

# Recent-Onset and Persistent Tinnitus: Uncover the Differences in Brain Activities using Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Technologies

1 Haoliang Du<sup>1,2</sup>, Xu Feng<sup>3</sup>, Xiaoyun Qian<sup>1,2</sup>, Jian Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Bin Liu<sup>4</sup>, Ao Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhichun Huang<sup>3\*</sup>,  
2 Xia Gao<sup>1,2\*</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup> Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of  
4 Nanjing University Medical School, Jiangsu Provincial Key Medical Discipline (Laboratory),  
5 Nanjing, China

6 <sup>2</sup> Department of Research Institution of Otolaryngology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing  
7 University Medical School, Nanjing, China

8 <sup>3</sup> Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Zhongda Hospital, Medical School,  
9 Southeast University, Nanjing, China

10 <sup>4</sup> Department of Radiology, Zhongda Hospital, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

## 11 \* Correspondence:

12 Dr. Xia Gao

13 [gaoxiaent@163.com](mailto:gaoxiaent@163.com)

14 Dr. Zhichun Huang

15 [huang1963618@sohu.com](mailto:huang1963618@sohu.com)

16 **Keywords:** Recent-onset Tinnitus<sup>1</sup>, Persistent Tinnitus<sup>2</sup>, Resting-state Functional Magnetic  
17 Resonance Imaging<sup>3</sup>, Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation<sup>4</sup>, Regional Homogeneity<sup>5</sup>,  
18 Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity<sup>6</sup>.

## 19 Abstract

20 **Objective:** This project aimed to investigate the differences in the intra-regional brain activity and  
21 inter-regional functional connectivity between patients with recent-onset tinnitus and persistent tinnitus  
22 using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) technologies, including the  
23 Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuations (ALFF), regional homogeneity (ReHo), and Voxel-Wise  
24 Functional Connectivity (FC).

25 **Method:** We acquired rs-fMRI scans from 82 subjects (25 subjects without recent-onset tinnitus, 28  
26 subjects with persistent tinnitus, and 29 subjects as healthy control). Age, gender, and year of education  
27 were matched across all three groups. We performed ALFF, ReHo, and Voxel-Wise Functional  
28 Connectivity (FC) for all subjects.

29 **Result:** Compared with the control group (CN), subjects with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT) and with  
30 persistent tinnitus (PT) manifested significantly reduced ALFF and ReHo activity within the left and  
31 right dorsolateral superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and Gyrus Rectus (GR). Additional Voxel-Wise  
32 Functional Connectivity (FC) revealed decreased connectivity between the dorsolateral SFG (left and  
33 right) and right Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG), right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG), and left medial

34 Superior Frontal Gyrus (mSFG) within these two groups. Significant differences were observed  
35 between the ROT and PT groups, with the ROT group demonstrating reduced functional connectivities.

36 **Conclusion:** Upon analyzing our data, we suggested that patients with persistent tinnitus have more  
37 difficulty monitoring external stimuli and reorienting attention than patients with recent-onset tinnitus.  
38 In addition, patients who perceive higher levels of disruption from tinnitus are more likely to develop  
39 persistent and debilitating tinnitus once the tinnitus lasts longer than six months. Therefore, we strongly  
40 recommend that clinicians implement effective tinnitus management strategies for patients with recent-  
41 onset tinnitus as soon as possible.

## 42 **1 Introduction**

43 Subjective tinnitus is a conscious auditory perception without a corresponding external source.  
44 Subjective tinnitus represents one of the most common yet distressing otologic pathologies, affecting  
45 approximately 8 to 20% of the adult population (Roberts et al., 2010). Existing studies reported that  
46 subjective tinnitus is commonly associated with hearing loss, cerumen impaction, middle and inner  
47 ear-related pathologies, noise exposure, exposure to ototoxic medications and chemicals, aging,  
48 insomnia, anxiety, depression, head and neck injuries, and temporomandibular joint (TMJ) dysfunction  
49 (Baguley et al., 2013; Tunkel et al., 2014; Makar, 2021). In addition, tinnitus can be persistent,  
50 bothersome, and costly for patients and societies in general. Cases of patients with extraordinarily  
51 persistent and debilitating tinnitus accompanied by severe anxiety or depression attempting suicide  
52 have been reported (Szibor, Mäkitie and Aarnisalo, 2019).

53 It is generally believed that lesions in the peripheral hearing system and neuronal changes within the  
54 central nervous system contribute to tinnitus. Kapolowicz and Thompson reported that tinnitus might  
55 be closely related to an imbalance between the auditory neuronal excitation and inhibition network,  
56 leading to plasticity changes in the central auditory system (Kapolowicz & Thompson, 2020). Knipper  
57 et al. (2021) proposed that hearing loss may contribute to a top-down mechanism that leads to tinnitus  
58 perception (Knipper et al., 2021). Khan and colleagues suggested that tinnitus might be a compensatory  
59 response to damage to the peripheral hearing system (Khan et al., 2021). Cai, Xie, and colleagues  
60 reported abnormal functional connectivity in the auditory and non-auditory cortices in patients with  
61 hearing loss and tinnitus (Cai et al., 2020). Zhou and colleagues suggested that patients with hearing  
62 loss and tinnitus demonstrated abnormal intra-regional neural activity and disrupted connectivity in the  
63 hub regions of some non-auditory networks, including the default mode network (DMN), optical  
64 network, dorsal and ventral attention network (DAN & VAN), and central executive network (CEN)  
65 (Zhou et al., 2019). Minami and colleagues reported that tinnitus patients with hearing loss showed a  
66 statistically significant reduction in auditory-related functional connectivity than the control group  
67 (Minami et al., 2018). Finally, our previous project, using ALFF, ReHo, and Voxel-Wise Functional  
68 Connectivity technologies, revealed that disruptions in brain regions responsible for attention and  
69 stimuli monitoring and orientations could lead to tinnitus (Du et al., 2022).

70 Tinnitus has different forms, degrees of severity, and onset duration, which can only be described by  
71 patients' testimony and corresponding symptoms. When categorizing tinnitus based on its duration of  
72 onset (recent-onset or persistent), numerous studies have concentrated on developing  
73 pathophysiological models for chronic tinnitus (tinnitus that has a duration of onset of at least six  
74 months). However, few have investigated the neuronal changes that occur from recent-onset to  
75 persistent tinnitus (Stolzberg et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2020; Lan et al., 2020). To our best knowledge,  
76 we have not located any studies investigating this issue using resting-state functional magnetic  
77 resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) technologies. Furthermore, investigating this issue will be critical for

78 identifying contributing neural mechanisms and possible interventions to stop this transition.  
79 Therefore, our project aims to uncover the differences in brain activity using Resting-State Functional  
80 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (rs-fMRI) technologies between recent-onset tinnitus patients and  
81 persistent tinnitus patients and apply our findings to existing tinnitus management strategies.

## 82 **2 Method**

### 83 **2.1 Subjects' Demographic and Clinical Information**

84 The Research Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Zhongda Hospital of Southeast University approved  
85 this study. All individuals provided written informed consent before they participated in the study. We  
86 recruited eighty-two subjects (all right-handed, with at least eight years of education), including  
87 twenty-five tinnitus subjects with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT), twenty-eight tinnitus subjects with  
88 persistent tinnitus (PT), and twenty-nine healthy subjects as the control group (CN) through our  
89 outpatient clinics between September 2011 and September 2013. The patients were group-matched in  
90 terms of age, sex and education. Twenty-five subjects perceived bilateral tinnitus, and the rest, twenty-  
91 eight subjects, perceived unilateral tinnitus. We defined the time course of tinnitus (recent-onset or  
92 persistent) according to the Tinnitus Clinical Practice Guideline from the American Academy of  
93 Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. According to the guideline, if the overall duration of onset  
94 equals or is less than six months, the tinnitus will be determined to be recent-onset. If the overall  
95 duration of onset is more than six months, the tinnitus will be defined as persistent (Tunkel et al., 2014).

96 We performed pure-tone audiometric testing (PTA for 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000, and 8000 Hz)  
97 for all recruited subjects. Subjects with 7-frequency PTA below 25 dB HL were considered clinically  
98 normal hearing. In addition, we performed comprehensive tympanometry, diagnostic distortion-  
99 product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAE), and diagnostic auditory brainstem response (ABR) for all  
100 subjects to rule out middle ear pathologies and auditory neuropathy (ANSD). Furthermore, we  
101 collected crucial information about the duration of tinnitus and the presence of insomnia from all  
102 subjects.

103 To assess the severity and distress associated with tinnitus, we distributed the Iowa version of the  
104 tinnitus handicap questionnaire (THQ) (Kuk et al., 1990) to both the ROT and PT groups. We also  
105 distributed the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) and the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS)  
106 questionnaires to all subjects for anxiety and depression screening (Zung, 1986; Zung, 1971). No  
107 significant group differences were discovered for subjects' gender, age, and educational background  
108 ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, we did discover a statistically significant difference in subjects' THQ total score,  
109 SAS, and SDS scores between groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Subjects' demographic and clinical characteristics  
110 for each group were summarized in table 1.

### 111 **2.2 Subject Exclusion Criteria**

112 The exclusion criteria for this study included Meniere's disease, objective tinnitus, pulsatile tinnitus,  
113 histories of consuming alcohol, severe smoking, head and neck injuries, epilepsy, stroke, Alzheimer's  
114 disease, Parkinson's disease, cancer, MRI contraindications, primary psychiatric conditions including  
115 Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD), depression and Schizophrenia, and severe visual impairment.  
116 None of our subjects failed the depression and anxiety screening.

### 117 **2.3 fMRI Scanning & Data Acquisition**

118 We acquired the imaging data using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Siemens MAGNETOM Trio, Erlangen,  
119 Germany) with a standard head coil. We provided all subjects with foam paddings and earmuffs to  
120 minimize head motion and noise exposure during the scanning process. The subjects were instructed  
121 to remain calm during the scan with their eyes closed without falling asleep or thinking of anything  
122 particular. Functional images were obtained axially using a gradient echo-planar sequence sensitive to  
123 BOLD contrast as follows: repetition time (TR) = 2000 ms; echo time (TE) = 25 ms; slices = 36;  
124 thickness = 4 mm; gap = 0 mm; field of view (FOV) = 240 × 240 mm; acquisition matrix = 64 × 64;  
125 and flip angle (FA) = 90°.

#### 126 **2.4 Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuations (ALFFs): Preprocessing & Analysis**

127 Resting-state ALFF can reflect spontaneous neural activity and yield physiologically meaningful  
128 results. Pre-processing of the ALFF images was performed using the toolbox Data Processing Assistant  
129 for Resting-State fMRI (DPARSF 5.2), Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM 12), and Matlab 2021b.  
130 We removed the first five volumes from each time series to account for subjects' adaptation to the  
131 scanning environment. Slice timing and re-alignment for head-motion correction were performed for  
132 the remaining 175 images. Afterward, we performed the following procedures: spatially normalized  
133 into the stereotactic space of the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) (resampling voxel size = 3 ×  
134 3 × 3 mm<sup>3</sup>) and smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full width at half-maximum (FWHM), de-  
135 trending, and filtering (0.01–0.08 Hz). The subjects with a head motion with more than 2.0 mm  
136 displacement or a 2.0-degree rotation in the *x*, *y*, or *z* directions were excluded from this study.

137 We then analyzed the ALFF data by transforming time to the frequency domain using Fast Fourier  
138 Transform. Next, we computed the square root of the power spectrum and averaged squared across  
139 0.01–0.08 Hz at each voxel. The calculated averaged square root was taken as the ALFF. Finally, the  
140 ALFF of each voxel was divided by the global mean ALFF value for standardization (Du et al., 2022).

#### 141 **2.5 Regional Homogeneity (ReHo): Preprocessing & Analysis**

142 The ReHo calculates the synchronization of low-frequency fluctuations between a given voxel and  
143 neighboring voxels, reflecting the neural function synchronization in the local brain region. Pre-  
144 processing of ReHo images was performed using the toolbox Data Processing Assistant for Resting-  
145 State fMRI (DPARSF 5.2), Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM 12), and Matlab 2021b. We removed  
146 the first five volumes from each time series to account for subjects' adaptation to the scanning  
147 environment. Slice timing and re-alignment for head-motion correction were performed for the  
148 remaining 175 images. The following procedures were performed: spatially normalized into the  
149 stereotactic space of the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) (resampling voxel size = 3 × 3 × 3  
150 mm<sup>3</sup>), de-trending, and filtering (0.01–0.08 Hz).

151 After the pre-processing stage, we performed the image calculation using the Kendall coefficient of  
152 concordance of the time series of a given voxel with its 27 nearest neighbors. Next, ReHo analyses  
153 were calculated using the DPARSF 5.2 software. The ReHo value of each voxel was then standardized  
154 by partitioning the primal value using the global mean ReHo value. Finally, the data were smoothed  
155 with a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full-width at half maximum (FWHM) for further statistical analysis  
156 (Du et al., 2022).

#### 157 **2.6 Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity (FC): Pre-processing & Analysis**

158 We performed the Voxel-Wise FC analysis using the toolbox Data Processing Assistant for Resting-  
159 State fMRI (DPARSF 5.2), Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM 12), and Matlab 2021b. The first ten

160 volumes were removed from each time series to account for subjects' time to adapt to the scanning  
161 environment. Then, slice timing and re-alignment for head-motion correction were performed for the  
162 remaining 170 images. Afterward, the procedures were carried out as follows: spatially normalized  
163 into the stereotactic space of the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) (resampling voxel size =  $3 \times$   
164  $3 \times 3$  mm<sup>3</sup>) and smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full width at half-maximum (FWHM), de-  
165 trending, and filtering (0.01–0.08 Hz). Subjects with a head motion with more than 2.0 mm  
166 displacement or a 2.0-degree rotation in the  $x$ ,  $y$ , or  $z$  directions were excluded (Du et al., 2022).

167 We extracted the ALFF and ReHo differences in brain regions between recent-onset tinnitus subjects  
168 and persistent tinnitus subjects for Voxel-Wise FC analysis and defined them as seeds. We then used  
169 the average time series of seeds as a reference and calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient  
170 between the average signal change of each seed and the time sequences of other voxels in the brain.  
171 Finally, we converted the correlation coefficient to a z-value using Fisher's z-transformation.

## 172 **2.7 Statistical Analysis and Graphic Illustration**

173 The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was firstly conducted to test mean differences in ALFF,  
174 ReHo, and functional connectivity (FC) between the control group (CN), the group with recent-onset  
175 tinnitus (ROT), and the group with persistent tinnitus (PT) (Matlab 2021b). The statistically-significant  
176 difference between the groups was determined at  $p < 0.05$ . Subjects' age and gender were included as  
177 nuisance covariates. Next, we applied Family-Wise Error (FWE) correction for multiple comparisons,  
178 using voxel-level inference at  $p < 0.001$  and cluster-level inference at  $p < 0.05$ . Two-sample t-tests were  
179 then conducted to investigate the ALFF, ReHo, and functional connectivity (FC) differences between  
180 subjects with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT) and control group (CN), subjects with persistent tinnitus (PT)  
181 and control group (CN), and subjects with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT) and subjects with persistent  
182 tinnitus (PT). Again, the statistically-significant difference between the groups was determined at  $p <$   
183  $0.05$ . Finally, we used the MRICroGL software to draw 2-dimensional brain images to display the brain  
184 areas with statistically significant differences.

## 185 **3. Results**

### 186 **3.1 ALFF Results**

187 We discovered significant ALFF value differences in the left and right dorsolateral SFG and left gyrus  
188 rectus (GR) for the ROT and PT groups compared to the CN group (Fig. 1). Compared with the control  
189 group (CN), ALFF's T-value for both the ROT group and PT group in the left Gyrus Rectus (GR) were  
190 significantly lower than the global mean values from the control (CN) group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The ALFF's  
191 T-value for the ROT group is lower than the PT group ( $p < 0.05$ ).

192 No statistical significance was discovered for the left dorsolateral Superior Frontal Gyrus (SFG)  
193 between the ROT and the PT groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, compared with the control group (CN),  
194 ALFF's T-value for both the ROT group and PT group in the right dorsolateral Superior-Frontal Gyrus  
195 (SFG) were significantly lower than the global mean values from the control (CN) group ( $p < 0.05$ ).

196 No statistical significance was discovered for the right Gyrus Rectus (GR) between the ROT and the  
197 PT groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, compared with the control group (CN), ALFF's T-value for both the  
198 ROT group and PT group in the left Gyrus Rectus (GR) were significantly lower than the global mean  
199 values from the control (CN) group ( $p < 0.05$ ). These results are demonstrated in table 2a.

### 200 **3.2 ReHo Results**

201 We also discovered significant ReHo value differences in the right dorsolateral SFG for both ROT and  
202 PT groups compared to the control (CN) group (Fig. 2). Regarding ReHo's T-value, both the ROT  
203 group and PT group in the right dorsolateral SFG revealed significantly lower values than the global  
204 mean values from the control (CN) group ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table. 2b). A two-sample t-test did not reveal  
205 any statistical differences between the ROT group and the PT group in the right Dorsolateral Superior  
206 Frontal Gyrus (SFG) ( $p > 0.05$ ).

### 207 **3.3 Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity (FC) Results**

208 Two regions identified from the ALFF analysis (dorsolateral SFG, left and right) were used as seeds  
209 for further FC analysis. Brain regions with significant functional connectivity pattern differences for  
210 the ALFF analysis clusters 2 and 3 were demonstrated in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. In contrast to  
211 the control group (CN), both the ROT group and PT group exhibited a reduction in connectivity  
212 between the seed region in the left dorsolateral SFG (ALFF cluster 2) and right superior parietal gyrus  
213 (SPG), right dorsolateral superior frontal gyrus (SFG), and left medial superior frontal gyrus (SFG) ( $p$   
214  $< 0.05$ ) (Table 3a). No difference was observed between the ROT and PT groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). At the  
215 same time, both the ROT group and PT group exhibited decreased connectivity between the seed region  
216 in the right dorsolateral SFG (ALFF cluster 3) and right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG), left medial  
217 Superior Frontal Gyrus (SFG), and right Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG) ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 3b). No  
218 difference was observed between the ROT and PT groups ( $p > 0.05$ ), except for a reduced connectivity  
219 pattern between the right dorsolateral SFG (ALFF cluster 3) and right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG) in  
220 the PT group than in the ROT group.

221 One region identified from the ReHo analysis (right dorsolateral SFG) was used as seeds for further  
222 FC analysis. Brain regions with significant functional connectivity pattern differences were illustrated  
223 in Figure 5. In contrast to the control group (CN), both the ROT group and PT group demonstrated  
224 lower connectivity levels between the seed region in the right dorsolateral SFG and right middle frontal  
225 gyrus (MFG), left medial superior frontal gyrus, and right superior parietal gyrus ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 3c).  
226 No difference was observed between the ROT and PT groups ( $p > 0.05$ ), except for an elevated  
227 connectivity pattern between the right dorsolateral SFG (ReHo Cluster 1) and right Middle Frontal  
228 Gyrus (MFG) in the ROT group than in the PT group.

## 229 **4. Discussion**

230 In the current study, we utilized various resting-state fMRI technologies, including the ALFF, ReHo,  
231 and Voxel-Wise functional connectivity (FC), to investigate the differences in the intra-regional brain  
232 activity and inter-regional functional connectivity in patients with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT) and  
233 persistent tinnitus (PT). To our best knowledge, this is the first study to reveal neuronal changes during  
234 the transition from recent-onset to persistent tinnitus using the resting-state fMRI technologies.

235 Our findings revealed that subjects with recent-onset and persistent tinnitus demonstrated abnormal  
236 intra-regional neural activity and disrupted functional connectivity. In addition, regions of some non-  
237 auditory networks involving the default mode network (DMN), optical network, dorsal attention  
238 network (DAN), and central executive network (CEN) were affected (Chen et al., 2017). Furthermore,  
239 we discovered significant differences within the ALFF, ReHo, and FC activity levels between the ROT  
240 and PT group, with the PT group demonstrating the lowest activity and connectivity level among all  
241 three groups. In order to identify the differences in brain activities between the recent-onset and  
242 persistent tinnitus subjects, we will explore the roles of each brain region revealed by the rs-fMRI  
243 analysis and identify possible strategies to prevent the transition from recent-onset tinnitus to persistent  
244 tinnitus.

#### 245 **4.1 Elevated Activity in Left Gyrus Rectus (GR) for Persistent Tinnitus Patients**

246 The gyrus rectus (GR) is located at the most medial margin of the inferior surface of the frontal lobe.  
247 Although its specific function remains unclear, clinical reports indicated that patients who received  
248 surgical removal of the gyrus rectus demonstrated temporary cognitive deficits, including a reduction  
249 in memory and personality changes (Joo et al., 2016). In addition, studies using resting-state functional  
250 connectivity technologies revealed that patients with distressful tinnitus demonstrated abnormal brain  
251 activities within the bilateral gyrus rectus (Ueyama et al., 2013; Ueyama et al., 2015). Furthermore,  
252 studies also revealed that the gyrus rectus demonstrated anatomical connections with the limbic system  
253 (Lan et al., 2022). Du and colleagues reported that the gyrus rectus demonstrated strong functional  
254 connectivity with the anterior, medial and posterior orbital gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, ventromedial  
255 prefrontal cortex, and the anterior cingulate cortex (Du et al., 2020).

256 Our findings revealed that subjects with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT) demonstrated reduced activity  
257 levels at the gyrus rectus compared to subjects with persistent tinnitus (PT). In addition, compared to  
258 the healthy control group (CN), subjects from both tinnitus groups demonstrated reduced activity levels  
259 at the gyrus rectus. Therefore, these results indicate that patients with recent-onset or persistent tinnitus  
260 might perceive a temporary cognitive decline due to disruptions at the gyrus rectus. Furthermore, for  
261 patients with recent-onset tinnitus (ROT), the level of disruption to cognitive processing from tinnitus  
262 might be higher than those with persistent tinnitus due to the novelty of tinnitus.

#### 263 **4.2 Reduced Activity in Dorsolateral Superior Frontal Gyrus (SFG) for Both Recent-onset** 264 **and Persistent Tinnitus Patient**

265 Both ALFF and ReHo analysis revealed a reduction in activity level at dorsolateral SFG on both sides  
266 for subjects with persistent or recent-onset tinnitus. Results did not reveal significant differences in  
267 dorsolateral SFG activities between the recent-onset tinnitus group (ROT) and the persistent tinnitus  
268 group (PT). The main functions of the dorsolateral SFG comprise top-down processing and cognitive  
269 functions, including working memory, episodic memory, goal-driven attention, planning, problem-  
270 solving, and task-switching. These findings imply dorsolateral SFG's role in the CEN manipulations  
271 (Kinoshita et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2016).

272 In addition, the dorsolateral SFG demonstrates functional connectivity with the Default Mode Network  
273 (DMN), especially the precuneus. Existing literature indicated that the DMN specialized in internally-  
274 oriented cognitive processes such as conceptual processing, daydreaming, and future planning  
275 (Cloutman & Lambon Ralph, 2012; Lin et al., 2017). Therefore, we suggest that the dorsolateral SFG  
276 regulates the interaction between the CEN and DMN. Reduced dorsolateral SFG activity might disrupt  
277 the CEN, eventually reducing patients' top-down attention-filtering capability. Furthermore, our results  
278 suggested that the overall duration of tinnitus does not contribute to reduced activity levels at left and  
279 right dorsolateral SFG. Tinnitus patients can perceive difficulties switching their attention away from  
280 the tinnitus, regardless of experiencing recent-onset or persistent tinnitus.

#### 281 **4.3 Reduced Functional Connectivity between Bilateral Dorsolateral Superior Frontal Gyrus** 282 **(SFG) and Medial Superior Frontal Gyrus (mSFG) in Both Recent-Onset and Persistent** 283 **Tinnitus Subjects**

284 Existing literature revealed that the medial SFG has anatomic connections with the cingulate cortex  
285 (mainly the anterior and medial section of the cingulate cortex, ACC & MCC) through the cingulum  
286 and that functional correlation with the MCC and the DMN (Nagahama et al., 1999). In addition, dense  
287 connections between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (including the SFG) and the ACC and

288 MCC have also been discovered in humans (Zhang et al., 2011; Cloutman & Lambon Ralph, 2012;  
289 Ueyama et al., 2013).

290 Moreover, the rs-FCs between the SFG, ACC, and MCC have been reported (Cloutman & Lambon  
291 Ralph, 2012; Yang et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2021). The anatomical and functional connections between  
292 the medial SFG and the anterior MCC suggest that the medial SFG is involved in cognitive control  
293 because the anterior part of the MCC has been related to cognitive control, including conflict  
294 monitoring, response selection, error detection, and attention manipulation. Additionally, the medial  
295 SFG demonstrates anatomic connections with the ACC, a core node of the DMN, and functional  
296 correlation with the DMN, suggesting that the medial SFG is critical for the DMN manipulation (Hu  
297 et al., 2021).

298 Our finding suggested that the overall duration of tinnitus onset does not play a role in generating  
299 functional connectivity differences within the left medial superior frontal gyrus. Nevertheless, subjects  
300 from the recent-onset (ROT) and persistent (PT) tinnitus group demonstrated reduced functional  
301 connectivity between the bilateral dorsolateral SFG and left medial superior frontal gyrus compared to  
302 the healthy control group (CN). As a result, we propose that reduced functional connectivity between  
303 the dorsolateral SFG and the medial SFG disrupts DMN regulation, further reducing patients' ability  
304 to manipulate attention. Furthermore, this significant change within the top-down attention-regulating  
305 mechanism increases tinnitus perception, regardless of the overall duration of tinnitus onset.

#### 306 **4.4 Functional Connectivity Abnormality between Bilateral Dorsolateral Superior Frontal** 307 **Gyrus (SFG) and Right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG) in Both Recent-Onset and** 308 **Persistent Tinnitus Subjects**

309 As a critical component of the ventral attention network (VAN), the right middle frontal gyrus (MFG)  
310 served as a convergence center for the DAN and the VAN by working as a circuit-breaker to interrupt  
311 ongoing endogenous attentional processes in the DAN and reorient attention to an exogenous stimulus  
312 (Japee et al., 2015; Briggs et al., 2021). Furthermore, the right MFG actively engages when reorienting  
313 to distinctive signals from unexpected locations (Carter et al., 2006).

314 Our findings revealed reduced functional connectivity between the dorsolateral SFG and the right MFG.  
315 This change could lead to disruption between VAN and the DAN, which is influential for attention  
316 orientation to novel stimuli. This conclusion agrees with the typical description from the tinnitus  
317 patients that they unconsciously perceive their tinnitus to be more prominent in quieter situations,  
318 regardless of tinnitus duration (Xu et al., 2019).

319 In addition, we also discovered that subjects with tinnitus developed within six months (ROT group)  
320 demonstrated statistically higher functional connectivity than subjects with persistent tinnitus (PT  
321 group). Persistent tinnitus subjects (PT) also demonstrated higher THQ, SAS, and SDS scores than the  
322 recent-onset subjects (ROT). This result indicated that tinnitus patients would experience more  
323 difficulties reorienting their attention away from tinnitus once it lasted longer than six months (from  
324 recent-onset to persistent).

#### 325 **4.5 Reduced Functional Connectivity between Bilateral Dorsolateral Superior Frontal Gyrus** 326 **(SFG) and Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG) in Both Recent-Onset and Persistent Tinnitus** 327 **Subjects**

328 The main functions of the SFG comprise spontaneous attention regulation and top-down processing.  
329 Existing literature suggested that the SPG became more active during a task-free resting state. Since

330 the SFG acts as a critical component of the superior parietal lobule (SPL), the SPG demonstrates a  
331 strong connection with the occipital lobe and involves somatosensory and visuospatial stimuli  
332 integration, written language, and working memory (Berlucchi & Vallar, 2018). Existing literature also  
333 reported SPG's implications in shifting attention between visual targets and spatial-related attention  
334 shifts state (Lin et al., 2021). Our findings revealed no significant difference between the functional  
335 connectivity level between the ROT and PT group. However, both groups demonstrated a reduced  
336 functional connectivity level compared to the healthy control group (CN). Thus, this finding indicated  
337 that reduced functional connectivity between the dorsolateral SFG and the SPG could disrupt tinnitus  
338 patients' working memory, regardless of tinnitus duration.

#### 339 **4.6 Clinical Significance of Our Findings in Tinnitus Management**

340 Existing literature indicated that the level of tinnitus distress within six months of initial onset predicts  
341 the long-term level of tinnitus distress in patients after six months of onset. Patients who perceive  
342 higher levels of disruption from tinnitus are more likely to develop persistent and debilitating tinnitus.  
343 Multiple findings from our study indicated that patients with recent-onset tinnitus demonstrate the  
344 reduced capability of top-down attention and stimuli monitoring and orientations. Therefore, clinicians  
345 should provide effective tinnitus management strategies for patients with recent-onset tinnitus  
346 (Kleinstäuber and Weise, 2020).

347 Considering that the cause of tinnitus can be multifactorial, there is no resolute treatment plan for  
348 tinnitus. Nevertheless, clinicians can still effectively manage tinnitus with the help of multidisciplinary  
349 options. According to the clinical practice guideline for tinnitus from the American Academy of  
350 Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, patient education and counseling, hearing amplification,  
351 sound therapy, and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) should be implemented individually or in  
352 combination for tinnitus management (Tunkel et al., 2014; Zenner et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2021; Osuji,  
353 2021).

#### 354 **5 Conclusion**

355 Our project indicated a reduced activity level within the dorsolateral SFG (left and right) and gyrus  
356 rectus, using ALFF and ReHo analyses. Patients with persistent tinnitus demonstrated a higher activity  
357 level in the gyrus rectus than patients with recent-onset tinnitus. Furthermore, our follow-up Voxel-  
358 Wise functional connectivity revealed decreased connection activity between the dorsolateral SFG (left  
359 and right) and right Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG), right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG), and left medial  
360 Superior Frontal Gyrus (mSFG) for subjects with recent-onset (ROT) and persistent tinnitus (PT),  
361 compared to the healthy control group. Patients with recent-onset tinnitus demonstrate a higher level  
362 of functional connectivity than those with persistent tinnitus. Our data suggested that patients with  
363 persistent tinnitus are more likely to experience difficulties in external stimuli monitoring and attention  
364 reorientation than patients with recent-onset tinnitus. In addition, patients who perceive higher levels  
365 of disruption from tinnitus are more likely to develop persistent and debilitating tinnitus. Therefore,  
366 we strongly recommend that clinicians implement effective tinnitus management strategies for patients  
367 with recent-onset tinnitus as soon as possible.

368

369

370

371 **Conflict of Interest**

372 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
373 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

374 **Author Contributions**

375 **Haoliang Du**<sup>1</sup>: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing-Original  
376 Draft Preparation. **Xu Feng**<sup>1†</sup>: Resources, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing.  
377 **Xiaoyun Qian**<sup>2</sup>: Supervision, Project administration, Writing - Review & Editing, Funding acquisition.  
378 **Jian Zhang**<sup>3</sup>: Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Formal Analysis. **Bin Liu**<sup>4</sup>: Resources,  
379 Software, Validation, Formal Analysis. **Ao Li**<sup>5</sup>: Funding Support, Resources, Validation. **Xia Gao**\*:  
380 Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition. **Zhichun Huang**\*: Supervision, Project  
381 administration, Funding acquisition

382 All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

383 **Funding**

384 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81970884); National  
385 Natural Science Foundation of China youth Science Foundation (82101223); The Project of  
386 Invigorating Health Care through Science, Technology and Education (ZDXKB2016015); and The  
387 Fellowship of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M681561).

388 **Acknowledgments**

389 We would like to express our most sincere appreciation to Dr. Xia Gao and Dr. Zhichun Huang for  
390 their supervision, guidance, and encouragement throughout this project. We would also like to extend  
391 our gratitude to the Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery and the Department of  
392 Radiology at Nanjing Zhongda Hospital, affiliated with Southeast University, for graciously sharing  
393 precious clinical data with us. All authors are supported by The Project of Invigorating Health Care  
394 through Science, Technology, and Education.

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404 **Reference**

- 405 Baguley, D., McFerran, D. & Hall, D., 2013. Tinnitus. *The Lancet*, 382(9904), pp.1600–1607.
- 406 Berlucchi, G. & Vallar, G., 2018. The history of the neurophysiology and neurology of the parietal  
407 lobe. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, pp.3–30.
- 408 Boisgueheneuc, F.d. et al., 2006. Functions of the left superior frontal gyrus in humans: A lesion  
409 study. *Brain*, 129(12), pp.3315–3328.
- 410 Briggs, R.G. et al., 2021. Anatomy and white matter connections of the middle frontal gyrus. *World*  
411 *Neurosurgery*, 150.
- 412 Carter, R.M.K. et al., 2006. Contingency awareness in human aversive conditioning involves the  
413 middle frontal gyrus. *NeuroImage*, 29(3), pp.1007–1012.
- 414 Cai, Y. et al., 2020. Aberrant functional and causal connectivity in recent-onset tinnitus with  
415 sensorineural hearing loss. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 14.
- 416 Chen, Y.-C. et al., 2015. Frequency-specific alternations in the amplitude of low-frequency  
417 fluctuations in chronic tinnitus. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, 9.
- 418 Chen, Y.-C. et al., 2016. Disrupted Brain functional network architecture in chronic tinnitus patients.  
419 *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 8.
- 420 Chen, Y.-C., Xia, W., Chen, H., Feng, Y., Xu, J.-J., Gu, J.-P., Salvi, R. and Yin, X. (2017). Tinnitus  
421 distress is linked to enhanced resting-state functional connectivity from the limbic system to the  
422 auditory cortex. *Human Brain Mapping*, 38(5), pp.2384–2397. doi:10.1002/hbm.23525.
- 423 Chen, Y.-C. et al., 2018. Tinnitus distress is associated with enhanced resting-state functional  
424 connectivity within the Default Mode Network. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, Volume  
425 14, pp.1919–1927.
- 426 Cloutman, LL & Lambon Ralph, MA, 2012. Connectivity-based structural and functional  
427 parcellation of the human cortex using diffusion imaging and Tractography. *Frontiers in*  
428 *Neuroanatomy*, 6.
- 429 Du, J., Rolls, E., Cheng, W., Li, Y., Gong, W., Qiu, J. and Feng, J., 2020. Functional connectivity of  
430 the orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and inferior frontal gyrus in humans. *Cortex*, 123,  
431 pp.185-199.
- 432 Du, H., Xu, F., Qian, X., Zhang, J., Liu, B., Huang, Z., Gao, X. (2022) ‘Hearing Loss and Tinnitus:  
433 Uncover the Mechanism of Tinnitus using Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging  
434 (rs-fMRI) Technologies’. Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Affiliated Drum  
435 Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Jiangsu Provincial Key Medical Discipline  
436 (Laboratory). Unpublished manuscript.
- 437 Feng, Y. et al., 2018. Increased resting-state cerebellar-cerebral functional connectivity underlying  
438 chronic tinnitus. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 10.

- 439 Fuller, T. et al., 2020. Cognitive behavioural therapy for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*  
440 *Reviews*, 2020(1).
- 441 Gentil, A. et al., 2019. Alterations in regional homogeneity in patients with unilateral chronic  
442 tinnitus. *Trends in Hearing*, 23, p.233121651983023.
- 443 Holgers, K.-M., Erlandsson, S.I. and Barrenäs, M.-L. (2000). Predictive Factors for the Severity of  
444 Tinnitus: Factores predictivos de la severidad del tinnitus. *International Journal of Audiology*, 39(5),  
445 pp.284–291. doi:10.3109/00206090009073093.
- 446 Hu, J. et al., 2021. The neural mechanisms of tinnitus: A perspective from functional magnetic  
447 resonance imaging. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15.
- 448 Hu, S. et al., 2016. The right superior frontal gyrus and individual variation in proactive control of  
449 impulsive response. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(50), pp.12688–12696.
- 450 Japee, S. et al., 2015. A role of right middle frontal gyrus in reorienting of attention: A case study.  
451 *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 9.
- 452 Kapolowicz, M.R. & Thompson, L.T., 2020. Plasticity in limbic regions at early time points in  
453 experimental models of tinnitus. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 13.
- 454 Knipper, M. et al., 2021. Too blind to see the Elephant? why neuroscientists ought to be interested in  
455 tinnitus. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology*, 22(6), pp.609–621.
- 456 Khan, R.A. et al., 2021. A large-scale diffusion imaging study of tinnitus and hearing loss. *Scientific*  
457 *Reports*, 11(1).
- 458 Kleinstäuber, M. and Weise, C. (2020). Psychosocial Variables That Predict Chronic and Disabling  
459 Tinnitus: A Systematic Review. *The Behavioral Neuroscience of Tinnitus*, pp.361–380.  
460 doi:10.1007/7854\_2020\_213.
- 461 Kinoshita, M. et al., 2012. Association fibers connecting the Broca Center and the lateral superior  
462 frontal gyrus: A microsurgical and Tractographic Anatomy. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 116(2),  
463 pp.323–330.
- 464 Kuk, F.K. et al., 1990. Tinnitus handicap questionnaire. PsycTESTS Dataset.
- 465 Joo, M.S., Park, D.S., Moon, C.T., Chun, Y.I., Song, SW and Roh, H.G., 2016. Relationship between  
466 Gyrus Rectus Resection and Cognitive Impairment after Surgery for Ruptured Anterior  
467 Communicating Artery Aneurysms. *Journal of Cerebrovascular and Endovascular Neurosurgery*,  
468 18(3), pp.223-228.
- 469 Lan, L., Li, J., Chen, Y., Chen, W., Li, W., Zhao, F., Chen, G., Liu, J., Chen, Y., Li, Y., Wang, C.,  
470 Zheng, Y. and Cai, Y. (2020). Alterations of brain activity and functional connectivity in transition  
471 from recent-onset to chronic tinnitus. *Human Brain Mapping*, 42(2), pp.485–494.  
472 doi:10.1002/hbm.25238.
- 473 Lan, L., Chen, Y.-C., Shang, S., Lu, L., Xu, J.-J., Yin, X., Wu, Y. and Cai, Y. (2022). Topological  
474 features of limbic dysfunction in chronicity of tinnitus with intact hearing: New hypothesis for

- 475 ‘noise-cancellation’ mechanism. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*,  
476 113, p.110459. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110459.
- 477 Lee, M.H., Smyser, C.D. & Shimony, J.S., 2012. Resting-state fmri: A review of methods and  
478 clinical applications. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 34(10), pp.1866–1872.
- 479 Li, W. et al., 2013. Subregions of the human superior frontal gyrus and their connections.  
480 *NeuroImage*, 78, pp.46–58.
- 481 Lin, P. et al., 2017. Dynamic default mode network across different brain states. *Scientific Reports*,  
482 7(1).
- 483 Lin, Y.-H. et al., 2021. Anatomy and white matter connections of the superior parietal lobule.  
484 *Operative Neurosurgery*, 21(3).
- 485 Liu, H. et al., 2021. Efficacy of sound therapy interventions for tinnitus management. *Medicine*,  
486 100(41).
- 487 Lv, H. et al., 2018. Resting-state functional MRI: Everything that nonexperts have always wanted to  
488 know. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*.
- 489 Makar, S.K. (2021). Etiology and Pathophysiology of Tinnitus - A Systematic Review. *The*  
490 *International Tinnitus Journal*, 25(1). doi:10.5935/0946-5448.20210015.
- 491 Minami, S.B. et al., 2018. Auditory related resting state fmri functional connectivity in tinnitus  
492 patients: Tinnitus diagnosis performance. *Otology & Neurotology*, 39(1), pp.1–5.
- 493 Mier, W. & Mier, D., 2015. Advantages in functional imaging of the brain. *Frontiers in Human*  
494 *Neuroscience*, 9.
- 495 Nagahama, Y. et al., 1999. Transient neural activity in the medial superior frontal gyrus and  
496 precuneus time locked with attention shift between object features. *NeuroImage*, 10(2), pp.193–199.
- 497 Osuji, A.E., 2021. Tinnitus, use and evaluation of sound therapy, current evidence and area of future  
498 tinnitus research. *The International Tinnitus Journal*, 25(1).
- 499 Roberts, L.E. et al., 2010. Ringing ears: The neuroscience of tinnitus. *Journal of Neuroscience*,  
500 30(45), pp.14972–14979.
- 501 Schmidt, S.A. et al., 2013. Default mode, dorsal attention and auditory resting state networks exhibit  
502 differential functional connectivity in tinnitus and hearing loss. *PLoS ONE*, 8(10).
- 503 Schmidt, S.A., Carpenter-Thompson, J. & Husain, F.T., 2017. Connectivity of precuneus to the  
504 default mode and dorsal attention networks: A possible invariant marker of long-term tinnitus.  
505 *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 16, pp.196–204.
- 506 Soares, J.M. et al., 2016. A hitchhiker’s guide to functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Frontiers in*  
507 *Neuroscience*, 10.
- 508 Stolzberg, D., Hayes, S.H., Kashanian, N., Radziwon, K., Salvi, R.J. and Allman, B.L. (2013). A  
509 novel behavioral assay for the assessment of recent-onset tinnitus in rats optimized for simultaneous

- 510 recording of oscillatory neural activity. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 219(2), pp.224–232.  
511 doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.07.021.
- 512 Szibor, A., Mäkitie, A. and Aarnisalo, A.A. (2019). Tinnitus and suicide: An unresolved relation.  
513 *Audiology Research*, 9(1). doi:10.4081/audiores.2019.222.
- 514 Tunkel, D.E. et al., 2014. Clinical practice guideline. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery*,  
515 151(2\_suppl).
- 516 Ueyama, T. et al., 2013. Brain regions responsible for tinnitus distress and loudness: A resting-state  
517 fmri study. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6).
- 518 Ueyama, T., Donishi, T., Ukai, S., Yamamoto, Y., Ishida, T., Tamagawa, S., Hotomi, M., Shinosaki,  
519 K., Yamanaka, N. and Kaneoke, Y. (2015). Alterations of Regional Cerebral Blood Flow in Tinnitus  
520 Patients as Assessed Using Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9),  
521 p.e0137291. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137291.
- 522 Xu, J.-J., Cui, J., Feng, Y., Yong, W., Chen, H., Chen, Y.-C., Yin, X. and Wu, Y. (2019). Chronic  
523 Tinnitus Exhibits Bidirectional Functional Dysconnectivity in Frontostriatal Circuit. *Frontiers in*  
524 *Neuroscience*, 13. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.01299.
- 525 Yang, H. et al., 2014. Regional homogeneity on resting state fmri in patients with tinnitus. *Journal of*  
526 *Otology*, 9(4), pp.173–178.
- 527 Yakunina, N. and Nam, E.-C. (2020). What Makes Tinnitus Loud? *Otology & Neurotology*, 42(2),  
528 pp.235–241. doi:10.1097/mao.0000000000002932.
- 529 Zenner, H.-P. et al., 2016. A multidisciplinary systematic review of the treatment for chronic  
530 idiopathic tinnitus. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*, 274(5), pp.2079–2091.
- 531 Zhang, S., Ide, JS & Li, C.-shan R., 2011. Resting-state functional connectivity of the medial  
532 superior frontal cortex. *Cerebral cortex*, 22(1), pp.99–111.
- 533 Zhou, G.-P. et al., 2019. Disrupted intraregional brain activity and functional connectivity in  
534 unilateral recent-onset tinnitus patients with hearing loss. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 13.
- 535 Zung, W.W., 1971. Self-rating anxiety scale. PsycTESTS Dataset.
- 536 Zung, W.W., 1986. Zung self-rating depression scale and Depression Status Inventory. Assessment  
537 of Depression, pp.221–231.
- 538
- 539
- 540
- 541
- 542

543 **Tables**

544 **Table 1**

545 Subject Characteristics of the Recent-onset Tinnitus Group (ROT), Persistent Tinnitus Group (PT), and  
 546 Control Group (CN)

	<b>ROT Group</b>	<b>PT Group</b>	<b>CN Group</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<i>Age (Year)</i>	45.32±2.93	42.68±2.33	37.38±1.84	>0.05
<i>Gender (Male: Female)</i>	14:11	14:14	20:9	>0.05
<i>Education Duration (Year)</i>	9.83±2.11	9.22±1.96	10.12±2.43	>0.05
<i>THQ Total Score</i>	40.67±3.89	44.97±4.27	--	<0.05
<i>SAS Score</i>	35.12±1.07	37.57±1.51	--	<0.05
<i>SDS Score</i>	37.96±1.85	39.06±2.12	--	<0.05

547 Data are represented as Mean ± SD

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560 **Table 2a** Decreased ALFF activities in both Recent-onset Tinnitus (ROT) and Persistent Tinnitus  
 561 (PT) with than in the control group (CN)

Cluster number	Cluster size (Voxel)	Peak MNI coordinate			Peak MNI coordinate region	F Value	T Value Difference between ROT and PT	T Value Difference between ROT and CN	T Value Difference between PT and CN
		X	Y	Z					
1	25	-12	45	-15	Left Gyrus Rectus	14.36	-2.93	-5.18	-2.25
2	49	-15	54	42	Left Dorsolateral SFG	15.79	No significant difference	-4.60	-4.34
3	73	15	54	45	Right Dorsolateral SFG	13.85	No significant difference	-3.86	-4.26

562

563 **Table 2b** Decreased ReHo activities in both Recent-onset Tinnitus (ROT) and Persistent Tinnitus  
 564 (PT) with than in the control group (CN)

Cluster number	Cluster size (voxels)	Peak MNI coordinate			Peak MNI coordinate region	F Value	T Value Difference between ROT and PT	T Value Difference between ROT and CN	T Value Difference between PT and CN
		X	Y	Z					
1	160	39	45	39	Right Dorsolateral SFG	10.02	No significant difference	-4.06	-4.77

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572 **Table 3a** Decreased activities in Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity (FC) ALFF cluster 2 for both  
 573 Recent-onset Tinnitus (ROT) and Persistent Tinnitus (PT) groups than in the control group (CN)

Cluster number	Cluster size (voxels)	Peak MNI coordinate			Peak MNI coordinate region	F Value	T Value Difference between ROT and PT	T Value Difference between ROT and CN	T Value Difference between PT and CN
		X	Y	Z					
1	150	45	-51	60	Right Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG)	14.86	No significant difference	-3.78	-4.66
2	83	6	15	72	Right Dorsolateral SFG	14.98	No significant difference	-3.62	-3.84
3	43	9	54	48	Left Superior Medial Frontal Gyrus	13.44	No significant difference	-3.36	-4.23

574

575 **Table 3b** Decreased activities in Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity (FC) ALFF cluster 3 for both  
 576 Recent-onset Tinnitus (ROT) and Persistent Tinnitus (PT) groups than in the control group (CN)

Cluster number	Cluster size (voxels)	Peak MNI coordinate			Peak MNI coordinate region	F Value	T Value Difference between ROT and PT	T Value Difference between ROT and CN	T Value Difference between PT and CN
		X	Y	Z					
1	132	39	42	39	Right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG)	14.86	3.18	-4.58	-5.09
2	46	-3	42	57	Left Medial Superior Frontal Gyrus	14.98	No significant difference	-3.82	-4.60
3	93	36	-57	66	Right Superior Parietal Gyrus	13.44	No significant difference	-4.36	-4.07

577

578

579

580 **Table 3c** Decreased activities in Voxel-Wise Functional Connectivity (ReHo Cluster 1) for both  
 581 Recent-onset Tinnitus (ROT) and Persistent Tinnitus (PT) groups than in the control group (CN)

Cluster number	Cluster size (voxels)	Peak MNI coordinate			Peak MNI coordinate region	F Value	T Value Difference between ROT and PT	T Value Difference between ROT and CN	T Value Difference between PT and CN
		X	Y	Z					
1	120	48	30	36	Right Middle Frontal Gyrus (MFG)	14.86	3.89	-2.79	-5.00
2	80	15	51	48	Right Dorsolateral Superior Frontal Gyrus (SFG)	14.98	No significant difference	-4.17	-4.66
3	96	36	-51	66	Right Superior Parietal Gyrus (SPG)	13.44	No significant difference	-3.31	-4.49

582