1

1	
2	
3	The acute effects of knee extension exercises with different contraction durations on the
4	subsequent maximal knee extension torque for athletes with different strength levels
5	
6	Kaito Nakata <sup>1*</sup> and Takaaki Mishima <sup>1, 2</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Osaka University of Health and Sport
9	Sciences, Sennan, Osaka, Japan
10	<sup>2</sup> Osaka University of Health and Sport Sciences, School of Health and Sport Sciences, Sennan,
11	Osaka, Japan
12	
13	Short title: Effects of knee extension exercises on subsequent maximal knee extension torque
14	
15	*Corresponding author:

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

16 E-mail address: <u>nakakai.722@gmail.com</u> (KN)

17

3

## 18 Abstract

19	Individuals with high fatigue resistance against a high-intensity conditioning activity (CA)
20	may be able to avoid experiencing significant fatigue and enhance their voluntary performance. We
21	examined whether the optimal contraction duration of dynamic knee extension exercises to maximize
22	subsequent voluntary performance varies depending on the strength level of an individual. The study
23	participants were 22 male American college football players. Initially, all participants performed a
24	10-s maximal isometric knee extension exercise and were classified as stronger individuals $(n = 8)$ and
25	weaker individuals ( $n = 8$ ) based on their relative muscle strength. Each group then performed three
26	types of dynamic CA with different contraction durations (6 s [6-CA], 12 s [12-CA], and 18 s [18-
27	CA]) in random order. To observe the time-course changes in post-activation potentiation and
28	performance enhancement, the twitch torques induced by electrical stimulation and isokinetic knee
29	extension torques at 180°/s were recorded before and after each CA. The twitch torque increased at 10
30	s (29.5% $\pm$ 9.3%) and 1 min (18.5% $\pm$ 6.8%) after 6-CA for the stronger individuals ( $p < 0.05$ ).
31	However, no post-activation potentiation was induced in the weaker individuals in either protocol.
32	Voluntary performance increased at 4 (7.0% $\pm$ 4.5%) and 7 (8.2% $\pm$ 4.3%) min after 18-CA for

- 33 stronger individuals (p < 0.05). However, there was no post-activation performance enhancement in
- 34 either protocol for weaker individuals. Thus, CA with a relatively long contraction duration was
- 35 optimal to maximize the subsequent voluntary performance for stronger individuals. It remains
- 36 unknown whether CAs performed with relatively short or long contraction durations were optimal for
- 37 weaker individuals.

38

#### 5

# 39 Introduction

40	The twitch torque evoked by a single electrical stimulus is increased transiently after a
41	maximal or submaximal conditioning activity (CA) [1,2]. This phenomenon is referred to as post-
42	activation potentiation (PAP). The primary mechanism of PAP is the phosphorylation of myosin
43	regulatory light chains (P-MRLC) during a CA [3,4]. P-MRLC transiently increases the sensitivity of
44	actin-myosin interactions to a given amount of Ca <sup>2+</sup> . As a result, the force-generating capability of the
45	muscle is enhanced [5,6]. Several previous studies have reported that a CA can improve not only the
46	twitch torque evoked by electrical stimulation but also the subsequent voluntary performance [7-11]
47	called the post-activation performance enhancement (PAPE) [12]. Tillin and Bishop [6] described P-
48	MRLC as one of the major mechanisms of PAPE.
49	The potentiating effect and fatigue associated with a CA coexist [13]. Therefore, an increase
50	in the voluntary performance after a CA appears to depend on the net balance between fatigue and
51	potentiation [6]. Specifically, voluntary performance may decrease if the effects of fatigue are
52	dominant but increase if those of potentiation dominate or may remain unchanged if the effects of
53	fatigue and potentiation are at similar levels. Therefore, in situations where coaches are attempting to

54	employ a CA to sufficiently enhance the subsequent voluntary performance, they need to consider
55	both inducing the potentiating effect and suppressing the fatigue associated with a CA as much as
56	possible.
57	The fact that the total contraction duration of a CA plays a crucial role in maximizing PAPE
58	deserves more attention. If the total contraction duration of a CA is too short, the mechanisms
59	associated with the potentiating effect may not be triggered. Seitz et al. [14] examined the effect of
60	the total contraction duration of isokinetic dynamic knee extension exercises on PAP and PAPE. They
61	observed that a minimum total contraction duration must be reached during a CA to trigger the
62	mechanisms responsible for PAP and PAPE. However, if the total contraction duration of a CA is too
63	long, the potentiating effect induced by the CA may be counteracted by significant fatigue. Hamada
64	et al. [15] used a fatiguing protocol of 16 sets of 5-s maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) and
65	induced twitch torque between each set to observe the time-course changes in PAP. Their results
66	indicated that the fatigue accumulated by the continuous MVCs counteracted the potentiating effect
67	of P-MRLC. Therefore, a CA with an excessively long total contraction duration that induces
68	significant fatigue would not be suitable for enhancing the subsequent voluntary performance. It

69	follows that to effectively induce PAPE, it is necessary to identify the optimal total contraction
70	duration of a CA that can sufficiently trigger the potentiating effect while minimizing the fatigue as
71	much as possible.
72	Interestingly, previous studies have suggested that the magnitude of PAP [1] and fatigue
73	[16–18] after a high-intensity CA vary depending on the strength level of an individual. Typically,
74	stronger individuals (SI) have higher fast-twitch fiber content than weaker individuals (WI) [19,20].
75	It should be noted that the activity of myosin light chain kinase, the enzyme that triggers P-MRLC, is
76	more prevalent in fast-twitch fibers than in slow-twitch fibers, resulting in P-MRLC occurring more
77	prominently in fast-twitch fibers [3]. Therefore, during a CA, SI with a higher content of fast-twitch
78	fiber may cause greater P-MRLC than that caused by WI, resulting in higher PAP [6]. In addition, it
79	must be noted that the fatigue resistance against a high-intensity CA is greater in individuals with
80	higher relative muscle strength [16–18]. Previously, Miyamoto et al. [17] confirmed that after a 5-s
81	maximal isometric knee extension exercise as a CA, the dynamic maximal knee extension torque
82	increased between 1 and 3 mins but did not increase immediately after the CA. Nevertheless, after 12
83	weeks of high-intensity knee extension training, the dynamic maximal knee extension torque

84	significantly increased, even immediately after the CA. The main reason for the earlier realization of
85	PAPE after the intervention is that the individuals developed resistance to fatigue by chronic high-
86	intensity resistance training, suppressing the remarkable fatigue associated with a high-intensity CA.
87	This result implies that SI have a greater fatigue resistance to a high-intensity CA compared with that
88	of WI. Given that the extent of PAP and fatigue after a high-intensity CA vary depending on the
89	strength level of an individual, we hypothesized that the optimal contraction duration of a CA to
90	maximize PAPE may differ between SI and WI.
91	If a high-intensity CA with a relatively long contraction duration (i.e., approximately 20 s)
92	is performed, it is likely that PAPE is not achieved because significant fatigue may counteract the
93	potentiating effect. However, SI with high fatigue resistance against a high-intensity CA may have the
94	potential to suppress the development of significant fatigue and enhance the subsequent voluntary
95	performance, even in the CA with a relatively long total contraction duration. Furthermore, in SI with
96	a higher number of fast-twitch fibers, the PAP mechanism may be triggered to a great extent by the
97	performance of CA with a long total contraction duration, resulting in a greater extent of PAPE. On
98	the other hand, when a high-intensity CA with a relatively short contraction duration (i.e.,

99	approximately 5 s) is performed, the magnitude of the potentiating effect is expected to be lower than
100	that observed when a high-volume CA is performed. However, a short contraction duration may
101	produce less fatigue and allow the potentiating effect to dominate, even in WI with relatively low
102	fatigue resistance. Thus, the optimal contraction duration of a CA to maximize PAPE may vary
103	depending on the strength level of an individual. A recent meta-analysis reported that SI tend to show
104	more PAPE when a high-intensity CA is performed at maximal repetition than at sub-maximal
105	repetition, whereas the opposite may be observed for WI [21]. However, to the best of our knowledge,
106	no studies have examined in detail whether the optimal contraction duration of a high-intensity CA
107	differs among individuals with different muscle strength levels.
108	Therefore, this study aimed to verify whether the optimal contraction duration of a high-
109	intensity CA to maximize PAPE varies depending on the strength level of an individual. We
110	hypothesized that the CA with a relatively long contraction duration (i.e., approximately 20 s) would
111	be optimal for SI, while the CA with a relatively short contraction duration (i.e., approximately 5 s)
112	would be optimal for WI.
113	

10

# 114 Materials and methods

# 115 **Participants**

116	Twenty-two male American college football players participated in this study. All
117	participants had participated in regular resistance training for at least 6 months. During the
118	experimental period, they were instructed to continue their daily routines and to not change their
119	physical activity level and food and fluid intakes. Moreover, they were asked to avoid consuming
120	depressive (e.g., alcohol) or ergogenic (e.g., coffee) substances 24 h prior to the experimental sessions.
121	They had been free from musculoskeletal injuries for at least 1 year before the study. They were
122	provided oral and written explanations of the purpose, contents, and procedures of this study prior to
123	the experiment, and the experiment was conducted after obtaining their consent. This study was
124	approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Osaka University of Health and Sport Sciences
125	(approval number: 21-2). The study was conducted in conformity with the policy statement regarding
126	the use of human participants by the Declaration of Helsinki.

127

## 128 Study design

129	This study was conducted over four sessions for each participant. In the first session, the
130	participants were grouped into SI or WI based on their relative muscle strength, which was calculated
131	by dividing the isometric maximal knee extension torque by the body weight. For the latter three
132	sessions (i.e., experimental sessions), the particiants who were classified as SI or WI in the first session
133	were asked to participate. Each experimental session was conducted at least 24 h apart. All sessions
134	were conducted between 10:00 AM and 3:00 PM in an indoor laboratory. To determine the optimal
135	contraction duration of a CA to maximize PAPE, each participant performed three types of dynamic
136	CAs with different total contraction durations (6 s [6-CA)], 12 s [12-CA], and 18 s [18-CA]) in random
137	order. The joint angular velocity of each CA was set at 60°/s. To observe the time-course changes in
138	PAP and PAPE, before and after each CA, the twitch torques induced by electrical stimulation and
139	voluntary knee extension torques at 180°/s were recorded. The repeatability (intraclass correlation
140	coefficient [ICC]) of the peak torque of maximal voluntary isokinetic knee extension and twitch
141	contraction was investigated in our preliminary study. The ICC values were 0.962 (0.897-0.989) and
142	0.998 (0.995–1.000) for voluntary knee extension peak torque and twitch peak torque, respectively.
143	In all sessions, the participant was required to rest for 10 min after arriving at the laboratory to

144	eliminate the effect of PAP induced by traveling to the laboratory. This rest period is sufficient to
145	dissipate the effects of PAP [1,2]. The participants sat on a dynamometer (Biodex System 4; Sakai
146	Medical Instrument, Tokyo, Japan) and were tightly secured to the seat using two crossover seatbelts
147	and a waist harness. The lever arm of the dynamometer was attached 2-3 cm above the lateral
148	malleolus with a strap. The rotation axis of the knee was aligned with the axis of the motor. The peak
149	torque of voluntary knee extension and twitch contraction of the dynamometer was analog-to-digital
150	converted (Power Lab/8SP; ADInstruments, Bella Vista, NSW, Australia) and stored on a personal
151	computer software (LabChart 6 Japanese; ADInstruments). Data were filtered with a cut-off frequency
152	of 12 Hz. In addition, MacIntosh et al. [22] indicated a possibility that the learning and familiarization
153	effect of the performance task could affect PAPE. Therefore, in the present study, we conducted a
154	task-specific warm-up session, based on the study by Seitz et al. [14], before each experimental session
155	to eliminate the possibility of the learning and familiarization effect.
156	
157	Procedure

### 158 Classification of SI and WI

165 
$$%PAP_{MVC} = \frac{(T_{twpost-MVC} - T_{twpre-MVC})}{T_{twpre-MVC}} \times 100$$

166 where  $T_{twpre-MVC}$  and  $T_{twpost-MVC}$  are the maximal twitch torques obtained before and immediately

167 after the isometric knee extension exercise, respectively. From an initial sample of 22 men, 8

168 participants demonstrating the highest and 8 demonstrating the lowest relative muscle strength were

selected and classified as SI and WI, respectively. The other 6 participants were not classified into any

170 of the groups and did not participate in any further experiments.

171

### 172 Electrical stimulation procedure

173 To evoke quadriceps muscle twitches, an electrode pad consisting of a  $10 \times 20$  cm aluminum

174	foil with an adhesive conductor (SR-4080; Minato Medical Science, Osaka, Japan) attached and
175	covered with kitchen paper was prepared. Two electrode pads were wetted with water and attached to
176	the upper and lower anterior thigh to cover the entire quadriceps muscle of the dominant leg. The
177	participant was then placed on the dynamometer seat with the knee and hip joints fixed at 90° and 80°,
178	respectively. The electrode pads were connected to a high-voltage constant-current stimulator (Model
179	DS7AH; Digitimer Ltd, Hertfordshire, UK) via an output cable (D185-HB4; Digitimer Ltd,
180	Hertfordshire, UK). The stimulus intensity was set at 120% of the maximal intensity [15,23].
181	
182	Task-specific warm-up sessions
183	An overview of the task-specific warm-up session is shown in Fig 1A. After we determined
184	the stimulation intensity, the participants performed two isokinetic knee extension exercises at 180°/s

- 185 at 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% of their perceived maximal torque at 45-s intervals. Isokinetic knee
- 186 extensions at 100% of the maximal torque were then performed "as fast and as hard as possible" every
- 187 minute until the peak torque production in three consecutive contractions differed by less than 2%.
- 188 According to a previous study [14], the angular velocity of the performance test was set at 180°/s. In

- addition, the range of motion of the knee was between  $110^{\circ}$  and  $20^{\circ}$  (0°, full extension) in concentric
- 190 contractions. The participant performed a maximal isokinetic knee extension exercise over the entire
- 191 range of motion until the dynamometer mechanically stopped.

192

#### 193 **Fig 1. Experimental overview**

194 (A) Task-specific warm-up procedure (B) Experimental procedure for the 6-CA, 12-CA, and 18-CA

195 protocols. (*C*) The details of the test protocol after each CA. CA, conditioning activity.

196

### 197 **Experimental session**

198	After the task-specific warm-up session, a 10-min rest period was provided before the
199	experimental session. An overview of the experimental session and the posttest protocol are shown in
200	Fig 1B and 1C, respectively. In the experimental session, the responses to one isometric twitch torque
201	(Pre <sub>before</sub> ) and two isokinetic knee extension exercises at 180°/s followed 5-s later by one isometric
202	twitch (Preafter) were recorded before each participant performed each CA. The last stimulation was
203	used to examine the possible potentiating effect of a set of two maximal isokinetic knee extensions at

204	180°/s. Following a rest period of approximately 90-s after Pre <sub>after</sub> , one of the three dynamic CAs with
205	different contraction durations (6-CA, 12-CA, or 18-CA) was performed. The posttest was completed
206	10 s (Post-10 s) and 1 (Post-1 min), 4 (Post-4 min), 7 (Post-7 min), and 10 (Post-10 min) min after
207	each CA (Fig 1B). The posttest protocol consisted of a single stimulation and two isokinetic knee
208	extension exercises at 180°/s (Fig 1C). The range of motion of the knee was the same as in the task-
209	specific warm-up session. The knee extension resulting in the highest voluntary peak torque at each
210	time point (i.e., Pre, Post-10 s, Post-1 min, Post-4 min, Post-7 min, and Post-10 min) was selected for
211	further analysis. After the first knee extension exercise, the lever arm of the dynamometer was
212	manually returned to the starting position (i.e., 110° of knee flexion) and then the second knee
213	extension exercise was performed. The extent of PAPE (%PAPE) was calculated as follows:
214	$\% PAPE = \{(T_{vol.post-CA} - T_{vol.pre-CA})/T_{vol.pre-CA}\} \times 100$
215	where $T_{vol.pre-CA}$ and $T_{vol.post-CA}$ are the maximal knee extension torques during isokinetic knee
216	extension exercises before and after each CA, respectively. The extent of PAP measured in the
217	experimental session was expressed as %PAP. Among them, the %PAP observed in two maximal
218	knee extension exercises during the pretest was calculated as follows:

219 
$$\%PAP = \{(T_{tw.preafter-CA} - T_{tw.prebefore-CA})/T_{tw.prebefore-CA}\} \times 100$$

220 where  $T_{tw.prebefore-CA}$  and  $T_{tw.preafter-CA}$  are the maximal twitch torques induced before and after

- 221 the two isokinetic knee extension exercises during the pretest, respectively. Finally, the %PAP
- 222 observed after each CA was calculated as follows:

223 
$$\% PAP = \{(T_{tw.post-CA} - T_{tw.prebefore-CA})/T_{tw.prebefore-CA}\} \times 100$$

224 where  $T_{tw.post-CA}$  is the maximal twitch torque induced at each time point after each CA.

225

### 226 CA protocols

227	Based on a previous study by Seitz et al. [14], the dynamic CAs employed in this study were
228	isokinetic knee extension exercises at 60°/s. The numbers of contractions for 6-CA, 12-CA, and 18-
229	CA were 4, 8, and 12, respectively. The total contraction duration was calculated as follows:
230	Total contraction duration = $ROM/V_{CA} \times n$
231	where <i>ROM</i> is the range of motion of the knee extensions performed during each CA (i.e., 90°), $V_{CA}$
232	is the angular velocity of the knee extensions (i.e., $60^{\circ}/s$ ), and $n$ is the number of knee extensions
233	performed during each CA.

18

234

# 235 Statistical analysis

236	Descriptive data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. A two-tailed, unpaired <i>t</i> -test
237	was used for comparisons between the SI and WI groups. Pearson's correlation coefficients $(r)$ were
238	calculated and classified as small ( $0.1 \le r \le 0.3$ ), moderate ( $0.3 \le r \le 0.5$ ), and large ( $r \ge 0.5$ ). One-
239	way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures was performed to compare the voluntary
240	knee extension torques produced during the last three knee extensions of the task-specific warm-up
241	session and during the pretest knee extension to determine whether the task-specific warm-up was
242	completed. For %PAP, a two-way ANOVA (time [Prebefore, Preafter, Post-10 s, Post-1 min, Post-4 min,
243	Post-7 min, Post-10 min] × protocol [6-CA, 12-CA, 18-CA]) with repeated measures was used.
244	Similarly, for %PAPE, two-way ANOVA (time [Pre, Post-10 s, Post-1 min, Post-4 min, Post-7 min,
245	Post-10 min] × protocol [6-CA, 12-CA, 18-CA]) with repeated measures was used. When a significant
246	interaction was found, the Bonferroni post-hoc test was used to examine the time-course changes in
247	each CA protocol. Effect sizes were estimated by calculating the partial eta-squared ( $\eta^2$ ) values (small:
248	0.01–0.059, moderate: 0.06–0.137, and large: $> 0.138$ ). For pairwise comparisons, effect size was

249	determined by	Cohen's $d$	(small: >	0.2,	moderate:	> 0.5,	large: >	> 0.8).	Statistical	analyses	were
-----	---------------	-------------	-----------	------	-----------	--------	----------	---------	-------------	----------	------

250 performed using statistical software (SPSS Statistics 27; IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). The significance

251 level for all comparisons was set at p < 0.05.

#### 252

## 253 **Results**

### **Comparison of SI and WI and the relationship between %**

255 **PAP<sub>MVC</sub> and muscle strength** 

256	An unpaired <i>t</i> -test showed a significant difference between SI and WI in absolute ( $p < 0.001$
257	d = 2.427) and relative ( $p < 0.001$ , $d = 3.434$ ) muscle strength. There was also a significant difference
258	between SI and WI in %PAP <sub>MVC</sub> ( $p = 0.004$ , $d = 1.806$ ) (Table 1). A significant relationship was found
259	between %PAP <sub>MVC</sub> and the absolute ( $r = 0.550$ , $p = 0.008$ ) and relative ( $r = 0.570$ , $p = 0.006$ ) muscle
260	strength for all the participants (Fig 2).
261	Table 1. Physical characteristics, MVC, twitch torque, and %PAPMVC in the whole group and

262 SI and WI subgroups

	Whole $(n = 22)$	SI (n = 8)	WI (n = 8)
Age (year)	19.7 ± 1.2	19.9 ± 1.6	19.8 ± 1.0
Training Age (year)	3.5 ± 1.2	3.5 ± 1.1	3.5 ± 1.7
Height (m)	171.5 ± 6.1	$172.5 \pm 6.5$	169.1 ± 5.7
Weight (kg)	74.6 ± 10.6	$72.3 \pm 10.4$	74.8 ± 13.2
MVC (N·m)	274.7 ± 68.2	337.6 ± 41.6*	204.3 ± 37.3
MVC/Weight (N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.7 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 0.5*	2.4 ± 1.0
pre-MVC (N·m)	44.6 ± 8.9	$43.7 \pm 6.0$	47.3 ± 9.4
post-MVC (N·m)	71.3 ± 10.6	75.3 ± 8.1	67.1 ± 12.9
%PAP <sub>MVC</sub> (%)	63.2 ± 29.1	73.5 ± 11.2*	43.4 ± 22.4

263 Values are presented as means ± standard deviation. MVC, maximal voluntary contraction; pre-

264 MVC, twitch torque before MVC; post-MVC, twitch torque after MVC; SI, stronger individuals;

265 WI, weaker individuals. The statistical difference is set at p < 0.05: difference versus WI\*

266



268 Filled squares, open squares, and open circles represent the weaker, stronger, and intermediate levels

269 of the relative muscle strength, respectively

270

- 271 Task-specific warm-up session
- 272 In the task-specific warm-up session for each protocol, the numbers of knee extensions for 273 6-CA, 12-CA, and 18-CA were  $4.4 \pm 1.7$ ,  $4.9 \pm 2.7$ , and  $8.6 \pm 4.8$  for SI, and  $7.0 \pm 4.6$ ,  $7.3 \pm 4.8$ , and 274  $7.8 \pm 1.4$  for WI, respectively. Fig 3 shows no significant difference between the maximal voluntary 275knee extension torque during the last three knee extensions in the task-specific warm-up session and 276 the maximal voluntary knee extension torque during the pretest in each CA protocol. The fact that no 277significant difference was observed between the knee extension torques in the condition in which 278 sufficient practice was conducted and in the pretest indicates that the task-specific warm-up session 279 was sufficiently completed before conducting each CA and the effects of learning and familiarization 280 on PAPE were minimized. 281

#### Fig 3. The task-specific warm-up session was adequately performed

283	Maximal voluntary knee extension torques produced during the last three knee extensions at the task-
284	specific warm-up session (warm-up 1, warm-up 2, and warm-up 3) and the knee extension during the
285	pretest for (A) stronger individuals (SI) and (B) weaker individuals (WI). CA, conditioning activity.
286	
287	Twitch torque
288	For the twitch torque, the two-way ANOVA revealed that the time $\times$ protocol interaction

283

289 was significant for SI (p < 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.404$ ). Further analyses showed that in all protocols, the peak

290 twitch torque after a set of two isokinetic knee extensions (Preafter) was significantly potentiated (6-

291 CA,  $15.7\% \pm 6.3\%$ , p = 0.004, d = 1.068, 95% confidence interval (CI): 10.4–21.0; 12-CA, 14.1% ±

292 8.4%, *p* = 0.045, d = 0.417, 95% CI: 7.0–21.2; 18-CA, 11.7% ± 3.6%, *p* = 0.001, d = 1.263, 95% CI:

293 8.7-14.7), indicating that two isokinetic knee extensions induce PAP. Moreover, for only 6-CA, the

294 values of Post-10 s ( $29.5\% \pm 9.3\%$ , p = 0.001, d = 1.714, 95% CI: 21.7-37.3) and Post-1 min (18.5%

295  $\pm$  6.8%, p = 0.003, d = 1.101, 95% CI: 14.8–24.2) were significantly higher than the Prebefore value

296 (Fig 4A). Fig 4B shows the significant interaction effect for the twitch torques for WI (p < 0.001,  $\eta^2 =$ 

297 0.369). However, further analyses revealed no significant difference between the baseline value

208	(Dro.) and that	values at the other	time pariods for	angh CA protocol
290	(ric <sub>before</sub> ) and the	values at the other	time periods for	each CA protocol.

299

- 300 Fig 4. Time-course changes in %PAP after each CA for (A) stronger individuals (SI) and (B)
- 301 weaker individuals (WI)
- 302 CA, conditioning activity. Values are presented as means ± standard deviation. The statistical
- 303 difference is set at p < 0.05: difference from Pre<sub>before</sub> in 6-CA\*, 12-CA<sup>†</sup>, and 18-CA<sup>§</sup>.

304

### 305 Voluntary knee extension torque

Fig 5A shows the significant interaction effect for %PAPE for SI (p < 0.001,  $\eta^2 = 0.377$ ). For the 18-CA protocol, further analyses revealed that the values of Post-4 min (7.0% ± 4.5%, p = 0.047, d = 0.494, 95% CI: 3.2–10.7) and Post-7 min (8.2% ± 4.3%, p = 0.016, d = 0.568, 95% CI: 4.6– 11.8) were significantly higher than the baseline value (Pre). In contrast, there was no significant interaction for %PAPE for WI (p = 0.057,  $\eta^2 = 0.215$ ). In addition, the results of %PAPE for each participant after each CA protocol are shown in Fig 6.

312

#### 313 Fig 5. Time-course changes in %PAPE after each CA for (A) stronger individuals (SI) and (B)

#### 314 weaker individuals (WI)

- 315 CA, conditioning activity. Values are means  $\pm$  standard deviation. The statistical difference is set at p
- 316 < 0.05: difference from Pre in 18-CA§

#### 317 Fig 6. Time-course changes in %PAPE after each CA for each individual

- 318 The number in parentheses indicates how high the individual's relative muscle strength was from the
- top among all participants. SI, stronger individuals; WI, weaker individuals; CA, conditioning activity

320

## 321 **Discussion**

This study aimed to determine whether the optimal contraction duration of a CA to maximize PAPE differs among athletes with different muscle strength levels. The results obtained in the present study suggest that the strategies for maximizing PAPE may differ depending on the strength level of an individual. In the present study, we observed that SI improved the voluntary performance only in the

327 18-CA protocol (Fig 5A), and when the results of PAPE were examined for each individual, most

328	participants (i.e., individuals numbered 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8) enhanced their voluntary performance after
329	the 18-CA protocol more than after the other two protocols (Fig 6). These facts suggest that the CA
330	with a relatively long contraction duration was optimal for SI. However, it should be noted that PAP
331	had already disappeared at the time when PAPE was observed in the 18-CA protocol (i.e., Post-4 min,
332	Post-7 min; Fig 4A), which indicates that PAP was not involved in the mechanism of PAPE in the 18-
333	CA protocol. Previous studies have also confirmed the presence of PAPE when PAP has already
334	disappeared [24,25]. Prieske et al. [24] reported that the jump performance was significantly improved
335	after the CA, but PAP was not observed at that time and concluded that PAP was not directly linked
336	to the voluntary performance enhancements after the CA. Moreover, Thomas et al. [25] observed a
337	significant increase in the countermovement jump height 8 min after performing the squat exercise,
338	but PAP was no longer present at that time. Blazevich et al. [26] indicated that factors other than PAP,
339	such as an increase in the muscle temperature, a change in the central drive capacity, and the learning
340	and familiarization effect, may contribute to acute voluntary performance enhancements. De Ruiter
341	and De Haan [27] reported that parameters such as the maximal power production improved as muscle
342	temperature increased. Therefore, it is possible that increasing the muscle temperature associated with

343	a CA would temporally enhance the subsequent voluntary performance. Furthermore, González-
344	Alonso et al. [28] showed that the quadriceps muscle temperature continued to increase during a 3 min
345	voluntary knee extension exercise. Based on this study, it is likely that the 18-CA protocol, which had
346	the longest total contraction duration, increased the muscle temperature more than that observed with
347	the other two protocols. Therefore, the fact that PAPE was observed only in the 18-CA protocol for
348	SI may have been related to the difference in the degree of increase in the muscle temperature. In
349	addition, Folland et al. [29] confirmed that the H-wave amplitude, normalized by the maximal
350	amplitude of the M-wave, increased 5-11 min after the isometric knee extension exercise. This result
351	indicates that a CA has the potential to increase the excitability of the motoneuron pool. Therefore, in
352	the present study, it is possible that the CA increased the excitability at the spinal level, resulting in
353	the increased recruitment of higher-order motor units. Furthermore, MacIntosh et al. [22] referred to
354	the possibility that the learning and familiarization effects regarding the task performance could affect
355	the acute enhancement of the voluntary performance. However, in the present study, the participnts
356	completed the task-specific warm-up session to the point where no further improvement in the
357	voluntary knee extension torque production could be achieved. Thus, it is unlikely that the learning

358	and familiarization effects on task performance influenced the PAPE in this study. Collectively, factors
359	other than PAP and the learning and familiarization effects, such as the increase in the muscle
360	temperature and change in the central drive capacity, may be involved in the improvement of the
361	voluntary knee extension performance observed in the 18-CA protocol for SI. However, since these
362	factors were not investigated in our study, we cannot conclude whether these factors were involved in
363	the potentiation of the knee extension performance in the 18-CA protocol for SI.
364	We should note why SI was unable to improve the voluntary performance in the condition
365	in which PAP was present in the 6-CA protocol (i.e., Post-10 s, Post-1 min, Fig 4A and Fig 5A). The
366	finding regarding the absence of the potentiation of the voluntary performance despite the occurrence
367	of the maximal twitch potentiation has been confirmed in other studies. Fukutani et al. [23] researched
368	the effect of a 6-s isometric ankle plantar flexion exercise on PAP and PAPE and found that isometric
369	twitch torque and isokinetic voluntary plantar flexion torque at 180°/s significantly increased by 62.7%
370	and 6.4%, respectively, immediately after the CA. However, 1 min after the CA, although PAP
371	remained significantly higher than that at baseline, its potentiation effect decreased to 30.3%, and the
372	voluntary performance was no longer significantly different from that at baseline. Importantly,

373	sensitivity to the potentiation effect of a CA differs between the twitch torque and maximal voluntary
374	torque [4]. The Ca <sup>2+</sup> concentration is much higher in the maximal voluntary concentric contraction
375	(multiple impulses) than in the twitch contraction (single impulse). In addition, the extent of increase
376	in the torque by a CA becomes smaller when the $Ca^{2+}$ concentration is higher because, in this case,
377	several cross-bridge attachments already exist [30]. In this context, Zimmermann et al. [31] noted that
378	PAP must be extremely high to contribute to an increase in voluntary performance. Therefore, it is
379	reasonable to conclude that the potentiation effect observed after 6-CA was insufficient to enhance the
380	voluntary performance to the point where a statistical difference could be observed. Nevertheless, a
381	previous study by Seitz et al. [14] confirmed that isokinetic knee extension exercises at 60°/s with a
382	6-s total contraction duration improved the maximal knee extension torque at 180°/s after the CA. The
383	reason for this discrepancy may be the difference in the resistance training experience of the
384	participants, which can affect the degree of PAPE [21]. The average age and resistance training
385	experience of the SI group in the present study were $19.9 \pm 1.6$ and $3.5 \pm 1.1$ years, respectively (Table
386	1), while the average age of the participants in the Seitz et al. [14] study was $25.4 \pm 3.9$ years. Although
387	their study did not state the years of resistance training experience, it can be presumed that the

388 1	participants in their stud	v had a longer resistance	training history than	those in the present study, which
			in anning motor y than	

- 389 could explain the inconsistent results.
- 390 In contrast to SI, WI failed to display PAPE in any of the CAs (Fig 5B), and thus, we could
- 391 not conclude whether the CAs performed with relatively short or long total contraction durations were
- 392 optimal for WI. The fact that PAPE was observed in SI but not in WI after the 18-CA protocol may
- be due to the difference in the fatigue resistance against a high-intensity exercise. Miyamoto et al. [17]
- 394 confirmed that after a 5-s maximal isometric knee extension exercise, the dynamic maximal knee
- 395 extension torque increased 1 to 3 min later but not immediately after the CA. Interestingly, however,
- 396 after 12 weeks of high-intensity resistance training, the potentiation effect of the voluntary
- 397 performance was observed even immediately after the CA. The main reason for the earlier realization
- 398 of PAPE after the intervention is that the individuals developed resistance to fatigue by chronic high-
- intensity resistance training, suppressing the remarkable fatigue associated with a high-intensity CA.
- 400 In other words, this result means that the participants with higher muscle strength levels have a greater
- 401 fatigue resistance to a high-intensity CA, and there is a high possibility that WI had a lower fatigue
- 402 resistance to a high-intensity CA than that of SI in the present study. Therefore, it is likely that the

403	significant fatigue associated with the CA was responsible for the lack of the potentiation of the
404	voluntary performance in the 18-CA protocol for WI. In addition, contrary to our hypothesis, WI failed
405	to enhance the voluntary performance after the CA with a relatively short total contraction duration
406	(i.e., 6-CA). However, based on the individual data, we observed that for some participante (i.e.,
407	individuals numbered 15, 21, and 22), the CAs performed with short or moderate total contraction
408	durations were suitable for maximizing PAPE (Fig 6). In comparison to a high-intensity CA with a
409	relatively long contraction duration, a high-intensity CA with short or moderate total contraction
410	duration may produce less fatigue and allow the potentiating effect to dominate even in WI with a
411	relatively low fatigue resistance. Future studies should continue to investigate the optimal long total
412	contraction duration required for WI to maximize PAPE. Furthermore, the enhancement in the
413	maximal twitch torque was also absent in all protocols for WI. Hamada et al. [1] discovered that the
414	magnitude of PAP depends on the muscle fiber type distribution, and participants with larger fast-
415	twitch fibers evoked higher PAP. Considering that SI tend to have higher fast-twitch fiber content
416	[19,20], they can trigger higher PAP through CA implementation. Fig 2 indicates that the higher the
417	relative muscle strength, the larger the magnitude of PAP. Therefore, it is possible that the WI group,

418	composed of	participants with	low muscle	strength level	s, did not	significantly	increase PAP	due to
	1	1 1		0	,	0 )		

- 419 the reduced number of fast-twitch fibers.
- 420 The present study has some limitations. First, the sample size of the SI and WI subgroups
- 421 was small, and the participants with intermediate levels of relative muscle strength were not included
- 422 in the subgroups to clearly distinguish the differences in muscle strength levels among the
- 423 participants. A study with a larger sample size is necessary to confirm the present findings. Second,
- 424 the athletic characteristics of the American football players who participated in this study may have
- 425 influenced the results of this study. Specifically, since most of the game time in American football
- 426 consists of intermittent high-intensity exercise, the participants in this study could have had higher
- 427 fast-twitch fiber content, which is associated with the degree of PAP, than that of the athletes who
- 428 require more endurance capacity (e.g., long-distance runners). Therefore, further studies should be
- 429 conducted to determine whether similar results can be obtained in athletes with a high endurance
- 430 capacity. Third, because the nature of isokinetic actions is different from that of isotonic actions, it
- 431 may be difficult to directly apply the results to movements in sports and training. Further studies
- 432 using isotonic exercise are required to apply the results of this study to the field. Fourth, the

433	measures related to PAPE mechanisms that could help explain the mechanisms of the increase in
434	voluntary performance observed after the 18-CA protocol, such as the central drive capacity, muscle
435	temperature, and water content [26], were not examined in this study. Future studies should include
436	these measures after a high-intensity CA with a long contraction duration. Lastly, the experimental
437	conditions employed in this study did not allow us to determine whether the CAs performed with
438	relatively short or long contraction durations are optimal for individuals with low muscle strength
439	levels. Therefore, we hope that future studies with modification to the experimental conditions of
440	this study (e.g., muscle contraction types, total contraction durations) will be conducted.
441	

# 442 Conclusion

The present study revealed that SI were able to enhance the voluntary performance only after the CA with a long contraction duration (i.e., 18-CA), whereas WI could not enhance the voluntary performance in all the CAs. The findings suggest that the CA with a relatively long contraction duration is optimal for maximizing PAPE in individuals with a high muscle strength. In contrast, we could not conclude whether the CAs performed with relatively short or long contraction

448	durations are optimal for individuals with a low muscle strength. Based on the results of this study, it
449	is suggested that coaches need to determine the strategies to maximize PAPE while considering the
450	individual's strength levels. Specifically, athletes with high relative muscle strength levels may likely
451	prefer to select a CA performed with a relatively long contraction duration to induce the potentiation
452	of the voluntary performance sufficiently. However, in this case, as PAP is not expected to be a
453	primary mechanism for improving voluntary performance, CA focused on enhancing PAP may not
454	always be effective to maximize PAPE. In contrast, athletes with a low relative muscle strength may
455	need to complete chronic high-intensity resistance training as a first step to utilize PAPE. This may
456	lead to the enhancement of fatigue resistance against high-intensity exercise, which is thought to
457	contribute to the realization of PAPE. To improve the practical use of the current study, future studies
458	should examine whether the results observed in this study are also observed when isotonic exercises
459	are employed.
460	

# 461 Acknowledgments

462 The authors would like to thank all participants for their cooperation and Editage (www.editage.jp)

463 for the English language review.

464

#### 35

## 465 **References**

- 1. Hamada T, Sale DG, MacDougall JD, Tarnopolsky MA. Postactivation potentiation, fiber type,
- 467 and twitch contraction time in human knee extensor muscles. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2000;88:
- 468 2131-2137. doi: <u>10.1152/jappl.2000.88.6.2131</u>.
- 469 2. Vandervoort AA, Quinlan J, Mccomas AJ. Twitch potentiation after voluntary contraction. Exp
- 470 Neurol. 1983;81: 141-152. doi: 10.1016/0014-4886(83)90163-2.
- 471 3. Moore RL, Stull JT. Myosin light chain phosphorylation in fast and slow skeletal muscles in situ.
- 472 Am J Physiol. 1984;247: C462-C471. doi: <u>10.1152/ajpcell.1984.247.5.C462</u>.
- 473 4. Sweeney HL, Bowman BF, Stull JT. Myosin light chain phosphorylation in vertebrate striated
- 474 muscle: regulation and function. Am J Physiol. 1993;264: C1085-C1095. doi:
- 475 <u>10.1152/ajpcell.1993.264.5.C1085</u>.
- 476 5. Szczesna D. Regulatory light chains of striated muscle myosin. Structure, function and
- 477 malfunction. Curr Drug Targets Cardiovasc Haematol Disord. 2003;3: 187-197. doi:
- 478 <u>10.2174/1568006033481474</u>.
- 479 6. Tillin NA, Bishop D. Factors modulating post-activation potentiation and its effect on

480	performance	of	subsequent	explosive	activities.	Sports	Med.	2009;39:	147-166.	doi:
-----	-------------	----	------------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------	----------	------

#### 481 10.2165/00007256-200939020-00004.

- 482 7. Baudry S, Duchateau J. Postactivation potentiation in a human muscle: effect on the load-velocity
- relation of tetanic and voluntary shortening contractions. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2007;103: 1318-
- 484 1325. doi: <u>10.1152/japplphysiol.00403.2007</u>.
- 485 8. Bergmann J, Kramer A, Gruber M. Repetitive hops induce postactivation potentiation in triceps
- 486 surae as well as an increase in the jump height of subsequent maximal drop jumps. PLOS ONE.
- 487 2013;8: e77705. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0077705</u>.
- 488 9. Fukutani A, Takei S, Hirata K, Miyamoto N, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y. Influence of the intensity
- 489 of squat exercises on the subsequent jump performance. J Strength Cond Res. 2014;28: 2236-
- 490 2243. doi: <u>10.1519/JSC.000000000000409</u>.
- 491 10. Mitchell CJ, Sale DG. Enhancement of jump performance after a 5-RM squat is associated with
- 492 postactivation potentiation. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011;111: 1957-1963. doi: <u>10.1007/s00421-010-</u>
- 493 <u>1823-x</u>.
- 494 11. Miyamoto N, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T, Kawakami Y. Effect of postactivation potentiation on

495	the maximal	voluntary	isokinetic	concentric t	orque in	humans.	J Strength	Cond Res.	2011;25:
							<u> </u>		

- 496 186-192. doi: <u>10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b62c1d</u>.
- 497 12. Cuenca-Fernández F, Smith IC, Jordan MJ, MacIntosh BR, López-Contreras G, Arellano R, et
- 498 al. Nonlocalized postactivation performance enhancement (PAPE) effects in trained athletes: a
- 499 pilot study. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2017;42: 1122-1125. doi: <u>10.1139/apnm-2017-0217</u>.
- 500 13. Rassier DE, Macintosh BR. Coexistence of potentiation and fatigue in skeletal muscle. Braz J
- 501 Med Biol Res. 2000;33: 499-508. doi: <u>10.1590/s0100-879x2000000500003</u>.
- 502 14. Seitz LB, Trajano GS, Dal Maso F, Haff GG, Blazevich AJ. Postactivation potentiation during
- 503 voluntary contractions after continued knee extensor task-specific practice. Appl Physiol Nutr
- 504 Metab. 2015;40: 230-237. doi: <u>10.1139/apnm-2014-0377</u>.
- 505 15. Hamada T, Sale DG, MacDougall JD, Tarnopolsky MA. Interaction of fibre type, potentiation
- and fatigue in human knee extensor muscles. Acta Physiol Scand. 2003;178: 165-173. doi:
- 507 <u>10.1046/j.1365-201X.2003.01121.x</u>.
- 508 16. Jo E, Judelson DA, Brown LE, Coburn JW, Dabbs NC. Influence of recovery duration after a
- 509 potentiating stimulus on muscular power in recreationally trained individuals. J Strength Cond

#### 510 Res. 2010;24: 343-347. doi: <u>10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181cc22a4</u>.

- 511 17. Miyamoto N, Wakahara T, Ema R, Kawakami Y. Further potentiation of dynamic muscle
- 512 strength after resistance training. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45: 1323-1330. doi:
- 513 <u>10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182874c0e</u>.
- 18. Seitz LB, de Villarreal ES, Haff GG. The temporal profile of postactivation potentiation is related
- 515 to strength level. J Strength Cond Res. 2014;28: 706-715. doi: <u>10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a73ea3</u>.
- 516 19. Aagaard P, Andersen JL. Correlation between contractile strength and myosin heavy chain
- 517 isoform composition in human skeletal muscle. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1998;30: 1217-1222. doi:
- 518 <u>10.1097/00005768-199808000-00006</u>.
- 519 20. Maughan RJ, Watson JS, Weir J. Relationships between muscle strength and muscle cross-
- 520 sectional area in male sprinters and endurance runners. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.
- 521 1983;50: 309-318. doi: <u>10.1007/BF00423237</u>.
- 522 21. Seitz LB, Haff GG. Factors modulating post-activation potentiation of jump, sprint, throw, and
- 523 upper-body ballistic performances: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Sports Med.
- 524 2016;46: 231-240. doi: <u>10.1007/s40279-015-0415-7</u>.

525	22.	Macintosh BR, Robillard ME, Tomaras EK. Should postactivation potentiation be the goal of
526		your warm-up? Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37: 546-550. doi: <u>10.1139/h2012-016</u> .
527	23.	Fukutani A, Miyamoto N, Kanehisa H, Yanai T, Kawakami Y. Potentiation of isokinetic torque
528		is velocity-dependent following an isometric conditioning contraction. Springerplus. 2013;2: 554.
529		doi: <u>10.1186/2193-1801-2-554</u> .
530	24.	Prieske O, Maffiuletti NA, Granacher U. Postactivation potentiation of the plantar flexors does
531		not directly translate to jump performance in female elite young soccer players. Front Physiol.
532		2018;9: 276. doi: <u>10.3389/fphys.2018.00276</u> .
533	25.	Thomas K, Toward A, West DJ, Howatson G, Goodall S. Heavy-resistance exercise-induced
534		increases in jump performance are not explained by changes in neuromuscular function. Scand J
535		Med Sci Sports. 2017;27:35-44. doi: <u>10.1111/sms.12626</u>
536	26.	Blazevich AJ, Babault N. Post-activation potentiation versus post-activation performance
537		enhancement in humans: historical perspective, underlying mechanisms, and current issues. Front
538		Physiol. 2019;10: 1359. doi: <u>10.3389/fphys.2019.01359</u> .
539	27.	De Ruiter CJ, De Haan A. Temperature effect on the force/velocity relationship of the fresh and

540	fatigued	human	adductor	pollicis	muscle.	Pflugers	Arch.	2000;440:	163-170.	doi:
-----	----------	-------	----------	----------	---------	----------	-------	-----------	----------	------

#### 541 10.1007/s004240000284.

- 542 28. González-Alonso J, Quistorff B, Krustrup P, Bangsbo J, Saltin B. Heat production in human
- 543 skeletal muscle at the onset of intense dynamic exercise. J Physiol. 2000;524: 603-615. doi:
- 544 <u>10.1111/j.1469-7793.2000.00603.x</u>.
- 545 29. Folland JP, Wakamatsu T, Fimland MS. The influence of maximal isometric activity on twitch
- and H-reflex potentiation, and quadriceps femoris performance. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2008;104:
- 547 739-748. doi: <u>10.1007/s00421-008-0823-6</u>.
- 548 30. Persechini A, Stull JT, Cooke R. The effect of myosin phosphorylation on the contractile
- 549 properties of skinned rabbit skeletal muscle fibers. J Biol Chem. 1985;260: 7951-7954. doi:
- 550 <u>10.1016/S0021-9258(17)39544-3</u>.
- 551 31. Zimmermann HB, MacIntosh BR, Dal Pupo J. Does postactivation potentiation (PAP) increase
- voluntary performance? Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2020;45: 349-356. doi: <u>10.1139/apnm-2019-</u>
- 553 <u>0406</u>.



Prebefore Preafter



Α

в



Fig 2



6-CA

12-CA

18-CA

Fig 3

Α



Fig 4



Fig 5







SI (4)

SI (6)

18-CA











20

15

10

5

Ö

-5

-10 -15

-20





WI (18)







WI (20)





Pre Post-10-s Post-1 min Post-4 min Post-7 min Post-10 min

Pre Post-10-s Post-1 min Post-4 min Post-7 min Post-10 min

Fig 6

Pre

Pre Post-10-s Post-1 min Post-4 min Post-7 min Post-10 min Post-10-s Post-1 min Post-4 min Post-7 min Post-10 min