherapy (infliximab, adalimumab, or golimumab, originator or biosimilar); D. vedolizumab monotherapy; E. ustekinumab monotherapy; F. tofacitinib monotherapy; G. combination therapy, defined as any combination of two or more of anti-TNF, immunomodulators, vedolizumab, ustekinumab, or tofacitinib; or H. oral corticosteroids, defined as prednisone at any dose alone or in conjunction with any other medication. Medication status was reviewed at each dose of the vaccine to allow for change of therapy through course of vaccination schedules. Medications were classified for each of the four vaccine groups (i.e., post-1st dose, 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose, 8+ weeks post-2nd dose, and post-3rd dose).

Vaccine type and date of vaccination for each dose was recorded. Mixing of vaccine types was not an exclusion criterion. Participants were stratified based on vaccine schedules between 1st, 2nd, and 3rd doses. For timing between 1st and 2nd doses, “on schedule” was defined as 3–6 weeks between dose administration, and “delayed schedule” was defined as >6 weeks between doses. For timing between 2nd and 3rd doses, “on schedule” was defined as 4–18 weeks between dose administration, and “delayed schedule” was defined as >18 weeks between doses.

Prior history of COVID-19 was defined by a molecular-confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection via PCR, collected from chart review. Among individuals who did not have a confirmed PCR test, we also defined prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2 as those who had an anti-N seroconversion.

Finally, for each of the four vaccine groups (i.e., post-1st dose, 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose, 8+ weeks post-2nd dose, and post-3rd dose), we established the time from vaccine dose in weeks.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA v.16.0 (StataCorp) with a significance threshold of 0.05 and two-sided testing. Geometric mean titres (GMT) with associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) or standard deviation (SD) were used to report anti-S concentrations. Seroconversion rates were reported as a proportion of individuals with positive seroconversion. Mann-Whitney U tests were performed to compare GMTs between vaccine dose categories. Additional sensitivity analyses were performed with Wilcoxon signed rank tests to compare GMTs between vaccine dose categories using paired data only.

Univariable associations of anti-S concentrations were determined using two-tailed, unpaired t-tests comparing log-transformed antibody titres within demographic, disease, and vaccine-related variables for each vaccine dose category. Multivariable linear regression models were used for each vaccine dose group (i.e., post-1st dose, 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose, 8+ weeks post-2nd dose, and post-3rd dose) to determine the effect of independent predictors on log-transformed anti-S concentration. Sex, age at time of collection, disease type, medication class, prior COVID-19 history, delayed vaccination schedule between doses, and time after vaccination were identified *a priori* as independent predictors in our primary models. Exponentiated coefficients represented the fold change (FC) associated with each binary covariate and the FC in log anti-S concentration per unit change for continuous variables.⁷

Within-individual comparisons of antibody titres were assessed into subset populations: A. Individuals with serum samples in both the post 1–8 weeks and 8+ weeks 2nd dose groups; B. serum samples following 1–8 weeks after 2nd dose and 3rd dose vaccine; C. serum samples following 8+ weeks after 2nd dose and 3rd dose vaccine; and D. individuals with multiple serum samples following the 3rd dose of the vaccine. Mean within-individual differences in antibody

concentration were calculated for each subset and stratified by prior infection to SARS-CoV-2. For each subset, overall and stratified mean antibody titres were compared between time-points using paired t-tests.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis whereby age was modelled as a binary variable (18–65 years versus >65 years) instead of a continuous variable. We also conducted a subgroup analysis of individuals who received either the Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna vaccines without mixing of an mRNA vaccine. In this subgroup, we included a binary variable comparing the Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines within the multivariable regression model. In all secondary and sensitivity analyses, models were adjusted for the same covariates defined in the primary model.

Data Visualization

The 3D plots were created in R (R Core Team) using the rgl: 3D Visualization Using OpenGL package. Data points were plotted with days from vaccine dose on the x-axis, the patient's age at time of collection on the y-axis, and antibody levels on the z-axis (converted to a logarithmic scale). The regression plane in each plot depicts a linear regression model carried out with antibody level as the outcome and days from vaccine dose and age at time of collection as predictors.¹⁹

Our data are available to view in an open access, online, interactive dashboard (https://kaplan-gi.shinyapps.io/COVID_Serology/) created in R (R Core Team) using the Shiny: Web Application Framework for R³ package.²⁰

Results

Table 1 describes the distribution of demographic, disease, and vaccine-related characteristics for the entire cohort ($n = 496$) and for individuals with serological data 1–8 weeks following 1st dose

($n = 250$), 1–8 weeks following 2nd dose ($n = 315$), 8+ weeks following 2nd dose ($n = 237$) and 1+ weeks following 3rd dose vaccination ($n = 232$).

Seroconversion rates were 81.6% (1st dose, 1–8 weeks), 98.7% (2nd dose, 1–8 weeks), 94.9% (2nd dose, 8+ weeks), and 99.6% (3rd dose, 1+ weeks) with 100% seroconversion for those with a prior COVID-19 infection for all dose categories (Table 1).

GMT levels significantly increased ($p < 0.001$) from 1–8 weeks after 1st dose (1814 AU/mL [95% CI: 981, 2648 AU/mL]) to 1–8 weeks after 2nd dose (9229 AU/mL [95% CI: 7871, 10588 AU/mL]), but fell significantly ($p < 0.001$) to 3002 AU/mL (95% CI: 2222, 3783 AU/mL) 8+ weeks after 2nd dose vaccination (Table 1, Figure 1). Following 3rd dose vaccination, antibody levels increased significantly compared to all vaccine categories ($p < 0.001$) to 14639 AU/mL (95% CI: 12846, 16472 AU/mL). The distribution of individual antibody concentrations per vaccine dose category is displayed in Figure 1.

Age at time of serology was independently associated with decreased log-transformed anti-S concentration for all vaccine dose groups except post-3rd dose. FC values indicate factor of log anti-S concentration per decade increase in age, for example log anti-S concentration decreased by 0.71 (95% CI: 0.64, 0.80) per decade increase in age for samples taken 8+ weeks post-2nd dose vaccine. Three-dimensional plots displaying anti-S concentration by age and days after vaccination indicate decreasing titres with increasing age and time after vaccination for 2nd dose, whereas for 3rd dose, titres only decrease with time after vaccination (Video 1 and Video 2). Age >65 years was associated with lower GMT for all vaccine dose groups except post-3rd dose (Supplemental Table 1).

For each vaccine dose category, patients on corticosteroids had the lowest GMT compared to other medication classes (Table 2, Supplemental Table 2). Multivariable linear

regression analyses demonstrated a significant association between corticosteroid use and decreased log-transformed anti-S concentration compared to individuals not on immunosuppressive medication, across all vaccine dose categories (1–8 weeks post-2nd dose FC: 0.07 (95% CI: 0.02, 0.20). Anti-TNF monotherapy and combination therapy were also predictors of decreased anti-S titres for all vaccine dose categories except post-3rd dose vaccination (Table 2). The FC in log anti-S concentration compared to the no immunosuppressive medication group for each medication is displayed in Table 2. For example, at 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose, anti-TNF monotherapy was associated with a decrease in log anti-S concentration of 0.56 (95% CI: 0.33, 0.94) compared to individuals without immunosuppressive medications.

Prior COVID-19 history was significantly associated with increased log anti-S concentration across all vaccine dose categories with the largest FC associated with post 1st dose responses (FC=21.91 [95% CI: 16.37, 54.64]) and the lowest impact associated with post 3rd dose responses (FC=1.97 [95% CI: 1.22, 3.20]). Delayed 2nd dose vaccination schedule (>6 weeks between 1st and 2nd doses) was associated with increased log anti-S concentration 8+ weeks following 2nd dose vaccination ($p<0.001$). However, the effect of delayed 3rd dose vaccination schedule (>18 weeks between 2nd and 3rd doses) was not observed.

Antibody titres decreased significantly ($p<0.001$) following 2nd dose vaccination; Figure 2a demonstrates an inverse trend between anti-S antibody concentrations and days after 2nd dose vaccination. Figure 2b. presents a similar trend for post-3rd dose results, with overall lower anti-S antibody concentrations for serology samples taken further from the 3rd dose vaccination date.

Multivariable regression analyses identified a significant relationship between weeks after vaccination and log anti-S concentration for 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose (FC: 0.82 [95% CI: 0.75, 0.89] per week), 8+ weeks post-2nd dose (FC: 0.92 [95% CI: 0.90, 0.94] per week), and 1+

weeks post-3rd dose (FC: 0.88 [95% CI: 0.84, 0.92] per week) groups. Antibodies decayed by a factor of 0.88 or 12% per week (95% CI: 8% to 16%) following the 3rd dose of vaccine.

The mean difference in antibody concentration from post 1–8 weeks to 8+ weeks after 2nd dose ($n=124$) was -7668 AU/mL (95% CI: -10055 , -5281 AU/mL, $p<0.001$). After stratifying by prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, differences were only significant for individuals without prior infection (-6970 AU/mL [95% CI: -8999 , -4941 AU/mL]). The individual rate of decay in individuals without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection was determined to be 396 AU/mL per week (95% CI: 277, 516 AU/mL per week).

GMT following post-3rd dose vaccination increased with a mean difference in antibody titres between post-3rd dose and 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose vaccination ($n = 153$) of 8148 AU/mL (95% CI: 6155, 10141 AU/mL, $p<0.001$). Within-individual comparison following 8+ weeks post-2nd dose and post-3rd dose vaccination ($n = 99$) also demonstrated that GMT following post-3rd dose vaccination increased significantly with a mean difference of 12400 AU/mL (95% CI: 9281, 15518 AU/mL) (Table 3).

Within-individual comparison of multiple 3rd dose vaccination serology values ($n = 28$) demonstrated a mean decrease in individual anti-S concentration of 8057 AU/mL (95% CI: 2303, 13811 AU/mL) between earlier and later collection dates. The median duration between serology collection dates was 13.5 weeks (IQR: 11.1–15.7 weeks). The individual rate of decay following 3rd dose vaccination in individuals without prior infection to SARS-CoV-2 was determined to be 483 AU/mL per week (95% CI: 237, 729 AU/mL per week).

Sensitivity analyses comparing paired data between vaccine dose categories did not indicate any differences in statistical significance. Sensitivity analyses modelling age as a binary variable (18–65 years versus >65 years) also demonstrated significant associations with

increased age (>65 years) and decreased antibody response for post-1st dose, 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose, and 8+ weeks post-2nd dose. Likewise, there was no association for post-3rd dose responses ($p=0.579$) (Supplemental Table 1). Subgroup analyses excluding non-mRNA vaccination and vaccine mixing did not impact the significance of covariate associations with log anti-S concentration. Subgroup models for post 1st dose and 1–8 weeks post-2nd dose responses indicate significantly decreased log anti-S concentration for Pfizer compared to Moderna (FC: 0.41 [95% CI: 0.24, 0.70]; FC: 0.51 [95% CI: 0.34, 0.78]) (Supplemental Table 3). Supplemental Table 4 reports antibody levels in the World Health Organization BAU/ml.

Discussion

A three-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination schedule for individuals with IBD has been proposed based on impaired serological responses to two-dose regimens.^{12,21,22} Our study demonstrates near complete seroconversion to 3rd dose vaccination with significantly higher antibody titres when compared to 2nd dose vaccination. Third dose antibody responses are consistently high across all IBD therapies for maintenance of remission including biologic and immunomodulator therapies. In contrast, those with IBD taking prednisone at the time of receiving a vaccine mounted lower antibody responses following all three doses. Despite robust initial antibody responses to vaccination, our study indicates decay of antibody titres over time following vaccination for both 2nd and 3rd doses. While these data support widespread implementation of a three-dose vaccine regimen, future studies are necessary to determine if additional doses are required to maintain sufficient antibody levels over time.

Our findings indicate a limited antibody response to a single dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, but consecutively stronger responses following each additional dose. Only 81.6% of individuals with IBD seroconverted following the 1st dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. However,

98.7% of participants seroconverted following two doses with a corresponding five-fold increase in mean antibody titres. Similarly, a meta-analysis in the IBD population showed an increase from 49.2% to 93.7% seroconversion after 1st and 2nd doses, respectively.⁵ Our study demonstrated a substantial increase in serological response to a 3rd dose of a vaccine, which was similar to an American cohort of IBD patients that demonstrated a robust antibody response following an extra dose from the initial vaccine series.²³ Consistent seroconversion and antibody titres responses across different geographic jurisdictions and using different antibody assays highlights the replicative external validity of the strong antibody response to three dose regimens of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in the IBD population.

While considerably large serological responses were observed immediately after 2nd and 3rd doses, the linear regression models showed that antibody titres diminished over time after vaccination. Within-individual analyses also indicated that antibody levels decayed at an approximate rate of 400 AU/mL per week after 2nd dose and 500 AU/mL per week after 3rd dose. Decay of antibody titres following vaccine doses has been previously reported;^{7,24} however, 3rd dose antibody titres diminish from a considerably higher baseline as compared to the 2nd dose vaccine. The threshold level for antibody titres to offer protection from an infection to SARS-CoV-2 is not known. A prior study in the UK demonstrated that following the 2nd dose of the vaccine that a 10-fold increase in antibody titres was associated with 0.8-fold decrease in breakthrough infections.⁷ Future studies are necessary to establish the antibody level necessary to confer protection to SARS-CoV-2 following three vaccine doses.

Older age was associated with decreased antibody titres after 1st and 2nd doses in prior studies,⁶⁻⁸ which is clinically relevant as seniors with IBD are at highest susceptibility to severe COVID-19.²⁵ A prior study showed that antibody titres fell by 21% per decade of life following

the 2nd dose of vaccine.⁶ Similarly, our models also indicated decreases in antibody concentration per decade of life across 1st and 2nd doses. For example, immediately after 2nd dose of the vaccine, antibody titres were 12% lower per decade of advancing age (Video 1). However, our study presents novel data on the effect of age on 3rd dose responses and indicates no change in antibody titres per decade of advancing age (FC: 0.91 [95% CI: 0.82, 1.01]). These data highlight the fact that seniors with IBD mount lower antibody responses after a two-dose regimen but mount comparably high antibody responses following a three-dose regime; thus, the importance of a 3rd dose for sufficient immune protection in seniors with IBD.

We assessed infection to SARS-CoV-2 prior to each dose of vaccine by verifying either a PCR-confirmed molecular diagnosis and/or positive serosurveillance with nucleocapsid antibodies. Our data were consistent with prior studies showing that COVID-19 infection before vaccination increases antibody levels following each dose of the vaccine.^{7,8} Whereas prior infection induced a 33-fold increase in 1st dose antibody responses, exposure to SARS-CoV-2 diminished to only a 2.0-fold increase for 3rd dose responses. We used a lower threshold for a positive anti-N level (i.e., 0.7) than the manufacturer recommended level of 1.4, which is supported by serosurveillance studies of SARS-CoV-2.²⁶

Our data are consistent with previous studies in demonstrating diminished antibody responses following two doses of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine for patients on anti-TNF therapy, combination immunosuppressive therapies, and corticosteroids.^{6-11,24,27,28} In contrast, our study showed that the 3rd dose of the vaccine yielded high antibody titres across all classes of medications used for maintenance of remission in IBD. However, administration of vaccine while concurrently taking prednisone yielded lower antibody response following 1st, 2nd, and 3rd dose of the vaccine. While prednisone was associated with diminished responses, mean antibody

titres following 3rd dose vaccination were still 2.5 times higher than those more than eight weeks following 2nd dose in patients on oral corticosteroids. Findings across medication class should be interpreted cautiously where small sample size reduces the precision of estimates. For example, small sample size limited our ability to analyze the effect of prednisone across different dosages (e.g., above and below 20 mg). While our data highlight that all individuals with IBD should receive a 3rd dose of the vaccine, regardless of medication class, those on prednisone at the time of receiving a vaccine may ultimately require four doses.

Several limitations of our study should be considered. Due to a low number of patients with breakthrough COVID-19 infections, we were unable to assess the effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination or evaluate whether antibody titres were associated with breakthrough infections. In addition, we did not assess other immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, including neutralizing antibodies or T-cell immunity, which may serve as more functional proxies of immune protection over antibody titres.^{24,29} Due to logistical constraints in data collection during the pandemic, we were unable to obtain samples corresponding to all vaccine doses for all patients, resulting in semi-independent vaccine dose groups. While a community-based recruitment strategy involving all gastroenterologists in the Calgary zone was utilized, population-based sampling was not possible. Further, we did not compare those with IBD to healthy controls; however, a recent serology study performed in the general population within the same jurisdiction and using the same antibody assay demonstrated higher antibodies than our IBD population after 1st and 2nd dose of the vaccine.³⁰ We used the Abbott Architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay, but also converted antibody levels to the World Health Organization BAU/ml (Supplementary Table 4) to support standardization across assays.^{17,18}

Timing and type of vaccination was variable due to changing vaccine availability in Canada. We conducted a sensitivity analysis comparing scheduled versus delayed vaccine timing. Delayed vaccine timing was associated with increased antibody responses following 2nd dose vaccination; however, timing of vaccine schedule did not influence antibody levels after the 3rd dose. Also, most participants received mRNA vaccines, with only 4.0% and 2.2% of patients receiving ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 for 1st and 2nd dose vaccination, respectively. Several participants also received mixed doses of mRNA and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 or mixed doses of differing mRNA vaccines. However, our sensitivity analysis restricting the sample to those with the same mRNA vaccine across all doses confirmed the consistency of the interpretation of the data.

Our study demonstrated novel findings surrounding antibody responses to 3rd dose vaccination, which indicate the importance toward maintaining humoral immunity across all medication classes and age groups. The one exception was individuals with IBD who were vaccinated while on prednisone, who may require a 4th dose of vaccine in the future. Considering the significant decay of antibodies following a two-dose regimen and robust response following the 3rd dose, healthcare providers and public health officials should prioritize communication of the necessity of a three-dose vaccine regimen to ensure sufficient serological protection to patients with IBD. Finally, future studies should evaluate the decay of antibodies following the 3rd dose to establish whether a fourth dose is ultimately necessary for most individuals with IBD.

Table 1. Patient characteristics per vaccine dose category

Characteristic	Overall	Post-1 st Dose (1–8 weeks)	Post-2 nd dose (1–8 weeks)	Post-2 nd dose (8+ weeks)	Post-3 rd dose (1+ weeks)
Patients, <i>n</i>	496	250	315	237	232
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)					
Male	226 (45.6)	117 (46.8)	146 (46.4)	99 (41.8)	99 (42.7)
Female	270 (54.4)	133 (53.2)	169 (53.6)	138 (58.2)	133 (57.3)
Mean age (SD)	49.7 (14.7) ^a	50.2 (14.7)	50.1 (14.6)	52.5 (14.8)	52.7 (14.0)
IBD Type, <i>n</i> (%)					
Crohn's Disease	360 (72.6)	192 (76.8)	220 (69.8)	171 (72.1)	180 (77.6)
Ulcerative Colitis	125 (25.2)	52 (20.8)	87 (27.6)	63 (26.6)	50 (21.5)
IBD-U	11 (2.22)	6 (2.4)	8 (2.6)	3 (1.3)	2 (0.9)
Medication, <i>n</i> (%)					
No immunosuppressives	44 (8.9)	29 (11.6)	38 (12.1)	26 (11.0)	16 (6.9)
Anti-TNF only	173 (34.9)	70 (28.0)	106 (33.7)	85 (35.8)	90 (38.8)
Immunomodulators only	11 (2.2)	5 (2.0)	6 (1.9)	3 (1.3)	6 (2.6)
Vedolizumab only	50 (12.1)	31 (12.4)	44 (14.0)	34 (14.3)	21 (9.0)
Ustekinumab only	108 (21.8)	60 (24.0)	64 (20.3)	54 (22.8)	45 (19.4)
Tofacitinib only	6 (1.2)	3 (1.2)	5 (1.6)	3 (1.3)	—
Combination therapy*	79 (15.9)	46 (18.4)	45 (14.3)	27 (11.4)	48 (20.7)
Oral Corticosteroids**	15 (3.0)	6 (2.4)	7 (2.2)	5 (2.1)	6 (2.6)
Vaccine Type, <i>n</i> (%)	—				
Pfizer	—	200 (80.0)	257 (81.6)	203 (85.7)	211 (91.0)
Moderna	—	40 (16.0)	51 (16.1)	29 (12.2)	21 (9.0)
AstraZeneca	—	10 (4.0)	7 (2.2)	5 (2.1)	—
Seroconversion, <i>n/N</i> (%)	—	204/250 (81.6)	311/315 (98.7)	225/237 (94.9)	231/232 (99.6)
No Prior COVID-19	—	117/223 (79.4)	284/288 (98.6)	193/205 (94.2)	209/210 (99.5)
Prior COVID-19	—	27/27 (100.0)	27/27 (100.0)	32/32 (100.0)	22/22 (100.0)
GMT (95% CI)	—	1814 (981, 2648)	9229 (7871, 10588)	3002 (2222, 3783)	14639 (12846, 16472)
No Prior COVID-19	—	494 (373, 616)	8414 (7137, 9690)	2020 (1539, 2501)	13736 (11898, 15573)
Prior COVID-19	—	12715 (6058, 19372)	17931 (10121, 25740)	9293 (4794, 13792)	23470 (16372, 30569)

^a For overall sample, age represents age at 1st dose vaccination

*Combination therapy refers to any combination of two or more of the following therapies: anti-TNF, immunomodulators, vedolizumab, ustekinumab, and tofacitinib.

**Oral prednisone at any dose or with any other drug class.

Table 2. Stratified geometric mean titres and exponentiated coefficients of multivariate linear regression model per each vaccine dose category.

Variable	Post-1 st dose (n = 250)		Post-2 nd Dose (1–8weeks) (n = 315)		Post-2 nd dose (8+ weeks) (n = 237)		Post- 3 rd dose (n = 232)	
	GMT	Fold change (95% CI)	GMT	Fold change (95% CI)	GMT	Fold change (95% CI)	GMT	Fold change (95% CI)
Age^a Per decade	—	0.85 (0.80, 0.89)	—	0.78 (0.70, 0.87)	—	0.71 (0.64, 0.80)	—	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)
Sex Male	1524	0.78 (0.52, 1.17)	8163	0.85 (0.63, 1.16)	3115	0.87 (0.63, 1.19)	12947	0.97 (0.72, 1.31)
Female	2070		10151		2922		15933	
IBD Type Crohn's Disease	1698	0.71 (0.42, 1.20)	8693	0.79 (0.55, 1.13)	2639	0.82 (0.57, 1.19)	14835	0.87 (0.62, 1.23)
Ulcerative Colitis/IBD-U	2198		10379		3945		14049	
Medication No immunosuppressives*	2138	—	11514	—	3049	—	13556	—
Anti-TNF only	2743	0.69 (0.33, 1.45)	6460	0.56 (0.33, 0.94)	2127	0.22 (0.13, 0.37)	12861	0.72 (0.40, 1.29)
Immunomodulators only	210	0.44 (0.09, 2.07)	4149	0.44 (0.14, 1.44)	13543	0.26 (0.06, 1.09)	13904	0.76 (0.27, 2.12)
Vedolizumab only	1755	1.56 (0.68, 3.57)	15152	1.53 (0.84, 2.80)	4171	1.69 (0.92, 3.12)	18326	1.52 (0.74, 3.11)
Ustekinumab only	1568	1.45 (0.68, 3.10)	13532	1.54 (0.87, 2.72)	3094	0.90 (0.51, 1.61)	21038	1.61 (0.86, 3.01)
Tofacitinib only	8427	0.97 (0.14, 6.80)	9596	0.53 (0.15, 1.88)	5598	0.40 (0.09, 1.73)	—	—
Combination therapy	517	0.19 (0.08, 0.42)	3555	0.22 (0.12, 0.40)	2978	0.18 (0.09, 0.35)	12449	0.61 (0.32, 1.15)
Corticosteroids	149	0.22 (0.05, 0.92)	2756	0.07 (0.02, 0.20)	946	0.03 (0.01, 0.09)	2320	0.07 (0.02, 0.20)
Vaccine Schedule Scheduled	N/A	—	9309	0.75 (0.54, 1.04)	2264	0.52 (0.38, 0.72)	13420	0.81 (0.61, 1.09)
Delayed	N/A		9192		3621		15922	
Prior COVID-19 Yes	12714	32.56 (16.95, 62.55)	17931	2.44 (1.43, 4.15)	9293	4.65 (2.92, 7.38)	23470	1.97 (1.22, 3.20)
No	494		8414		2020		13736	
Weeks After Vaccine^b	—	0.93 (0.80, 1.07)	—	0.82 (0.75, 0.89)	—	0.92 (0.90, 0.94)	—	0.88 (0.84, 0.92)

* Indicates reference group

^a Values represent change in anti-S concentration per decade increase in age

^b Values represent change in anti-S concentration per week increase in duration after vaccination

Table 3. Mean individual differences from within individual comparisons for each population subset.

Characteristic	Post 2 nd (1–8 Weeks) vs. Post- 2 nd (8+ Weeks)	Post-2 nd (1–8 Weeks) vs. Post-3 rd Dose	Post-2 nd (8+ Weeks) vs. Post-3 rd Dose	Within Post-3 rd dose
Number of patients, <i>n</i>	124	153	99	28
Prior COVID-19	10	12	8	5
No Prior COVID-19	114	141	91	23
Mean individual difference, <i>AU/mL</i> (95% <i>CI</i>)	–7668 (–10055, –5281)	8148 (5166, 10141)	12400 (9281, 15518)	–8057 (–13811, –2303)
Prior COVID-19	–13158 (–28468, 2153)	12492 (765, 24220)	18261 (2078, 34443)	–15264 (–55085, 24557)
No Prior COVID-19	–6970 (–8999, –4941)	7778 (5805, 9752)	11918 (8699, 15137)	–6490 (–10080, –2901)

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike antibody concentration per vaccine category. Black points represent GMTs while narrow black bars represent bounds of 95% CI associated with each GMT. Solid blue line represents threshold for positive seroconversion (50 AU/mL). Serological data from this study are available open access on an online interactive ShinyApp: https://kaplan-gi.shinyapps.io/COVID_Serology/

Figure 2a. Anti-S concentration per days after dose vaccination. Figure 2b. Anti-S concentration per days after 3rd dose vaccination. Blue points represent individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Solid red lines represent line of best fit for linear relationship between anti-S concentration (AU/mL) and time after vaccination. Solid blue lines represents threshold for positive seroconversion (50 AU/mL). Serological data from this study are available open access on an online interactive ShinyApp: https://kaplan-gi.shinyapps.io/COVID_Serology/

References

1. Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. *Nature*. Oct 2020;586(7830):516-527. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2798-3
2. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine*. 12 2020;383(27):2603-2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
3. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine*. 02 2021;384(5):403-416. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035389
4. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet*. 01 2021;397(10269):99-111. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32661-1
5. Sakuraba A, Luna A, Micic D. Serologic Response to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Vaccination in Patients With Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. Jan 2022;162(1):88-108 e9. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2021.09.055
6. Alexander JL, Kennedy NA, Ibraheim H, et al. COVID-19 vaccine-induced antibody responses in immunosuppressed patients with inflammatory bowel disease (VIP): a multicentre, prospective, case-control study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Feb 3 2022;doi:10.1016/s2468-1253(22)00005-x
7. Lin S, Kennedy NA, Saifuddin A, et al. Antibody decay, T cell immunity and breakthrough infections following two SARS-CoV-2 vaccine doses in infliximab- and vedolizumab-treated patients. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.11.10.21266168. doi:10.1101/2021.11.10.21266168
8. Kennedy NA, Lin S, Goodhand JR, et al. Infliximab is associated with attenuated immunogenicity to BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in patients with IBD. *Gut*. Apr 26 2021;doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2021-324789
9. Melmed GY, Botwin GJ, Sobhani K, et al. Antibody Responses After SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccination in Adults With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Ann Intern Med*. Dec 2021;174(12):1768-1770. doi:10.7326/m21-2483
10. Edelman-Klapper H, Zittan E, Bar-Gil Shitrit A, et al. Lower Serologic Response to COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Treated With Anti-TNF α . *Gastroenterology*. Feb 2022;162(2):454-467. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.029
11. Deepak P, Kim W, Paley MA, et al. Effect of Immunosuppression on the Immunogenicity of mRNA Vaccines to SARS-CoV-2 : A Prospective Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. Nov 2021;174(11):1572-1585. doi:10.7326/m21-1757
12. Murthy SK, Kuenzig ME, Windsor JW, et al. Crohn's and Colitis Canada's 2021 Impact of COVID-19 and Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Canada: COVID-19 Vaccines-Biology, Current Evidence and Recommendations. *J Can Assoc Gastroenterol*. Dec 2021;4(Suppl 2):S54-s60. doi:10.1093/jcag/gwab033
13. Kuenzig ME, Widdifield J, Bernatsky S, Kaplan GG, Benchimol EI. Uptake of third doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines among people with inflammatory bowel disease in Ontario, Canada. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Feb 22 2022;doi:10.1016/s2468-1253(22)00054-1

14. Laboratory AC. ARS-CoV-2 Immunoassays. <https://www.corelaboratory.abbott/int/en/offerings/segments/infectious-disease/sars-cov-2>. 2022;
15. Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, et al. Preliminary Findings of mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons. *The New England journal of medicine*. Apr 2021;doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2104983
16. Gray KJ, Bordt EA, Atyeo C, et al. COVID-19 vaccine response in pregnant and lactating women: a cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Mar 2021;doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2021.03.023
17. Knezevic I, Mattiuzzo G, Page M, et al. WHO International Standard for evaluation of the antibody response to COVID-19 vaccines: call for urgent action by the scientific community. *Lancet Microbe*. Mar 2022;3(3):e235-e240. doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00266-4
18. Infantino M, Pieri M, Nuccetelli M, et al. The WHO International Standard for COVID-19 serological tests: towards harmonization of anti-spike assays. *Int Immunopharmacol*. Nov 2021;100:108095. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2021.108095
19. Murdoch D, Adler D. rgl: 3D Visualization Using OpenGL. R package version 0.108.3. 2021;
20. W. C, Cheng J, J.J. A, et al. shiny: Web Application Framework for R. R package version 1.6.0. 2021;
21. Doherty J, Fennessy S, Stack R, et al. Review Article: vaccination for patients with inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Nov 2021;54(9):1110-1123. doi:10.1111/apt.16590
22. Alexander JL, Selinger CP, Powell N. Third doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in immunosuppressed patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Dec 2021;6(12):987-988. doi:10.1016/s2468-1253(21)00374-5
23. Long MD, Weaver KN, Zhang X, et al. Strong Response to SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Additional Doses among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Clin Gastroenterol H*. 2022/02/09/2022;doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2022.01.056>
24. Vollenberg R, Tepasse PR, Kühn JE, et al. Humoral Immune Response in IBD Patients Three and Six Months after Vaccination with the SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccines mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2. *Biomedicines*. Jan 13 2022;10(1)doi:10.3390/biomedicines10010171
25. Ungaro RC, Brenner EJ, Gearry RB, et al. Effect of IBD medications on COVID-19 outcomes: results from an international registry. *Gut*. 04 2021;70(4):725-732. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322539
26. Bolotin S, Tran V, Osman S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence Survey Estimates Are Affected by Anti-Nucleocapsid Antibody Decline. *J Infect Dis*. Apr 23 2021;223(8):1334-1338. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa796
27. Doherty J, N OM, Stack R, et al. Reduced serological response to COVID-19 vaccines in patients with IBD is further diminished by TNF inhibitor therapy; Early results of the VARIATION study (VARIability in Response in IBD Against SARS-COV-2 Immunisation). *J Crohns Colitis*. Feb 18 2022;doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac029
28. Caldera F, Knutson KL, Saha S, et al. Humoral Immunogenicity of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines Among Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Healthy Controls. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jan 1 2022;117(1):176-179. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000001570

29. Jena A, James D, Singh AK, Dutta U, Sebastian S, Sharma V. Effectiveness and Durability of COVID-19 Vaccination in 9447 Patients with IBD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*. Feb 18 2022;doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2022.02.030
30. Kanji JN, Nguyen LT, Plitt S, et al. Seropositivity of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Alberta, Canada in a post-vaccination period. 2022;



