1	Mutations	within	the cGMP	-binding	domain	of	CNGA1	causing

- 2 autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa in human and animal model
- 3 Surabhi Kandaswamy^{1,2,3}, Lena Zobel⁴, Bina John⁵, Sathiyaveedu
- 4 Thyagarajan Santhiya¹, Jacqueline Bogedein^{4,6}, Gerhard K.H. Przemeck^{7,8},
- 5 Valérie Gailus-Durner⁷, Helmut Fuchs⁷, Martin Biel⁶, Martin Hrabě de
- 6 Angelis^{7,8,9}, Jochen Graw^{2*}, Stylianos Michalakis⁴, Oana Veronica Amarie⁷
- 7 ¹Dr. ALM PG IBMS, University of Madras, Taramani Campus, Chennai –
- 8 600 113, India.
- 9 ²Institute of Developmental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München,
- 10 German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.
- ³present address: Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, School of
- 12 Biological Sciences, The University of Manchester, St Mary's Hospital,
- 13 Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9WL.
- 14
- ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-
- 16 Universität München, Munich, Germany.
- ⁵Rajan Eye Care Hospital, T Nagar, Chennai, India.
- 18 ⁶Department of Pharmacy Center for Drug Research, Ludwig-
- 19 Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany.
- ²⁰ ⁷Institute of Experimental Genetics and German Mouse Clinic, Helmholtz
- 21 Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health,
- 22 Neuherberg, Germany.
- ⁸German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Ingolstädter Landstr. 1,
- 24 85764 Neuherberg, Germany.
- 25 ⁹Chair of Experimental Genetics, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technische
- 26 Universität München, Alte Akademie 8, 85354 Freising, Germany.

^{*} Retired, ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-0298-9660

28

29 Corresponding Authors

- 30 Oana Veronica Amarie (ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-1705-6812), +49-89 3187-
- 31 4478; <u>oana-veronica.amarie@helmholtz-muenchen.de</u>; Surabhi
- 32 Kandaswamy (ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-6336-1722);
- 33 surabhi.kandaswamy@gmail.com; Stylianos Michalakis (ORCID-ID: 0000-
- 34 0001-5092-9238); stylianos.michalakis@med.lmu.de
- 35

36 ABSTRACT

37 Retinitis pigmentosa is a group of progressive inherited retinal dystrophies 38 that may present clinically as part of a syndromic entity or as an isolated 39 (nonsyndromic) manifestation. In a family suffering from retinitis 40 pigmentosa, we identified a missense variation in CNGA1 affecting the 41 cyclic nucleotide binding domain (CNBD) and characterized a mouse model 42 developed with mutated CNBD. A gene panel analysis comprising 105 43 known RP genes was used to analyze a family with autosomal-recessive 44 retinitis pigmentosa (arRP) and revealed that CNGA1 was affected. From 45 sperm samples of ENU mutagenesis derived F_1 mice, we re-derived a 46 mutant with a Cngal mutation. Homozygous mutant mice, developing 47 retinal degeneration, were examined for morphological and functional 48 consequences of the mutation. In the family, we identified a rare CNGA1 49 variant (NM_001379270.1) c.1525G>A; (p.Gly509Arg), which co-50 segregated among the affected family members. Homozygous Cngal mice 51 harboring a (ENSMUST0000087213.12) c.1526A>G (p.Tyr509Cys) 52 mutation showed progressive degeneration in the retinal photoreceptors 53 from 8 weeks on. This study supports a role for CNGA1 as a disease gene 54 for arRP and provides new insights on the pathobiology of cGMP-binding 55 domain mutations in CNGA1-RP.

56 Keywords: CNGA1, retinitis pigmentosa, autosomal recessive, rod-

57 degeneration, mouse model

58

59 INTRODUCTION

- 60 Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a group of Inherited Retinal
- 61 Degeneration/Dystrophies (IRD), with a global prevalence of 1 in 3000 –
- 62 7000 (1). RP is characterized by abnormalities in the photoreceptors (rods
- 63 and cones) or the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) with all types of
- 64 inheritance patterns documented. RP can occur either as isolated or as
- 65 syndrome with the involvement of other organs such as the associated
- 66 hearing loss in USHER syndrome. About 90 genes are known until date to
- 67 cause RP (Retnet database <u>http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/retnet/</u>). Most of the
- 68 gene variants in RP are directly associated with the phototransduction
- 69 cascade, such as *RHO* (rhodopsin), which are known to cause 25-30% of
- 70 adRP. Phototransduction begins with the detection of light photons by
- 71 rhodopsin, and this triggers several signaling steps that eventually convert
- 72 the light signal into an electrical signal being transmitted to the brain. Key
- rd steps of this downstream signaling are mediated by proteins encoded by
- 74 genes linked to RP. This list includes genes encoding for the subunits of rod
- 75 phosphodiesterase (PDE6A and PDE6B) and rod cyclic nucleotide gated
- 76 (CNG) channel (*CNGA1* and *CNGB1*). *CNGA1* encodes the A (or alpha)
- subunit of the rod CNG channel, which is a heterotetrameric channel
- 78 complex formed by three CNGA1 and one CNGB1 subunits; its structure
- has been recently solved (2). The rod CNG channel along with CNGB1
- 80 forms a cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)-gated cation channel
- 81 found in the rod photoreceptor outer segment plasma membrane (3). Each
- 82 CNG channel subunit consist of six transmembrane domains, and both, the
- 83 N-terminal and the C-terminal domain, are in the cytoplasm (2). While the
- 84 A subunit is essential for the principle formation of a functional cGMP-

- 85 gated channel, the B subunit is important for transport of the channel to the
- 86 plasma membrane of the rod outer segment and confers specific properties
- 87 to the channel complex such as rapid on-off kinetics and sensitivity to the
- 88 pharmacological inhibitor L-cis-diltiazem (4,5).
- 89 In the present study we report a rare variant (c.1525G>A; p.Gly509Arg) of
- 90 the *CNGA1* gene in a family suffering from arRP. Animal models for retinal
- 91 degeneration usually provide insights into pathological mechanism of
- 92 disease progression and assist in designing therapeutic strategies. We re-
- derived a *Cnga1* (c1526A>G; pTyr509Cys) mouse mutant from the ENU
- 94 archive (6). This mutation falls within the same protein domain as the one
- 95 observed in the human family. We report herein the retinal degeneration by
- 96 a longitudinal morphological and physiological analysis.

97

98 MATERIALS AND METHODS

99 Clinical diagnosis and case recruitment

100 A male in his early 20s was registered with a complaint of night blindness at 101 the retinal unit of the Eye Care Hospital. Detailed case history was recorded 102 through a questionnaire designed for the study. Pedigree history revealed 103 multiple affected family members including proband's grandparent and two 104 relatives. The study protocol was in accordance with the ethical guidelines 105 of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent regarding 106 publishing of their data and photographs, was obtained from all participants 107 and study subjects were anonymized by specific internal codes. The study 108 involving human subjects was approved by the Madras Medical College 109 Institutional Ethical committee review board and all participants have 110 signed a written informed consent when recruited (Approval no -111 29022013).

- 112 Molecular analysis
 - 4

. .

.. .

113	To dissect the molecular pathology of the affected family, targeted retinal
114	panel sequencing (TRPS) covering 105 genes involved in retinal dystrophies
115	was performed at Medgenomics (Kochi, India); it included DNA library
116	preparation, enrichment capture of exonic regions of the selected 105 genes
117	(Supplementary table 1), cluster amplification, sample run on the Illumina
118	Hiseq platform, and generation of raw data for further analysis. The data
119	generated by TRPS were annotated and filtered based on the positive indels
120	(above 35%), zygosity (homozygous/heterozygous), and analysis in the
121	context of the disease (clinical documentation and phenotype) applying
122	ACMG Criteria (7). The putative disease-causing variant was crosschecked
123	among affected family members, among available unaffected family
124	members and 120 unrelated control subjects of same ethnicity using custom-
125	designed primers (Supplementary table 2) by direct sequencing.

Structural comparison of CNGA1 wild-type protein versus mutant proteins (Human – CNGA1^{Gly509Arg}; Mouse- CNGA1^{Tyr509Cys})

128 Structural models of CNGA1 proteins were generated using the 129 RoseTTAfold deep learning algorithm (8) available at 130 https://robetta.bakerlab.org/. The protein data bank (PDB) file 7RHH (9) 131 was used as template structure. Sequences of human CNGA1 wild-type and 132 respective mutant were obtained and based on protein isoform 2 133 (NP_000078.3). Sequences of mouse CNGA1 wild-type and respective 134 mutant were based on NP_031749.2. Sequences were obtained from NCBI. 135 Since the published sequence/structure is shorter than the known protein 136 sequences, the wild-type and mutant sequences of both human and mouse 137 CNGA1 were truncated accordingly. The generated 3D models were 138 UCSF visualized using the Chimera software 139 (https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/).

140

....

141 Generation of *Cnga1*^{Y509C} homozygous mice

142	ENU mutagenesis was performed as described previously (10). Briefly, ten-
143	week-old C3HeB/FeJ male mice were injected intraperitoneal with ENU
144	(three doses of 90 mg/kg in weekly intervals). First generation (F1) founder
145	male mice were cryo-archived by their sperm and spleen-derived DNA
146	samples. The DNA archive was screened for variants in the Cngal gene
147	affecting the cytoplasmic domain. The respective sperm sample was used to
148	generate mice by in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer. The mutation
149	was confirmed through PCR-based direct sequencing with custom-designed
150	primers Cnga1Ex9L1: 5'-TGAGAGAGAGAGAGTCCTGAGATACC-3' and
151	Cnga1Ex9R1: 5'-TGAGGTCATCTTTGGAGAGGC-3' (Supplementary
152	table 2). We established the Cnga1 mutant line by repeated outcrossing with
153	C57BL/6J mice to eliminate unwanted ENU mutations and the Pde6b
154	mutation present in the C3HeB/FeJ genetic background (11). Mice were
155	kept in pathogen-free quarters under a 12 h light/dark cycle and had ad
156	libitum access to chow diet and water. All animal experiments were
157	conducted in accordance with the German Law of Animal Protection and
158	were approved by the government of upper Bavaria (Approval no - 55.2-1-
159	54-2532-80-16).

160 Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography

161 Optical coherence tomography was performed with an SD-OCT system 162 (Spectralis®, HRA+OCT Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) as 163 described by Pawliczek et al. (12) and Schön et al. (13). Retinal sagittal 164 sections were obtained along horizontal meridian, centered on the optic 165 nerve. In addition, fundus BluePeak autofluorescence (BAF) and OCT 166 angiography images (OCTA) were recorded. Mice were anaesthetized with 167 ketamine (100 mg/kg)/xylazine (10 mg/kg) for the in vivo imaging and for 168 the retinal function test. Mice were sacrificed by carbon dioxide.

169 Electroretinography

170	Longitudinal ERG was performed in anesthetized animals ($n = 8-14$ per age
171	group) after overnight dark adaptation using Celeris (Diagnosys LLC,
172	Littleton, USA), as previously described by Wagner et al. (14). Briefly,
173	pupils were dilated, and light guide electrodes were placed on both eyes
174	centrally. Dark-adapted single flash intensity and flicker frequency series
175	data were recorded. Stimuli of different light intensities were applied to the
176	eyes, and the responses were recorded by the ERG device. Seven different
177	stimuli ranging from 0.01 to 10 cd s $m^{\text{-}2}$ were used for single flash
178	measurements, which were based on the International Society for Clinical
179	Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standardized protocol for clinical
180	dark-adapted ERG recordings (15).

181 Western blot

- ---

182 Protein lysates were obtained from retinas of 1 month old (PM1) and 6 months old (PM6) wild-type and Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mice by disrupting the 183 184 tissue with a mixer mill and extracting the proteins in RIPA lysis buffer 185 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Equal amounts of proteins were separated 186 using 6-12 % SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blot analysis according to 187 standard procedures. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-(1µg/mL), 188 against CNGA1 custom-made the 19-mer Cys-189 RLTKVEKFLKPLIDTEFS-HN2, corresponding to 727-744 of human 190 CNGA1 (NP_001136036.1); rabbit anti-CNGB1 1µg/mL, #4678 (16), anti-191 β-actin-peroxidase 1:25000 (A3854, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, USA), 192 mouse anti-rabbit IgG-HRP 1:2000 (Sc-2357, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, 193 USA). Quantification was done using Image Lab Software Version 5.0 (Bio-194 Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany).

195 Histopathology

Eyes obtained from PM1, PM2 and PM4 controls and mutant mice were
fixed 24 hrs in Davidson solution, dehydrated in 100% ethanol for 3 times
(each for 15□min), embedded in Technovit® 8100 (Heraeus Kulzer,

Wehrheim, Germany) and kept for polymerization for 6-10 hours at 4°C.
Sagittal 2 μm sections through the middle of the eye ball were stained with
basic fuchsine and methylene blue (BF&Me). Slides were scanned
(NanoZoomer 2.0HT digital slide scanner, Hamamatsu, Japan) and taken
images were processed with Adobe Illustrator image-processing program
(17).

205 Immunohistochemistry

206 Immunohistochemical staining was performed on sagittal cryo-sections of 207 PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9 and PM12 retinas (13,16). We used the following 208 primary antibodies: rabbit anti-CNGA1 (1:3000), custom-made against the 209 peptide Cys-RLTKVEKFLKPLIDTEFS-HN2, corresponding to 727-744 of 210 human CNGA1 (NP_001136036.1), rabbit anti-CNGB1 1:5000, #4678 (16), 211 custom-made against the lectin anti-PNA FITC-conjugated 1:500 (#L7381, 212 Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, USA) and DAPI 1µg/mL. Laser scanning 213 confocal micrographs were collected using a Leica SP8 confocal system 214 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with the following lasers: 405, 448, 215 514, and 552 nm. Images were acquired as confocal z stacks using LAS X 216 software V3.5.1.18803 (Leica). Maximum projection (merging of all z 217 stacks) and background subtraction (value of 30) was performed using Fiji 218 ImageJ V2.1.0/1.53c software (18).

219

220 Real-Time quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR

Retinas were dissected and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus
Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). First-strand cDNA was synthesized
from equal amounts of RNA with the RevertAid First Strand cDNA
Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). RT-qPCR was
performed using the QuantStudioTM 5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied
Biosystems, Taufkirchen, Germany). PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix

227	(Applied Biosyst	ems) was use	d for quan	tification	of ampli	fied PCR
228	products using	g specific	primers	for	Cngal	(forward
229	5'-CTGTGAAGC	TGGTCTGTTC	GG-3';	rev	verse	5'-
230	TAACTGCCGTC	CACTCAACAC	-3'),	Cngb1	,	(forward
231	5'-TCTGAACAG	GTGTCAGGA	TGTT-3';			reverse
232	5'-CTGTTTCTG	GCTGTGGTCC	CT-3') a	nd m	ALAS	(forward
233	5'-TCGCCGATG	CCCATTCTTA	ATC-3';			reverse
234	5'-GGCCCCAAC	TTCCATCAT	CT-3').			

235 Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). Results are given as mean ± SEM or SD as indicated.
Measured values of p ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. It is defined precisely as follows: *p ≤ 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

240

241 RESULTS

242 Clinical and molecular analysis of the affected family

243 The male in his 20s patient had bilateral progressive loss of night vision. 244 Family history revealed autosomal recessive inheritance (Fig. 1A, full 245 pedigree can be requested from the corresponding author). Fundus 246 examination of the proband revealed pigmentary deposits in the periphery 247 and the macular region, attenuated arterioles and waxy pallor disc (Fig. 1B). 248 OCT examination revealed thinning of all retinal layers and a medium 249 reflective lumpy lesion from the RPE suggesting lipofuscin deposits 250 between the RPE and the photoreceptor layer. The surrounding black area 251 denotes the subretinal fluid collection (Fig. 1C). This family was clinically 252 diagnosed with early onset retinitis pigmentosa.

253	A rare homozygous variant in the gene CNGA1, (c.1525G>A; p.Gly509Arg
254	was documented by TRPS (Fig. 2A). In affected family members, PCR
255	based direct sequencing was resorted because no restriction site was
256	available. The variant co-segregate amongst affected family members of
257	proband, and two of his relatives, while the unaffected family members
258	(proband's parents, sibling, and the rest of the relatives were heterozygous
259	carriers (Supplementary-Fig1)). This variant co-segregates amongst affected
260	family members and is absent in 120 ethnically matched controls. The same
261	variant ((NM_000087.3) c.1537 G > A; p.Gly513Arg) was earlier reported
262	in association with another disease-causing variant as compound
263	heterozygous condition underlying autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa
264	in a Chinese family (19). Furthermore, this is also reported in the population
265	database at a very low frequency (gnomAD exomes -0.000008029
266	(2/249086); (gnomAD genomes - 0.00001972 (3/152130) and no
267	homozygotes were observed - Supplementary table 3). Both, the nucleotide
268	(PhyloP score-5.885 and PhastCons score-1) and amino acid, are highly
269	conserved across species (considering 14 species up to C. elegans) (Fig.2B).
270	In-silico tools (Supplementary table 3), as well as applying ACMG criteria,
271	predicted and classified this variant as pathogenic. To better visualize the
272	mutated amino acid in the channel context, we performed RosettaFold-based
273	modelling of wild-type and mutant CNGA1 proteins. Although RosettaFold
274	only models the protein, not the cGMP molecule, it revealed that the mutant
275	Arg509 (which is positioned at 509 in NP_001366199.1, p.Gly509Arg)
276	protrudes into the inner space of the cGMP binding pocket (in Fig.2 C:
277	compare the models with the cGMP-bound cryo-electron microscopy
278	structure). Given that Arg is a basic amino acid, and occupies more space
279	than Gly, which is neutral and allows for less flexibility of the amino acid
280	chain, this substitution is expected to alter the overall secondary structure of
281	the cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD) and the cGMP binding
282	pocket (Fig.2 C).

283 Generation of *Cnga1* mutant mice

284	From the DNA archive of ENU-induced mutant mice, we identified the
285	missense mutation c.1526 A>G (Fig.3 A, B) in the Cngal gene, leading to a
286	substitution of Tyr509 by Cys. Mouse Tyr509 corresponds to Tyr513 in the
287	human CNGA1 protein and thus affects a residue only 4 amino acids away
288	from the mutant Arg509 in the human patient. Polyphen-2 predicted a
289	probably damaging effect of this mutation (score 1.000), because this
290	Tyr509 residue is highly conserved across a variety of species. Again, we
291	performed RosettaFold-based modelling to visualize the wild-type and
292	mutant CNGA1 proteins and again observed a slightly changed CNBD and
293	cGMP binding pocket structure (Fig. 3 C).

294 Rod CNG channel expression in *Cnga1* mutant mice

295 We first analysed the effect of the mutation on the expression of the rod 296 CNG channel homozygous Cngal mutants. To determine the expression 297 pattern of CNGA1, we immunolabeled retinal cross-sections of wild-type 298 and Cngal mutant mice for CNGA1 and CNGB1 proteins. In the wild-type 299 retina, we observed a strong and specific immunofluorescence signal for 300 both CNGA1 and CNGB1 in rod outer segments of one-month-old (PM1) 301 mice (Fig. 4 A, D). In contrast, under the same imaging conditions, no 302 CNGA1 signal was observed in the Cnga1 mutant retina (Fig. 4 B). In six-303 month-old (PM6) mice, the CNGA1 immunosignal was also absent, in 304 addition to a marked thinning of the outer nuclear layer (Fig. 4 C). 305 Interestingly, the mutant retinas were also immunonegative for CNGB1 306 indicating degradation of the CNGB1 protein in the absence of CNGA1 307 (Fig. 4 E - F). Western blot analysis on retinal lysates detected the corresponding proteins in the wild type and confirmed the reduction of both 308 309 CNG channel subunits in the mutant (Fig. 4 G – I, uncropped Western blots 310 in Supplementary Figure 2). Analysis of the corresponding gene expression 311 by qRT-PCR revealed similar levels of Cngal mRNA transcript at 1 month

of age and significantly decreased levels at PM6, when substantial ONL loss
had occurred (Fig. 4 J). The levels of *Cngb1* mRNA were already slightly
downregulated at PM1 and further decreased at PM6 (Fig. 4 K). These
findings suggest that the *Cnga1* mutation does not impair principle gene
expression and most likely exerts its negative effect at the protein level.

317 Retinal function in *Cnga1* mice

318 Next, we assessed retinal function in *Cngal* mutant mice by 319 electroretinography (ERG). Mice were evaluated starting at postnatal week 320 3 (PW3), PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9 and PM12 to track functional changes over 321 time. Scotopic and photopic ERG protocols were applied to evaluate rod-322 and cone-mediated light responses. Representative scotopic ERG traces and 323 corresponding quantifications of the ERG a- and b-wave amplitudes are 324 shown in Fig. 5. In wild-type mice, a- and b-wave amplitudes were clearly 325 visible and showed an expected slight age-dependent decline over time (Fig. 326 5 B and E). In contrast, we could not detect any rod-derived a-wave in 327 Cngal mutant mice (Fig. 5 B, C and D), suggesting that mutant rods are 328 incapable of generating light responses. The ERG b-wave was also absent 329 after stimulation with rod-specific low luminance $(0.01 \text{ or } 0.03 \text{ cd.s/m}^2)$ and 330 decreased strongly at higher luminance (Fig. 5 E, F and G). From PM9 331 onwards, Cngal mutant mice no longer respond to light stimuli, since no 332 ERG response was detectable even at the highest luminance (10 cd.s/m^2) 333 (Fig. 5 A, E and G). In summary, ERG analysis indicates a lack of rod 334 photoreceptor-driven responses in Cngal mutant mice as early as PW3 and 335 reveals a secondary, slowly progressive loss of cone-mediated light 336 responses, leading to complete blindness after PM9.

337 Rod degeneration in homozygous Cnga1 mutant mice

The *Cnga1* mutation affects the morphology of the mouse retina (Fig. 6 A).
While the overall lamination of the retina appeared to be preserved in the
morphology of Cnga1 mutant mice at PM1, PM2 and PM4, there was early

> 341 shortening of the outer and inner segments of the photoreceptor layers, and 342 at PM4, we found a marked thinning of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) (Fig. 343 6 A). Rhodopsin, a marker of rod outer segments, was stained in wild-type and Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse retinas at PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9 and PM12. 344 345 Indeed, the rhodopsin staining shows gradually reduction of rhodopsin 346 expression in the mutant retina over time, revealing a compromised 347 morphology of rod outer segments already at PM1 (Supplementary Figure 348 3).

> 349 To better characterize the progression of degeneration we performed a 350 longitudinal in vivo spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-351 OCT) imaging study comparing Cngal mutant mice to healthy age-matched 352 wild-type mice (Fig. 6 B - F). SD-OCT evaluation of the entire 353 photoreceptor length, measured as the combined thickness of the outer 354 segment and the ONL layer (hereafter referred to as photoreceptor plus or 355 PhR+), revealed an initial loss of 15 - 20 % of the PhR+ layer at PW3, PW4 356 and PM2 (Fig. 6 F). While the PhR+ layer thickness remained stable in the 357 healthy control, it steadily declined over time in the Cngal mutant (Fig. 6 358 F). Between PM5 and PM6 half of the PhR+ layer was lost in the mutant. 359 Degeneration slowed after PM7, culminating in an almost complete loss of 360 the PhR+ layer at PM12, and only the inner nuclear (INL) and ganglion cell 361 (GCL) layers remained (Fig. 6 E, F). However, at PM12 the appearance of 362 the INL nuclei starts to be more scattered, suggesting the appearance of 363 secondary morphological changes in this inner retinal layer.

364 Secondary degeneration of non-rod cells in *Cnga1* mice

In vivo BluePeak autofluorescence (BAF) and OCT angiography (OCT-A)
were used to examine the morphology of the retinal fundus and the retinal
vasculature (Fig. 7 A, B). At PM4, BAF imaging revealed accumulation of
autofluorescent material in the fundus of *Cnga1* mutant mice (Fig. 7 A). In
OCT-A scans, we observed altered vascular bed density in the mutant and a

.

370	thinner appearance of the large blood vessels (Fig. / B). The SD-OCT and
371	OCT-A measurements suggested the occurrence of secondary changes in
372	non-rod cells as rod degeneration progresses. In order to analyse the
373	morphology of cone photoreceptors over time, we labeled retinal sagittal
374	sections of PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9 and PM12 wild-type and Cnga1 mutant
375	mice with the cone-specific marker peanut agglutinin (PNA), which labels
376	the extracellular matrix of cone photoreceptors (20) (Fig. 7 C - H). At PM1,
377	cone morphology, as judged by the PNA signal, appeared similar in both
378	genotypes (Fig. 7 D). Over time, a gradual reduction of PNA signal was
379	observed, revealing a loss of the outer segments of cones at PM9 and a
380	complete loss of the cones at PM12 (Fig. $7 \text{ E} - \text{H}$).

. .

C 1 1

381

270

.1 •

382 DISCUSSION

383 In the present study, we have identified a family with autosomal 384 recessive retinitis pigmentosa suffering from a homozygous c.1525G>A 385 (NM_001379270.1) missense mutation in CNGA1 leading to substitution of 386 a Gly at position 509 by an Arg. So far, this mutation (previously recorded 387 as c.1537 G > A; p.Gly513Arg (NM_000087.3)) has only been found as 388 heterozygous in patients with retinitis pigmentosa (19). The same study 389 described that transfection of HEK293 cells with the c.1537 G>A mutant 390 CNGA1 results in similar protein expression levels as the wild-type CNGA1 391 (19). Gly509 is part of the loop linking two β -strands (β 2 and β 3) within the 392 CNBD. While Gly509 is not directly involved in cGMP binding, it is most 393 likely important for the flexibility of the $\beta 2/\beta 3$ -connecting loop and thus for 394 CNBD structure and function. In line with an important role, this glycine is conserved between species but also within the CNG channel family (4). 395 aval 1. 1

396	CNGA1 was one of the first genes linked to RP over 27 years ago
397	(21). To date, almost 50 probable pathogenic mutations in CNGA1 have
398	been identified (1) and a prevalence ranging of 2 % of arRP cases in Spain

> 399 (22) to 7.6% in China (23) has been estimated. Despite its early discovery, 400 little is known about CNGA1-RP, partly due to the lack of adequate animal 401 models. More than 20 years ago a mouse model expressing an 890 bp 402 Cngal antisense DNA fragment was generated (24). A slight retinal 403 degeneration was noted at 1 year of age (24). Since the effect of antisense 404 expression was not confirmed at the protein level, it is unclear to what 405 extent the observed morphological changes are due to a loss of CNGA1. 406 Moreover, a functional characterization of this transgenic mouse line is 407 missing. In 2015, the identification of a naturally occurring canine model 408 with a mutation in the Cngal gene was reported (25) providing a first 409 genetic and clinical description. However, no detailed information on the 410 retinal phenotype has been described. More recently, a mouse model with a 411 targeted deletion in exon 2 of *Cnga1* was reported (26). This model carries 412 an engineered 65 bp frame-shift deletion that, although not experimentally 413 verified at the protein level, should result in a premature stop codon shortly 414 after the deletion and loss of most of the ion channel protein. Cngal-415 deficient mice were shown to lose the majority of photoreceptors by 16 416 weeks (26). Scotopic ERG responses to a single flash of 3 $cd*s/m^2$ in these 417 mice were greatly reduced at 3 weeks, which further decreased after 10 418 weeks (26).

> 419 Because the mutation identified in the here presented family results 420 in a single amino acid exchange in the CNBD, this prompted us to develop 421 an animal model that mimics this type of mutation. Indeed, we have 422 identified from the ENU mutagenesis repository at the Helmholtz Center a 423 mouse mutant with a c.1526 A>G mutation in Cngal, resulting in a 424 Tyr509Cys exchange in the CNBD of the CNGA1 protein. Tyr509 425 corresponds to Tyr513 in the human CNGA1 protein and participates in the 426 formation of the β 3 strand of the CNBD (4). This Tyr residue is conserved 427 in the various mammalian CNG channel subunits and is even found in 428 hyperpolarization-activated and cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (HCN),

429	cGMP-regulated protein kinase 1 (PRKG1) or the cAMP-regulated protein
430	kinase catalytic subunit (PRKACA). Interestingly, the structurally related
431	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily H member 1 (KCNH1), which
432	contains a presumably non-functional CNBD and cannot be gated or
433	modulated by cyclic nucleotides (27), has a Cys instead of Tyr at the
434	corresponding position (aa 619). Although the mutation found in the ENU
435	mouse model does not affect the same Gly residue as the c.1525 $G > A \label{eq:glassical}$
436	mutation found in the patients, it affects a Tyr residue just four amino acids
437	downstream from Gly509 and is thought to mimic the human Gly509Arg
438	substitution.

439 Analysis of the CNG channel expression in 1 month old Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C} mutant mice revealed an almost complete lack of CNGA1 440 441 protein, but normal Cngal transcript levels. While Cngbl mRNA levels 442 were also unaffected, we could not detect any CNGB1 protein in $Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C}$ at 1 month of age. This is in line with similar observations 443 444 in Cngb1-deficient mice (16) and dogs (28), in which the absence of the 445 CNGB1 subunit led to degradation of the remaining CNGA1 protein, 446 although the Cngal transcript was unaffected. It appears that the Tyr509Cys 447 mutation has a major effect on protein structure and/or stability, resulting in 448 a complete loss of the anti-CNGA1 immunosignal in Western blot and 449 immunohistochemistry. Consistent with the loss of rod CNG channel 450 function, we observed an absence of rod-driven ERG responses (a- and b-451 wave) from the earliest observation time point (PW3). This differs from 452 what has been described in the Cngal knockout mouse (26), but is most 453 likely due to the fact that we used rod-specific ERG stimuli (e.g., the ISCEV 454 rod stimulus: 0.03 cd.s/m²), while Liu et al. (26) used only a 3 cd.s/m² 455 stimulus, which elicits a mixed rod and cone response and therefore does 456 not allow for segregation or distinct of rod-specific responses.

457 To gain a better understanding of the progression rate of rod and 458 cone degeneration, we performed a 1-year in vivo imaging study with 13

459	observation time points. This allowed us to define with high granularity the
460	time course of rod loss and the onset of secondary cone degeneration.
461	Again, we observed differences between the $Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C}$ mouse line
462	with a point mutation and the homozygous Cngal knockout mouse. Liu et
463	al. (26) showed retinal thickness measurements up to 4 months, while we
464	followed the progression of retinal degeneration over 12 months. After 4
465	months, the thickness of the photoreceptor layer was reduced to only 20 $\%$
466	in the Cngal knockout, but to about 60 % in the Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse.
467	The reason for the slow degeneration in these two mouse lines is not clear.
468	In our Western blot and IHC analyses, we observed an almost complete loss
469	of CNGA1 and CNGB1 proteins as early as 1 month. Since protein data are
470	missing from the Liu et al. study (26), we can only assume that the rod CNG
471	channel proteins are also missing in the Cngal knockouts. In contrast to
472	primary rod degeneration, secondary degeneration of cone photoreceptors
473	appears to have a similar rate of progression in both mouse models.
474	In conclusion, single point mutations in the CNBD of the A subunit of the

475 rod CNG channel are not tolerated and results in loss of both channel 476 subunits at the protein level and eventual loss of function of this important 477 ion channel of the rod photoreceptor transduction cascade. The Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse appears to be an appropriate model of CNGA1-arRP 478 479 and should be of great value for future studies on the molecular 480 characterization of the pathobiology involved in the disease. Finally, the Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse is a suitable model for preclinical proof-of-concept 481 482 studies for future therapeutic approaches to treat this blinding disease.

483 Acknowledgement

The authors thank Erika Bürkle, Monika Stadler and Andreas Mayer for
expert technical assistance. SK thanks the German Academic Exchange
Service (DAAD) (Funding no - 91525094) for her research stay in Germany
(2014-2016), UGC -SRF fellowship grant and University of Madras. This

488	wo	rk was supported by grants from the German Federal Ministry of
489	Edu	ucation and Research (Infrafrontier grant 01KX1012 to MHdA) and the
490	Ge	rman Center for Diabetes Research (DZD) (MHdA). The authors also
491	tha	nk the patient's family towards their co-operation for this study.
492	Co	nflict of interests - The authors declare no conflict of interests.
493	Da	ta availability statement - All data relevant to the study are included in
494	the	article or uploaded as supplementary information. The raw data that
495	sup	oport the findings of this study are also available from the corresponding
496	aut	hor upon request.
497		
498	Re	ference:
499	1.	Hanany M, Rivolta C, Sharon D. Worldwide carrier frequency and
500		genetic prevalence of autosomal recessive inherited retinal diseases.
501		Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020 Feb 4;117(5):2710-6.
503	2	V., I. H., V. Z., W. F., V. Gradan have been been a factored by
502	2.	Xue J, Han Y, Zeng W, Jiang Y. Structural mechanisms of assembly,
503		permeation, gating, and pharmacology of native human rod CNG
504		channel. Neuron. 2022 Jan;110(1):86-95.e5.
505	3.	Bönigk W, Altenhofen W, Müller F, Dose A, Illing M, Molday RS, et
506		al. Rod and cone photoreceptor cells express distinct genes for cGMP-
507		gated channels. Neuron. 1993 May;10(5):865–77.
508	4.	Kaupp UB, Seifert R. Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated Ion Channels. Physiol
509		Rev. 2002 Jan 7;82(3):769–824.
F10	5	Michaelelie C. Designation F. Dial M. Deviced Coulie Martheolds, Court
510	5.	Michalakis S, Becirovic E, Biel M. Retinal Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated
511		Channels: From Pathophysiology to Therapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2018 Mar
512		7;19(3):749.
513	6.	Sabrautzki S, Kaiser G, Przemeck GKH, Gerst F, Lorza-Gil E, Panse
514		M, et al. Point mutation of Ffar1 abrogates fatty acid-dependent insulin

- 515 secretion, but protects against HFD-induced glucose intolerance. Mol
- 516 Metab. 2017 Oct;6(10):1304–12.
- 517 7. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al.
- 518 Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a
- 519 joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical
- 520 Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology.
- 521 Genet Med. 2015 May;17(5):405–24.
- 522 8. Baek M, DiMaio F, Anishchenko I, Dauparas J, Ovchinnikov S, Lee
- 523 GR, et al. Accurate prediction of protein structures and interactions
- 524 using a three-track neural network. Science. 2021 Aug
- **525** 20;373(6557):871–6.
- 526 9. Xue J, Han Y, Zeng W, Wang Y, Jiang Y. Structural mechanisms of
 527 gating and selectivity of human rod CNGA1 channel. Neuron. 2021
- 528 Apr;109(8):1302-1313.e4.
- 529 10. de Angelis MH, Flaswinkel H, Fuchs H, Rathkolb B, Soewarto D,
- 530 Marschall S, et al. Genome-wide, large-scale production of mutant
- 531 mice by ENU mutagenesis. Nat Genet. 2000 Aug;25(4):444–7.
- 532 11. Hart AW, McKie L, Morgan JE, Gautier P, West K, Jackson IJ, et al.
- 533 Genotype–Phenotype Correlation of Mouse *Pde6b* Mutations. Investig
 534 Opthalmology Vis Sci. 2005 Sep 1:46(9):3443.
- 535 12. Pawliczek D, Dalke C, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, Hrabě de Angelis
- 536 M, Graw J, et al. Spectral domain Optical coherence tomography
- 537 (SD-OCT) as a monitoring tool for alterations in mouse lenses. Exp
- 538 Eye Res. 2020 Jan;190:107871.
- 53913. Schön C, Asteriti S, Koch S, Sothilingam V, Garrido MG, Tanimoto N,
- 540 et al. Loss of HCN1 enhances disease progression in mouse models of

541	CNG channel-linked	retinitis pigmento	osa and achromato	psia. Hum Mol

- 542 Genet. 2016 Mar 15;25(6):1165–75.
- 543 14. Wagner JE, Zobel L, Gerhardt MJ, O'Riordan CR, Frederick A,
- 544 Petersen-Jones SM, et al. In Vivo Potency Testing of Subretinal
- 545 rAAV5.hCNGB1 Gene Therapy in the *Cngb1* Knockout Mouse Model
- of Retinitis Pigmentosa. Hum Gene Ther. 2021 Oct 1;32(19–20):1158–
- 547 70.
- 548 15. Marmor MF. At Last: A Standard Electroretinography Protocol. Arch
 549 Ophthalmol. 1989 Jun 1;107(6):813.
- 550 16. Huttl S. Impaired Channel Targeting and Retinal Degeneration in Mice
- 551 Lacking the Cyclic Nucleotide-Gated Channel Subunit CNGB1. J
- 552 Neurosci. 2005 Jan 5;25(1):130–8.
- 553 17. 17. Adobe Illustrator (2019) Adobe Inc., Munich, Germany.
- 18. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M,
- 555 Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
- 556 analysis. Nat Methods. 2012 Jul;9(7):676–82.
- 557 19. Jin X, Qu L-H, Hou B-K, Xu H-W, Meng X-H, Pang C-P, et al. Novel
- 558 compound heterozygous mutation in the *CNGA1* gene underlie
- autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa in a Chinese family. Biosci
- 560 Rep. 2016 Feb 1;36(1):e00289.
- 561 20. Johnson LV, Hageman GS, Blanks JC. Interphotoreceptor matrix
- 562 domains ensheath vertebrate cone photoreceptor cells. Invest
- 563 Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1986 Feb;27(2):129–35.
- 564 21. Dryja TP, Finn JT, Peng YW, McGee TL, Berson EL, Yau KW.
- 565 Mutations in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of the rod cGMP-

566	gated channel in autoso	omal recessive i	retinitis pigmentosa.	Proc Natl
-----	-------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-----------

567 Acad Sci U S A. 1995 Oct 24;92(22):10177–81.

- 568 22. Paloma E. Novel homozygous mutation in the alpha subunit of the rod
- 569 cGMP gated channel (CNGA1) in two Spanish sibs affected with
- 570 autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. J Med Genet. 2002 Oct
- 571 1;39(10):66e-6.
- 572 23. Chen X, Zhao K, Sheng X, Li Y, Gao X, Zhang X, et al. Targeted
- 573 Sequencing of 179 Genes Associated with Hereditary Retinal
- 574 Dystrophies and 10 Candidate Genes Identifies Novel and Known
- 575 Mutations in Patients with Various Retinal Diseases. Investig
- 576 Opthalmology Vis Sci. 2013 Mar 27;54(3):2186.
- 577 24. Leconte L, Barnstable CJ. Impairment of rod cGMP-gated channel
- 578 alpha-subunit expression leads to photoreceptor and bipolar cell
- degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000 Mar;41(3):917–26.
- 580 25. Wiik AC, Ropstad EO, Ekesten B, Karlstam L, Wade CM, Lingaas F.
- 581 Progressive retinal atrophy in Shetland sheepdog is associated with a
- 582 mutation in the *CNGA1* gene. Anim Genet. 2015 Oct;46(5):515–21.
- 583 26. Liu Y, Wang Y, Xiao Y, Li X, Ruan S, Luo X, et al. Retinal

584 degeneration in mice lacking the cyclic nucleotide gated channel

- subunit CNGA1. FASEB J [Internet]. 2021 Sep [cited 2022 Apr
- 586 11];35(9). Available from:
- 587 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1096/fj.202101004R
- 588 27. Brelidze TI, Carlson AE, Zagotta WN. Absence of Direct Cyclic
 589 Nucleotide Modulation of mEAG1 and hERG1 Channels Revealed
- 590 with Fluorescence and Electrophysiological Methods. J Biol Chem.
- 591 2009 Oct;284(41):27989–97.

- 592 28. Petersen-Jones SM, Occelli LM, Winkler PA, Lee W, Sparrow JR,
- 593 Tsukikawa M, et al. Patients and animal models of CNGβ1-deficient
- 594 retinitis pigmentosa support gene augmentation approach. J Clin Invest.
- 595 2017 Nov 20;128(1):190–206.
- 596
- 597 Figure legends:

598 Fig.1. Pedigree and retinal imaging of the affected family: A. 599 Partial five generation pedigree denoting the presence of ocular 600 disease. Males and females, are represented by squares and circles, 601 respectively. The symbols of affected family members are filled. B. 602 Fundus images of the proband's left (L) and right (R) eye taken at 603 the time of case registration, on one year follow-up and again at 604 three years follow-up. Waxy pallor disc (marked by white arrow 605 head), pigmentary deposits (marked by one sided arrow) and 606 attenuated arterioles (marked by double sided arrow). C. SD-OCT 607 images of the proband's retinal layers: left (L) and right eye (R) 608 were taken on one year follow-up (left two panels) and three years 609 follow-up (right two panels). Mild central hyper reflectivity 610 suspicious of scars were marked with arrows. Thinning of the 611 retinal layers was observed.

612

613 Fig.2. Identification of CNGA1 mutation: A. Sequence 614 chromatogram of the proband (top) and control subject from the 615 regional population (middle) and CNGA1 exon 10 (bottom), 616 depicting the homozygous mutation (c.1525G>A; p.Gly509Arg); 617 the mutant and wild-type peaks "A" and "G" are marked by 618 arrows. The missense mutation (marked in red) is compared with 619 the wild-type sequence (marked in green) together with the 620 translated protein sequences. B. Amino acid sequence alignment of

621	human CNGA1 and orthologues from other species, depicting a
622	high conservation of p.G509 (encircled in red). Divergent amino
623	acid residues are shaded in white color background. C. Structural
624	comparison of wild-type and mutant human CNGA1 (backbone is
625	shown in grey). The amino acid of interest in the wild-type
626	structure (Gly513, magenta) and mutant structure (Arg513, cyan)
627	(which is position at 509 in the MANE transcript encoded protein
628	(NP_001366199.1) are shown as atoms. As reference structure the
629	CNGA1 subunit of the human PDB 7RHH (9) is shown with bound
630	cGMP (orange, shown as atom) and the residues R561, T562,
631	A563, F544, E546, I547, S548 (grey, shown as atoms) are
632	responsible for cGMP binding. Models were generated using the
633	RoseTTAfold deep learning algorithm (8) available at
634	https://robetta.bakerlab.org/. The generated 3D models were
635	visualized using the UCSF Chimera software
636	(https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/).

637

638 Fig.3. Cnga1 mouse model: A. DNA sequencing shows the 639 mutation in the new mouse model (c. 1526 A>G; blue circles). 640 The missense mutation (marked in red) is compared with the wild-641 type sequence (marked in green) together with the translated 642 protein sequences of the mouse. B. Location of the human 643 (p.Gly509Arg, top) and murine (p.Tyr509Cys, bottom) mutations 644 in the cGMP-binding domain. C. Structural comparison of wild-645 type and mutant murine CNGA1 (backbone is shown in grey). The 646 amino acid of interest in the wild-type structure (Tyr509, magenta) 647 and mutant structure (Cys509, cyan) are shown as atoms. As 648 reference structure, the CNGA1 subunit of the human PDB 7RHH 649 [9] is shown with bound cGMP (orange, shown as atom) and the 650 corresponding amino acid residue Tyr510 is highlighted (green).

651	Models were generated using the RoseTTAfold deep learning
652	algorithm (8) available at https://robetta.bakerlab.org/. The
653	generated 3D models were visualized using the UCSF Chimera
654	software (https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/).

655

Fig.4. Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C} mice are lacking CNGA1 protein. (A-F) 656 657 Representative confocal images showing expression of CNGA1 658 (red) and CNGB1 protein (green) in retinal cross sections of wildtype (1 month postnatal (PM1); A, D), and Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse 659 retinas (B-C, E-F) at PM1 and PM6. Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mice are 660 661 lacking CNGA1 and CNGB1 already at PM1. Cell nuclei were 662 stained with DAPI (blue). OS, outer segments; IS, inner segments; 663 ONL, outer nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; INL, inner 664 nuclear layer. Scale bar marks 20 µm. (G-I) Western blot analysis of Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse retinas at PM1 and PM6 using CNGA1-665 666 and CNGB1-antibodies. β-Actin was used as control. Western blot 667 staining (G) and quantification of CNGA1 (H) and CNGB1 (I) 668 expression confirm the findings of immunohistochemistry. (J-K) RT-qPCR of Cngal^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse retinas at PM1 and PM6 with 669 670 Cngal- (J) and Cngbl-specific primers (K). Mutant mice at PM1 671 still express Cngal and Cngbl transcript. This expression is 672 reduced at PM6. N = 3 biological and technical replicates. Values 673 are given as mean ± SEM (one-way ANOVA paired with Tukey's 674 post-hoc test; **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001).

675

Fig.5. Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C} mice show loss of rod-driven
electroretinography. (A) Overlays of averaged ERG signals of
Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C} mice (red) compared to wild-type mice (black) at
postnatal week 3 (PW3), postnatal month 1 (PM1), PM3, PM6,

680	PM9 and PM12 at different light intensities. Vertical dotted lines
681	mark the time points of light stimulation. Homozygous mutant
682	mice show almost no (rod-mediated) response at low light
683	intensities (0.01 and 0.03 cd s m-2) and also lose cone-mediated
684	response between PM6 and PM9. (B-G) Quantification of a-wave
685	and b-wave amplitudes of Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice (red) compared to
686	wild-type mice (black) at different ages. a-wave (B) and b-wave (E)
687	amplitudes were massively reduced in Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice
688	compared to wild types for all light intensities at PM1. Rod-
689	mediated responses for the a-wave (C) and b-wave (F) at a low
690	light intensity (0.03 cd s m-2) as well as cone-mediated response
691	for a-wave (F) at a high light intensity (10 cd s m-2) were reduced
692	from the first measurement at PW3, while cone-mediated response
693	for the b-wave (G) decreased over time. Wild-type mice: n=10;
694	$Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C}$ mice: n = 12. Values are given as mean ± SEM.

695

696	Fig.6. <i>Cnga1</i> ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice show a reduced photoreceptor layer
697	thickness. (A) Representative retina morphology images of wild-
698	type mice and mutants showing progressive thinning of the ONL
699	layer in the Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice. (B-E) Representative SD-OCT
700	images of Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} and wild-type mice up to 12 months of
701	age demonstrating a massive reduction of photoreceptor layer
702	thickness in homozygous mutant mice (C, E) compared to wild-
703	type mice (B, D). Black bars in close-ups D and E mark the
704	thickness of the photoreceptor layer. RPE, retinal pigment
705	epithelium; OS, outer segments; ONL, outer nuclear layer; INL,
706	inner nuclear layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer. (F) Degeneration
707	progress of photoreceptor layer thickness in homozygous mutant
708	mice (red) compared to wild-type mice (black) from 3 weeks until

709	12 months of age. Wild-type mice: n=10; <i>Cnga1</i> ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice: n
710	= 12. Values are given as mean \pm SD. Scale bar in A marks 100
711	μm.

712

713	Fig.7. <i>Cnga1</i> ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice show secondary retinal
714	morphological changes and degeneration of cone
715	photoreceptors. (A-B) Representative BAF and OCT-A scans of
716	the fundus (A) and retinal vasculature (B) showing accumulation of
717	autofluorescent spots and altered vascular bed in the
718	Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse fundus. (C-H) Representative confocal
719	images showing expression of peanut agglutinin (PNA; green) in
720	retinal cross sections of wild-type (1 month postnatal (PM1); (C)
721	and Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse retinas (D-H) at PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9
722	and PM12 demonstrating a degeneration of cone photoreceptors
723	with age. Scale bars in B marks 200 $\mu m.$ Scale bar in H marks 25
724	μm.

725

726	Sup-Fig.1. Sequence chromatogram of affected family
727	members: CNGA1 exon 10, depicting the homozygous mutation
728	(c.1525G>A; p.Gly509Arg) in the affected family members (A, E,
729	F), whereas all other unaffected family members (B, C, D, G, H)
730	were heterozygous for this variant. The sequence of a control
731	subject is given in I. The sites of the mutation are marked by
732	arrows.

733

734Sup-Fig.2. $Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C}$ mice are lacking CNGA1 protein:735Western blot staining of $Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C}$ mouse retina at PM1 and736PM6 using CNGA1- and CNGB1-antibodies. B-Actin was used as737control. N = 3 biological and technical replicates.

738	
739	Sup-Fig.3. Cnga1 ^{Y509C/Y509C} mice show compromised outer
740	segments morphology. (A-F) Representative confocal images
741	showing expression of rhodopsin (green) in retinal cross sections of
742	wild-type at PM1 (A), and Cngal ^{Y509C/Y509C} mouse retinas (B-F) at
743	PM1, PM3, PM6, PM9 and PM12, illustrating the outer segment
744	morphology. Rhodopsin staining shows a gradual reduction of
745	rhodopsin expression in the mutant retina, revealing the
746	compromised morphology of rod outer segments in the
747	Cnga1 ^{Y509C/Y509C} retina already at PM1. Cell nuclei were stained
748	with DAPI (blue). OS, outer segments; IS, inner segments; ONL,
749	outer nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear
750	layer.

А







Chimpanzee Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Macaque Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Rate Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Mouse Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Dog Q P Q V	G G G G
Rati Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Mouse Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Dog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Dog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cat Q P Q V Y <td>G G</td>	G G
Mouse Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K G D I R E M Y I I K E Dog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Dog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cat Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cow Q P Q V Y S P	G
Dog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cat Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cat Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cow Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Platypus Q P Q V Y S </td <td></td>	
Cat Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cow Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Platypus Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Platypus Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C R K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Chicken Q P Q V <t< td=""><td>G</td></t<>	G
Cat Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cow Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E Cow Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I K E Platypus Q P Q V Y S P G D Y G R E M Y I K E Platypus Q P Q V Y S P G D Y G R G <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Platypus Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I V K E Chicken Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C R K G D I G R E M Y I I V K E	G
Chicken Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C R K G D I G R E M Y I I K E	G
Chicken Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C R K G D I G R E M Y I I K E	G
	G
Frog Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C R K G D I G R E M Y I I K E	G
Tetradon Q P Q V Y S P G D Y I C K K G D I G R E M Y I I K E	G
Drosophila K L Q V F S P G D Y I C R K G D V G K E M Y I V K R	G
	G











nolder for this pre print in perpetuit



5 6 7 8

Age [months]

10 cd.s/m²

4 5 6 7 8

Age [months]

3 4

ġ

- Cnga1^{Y509C/Y509C}

9 10 11 12

• •

9 10 11 12





Wild type

Fig.5

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.10.22278420; this version posted August 12, 2022. The copyright holder for this pre (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuit It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Age [months]





