medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.14.22282041; this version posted December 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

1	The Impact of Freeze-Thaw Cycles on the Integrity of SARS-COV-2 Viral Culture Fluids and
2	Clinical Remnant Samples in Antigen or Nucleic Acid Testing
3	Hajirah Noor Hussain ¹ , Hali Weeks ¹ , Derek Zhou ¹ , Divya Joseph ¹ , Brooke Lam ¹ , Haidong Xu ¹ ,
4	Chushi Zhang ¹ , Keqin Gregg ¹ , and Wenli Zhou ¹
5	¹ XYZ Laboratory, 2009 Ranch Rd. 620 N, STE 325, Lakeway, TX 78734

6 Abstract

Preservation at ultra-low temperatures has been a gold standard for long-term storage of 7 many types of clinical specimens including the SARS-CoV-2 virus. The frozen specimens can be 8 easily transported and tested later. In addition, de-identified frozen remnant samples are 9 resources for many preclinical or clinical studies. It is therefore crucial to understand whether 10 11 freeze and thaw cycles (FTCs) can adversely affect SARS-CoV-2 test performance when frozen samples are tested. Some early studies suggest that the FTCs increased the cycles threshold (Ct) 12 of RT-PCR indicating the potential degradation of the SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid after FTCs, 13 14 while the others did not report any significant changes in the SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acids after the FTCs. Moreover, the impact of FTCs on the performance of the SARS-CoV-2 antigen test is 15 scarcely reported. 16

In this study, we performed paired nucleic acid and rapid antigen tests on the same samples to investigate and directly compare how FTCs affect the performance of two types of tests. Both inactivated viral culture fluid samples and clinical remnant samples were studied. Our results showed that FTCs had minimal negative effects on the performance of the rapid SARS-CoV-2 antigen test, and the test results remained largely consistent throughout the FTCs, whereas the Ct values of RT-PCR increased with the increase of the FTC numbers. In addition, our data also

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

23	demonstrated that the SARS-CoV-2 is preserved better in VTM than PBS during FTCs in regard
24	to nucleic acid testing.

25

26 Keywords: Freeze-thaw cycle, SARS-CoV-2, RT-PCR, lateral flow assay, rapid antigen test

27

28 Introduction

Since the COVID-19 pandemic began two and half years ago, a large number of studies have 29 been conducted on SARS-CoV-2 due to its high infectivity, mutability, and the need for faster 30 31 and more accurate methods of testing. The main SARS-CoV-2 testing technologies include reverse transcription real-time PCR (RT-PCR or RT-qPCR), which is the gold standard and tests 32 for viral nucleic acid usually in clinical laboratories, and lateral flow rapid assays (LFA) testing 33 34 for viral antigens, most often used in point-of-care or home settings. There are times that frozen patient samples must be used for various reasons such as delayed testing, secured transportation, 35 late verification, or research and development using remnant samples, freezing at an ultra-low 36 temperature remains the only option for long-term specimen storage as per CDC 37 recommendation (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-nCoV/lab/guidelines-clinical-38 specimens.html). It is thus crucial to fully understand whether FTCs can adversely affect the test 39 performance on any scale if frozen samples are used. 40

41 Several studies using clinical samples (1-2), wastewater solid (3-4), and synthetic RNA (5)

42 showed that FTCs had a significant, negative impact on the Ct values of the SARS-CoV-2 RT-

43 PCR test, indicating a degradation of the viral nucleic acids throughout FTCs even with only one

freeze and thaw cycle. Others found that a limited number of FTCs had a minor or no significant 44 impact on the RT-PCR test results (6-9). There are fewer studies of the FTC's impact on the 45 lateral flow rapid antigen tests. Cubas-Atienzar et al. (10) reported that 11 out of 19 rapid antigen 46 tests examined had at least a 2-fold decrease in sensitivity even after just one FTC and 2 of 19 47 showed increased sensitivity. Zhou et al. (11) found a slight decrease in antigen test signal 48 intensity after 2-3 FTCs in three rapid antigen tests used in that study. Both studies indicated that 49 the types of rapid test kits and diluent used in the studies could have a significant impact on the 50 test performance after the FTCs. 51 There are times that VTM preserved SARS-CoV-2 samples need to be tested by both RT-52 PCR and rapid antigen methods for test result confirmation or during assay development. It 53 54 becomes important to understand how FTCs would differently affect the RT-PCR and antigen tests under the same sample conditions. In this study, we seek to answer this question by testing 55 clinical remnant samples and inactivated viral fluid of various strains using the RT-PCR method 56 57 as well on a rapid antigen test kit. Our approach and conclusion shall provide a reference for using frozen samples on different tests as well as a model for any future RT-PCR and antigen 58 59 comparison studies of FTC's impact.

60

61 Materials and Methods

62 Overall Study Design

63 The study was performed with two types of specimens, one was various strains of inactivated 64 viral culture fluids, and another was clinical remnant samples. For inactivated viral culture fluids, 65 the limit of detection (LoD) was first determined using the rapid antigen kit (Hotgen Coronavirus

66	(2019-nCoV)-Antigen test- (Beijing Hotgen Biotech Co. Ltd., Beijing, China), or Antigentest)
67	for each viral culture sample. Contrived samples at various low to middle concentrations along
68	with a negative matrix were aliquoted, then subjected to various FTCs. For the clinical remnant
69	samples, no dilutions were performed. The remnant samples had various Ct values representing
70	high and low viral loads; these were directly aliquoted and subjected to various FTCs. Enough
71	aliquot volume for each sample was made so that each aliquoted sample could be used for both
72	the RT-PCR and antigen tests after the designated number of FTCs.
73	
74	Viral Culture Fluid
75	Table 1 lists inactivated SARS-CoV-2 viral culture fluid reference materials used in this
76	study, by variant, catalog #, manufacturer, inactivation method, and stock concentration.
77	
78	
79	Negative Matrix
80	The negative matrix serves as a diluent for the SARS-CoV-2 culture fluids and mimics the
81	environment in the human nasal cavity. The nasal swabs from multiple, presumably SARS-CoV-
82	2-negative individuals were collected, eluted in saline (VWR International, Radnor, PA), and
83	pooled. The negative matrix was confirmed by laboratory-developed RT-PCR to be negative.
84	
85	Remnant Clinical Samples

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

86 A total of 25 de-identified remnant COVID-19 testing samples, 20 positives, and 5 negatives were used for the study. These samples were collected using anterior nasal swabs in a PBS or 87 VPM buffer (Lampire, Pipersville, PA). All patients consented and their de-identified remnant 88 samples could be used for the research after testing. 89 90 Freeze-Thaw Cycle Procedure 91 The remnant samples were tested initially to establish a baseline, then aliquoted and placed 92 93 into corresponding freeze-thaw cycle boxes: 2 FTCs (2c), 4 FTCs (4c), 6 FTCs (6c), 8 FTCs (8c), and 10 FTCs (10c), and stored at -80°C. To perform the FTCs, each box was taken out and 94 95 thawed to room temperature before they were refrozen, following the scheme in Figure 1. 96 97 Figure 1. Diagram of FTCs for SARS-CoV-2 Variant and Clinical Remnant Samples. The 98 samples were placed in boxes corresponding to the number of FTCs they underwent before final testing. 99 For the inactivated SARS-CoV-2 culture fluid variant sample preparation, 350 uL of 100 diluted viral cultural fluid with a final concentration of 1.5 to 10 x LoD (on the Antigentest) from 101 each variant strain was aliquoted for a total of 13 samples per cycle and placed in the 102 103 corresponding freeze-thaw cycle boxes: 1 FTCs (1c), 2 FTCs (2c), 4 FTCs (4c), 7 FTCs (7c), or 11 FTCs (11c) and frozen at -80°C. Each box was taken out and thawed at room temperature 104 before being refrozen to its designated number of FTCs, as shown in Fig. 1. 105

106 To ensure the test results of sample aliquots subject to various FTCs are directly 107 comparable, the FTCs were designed in such a way that the tests of all the aliquots of various 108 FTCs were performed on the same run (RT-PCR) or same day (antigen) for the same sample. 109 The exception is for cycle 0 in which a run-to-run normalization was performed.

110

111 Rapid Antigen Test

All samples were tested on the Hotgen Antigen test, an LFA which detects the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antigen. The Antigen test was tested according to the instructions for use (IFU). Fifty microliters of specimen solution was carefully spiked onto the dry swab in the antigen kit then the swab was inserted into the extraction tube and mixed 15 times. After squeezing the swab against the tube wall 3 times, four drops were added to the device, and the result was read after 15 min. Photos of the Antigen test results were taken to compare the test line signal intensity throughout the cycles. Triplicates were tested for each condition and each sample.

The positive antigen test signals from the clinical remnant samples were used to generate a color intensity chart (Figure 2). Each signal was assigned a score from 0.5 (faint) to 10 (very strong), the higher the number the more SARS-CoV-2 in the sample. Negative was 0 (not shown in Fig. 2).

All positive antigen test signals in this study were compared to the color intensities in the chartand recorded from 0.5 (faint) to 10 (very strong).

125

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Color intensity chart of Hotgen Antigen test. The scale was made from enhancedHotgen test image results and subjectively rated from weakest to strongest.

128

129 RT-PCR

130 **RT-PCR was performed using the laboratory-developed method.** Briefly, the samples were 131 treated at 95°C for 5 minutes with 5 uL Proteinase K (BioLabs, Cambridge, MA) before RT-132 qPCR. The RT-PCR mix contains Reliance One-Step Multiplex RT-qPCR Supermix (BioRad, 133 Hercules, CA), SARS-CoV-2-specific N gene primers (IDT, Coralville, IA), and human RNase P gene primers. RT-qPCR was run on the ABI 7500 Fast Dx Real-Time PCR (Thermo Fisher, 134 Waltham, MA) instrument. Triplicates were tested for each condition and each sample. All data 135 136 analysis was performed with Microsoft Excel for Microsoft 365 (Microsoft Corp. Redmond, Washington). 137

138

139 Results

140 FTCs Impact on Rapid Antigen Testing

The Antigen test results of sample aliquots subject to various FTCs are presented in Table 2 for clinical remnant samples and Table 3 for contrived viral culture fluid variant samples. The Antigen test results remained unchanged for most of the clinical remnant samples until 6 FTCs (Table 2). The positive signals decreased for two samples (FT07 and FT25) as soon as 2 FTCs, FT05 was weaker at 6 FTCs, two samples (FT04 and FT15) became weaker at 8 FTCs, most samples were slightly weaker by 10 FTCs with only 6 samples remaining the same intensity

through all FTCs. For inactivated viral culture fluid samples, six of the nine listed in Table 1 147 were tested. All but two had no changes in antigen-positive signals throughout the FTCs (Table 148 3). In either sample type, all test results were positive on 100% of the positive samples, 149 regardless of the number of FTCs, and the negative samples did not demonstrate any false 150 positives. 151 152 Table 2. Antigen test results of clinical remnant samples after various freeze and thaw cycles. 153 154 Table 3. Antigen test results of viral culture fluid samples after various freeze and thaw cycles. 155 156 FTCs impact on RT-PCR Test of Clinical Remnant Samples 157 158 As shown in Figure 3, the Ct values of clinical remnant samples gradually increased with the increasing number of FTCs in all but one (FT02) of the positive samples (Figure 3A and 3B). 159 160 The clinical remnant samples preserved in VTM had a mean slope of the linear regression line 161 (FTC versus Ct) of 0.237 (Fig. 3A), whereas the mean slope for PBS samples was 0.405 (Fig. 162 4B), indicating a faster increase of Cts through FTCs for the PBS samples. When the viral load is low, the increase of Ct values in PBS samples becomes even more significant with increasing 163 FTCs. 164 165

166 Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of clinical remnant sample aliquots after 2, 4, 6, 10

167 freeze and thaw cycles. A. Seven positive samples in VTM. B. 13 positive samples in PBS.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

168

169 FTCs impact on RT-PCR Test of Viral Culture Fluid Samples

170 Linear regression was performed on the average Ct values versus FTCs for the diluted viral culture fluid variant samples, where the slope represents the change in Ct value per freeze-thaw 171 cycle (Figure 4A and 4B). The slopes steadily increased over time, reflecting the increasing 172 degradation of the samples over the experiment time. Among 9 inactivated viral culture fluids 173 variant samples, 5 were irradiation-inactivated (Figure 4A) and 4 were heat-inactivated (Figure 174 4B). 175 176 The heat-inactivated contrived samples appear less resistant to the FTC conditions (Fig. 4A) 177 than the other irradiated-inactivated samples (Fig. 4B). As shown in Figures 4A and 4B, all other 178 heat-inactivated samples had a much higher rate of Ct increase through FTCs. The Ct increase was evident even at the first few FTCs and with an average increase of 1.86 at 7 to 11 cycles by 179 the end of the FTCs. Two samples (CS09-10 and CS09-100) became undetectable at cycle 11. 180 181

Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid samples after 1, 2, 4, 7, and 11
freeze-thaw cycles. A. Five UV and Gamma-inactivated samples; B. Four heat-inactivated
samples.

The inactivated SARS-CoV-2 strains were commercially purchased and are the main reference materials used in SAR-CoV-2-related research and product development. Their stability is thus very important. A standard good practice to keep the integrity of these reference materials is to aliquot them when the material is first thawed for use to avoid multiple freeze and thaw cycles.
Our study showed here that even a few freeze-thaw cycles could have a negative impact on the

190	integrity of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acids, particularly the heat-inactivated ones. However, the
191	samples studied here were diluted contrived samples. To find out if undiluted inactivated culture
192	fluids would have better resistance to the FTCs, we performed FTC experiments on undiluted
193	culture fluid samples. Aliquoted undiluted culture fluid samples were subjected to multiple FTCs
194	(1, 2, 4, 7, and 11). After the FTCs, the samples were diluted to the concentrations used in the
195	original dilution study (Table 3 and Figures 4A and B), and subject to RT-PCR analysis, no
196	significant increase in Ct values was found even after 11 cycles for all the samples (Figure. 5).
197	
198	Figure 5. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid stock samples which underwent 1,
199	2, 4, 7, and 11 freeze and thaw cycles before being diluted for testing.
200	
201	Correlation between initial RT-PCR and rapid antigen test results
202	Although both RT-PCR and rapid antigen tests are qualitative tests, lower Ct values in RT-
203	PCR and strong positive antigen test line signals usually indicate a strong viral load. In principle,
204	these two test measures should correlate to each other at the active viral propagation phase when
205	the same target gene/protein was used. In Figure 6, we compared the initial RT-PCR test results
206	and antigen test results of 20 clinical remnant samples. As one can see, a correlation coefficient
207	of -0.8839 is obtained, indicating a good negative correlation between the two test methods.
208	
209	Figure 6. Correlation between Ct values and antigen test signal of 20 positive SARS-CoV-2
210	clinical remnants before FTCs

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

211

212 Discussion

213 Ultra-low temperature has been a recommended shipping and long-term storage condition

for many human specimens including SARS-CoV-2 (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-

nCoV/lab/guidelines-clinical-specimens.html). The study here as well as previous studies (1-5)

demonstrated that the multiple FTCs can gradually damage the SARS-CoV-2 RNA, and thus

should be avoided, if possible, particularly for the clinical specimens that were in PBS buffer and

at low viral concentrations. The Ct values of RT-PCR could increase by more than 10, indicating

severe nucleic acid degradation after multiple FTCs. In contrast, the SARS-CoV-2 antigen test is

220 much less sensitive to the specimen subjected to FTCs. The damage on the antigen is

221 undetectable before 6 FTCs.

222 In this study, the RT-PCR test targets the nucleocapsid (N) gene, whereas the rapid antigen

test targets the N-protein. The use of the N-gene/protein in diagnostics has its merit. N-

224 gene/protein is subject to fewer mutation events. Moreover, N-gene has much greater copies than

other SARS-CoV-2 viral genes (12) and the copies of N-gene RNA and N-protein are closely

correlated (13). The correlation was further confirmed with the clinical remnant samples tested

here (Figure 6). The development of most SARS-CoV-2 rapid tests involves monoclonal

antibodies (mAbs) that are peptide-specific to the epitopes of the SARS-CoV-2 N-protein. These

antigen epitopes typically consist of 8-12 amino acids; a configuration that is less prone to

alterations and, therefore, not extremely sensitive to conditions such as freezing and thawing.

231 This may explain the stable Antigen test results on the samples experiencing many FTCs. In fact,

- antigen-antibody-based assay stability was also observed in several antibody tests (14-15). In
- contrast, the RNA is relatively less resistant to freeze and thaw cycles, especially at lower

234 concentrations. The FTCs can break the human cells surrounding the virus leading to the release of RNAse. All the diluents used in the study for the viral culture fluids or the clinical remnant 235 samples contain human cells. Moreover, the hydrolysis of the RNA phosphodiester backbone can 236 also happen more evidently at a lower RNA concentration. The SARS-CoV-2 RNA vulnerability 237 under various storage conditions may also be the cause of different test results reported from 238 239 different labs, although the consensus appears the same that the multiple FTCs can eventually damage the SARS-CoV-2 RNA in clinical samples or samples contrived with human negative 240 matrices. Interestingly, the viral culture fluid samples without any dilution can sustain multiple 241 242 FTCs much better. High concentration and human cell-free conditions may provide a protection mechanism under multiple FTCs. Although it is always a good practice to aliquot the frozen 243 reference materials at the first thaw and use, and avoid multiple FTCs during usage, it is good to 244 know that multiple FTCs had minimal impact on the quality of the materials as long as they were 245 stored frozen. 246

Diluted heat-inactivated SARS-CoV-2 cultural fluid was shown to be less stable than 247 irradiation-inactivated ones after FTCs. Heat-inactivation can damage both SARS-CoV-2 RNA 248 and proteins leading to diminished detection (11), whereas inactivation by irradiation tends to 249 250 preserve the integrity of both antigen and nucleic acids better. Indeed, the study here showed that all heat-inactivated diluent samples had a much higher rate of Ct increase than the irradiation 251 types after FTCs. This is very apparent in CS01 and CS02 which are of the same strain, both had 252 253 the same LoD on the Antigen test the only difference between the two was the inactivation method. Both heat and irradiation are physical viral inactivation methods, heat inactivation 254 primarily works by destroying the secondary protein structure (16), thus likely leading to more 255 leakage of RNAse from human cells, RNAse would not be destroyed at the temperature the 256

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

typical heat-inactivation protocol uses. On the other hand, irradiation inactivates the virus by
breaking its nucleic acid chain. Irradiation damage on protein is minimal in general (17) but at a
high intensity, it could also damage the RNAse to a certain extent (18). As long as the nucleic
acid breakage induced by irradiation did not occur in the amplicon region, it would not affect the
RT-PCR reaction. Therefore, irradiation-inactivated viral materials may provide a more robust
option for certain research.

An additional implication of this study is that the frozen samples in an appropriate medium 263 such as VTM or PBS used here could provide an alternative means for lateral flow antigen test 264 developers to study the test performance when the resource of a clinical patient is scarce. The 265 limitations of this study are that only one rapid antigen test was analyzed here. In addition, we 266 also did not perform a systematic comparison of various types of VTMs, UTM, or other 267 transportation mediums. Considering that multiple factors could affect both antigen and RT-PCR 268 269 tests, we suggest that a similar study should be performed for any particular test system, should 270 FTCs be concerned. The study can be performed at a much smaller scale, e.g., a smaller number of FTCs. 271

Ultra-low temperatures have been a recommended shipping and long-term storage condition
for many human specimens including SARS-CoV-2 (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019nCoV/lab/guidelines-clinical-specimens.html). The study here as well as previous studies (2-6)
demonstrated that the multiple FTCs can gradually damage the SARS-CoV-2 RNA, and thus
should be avoided if possible.

In conclusion, when making dilutions or testing clinical samples on rapid test kits, freezing
and thawing do not have a significant effect on the testing results. However multiple freezes and
thaws should be kept to a minimum as RT-PCR testing reveals it can cause significant

- It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .
- degradation of RNA, especially at low concentrations, and possibly some degradation to antigens
- 281 long-term.

282

- 283 Acknowledgments
- 284 All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
- **This study was funded** by XYZ Laboratory which is self-funded.
- 286 We would like to thank Beijing Hotgen Biotech Co. Ltd. for providing the lateral flow rapid
- antigen test (Antigentest) for this study. Thanks Dr. Wei Zeng, Ruifeng Xiao, and Jun Zhang for
- critical reading of the manuscript. WZ and KG for contriving the ideas, planning the experiment,
- and reviewing the manuscript, HNH for writing the manuscript, making the figures and tables,
- 290 performing the variant FTC and antigen experiments; HW for assisting with the initial draft of
- the manuscript, performing the clinical remnant FTC, and antigen experiments; DZ for initial
- data analysis; DJ, BL, HX, and CZ for performing the PCR experiments.

293

294 References

295	1.	Aijaz, A, Li M, Smith D, Khong D, LeBlon C, Fenton OS, Olabisi RM, Libutti S,
296		Tischfield J, Maus MV, Deans R, Barcia RN, Anderson DG, Ritz J, Preti R,
297		Parekkadan B. 2018. Biomanufacturing for clinically advanced cell therapies. Nat
298		Biomed Eng 2:362-376. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0246-6

299	2.	Li L, Li X, Guo Z, Wang Z, Zhang K, Li C, Wang C, Zhang S. Influence of Storage
300		Conditions on SARS-CoV-2 Nucleic Acid Detection in Throat Swabs. 2020. The
301		Journal of Infectious Diseases 222:203-205. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa272
302	3.	Markt R, Mayr M, Peer E, Wagner AO, Lackner N, Insam H, 2021. Detection and
303		Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Fragments in Wastewater: Impact of Storage Temperature.
304		2021. Pathogens 10:1215. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10091215
305	4.	Simpson A, Topol A, White BJ, Wolfe MK, Wigginton KR, Boehm AB. 2021. Effect
306		of storage conditions on SARS-CoV-2 RNA quantification in wastewater solids.
307		PeerJ 9:e11933 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11933
308	5.	Holohan C, Hanarahan S, Feely N, Li P, O'Connell J, Moss C, Carr M, Tagit O, Lee
309		GU. 2021. Influence of viral transport media and freeze-thaw cycling on the
310		sensitivity of qrt-PCR detection of SARS-COV-2 nucleic acids. bioRxiv.
311		https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.18.448982
312	6.	Dzung A, Cheng PF, Stoffel C, Tastanova A, Turko P, Levesque MP, Bosshard P.
313		2021. Prolonged unfrozen storage and repeated freeze-thawing of SARS-COV-2
314		patient samples have minor effects on SARS-COV-2 detectability by RT-PCR. The
315		Journal of molecular diagnostics: JMD. Association for Molecular Pathology and
316		American Society for Investigative Pathology.
317		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2021.03.003
318	7.	Stohr J, Wennekes M, van der Ent M, Diederen B, Kluytmans-van den Bergh M,
319		Bergmans A, Kluytmans J, Pas SD. 2020. Clinical performance and sample freeze-
320		thaw stability of the cobas®6800 SARS-CoV-2 assay for the detection of SARS-
321		CoV-2 in oro-/nasopharyngeal swabs and lower respiratory specimens. Journal of

322		clinical virology : the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical
323		Virology, 133, 104686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104686
324	8.	Beattie RE, Blackwood AD, Clerkin T, Dinga C, Noble RT. Evaluating the impact of
325		sample storage, handling, and technical ability on the decay and recovery of SARS-
326		CoV-2 in wastewater. 2022. PLoS ONE 17(6): e0270659.
327		https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270659
328	9.	Hokajärvi A-M, Rytkönen A, Tiwari A, Kauppinen A, Oikarinen S, Lehto K-M,
329		Kankaanpää A, Gunnar T, Al-Hello H, Blomqvist S, Miettinen IT, Savolainen-Kopra
330		C, Pitkänen T. 2021. The detection and stability of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA
331		biomarkers in wastewater influent in Helsinki, Finland. Science of the Total
332		Environment 770:145274. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.1452749
333	10.	Cubas-Atienzar AI, Kontogianni K, Edwards T, Wooding D, Buist K, Thompson CR,
334		Williams CT, Patterson EI, Hughes GL, Baldwin L, Escadafal C, Sacks JA, Adams
335		ER. 2021. Limit of detection in different matrices of 19 commercially available rapid
336		antigen tests for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. Sci Rep 11:18313.
337		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97489-9
338	11.	Zhou H, Wang C, Rao J, Chen L, Ma T, Liu D, Ren L, Xu S. 2021. The impact of
339		sample processing on the rapid antigen detection test for SARS-COV-2: Virus
340		inactivation, VTM selection, and sample preservation. Biosafety and Health.
341		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsheal.2021.09.001
342	12.	Toppings NB, Oberding LK, Lin YC, Evans D, Pillai DR. The Role of Subgenomic
343		RNA in Discordant Results From Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction

344	Tests for COVID-19. 2022. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1 146(7):805-813.
345	https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2021-0630-SA
346	13. Pollock NR, Savage TJ, Wardell H, Lee R, Mathew A, Stengelin M, Sigal GB. 2020.
347	Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antigen and RNA concentrations in
348	nasopharyngeal samples from children and adults using an ultrasensitive and
349	quantitative antigen assay. medRxiv 2020.11.10.20227371.
350	https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20227371
351	14. Kanji JN, Bailey A, Fenton J, Lindsay LR, Dibernardo A, Toledo NPL, Waitt B,
352	Lecocq N, Osiowy C, Giles E, Day J, Stokes W, MacDonald C, Turnbull L, Charlton
353	C. Division of Infectious Diseases. Stability of SARS-COV-2 IGG in multiple
354	laboratory conditions and blood sample types. Journal of Clinical Virology.
355	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104933
356	15. Shurrab FM, Al-Sadeq DW, Amanullah F, Younes SN, Al-Jighefee H, Younes N,
357	Dargham SR, Yassine HM, Abu Raddad LJ, Nasrallah GK. Effect of multiple freeze-
358	thaw cycles on detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies. medRxiv
359	2021.04.13.21255379; https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.001402
360	16. Lee YN, Chen LK, Ma HC, Yang HH, Li HP, Lo SY. Thermal aggregation of SARS-
361	CoV membrane protein. J Virol Methods. 2005 Nov;129(2):152-61. doi:
362	10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.05.022.
363	17. Durante M, Schulze K, Incerti S, Francis Z, Zein S, Guzmán CA. 2020. Virus
364	Irradiation and COVID-19 Disease. Front Phys 8:565861. doi:
365	10.3389/fphy.2020.565861

- 366 18. Rokushika S, Murakami F, Senda T, Hatano H. 1972. Inactivation of ribonuclease A
- in aqueous solution by ionizing radiation. J Radiat Res 13(4):221–229.
- 368 https://doi.org/10.2307/3573841

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1 listed inactivated SARS-CoV-2 viral culture fluid reference materials used in this study, by variant, catalog #, manufacturer, inactivation method, and stock concentration.

Sample ID	Variant/Isolate	Catalog #	Manufacturer	Inactivation method	Stock Concentration	
CS01	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020	0810587UV	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	UV- inactivated	1.15 x 10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS02	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020	0810587CFHI	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	Heat- inactivated	1.15 x 10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS03	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020	0810587CFHI	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	Heat- inactivated	3.16 x 10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS04	SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020	0810587CFHI	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	Heat- inactivated	$8.51 \ge 10^7$ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS05	Variant B.1.1.7 England/204820 464/2020	0810614UV	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	UV- inactivated	$3.80 \ge 10^6$ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS06	Variant B.1.351 South_Africa/K RIS- K005325/2020	0810613UV	ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY	UV- inactivated	1.15 x 10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS07	Variant B.1.617.2, Delta USA/PHC658/20 21	0810624UV	ZeptoMetrix, UV- Buffalo, NY inactivated		1.15 x 10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS08	Variant B.1.1.529 Omicron USA/GA-EHC- 2811C/2021	NR-56496	BEI Resources, Manassas, VA	Gamma- inactivated	1.51 x 10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀ /mL	
CS09	Omicron Variant USA/GA-EHC- 2811C/2021	VR-3347	ATCC, Manassas, VA	Heat- inactivated	1.9 x 10 ⁶ genetic copies/uL	

Clinical Remnant Sample ID	0 FTCs	2 FTCs	4 FTCs	6 FTCs	8 FTCs	10 FTCs
FT01	1	1	1	1	1	1
FT02	7	7	7	7	7	6.5↓
FT03	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
FT04	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1↓	0.5↓
FT05	1.5	1.5	1.5	1↓	1	1
FT06	4	4	4	4	4	4
FT07	3.5	3↓	2.5↓	2.5	2.5	2↓
FT08	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6↓
FT09	9	9	9	9	9	8.5↓
FT10	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5↓
FT11	10	10	10	10	10	10
FT12	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
FT13	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5
FT14	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7↓

Table 2. Antigen test results of clinical remnant samples after various freeze and thaw cy	cles.
--	-------

FT15	2	2	2	2	1.5↓	1↓
FT21	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4↓
FT22	9	9	9	9	9	8.5↓
FT23	1	1	1	1	1	0.5↓
FT24	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5
FT25	2.5	2↓	1.5↓	1.5	1↓	1

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Contrived Sample ID- Concentration	0 FTCs*	2 FTCs	4 FTCs	7 FTCs	11 FTCs
CS01 1.73 x 10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀ /mL	2	2	2	2	2
CS01 3.45 x 10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀ /mL	4	4	4	4	4
CS02 1.73 x 10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀ /mL	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
CS02 3.45 x 10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀ /mL	2	2	2	1.5↓	1.5
CS05 4.53 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	2	2	2	2	2
CS05 9.06 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	4	4	4	4	4
CS06 2.85 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	3	3	3	3	3
CS06 5.7 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	5	5	5	5	5
CS07 5.75 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	2	2	2	2	2

Table 3. Antigen test results of viral culture fluid samples after various freeze and thaw cycles.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

CS07 1.15 x 10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀ /mL	4	4	3.5↓	3.5	3.5
CS08 2.25 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	6	6	6	6	6
CS08 4.5 x 10 ³ TCID ₅₀ /mL	8	8	8	8	8

*: The 0 cycle was defined as aliquots that were not subjected to FTCs in the study here, materials were already frozen when purchased.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





Figure 1. Diagram of FTCs for SARS-CoV-2 Variant and Clinical Remnant Samples. The samples were placed in boxes corresponding to the number of FTCs they underwent before final testing.

Figure 1. Diagram of FTCs for SARS-CoV-2 Variant and Clinical Remnant Samples. The samples were placed in boxes corresponding to the number of FTCs they underwent before final testing.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2



Figure 2. Color intensity chart of Hotgen Antigen test. The scale was made from enhanced Hotgen test image results and subjectively rated from weakest to strongest.

Figure 2. Color intensity chart of Hotgen Antigen test. The scale was made from enhanced Hotgen test image results and subjectively rated from weakest to strongest.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of clinical remnant sample aliquots after 2, 4, 6, 10 freeze and thaw cycles. A. Seven positive samples in VTM. B. 13 positive samples in PBS.

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of clinical remnant sample aliquots after 2, 4, 6, 10 freeze and thaw cycles. A. Seven positive samples in VTM. B. 13 positive samples in PBS.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid samples after 1, 2, 4, 7, and 11 freeze-thaw cycles. A. Five UV and Gamma-inactivated samples; B. Four heat-inactivated samples.

Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid samples after 1, 2, 4, 7, and 11 freeze-thaw cycles. A. Five UV and Gamma-inactivated samples. B. Four heat-inactivated samples.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





Figure 5. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid stock samples which underwent 1, 2, 4, 7, and 11 freeze and thaw cycles before being diluted for testing.

Figure 5. SARS-CoV-2 N-gene Ct values of viral culture fluid stock samples which underwent 1, 2, 4, 7, and 11 freeze and thaw cycles before being diluted for testing.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .





Figure 6. Correlation between Ct values and antigen test signal of 20 positive SARS-CoV-2 clinical remnants before FTCs.

Figure 6. Correlation between Ct values and antigen test signal of 20 positive SARS-CoV-2 clinical remnants before FTCs.