# 1 Longitudinal health survey of women from Venezuela in

# 2 Colombia (ELSA-VENCOL): first report

#### 3 Authors:

- 4 Jorge Acosta-Reyes<sup>1</sup>; Laura Juliana Bonilla-Tinoco<sup>2</sup>; Melissa Aguirre<sup>1</sup>; Maylen Rojas-Botero<sup>3</sup>; Luis
- 5 Ángel Anillo<sup>1</sup>; David Alejandro Rodríguez<sup>4</sup>; Lida Yoana Cifuentes<sup>4</sup>; Iván Jiménez<sup>4</sup>; Luisa Fernanda
- 6 León<sup>4</sup>; Ietza Bojorquez-Chapela<sup>5</sup>; Julián Alfredo Fernández-Niño\*<sup>1,6</sup>.
  7

### 8 Affiliations:

- 10 1. Department of Public Health, Universidad del Norte. Barranquilla, Atlántico, Colombia.
- 11 2. Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Santander, Colombia.
- 12 3. Facultad Nacional de Salud Pública, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Antioquia, Colombia.
- 13 4. International Organization for Migration (IOM), Bogotá, Colombia.
- 14 5. El Colegio de la Frontera Norte, Tijuana, México.
- 15 6. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. Baltimore (MD), USA.
- \*Corresponding author: Julián Alfredo Fernández-Niño. Address: 615 N. Wolfe Street, Room
   E8522 Poltimora (MD) US 7IB: 21205 Email: iforpa52@ibu odu
- 18 E8532, Baltimore (MD), US, ZIP: 21205. Email: jferna53@jhu.edu.

19

16

9

20 Short title: Longitudinal health survey of women from Venezuela in Colombia

21

**Data Availability**: The database of this survey is administered by the Migration and Health program of the International Organization for Migration (IOM) in Colombia. This information is reserved and cannot be made public, in accordance with existing legal frameworks, and permission from IOM and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) is required for its use by other researchers. Therefore, the data cannot be made publicly available. However, data access requests may be sent through David Rodríguez via email (darodriguez@iom.int) who will process them in accordance with the organization's rules and current agreements.

Financing: This publication was made possible thanks to the generous support of the people of the United States through the Community Stabilization Activities (CSA) of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), the Universidad del Norte de Barranquilla and the International Organization for Migration (IOM). The content is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the opinions of USAID, the government of the United States of America or IOM.

- 34 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare
- 35

### 36 Abstract

37 Background: Colombia is currently the world's main recipient country for Venezuelan migrants, and women represent a high proportion of them. This article presents the first report of a cohort of 38 39 Venezuelan migrant women entering Colombia through Cúcuta and its metropolitan area (the main 40 land entry point to this country). The study aimed to describe the health status and access to healthcare services among Venezuelan migrant women in Colombia with irregular migration status, and to 41 42 analyze changes in those conditions at a one-month follow-up. Methods: A longitudinal cohort study 43 of Venezuelan migrant women, 18 to 45 years, who entered Colombia with an irregular migration 44 status, was carried out in 2021. Study participants were recruited in temporary shelters, transit points. 45 and migrant settlements in Cúcuta and the metropolitan area. At baseline, we administered a 46 structured questionnaire including sociodemographic characteristics, migration history, health history, access to health services, sexual and reproductive health, practice of early detection of 47 48 cervical cancer and breast cancer, food insecurity, and depressive symptoms. The women were again contacted by phone one month later, between March and July 2021, and a second questionnaire was 49 50 applied. Results: A total of 2,298 women were included in the baseline measurement and 56.4% could be contacted again at the one-month follow-up. A significant increase was found in the 51 52 percentage of women who had a health problem during the past month (from 23.1% to 31.4%; 53 p < 0.01); as well as in the share who reported moderate, severe, or extreme difficulty working or 54 performing daily chores (from 5.5% to 11.0%; p=0.03) and who rated their health as fair (from 13.0%) 55 to 31.2%; p<0.01). Meanwhile, the percentage of women with depressive symptoms decreased from 56 80.5% to 71.2% (p<0.01). Conclusion: This report is a starting point for the longitudinal follow-up of the cohort, which will allow us to better understand how the health status of Venezuelan women 57 58 changes during the migration flow in Colombia.

## 59 Background

66

have historically been male-dominated.

Since 2015, the social, political, and economic conditions in Venezuela have led to one of the largest international human mobility processes in the history of the region, a process that intensified in 2017 when the migration flow increased [1]. By November 2021, estimates showed roughly 6.04 million Venezuelan refugees and migrants worldwide [2]. This process, characterized as a south-south migration [3], has involved significant female participation, a phenomenon known as the feminization of the migration [4]. This contrasts with other well-known economic migration phenomena, which

67 With 1.84 million Venezuelan migrants in Colombia as of May 2022, the country is currently the world's main recipient of Venezuelan migration [2]. In 2021, 81.3% of Venezuelan migrants in this 68 69 country had an irregular migration status or were in the process of regularization, and 49.0% were women [5]. Although this is a diverse population, many of the migrants in the latest wave have been 70 71 identified as having a great degree of socioeconomic vulnerability during the process of social 72 insertion into the receiving community. Unlike the socioeconomic profile of migrants in the waves 73 prior to 2017, this latest one has been dominated by low- and middle-income migrants with an 74 irregular migration status who opted to migrate by land to countries near Venezuela, such as 75 Colombia, which shares an 1,800 km border with Venezuela [1].

Among the challenges faced by migrants are the health risks and needs associated with the entire migration process, which stem from a combination of the conditions of departure, transit, and destination [6]. Since this is a mostly young population, the prevalence of chronic disease is relatively low. However, problems with access to health services can result in their becoming ill more frequently, which affects their wellbeing and at the same time can seriously impact health services. In the case of Colombia, it has been consistently reported that Venezuelan migrants are predominantly affected by skin, respiratory, gastrointestinal, immunopreventable, vector-borne, and infectious

diseases (e.g., HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and sexually transmitted diseases), as well as by mental health
disorders, gender-based violence, and health problems and needs related to pregnancy, childbirth, and
the puerperium [7–10].

In addition, many migrants have encountered barriers to effective access to health services when they need them, even those that they should be able to access according to current regulations. This is due to having little knowledge about their rights and to barriers in the access routes to health services. While Colombia's health sector has had a plan for migration [11] since 2018, most migrants with irregular immigration status can only access health services in emergencies or for public health interventions (such as vaccination). One exception is prenatal care and childbirth for pregnant women, which in theory is guaranteed regardless of migration status [11,12].

93 Meanwhile, migrants with a regular status can enroll in the general social security health system and 94 access health services under the same conditions as Colombians in the country. Thus, regularization 95 processes have helped to increase access to health insurance, which has become even more available 96 through the recently adopted Temporary Protection Permit (PPT in Spanish), a measure that enables 97 the regularization of all migrants who entered Colombia before January 2021. However, while 98 regularization is a necessary condition for health insurance, it is not sufficient, and does not include 99 other groups of migrants who do not intend to remain in the country. It also does not prevent migrants 100 from encountering other barriers to access.

Regarding the Venezuelan migratory process in Colombia, it has been reported that migrant women use outpatient, emergency, and hospitalization services more than men, and represented nearly 70% of migrants who were treated during the period 2017-2019 [8]. Migrants 20 to 29 years of age were the group that used health services the most. In terms of the causes for seeking health services, pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium were always among the three main reasons [8]. On the other hand, a study conducted in 2020 found that only 62.1% of pregnant Venezuelan migrants received

prenatal checkups (PNC), and an analysis by migration status found that only 50.6% of migrants with
irregular migration status received PNC compared to 79.5% for migrants with regular status [13].

109 The Colombian government has made considerable efforts to expand the supply and coverage of 110 health services to facilitate access to health services for Venezuelan migrants, as well as to keep 111 records of the medical care that they receive [12]. Consequently, some of the existing information 112 systems have been modified and new ones have been created for recording the medical care received 113 by this population, as well as for vital statistics and epidemiological surveillance.

114 While these information systems have been important for monitoring the health needs of Venezuelan 115 migrants and their use of health services, as well as for guiding public health and public policy 116 decisions, they have some limitations. There is the fact that the health information that is obtained 117 largely depends on access to health services, which is low among migrants. The coverage is also limited and there are lags and problems with the quality of the health information on migrants [14]. 118 In addition, the scope of these data is limited, as they do not enable the use of a multidimensional 119 120 approach to migrant health, and they are insufficient for evaluating and monitoring migrant health at 121 the population level. Moreover, a system is needed that enables identifying the health situation and 122 needs, as well as access to health services, especially for Venezuelan migrant women since they not 123 only represent roughly half of the migrant population but are of reproductive age and have historically 124 been the group that has used certain health services the most. Although the Department of National 125 Statistics (DANE in Spanish) and several organizations have gone to great lengths to conduct 126 population-based surveys, these tend to be limited to only a few dimensions. They are also crosssectional and have mainly focused on migrants who have already settled in the country and intend to 127 128 stay.

The generation of primary source information that includes individuals regardless of their having contact with health services can provide a better understanding of the health situation of Venezuelan migrant women. Thus, the objective of this research was to describe the health status and access to

- health services among Venezuelan migrant women in Colombia and the changes observed at a one-
- 133 month follow-up. This work presents the first report of a cohort of Venezuelan migrant women with
- an irregular migration status who entered Colombia through Cúcuta and its metropolitan area.

### 135 Methods

- 136 Requests for access to data could be sent to the Migration and Health Program of the International
- 137 Organization for Migration in Colombia (David Rodríguez, email: <u>darodriguez@iom.int</u>).
- 138

### 139 Study design and population

140 ELSA-VENCOL (in Spanish: Estudio longitudinal de Salud de las mujeres Venezolanas en

141 *Colombia*) is one of the first longitudinal study among Venezuelan migrants carried out in Colombia.

142 This was a longitudinal cohort study of Venezuelan migrant women with an irregular migration status

- 143 who entered Colombia through Cúcuta and its metropolitan area, with a cross-sectional baseline
- 144 measurement and a follow-up one month after. New follow-ups are expected to be done in the future.

#### 145 Selection criteria, recruitment, and follow-up

146 Cúcuta and its metropolitan area is the main land entry point to Colombia. This is a region of high pendular flow (more than 35,000 people crossed per day before the pandemic), the trans-border 147 movement of people to obtain goods and services in one side of the border, coming back to their 148 149 country of residence after just a few hours or days. It is also the point where "walkers" (caminantes, 150 a term used for Venezuelan migrants moving on foot) enter the country before moving on to the larger 151 cities or continuing to the south of the continent. The flow in this region is thus mixed, including various types of migration. Although the flow decreased during the pandemic, since there was an 152 administrative closure until the end of 2021, the crossing of people continued, mainly irregularly 153 154 through informal crossings (called "trochas" in Spanish).

This survey included women who: 1) were between 18 and 45 years of age; 2) had entered Colombia through Cúcuta (border city with Venezuela) or its metropolitan area (Los Patios, Puerto Santander or Villa del Rosario) at any time during the baseline measurement period; 3) did not have Colombian nationality; 4) expressed the intention to remain in Colombia for at least one year; 5) were not "circular" migrants (see definition below); and 6) did not have their passport stamped when entering Colombia and did not have a valid Special Permit to Stay (PEP in Spanish), which meant that their migration status was irregular.

For this study, "circular" was defined as having entered Colombia two or more times during the month prior to the first interview for reasons other than tourism, vacations, family visits or business trips. That is, the study excluded persons who in the previous month had entered Colombia frequently for reasons of work, study or in search of services, among other purposes, since this population is considered to be part of the cross-border living dynamics rather than a migrant population.

167 The baseline interview was conducted face-to-face between February 15 and May 25, 2021, at various 168 locations in the cities of Cúcuta, Los Patios and Villa del Rosario, and lasted an average of 30 minutes. 169 In this interview, the telephone numbers of all participants were obtained, and they were informed 170 that they would be contacted again one month later. For the follow-up interview, the women were contacted by telephone between March 16 and July 6, 2021. The second contact was attempted 171 beginning one month after the initial interview, at different times over a period of two weeks and on 172 173 different days of the week. When there was no response after this period the participant was 174 considered to be lost to follow-up. The average telephone call lasted 25 minutes.

175 Sampling

Given the lack of a clearly defined sampling frame, a non-probability sampling method with snowball
expansion was used. Interviewers went to temporary shelters and settlements where Venezuelan
migrants were living and invited all migrants who were there during the fieldwork period to

participate. Participants were also recruited on a road with a high flow of migrants, and all Venezuelanwomen walking past that point were invited to participate.

#### 181 Data collection instruments and study variables

A standardized questionnaire with 161 questions was administered to assess the following dimensions
of health status and access to health services: health history and perceived morbidity, effective access
to health services, sexual and reproductive health, early detection of cervical and breast cancer, food
insecurity and depressive symptoms.

186 The participants were asked about self-perceived health, health problems or conditions over the past month, self-reported difficulty performing daily chores or work activities over the last six months 187 188 (with a 6-item Likert scale ranging from none to extreme), medical diagnoses of diseases (presence 189 or absence) and medication use (yes/no). These questions were extracted and adapted from the 2015 190 Colombia National Demographics and Health Survey (ENDS in Spanish). The presence of significant 191 depressive symptoms was evaluated using the seven-item version of the Center for Epidemiological 192 Studies depression scale (CES-D), with a cut-off point that was validated for the Colombian population [score greater than or equal to 8] [15,16]. 193

Sociodemographic information was also collected (age, marital status, whether they were 194 195 accompanied by others, number of people accompanying them, self-perceived race, educational level, 196 sleeping place, number of people in the household, head of household, income, subsidies received) 197 and on the migration process (country of residence, reason for migration, reason for entering 198 Colombia through Cucuta, means of transportation, living in Colombia previously). The questions on 199 sociodemographic conditions were adapted from the ENDS, and other questions were included that 200 were adapted from the 2018 National Population and Housing Census of Colombia and the 2007 201 Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health (SAGE) questionnaire.

All surveys were reviewed by experts on the topics and evaluated with a pilot test consisting of 30 interviews with 30 migrants during the first two days of fieldwork (February 15 and 16, 2021), which allowed the questions to be adapted and modified. This pilot test demonstrated that the instruments and the items included performed well.

Similarly, a standardized questionnaire with 154 questions was used for the follow-up, which evaluated the same topics as those in the initial questionnaire, with the addition of questions on work history in Colombia. The follow-up questionnaire had fewer questions since those that were no longer relevant because it was not the first contact with the interviewers were eliminated. In addition, emphasis was placed on changes in conditions over the past month. Both interviews were conducted by previously trained interviewers.

### 212 Statistical analysis

213 A descriptive analysis of the baseline data was performed using measures of central tendency and 214 dispersion for quantitative variables and percentages for categorical variables. To assess changes 215 between baseline and follow-up, bivariate analyses were performed with the McNemar test for 216 dichotomous categorical variables and the marginal homogeneity test (Stuart-Maxwell) for nominal-217 polytomous variables. Ordinal variables were treated as polytomous categorical variables since we did not want to evaluate the correlation between the first and second measurements but rather the 218 219 percentage change between the two measurements. For this reason, they were also analyzed using the 220 marginal homogeneity test. In the case of quantitative variables, they were first evaluated using 221 graphical and numerical methods (Shapiro-Wilk test) to identify whether they followed a normal distribution, and if they did not then the Wilcoxon test was used to compare the data from the two 222 223 measurements.

To assess potential bias from loss to follow-up, the baseline characteristics of the participants with and without follow-up were compared using the chi-square test for categorical variables (and Fisher's

exact test in cases where the sample was small) and the Mann-Whitney U test for quantitativevariables.

All analyses were performed using Stata® v14 with a significance level of 0.05 indicating statistically

significant changes.

### 230 *Ethics statement*

231 This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidad del Norte. All 232 participants gave informed consent to be included and their data were kept strictly confidential. 233 Telephone numbers were provided by the women after the study was explained and informed consent 234 was obtained. Informed consent was again obtained at the time of follow-up. During both interviews 235 it was made clear to the women that their participation was free and voluntary, that it would not affect 236 any social benefits that they may receive and that sharing the information would not pose any risk. 237 Personal information that could lead to individual identification of the participants was only known 238 and handled by the research team and was omitted from the final databases.

239

### 240 **Results**

A total of 2,298 Venezuelan migrant women were surveyed at baseline and 1,297 were surveyed at
one-month follow-up (56.4% response rate).

Statistically significant differences were found in the baseline measurements between women with
and without follow-up, specifically, those with follow-up had a higher educational level, had been in
Colombia longer, and had more contact with Colombian health services. They also had a slightly
smaller percentage of significant depressive symptoms (Table S1).

#### 247 Socioeconomic and migration flow conditions

248 In the baseline survey, the median age was 28 years (IQR: 23-35), 57.5% of the participants were 249 married or in a free union, 59.4% were the head of household, 97.5% did not identify as belonging to 250 any ethnic group and 50.4% had attained only secondary school education. In addition, 34.8% 251 indicated that they would sleep on the street that night. The median number of household members 252 in Colombia was 4 (IQR: 3-5), 72.4% of the households had only one person earning an income, 90.9% had a household with a monthly income of less than one minimum wage (\$1,000,000 253 254 Colombian pesos per month, which is equivalent to approximately \$259 USD) and only 0.9% 255 received economic assistance or subsidies (Table 1).

256 A decrease between baseline and follow-up was found in the percentage of migrants sleeping on the 257

street, while an increase was found in the percentage of those who contributed money to the household

258 and in participants whose households received economic assistance (Table 1). At follow-up, only

259 16.3% (n= 212) of the participants had initiated the process to regularize their migration status. The

documents that were most frequently obtained were the PPT (n= 143; 67.5%) the PEP (34; 16.0%) 260

and the laissez-passer (n=35; 16.5%). 261

#### 262 Health status and access to health services

263 Regarding health conditions, at baseline 23.1% of the participants had presented a health problem or 264 condition in the past month and 29.5% in the past 6 months, and 14.4% evaluated their health as fair 265 or poor. The most frequent medical diagnosis was vector-borne disease (18.7%) and 80.5% of the 266 migrants had clinically significant depressive symptoms at the time of the interview. Of the 267 participants who needed medications, 36.4% did not take them at the time of the interview, primarily 268 because of their cost.

269 At follow-up, considerably more women reported having had a health problem in the past month,

with the figure rising from 23.1% to 31.4%. This increase was more notable among those who were 270

interviewed one month or less after arriving in Colombia, 28% of whom reported a health problem in 271

the past month, compared to those who were interviewed after being in the country for over one year, 24% of whom reported having a health problem (Table 4). Similarly, there was a marked increase in the percentage of participants who reported moderate, severe, or extreme difficulty working or performing daily chores (from 5. 5% to 11.0%) and who rated their health as fair (from 13.0% to 31.2%). Conversely, the percentage of women with depressive symptoms decreased from 80.5% to 71.2%. Table 2 shows all the results related to the health status and access to services of the participants.

The differences found between baseline and one-month follow-up remained the same when
categorized by length of time in Colombia: <1 month, 1 month to 1 year and >1 year (Table S2).

## 281 **Discussion**

The present investigation sought to analyze the health status and access to health services of Venezuelan migrant women with irregular migration status at baseline and one-month follow-up. ELSA-VENCOL) is one of the first longitudinal study among Venezuelan migrants carried out in Colombia.

The baseline information showed that roughly one-fifth of the participants had had a health problem during the previous month. However, the prevalence of a prior diagnosis of chronic diseases was low, indicating that this was a relatively healthy population. At the same time, the prevalence of significant depressive symptoms was extremely high [82% at baseline], which likely reflects the psychosocial impact of the conditions associated with migration [17,18]. Research in a similar population (intransit migrants in Mexico) has shown that women are more likely than men to experience common mental disorders in this type of context [19].

In this regard, it is interesting that over the follow-up period the percentage of women who reported having had some health problem or condition in the past month increased while the prevalence of significant depressive symptoms decreased. When also considering the improvement in

socioeconomic factors (a higher percentage who had stable living conditions such as rented houses or rooms, received subsidies or had contact with health services), these results seem to indicate that more time in Colombia is associated with a more stable situation, which in turn is accompanied by an improved emotional state. However, since at least one study has shown that the effect of socioeconomic stressors on mental health during the resettlement process of displaced populations differ between men and women, further research to explore this hypothesis is required [20].

The increase in the prevalence of reported health problems is an interesting finding. There are very 302 303 few longitudinal studies of similar populations (i.e., south-south mixed migrant flows with a 304 combination of in-transit and resettling persons), but at least one cohort study of Bosnian refugees in 305 Croatia found that, at a three-year follow-up, their health status had improved. Health improvement would be expected since migrants tend to experience less socioeconomic risk factors for health 306 307 problems (e.g. poverty, insecure housing) over time. However, it is possible that the time frame of 308 our study did not capture this [21]. Instead, having had more access to services at follow up could 309 make women more likely to perceive when they had a health problem, rather than dismiss it out of 310 hand when unable to access treatment. In addition, the slight increase in the prevalence of some of 311 the diseases evaluated could correspond to better detection of the disease in the study population, that 312 is, to an increase in the detection of the pathology [possibly due to greater access to health services] 313 rather than to a real increase in the disease. While given the data in this study, these interpretations are speculative, nevertheless, it would be interesting to explore them further in the future. In addition 314 to health-related factors, it is striking that only 16.3% of the participants who were followed had 315 316 sought to regularize their migration status. Given this insufficient level of regularization, it would be 317 worthwhile to disseminate more information and strengthen education about the process of regularizing their status in Colombia, especially in the framework of the PPT. This would dispel the 318 myths about this migration and clarify the benefits obtained as well as those that are not lost. 319

Regularization is also important because it directly impacts the health conditions of migrants and thehealth services that are available to them.

322 The limitations of this study include having obtained more follow-up with the subsample of participants who were already settled and had been living in Colombia for a longer period when 323 324 joining the study (baseline survey). Follow-up information was obtained from only 28% of those who 325 had been in the country for one month or less at the time of the baseline survey, compared to 55% of those who had been in Colombia for more than one month and up to one year and 74% of those who 326 327 had been in Colombia for more than one year. However, the differences were not statistically 328 significant for most of the variables. The conclusions obtained may not be fully generalizable to newly 329 arrived migrants and those who are still in transit. In addition, due to its very nature, this survey is 330 not exempt from the possibility of information bias. Furthermore, the response rate was 56% at 331 follow-up. A sensitivity analysis of the population that was actually contacted one month after the 332 initial interview indicated that the variables that had significant differences, which can guide 333 additional analyses or follow-up studies, characterized the subsample that could be followed. This 334 needs to be considered when making practical decisions based on the data collected. In addition, there 335 is the possibility of information bias because it was detected that some migrants gave erroneous 336 answers thinking that they would receive a benefit. This could not be corrected for participants 337 without follow-up. The strengths of this study include 1) its longitudinal design, 2) the population of women given their specific health needs and that they currently represent 49% of the Venezuelan 338 339 migrant population in Colombia [4], and 3) the sample size, which provided sufficient statistical 340 power for analyzing the data. This type of analysis could help to adapt interventions so that they are 341 more specific to the target population group.

342

# 344 Conclusion

345 This study was able to collect a large amount of information. It is hoped that this data will be used to 346 generate research hypotheses for subsequent studies to investigate particular relationships or 347 associations between variables, or to provide explanations for some of the findings. It is also hoped that this study will not only help to guide the design of public policies aimed at facilitating the 348 349 insertion of Venezuelan migrants into Colombian society and improving their quality of life, but that 350 it will also serve as a first step towards beginning to close the gap in knowledge that exists with respect to multidimensional information on the health status and access to healthcare services of 351 352 Venezuelan migrants in Colombia.

# 353 Acknowledgments

This publication was made possible thanks to the generous support of the people of the United States through the Community Stabilization Activities (CSA) of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), the Universidad del Norte de Barranquilla and the International Organization for Migration (IOM). We thank the health authorities of Cucuta and Villa del Rosario, the local migrant organizations, and all the women who participated in this study.

360

361

362

363

364

365

## 367 **References**

- Fernández-Niño JA, Bojorquez-Chapela I. Migration of Venezuelans to Colombia. The Lancet.
   2018;392(10152):1013–4. pmid: 30264704.
- Plataforma de Coordinación Interagencial para Refugiados y Migrantes de Venezuela R4V. R4V
   América Latina y el Caribe, Refugiados y Migrantes Venezolanos en la Región. 2021. Accessed
   July 15, 2022. Available at: https://www.r4v.info/es/document/r4v-america-latina-y-el-cariberefugiados-y-migrantes-venezolanos-en-la-region-noviembre-1
- Ratha D, Shaw W. South-South Migration and Remittances. World Bank Working Paper No.
   102 (Internet). World Bank Group; 2007. Accessed July 4, 2022. Available at: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/6733
- 377 Paiewonsky D. Feminización de la Migración. Documento de trabajo 1 (Internet). Instituto 4. Internacional de Investigaciones y Capacitación de las Naciones Unidas para la Promoción de la 378 379 Mujer (INSTRAW); 2007. Accessed July 2022. Available 5, at: 380 https://trainingcentre.unwomen.org/instraw-library/2009-R-MIG-GLO-FEM-SP.pdf
- Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores. Migración Colombia. Distribución de venezolanos en Colombia, 2021 (Internet). Migración Colombia; 2021. Accessed July 15, 2022. Available at: https://www.migracioncolombia.gov.co/infografias/distribucion-de-venezolanos-en-colombiacorte-31-de-agosto-de-2021
- World Bank Group. Migración desde Venezuela a Colombia. Impactos y estrategia de respuesta
  en el corto y mediano plazo [Internet]. World Bank Group, 2018. Accessed July 15, 2022.
  Available at: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/30651
- Quintero-Torres LD, Fernández-Niño JA, Chicangana-Collazos CJ. Prevalencia y factores socioeconómicos asociados a las violencias basadas en género contra gestantes migrantes venezolanas irregulares en el Caribe colombiano. Colombia forense. 2020 ;6[2]:1–20. doi: 10.16925/2145-9649.2019.02.02
- Proyecto Migración Venezuela, Revista Semana. Necesidades en salud de la población migrante en Colombia (Internet). Proyecto Migración Venezuela; 2020. Accessed July 15, 2022. Available at: https://migravenezuela.com/web/articulo/atencion-en-salud-a-venezolanos-encolombia/1800
- Fernández-Niño JA, Luna-Orozco K, Navarro-Lechuga E, Flórez-García V, Acosta-Reyes J,
   Solano A, et al. Necesidades percibidas de salud por los migrantes desde Venezuela en el
   asentamiento de Villa Caracas Barranquilla, 2018: reporte de caso en salud pública. Rev Univ
   Ind Santander Salud. 2018 ;50[3]:269–76. doi: 10.18273/revsal.v50n3-2018002.
- Human Rights Watch. El éxodo venezolano. Urge una respuesta regional ante una crisis
   migratoria sin precedentes (Internet). Human Rights Wathc; 2018. Accessed July 15, 2022.
   Available at: https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/report\_pdf/venezuela0918sp\_web.pdf
- 403 11. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social. Plan de Respuesta del Sector Salud al fenómeno 404 migratorio (Internet). MinSalud; 2018. Accessed July 15, 2022. Available at:

- https://www.minsalud.gov.co/sites/rid/Lists/BibliotecaDigital/RIDE/DE/COM/plan-respuesta salud-migrantes.pdf
- 407 12. Consejo Nacional de Política Económica Social República de Colombia. Documento CONPES
  408 3950. Estrategia para la atención de la migración desde Venezuela (Internet). CONPES; 2018.
  409 Accessed July 15, 2022. Available at: https://colaboracion.dnp.gov.co/CDT/Conpes/Econ%C3%B3micos/3950.pdf
- 13. Proyecto Migración Venezuela, Revista Semana. Infografía: ¿Cómo está la atención en salud de los venezolanos en Colombia? [Internet]. Proyecto Migración Venezuela; 2021. Accessed July
  13. Proyecto Migración Venezuela; 2021. Accessed July
  14. 15, 2022. Available at: https://migravenezuela.com/web/articulo/condiciones-de-acceso-a-saludde-los-venezolanos-en-colombia/2928
- 415 14. Bonilla-Tinoco LJ, Aguirre-Lemus M, Fernández-Niño JA. Venezuelan migrant population in
  416 Colombia: health indicators in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals. F1000Res.
  417 2020; 9:684 .doi: 10.12688/f1000research.24997.1
- 418 15. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General
  419 Population. Applied Psychological Measurement. 1977 Jun;1[3]:385–401. doi:
  420 10.1177/014662167700100306
- 421 16. Rueda-Jaimes G, Díaz-Martínez L, López-Camargo M, Campo-Arias A. Validación de una versión abreviada de la Escala para Depresión del Centro de Estudios Epidemiológicos [CES-D]
  423 en adultos colombianos. rev.colomb.psiquiatr. 2009;38[3]:513–21.
- 424 17. Campion J, Bhui K, Bhugra D. European Psychiatric Association [EPA] guidance on prevention
  425 of mental disorders. Eur psychiatr. 2012;27[2]:68–80. pmid: 22285092.
- 426 18. Bojorquez-Chapela I. Salud mental y Migración Internacional. Rev Univ Ind Santander Salud
  427 [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Aug 7];47[2]. Available from: http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S0121-08072015000200001
- 429 19. Bojorquez I, Sepulveda J., Lee D, Strathdee S. Interrupted transit and common mental disorders
  430 among migrants in Tijuana, Mexico. International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2022:
  431 207640221099419. pmid: 35652311
- 20. Shuxian Wu, Andre M N Renzaho, Brian J Hall, Lishuo Shi, Li Ling, Wen Chen. Time-varying
  associations of pre-migration and post-migration stressors in refugees' mental health during
  resettlement: a longitudinal study in Australia. The Lancet Psychiatry.2021;8(1): 36-43. pmid:
  33156999.
- 436 21. Sarajlić Vuković I, Jovanović N, Džubur Kulenović A, Britvić D, Mollica RF. Women health:
  437 Psychological and most prominent somatic problems in 3-year follow-up in Bosnian refugees.
  438 Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2021 Sep;67(6):770-778. pmid: 33183154.
- 439
- 440

441

- 443
- 444 Tables

#### 445 Table 1. Sociodemographic data of the migrant women with irregular migration status

446 participating in ELSA-VENCOL, 2021.

	Total baseline	Sample with follow-up		
	[n= 2298]	Baseline	Follow-up [n=1297]	p value
	n [%]	[n=1297] n [%]	n[%]	_
Marital status		[ / • ]		
Married or free	1322 [57.5]	799 [61.6]	678 [52.3]	
union				
Single	518 [22.5]	272 [21.0]	563 [43.4]	< 0.01
Separated,				
divorced,	458 [19.9]	226 [17.4]	56 [4.3]	
widowed				
Ethnic group Indigenous	15 [0.7]	5 [0.4]		
Afro- descendant	33 [1.4]	17 [1.3]		_
Gypsy or Roma	9 [0.4]	4 [0.3]		
None	2241 [97.5]	1271 [98.0]		
Educational	[· · · · ]	. []		
level				
None	24 [1.0]	12 [0.9]		
Pre-school or	929 [40.5]	491 [37.9]		_
elementary	)2) [40.3]			_
Secondary	1158 [50.4]	678 [52.3]		
education				
Higher education	187 [8.1]	116 [8.9]		
Where they spent the night				
House or				
apartment of				
family members	121 [5.3]	81 [6.2]	170 [13.1]	
or someone		LJ	ĽJ	
known				
Rented house or	725 [31.6]	529 [40.8]	517 [39.9]	< 0.01
apartment	/20 [51.0]	525 [10.0]	517 [59.9]	-0.01
Rented room in	110 [5 0]		006 [00 0]	
house or	119 [5.2]	78 [6.0]	286 [22.0]	
apartment Tenement				
housing	233 [10.1]	151 [11.6]	131 [10.1]	
Hotel, hostel or		4 50 43	4 50 43	
guest house	1 [0.0]	1 [0.1]	1 [0.1]	
~				

Image:		Total baseline	Sample wit	Sample with follow-up	
Shelter/migrant house $300 [13.1]$ $132 [10.2]$ $15 [1.2]$ Street $799 [34.8]$ $325 [25.1]$ $177 [13.6]$ Number of migrant household $4 [3-5]$ $4 [3-5]$ $4 [3-5]$ Colombia Imembers in Colombia $(4 [3-5])$ $4 [3-5]$ $4 [3-5]$ Colombia Imembers in Colombia $(25 [5.4])$ $41 [3.2]$ $23 [1.8]$ Living alone $125 [5.7]$ $730 [56.3]$ $680 [52.4]$ 5 or more people $848 [36.9]$ $526 [40.5]$ $59 [45.8]$ Number of people contributing money to $1 [1-1]$ $1 [1-1]$ $1 [1-1]$ household expenses $75 [3.3]$ $41 [3.2]$ $80 [6.2]$ $0.55$ [median; IQR] $0.55$ $0.55$ $0.55$ $0.55$ [median; IQR] $0.55 [22.3]$ $318 [24.5]$ $0.55$ Number of people who depend on that depend on that $1 [3-5]$ $4 [3-5]$ $5 [4-6]$ $0.01$ $1 - 2$ $294 [13.2]$ $134 [10.7]$ $61 [5.0]$ $53.0]$ $-0.01$ $1 - 2$ $294 [13.2]$ $134 [10.7]$ $61 [5.0]$ <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>[n=1297]</th><th>[n=1297]</th><th>p value</th></td<>			[n=1297]	[n=1297]	p value
house $300[15,1]$ $132[10,2]$ $15[1,2]$ Street $799[34.8]$ $325[25,1]$ $177[13.6]$ Number of       migrant       household $4[3-5]$ $4[3-5]$ household $4[3-5]$ $4[3-5]$ $4[3-5]$ Colombia       (2001)       (2001)       (2001)         [median; IQR]       (2011)       (2011)       (2011)         Living alone       125[5.4]       41[3.2]       23[1.8]         2 to 4 people       1325[57.7]       730[56.3]       680[52.4]         5 or more people       848[36.9]       526[40.5]       59[45.8]         Number of       memey to       1[1-1]       1[1-1]       1[1-1]         household       (2011)       (2011)       (2011)       (2011)         None       75[3.3]       41[3.2]       80[6.2]       (2011)         It is is in a 1       (2011)       (2011)       (2011)         Mousehold       (		n [%]	n [%]	n [%]	
Street       799 [34.8]       325 [25.1]       177 [13.6]         Number of       migrant       household       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]         bousehold       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5] $(0.01]$ [median; IQR]       [median; IQR]       [astronomic propeople       1325 [57.7]       730 [56.3]       680 [52.4]         5 or more people       848 [36.9]       526 [40.5]       59 [45.8]       Number of         people       contributing       noney to       1 [1-1]       1 [1-1]       1 [1-1]         None       75 [3.3]       41 [3.2]       80 [6.2]       0.55         [median; IQR]       None       75 [3.3]       41 [3.5]       899 [69.3]         2+       558 [24.3]       290 [22.3]       318 [24.5]       Number of         people who       depend on that       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       5 [4-6]       (0.01         1 - 2       294 [13.2]       134 [10.7]       61 [5.0]       (3.01)       (3.01)         Household       income*       913 [41.1]       544 [43.3]       645 [53.0]       (0.01         1 - 2       294 [13.2]       134 [10.7]       61 [5.0]       (3.01)       (3.01)         Betowenol       913 [41.1]       <	-	300 [13.1]	132 [10.2]	15 [1.2]	
Number of migrant household       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]         Household       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]         Colombia $      <<0.01         Imembers inColombia        <<0.01 <<0.01 <<0.01 <<0.01         Imembers inColombia       <<0.01 <<0.01 <<0.01 <<0.01 <<0.01         Imedian; IQR]       <<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01         Number ofpeople       <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01         Number ofpeople whodepend on that1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01         Number ofpeople whodepend on that1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 1 - 2 <0.01 <0.01 <$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		/99 [34.8]	525 [25.1]	1//[15.0]	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
members in       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]         Colombia        <0.01	U				
Colombia $<0.01$ [median; IQR]       Living alone       125 [5.4]       41 [3.2]       23 [1.8]         2 to 4 people       1325 [57.7]       730 [56.3]       680 [52.4]         5 or more people       848 [36.9]       526 [40.5]       59 [45.8]         Number of       people       50 [1-1]       1 [1-1]       1 [1-1]         household       expenses       0.55       [median; IQR]       0.55         [median; IQR]       None       75 [3.3]       41 [3.2]       80 [6.2]       0.55         [median; IQR]       0.558 [24.3]       290 [22.3]       318 [24.5]       0.55         [median; IQR]       0.558 [24.3]       290 [22.3]       318 [24.5]       <0.01		4 [3-5]	4 [3-5]	4 [3-5]	
					<0.01
Living alone       125 [5.4]       41 [3.2]       23 [1.8]         2 to 4 people       1325 [57.7]       730 [56.3]       680 [52.4]         5 or more people       848 [36.9]       526 [40.5]       59 [45.8]         Number of       people       50 [50.2]       59 [45.8]         contributing       money to       1 [1-1]       1 [1-1]       1 [1-1]         household       0.55       [median; IQR]       0.55         None       75 [3.3]       41 [3.2]       80 [6.2]         1       1665 [72.4]       966 [74.5]       899 [69.3]         2+       558 [24.3]       290 [22.3]       318 [24.5]         Number of       people who       4 [3-5]       5 [4-6]         income*       [median; IQR]        <0.01					0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		125 [5.4]	41 [3.2]	23 [1.8]	
5 or more people $848$ [36.9] $526$ [40.5] $59$ [45.8]         Number of       people $1$ [1-1] $1$ [1-1] $1$ [1-1]         household $1$ [1-1] $1$ [1-1] $1$ [1-1] $0.55$ [median; IQR] $0.55$ $0.55$ $0.55$ [median; IQR] $0.55$ $0.55$ None $75$ [3.3] $41$ [3.2] $80$ [6.2] $1$ $1665$ [72.4] $966$ [74.5] $899$ [69.3] $2+$ $558$ [24.3] $290$ [22.3] $318$ [24.5]         Number of       people who $0.01$ depend on that $4$ [3-5] $4$ [3-5] $5$ [4-6]         income*       [median; IQR] $<0.01$ $1 - 2$ $294$ [13.2] $134$ [10.7] $61$ [5.0] $3 - 4$ $1016$ [45.7] $578$ [46.0] $511$ [42.0] $5$ or more $913$ [41.1] $544$ [43.3] $645$ [53.0]         Household       income *.b $1046$ [91.2] $1195$ [98.2] $<0.01$ Between 1 and <	-				
Number of people contributing money to1 [1-1]1 [1-1]1 [1-1]household expenses0.55[median; IQR]0.001None75 [3.3]41 [3.2]80 [6.2]11665 [72.4]966 [74.5]899 [69.3]2+558 [24.3]290 [22.3]318 [24.5]Number of people who depend on that4 [3-5]4 [3-5]5 [4-6]income <sup>a</sup> $<$ $<$ [median; IQR] $<$ $<$ 0.01 $1-2$ 294 [13.2]134 [10.7]1-2294 [13.2]134 [10.7]61 [5.0]3-41016 [45.7]578 [46.0]511 [42.0]5 or more913 [41.1]544 [43.3]645 [53.0]645 [53.0]Household income a.b2020 [90.9]1146 [91.2]1195 [98.2] $<$ 0.018 [0.4]6 [0.5]22 [1.8]Receiving financial help or subsidies $<$	* *				
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{contributing}\\ \mbox{money to} & 1 [1-1] & 1 [1-1] & 1 [1-1] \\ \mbox{household} & 0.55 \\ \mbox{[median; IQR]} & 0.55 \\ \mbox{[median; IQR]} & 75 [3.3] & 41 [3.2] & 80 [6.2] \\ 1 & 1665 [72.4] & 966 [74.5] & 899 [69.3] \\ 2+ & 558 [24.3] & 290 [22.3] & 318 [24.5] \\ \hline \mbox{Number of} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{geople who} & & & & & \\ \mbox{depend on that} & 4 [3-5] & 4 [3-5] & 5 [4-6] \\ \mbox{income}^a & & & & & \\ \mbox{[median; IQR]} & & & & & \\ \mbox{1 - 2 } & 294 [13.2] & 134 [10.7] & 61 [5.0] \\ 3 - 4 & 1016 [45.7] & 578 [46.0] & 511 [42.0] \\ 5 \ or more & 913 [41.1] & 544 [43.3] & 645 [53.0] \\ \hline \mbox{Household} & & & \\ \mbox{income}^{a,b} & & & \\ \mbox{Less than 1} & 2020 [90.9] & 1146 [91.2] & 1195 [98.2] & <0.01 \\ \hline \mbox{Between 1 and < } & 8 [0.4] & 6 [0.5] & 22 [1.8] \\ \hline \mbox{Receiving} & & & & \\ \mbox{inancial help or} & & & & \\ \mbox{subsidies} & & & & \\ \end{tabular}$	* *		L J		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	people				
household expenses0.55[median; IQR] None75 [3.3]41 [3.2]80 [6.2]11665 [72.4]966 [74.5]899 [69.3]2+558 [24.3]290 [22.3]318 [24.5]Number of people who depend on that4 [3-5]4 [3-5]5 [4-6]income* [median; IQR] $<0.01$ $<0.01$ 1 - 2294 [13.2]134 [10.7]61 [5.0]3 - 41016 [45.7]578 [46.0]511 [42.0]5 or more913 [41.1]544 [43.3]645 [53.0]Household income *.b $<146 [91.2]$ 1195 [98.2]<0.01	contributing				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	money to	1 [1-1]	1 [1-1]	1 [1-1]	
expenses         [median; IQR]         None       75 [3.3]       41 [3.2]       80 [6.2]         1       1665 [72.4]       966 [74.5]       899 [69.3]         2+       558 [24.3]       290 [22.3]       318 [24.5]         Number of people who         depend on that       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       5 [4-6]         income <sup>a</sup> [median; IQR]          1 - 2       294 [13.2]       134 [10.7]       61 [5.0]         3 - 4       1016 [45.7]       578 [46.0]       511 [42.0]         5 or more       913 [41.1]       544 [43.3]       645 [53.0]         Household       income <sup>a.b</sup> Less than 1       2020 [90.9]       1146 [91.2]       1195 [98.2]       <0.01	household				0.55
None75 [3.3]41 [3.2]80 [6.2]11665 [72.4]966 [74.5]899 [69.3]2+558 [24.3]290 [22.3]318 [24.5]Number of people who depend on that4 [3-5]4 [3-5]5 [4-6]income <sup>a</sup> [median; IQR]1 - 2294 [13.2]134 [10.7]61 [5.0]3 - 41016 [45.7]578 [46.0]511 [42.0]5 or more913 [41.1]544 [43.3]645 [53.0]Household income <sup>a, b</sup> 2020 [90.9]1146 [91.2]1195 [98.2]SMMLV2020 [90.9]1146 [91.2]1195 [98.2]<0.01	•				0.55
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
Number of people who depend on that       4 [3-5]       4 [3-5]       5 [4-6]         income <sup>a</sup> <0.01					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		558 [24.3]	290 [22.3]	318 [24.5]	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
income <sup>a</sup> <[median; IQR] $1-2$ 294 [13.2]134 [10.7]61 [5.0] $1-2$ 294 [13.2]134 [10.7]61 [5.0] $3-4$ 1016 [45.7]578 [46.0]511 [42.0] $5$ or more913 [41.1]544 [43.3]645 [53.0]Householdincome a, bLess than 12020 [90.9]1146 [91.2]1195 [98.2]SMMLV2020 [90.9]1146 [91.2]1195 [98.2]SMMLV8 [0.4]6 [0.5]22 [1.8]Receivingfinancial help or subsidies $< 0.01$ $< 0.01$		4 [2 5]	4 [2 5]	5 [4 (]	
		4 [3-5]	4 [3-5]	5 [4-6]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					< 0.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		204 [12 2]	134 [10 7]	61 [5 0]	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
Household income a, b       Image: a, b       Image: a, b       Image: a, b         Less than 1 SMMLV       2020 [90.9]       1146 [91.2]       1195 [98.2]       <0.01					
income a, b         Less than 1         SMMLV         SMMLV         Between 1 and <		J15 [41.1]	547 [45.5]	045 [55.0]	
Less than 1 SMMLV       2020 [90.9]       1146 [91.2]       1195 [98.2]       <0.01					
SMMLV       2020 [90.9]       1146 [91.2]       1195 [98.2]       <0.01					0.5.
Between 1 and <		2020 [90.9]	1146 [91.2]	1195 [98.2]	< 0.01
3 SMMLV         8 [0.4]         6 [0.5]         22 [1.8]           Receiving financial help or subsidies         <0.01					
Receiving financial help or subsidies <0.01		8 [0.4]	6 [0.5]	22 [1.8]	
financial help or subsidies <0.01					
subsidies	0				<0.01
Yes 20[09] 10[08] 74[57]	subsidies				<b>\U.U1</b>
	Yes	20 [0.9]	10 [0.8]	74 [5.7]	

<sup>a</sup> These questions were only evaluated for migrants who indicated that at least one person was working

and contributing money to household expenses.

<sup>b</sup> Only the categories with responses were included

450 Current Minimum Monthly Legal Salary [SMMLV in Spanish] when the questionnaire was 451 administered was \$908.526

452 p value was only calculated for the variables that were asked about in both surveys.

- 454 Table 2. Health status, access to healthcare services and self-perceived health among the migrant
- 455 women with an irregular migration status participating in ELSA-VENCOL, 2021

	Total baseline	Sample with follow-up <sup>a</sup>		
	[n= 2298]	Baseline [n= 1297]	Follow-up [n= 1297]	p value*
	n [%]	n [%]	n [%]	
Some type of				
health problem or condition				
Over the past				
month	529 [23.0]	299 [23.1]	407 [31.4]	< 0.01
Over the past 6	(79 [20 5]	295 [20 7]	NT/ A	
months	678 [29.5]	385 [29.7]	N/A	
Difficulty				
performing daily				
chores or working				
None	1935 [84.2]	1083 [83.6]	930 [71.7]	0.03
Mild	237 [10.3]	141 [10.9]	225 [17.3]	
Moderate/Severe/		2 3		
Extreme	125 [5.4]	72 [5.5]	142 [11.0]	
Medical				
diagnosis <sup>a</sup>				
High blood pressure *	102 [4.4]	67 [5.2]	108 [4.7]	0.60
Diabetes	22 [1.0]	11 [0.9]	23 [1.8]	0.99
Dyslipidemia	24 [1.0]	14 [1.1]	27 [1.2]	0.25
HIV/AIDS	2 [0.1]	1 [0.1]	2 [0.1]	0.48
Tuberculosis	4 [0.2]	2 [0.2]	4 [0.2]	
Hepatitis B or C	1 [0.0]	2 [0.0]	1 [0.0]	0.32
Vector-borne	430 [18.7]	255 [19.7]	430 [18.7]	< 0.01
disease Depression	26 [1.1]	17 [1.3]	32 [1.4]	0.34
Other illnesses *	411 [17.9]	239 [18.4]	429 [18.7]	0.38
Need to take	[]			
medication				
Yes	401 [17.5]	237 [18.3]	251 [19.4]	0.18
Current use of				
the medication				
<b>that is needed</b> * No	146 [36.4]	87 [36.7]	67 [26.7]	0.01
Reason for not	1-0[0.4]	07[30.7]	07 [20.7]	0.01
using needed				0.31
medication				

	Total baseline	Sample with follow-up <sup>a</sup>		
-	[n= 2298]	Baseline [n= 1297]	Follow-up [n= 1297]	p value*
_	n [%]	n [%]	n [%]	
It is very expensive or no way to pay for it	108 [74.0]	63 [72.4]	53 [79.1]	
Do not like the medications	9 [6.2]	6 [6.9]	1 [1.5]	
There was no medication when sought/it was not found	6 [4.1]	3 [3.5]	0 [0.0]	
Don't know where to get it	5 [3.4]	2 [2.3]	2 [3.0]	
Others	18 [12.3]	13 [14.9]	11 [16.4]	
Self-perceived				
health				
Very good/good	1963 [85.5]	1110 [85.7]	875 [67.5]	< 0.01
Fair	307 [13.4]	169 [13.0]	404 [31.1]	
Very poor/poor	26 [1.1]	17 [1.3]	18 [1.4]	
Clinically				
significant				
depressive symptoms [CES-				
D]				
Yes	1880 [82.0]	1043 [80.5]	923 [71.2]	< 0.01

456

<sup>a</sup> The follow-up sample column shows the global prevalence of the illness during the study period in
the subsample of women who had a one-month follow-up, that is, the total people for whom the
condition was evaluated over the course of the study was counted and divided by 1,297.
\* p value (McNemar Test) for the significance of the change in the prevalence between baseline and
the follow-up (among women with follow-up)

464