

## **Determinants of Health-Related Quality of Life (HrQoL) of People living with HIV Based on Sexual Orientation**

Rico Januar Sitorus<sup>1\*</sup>, Nyoman Yudi Antara<sup>2</sup>, Reymart V. Sangalang<sup>3</sup>, Merry Natalia Panjaitan<sup>4</sup>, Nelsensius Klau Fauk<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia*

<sup>2</sup>*Faculty Of Health, Kader Bangsa University, Indonesia*

<sup>3</sup>*De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines*

<sup>4</sup>*Staff of Port Health Office, Ministry of Health, Palembang, Indonesia*

<sup>5</sup>*Research Centre on Public Health, Equity and Human Flourishing, Torrens University Australia, Australia*

*\* Corresponding Author: Rico Januar Sitorus, Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia, E-mail: [rico\\_januar@fkm.unsri.ac.id](mailto:rico_januar@fkm.unsri.ac.id)*

## Abstract

**Purpose:** Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection has detrimental impacts on the lives of different population groups living with HIV, including men who have sex with men (MSM). Using the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL – HIV BREF), this study aimed to assess the Health-Related Quality of Life (HrQoL) of men living with HIV with different sexual orientations and determine the dominant influential factors.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study involved 206 men living with HIV. They were recruited from Sriwijaya Plus Foundation and a medical facility providing antiretroviral therapy (ART). Data were analyzed using Chi-square and binomial logistic regression.

**Results:** The analysis showed that the percentage of MSM patients was more than non-MSM/heterosexual men, accounting for 68.9% of the total population. Furthermore, depression status, social stigma, family support, therapy duration, and suffering duration were significantly associated with the quality of life of MSM and non-MSM (p-value <0.001). The multivariate logistic regression revealed that the most dominant influential factor was depression status (PR=5.417; 95% CI=2.473-11.876), where the majority of the depressed patients were 5.417 times more at risk of lower life quality compared to others.

**Conclusion:** Depression can lead to low quality of life among HIV patients. The findings suggest that there is a need for the development of intervention programs that address the psychological and social needs of PLHIV or support them to cope with depression and the social stigma facing them. Support from families and health workers can help them cope with psychological and social challenges faced by PLHIV and increase community acceptance of PLHIV.

**Keywords:** Men who have sex with men, HIV, Sexual orientation, Depression.

# Introduction

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection is one of the global public health issues with high morbidity and mortality rates.<sup>1,2</sup> The UNAIDS data reported an estimated 37.7 million people living with HIV (PLHIV) globally in 2020, with Eastern and Southern Africa, and Asia and the Pacific at the top leading position, accounting for 20.6 million and 5.8 million PLHIV, respectively.<sup>3</sup> In the context of Indonesia, the AIDS national report shows an estimation of more than five thousand PLHIV in 2021, with less than 50 percent (144,632) who are actively on antiretroviral therapy (ART).<sup>4</sup>

HIV infection has been reported as causing PLHIV a range of detrimental impacts including psychological, social (stigma and discrimination), and economic impacts.<sup>5,6</sup> These impacts compounded with many other factors can also lead to further negative impacts and result in reduced or poor quality of life (QoL) of PLHIV. Studies investigating QoL of PLHIV have reported that PLHIV experienced poor QoL in several domains such as physical health, psychological health, level of independence, social relationship, environmental and spirituality domains.<sup>7-9</sup>

Studies have also suggested several factors associated with poor QoL of PLHIV in general, such as low level of educational attainment, unemployment status, perception of being ill, and dissatisfaction with sexual activity.<sup>7,10-12</sup> The experiences of family food insecurity, polypharmacy use, the advanced state of HIV infection and psychiatric comorbidities are also factors associated with poor QoL among PLHIV.<sup>11-13</sup> The use of illicit drugs which negatively influence the physical and mental well-being of PLHIV was also found to cause poor QoL among them.<sup>14</sup> Stigma, perceived discrimination, adverse effects of ART, non-adherence to ART low family income are factors associated with poor QoL among men who have sex with men (MSM) living with HIV<sup>15,16</sup>.

Previous studies have also reported factors associated with good QoL of PLHIV, such as being employed, having no financial concerns, not having mental health issues (e.g., stress, depression, anxiety) and other medical comorbidities, higher education level, and undergoing ART.<sup>8,10,14,17,18</sup> Other supporting factors for good QoL of PLHIV include the availability of social support, including emotional, tangible, and informational support, and having good coping strategies towards HIV-related difficult life situation.<sup>19,20</sup> Similarly, studies with MSM living

with HIV have reported that their better acceptance of the illness, family acceptance and peer support are positively associated with their better QoL.<sup>21–24</sup>

Despite a range of factors associated with poor or good QoL of PLHIV as reported in the aforementioned studies, none of these studies reported a comparison of QoL of MSM living with HIV and non-MSM living with HIV or heterosexual men globally. In the context of Indonesia, there is limited evidence on and studies exploring the QoL of different populations groups living with HIV.<sup>21,23–25</sup> Therefore, this study aimed to compare the QoL of men living with HIV with different sexual orientations and determine the dominant factors that affect their QoL.

## Methods

### *Participants and procedures*

This study used a quantitative approach with a cross-sectional design, and the sample population consists of 1180 HIV patients. Subsequently, a total of 206 respondents (MSM= 142; non-MSM=64) were selected using a non-random sampling technique, namely purposive sampling. They were registered in the Care Support and Treatment (CST) service and the Sriwijaya Plus Community in Palembang City

The respondents' QoL was measured using the World Health Organization Quality of Life-HIV BREF (WHOQOL-HIV BREF) instrument, which consisted of 6 domains, namely physical, psychological, level of independence, social relationships, environment, and spirituality. Each domain was rated on a 5-point Linkert scale where 1 indicates low and negative perceptions, while 5 shows high positive perceptions. The validity and reliability tests of the instrument were carried out in Indonesian. The validity test revealed a strong correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.60 - 0.79$ ), while the Cronbach Alpha value obtained from the reliability test was in the medium and good categories (0.513-0.798).<sup>26</sup>

*Depressive symptoms:* The symptoms include the psychological state of the respondents within the last two weeks. Furthermore, their depression status was measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), and the answers were given different scores, namely never (0), several days (1), more than a week (2), and almost every day (3). The PHQ was a self-administered version of the PRIME-MD diagnostic instrument for common mental disorders.

The depression status was grouped into 2 categories, where scores of 5-27 indicated a depressed state, while 0-4 were categorized as not depressed.<sup>27</sup>

*Social stigma:* This is a bad mark or view received by PLWHA, and it was measured using the Berger HIV Stigma Scale instrument, where the total score ranged from 25-125. The categorization was carried out using a cut-off point formula of 75% of the total score (125), where values  $\geq 93.75$  indicated high stigma, while values  $< 93.75$  were in the low category. The validity and reliability test of the Indonesian version of the Berger HIV Stigma Scale questionnaire (40 items) conducted by Nurdin obtained a Cronbach Alpha value of 0.94. Meanwhile, a value of 0.93 was recorded from the short version, which consisted of 25 items.<sup>28</sup>

*Family support:* This includes the support received by PLHIV from family members, such as husband, wife, and children as well as biological father, mother, brother, and sisters that cared for them during illness. It can be in various forms, including informational, emotional, instrumental, and appreciative support, which were measured using an instrument developed by Arikunto (2002), where the total score ranged from 18 to 90. The categorization was carried out using a cut-off point formula of 75% of the total score, where values  $< 67.5$  indicate low support, while others  $\geq 67.5$  were in the high category. A Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.6 was obtained from the reliability results.<sup>29</sup>

*Occupation:* Information about the respondent's occupation was obtained through interviews with questions that were already available in a structured questionnaire. Their occupations were then categorized into “not working and working” for further analysis.

*Duration of ART:* Information about the respondent's duration of ART was obtained through interviews using questions that were already available in a structured questionnaire. The durations were categorized into “ $< 1$  year and  $\geq 1$  year” for further analysis.

*Duration of living with HIV:* Information about the respondent's duration of HIV infection was collected through interviews with questions that were already available in a structured questionnaire. The durations were then categorized into “ $< 5$  years and  $\geq 5$  years” for further analysis.

### *Statistical analysis*

Data were analyzed statistically in 3 stages. A univariate analysis was carried out to describe the characteristics of the respondents, after which the Chi-square test was applied to explore the

relationship between the main independent variables and QoL. To determine the dominant factors, a multivariate analysis was performed using multiple logistic regression test.

## Results

Based on descriptive analysis, the demographic characteristics of the respondents showed that the percentage of MSM patients was more than non-MSM/heterosexual men, accounting for 68.9% of the total population. Table 1 revealed that within the MSM group, 68.1% had a job, 71.2% had incomes below the minimum wage, 71.2% were not married, and 76.9% were below the age of 30 years. Furthermore, 64.8% had an undergraduate degree, 68.8% were undergoing ART, and 70.2% were not depressed. The analysis also showed that 63.9% of the MSM participants experienced stigma, 72% had been diagnosed with HIV for less than 5 years, 80.6% received low family support, and 71% had a low QoL.

The evaluation of the participants' QoL showed that non-MSM/heterosexual men had a better QoL in the physical domain. However, the MSM group was better in the psychological aspect, independencies, social interaction, environmental domain, and perception of health, as shown in Table 2. The bivariate analysis showed that depression status, social stigma, family support and the duration of ART and HIV infection significantly correlated with the QoL of MSM and non-MSM with a p-value of 0.000, as shown in Table 3.

The multivariate analysis using logistic regression revealed that the most influential factor was depression status (p-value=0.000, PR<sub>Adj</sub> 5.417; 95 % CI (2.473-11.867) in both groups MSM and non-MSM. The finding indicates that depressed HIV patients were 5.417 times more at risk than others, as shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics PLWHA based on sexual orientation

Variables	Sexual orientation	
	MSM N=142	Non-MSM N=64
Gender		
Male	142 (68,9 %)	64 (31,1 %)
Occupation		
- Unemployed	18 (75,0 %)	6 (25,0 %)
- Employed	124 (68,1 %)	58 (31,9 %)
Marital status		
- Not married yet	126 (82,9 %)	26 (17,1 %)
- Married	12 (27,3 %)	32 (72,7 %)
- Widower	4 (40,0 %)	6 (60,0 %)
Income		
- < regional minimum wage	94 (71,2 %)	38 (28,8 %)
- ≥ regional minimum wage	48 (64,9 %)	26 (35,1 %)
Age (years)		
- < 30	80 (76,9 %)	24 (23,1 %)
- ≥ 30	62 (60,8 %)	40 (39,2 %)
Degree		
- Elementary school	2 (28,6 %)	5 (71,4 %)
- Junior High School	7 (70,0 %)	3 (30,0 %)
- Senior High School	81 (72,3 %)	31 (27,7 %)
- Diploma	15 (75,0 %)	5 (25,0 %)
- Undergraduate	35 (64,8 %)	19 (35,2 %)
- Graduate	2 (66,7 %)	1 (33,3 %)
Quality of Life		
- Low	76 (71,0 %)	31 (29,0 %)
- High	66 (66,7 %)	33 (33,3 %)
Social stigma		
- High	43(84,3 %)	8 (15,7 %)
- Low	99 (63,9 %)	56 (36,1 %)
Duration of ARV therapy (years)		
- < 1	23 (69,7 %)	10 (30,3 %)
- ≥ 1	119 (68,8 %)	54 (31,2 %)
Duration of living with HIV (years)		
- < 5	118 (72,0 %)	46 (28,0 %)
- ≥ 5	24 (57,1 %)	18 (42,9 %)
Family support		
- Low support	108 (80,6 %)	26 (19,4 %)
- High support	34 (47,2 %)	38 (52,8 %)
Depression symptoms		
- Depression	36 (65,5 %)	19 (34,5 %)
- Not depression	106 (70,2 %)	45 (29,8 %)

Table 2. Descriptive analysis Health-Related Quality of Life (HrQoL) of PLWHA based on sexual orientation

Quality of life domains	N		Mean $\pm$ SD		Min – Max	
	MSM	Non MSM	MSM	Non MSM	MSM	Non MSM
Physical	142	64	13,75 $\pm$ 2,98	14,58 $\pm$ 2,88	6 – 19	5 – 20
Psychological	142	64	14,33 $\pm$ 2,63	14,01 $\pm$ 2,10	5,6 – 20	8 – 18,4
Level of independencies	142	64	14,52 $\pm$ 2,39	13,77 $\pm$ 2,01	7 – 19	7 – 18
Social relationship	142	64	13,65 $\pm$ 2,61	13,48 $\pm$ 2,89	8 – 20	8 – 20
Environment	142	64	13,81 $\pm$ 2,15	13,58 $\pm$ 2,29	8,5 – 20	9 – 20
Spiritual	142	64	13,08 $\pm$ 3,76	14,23 $\pm$ 3,54	4 – 20	6 – 19
Individual perception of QOL	142	64	3,84 $\pm$ 0,89	3,63 $\pm$ 0,81	1 – 5	1 – 5
Individual perception of health	142	64	3,76 $\pm$ 0,86	3,58 $\pm$ 0,89	2 – 5	2 – 5



Table 3. Predictors of Health-Related Quality of Life (HrQoL)

Variable	Category	Quality of life				p- value	PR (95% CI)
		Low		High			
		n	%	n	%		
Depression status	Depression	45	81.8	10	18.2	0,000	1,993
	Not depression	62	41.1	89	58.9		(1,586 – 2,503)
Social stigma	High	36	70.6	15	29.4	0,004	1,541
	Low	71	45.8	84	54.2		(1,204 – 1,972)
Family support	Low	80	59.7	54	40.3	0,004	1,592
	High	27	37.5	45	62.5		(1,146 – 2,212)
Occupation	Unemployed	16	66.7	8	33.3	0,187	1,333
	Employed	91	50.0	91	50.0		(0,970 – 1,833)
Duration of ARV Therapy	< 1 year	23	69.7	10	30.3	0,042	1,435
	≥ 1 year	84	48.6	89	51.4		(1,093 – 1,885)
Duration of living with HIV	< 5 years	91	55.5	73	44.5	0,066	1,457
	≥ 5 years	16	38.1	26	61.9		(0,967 – 2,193)

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of Health-Related Quality of Life (HrQoL)

Risk Factors	Category	$\beta$	p-value	Adj PR (95% CI)
Depression status	Depression	1.690	0.000	6.268 (2.811-13.975)
	Not depression	Reff		
Duration of ARV Therapy	< 1 year	0.493	0.002	2.723 (1.426-5.198)
	$\geq 1$ year	Reff		
Social stigma	High	0.919	0.013	2.506(1.213-5.176)
	Low			

Notes: PR: Prevalence Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval

## Discussion

The study suggests that the MSM group had lower QoL compared to the non-MSM/heterosexual men. The higher prevalence rate of depression among the MSM group compared to the non-MSM group was a possible explanation for their experience of low QoL. Our findings confirm previous findings which have reported that depression is common among PLHIV due to their experience of health status deterioration, negative side effects of ART and apathy following their HIV diagnosis.<sup>30-33</sup>

In addition, some study suggests that depression, which consists of a series of disorders, can have negative effects on sleep, weight loss, appetite, health-seeking behaviors, and motivation of PLHIV, which in turn can further deteriorate their health and psychological wellbeing.<sup>34</sup> It has also been reported that depression is one of the most common psychiatric disorders, which negatively impacts the adherence and outcomes of ART among PLHIV.<sup>35,36</sup>

Our findings show that perceived and internalized stigma led to an increase in the intensity of depression among MSM and non-MSM. They also influenced both groups of

patients' health behaviors and led to non-disclosure of HIV status to partners, poor adherence to ART, increased risk of developing drug resistance, restricted access to health services, and reduced HrQoL. These are in line with the results of previous studies suggesting the association of stigma with poor physical life quality among PLHIV.<sup>37-40</sup>

It has been well-documented that despite numerous efforts to reduce the negative impact of HIV-related stigma, patients or PLHIV are still stigmatized in various contexts, including within families, communities, workplaces, and healthcare settings.<sup>38,41-43</sup> This study also suggests that there is a significant relationship between QoL and family support in both MSM and non-MSM with HIV. The finding is consistent with the findings of previous studies reporting that family support is associated with encouragement and the absence of stigma and discrimination against PLHIV.<sup>44</sup>

Strategies to improve the quality of life of PLHIV are by strengthening support and family care for them and promoting HIV screening among high-risk populations. Family supports include informing medication adherence, overcoming discrimination, encouraging early ART initiation, and attending therapy regularly can reduce loss to follow-up.<sup>45</sup>

Adherence to therapy strongly supports the QoL of PLHIV. This study indicates that the length of treatment is significantly related to improving the quality of life of PLHIV. This is consistent with the findings of previous studies which have suggested that being on ART is a factor associated with good QoL of PLHIV.<sup>8,10</sup>

The intake of ART helps to lower viral load, improve physical immune function and reduce opportunistic infections and comorbidities. It also increases the patient's productivity, social inclination, and QoL.<sup>46</sup> ART has also become a key component that increases longevity and controls other infectious diseases and has a significant long-term contribution to improving HrQoL.<sup>47,48</sup>

## Conclusion

The results showed that the average physical and spiritual life quality of HIV patients with MSM sexual orientation was higher than others in the non-MSM category. They also had better psychological well-being, independence level, social relationships, environment, and perceptions of health. The patient's life quality can be improved by providing vital support, reducing stigma as well as paying attention to stress levels and therapy adherence.

## **Acknowledgment**

The authors are grateful to the manager of Mohammad Hoesin Hospital as well as the medical record unit staff. The authors are also grateful to Sriwijaya Plus Foundation, Dempo community health center, and Sukarami community health center.

## **Authors' Contribution**

R.J.S. designed the study, developed a data instrument for data collection analysis, and drafted the manuscript. N.K.F. contributed to the proofreading and drafted the manuscript. N.Y.A. contributed to the interpretation of results, as well as the reviewing and editing of the article. Furthermore, R.V.S. contributed to the proofreading and editing of the article, while M.N.P. assisted in the literature review and editing. All co-authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript before submission.

## **Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

This study was approved by the ethical review committee of the Faculty of Public Health Sriwijaya University with reference number 149/UN9.FKM/TU.KKE/2021.

## **Competing Interest**

The authors declare no significant competing financial, professional, or personal interests that can affect the performance or presentation of the work described in this manuscript.

## **Availability of Data and Materials**

All data and related materials from this study are available and can be provided by the first author

## **References**

1. Collaborators LB of DHI V. Mapping subnational HIV mortality in six Latin American countries with incomplete vital registration systems. BMC Med [Internet]. 2021;19(1):4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01876-4>

2. Sartorius B, VanderHeide JD, Yang M, Goosmann EA, Hon J, Haeuser E et al. Local Burden of Disease HIV Collaborators. Subnational mapping of HIV incidence and mortality among individuals aged 15-49 years in sub-Saharan Africa, 2000-18: a modelling study. *Lancet HIV*. 2021 Jun;8(6):e363-e375. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(21)00051-5. PM.
3. UNAIDS. Global HIV Statistics. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. Available at: [https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/UNAIDS\\_FactSheet](https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/UNAIDS_FactSheet). (Accessed on 13 July 2022); 2021.
4. Kemenkes RI. Laporan Perkembangan HIV/AIDS dan Penyakit Infeksi Menular Seksual (PIMS) Triwulan I Tahun 2021. Jakarta, Indonesia: Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Available at: [file:///C:/Users/manek/Downloads/Laporan\\_TW\\_I\\_2021\\_FINAL1%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/manek/Downloads/Laporan_TW_I_2021_FINAL1%20(1).pdf). (Accessed on 1.
5. Fauk NK, Mwanri L, Hawke K, Mohammadi L, Ward PR. Psychological and Social Impact of HIV on Women Living with HIV and Their Families in Low- and Middle-Income Asian Countries: A Systematic Search and Critical Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 .
6. Fauk NK. Risk factors and the impact of HIV among women living with HIV and their families in Yogyakarta and Belu district, Indonesia (Disertation). Australia: Flinders University; 2022.
7. Akinboro AO, Akinyemi SO, Olaitan PB, Raji AA, Popoola AA, Awoyemi OR, et al. Quality of life of Nigerians living with human immunodeficiency virus [Internet]. Vol. 18, The Pan African medical journal. Department of Internal Medicine, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria.; 2014. p. 234. Available from: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/25426192>
8. Monteiro F, Canavarro M, Pereira M. Factors associated with quality of life in middle-aged and older patients living with HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2016 Feb 16;28:1–7.
9. Group WhoqHI V. WHOQOL-HIV for quality of life assessment among people living with HIV and AIDS: results from the field test. *AIDS Care*. 2004;16(7):882–9.
10. Karkashadze E, Gates MA, Chkhartishvili N, DeHovitz J, Tsertsvadze T. Assessment of quality of life in people living with HIV in Georgia. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2017;28(7):672–8.
11. Osei-Yeboah J, Owiredu WKBA, Norgbe GK, Lokpo SY, Obirikorang C, Alote Allotey E, et al. Quality of Life of People Living with HIV/AIDS in the Ho Municipality, Ghana: A Cross-Sectional Study. Redfield RR, editor. *AIDS Res Treat* [Internet]. 2017;2017:6806951. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6806951>
12. Nigusso FT, Mavhandu-Mudzusi AH. Health-related quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS: the role of social inequalities and disease-related factors. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* [Internet]. 2021;19(1):63. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-021-01702-2>
13. Seguiti C, Salvo PF, Di Stasio E, Lamonica S, Fedele AL, Manfrida S, et al. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) from HIV patients' perspective: comparison of patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures among people living with hiv (PLWH) and other chronic clinical conditions. *J Patient-Reported Outcomes* [Internet]. 2022;6(1):27. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-022-00423-4>
14. Khademi N, Zanganeh A, Saeidi S, Teimouri R, Khezeli M, Jamshidi B, et al. Quality of

- life of HIV-infected individuals: insights from a study of patients in Kermanshah, Iran. *BMC Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2021;21(1):203. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-05908-z>
15. Habtemariam HT, Feng W, Sainyugu L, Yong J, Min C, Wei T, et al. Associated factors of self-reported psychopathology and health related quality of life among men who have sex with men (MSM) with HIV/AIDS in Dalian, China: a pilot study. *Infect Dis Poverty* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 1;05(06):42–51. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-016-0204-z>
16. Health-Related Quality of Life in HIV-Infected Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A Cross-Sectional Study. 2016 Jan 1; *International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research* 22: 2859-2870. Available from: <https://eurekamag.com/research/057/972/057972409.php>
17. Nobre N, Pereira M, Roine RP, Sintonen H, Sutinen J. Factors associated with the quality of life of people living with HIV in Finland. *AIDS Care* [Internet]. 2017 Aug 3;29(8):1074–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2017.1281879>
18. Popping S, Kall M, Nichols BE, Stempher E, Versteegh L, van de Vijver DAMC, et al. Quality of life among people living with HIV in England and the Netherlands: a population-based study. *Lancet Reg Heal - Eur* [Internet]. 2021;8:100177. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S266677622100154X>
19. Basavaraj KH, Navya MA RR. Quality of life in HIV/AIDS. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS*. 2010 Jul;31(2):75-80. doi: 10.4103/0253-7184.74971. PMID: 21716787; PMCID: PMC3122586.
20. Leserman J, Perkins DO ED. Coping with the threat of AIDS: the role of social support. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1992 Nov;149(11):1514-20. doi: 10.1176/ajp.149.11.1514. PMID: 1415818.
21. Desyani NLJ, Waluyo A, Yona S. The relationship between stigma, religiosity, and the quality of life of HIV-positive MSM in Medan, Indonesia. *Enfermería Clínica* [Internet]. 2019;29:510–4. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1130862119301962>
22. Gao C, Xiao X, Zhang L, Xu H, Wang M, Wang H. The relationship between acceptance of illness and quality of life among men who have sex with men living with human immunodeficiency virus: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Nurs Sci* [Internet]. 2022;9(3):313–20. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235201322200031X>
23. Martiana I, Waluyo A, Yona S, Edianto E. Peer Support and Family Acceptance with Quality of Life of MSM Living With HIV and Antiretroviral. *J Keperawatan Indones*. 2020 Nov 22;
24. Putra INAM, Waluyo A, Yona S. The relationship between family acceptance and quality of life and self esteem of PLWH MSM in Medan, North Sumatera, Indonesia. *Enferm Clin*. 2019;29:291–4.
25. Martiana I, Waluyo A, Yona S, Edianto E. A Secondary Analysis of Peer Support and Family Acceptance Among Homosexual Living with HIV and Antiretroviral Therapy: Quality of Life Perspectives. *J Keperawatan Indones Vol 24, No 1 March* [Internet]. 2021; Available from: <http://jki.ui.ac.id/index.php/jki/article/view/1095>
26. Nanda NM et al. (2017). Validity and Reability Test of Indonesian Version of World Health The Quality of Life Patients with HIV / AIDS .

27. Dar P, Kachroo V, Qureshi S. Prevalence of Depression in HIV Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy (ART). 2020.
28. Nurdin HC. Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Berger HIV Stigma Scale versi Bahasa Indonesia dalam menilai Perceived Stigma pada Orang dengan HIV/AIDS (ODHA). AIDS. 2013;
29. Arikunto S. Prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktik. 2013;
30. Bhatia MS, Munjal S. Prevalence of Depression in People Living with HIV/AIDS Undergoing ART and Factors Associated with it. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Oct;8(10):WC01-4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2014/7725.4927. Epub 2014 Oct 20. PMID: 25478433; PMCID: PMC4253251.
31. Pappin M, Wouters E, Booysen FLR. Anxiety and depression amongst patients enrolled in a public sector antiretroviral treatment programme in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):1–9.
32. Rivera-Rivera Y, Vázquez-Santiago FJ, Albino E, Sánchez MDC, Rivera-Amill V. Impact of Depression and Inflammation on the Progression of HIV Disease. J Clin Cell Immunol [Internet]. 2016/06/03. 2016 Jun;7(3):423. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27478681>
33. Fauk N, Mwanri L, Hawke K, Mohammadi L, Ward P. Psychological and Social Impact of HIV on Women Living with HIV and Their Families in Low- and Middle-Income Asian Countries: A Systematic Search and Critical Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 May 30;19:6668.
34. Lopresti AL, Hood SD, Drummond PD. A review of lifestyle factors that contribute to important pathways associated with major depression: diet, sleep and exercise. J Affect Disord. 2013;148(1):12–27.
35. Mohammed M, Mengistie B, Dessie Y, Godana W. Prevalence of depression and associated factors among HIV patients seeking treatments in ART clinics at Harar Town, Eastern Ethiopia. J AIDS Clin Res. 2015;6(474):2.
36. Fauk N, Merry M, Ambarwati A, Sigilipoe M, Mwanri L. A qualitative inquiry of adherence to antiretroviral therapy and its associated factors: A study with transgender women living with HIV in Indonesia. Indian J Public Health. 2020 Apr 1;64:116–23.
37. Charles B, Jeyaseelan L, Pandian AK, Sam AE, Thenmozhi M, Jayaseelan V. Association between stigma, depression and quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA) in South India – a community based cross sectional study. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2012;12(1):463. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-463>
38. Bello SI, Bello IK. Quality of life of HIV/AIDS patients in a secondary health care facility, Ilorin, Nigeria. In: Baylor university medical center proceedings. Taylor & Francis; 2013. p. 116–9.
39. Achappa B, Madi D, Bhaskaran U, Ramapuram JT, Rao S, Mahalingam S. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV. N Am J Med Sci. 2013;5(3):220.
40. Razavi P, Hajifathalian K, Saeidi B, Esmaeeli Djavid G, Rasoulinejad M, Hajiabdolbaghi M, et al. Quality of life among persons with HIV/AIDS in Iran: internal reliability and validity of an international instrument and associated factors. AIDS Res Treat. 2012;2012.
41. Fauk NK, Hawke K, Mwanri L, Ward PR. Stigma and Discrimination towards People Living with HIV in the Context of Families, Communities, and Healthcare Settings: A Qualitative Study in Indonesia. Vol. 18, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health . 2021.



42. Fauk NK, Ward PR, Hawke K, Mwanri L. HIV Stigma and Discrimination: Perspectives and Personal Experiences of Healthcare Providers in Yogyakarta and Belu, Indonesia [Internet]. Vol. 8, *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2021. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2021.625787>
43. Mahamboro DB, Fauk NK, Ward PR, Merry MS, Siri TA, Mwanri L. HIV Stigma and Moral Judgement: Qualitative Exploration of the Experiences of HIV Stigma and Discrimination among Married Men Living with HIV in Yogyakarta. Vol. 17, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020.
44. Xu J-F, Ming Z-Q, Zhang Y-Q, Wang P-C, Jing J, Cheng F. Family support, discrimination, and quality of life among ART-treated HIV-infected patients: a two-year study in China. *Infect Dis poverty* [Internet]. 2017 Nov 21;6(1):152. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29157301>
45. Kebede MA, Haidar J. Factors influencing adherence to the food by prescription program among adult HIV positive patients in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a facility-based, cross-sectional study. *Infect Dis poverty*. 2014;3(1):1–10.
46. Do TN, Nguyen TMT, Do MH, Masaya K, Dang TB, Pham TL, et al. Combining cohort analysis and monitoring of HIV early-warning indicators of drug resistance to assess antiretroviral therapy services in Vietnam. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;54(suppl\_4):S306–12.
47. Fauci AS, Folkers GK. Toward an AIDS-free generation. *Jama*. 2012;308(4):343–4.
48. Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Horn T, Thompson MA. The state of engagement in HIV care in the United States: from cascade to continuum to control. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;57(8):1164–71.