



Effects of social determinants of health on obesity among urban women of reproductive age

Running title: Women obesity in urban Ghana

Dickson A Amugsi^{1*}, Zacharie T. Dimbuene^{2,3}

¹Nutrition and Food Systems Unit, African Population and Health Research Center

²Department of Population Sciences and Development, University of Kinshasa, the Democratic Republic of the Congo

³Statistics Canada, Microdata Access Division, 100 Tunney Pasture Driveway, Ottawa, Canada, K1A 0T6

*** Correspondence:** damugsi2002@yahoo.com

Keywords: body mass index, social determinants of health, obesity, demographic and health surveys, women

Word count:

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 0

Abstract

Background: Obesity (body mass index greater than or equal to 30kg/m²) is a major global public health problem. It is spreading very fast in low- and middle-income countries and has reached world record levels in some of them. In Ghana, it has increased by over 65% among urban women in the past three decades. This study investigated the effects of social determinants of health on obesity among women in urban Ghana.

Methods: The study analysed the Ghana demographic and health survey data. These are nationally representative data collective every five years across low- and middle-income countries. A total of 1,204 urban women were included in the analysis. The outcome variable of interest was body mass index. We used logistic regression to model the effects of the various social determinants of health on obesity.

Results: The results showed that 40% (95% confidence interval (CI)=25.4, 57.0) and 36.7% (95% CI=25.6, 49.3) of women who had higher education and those whose partners had higher education suffered from obesity, respectively. Women living in rich households had a five times higher prevalence of obesity than those in poor households (28.8% vs 5.7%). Further, 33.4% (95% CI=18.5, 49.3) of women who occupied managerial positions were obese. The results from the multivariable logistic regression analysis suggested that compared to women in poor households, those in rich households were 3.4 times (95% CI=1.31, 8.97) more likely to suffer from obesity. Women whose main occupation was agriculture were 81% (aOR=0.19; 95% CI=0.034, 0.99) less likely to suffer from obesity than those with no occupation.

Conclusions: The results suggest that the various SDHs significantly influence women's obesity. Women and partner education levels, occupying a managerial position, and living in rich households increase the risk of obesity. Interventions to address the rising obesity in urban Ghana should have specific packages targeted at these sub-groups.

63 Introduction

64 Obesity (body mass index greater than or equal to 30kg/m²), an indicator of excessive fat
65 accumulation in the body tissues, is a growing global problem of public health concern (1, 2).
66 Obesity is an illness that requires deliberate policies and interventions to address it to prevent
67 premature deaths among the world's population (2). The available data suggest that since 1975, the
68 global burden of obesity has more than tripled (3). The data also suggested that no country has
69 experienced a decline in obesity prevalence over this period. Therefore, it is unsurprising that in
70 2000, the World Health Organisation (WHO) declared obesity a pandemic, which informed the
71 development of a global action plan to combat it (4). Further evidence suggests that obesity is more
72 severe among women of reproductive age than men and in urban settings than rural (3).

73

74 Obesity is spreading very fast in developing countries and has reached world record levels in some of
75 them (5). In Africa, the obesity prevalence among women of reproductive age ranged from 6.5 to
76 50.7% (6). The problem is most severe among urban women relative to rural women (7). Similarly, a
77 recent analysis (2) using urban data from 24 African countries, spanning almost three decades,
78 showed that all the countries included in the study experienced a substantial increase in obesity
79 among women of reproductive age. Indeed, a country such as Ghana recorded as high as 65%
80 increase in obesity during the study period [2]. Other studies also observed alarming trends in obesity
81 among women of reproductive age in the African region (8-9). There is a need for deliberate policies
82 by governments to address the problem. However, it is not the case because many policymakers are
83 yet to appreciate that the African continent is sitting on an obesity time bomb waiting to explode.
84 Therefore, it is critical to provide policymakers and other stakeholders with evidence of the
85 seriousness of the problem and its potential drivers. The current analysis intends to provide such
86 evidence to policymakers and program planers.

87

88 The consequences of obesity on population health are enormous. It is associated with an increased
89 risk of cardiovascular diseases (mainly heart disease and stroke), diabetes, musculoskeletal disorders,
90 and some cancers, including but not limited to endometrial, breast, ovarian, prostate, liver,
91 gallbladder, kidney, and colon (10). An analysis of recent data suggests that obesity is linked to 13
92 different cancers (11). Further, the effect of obesity on the incidence of gestational diabetes, pre-
93 eclampsia, high risk of miscarriage/stillbirth, and congenital anomalies is well documented (12-14).
94 Pregnant women who are obese have higher chances of heart disease, hypertension, and type 2
95 diabetes (15). The link between women obesity and future obesity in their children has also been
96 observed (16).

97

98 Similarly, the extant literature suggests a strong link between obesity and high mortality rate. An
99 analysis of global data shows that 2.8 million people die annually due to obesity (17), while 35.8
100 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) are attributed to elevated body mass index (BMI) (18).
101 The adverse effects of obesity on population health, as outlined above, calls for a more detailed
102 analysis to understand the determinants of obesity among women of reproductive age.

103

Previous research suggests that social determinants of health are key drivers of the rising obesity in urban Africa (19, 20). According to the WHO commission, "*Social determinants of health (SDH) are the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age, and the wider set of forces and systems shaping the conditions of daily life*" (3). The SDH includes socioeconomic status, education, literacy, neighborhood and physical environment, employment, food consumption, place of residence and social support networks, and access to health care. The literature on the effects of socioeconomic status, education, and employment status, among others, abound (21). However, the impact varies between low- and high-income countries. While obesity is a significant issue among the rich in low-income countries, it is high among the poor in high-income countries (22).

Similarly, obesity was more prevalent among highly educated women than those without formal education in low-income countries (23). Women who are formally employed have increased odds of obesity compared to those who are not employed, which vary by educational attainment (24). This could be attributed to increased income and behavioral changes related to the time allocated to physical activity. Further, evidence suggests a link between urbanization, nutritional transition, and the high prevalence of obesity among women (25). There have been increasing changes in food consumption, whereby urban dwellers now consume more processed and fast foods and sugary beverages, with consequential adverse effects on obesity outcomes (25, 26).

Although our recent analysis suggests that obesity among women of reproductive age has been rising rapidly in urban Ghana in the past decades (2), there is limited knowledge on the key SDH driving the surge. The present study intends to fill this gap by undertaking a comprehensive analysis of obesity prevalence by various SDH, and the effects of the SDH on the rising obesity in urban Ghana, drawing on existing data sources. This type of analysis is needed to provide detailed and nuanced findings for better decision-making to inform policy and program interventions to address the obesity challenges in urban Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Data sources and study design

The study is a secondary analysis of the Ghana Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) data (27). These are nationally representative data collected every five years in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) (27). The analysis in the current study is restricted to the urban sub-sample. We downloaded the data from the DHS program website and assessed their completeness regarding the women's anthropometry data. We excluded cases that were missing anthropometry data.

The DHS utilizes a complex sampling design, whereby the sample selection involves multiple stages. In the first stage, clusters are selected from a master sampling frame constructed from the Ghana National Population and Housing Census data. The clusters are selected using systematic sampling with probability proportional to size. After selecting the clusters, a household listing operation is undertaken in the selected clusters to get a sampling frame to select households to take part in the

study. It is followed by systematic sampling of households. This stage of selection is aimed to ensure an adequate sample size to estimate the indicators of interest with acceptable precision. Our analyses are restricted to adult non-pregnant women aged 15–49 years. We focus on non-pregnant women because pregnancy is usually associated with weight gain. Therefore, including them in the analysis may present a misleading picture of the obesity situation. The eligible sample size used in the present analysis was 1,204 urban women of reproductive age.

Ethics statement

The DHS study was undertaken based on high ethical standards, whereby data collectors were trained to respect the rights of study participants. The participants were made to understand that they have the right to decide whether they wanted to participate in the study or not, as well as to abstain or withdraw their participation at any time without reprisal. The potential risks and benefits associated with the study and steps taken to mitigate potential risks were adequately explained to study participants. A government-recognized institutional review committee granted ethical approval for the conduct of the study. Further ethical clearance was granted by the Institutional Review Board of ICF International, USA before the surveys were conducted. The authors obtained permission from DHS Program for the use of the data. The authors did not seek further ethical clearance as the DHS data are highly anonymized.

Measures

Outcome variable

The women's body mass index (BMI) was the outcome variable of interest in this analysis. Well-trained field technicians collected women's anthropometry data (Height and weight) using recommended techniques (28). The weight of study participants was measured using electronic Seca scales, while their height was measured using boards produced by Shorr Productions. These anthropometric data (weight and height) were used to estimate the BMI of study participants. The BMI was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters. Based on the WHO guidelines (28), obesity was classified as $BMI \geq 30.0$ kg/m². The prevalence of obesity and its associated factors were estimated. In the DHS dataset, place of residence is classified into rural and urban. However, this study focuses only on urban settings. Our previous analysis (2), which suggests that obesity is rising very fast in urban Ghana informed the choice of the study setting.

Explanatory variables

The SDH factors used in this analysis included women's education level, employment status, fruit and vegetable consumption, partner occupation, partner education level, household wealth index, number of trips in the last 12 months, frequency of listening to radio, and sex of household head. Potential control variables included women's age, height, and breastfeeding status. The household wealth index, a composite measure of the household cumulative living standard, was constructed using principal component analysis (PCA) (30). The variables used in the PCA included household ownership of televisions and bicycles, materials used for housing construction, and types of water access and sanitation facilities. The constructed wealth index is then divided into quintiles (poorest, poor, middle, rich & richest). To preserve the sample size for the analysis, we recoded the wealth

index into poor (poorest+poor), middle, and rich (rich+richest). The potential SDHs outlined above were identified based on the SDH literature. These variables were subjected to bivariate analysis to establish those associated significantly with women's obesity. Statistical significant variables were included in the multivariable analysis. In addition, we included variables that were not statistically significant but considered critical as far as obesity was concerned.

Analytical strategy

The data analysis was performed using STATA V.14. The analyses involved three stages. In the first analysis, we assessed the characteristics of the sample using frequencies and means. A data file was constructed using a place of residence, country, survey year, and sample size. In the second stage, we estimated the prevalence of obesity and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) by various SDH. In the third analysis, we examined the effects of SDH on maternal obesity using multivariable logistic regression. We entered SDH variables in the first model (model 1), and adjusted for women's age, height, and breastfeeding status in the second model (model 2). Adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and CI are reported for this analysis. We accounted for the complex survey design (CSD) effect in all analyses using the `svyset` and `svy` procedures in STATA.

Results

Background characteristics of the study sample

Table 1 (Annex A) presents the characteristics of the study sample. The average age of study participants was 31 years (30.85 ± 6.29), while the average height was 1.59m (1.59 ± 0.06). Majority (59%) of the women had secondary school education, while only 6% had tertiary/higher education. This was similar to the partner education level where 59% had secondary education. Similarly, 52% of the women had clerical/sales/services as their main occupation. However, the dominant occupation of their partners was manual work (45%). The results also suggested that over 40% indicated they consumed fruits (42%) and vegetables (45%) for 4 or more days in the past 7 days.

Table 1: Characteristics of the sample

Prevalence of obesity among women by the SDH

Table 2 (Annex B) shows the prevalence of obesity by various SDH. The results showed that 40% (95% confidence interval (CI)=25.4, 57.0) of women who had higher education were obese, while only 14.4% (95% CI=8.6, 23.0) of those with no formal education suffered from obesity. Also, 36.7% (95% CI=25.6, 49.3) women whose partners had higher education were obese. The prevalence of obesity was 28.8% (95% CI=24.1, 34.0) among women living in rich households compared to 5.7% (95% CI=2.4, 13.0) of those in poor households. Further, 33.4% (95% CI=18.5, 49.3) of women who occupied managerial positions were obese. Conversely, only 1.8% (95% CI=0.4, 7.8) of those whose occupation was agriculture were obese. Women whose partners held managerial

positions suffered more from obesity than those whose partners were agricultural workers (33.6 vs. 7.2%). All the above results were statistically significant.

Table 2: Prevalence of obesity by social determinants of health

Association between social determinants of health and women's obesity

Table 3 (Annex C) presents the effects of SDH on obesity among women. The results showed that compared to women in the poor wealth quintile, those in the rich quintile were 3.4 times (95% CI=1.31, 8.97) more likely to be obese. Women whose main occupation was agriculture were 81% (aOR=0.19; 95% CI=0.034, 0.99) less likely to suffer from obesity than those with no occupation. Similarly, women whose partners' occupation was agriculture worker had 71% (aOR=0.39; 95% CI=0.15, 0.99) reduced odds of suffering from obesity compared to those whose partners were manual workers. Partners attaining primary education had a moderate protective effect on obesity among women (aOR=0.34; 95%CI=0.11, 1.08). Compared to women who did not listen to radio at all, those who listened to radio less than once a week or at least once a week had increased odds of obesity (aOR=2.64, 95% CI=1.26, 5.54). Biological variable such as women's age was associated with increased odds of obesity (aOR=1.09; 95% CI=1.06, 1.13).

Table 3: Association between social determinants of health and maternal obesity

Discussion

The study presents a comprehensive analysis of obesity prevalence by various social determinants of health (SDH), and their effects on the rising obesity in urban Ghana, using existing data sources. The extant literature show that SDH play a critical role in determining obesity and health outcomes among diverse populations (21-24). Our analysis illuminated the effects of the various SDHs on women's obesity outcomes. The prevalence of obesity was three times higher among women with high education relative to those without education (40% vs. 14.4%). This is also the case with partner education, where women whose partners were highly educated tend to suffer from obesity. It goes to suggest that education places women at an elevated risk of obesity, irrespective of whether it is the woman who attained it or the partner. The plausible explanation of this finding is that women with high education are likely to have high incomes, which may impact their lifestyle and eating behaviors, especially in urban settings. This explanation is consonance with the literature, which concluded that high-income earners live sedentary lifestyles and consume a high-caloric diet (25, 31). Besides, previous studies have demonstrated that women in the higher education category have a higher prevalence of obesity compared to the non-educated (23, 24, 32).

The analysis of the women's occupation data reveals that women holding managerial positions had a substantially higher prevalence of obesity than those whose occupation is agriculture (33.4% vs. 1.8%). The high prevalence may be because of prolonged sitting hours, means of transportation, and possibly high intake of energy-dense diets (31, 33). For example, long hours at the office can make it challenging to squeeze in time for exercise (34). On the contrary, the low prevalence of obesity among women who work in agriculture could be attributed to the high physical activity associated

with this occupation. Also, agricultural workers are likely to consume their produce rather than rely on high-energy food such as fast food and sugar-sweetened beverages (33). The finding in the present study is consistent with the extant literature. A study undertaken among Korean women showed that obesity prevalence was significantly higher among managers than non-managers (33). The low prevalence of obesity among women in the agricultural sector is consistent with the multivariable logistic regression results, which revealed that women engaged in agriculture have an 81% reduced risk of becoming obese than those in other occupations.

Similarly, our analysis show that prevalence of obesity among women living in rich households is more than 5 times those in poor households (28.8% vs. 5.7%). This suggests that wealth is a risk factor for women's obesity. This finding has been corroborated by the multivariable analysis, where women in rich households were over three times more likely to suffer from obesity than those in poor households. The endemicity of obesity in rich households may be due to access to high-energy food and a lack of physical activity. This finding is consistent with the existing literature. Several studies have shown that women in rich households suffer more from obesity compared to those in poor households (35-38). However, comparative analysis shows differences between low-and high incomes countries. Indeed, while obesity is a major issue among the rich in low-income countries, it is high among the poor in high-income countries (22). This may mean that the rich in the high-income countries are more concerned about their weight and therefore live healthy lifestyles. The reverse is the case among the rich in low-income countries, as the results suggest.

Strength and limitations of the study

The study used robust nationally representative data, making it possible to generalize the findings to all women of reproductive age living in urban settings in Ghana. The large sample size enhances the precision of the estimates and the robustness of the associations observed in the analysis. Further, the outcome variable was objectively measured, reducing the chances of misclassification. A significant limitation is the cross-sectional nature of the data, which does not make it possible to establish any causal relationship between the various SDH and obesity. Therefore, the results are interpreted in the context of associations between the independent and dependent variables. The data did not allow the inclusion of all the broader social determinants of health as defined by the WHO commission's framework. However, the SDH framework did not envision that a single study would be able to address all the factors captured in the framework.

Conclusions

The study examined the effects of the various social determinants of health on obesity among women of reproductive age in urban Ghana. The results suggest that the various SDH substantially influence women's obesity. Higher education increases the risk of obesity among women. Women occupying managerial positions are also likely to suffer from obesity. Similarly, living in rich households substantially increases the risk of obesity among women. Interventions to address the rising obesity in urban Ghana should have packages targeted at these sub-groups. This may include promoting physical activity and healthy eating behaviors, which the extant evidence suggests are hardly practice by these sub-groups.

305

306 **Conflict of interest**

307 None declared

308 **Author's contribution**

309 The contributions of authors are as follows: DAA conceived and designed the study. DAA and ZTD
310 conducted the data analysis. DAA interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. ZTD contributed
311 to data interpretation and critical review the manuscript.

312 **Funding**

313 No funding was received

314 **Acknowledgement**

315 We wish to express our profound gratitude to The DHS Program, USA for providing us access to the
316 data. We also wish to acknowledge institutions of respective countries that played critical roles in the
317 data collection process.

318 **Data availability statement**

319 The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the DHS program
320 repository, <https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>. Data are accessible free of charge
321 upon a registration with the Demographic and Health Survey program (The DHS Program). The
322 registration is done on the DHS website indicated above.

323

324

325 **References:**

- 326 1. Yaya, S., Ghose, B. (2019). Trend in overweight and obesity among women of reproductive
327 age in Uganda: 1995–2016. *Obesity Science & Practice*, 5(4), 312-323.
- 328 2. Amugsi, D, Dimbuene, Z, Mberu, B., Muthuri, S, Ezech, A (2017) Prevalence and time trends
329 in overweight and obesity among urban women: an analysis of demographic and health
330 surveys data from 24 African countries, 1991–2014. *BMJ Open*, 7(10), e017344.
- 331 3. About Social Determinants of Health,” World Health Organization;
332 http://www.who.int/social_determinants/sdh_definition/en/.
- 333 4. Adeboye, B., Bermano, G., & Rolland, C. (2012) Obesity and its health impact in Africa: a
334 systematic review. *Cardiovascular Journal of Africa*, 23(9), 512-521.
- 335 5. Goryakin Y, Lobstein T, James WP, Suhrcke M. The impact of economic, political and social
336 globalization on overweight and obesity in the 56 low and middle income countries. *Social
337 Science & Medicine*. 2015 May 1;133:67–76.

6. Onubi OJ, Marais D, Aucott L, Okonofua F, Poobalan AS. Maternal obesity in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2016;38(3):e218-e231. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdv138
7. Chigbu CO, Aja LO. Obesity in pregnancy in southeast Nigeria. *Ann Med Health Serv Sci Res* 2011;1 (2):135–40. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Asosega, K. A., Adebajji, A. O., & Abdul, I. W. (2021). Spatial analysis of the prevalence of obesity and overweight among women in Ghana. *BMJ Open*, 11(1), e041659.
9. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) – Africa Working Group (2017) Trends in obesity and diabetes across Africa from 1980 to 2014: an analysis of pooled population-based studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 46 (5): 1421-1432.
10. WHO. Obesity and overweight: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>
11. PubMed Health. Excess body fat now linked to 13 different types of cancer: PubMedHealth. 2017 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/behindtheheadlines/news/2016-08-25-excess-body-fat-now-linked-to-13-different-types-of-cancer/>
12. Leddy MA , Power ML , Schulkin J. The impact of maternal obesity on maternal and fetal health. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2008;1:170–8.
13. Lynch CM , Sexton DJ , Hession M , *et al*. Obesity and mode of delivery in primigravid and multigravid women. *Am J Perinatol* 2008;25:163–7
14. Arianas EA , Rankin KM , Norr KF , *et al*. Maternal weight status and responsiveness to preterm infant behavioral cues during feeding. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2017;17
15. Mokdad AH , Ford ES , Bowman BA , *et al*. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. *JAMA* 2003;289:76–9.
16. Godfrey KM, Reynolds RM, Prescott SL, *et al*. Influence of maternal obesity on the long-term health of offspring. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017;5(1):53-64.
17. World Health Organization. Obesity. Situation and trends: Global Health Observatory (GHO) data. 2016 http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/obesity_text/en/
18. Mokdad AH , Ford ES , Bowman BA , *et al*. Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. *JAMA* 2003;289:76–9
19. Lee A, Cardel M, Donahoo WT. Social and Environmental Factors Influencing Obesity. [Updated 2019 Oct 12]. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, *et al*., editors. Endotext [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK278977/>
20. Jaacks LM , Slining MM , Popkin BM. Recent underweight and overweight trends by rural-urban residence among women in low- and middle-income countries. *J Nutr* 2015;145:352–7

21. Yevgeniy Goryakin, Marc Suhrcke. Economic development, urbanization, technological change and overweight: What do we learn from 244 Demographic and Health Surveys? *Economics & Human Biology*, Volume 14, 2014, Pages 109-127
22. Templin T, Cravo Oliveira Hashiguchi T, Thomson B, Dieleman J, Bendavid E (2019) The overweight and obesity transition from the wealthy to the poor in low- and middle-income countries: A survey of household data from 103 countries. *PLoS Med* 16(11)
23. Barlow P. The Effect of Schooling on Women's Overweight and Obesity: A Natural Experiment in Nigeria. *Demography* 1 April 2021; 58 (2): 685–710.
24. Oddo, V.M., Bleich, S.N., Pollack, K.M. *et al.* The weight of work: the association between maternal employment and overweight in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **14**, 66 (2017).
25. Dinsa GD, Goryakin Y, Fumagalli E, Suhrcke M. Obesity and socioeconomic status in developing countries: a systematic review. *Obesity reviews*. 2012 Nov;13(11):1067–79. pmid:22764734
26. Drewnowski A, Specter SE. Poverty and obesity: the role of energy density and energy costs. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2004 Jan 1;79(1):6–16.
27. The DHS Program. Demographic and Health Surveys. 2017 https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset_admin/download-datasets.cfm
28. World Health Organization. BMI classification: WHO. 2006 http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html (accessed 4 August 2022)
29. Yadav, K & Krishnan A. Changing patterns of diet, physical activity and obesity among urban, rural and slum populations in north India. *Obes Rev* 2008;**9**:400–8
30. The DHS Program. DHS Methodology. 2017 <http://dhsprogram.com/What-We-Do/Survey-Types/DHS-Methodology.cfm> (accessed 2 Feb 2017).
31. Gissing SC, Pradeilles R, Osei-Kwasi HA, Cohen E, Holdsworth M. Drivers of dietary behaviours in women living in urban Africa: a systematic mapping review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017 Aug;20(12):2104-2113.
32. Jane Wardle, Jo Waller, Martin J. Jarvis, “Sex Differences in the Association of Socioeconomic Status With Obesity”, *American Journal of Public Health* 92, no. 8 (August 1, 2002)
33. Eum MJ, Jung HS. Association between Occupational Characteristics and Overweight and Obesity among Working Korean Women: The 2010-2015 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020 Feb 29;17(5):1585
34. Neupane S, Prakash KC, Doku DT. Overweight and obesity among women: analysis of demographic and health survey data from 32 Sub-Saharan African Countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2016 Jan 13;16:30.

- 410 35. Bishwajit G. Household wealth status and overweight and obesity among adult women in
411 Bangladesh and Nepal. *Obes Sci Pract*. 2017 Mar 27;3(2):185-192.
- 412 36. Zubery D, Kimiywe J, Martin HD. Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity, and Its Associated
413 Factors Among Health-care Workers, Teachers, and Bankers in Arusha City, Tanzania.
414 *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2021 Feb 2;14:455-465.
- 415 37. Jahnke SA, Kaipust C, Jitnarin N, *et al*. Prevalence and predictors of obesity among women in
416 the fire service. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2022;**79**:289-294.
- 417 38. Sharma AM, Padwal R. Obesity is a sign - over-eating is a symptom: an aetiological
418 framework for the assessment and management of obesity. *Obes Rev*. 2010;11(5):362–70
- 419
- 420
- 421

Annex A:

Table 1: Characteristics of the sample (n=1204)

Variables	Mean±SD or %
Maternal education	
No education	16.78
Primary	17.43
Secondary	59.48
Higher	6.31
Maternal occupation	
No occupation	21.63
Professional/tech/managerial	5.99
Clerical/sales/services	51.85
Agricultural worker	6.2
Manual worker	14.32
Days ate fruits in the last 7 days	
None	18.25
1-3 days	39.8
4+ days	41.95
Days ate vegetables in the last 7 days	
None	16.76
1-3 days	38.58
4+ days	44.67
Partner occupation	
Manual worker	45.41

Professional/tech/managerial	17.25
Clerical/sales/services	17.14
Agricultural worker	11.33
Sex of household head	
Male	68.23
Female	31.77
Partner education level	
No education	12
Primary	6.12
Secondary	58.92
Higher	14.65
Maternal mean age	30.85±6.29
Maternal mean height	1.59±0.06

Annex B:

Table 2: Prevalence of obesity by social determinants of health (n=1204)

<i>Variables</i>	Obese		
	<i>%</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>P-value</i>
Women's education			
No education	14.4	8.6, 23.0	0.026
Primary	25.4	17.2, 35.7	
Secondary	23.5	18.6, 29.3	
Higher	40.2	25.4, 57.0	
Household wealth index (HWI)			
Poor	5.7	2.4, 13.0	0.001
Middle	13.0	8.5, 19.3	
Rich	28.8	24.1, 34.0	
Women's occupation			
No occupation	14.5	9.1, 22.2	0.001
Managerial/technical	33.4	18.5, 52.5	
Clerical/sales/services	27.9	23.2, 33.3	
Agricultural worker	1.8	0.4, 7.8	
Manual worker	25.2	16.2, 37.1	
Number of days ate fruits			
None (zero days)	13.6	7.7, 22.7	0.028
ate fruits 1-3 days	23.2	17.9, 29.6	
Ate fruits 4+ days	27.7	21.9, 34.4	
Number of days ate vegetables			
None (zero days)	21.2	13.2, 32.3	0.76
Ate vegetables 1-3 days	22.4	16.0, 30.4	

Ate vegetables 4+ days	25.0	19.7, 31.1	
Partner's occupation			
Manual worker	25.6	20.4, 31.6	0.001
Managerial/technical	33.6	24.9, 43.6	
Clerical/sales/services	24.7	16.6, 34.9	
Agricultural worker	7.2	3.3, 15.0	
Sex of household head			
Male	24.2	19.7, 29.4	0.57
Female	21.4	14.6, 30.3	
Partner education level			
No education	16.7	10.3, 25.8	0.001
Primary	8.2	3.6, 17.6	
Secondary	24.9	20.3, 30.1	
Higher	36.7	25.6, 49.3	
Women's literacy			
Cannot read at all/no information/virtually impaired	18.6	14.3, 24.0	0.072
Able to read only part of the sentence	32.0	17.6, 50.9	
Able to write a whole sentence	27.1	21.3, 33.9	
Frequency listen radio			
Not at all	11.6	6.5, 19.9	0.027
Less than once a week	26.1	19.1, 34.5	
At least once a week	25.5	20.2, 31.7	
Is breastfeeding			
No	27.6	22.3, 33.6	0.031
Yes	19.7	15.2, 25.1	

Annex C:

Table 3: Association between social determinants of health and maternal obesity (n=1,204)

VARIABLES	Model 1	Model 2
Women's education		
No Education (ref)		
Primary	1.624 (0.727 - 3.627)	1.776 (0.767 - 4.113)
Secondary	0.937 (0.423 - 2.076)	0.914 (0.395 - 2.113)
Higher	1.481 (0.408 - 5.382)	1.565 (0.418 - 5.861)
Household wealth index		
Poor (ref)		
Middle	1.656 (0.637 - 4.306)	1.853 (0.698 - 4.920)
Rich	3.262** (1.219 - 8.729)	3.421** (1.305 - 8.966)
Women's occupation		
No occupation (ref)		
Managerial/technical	1.371 (0.429 - 4.380)	1.096 (0.334 - 3.601)
Clerical/sales/services	2.088** (1.119 - 3.895)	1.626 (0.841 - 3.145)
Agricultural worker	0.267 (0.055 - 1.298)	0.185** (0.034 - 0.989)
Manual worker	1.870 (0.833 - 4.200)	1.354 (0.595 - 3.082)
Partner's occupation		
Manual (ref)		
Managerial/technical	0.998 (0.474 - 2.102)	0.985 (0.449 - 2.160)
Clerical/sales/services	0.821 (0.449 - 1.501)	0.772 (0.403 - 1.479)
Agricultural worker	0.497 (0.197 - 1.250)	0.386** (0.150 - 0.992)
Days ate fruits in the last 7 days		
None (ref)		
1-3 days	1.585 (0.777 - 3.233)	1.667 (0.782 - 3.554)
4+ days	2.047** (1.097 - 3.820)	1.846* (0.935 - 3.647)
Days ate vegetables in the last 7 days		
None (ref)		
1-3 days	1.030 (0.489 - 2.168)	0.985 (0.447 - 2.170)
4+ days	1.015 (0.534 - 1.928)	0.958 (0.491 - 1.871)
Partner's education level		
No education (ref)		

Primary	0.296** (0.093 - 0.941)	0.339* (0.107 - 1.075)
Secondary	0.806 (0.378 - 1.716)	0.936 (0.425 - 2.065)
Higher	1.019 (0.327 - 3.170)	1.234 (0.381 - 3.997)
Number of trips in last 12 months (continuous)	1.015 (0.987 - 1.044)	1.015 (0.990 - 1.040)
Frequency listening radio		
Not at all (ref)		
Less than once a week	2.747*** (1.345 - 5.609)	2.640** (1.258 - 5.540)
At least once a week	2.268** (1.170 - 4.397)	2.295** (1.190 - 4.426)
Women's age (in single years) (continuous)		1.090*** (1.055 - 1.126)
Women's height (in meters)		0.092 (0.005 - 1.806)
Sex of household head		
Male (ref)		
Female		1.059 (0.605 - 1.852)
Breastfeeding status (Ref.: No)		
No (ref)		
Yes		0.758 (0.486 - 1.183)

422 95% Confidence intervals in parentheses

423 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

424

425