

# **Title:** Falls Efficacy Scale International (FES-I) as a predictor of gait and balance abnormalities in community dwelling older people

## **Authors names and affiliations**

Lewis McColl MRes,<sup>a</sup> Victoria Strassheim BSc,<sup>b</sup> Matthew Linsley CStat MMathStat,<sup>c</sup> David Green BSc,<sup>d</sup> Charlotte Dunkel BSc,<sup>e</sup> Heidi Trundle BA,<sup>f</sup> Jake R Gibbon MBBS<sup>g</sup>, Steve W Parry MBBS PhD<sup>a,f,g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Population Health Science Institute  
Campus for Ageing and Vitality  
Newcastle University  
Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 6BE  
[l.mccoll2@ncl.ac.uk](mailto:l.mccoll2@ncl.ac.uk)

<sup>b</sup>Academic Health Science Network NENC  
Biomedical Research Building  
Nuns Moor Road  
Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 5PL

<sup>c</sup>School of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics  
Newcastle University  
NE1 7RU

<sup>d</sup>Cumbria, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust  
Wolfson Research Centre  
Campus for Ageing and Vitality  
Westgate Road  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
NE4 6BE

<sup>e</sup>Talking Changes IAPT Service  
Craglea  
Lanchester Road Hospital  
Durham DH1 5RD

<sup>f</sup>North Tyneside Community Falls Prevention Service  
Shiremoor Centre  
North Tyneside NE27 0HJ

<sup>g</sup>Falls and Syncope Service  
Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust  
Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4LP

**Corresponding Author:** Dr Parry at the Newcastle Hospitals address above  
[steve.parry1@nhs.net](mailto:steve.parry1@nhs.net)

**Conflicts:** None to declare.

**Acknowledgements:** The investigators would like to thank the North Tyneside Community Falls Prevention Service team for their help with data collection and anonymisation.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## Abstract

**Background:** Fear of falling (FoF) is common amongst community-dwelling older adults and is associated with higher falls risk. FoF is common amongst those with gait and balance abnormalities (GABAb), yet the ability of FoF measures to predict GABAb has not been assessed.

**Methods:** Data were reviewed from attendees of the North Tyneside Community Falls Prevention Service. The Falls Efficacy Scale International (FES-I) was used to measure falls efficacy, with a score larger than 23.5 indicating a concern for falling. Gait and balance measures were assessed, with cut-offs used to indicate poor and non-poor results for timed up and go (TUG) (>14s), five times sit to stand (FTSS) (>15s) and gait speed (GS) (<1 m/s). Receiver operating characteristic curves were generated for sensitivity and specificity analysis.

**Results:** FES-I score had good to excellent sensitivity when predicting TUG (87.1%), FTSS (82.9%) and GS results (73.0%) indicative of significant GABAb. Moderate specificity was also observed when predicting GS (62%) and FTSS (62.3%); a low to moderate specificity was observed when predicting TUG (50.0%).

**Conclusion:** A FES-I score of 23.5 or more showed high specificity in identifying those with prolonged TUG and FTSS and slower GS, with moderate specificity.

Keywords: falls, fear of falling, older adults, gait, balance.

## Introduction

Approximately a third of community dwelling adults over the age of 65 fall each year (1, 2) with around half experiencing more than one fall per year (3).

Falls are commonly associated with gait and balance abnormalities (GABAb) (4), alongside deficits in strength. Falls not only have the potential to cause serious immediate health issues, such as head injuries and/or fractures, but are also significant source of morbidity and mortality (5), and may lead to long term issues with mobility and a fear of falling (FoF).

FoF is a psychosocial construct encompassing multiple falls related difficulties, including anxiety, loss of confidence and impaired self-efficacy (6). FoF has been reported to have a higher prevalence in women, and increases in incidence with age (7). The measure has also been found to be a predictor of future falls (8, 9), which may be mediated by impairments in physical performance (10). The amelioration of FoF through exercise (11, 12) further suggests a link between FoF and GABAb, themselves a predictor of future falls, mediating the relationship between FoF and falls in community dwelling older adults (13).

Measurement of FoF is commonly done through the Falls Efficacy Scale International version (FES-I) in both clinical and research arenas (14). Our aim was to determine whether FoF, as measured by the FES-I, is associated with GABAb in community dwelling older adults attending a falls prevention service as determined by commonly used gait and balance tests.

## Materials and Methods

### *Participants*

Routine clinical data (including gait and balance measures, and FES-I scores) was collected from consecutive attendees at North Tyneside Community Falls Prevention Service, full details of which are published elsewhere (15). Caldicott approvals were locally granted, while ethical approval was confirmed as not required by North of England Commissioning Service given the routine anonymised clinical data collection.

### *Measures*

The FES-I was used as a measure of fear of falling (8), given its reliability, validity, and robustness (16); a score of >23 has previously been used as an indicator of significant concerns around falling (17).

A physiotherapist was responsible for completing a comprehensive physical assessment, including the functional outcome measures below:

1. Gait Speed (GS) as measured by walking speed over a distance of three meters, recorded in seconds. Previous reviews have found a gait speed of 0.8 m/s to be a cut-off in predicting poor clinical outcomes (18).
2. Timed Up and Go (TUG), in which the time taken for a participant to stand from a seated position, walk three metres and return to sit in the chair, is measured in seconds, with a time slower than 14 seconds being considered a predictor of falls (19).
3. The Five Time Sit to Stand (FTSS) test, in which the time taken for a participant to stand from a chair five times without the aid of arms, measured in seconds, with a time slower than 15 seconds being associated with adverse falls and gait and balance issues (20).

### *Analyses*

Analysis was carried out using SPSS for Windows (Version 25, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves were used to estimate the sensitivity and specificity of FES-I scores predicting the presence of a poor outcome for a condition. The area of the graph under the ROC (AUROC) curve was also calculated to provide an estimation of how well the FES-I score discriminated between condition outcomes. Due to the output of the ROC curves within SPSS an FES-I score of 23.5 was used as a cut-off point.

## Results

### *Demographics*

Nine hundred and ninety-one patients' data were reviewed (353 males, 638 females). Mean age was 74.5 years (SD= ±8.3 years), mean FES-I score was 28.7 (SD= ±10.2) while 57% reported at least one fall in the year prior.

AUROC for GS (<1 m/s), TUG (>14s) and FTSS (>15s) are shown in Figure 1, with AUROC for each measure and their 95% confidence intervals, sensitivity, specificity and positive likelihood ratios provided in Table 1.




Figure 1: ROC Curves of Physical Function Tests: GS (A), FTSS (B); TUG (C).

Table 1: Sensitivity and Specificity analyses generated from ROC curves

Physical performance measure	AUROC (95% CI)	Sensitivity (%) with FES-I 23.5	Specificity (%) with FES-I 23.5	LR+
Gait speed (<1.0 m/s)	0.75 (0.72, 0.78)	73.0	62.0	1.92
FTSS (>15s)	0.80 (0.78, 0.83)	82.9	62.3	2.20
TUG (>14s)	0.78 (0.74, 0.81)	87.1	50.0	1.74

### ***Gait speed***

A FES-I score of 23.5 or more had moderate sensitivity (73%) and specificity (62%) in those with a GS of <1 m/s, with higher sensitivity (81.4%) and lower specificity (55.2%) with a slower GS of <0.8 m/s.

### ***TUG***

A FES-I score of 23.5 or more had high sensitivity (87.1%) with low to moderate specificity (50%) at the >14s cut-off, with a likelihood ratio of 1.74 for a positive test, and 0.26 for a negative test.

### ***FTSS***

A FES-I score of 23.5 or more had high sensitivity (82.9%) with moderate specificity (62.3%) at the >15s cut-off, with a likelihood ratio of 2.20 for a positive test, and 0.27 for a negative test.

## Discussion

The relationship between falls and FoF is complex, with existing evidence showing that exercise (particularly strength and balance training) ameliorates both (10, 11). Identification and treatment of those with GABAb that predispose to falls is critical in falls prevention. Our study found a FES-I score indicative of FoF was associated with scores on commonly used gait and balance measures that suggest both poor physical function and increased falls risks, with good to excellent sensitivity (73-87.1%), and moderate specificity (50-69.0%). These are broadly similar to sensitivities and specificities for other commonly used clinical tests including faecal occult blood testing and exercising testing in ischaemic heart disease (21, 22).

Our findings are consistent with previous studies that assessed links between FoF and poor physical function, though our comprehensive range of gait and balance measures has never been reported in this context. An association between a slower FTSS and a higher FES-I score has previously been reported (23). The observed association between both a lower GS and TUG, and a FoF was also expected, with slower GS and TUG scores having previously been suggested to either be a deliberate effort to minimise balance difficulties (24), or due to a competition for cognitive processes (25, 26).

Current screening methods for exercise based interventions utilise a multifaceted approach (27), requiring a large number of functional, medical and psychological tests (28), often performed in a secondary care setting. Implementation of a quick, cost-effective and easily administered questionnaire, such as the FES-I, has the potential to allow timely identification of those with GABAb and FoF that may benefit from strength and balance training without the need for trained personnel and clinic time and space, whether primary or secondary care. There is also a current need during the Covid-19 pandemic for screening methods that are not delivered in person; the FES-I may provide just such a proxy measure, though this needs further exploration.

Our findings may provide the initial step in identifying older adults that would benefit from an exercise intervention in a time and resource-effective manner, with a high chance of finding those with GABAb, albeit with a lower ability to distinguish those without. However, the intervention, strength and balance training, is low risk and cost effective, with benefits to all regardless of GABAb, so the risks of harm from FES-I – measured FoF in this context are negligible.

## ***Strengths and Limitations***

The FES-I is an easily implemented and non-labour-intensive method that does not require specialist training to administer or self-complete, making it an ideal tool within clinical practice. Sensitivity was generally high, though specificity was moderate. The study cohort was larger than in similar previous studies (17), however the data were retrospective rather than prospective.

## Conclusion

Fear of falling as assessed by the FES-I is associated with poor performance on commonly used gait and balance measures including the TUG, FTSS and GS. Further work is needed to explore these relationships further, and to assess their potential use in screening for GABAb in the pursuit of improvements in falls prevention.

## Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: All methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations; Caldicott approvals were locally granted, while ethical approval was confirmed as not required by North of England Commissioning Service given the routine anonymised clinical data collection.

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding: Not Applicable

## Summary

**What is known:** Fear of falling is common amongst community-dwelling older adults and is associated with higher falls risk. FoF is also common amongst those with gait and balance abnormalities.

**What is the question:** Can the FES-I be used to predict poor gait and balance in community dwelling older adults?

**What was found:** FES-I showed high specificity with moderate specificity in identifying poor physical function.

**What is the implication for practice now:** This study shows that further investigation may lead to the potential method for screening falls within the population, potentially reducing falls amongst those with gait and balance disorders. Further prospective analysis is required.

## References

1. Rubenstein LZ. Falls in older people: epidemiology, risk factors and strategies for prevention. *Age and Ageing*. 2006;35(suppl\_2):ii37-ii41.
2. Tinetti ME, Williams CS. The Effect of Falls and Fall Injuries on Functioning in Community-Dwelling Older Persons. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 1998;53A(2):M112-M19.
3. Hawk C, Hyland JK, Rupert R, Colonvega M, Hall S. Assessment of balance and risk for falls in a sample of community-dwelling adults aged 65 and older. *Chiropractic & osteopathy*. 2006;14:3-.
4. Pirker W, Katzenschlager R. Gait disorders in adults and the elderly : A clinical guide. *Wiener klinische Wochenschrift*. 2017;129(3-4):81-95.
5. Burns ER, Stevens JA, Lee R. The direct costs of fatal and non-fatal falls among older adults - United States. *Journal of safety research*. 2016;58:99-103.
6. Parry SW, Finch T, Deary V. How should we manage fear of falling in older adults living in the community? *BMJ : British Medical Journal*. 2013;346:f2933.



7. Scheffer AC, Schuurmans MJ, van Dijk N, van der Hooft T, de Rooij SE. Fear of falling: measurement strategy, prevalence, risk factors and consequences among older persons. *Age and Ageing*. 2008;37(1):19-24.
8. Yardley L, Beyer N, Hauer K, Kempen G, Piot-Ziegler C, Todd C. Development and initial validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I). *Age and Ageing*. 2005;34(6):614-9.
9. Hadjistavropoulos T, Martin RR, Sharpe D, Lints AC, McCreary DR, Asmundson GJG. A Longitudinal Investigation of Fear of Falling, Fear of Pain, and Activity Avoidance in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *Journal of Aging and Health*. 2007;19(6):965-84.
10. Kumar A, Delbaere K, Zijlstra GAR, Carpenter H, Iliffe S, Masud T, et al. Exercise for reducing fear of falling in older people living in the community: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing*. 2016;45(3):345-52.
11. Kruisbrink M, Crutzen R, Kempen GJIM, Delbaere K, Ambergen T, Cheung KL, et al. Disentangling interventions to reduce fear of falling in community-dwelling older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention components. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2021:1-11.
12. Travers J, Romero-Ortuno R, Bailey J, Cooney M-T. Delaying and reversing frailty: a systematic review of primary care interventions. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2019;69(678):e61.
13. Hadjistavropoulos T, Delbaere K, Fitzgerald TD. Reconceptualizing the Role of Fear of Falling and Balance Confidence in Fall Risk. *Journal of Aging and Health*. 2010;23(1):3-23.
14. Whipple MO, Hamel AV, Talley KMC. Fear of falling among community-dwelling older adults: A scoping review to identify effective evidence-based interventions. *Geriatric nursing (New York, NY)*. 2018;39(2):170-7.
15. Parry SW, Hill H, Lawson J, Lawson N, Green D, Trundle H, et al. A Novel Approach to Proactive Primary Care-Based Case Finding and Multidisciplinary Management of Falls, Syncope, and Dizziness in a One-Stop Service: Preliminary Results. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2016;64(11):2368-73.
16. Hill H, McMeekin P, Parry SW. Does the falls efficacy scale international version measure fear of falling: a reassessment of internal validity using a factor analytic approach. *Age and Ageing*. 2014;43(4):559-62.
17. Delbaere K, Close JCT, Mikolaizak AS, Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Lord SR. The Falls Efficacy Scale International (FES-I). A comprehensive longitudinal validation study. *Age and Ageing*. 2010;39(2):210-6.
18. Abellan Van Kan G, Rolland Y, Andrieu S, Bauer J, Beauchet O, Bonnefoy M, et al. Gait speed at usual pace as a predictor of adverse outcomes in community-dwelling older people an International Academy

on Nutrition and Aging (IANA) Task Force. The journal of nutrition, health & aging. 2009;13(10):881-9.

19. Williams JM, Nyman SR. Association between the instrumented timed up and go test and cognitive function, fear of falling and quality of life in community dwelling people with dementia. Journal of frailty, sarcopenia and falls. 2018;3(4):185-93.

20. Buatois S, Miljkovic D, Manckoundia P, Gueguen R, Miget P, Vançon G, et al. Five times sit to stand test is a predictor of recurrent falls in healthy community-living subjects aged 65 and older. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2008;56(8):1575-7.

21. Bourque Jamieson M, Beller George A. Value of Exercise ECG for Risk Stratification in Suspected or Known CAD in the Era of Advanced Imaging Technologies. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging. 2015;8(11):1309-21.

22. Elsafi SH, Alqahtani NI, Zakary NY, Al Zahrani EM. The sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, and likelihood ratios of fecal occult blood test for the detection of colorectal cancer in hospital settings. Clinical and experimental gastroenterology. 2015;8:279-84.

23. Figueiredo D, Santos S. Cross-cultural validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale-International (FES-I) in Portuguese community-dwelling older adults. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2017;68:168-73.

24. van Schooten KS, Freiburger E, Sillevs Smitt M, Keppner V, Sieber C, Lord SR, et al. Concern About Falling Is Associated With Gait Speed, Independently From Physical and Cognitive Function. Physical Therapy. 2019;99(8):989-97.

25. Young WR, Olonilua M, Masters RSW, Dimitriadis S, Mark Williams A. Examining links between anxiety, reinvestment and walking when talking by older adults during adaptive gait. Experimental brain research. 2016;234(1):161-72.

26. Herman T, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Properties of the 'timed up and go' test: more than meets the eye. Gerontology. 2011;57(3):203-10.

27. Hopewell S, Adedire O, Copsey BJ, Boniface GJ, Sherrington C, Clemson L, et al. Multifactorial and multiple component interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018;2018(7).

28. DiBrezza R, Shadden BB, Raybon BH, Powers M. Exercise intervention designed to improve strength and dynamic balance among community-dwelling older adults. J Aging Phys Act. 2005;13(2):198-209.