It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

# 1 The Effect of COVID-19 on Distracted Driving: A Survey Study

2

#### 3 Ramina Javid

- 4 Ph.D. Student
- 5 Department of Transportation and Urban Infrastructure
- 6 Morgan State University
- 7 1700 E Cold Spring Ln, CBEIS 238, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA
- 8 Email: rajah1@morgan.edu
- 9

## 10 Eazaz Sadeghvaziri, Ph.D. (Corresponding Author)

- 11 Postdoctoral Research Associate and Adjunct Faculty
- 12 Department of Transportation and Urban Infrastructure
- 13 Morgan State University
- 14 1700 E Cold Spring Ln, CBEIS 320, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA
- 15 Email: eazaz.sadeghvaziri@morgan.edu
- 16

#### 17 Mansoureh Jeihani, Ph.D., PTP

- 18 Professor and Director
- 19 National Transportation Center
- 20 Department of Transportation and Urban Infrastructure
- 21 Morgan State University
- 22 1700 E Cold Spring Ln, CBEIS 327, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA
- 23 Email: mansoureh.jeihani@morgan.edu

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### 25 Abstract

#### 26

The COVID-19 pandemic caused a significant shift in people's travel behaviors and distractions 27 28 while driving. This paper aims to investigate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on distracted driving by comparing their behavior before and during the pandemic (from 3/1/2019 to 3/1/2021) 29 in the state of Maryland using a stated preference online survey. Some 158 people were recruited 30 for the survey. Participants were asked about their risky driving behaviors and self-reported 31 distraction both before and during the pandemic. To analyze the results, the Chi-square and post-32 hoc tests with the Bonferroni adjustment were applied. The results showed that during the 33 pandemic, distraction dropped from 25% to 21%. The highest reported distracted driving behavior 34 35 during the pandemic was using hands-free cell phones (64%), using GPS (75%), and eating or drinking (57%). The respondents' daily trips have significantly decreased - about 44% below pre-36 pandemic rates. Moreover, using a binary logistic regression, it was revealed that the odds of 37

becoming distracted among participants who used a handheld cell phone before and during the

- 39 pandemic were 4.5 and 6.6 times higher than others, respectively. The findings of this study shed
- 40 light on the causes of distraction before and during the pandemic.

41

42 Keywords: Distracted Driving, Driving Behaviors, COVID-19 pandemic

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### 43 **1. Introduction**

#### 44

The COVID-19 pandemic's impacts are being felt throughout all modes of transportation 45 46 around the world, significantly altering human mobility patterns, and daily transportation-related behaviors (1). The U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommended social 47 distancing, quarantining, and working from home starting in March 2020. States and localities 48 implemented these recommendations by closing schools and businesses, and residents were urged 49 50 to stay at home. Travel demand fell across the board because of these unexpected and extraordinary 51 shutdowns (2–4). Therefore, this pandemic caused a significant shift in people's travel behaviors and patterns (5). 52

53 Although traffic has decreased dramatically since the outbreak of the COVID-19 54 pandemic, roads have become much riskier. According to the National Safety Council, 42,060 people died in car crashes in 2020, which is up 8% from 2019. Moreover, the number of crashes 55 per million miles increased by 63% in the U.S. (6, 7). In addition, 4.8 million people were seriously 56 57 injured in car crashes in 2020, raising questions about the prevalence of distracted driving (5). An analysis of 86,000 crashes that occurred on U.S. roads in 2020 showed that risky distracted driving 58 59 habits like texting while driving, which is illegal in 41 states, were often implicated in the country's record-breaking crash rates during the lockdown months (8). Experts, however, emphasized that 60 distracted driving predates the pandemic and that the recent shift in travel habits merely highlights 61 62 a long-standing problem. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) stated that 3,142 people died in distracted driving crashes in 2020 (9). Moreover, according to the Centers 63 for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), distracted driving kills more than eight people and 64 injures more than 1,161 per day in the U.S. (10). The results of an online survey study related to 65 distracted driving conducted in the U.S in 2020, showed that 41% of all drivers texted, 32% read 66 emails, 29% read social media, and 36% accessed the internet while driving. Compared to 2010 or 67 2015, more drivers reported engaging in each task in 2020 (11). Although cell phones might help 68 by supplying traffic data (12, 13), with phone distractions behind the wheel causing 57% of all 69 70 crashes on U.S. highways, all stakeholders must work together to tackle the Distracted Driving epidemic (8). It is important to understand the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on daily travel 71 72 behavior and distracted driving, as these changes in daily travel will subsequently affect the safety 73 of drivers.

74 In March 2020, the first case of COVID-19 was confirmed in the state of Maryland, and 75 initial statewide lockdowns took effect. Like any other state, this pandemic caused changes in 76 travel behavior for Maryland residents. From 2015 to 2019, distracted driving caused more than 55,000 crashes per year on average. Moreover, in 2020 and during the COVID-19 pandemic, there 77 were a total of 45,378 crashes caused by distracted driving in Maryland—a 20% decrease from the 78 79 previous year (14). Vehicle miles traveled declined dramatically during the pandemic; however, it is uncertain how the rapid decrease in traffic congestion affected drivers' tendency to engage in 80 81 distracted driving. It is expected that there will be some impacts on road crashes and travel patterns due to the impacts of the pandemic since driving behavior and traffic patterns altered dramatically. 82 Although many studies have been conducted on different aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic, to 83 84 the best knowledge of the authors, no study has investigated the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic 85 on distracted driving in the state of Maryland. Therefore, the objective of this study is to compare self-reported distracted driving and risky driving behaviors (using a cell phone while driving, 86 87 eating, drinking, etc.) both before and during the pandemic. To reach this goal, a state preference survey was conducted in the state of Maryland. 88

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

89

#### 90 2. Background

91

92 The avoidance of driver distraction has always been a priority for the traffic safety community. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), 93 distractions can be caused by anything that takes a driver's attention away from the task of safe 94 driving, including general inattention (lost in thought), smoking, eating, grooming, reading, 95 communicating with passengers, manipulating vehicle controls, and using electronic devices (9). 96 There are several possible sources of in-vehicle technology distractions. Cell phone use and texting 97 are the most alarming distractions (15-19). Studies have shown that cell phone use among 98 99 experienced drivers (both dialing and talking) increases the probability of a crash by a factor of four (20, 21). 100

According to one study, using electronics or cell phones while driving is the most typical form of distraction for drivers of all ages. Drivers drive more slowly with greater speed variance and less attention on the road while they are using cell phones or other devices. Texting causes more lane changes and collisions (22).

105

## 106 2.1. Distracted Driving During the COVID-19 Pandemic

107

In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in significant changes in traveler behavior. Early evidence suggests that people have shifted away from taking public transportation in favor of driving as a result of the pandemic (23). The pandemic has resulted in several state-mandated stay-at-home orders, yet distracted driving has made the roadways more dangerous than before.

Several studies have been conducted to investigate different aspects of road crashes due to 112 the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. According to the most recent National Safety Council 113 114 (NSC) Distracted Driving Survey, 2% of drivers admitted to speeding due to less congested 115 roadways (5). Another study (24) indicated that during the pandemic, major speeding almost tripled as a percentage of total speeding violations. Moreover, another study (20) indicated that 116 117 during the pandemic, major speeding almost tripled as a percentage of total speeding violations. However, another study found that the shelter-in-place order resulted in approximately 15,000 118 fewer crashes per month and 6,000 fewer injuries or fatal incidents per month in the state of 119 120 California (25). Preliminary data from the first 3/12020 also show a decrease in motor vehicle crashes (both fatal and non-fatal) in the U.S. (26). Similarly, the number of vehicle crashes 121 122 decreased steadily as the COVID-19 infection rates rose, according to a review of collision data from Florida, New York, and Massachusetts (27). 123

124 The pandemic may have different impacts on different demographic groups. Because of the pandemic, teen drivers are more likely to be involved in a crash due to distractions. According 125 126 to NHTSA, teens are the most at risk behind the wheel, and the empty roads during the pandemic give them a false sense of protection (28). Minorities may be at increased risk during the epidemic 127 128 for various reasons, some of which are related to the pandemic's direct health effects, and others to the pandemic response's implications. The elderly, those with underlying health problems, those 129 130 experiencing poverty, those who belong to minority racial and ethnic groups, and those who live in rural areas all experience worse health outcomes. These groups, particularly those without 131 reliable access to a household vehicle or who are unable to drive, frequently rely on others for 132 133 transportation assistance (29-31). However, another survey study showed that although

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

respondents admitted to driving less regularly, they also said they did not necessarily drive whiledistracted or more aggressively (*32*).

136

## 137 2.2. Distracted Driving in the State of Maryland

138

139 Distracted driving is most often caused by texting or talking on the phone, according to 140 previous studies (22). The use of a handheld phone while driving is prohibited by law in Maryland. Driving while typing, sending, or reading a text or electronic communication can also result in a 141 142 ticket. A driver that causes serious injury or death while talking on a handheld cell phone or texting may receive a prison sentence of up to three years and a fine of up to \$5,000 (33). As shown in 143 144 Table 1, according to the Maryland Department of Transportation Motor Vehicle Administration's Highway Safety Office (MHSO) (27), distracted driving causes an average of 25,672 injuries and 189 145 fatalities every year in Maryland. 146

147

#### 148 **Table 1**

#### 149 Distracted Driver Involved, 2015 – 2020 Crash Summary in Maryland

150

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	5 YEAR AVERAGE	
Fatal Crashes	108	171	208	176	183	205	189	
Injury Crashes	16,427	18,764	18,664	18,126	17,660	13,215	17,286	
Property Damage Crashes	32,139	37,436	37,512	38,895	38,847	31,958	36,935	
Total Crashes	48,674	56,371	56,384	57,197	56,690	45,378	54,410	
Total of All Fatalities	120	180	220	189	196	216	200	
Total Number Injured	24,401	27,78	27,968	26,979	26,388	19,237	25,672	

151

From the beginning of the pandemic (March 2020) until June 2022, there were 1,168,190 total confirmed COVID-19 cases and 14,912 deaths in the state of Maryland (*34*). **Fig. 1** shows the trend from January 2020 to March 2021 (this period was selected because of the survey questions). The period from December 2020 to January 2021 had the highest number of new cases per 1,000 people, after which the figure shows a downward trend.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license . Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

12 10 New Cases per 1000 8 6 4 2 20-May 20-Jan 20-Feb 20-Mar 20-Apr 20-Jun 20-141 20-Aug 20-Sep 20-0ct 20.Nov 20-Dec 21-Jan 21-Feb



159

160 161

Fig. 1. Number of COVID-19 daily new cases per 1,000 people in Maryland

Fig. 2 shows a dramatic decline in work trips per person per day from February to May
 2020. After that, the number of work trips increased. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19
 pandemic earlier that year, starting in February, people had to stop working, or they worked
 remotely from home, which affected the driving behavior of workers (*35*).

166



167 168

169

Fig. 2. Number of work trips per person per day in Maryland

As of June 15, 2021, the end of the COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency was announced (*34*). Events in 2020 related to the COVID-19 pandemic response were unprecedented. Due to the varying levels of statewide reactions and constraints, these shifting patterns and difficulties may change from state to state.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### 175 **2.3. Summary of The Literature Review**

176

177 The existing literature on the impact of COVID-19 on distracted driving indicates that the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on travel patterns and driving behavior vary depending on 178 regional characteristics. In conclusion, these preliminary data points to a surge in unsafe road user 179 behavior, such as speeding and drunk driving, and reveal that the public safety measures 180 181 implemented to combat COVID-19 resulted in lower road use (particularly during peak weekday travel times). Additionally, some preliminary data on crashes indicate a decline in both fatal and 182 183 non-fatal crashes, while other data indicated a decline in overall crashes but an increase in more serious collisions. 184

185 Essentially, there is limited literature that has explored the COVID-19 pandemic's impacts on distracted driving. The global outbreak of the pandemic brought many modes of transportation 186 to a halt, with significant implications for all forms of transportation. The transportation networks 187 and systems started to look very different during this pandemic. Existing research has made 188 significant contributions to the methods and data analysis related to transportation during the 189 190 COVID-19 pandemic; however, the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on Maryland's road safety 191 is still largely unexplored, and the extensive influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on distracted driving and distracted driving behaviors still needs to be investigated. Therefore, this study aims 192 to investigate self-reported driving behaviors using a stated preference survey and compare 193 drivers' behaviors before and during the pandemic. The following sections will present the 194 methodology, results, and discussion of the study. 195

196

#### 197 **3. Data and Methodology**

198

199 For this study, participants were recruited from Maryland State via manually distributed flyers, as well as online and through social media. Participants were required to be older than 16, 200 have a valid driver's license, and provide consent to participate in the research. Data were collected 201 from a questionnaire-based survey using an online platform. Survey responses were monitored 202 203 dynamically, and inattentive respondents were removed from the final data set by the authors. Finally, some 158 responses were finalized for analysis. The questionnaire took approximately 10 204 205 to 15 minutes to complete and was conducted between April 1, 2021, and May 1, 2021, via an online platform called Qualtrics. 206

207

#### 208 3.1. Survey Design and Procedure

209

The questionnaire consists of three sections. The first section covered basic sociodemographic information about the participants (e.g., age, gender, education, employment, etc.). The second section consisted of self-reported risky driving behaviors (e.g., using hands-free or handheld cell phones, eating or drinking, etc.) before the pandemic (from March 1, 2019, to March 1, 2020). The third section consisted of the same questions however referred to the period during the pandemic (from March 1, 2020, to March 1, 2021). **Table 2** shows the questions asked in the survey.

217 Table 2

- 218 Summary of the Survey Questions
- 219

#### It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

Question
What is the average annual driving mileage on your own car (in miles)? (Before the Pandemic, During the Pandemic)
How many miles do you drive per week on average? (Before the Pandemic, During the Pandemic)
Did you usually get distracted while driving? (Before the Pandemic, During the Pandemic)
Did you usually indulge in the following activities while driving Before the Pandemic (From 3/1/2019 to 3/1/2020)? - Talk on the phone (hands-free) - Talk on the phone (handheld) - Texting - Voice to text - Read/update social media - Read/respond to emails - Take pictures/record video - Using GPS - Eat/drink - Raking on/off clothes
<ul> <li>Did you usually indulge in the following activities while driving During the Pandemic (From 3/1/2020 to 3/1/2021)?</li> <li>Talk on the phone (hands-free)</li> <li>Talk on the phone (handheld)</li> <li>Texting</li> <li>Voice to text</li> <li>Read/update social media</li> <li>Read/respond to emails</li> <li>Take pictures/record video</li> <li>Using GPS</li> <li>Eat/drink</li> <li>Raking on/off clothes</li> </ul>
How many times have you experienced a near-crash experience due to using a cell phone while driving?
How many times have you experienced a crash due to distraction (such as using a cell phone or any kind of in-vehicle technology) while driving in the last two years?

220

The composition of the participant samples and the socio-demographic information of the respondents is presented in **Table 3**.

#### 223 **Table 3**

224 Socio-demographic Information of Participants

Variables	Variable	Percent
Gender	Female	50.63
	Male	49.36
Age	16 to 19	2.53
	20 to 24	5.06
	25 to 29	8.22
	30 to 34	10.75
	35 to 39	15.82
	40 to 44	12.02
	45 to 49	8.22
	50 to 54	5.69
	55 to 59	10.12
	60 to 64	8.22

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

	65 or more	13.29
Education Status	Less than high school graduate	1.89
	High school graduate, including GED	7.59
	Some college or associate degrees (e.g., AA, AS)	18.35
	Bachelor's Degree (e.g., BA, AB, BS)	27.21
	Graduate or professional degree (e.g., MA, MS, MED, Ph.D., MD, DDS)	44.93
Employment Status	Yes, Full-time	58.86
	Yes, Part-time	12.65
	No	28.48

#### 226

#### 7 **3.2. Data Analysis**

227 228

Several variables were investigated to better understand the drivers' behavior before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Summaries of trends to understand and represent the variables before and during the COVID-19 pandemic are presented with graphs. Many studies use statistical models to develop policies to improve traffic safety, investigate and forecast travel behavior, and pinpoint deficiencies in transportation policy (*12*, *13*, *22*, *36–39*).

234 In this study, the Chi-square and Fisher's Exact tests are used to determine the association 235 between variables. If the association between two variables was significant, Cramer's V was used to determine the strengths of the association. Moreover, contingency tables (also known as cross-236 237 tabulation or crosstab) were used to show the (multivariate) frequency distribution of the variables 238 to find interactions between them. Also, cell residuals, including standardized residuals and 239 adjusted residuals, are used in testing for cell significance, which is known as a post-hoc test, after a statistically significant Chi-square test. For Post Hoc tests following a Chi-square, we use the 240 Bonferroni adjustment. This adjustment is used to avoid a type I error when multiple comparisons 241 are made (40, 41). Moreover, to test whether the changes before and after the pandemic are 242 243 statistically and significantly different in proportion, McNemar's test was used. All statistical analyses are conducted with a 95% level of confidence. RStudio was used for data processing and 244 245 analysis (42).

246

## 247 **4. Results**

248

The results of the survey questions are presented in this section. The frequency and average mileage of driving, the relationship between the participants' demographic information and their self-reported distracted driving behavior before and during the pandemic, and incidents of risky driving behavior, near-crashes, or crashes due to distraction were analyzed in this section.

253

#### **4.1. Frequency and Average Mileage of Driving**

255

The frequency of driving respondents reported before and during the COVID-19 pandemic shows that before the pandemic, most participants drove every day (55%); however, after the pandemic, this figure dropped sharply (11%). **Fig. 3** (a) shows that during the pandemic, about 68% of participants were driving less than 8,000 miles annually. Also, the proportion of travelers driving 30,000 miles or more annually dropped to less than 3% during the pandemic. **Fig. 3** (b)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license . Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### shows the weekly driving mileage of participants. Most of the participants, about 70%, drove less 261 than 100 miles weekly during the pandemic.

262 263



#### 264 265

266

Fig. 3. (a) average annual driving, and (b) average weekly driving.

#### 267 268

4.2. Self-reported Distraction Driving

269

276

278

270 The self-reported distracted driving rates decreased by 4% during the pandemic, from 25.3% to 21.5%. A Chi-square Bonferroni Post Hoc test (p-value<0.0001) revealed that self-reported 271 distraction dropped significantly before and during the pandemic. However, the Chi-square test 272 273 revealed no association between getting distracted before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and 274 the different socio-demographic information of drivers, including gender, age, income groups, levels of education, or race. 275

#### 277 4.3. Risky Driving Behaviors

The findings show that hands-free cell phone use while driving dropped by 5% (from 68%) 279 to 63% of users) during the COVID-19 pandemic (Fig. 4). The p-value of the Chi-square test 280 (0.02686) shows that the changes in the proportion of using a hands-free cell phone before and 281 during the pandemic are statistically significant. Handheld cell phone use remained unchanged at 282 16% before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Texting (20%) and using voice-to-text (24%) 283 while driving did not change significantly before and during the pandemic. Other activities that 284 include using a cell phone while driving is reading or updating social media (13%), reading or 285 responding to emails (13%), and taking pictures or recording videos (12%), none of which changed 286 significantly before or during the pandemic. 287

Other distractions include using GPS, eating or drinking, and taking off or putting on 288 clothes. Taking off or putting on clothes while driving did not change significantly before and 289

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

during the COVID-19 pandemic (90%). However, the findings clearly show that using GPS while 290 driving dropped 14% before (89%) and during the pandemic (75%). The p-value of the Chi-square 291 test (7.562e-06) indicates that the changes in the proportion of using GPS before and during the 292 293 COVID-19 pandemic are statistically significant. Eating or drinking while driving dropped 15% before (72%) and during the pandemic (57%). The p-value shows a statistically significant change 294 in the proportion of eating or drinking before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (p-value of the 295 Chi-square test = 1.383e-05). 296

297



298 299

#### Fig. 4. Different distractions before and during the pandemic

#### 300

4.4. Crash and Near-crash Due to Distraction

301

According to the survey's results, almost 12% of participants had at least one crash due 302 303 to distraction in the past two years (2019-2021). A Chi-square Bonferroni Post Hoc test (pvalue<0.0001) revealed that the likelihood of having at least one crash due to distraction dropped 304 significantly (23%) between 2019 (before the COVID-19 pandemic) and 2020 (during the 305 306 COVID-19 pandemic). All the crashes fit in "property damage only" crashes. Moreover, the most 307 frequent types of crashes were rear-ended and U-turn.

Similarly, the likelihood of having at least one near-crash experience dropped 19% from 308 before the COVID-19 pandemic (31%) and throughout the pandemic (12%). Not having a near-309 crash experience increased by 30% during the pandemic (as shown in Fig. 5). A Chi-Square 310 311 Bonferroni Post Hoc test (p-value<0.0001) revealed there is a significant difference between not 312 having a near-crash experience due to distraction before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.



314 315

Fig. 5. (a) Number of near-crash experiences while driving in one year versus average annual
driving before the pandemic, (b) Number of near-crash experiences while driving in one year
versus average annual driving during the pandemic

**(b)** 

319

An analysis using Pearson's Chi-squared test and a Chi-square Bonferroni Post Hoc test revealed that a statistically significant relationship exists for changes before and during the COVID-19 pandemic regarding distracted driving behavior in Maryland. Average annual/weekly driving mileage, hands-free cell phone use, GPS usage, eating or drinking while driving, and not having a crash or near-crash incident due to distraction all significantly decreased during the pandemic (from March 1, 2020, to March 1, 2021).

326

328

# 327 4.5. Distracted Driving Behavior Model

(a)

A binary logistic regression was developed to predict the odds of getting distracted based 329 on the values of the independent variables. **Table 4** presents the results of the binary regression 330 model before and during the pandemic. Before the pandemic, using a hands-free or a handheld cell 331 phone was significant, meaning that the odds of being distracted among the participants who used 332 333 a hands-free cell phone is exp(1.44) = 4.2 times higher than others. Moreover, the odds of being distracted among the participants who used a handheld cell phone is exp(1.5) = 4.5 times higher 334 than others before the pandemic. The odds of being distracted among the participants who use a 335 336 handheld cell phone increased during the pandemic, to exp(1.8) = 6.6 times higher than others during the pandemic. Since the number of trips decreased during the pandemic, GPS use decreased 337 as well. The odds of being distracted by a GPS reduced dramatically during the pandemic. 338 Although the difference between using GPS before and during the pandemic was not significant, 339 the odds of being distracted among the participants who used GPS before the pandemic were 340 higher than others. However, the odds of getting distracted among participants who used GPS 341 during the pandemic were lower than for those who did not. 342

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### **Table 4**

- Results of Binary Logistic Regression Model Before and During the Pandemic
- 347

Variable	Before	e the Pandemic		During the Pandemic			
	Estimate	<b>Pr</b> (>  <b>z</b>  )	Code	Estimate	<b>Pr</b> (>  <b>z</b>  )	Code	
(Intercept)	-21.04	0.99		-3.06	0.00	**	
Hands-free	1.44	0.04	*	0.89	0.17		
Handheld	1.50	0.01	*	1.88	0.00	**	
Texting	0.63	0.31		0.48	0.47		
Voice to Text	0.56	0.25		0.95	0.08	•	
Social Media	0.73	0.34		1.51	0.06	•	
Emailing	0.03	0.97		-1.09	0.20		
Taking Picture	0.06	0.93		-0.19	0.82		
Using GPS	17.38	0.99		-0.39	0.70		
Eat or Drink	1.08	0.13		0.74	0.31		
Taking on / off Clothes	-1.46	0.11		-0.86	0.35		
Null deviance: 178.79 on 157 degrees of freedom				Null deviance: 164.56 on 157 degrees of freedom			
Residual deviance: 131.48 on 147 degrees of freedom				Residual deviance: 124.55 on 147 degrees of freedom			
AIC: 153.48, R2 (Nagelkerke): 0.3819047				AIC: 146.55, R2 (Nagelkerke): 0.3456938			
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1							

#### 348

#### 349 **5. Discussion**

350

The current study aims to investigate changes in driving behavior and distracted driving 351 behavior before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Some 158 participants were recruited to fill 352 353 out a stated preference survey in the state of Maryland. The results suggested that the statewide distracted driving rate decrease was influenced by a decline in cell phone use while driving (hands-354 355 free) and a decrease in non-cell phone-related distractions (eating or drinking). A similar pattern was observed in the reduction of crashes due to distraction and a decrease in average annual and 356 357 weekly driving, which is in line with previous studies related to COVID-19 and distracted driving. 358 Distracted driving predates the pandemic, and the recent shift in travel habits merely highlights a long-standing problem. The governor's shelter-in-place order and similar orders in other 359 jurisdictions profoundly affected daily travel in Maryland. According to the results of this study, 360 361 everyday trips significantly decreased to about 44% below pre-pandemic rates in Maryland, and the number of those driving 30,000 miles or more dropped significantly. This may be because 362 daily commutes, work-related long-distance trips, and non-work travels decreased during the 363 pandemic. 364

Moreover, there was a significant association between getting distracted before and during the pandemic, with distraction rates dropping about 4% among respondents. According to the results, no significant relationship was found between the demographics or characteristics of drivers and self-reported distraction before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. We found that the number of people reporting at least one near-crash experience dropped by 19%, and not having a near-crash experience increased by 20% in Maryland. A possible explanation could be due to a significant decrease in traffic and frequency of driving statewide. A comparison of the results of

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

this study with similar studies regarding distracted driving and the COVID-19 pandemic shows 372 that the consequences of the pandemic differ according to geographic and demographic 373 characteristics and may differ from state to state. As we progressed through the COVID-19 374 375 pandemic, transportation networks and systems began to take on new forms. Reduced car crashes and fatalities would be a silver lining of the COVID-19 pandemic. After all, it seems that more 376 individuals working or studying from home will result in fewer cars on the road, lowering the risk 377 of crashes. However, mental health difficulties have been on the rise during the pandemic. These 378 379 issues influence every area of day-to-day living, including driving behaviors. Nevertheless, the extent of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on distracted driving has yet to be investigated in the 380 long term. The shifting trends mentioned in this research will need to be followed to see if they 381 382 are temporary or permanent, as well as how planning would need to be altered to accommodate 383 current trends.

There are some limitations to this study. First, because the participants were asked to report their distracted driving behavior, it may somewhat affect their judgment. Second, it is worth mentioning that the sample size was limited to just over 150 respondents, which is, however, consistent with the sample sizes adopted in related studies (*32*, *43*, *44*).

388 389

# 3896. Summary and Conclusion390

391 This paper is a reliable approximation of the COVID-19 pandemic's impacts on distracted driving in Maryland. This goal was reached by using an online questionnaire to measure and 392 393 compare changes in distracted driving behavior before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the 394 state. This study used the Chi-square and Fisher's test, Cramer's V test, McNemar's test, contingency tables, and a binary regression model to show the frequency distribution of the 395 variables to find interactions between variables before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. 396 397 Moreover, a Post Hoc test following a Chi-squared test with a Bonferroni adjustment is used for 398 multiple comparisons.

399 Frequency of driving, average annual and weekly driving mileage, cell phone-related activities while driving (hands-free, handheld, texting, voice-to-text, reading or updating social 400 401 media, reading or responding to emails, and taking a picture or recording a video), other distracted driving behavior (eating or drinking, using GPS, taking on or off clothes) and crash and near 402 crashes due to distraction were investigated before (from March 1, 2019, to March 1, 2020) and 403 404 during (from March 1, 2020, to March 1, 2021) the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings from the statespecific survey of 158 Marylanders from this study show different impacts across different 405 distracted driving behaviors before and during the pandemic. 406 Manv people 407 started driving much less frequently during the pandemic, opting to stay home instead. Everyday trips in Maryland decreased by roughly 44% during the COVID-19 pandemic, compared to pre-408 pandemic levels. Self-reported distractions dropped by 4% during the COVID-19 pandemic. Using 409 410 GPS while driving was identified as the most common distracted driving behavior by 75% of respondents, followed by eating or drinking at 57%. Hands-free cell phone usage decreased by 411 5%, eating or drinking by 15%, and the use of GPS fell by 14%. Furthermore, using a binary 412 413 logistic regression, it was discovered that participants who used a hands-free cell phone before and 414 during the pandemic were 4.5 and 6.6 times more likely to become distracted than others.

Although existing research has assisted in the development of data analysis during the
 COVID-19 pandemic, additional research should be conducted to address some of the study's
 limitations and further investigate the patterns discovered in this study. Behavioral surveys and

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

additional analysis are urgently needed to corroborate some of the patterns suggested in this study
about the COVID-19 pandemic and distracted driving behavior. Continual examination of the
research's results and trends is necessary to discover if these outcomes are temporary or
permanent, as well as how planning will need to change to meet current trends.

422

#### 423 Acknowledgments and Declarations

- This study was financially supported by the Maryland Department of Transportation Motor Vehicle Administration Maryland Highway Safety Office.
- 426 All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

The authors declare that the contents of this article have not been published previously. All the authors have contributed to the work described, read, and approved the contents for publication in this journal. All the authors have no conflict of interest with the funding entity or any organization mentioned in this article that may have influenced the conduct of this research and the findings.

432

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

#### 434 **References**

- Huang, J., H. Wang, M. Fan, A. Zhuo, Y. Sun, and Y. Li. Understanding the Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Transportation-Related Behaviors with Human Mobility Data. 2020.
- 437 2. The Mobility Impacts of Coronavirus Bloomberg.
  438 https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-19/the-mobility-impacts-of-coronavirus.
  439 Accessed Jul. 23, 2022.
- Liu, L., H. J. Miller, and J. Scheff. The Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic on Public Transit
  Demand in the United States. *Plos one*, Vol. 15, No. 11, 2020, p. e0242476.
- 442 4. Changes in Transport Behaviour during the Covid-19 Crisis Analysis IEA.
  443 https://www.iea.org/articles/changes-in-transport-behaviour-during-the-covid-19-crisis.
  444 Accessed Jul. 22, 2022.
- 5. CNET Cars: Navigate Your World in This New Age of Transportation CNET.
  https://www.cnet.com/roadshow/. Accessed Jul. 23, 2022.
- 6. Distracted Driving Has Increased During the COVID-19 Pandemic Hunter's Fund.
  https://www.hunterwatson.org/hunter-blog/distracted-driving-has-increased-during-the-covid19-pandemic. Accessed Jul. 23, 2022.
- 450 7. Office of Highway Policy Information Policy | Federal Highway Administration.
   451 https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policyinformation/travel\_monitoring/tvt.cfm. Accessed Jul. 23, 2022.
- 452 8. Distracted Driving Skyrocketing During the Pandemic Streetsblog USA.
  453 https://usa.streetsblog.org/2020/12/03/distracted-driving-skyrocketing-during-the-pandemic/.
  454 Accessed Jul. 23, 2022.
- 455 9. Distracted Driving Dangers and Statistics | NHTSA. https://www.nhtsa.gov/risky 456 driving/distracted-driving. Accessed Jul. 15, 2022.
- Useful Apps to Help End Distracted Driving |. https://www.enddd.org/end-distracted-driving/enddd-blog/driving-apps/. Accessed Jul. 15, 2022.
- Distracting Driving Behaviors in 2020. https://newsroom.statefarm.com/why-did-89-of-drivers choose-to-engage-in-distracted-driving-behaviors-in-2020/. Accessed Jul. 19, 2022.
- Sadeghvaziri, E., M. B. Rojas IV, and X. Jin. Exploring the Potential of Mobile Phone Data in Travel Pattern Analysis. *Transportation research record*, Vol. 2594, No. 1, 2016, pp. 27–34.
- 13. Rojas IV, M. B., E. Sadeghvaziri, and X. Jin. Comprehensive Review of Travel Behavior and
  Mobility Pattern Studies That Used Mobile Phone Data. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol.
  2563, No. 1, 2016, pp. 71–79.
- 466 14. Crash Data for Maryland Traffic Fatalities Information Zero Deaths MD.
   467 https://zerodeathsmd.gov/resources/crashdata/. Accessed Jul. 24, 2022.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

- Horberry, T., J. Anderson, M. A. Regan, T. J. Triggs, and J. Brown. Driver Distraction: The Effects of Concurrent in-Vehicle Tasks, Road Environment Complexity and Age on Driving Performance. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2006, pp. 185–191.
- 471 16. Braitman, K. A., and A. L. Braitman. Patterns of Distracted Driving Behaviors among Young
  472 Adult Drivers: Exploring Relationships with Personality Variables. *Transportation research part*473 *F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, Vol. 46, 2017, pp. 169–176.
- Choudhary, P., N. M. Pawar, N. R. Velaga, and D. S. Pawar. Overall Performance Impairment and Crash Risk Due to Distracted Driving: A Comprehensive Analysis Using Structural Equation Modelling. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, Vol. 74, 2020, pp. 120–138.
- Hill, L., J. Rybar, T. Styer, E. Fram, G. Merchant, and A. Eastman. Prevalence of and Attitudes about Distracted Driving in College Students. *Traffic injury prevention*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 2015, pp. 362–367.
- 481 19. Carter, P. M., C. R. Bingham, J. S. Zakrajsek, J. T. Shope, and T. B. Sayer. Social Norms and
  482 Risk Perception: Predictors of Distracted Driving Behavior among Novice Adolescent Drivers.
  483 *Journal of Adolescent Health*, Vol. 54, No. 5, 2014, pp. S32–S41.
- 484 20. Klauer, S. G., F. Guo, B. G. Simons-Morton, M. C. Ouimet, S. E. Lee, and T. A. Dingus.
  485 Distracted Driving and Risk of Road Crashes among Novice and Experienced Drivers. *New*486 *England journal of medicine*, Vol. 370, No. 1, 2014, pp. 54–59.
- 487 21. Guo, F., S. G. Klauer, Y. Fang, J. M. Hankey, J. F. Antin, M. A. Perez, S. E. Lee, and T. A.
  488 Dingus. The Effects of Age on Crash Risk Associated with Driver Distraction. *International* 489 *journal of epidemiology*, Vol. 46, No. 1, 2017, pp. 258–265.
- 490 22. Sadeghvaziri, E. Identifying State-Specific Distracted Driving Target Group. 2021.
- 491 23. Kraus, S., and N. Koch. Provisional COVID-19 Infrastructure Induces Large, Rapid Increases in Cycling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 118, No. 15, 2021, p. e2024399118.
- 494 24. COVID-19 Is Having a Negative Impact on Traffic Safety.
  495 https://blogs.lexisnexis.com/insurance-insights/2020/12/covid-19-negative-impact-on-traffic496 safety/#\_edn1. Accessed Jul. 24, 2022.
- 497 25. Shilling, F., and D. Waetjen. Special Report (Update): Impact of COVID19 Mitigation on
  498 Numbers and Costs of California Traffic Crashes. 2020.
- Vanlaar, W. G. M., H. Woods-Fry, H. Barrett, C. Lyon, S. Brown, C. Wicklund, and R. D.
  Robertson. The Impact of COVID-19 on Road Safety in Canada and the United States. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 160, 2021, p. 106324.
- Sutherland, M., M. McKenney, and A. Elkbuli. Vehicle Related Injury Patterns during the
  COVID-19 Pandemic: What Has Changed? *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, Vol.
  38, No. 9, 2020, pp. 1710–1714.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

- 50528.DistractedDrivingStatistics2022|Bankrate.506https://www.bankrate.com/insurance/car/distracted-driving-statistics/.Accessed Jul. 24, 2022.
- 29. Cochran, A. L. Impacts of COVID-19 on Access to Transportation for People with Disabilities.
   *Transportation research interdisciplinary perspectives*, Vol. 8, 2020, p. 100263.
- S09 30. Krahn, G. L., D. K. Walker, and R. Correa-De-Araujo. Persons with Disabilities as an Unrecognized Health Disparity Population. *American journal of public health*, Vol. 105, No. S2, 2015, pp. S198–S206.
- 512 31. Ndlela, A. E. Assessing the Prospects and Challenges of the Dube Trade-Port (Durban)
   513 Aerotropolis. 2021.
- 514 32. Lopetrone, E., and F. Biondi. Investigating the Effect of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Drivers'
  515 Behavior: A Survey Study. 2022.
- 516 33. Distracted Driving Program Area Brief. 2018, p. 5.
- Count Map The 517 34. Marvland Coronavirus and Case \_ New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/us/maryland-covid-cases.html. Accessed Jul. 24, 518 2022. 519
- 520 35. University of Maryland COVID-19 Impact Analysis Platform. https://data.covid.umd.edu/.
   521 Accessed Jul. 24, 2022.
- Javid, R. J., and A. Nejat. A Comprehensive Model of Regional Electric Vehicle Adoption and
   Penetration. *Transport Policy*, Vol. 54, 2017, pp. 30–42.
- Javid, R., and E. Sadeghvaziri. Investigating the Relationship Between Access to Intercity Bus
  Transportation and Equity. *Transportation Research Record*, 2022, p. 03611981221088218.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221088218.
- 38. Mamdoohi, A. R., M. Saffarzade, A. Taherpour, and M. Yazdan Panah. Modeling Air
  Passengers' Ground Access Mode Choice a Case Study of IKIA. *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2012, pp. 147–152.
- 39. Javid, R. J., and R. J. Javid. Towards Sustainable Regional Planning: Electric Vehicle Charging
   Infrastructure Expansion Scenario.
- 40. Beasley, T. M., and R. E. Schumacker. Multiple Regression Approach to Analyzing Contingency
  Tables: Post Hoc and Planned Comparison Procedures. *The Journal of Experimental Education*,
  Vol. 64, No. 1, 1995, pp. 79–93.
- 535 41. Shan, G., and S. Gerstenberger. Fisher's Exact Approach for Post Hoc Analysis of a Chi-Squared
  536 Test. *PloS one*, Vol. 12, No. 12, 2017, p. e0188709.
- 42. RStudio | Open Source & Professional Software for Data Science Teams RStudio.
  https://www.rstudio.com/. Accessed Jul. 25, 2022.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Javid, Sadeghvaziri, and Jeihani

- 43. Machin, M. A., and K. S. Sankey. Relationships between Young Drivers' Personality
  Characteristics, Risk Perceptions, and Driving Behaviour. *Accident analysis & prevention*, Vol.
  40, No. 2, 2008, pp. 541–547.
- 542 44. Sanbonmatsu, D. M., D. L. Strayer, Z. Yu, F. Biondi, and J. M. Cooper. Cognitive Underpinnings
  543 of Beliefs and Confidence in Beliefs about Fully Automated Vehicles. *Transportation research*544 *part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, Vol. 55, 2018, pp. 114–122.