# A multiscale characterization of cortical shape asymmetries 1 in early psychosis 2 Yu-Chi Chen<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>, Jeggan Tiego<sup>1,2</sup>, Ashlea Segal<sup>1,2</sup>, Sidhant Chopra<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Alexander Holmes<sup>1,2</sup>, 3 Chao Suo<sup>1,2</sup>, James C. Pang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Alex Fornito<sup>1,2†</sup>, Kevin M. Aquino<sup>1,2,6,7,8†</sup> 4 5 <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work. 6 7 8 <sup>1</sup>Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Victoria, Australia 3800. 9 <sup>2</sup>Monash Biomedical Imaging, Monash University, Victoria, Australia 3800. 10 11 <sup>3</sup>Monash Data Futures Institute, Monash University, Victoria, Australia 3800. <sup>4</sup>Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia 2050. 12 <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychology, Yale University, Connecticut, USA, 06511. 13 14 <sup>6</sup>School of Physics, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia 2006. <sup>7</sup>Center of Excellence for Integrative Brain Function, University of Sydney, Australia 2006. 15 <sup>8</sup>BrainKey Inc., California, USA, 94103. 16 17 \*Correspondence to: 18 19 Yu-Chi Chen Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney 20 100 Mallett Street, New South Wales, 2050, Australia 21 E-mail: yu-chi.chen@sydney.edu.au 22

23

Keywords: Psychosis, Shape Analysis, Structural MRI, Cortex, Brain Asymmetry, Multiscale
Highlights
Cortical shape asymmetries are more sensitive than other cortical asymmetry measures,
such as cortical thickness, surface area and gyrification, in capturing abnormalities in
patients with early psychosis.
The abnormalities in cortical shape asymmetry are expressed at coarse spatial scales and
are correlated with excitement and emotional distress symptoms.

#### 31 ABSTRACT

Background: Psychosis has often been linked to abnormal cortical asymmetry, but prior results
have been inconsistent. Here, we applied a novel spectral shape analysis to characterize cortical
shape asymmetries in patients with early psychosis across different spatial scales.

Methods: We used the Human Connectome Project for Early Psychosis dataset (aged 16–35), including 56 healthy controls (male = 37, female = 19) and 112 patients with early psychosis (male = 68, female = 44). We quantified shape variations of each hemisphere over different spatial frequencies and applied a generalized linear model to compare differences between healthy control participants and patients with early psychosis. We further used a canonical correlation analysis (CCA) to examine associations between shape asymmetries and clinical symptoms.

41 **Results:** Cortical shape asymmetries, spanning wavelengths between about 22 mm and 75 mm, 42 were significantly different between healthy control participants and patients with early psychosis 43 (Cohen's d = 0.28-0.51), with patients showing greater asymmetry in cortical shape than controls. 44 A single canonical mode linked the asymmetry measures to symptoms (CCA r = 0.45), such that 45 higher cortical asymmetry was correlated with more severe excitement symptoms and less severe

46 emotional distress. In contrast, significant group differences in morphological asymmetries of
47 cortical thickness, surface area, and gyrification at either global or regional levels were not
48 identified.

- 49 Conclusions: Cortical shape asymmetries are more sensitive than other morphological
- 50 asymmetries in capturing abnormalities in patients with early psychosis. These abnormalities are
- 51 expressed at coarse spatial scales and are correlated with specific symptom domains.

### 52 **1. Introduction**

Relative to other primates, the human cerebral cortex shows a greater degree of anatomical and functional asymmetry between the left and right hemispheres and greater inter-individual variability in this asymmetry (1-3). Accordingly, hemispheric asymmetries have been implicated in the evolution of human-specific cognition and behavior (4, 5). Conversely, abnormal hemispheric lateralization has been linked to psychosis (6-8), with some proposing that it is linked to the unique expression of this syndrome in humans (9). However, reported links between abnormal asymmetry and psychosis have not been consistently replicated (7, 10-14).

Brain asymmetries are commonly considered on a population basis by mapping average 60 61 asymmetry levels across a group of individuals (4, 11, 15-17), which is also referred to as directional asymmetry (2, 18). For instance, the left planum temporale, encompassing Wernicke's 62 area, is substantially larger, on average, than its right-hemisphere counterpart in healthy control 63 (HC) participants (5). Interestingly, patients with schizophrenia and their relatives show reduced 64 65 asymmetry in planum temporale compared to HCs (8, 19, 20). However, population-based studies are not entirely informative as cortical asymmetry is highly variable between individuals (2, 3, 18, 66 21, 22), with many people showing little or even reversed asymmetries relative to the population 67 average (2, 3, 18, 23). Individual deviations of asymmetry from the population mean are referred 68 69 to as fluctuating asymmetries and may be driven by environmental stress, developmental instability/plasticity, or individual-specific genetic perturbations (18, 22, 24-27). Studies of 70 fluctuating asymmetries in psychosis are scarce, but a study by Núñez et al. (28) has shown that 71 72 such asymmetries in cortical shape at the global level are increased in patients with schizophrenia and are associated with negative symptoms. 73

289297; this version posted April 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.29 (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

74 One factor that complicates the identification of reliable asymmetry phenotypes in psychosis is that most analyses to date have focused either on defined regions-of-interest (ROI) or 75 have relied on point-wise (e.g., voxel-based) analyses, which only consider asymmetries at certain 76 spatial resolution scales (19, 20, 29, 30). However, cortical asymmetries can be identified at 77 multiple scales, ranging from entire hemispheres (e.g., Yakolevian torque (31)) to more fine-scale 78 79 sulcal and gyral features (32). Whether abnormal asymmetries in psychosis are expressed at certain specific scales or are a multiscale phenomenon remains unclear. Moreover, most anatomical 80 asymmetry studies use size-related measures, such as volume, cortical thickness, and surface area, 81 82 which often conflate individual differences in size and shape and have limited sensitivity for capturing individually unique properties of brain anatomy (21, 33). 83

We have recently shown that multiscale spectral descriptions of asymmetries in cortical 84 shape, rather than size, are highly personalized, akin to a cortical fingerprint, and can identify 85 subjects more accurately than common morphological measures (e.g., volume, cortical thickness, 86 and surface area), measures of inter-regional functional coupling, and the cortical shapes of 87 individual hemispheres (21). Mathematically, these spectral shape descriptions are obtained 88 through eigen-decomposition of the Laplace-Beltrami Operator (LBO) of the cortical surface (21, 89 90 34, 35). The resulting eigenfunctions correspond to an orthogonal basis set of spatial patterns of different spatial frequencies that capture the geometry of the cortex, and the eigenvalues represent 91 their spatial frequencies (see Methods) (33-35). Analysis of these spatial eigenfunctions is 92 93 ubiquitous in many branches of physics, engineering, and biology (33-35) and naturally captures geometric properties from the coarse scale (low-order eigenfunctions) to the fine scale (high-order 94 95 eigenfunctions) forming a multiscale description of geometry (21, 34). This multiscale description 96 departs from conventional methods focusing on specific regions or global hemispheric differences

(10, 15, 19, 20, 30, 36, 37). Our previous work has shown that optimal subject identifiability of
cortical shape asymmetry occurs at coarse spatial scales, corresponding to wavelengths larger than
about 37 mm. We also found that these coarse scale asymmetries are correlated with individual
differences in general cognitive function and that they are largely driven by unique environmental,
rather than genetic, factors (21). Together, these findings suggest that spectral shape analysis of
cortical asymmetries offers a window into understanding highly personalized features of brain
anatomy.

Here, we applied spectral shape analysis to investigate cortical asymmetries in patients 104 105 with early psychosis (EP), who were within five years of the initial psychosis onset. EP is a key period to understand brain changes associated with the development of psychosis that is less 106 confounded by prolonged treatment exposure (38). Prior works have shown abnormal cortical 107 asymmetry in patients with psychosis (19, 20, 28, 29, 36), and cortical shape asymmetry at coarse 108 109 spatial scales captures the most individualized and robust information (21). Thus, we aim to examine the scale-specific cortical shape asymmetry on EP patients and HCs. Moreover, the 110 multiscale shape descriptions isolate scale-specific and shape-specific effects that traditional 111 methods cannot identify. We further compared the cortical shape asymmetry with asymmetries 112 113 based on cortical thickness, surface area, and local gyrification index (LGI). Finally, we explored the relationships between cortical shape asymmetry and different psychotic symptoms. 114

115

116 **2. Methods** 

117 2.1. Neuroimaging data

118 2.1.1. Human Connectome Project for Early Psychosis (HCP-EP)

We used open-source data from the Human Connectome Project for Early Psychosis (HCP-EP; 119 https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/human-connectome-project-for-early-psychosis; (38)), 120 which includes 169 subjects with preprocessed structural MRI data. We excluded data for one 121 individual who showed eigen-groups of matched asymmetry signature (MAS) values that were 122 more than three standard deviations below the sample mean. The remaining 168 participants 123 124 include 112 patients with early psychosis (EP; aged 16-34, mean = 23.26, standard deviation = 3.67; male = 68, female = 44) and 56 healthy controls (HCs; aged 16–35, mean = 24.10, standard 125 deviation = 4.47; male = 37, female = 19). For EP patients, the Chlorpromazine Equivalence (CPZ) 126 127 of current antipsychotic drug was between 0 to 1000 mg/d (mean = 165 mg/d), and the exposure time to antipsychotic medication was between 0 to 56 months (mean = 14.3). The patients were 128 diagnosed with non-affective (n = 80) and affective (n = 32) psychosis and were all within five 129 years of the initial onset of their psychotic symptoms. The criteria for non-affective psychotic 130 disorders in this study are the diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizophreniform, schizoaffective, 131 delusional disorder, psychotic disorder not otherwise specified, and brief psychotic disorder 132 according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V). 133 The criteria for affective psychotic disorders is the DSM-V diagnosis of major depressive disorder 134 135 with psychosis or bipolar disorder with psychosis (38). Please see Table S1., (38) and https://www.humanconnectome.org/storage/app/media/documentation/HCP-EP1.1/HCP-136

137 EP\_Release\_1.1\_Manual.pdf for more details.

#### 138 *2.1.2. Image acquisition and processing*

139Imaging data were collected on three Siemens MAGNETOM Prisma 3T scanners, with 32-channel

140 head coils at Indiana University and Brigham and Women's Hospital, and a 64-channel head and

141 neck coil at McLean, but the neck channels were turned off
142 (https://www.humanconnectome.org/storage/app/media/documentation/HCP-EP1.1/HCP-

EP Release 1.1 Manual.pdf). Special procedures and analyses had been taken to ensure the 143 homogeneity of the image quality across both sites (38). The Connectome Coordinating Facility 144 at Washington University provides image processing, central quality control, and data coordination 145 services for all the HCP-style datasets (39). The HCP-EP datasets underwent the same protocol as 146 the HCP lifespan dataset (39). In brief, the T1-weighted structural MRI scans applied a multi-echo 147 MPRAGE sequence with a high isotropic resolution (0.8 mm; other parameters include T1 1000 148 ms, TR 2400 ms, and 208 slices; see (39) and https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/hcp-149 lifespan-aging/project-protocol/imaging-protocols-hcp-aging for details). The HCP-EP dataset 150 included cortical surface meshes created by the FreeSurfer-HCP pipeline (40-43), which is based 151 on FreeSurfer version 6.0 (41) with HCP-specific enhancements (40), from T1-weighted MRI 152 images. The cortical surface mesh was further downsampled and registered on the fsLR-32k 153 template, with 32,492 vertices on each hemisphere of the cortex (44). The fsLR-32k template 154 provides an accurate cortical shape of the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template 155 (40) but is less computational demanding than the native MNI surface mesh model, and thus the 156 157 space of the fsLR-32k template is recommended when analyzing the data at the vertex level (40). We used the registered images provided by the HCP-EP dataset without further corrections or 158 smoothing. 159

160 2.1.3. Clinical and medication assessment

161 The HCP-EP dataset provided the severity of psychotic symptoms of EP patients measured by the 162 Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS (45)). We followed van der Gaag et al. (46) to

employ a five-factor model that has been widely used for evaluating psychotic symptoms (47-52). 163 The five-factor model is more robust and clinically relevant than the original three-factor model 164 (46, 50, 52). The five-factor model comprises the following dimensions: positive symptoms, 165 negative symptoms, disorganization symptoms, excitement, and emotional distress, and was 166 constructed by ten-fold cross-validation with more than 5000 subjects (46). We used factor 167 168 analysis with maximum likelihood estimation and oblique rotation (53, 54) as implemented in (55, 56) to extract the five factors from the items that had occurred across all folds of the cross-169 validation in van der Gaag et al. (46). To confirm the robustness of our results, we also tested the 170 five-factor model using the items selected by a recent meta-analysis (57), yielding similar results 171 (please see Table S2 for the items of each factor). 172

HCP datasets provided lifetime exposure duration of antipsychotic drugs and CPZ of current antipsychotic drugs. Both measures were uncorrelated with the MAS eigen-groups in EP patients ( $P_{FDR} > 0.8$  and uncorrected *P*-values all >0.5), suggesting that medication has a limited influence on cortical shape asymmetries.

#### 177 2.2. Spectral shape analysis

To obtain a multiscale shape description of the left and right cortical surface meshes obtained from
FreeSurfer, we solved the eigenfunction-eigenvalue problem of the Laplace-Beltrami operator
(LBO), which is given by (33-35):

181

$$\Delta f = -\lambda f \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the LBO and f is a distinct eigenfunction with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The eigenfunctions are orthogonal and describe shape variations at different spatial wavelengths, ordered from coarse-grained (the second eigenfunction in Fig. 1A) to fine-grained scales (e.g., the

500<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction in Fig. 1A) (34, 35, 58-60). The eigenvalues form a sequence that ranges from zero to infinity, i.e.,  $0 \le \lambda^1 \le \lambda^2 \le ... < \infty$ , and each eigenvalue is analogous to the wave frequency of sinusoids in Fourier analysis (21, 34, 35). The family of eigenfunctions thus represents an orthogonal basis set that can be used to fully decompose and reconstruct the shape of each cortical hemisphere (35). An intuitive example of the LBO eigenvalues and eigenfunctions is percussion a drum: eigenvalues are analogous to the vibration frequency of the drum membrane, and the eigenfunctions are the corresponding vibration patterns of the drum membrane (59).

We utilized the Shape-DNA software package (33-35) to perform an eigendecomposition of the cortical surface mesh of each hemisphere of an individual (40-43). Shape-DNA uses the cubic finite element method to solve and optimize the eigenfunction/eigenvalue problem of the LBO based on the intrinsic shape of an object or cortex (34, 35). Shape-DNA outperforms other shape analysis methods for retrieving object shapes (61) and has been applied to characterize brain shapes with superior subject identifiability than other conventional measures (21, 33) such as cortical volume, thickness, gyrification, and inter-regional functional coupling (62).

### 199 2.3. Matched asymmetry signature (MAS)

In our previous work, we directly calculated the differences between the LBO eigenvalue spectra of the left and right hemispheres to characterize the fluctuating asymmetry of cortical shape across a spectrum of spatial scales, also called the shape asymmetry signature (SAS) (21). The SAS is very sensitive for quantifying individualized shape asymmetry features (21) but is too individualized to facilitate group comparisons, as the spatial patterns defined by higher-frequency eigenfunctions are often highly divergent to allow simple pooling across individuals. We therefore developed a new spectral approach for quantifying cortical shape asymmetry that is more suitable

for group comparisons and more accurately accounts for hemispheric differences in the spatial patterning of shape variations. Instead of using the eigenvalues, we calculated the product-moment correlation between the left and right eigenfunctions to characterize the divergence of the two hemispheres in each individual.

A critical challenge in this regard is that there is no guarantee that the eigenfunctions of the 211 left and right hemispheres are directly comparable. As shown in Fig. 1B, eigenfunctions of the left 212 213 and right hemispheres do not necessarily overlap at the same index (i.e., the maximum correlation may occur at different indices); in this particular individual, the 11<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction of the left cortex 214 is more similar to the 12<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction (r = 0.96) of the right cortex than the 11<sup>th</sup> right 215 eigenfunction (r = 0.17) (Fig. 1B, left panel). This occurs because subtle variations in the shape of 216 the left and right cortices can alter the ordering of eigenfunctions, and sometimes result in quite 217 distinct eigenfunctions at higher spatial frequencies. Although the difference in the ordering of the 218 219 left and right eigenfunctions reflects individual shape variations, this divergence makes it difficult to compare eigenfunctions across individuals. In this study, after obtaining 500 eigenfunctions for 220 each hemisphere of each individual (Fig. 1A), we first estimated correlations between the spatial 221 pattern of each pair of left-right eigenfunctions, resulting in a symmetric  $500 \times 500$  matrix of 222 correlations quantifying the similarity between left and right eigenfunctions across spatial scales 223 224 (e.g., Fig. 1C, left panel). The maximum absolute values of correlations observed across the rows of this matrix (or equivalently, columns) quantify the similarity between optimally-matched 225 eigenfunctions of the left and right hemispheres at each of the 500 spatial scales considered in the 226 decomposition (e.g., Fig. 1C, right panel). The resulting vector of 500 maximum correlations thus 227 provides a multiscale, individualized description of cortical shape asymmetries, which we term the 228 Matched Asymmetry Signature (MAS), with lower MAS values corresponding to higher shape 229

- asymmetry. We take the absolute value of the correlation because the sign of a given eigenfunction
- is arbitrary.

232



Fig. 1. Schematic of the analysis workflow. (A) The shapes of the left and right hemispheres 233 (surface mesh) were independently decomposed using the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami 234 Operator (LBO). The eigenfunctions describe shape variations at different spatial scales, ordered 235 from coarse (the second eigenfunction, EF) to fine (e.g., the 500<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction) scales. (B) 236 Example of intra- and inter-subject divergences in eigenfunction patterns. The left panel shows 237 that the 11<sup>th</sup> left eigenfunction is clearly more similar to the same individual's 12<sup>th</sup> right 238 eigenfunction than the 11<sup>th</sup> right eigenfunction. The right panel shows that one individual's 12<sup>th</sup> 239 right eigenfunction is more similar to another individual's 10<sup>th</sup> right eigenfunction than the 12<sup>th</sup> 240 241 right eigenfunction. (C) Left panel, correlations between the left and right eigenfunctions from the individual in the left panel of (B). From this correlation matrix, we plotted the indices with the 242 highest correlations across all 500 analyzed eigenfunctions in the right panel. The colored squares 243 are the indices with the highest correlations. Here, we only show the first 16 eigenfunctions, and 244 the squares are the boundaries between eigen-groups (see section 2.4. Cortical shape harmonics 245 for details). For each row of the matrix, we take the maximum absolute correlation observed. The 246 vector of these correlations across rows is the matched asymmetry signature (MAS). (D) Left 247 panel, the mean of the highest indices across all subjects with respect to boundaries between eigen-248 249 groups as the squares. Most maximal correlations occurred within the same eigen-groups, but some of them appeared in adjacent eigen-groups. Right panel, we take the mean of MAS across each 250 eigen-group and its adjacent two eigen-groups. This eigen-group based MAS collapses the 500 251 252 eigenfunction-specific values to 20 eigen-group-specific values. Here, each line represents one individual. Next, we compare the MAS between the EP patients and the HC and use the eigen-253 254 groups with significant group differences to analyze their relationships with psychotic symptoms. 255 Panel (A) was adapted from (21).

#### 256 2.4. Cortical shape harmonics

257 In the case of a perfect sphere, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the LBO can be sorted into distinct groups, called spherical harmonics. Within the same spherical harmonic group, the 258 eigenvalues are degenerate (i.e., identical) and the corresponding eigenfunctions describe shape 259 variations at similar spatial wavelengths but spatially varying along orthogonal axes (60). There 260 are 2(L + 1) - 1 eigenfunctions in the L<sup>th</sup> group (21, 60): the first eigen-group (L = 1) is comprised 261 of the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions, the second eigen-group (L = 2) is comprised of the 5<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> 262 263 eigenfunctions, and so on. The first eigen-group describes the shape variations at the coarest spatial scales, which are the variations along the X, Y, Z axis. Higher eigen-group measures shape variatios 264 265 at finer spatial scales (please see Fig 2B for the spatial scales of the eigen-groups). It has been shown that these spherical harmonic groups are roughly conserved for the cortex, since the cortex 266 is topologically equivalent to a sphere (60). We can therefore use an approximation of the spatial 267 wavelength in the spherical case to estimate the corresponding wavelength of each cortical eigen-268 269 group (21):

270 
$$W = \frac{2\pi Rs}{\sqrt{L(L+1)}}$$
 (2)

where Rs is the corresponding sphere radius of the original object (Rs is about 67 mm for the population-based template, i.e., fsaverage in FreeSurfer), and L is the index of the eigen-group. Accordingly, because the ordering of specific eigenfunctions can vary not only within individuals but also between individuals (e.g., Fig. 1B, right panel), it is more appropriate to focus on the groupings of the eigenfunctions, rather than specific eigenfunctions themselves, when considering scale-specific asymmetries.

Figure 1 C and D indicate that although most of the highest left-right correlations occur for 277 eigenfunctions from the same eigen-group, some of the maximal correlations are found in adjacent 278 groups. For instance, the right panel of Fig. 1C shows that, the maximal correlation between the 279 11<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction of the left cortex is the 12<sup>th</sup> eigenfunction of the right cortex (from the subject 280 in Fig. 1B, left panel), and therefore, the indices of the maximal correlations are not entirely on 281 the diagonal line, but both 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions are within the 3<sup>rd</sup> eigne-group (the third 282 black square, which encompasses the 10<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions). We then took the average of the 283 indices with the maximal correlations across all subjects to serve as occupancy rates in the left 284 panel of Fig. 1D. For example, if the occupancy rate of the 401<sup>st</sup> left eigenfunction and the 400<sup>th</sup> 285 right eigenfunction is 0.2, it means that 20% of the subjects' 401<sup>st</sup> left eigenfunction correlated the 286 most with the 400<sup>th</sup> right eigenfunction. Fig. 1D, left panel also shows that the maxiaml left-right 287 correlations generally on or around the diagonal line and occur within the same eigen-group (each 288 black square represents each eigen-group) or its adjacent two eigen-groups. 289

We therefore used a sliding window approach and took the mean of MAS values within 290 each eigen-group and its two adjacent groups to collapse the MAS from 500 eigenfunction-specific 291 values to 20 group-specific values. For example, we took the mean correlations across the 1<sup>st</sup> to 292 3<sup>rd</sup> eigen-groups (2<sup>nd</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions) to represent the second eigen-group of MAS and the 293 mean across the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 4<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups (5<sup>th</sup> to 25<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions) to represent the third eigen-294 group. In this study, we ignored the first eigen-group because it represents the coarsest spatial scale 295 (~170 mm wavelength) and is highly conserved, providing little individual-specific information. 296 We also did not consider the 20<sup>th</sup> eigen-group of MAS (362<sup>nd</sup> to 484<sup>th</sup> eigenfunctions), since the 297 mean MAS across all subjects was low (i.e., r < 0.2, SD = 0.008), indicating that very fine-scale 298 variations in the shapes of the left and right hemispheres are largely independent. We excluded 299

data for one individual who showed eigen-group-specific MAS values that were more than threestandard deviations below the sample mean.

Previous studies have shown that the shape measures of the LBO are robust to image noise 302 (21, 58). To confirm the robustness of the MAS to image quality, we used the Euler numbers of 303 the FreeSurfer, a widely used approach (21, 63-65) to quantify the image quality. We took the 304 mean of the Euler number from the left and right hemispheres and calculated the then calculated 305 306 the Pearson's correlation between the mean Euler number and the eigen-group-specific MAS values. All eigen-groups of the MAS were unrelated to the Euler number (absolute r values all < 307 0.08;  $P_{FDR}$  all > 0.8). The results confirms that image quality generally does not influence the 308 309 eigen-groups of MAS.

To summarize, we characterize the shape of each cortical hemisphere using the 310 eigenfunctions of LBO, which offers a natural mathematical description of how the shape of an 311 312 object varies through space. Eigenfunctions of the LBO correspond to fundamental spatial patterns (akin to axes or modes) of shape variation through space that are intrinsic to each cortical 313 314 hemisphere of each individual. The corresponding eigenvalues correspond to the spatial frequency, 315 or wavelength, of each eigenfunction, and are ordered such that low values corresponding to coarse-scale shape variations (e.g., broad anterior-posterior gradients; see Fig. 1A, 2<sup>nd</sup> EF) whereas 316 317 high values correspond to fine-scale shape variations (e.g., local sulcal and gyral architecture; see Fig. 2A, 500<sup>th</sup> EF). The MAS is estimated as the correlation of the spatial pattern between a given 318 319 eigenfunction in the left hemisphere and the most similar eigenfunction in the right hemisphere. It 320 thus represents the degree of left-right similarity in fundamental patterns of shape variation. Since 321 the cortex is topologically equivalent to a sphere, and sets of eigenfunctions in the spherical case

correspond to shape variations with the same wavelength, we can group eigenfunctions into eigengroups of spatial patterns with similar wavelengths (see fig 2B). Averaging across Eigen-groups thus provides a more robust index of scale-specific shape asymmetries. Critically, our approach isolated asymmetries in cortical shape as distinct from those in size. This is critical, since shape asymmetries specifically are highly unique to individual brains and are under the influence of unique environmental influences, rather than genetic or common environmental factors (21). They thus offer a window into brain changes related to environmental risk factors.

329

#### 330 *2.5. Size-based anatomical asymmetry*

To compare the effects of shape asymmetry to other commonly used morphological asymmetries, including cortical thickness, surface area, and LGI (the ratio between pial surface and outer smoothed surface automatically measured by FreeSurfer (66)), we applied a widely used asymmetry index (16, 67, 68) to quantify these non-shape-based morphological asymmetries as:

335 
$$AI^{i} = \frac{(M_{L}^{i} - M_{R}^{i})}{0.5(M_{L}^{i} + M_{R}^{i})}$$
 (3)

where  $AI^i$  is the asymmetry index of subject *i*,  $M_L^i$  is the mean value (mean of the whole hemisphere or region) of the morphological measurement, i.e., cortical thickness, surface area, or LGI, from subject *i*'s left hemisphere, and  $M_R^i$  is the value from the right hemisphere. We registered each subject image on the fs\_LR 32k template (44) and calculated the asymmetry at both global (i.e., whole hemisphere) and regional levels. For the regional level, we calculated the cortical thickness, surface area, and LGI of each region defined in the Desikan-Killiany (69) or

HCPMMP1 (70) atlases. There are 35 and 180 regions in each hemisphere of the Desikan-Killiany
and HCPMMP1 atlases, respectively, but we excluded the hippocampus from the HCPMMP1 atlas
as cortical thickness cannot be measured for this structure.

345 2.6. Statistical analysis

After calculating the eigenfunctions of LBO from shape-DNA algorithm (34, 35), the resulting 346 eigenfunctions were further analyzed by using the MATLAB (version R2020b). We used a general 347 linear model (GLM) to analyze the differences of each eigen-group between HCs and EP patients 348 as well as between EP patients with non-affective and those with affective psychosis, controlling 349 for sex, age, neuroimaging sites, and total brain size as confounding variables. We did not control 350 351 for the medication effects because both exposure duration of antipsychotic drugs and CPZ of 352 current antipsychotic drugs were unrelated to all the eigen-groups considered in this study ( $P_{FDR}$ ) > 0.8) in EP patients. We also did not control for handedness because previous studies have found 353 354 that cortical shape asymmetry is unrelated to handedness (21, 33). Eigen-groups analyzed in this study include their adjacent groups and are not independent of each other, and thus, we used a 355 356 permutation test with 50,000 iterations for statistical inference. We used a tail approximation 357 implemented in comparing the original GLM coefficients with permuted coefficients to ensure 358 reliable *P*-value estimations (71) and controlled the false discovery rate (FDR, q = 0.05) to correct 359 for multiple comparisons.

We examined correlations between the eigen-groups of MAS and PANSS factors using canonical correlation analysis (CCA) on the 104 EP patients who responded to all items of the PANSS (45). We first used principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce dimensionality and minimize collinearity of the eigen-groups of MAS at different scales. We then applied the CCA to

identify maximal covariance (72) between the PCs of MAS eigen-groups and PANSS factors by 364 linear combinations, controlling for age, sex, neuroimaging sites, and total brain size as 365 confounding variables. The *P*-values of the canonical modes were calculated using a recently-366 developed CCA permutation procedure (72) with 50,000 iterations and controlling family-wise 367 error rate (FWER) (72). We used bootstrapping with 1000 iterations to identify reliable estimates 368 369 of loadings of each PANSS factor on the canonical variate (21, 73), and the resulting P-values were then corrected for multiple comparisons by FDR (q = 0.05). We also applied bootstrapping 370 with 1000 iterations and FDR correction to measure the reliable correlations between each eigen-371 372 group of the MAS and the canonical variate.

### 373 **3. Results**

### 374 *3.1. Increased cortical shape asymmetries at coarse scales in EP patients*

We first used separate GLMs to compare the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups of MAS between patients 375 and controls. We found that the 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups (Fig. 2A), spanning wavelengths between 376 about 22 and 75 mm, were significantly different between the HC and EP groups ( $P_{FDR} < 0.05$ ; 377 Cohen's d = 0.28-0.51; Fig. S1), with the MAS in the EP group being significantly lower than the 378 HC group. In other words, patients showed greater asymmetry in shape than controls. The 379 distributions of the MAS of these two groups are shown in Fig. S1. Although the 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-380 groups were all significantly different between the HC and EP groups, the effect sizes of some 381 eigen-groups, such as the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups (d = 0.51 and 0.49, respectively), were higher 382 than some other eigen-groups, such as the  $17^{\text{th}}$  and  $18^{\text{th}}$  eigen-groups (d = 0.29 and 0.28, 383 respectively) (Fig. S1). In contrast, we found that commonly used morphological asymmetries, i.e., 384 based on cortical thickness, surface area, and LGI at both global (GLM *P*-values > 0.05; Fig. S4) 385

and regional levels using either the HCPMMP1 or Desikan-Killiany atlases, were not different between these two groups (GLM  $P_{FDR} > 0.05$ ; Table S3 and S4). Thus, asymmetries of cortical shape are more sensitive than the traditional morphological measures in discriminating HC and EP individuals.

Next, we compared patients with affective psychosis and those with non-affective psychosis. All eigen-groups (2<sup>nd</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup>) of the MAS were not significantly different between groups ( $P_{FDR} > 0.05$ ).



393

Fig. 2. Early psychosis is associated with scale-specific differences in cortical shape asymmetry.
(A) The 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups of the MAS are different between HC and EP groups. We used a
sliding window approach for MAS, and thus, the wavelength of the 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups of the
MAS are equivalent to the 5<sup>th</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup> original eigen-groups, which are between ~22 (left inset) and

~75 (right inset) mm. The cortical surfaces are reconstructed from a population-based template
(fsaverage). (B) Cortical surfaces were reconstructed at different spatial wavelengths from the first
eigen-group (E1) and incrementally adding more eigen-groups to the first 20 eigen-groups (E20).
Note, these groups are based on the original eigen-groups. Panel (B) was adapted from (21).

402 *3.2. Cortical shape asymmetries correlate with symptom severity* 

We used CCA (72) to examine relationships between the eigen-groups of MAS and the five factors of psychotic symptoms measured by the PANSS. We applied PCA to the 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups, which showed differences in our group analysis (see Fig. 2), and retained the first two principal components (PCs), which explained 92% of the variance.

The CCA identified a single canonical mode that was statistically significant (CCA r =407 0.45;  $P_{FWER} = 0.002$ ; Fig. 3A). The loadings of the first two PCs (0.54 and 0.83) of the MAS on 408 the canonical variate were all significant ( $P_{FDR} < 0.0001$ ), and the 6<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups were 409 410 positively correlated with the canonical variate ( $P_{FDR} < 0.05$ ; Fig. 3B), with corresponding wavelength range of  $\sim 40$  to  $\sim 75$  mm (Fig. 3B insets). The loading of PANSS factors was negative 411  $(P_{FDR} < 0.001)$  for excitement (representing impulsivity (50)) and positive ( $P_{FDR} < 0.001$ ) for 412 413 emotional distress (Fig. 3C), indicating that patients with higher cortical shape asymmetry show 414 more impulsivity symptoms and less severe emotional distress. We also confirmed that the antipsychotic drug exposure time was not correlated to all PANSS factors ( $P_{FDR} > 0.2$ ). 415



416

Fig. 3. Individual differences in the matched asymmetry signature (MAS) are correlated with 417 symptom severity. (A) Association between the canonical variates of the first two PCs of the eigen-418 groups of MAS and the five PANSS factors with the corresponding least-squares regression line 419 in black. (B) Correlations between the 6<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups of the matched asymmetry signature 420 and the corresponding canonical variate; the 6<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> eigen-groups are significant. Insets represent 421 the range of the corresponding spatial wavelengths. (C) Canonical variate loadings of the PANSS 422 factors; the excitement and emotional distress factors are significant. For panels B and C, the error 423 bars represent + 2 bootstrapped standard errors (SE), and the asterisks denote bootstrapped  $P_{FDR}$ 424 < 0.05. 425

### 426 **4. Discussion**

Altered brain asymmetries have frequently been reported in patients with psychosis. Here, we applied a multiscale approach to examine abnormalities of cortical shape asymmetry, independent of variations in regional size, in EP patients. We found that EP patients showed a higher degree of cortical shape asymmetry across a range of coarse spatial scales when compared to HC. In contrast, no group differences in asymmetries in cortical thickness, surface area, and LGI were identified.

EP patients with a higher cortical shape asymmetry showed more severe excitement and less severe emotional distress. Together, these findings support the sensitivity of our spectral approach for characterizing shape asymmetries in psychosis, indicating that altered asymmetries of shape are expressed at certain spatial wavelengths, and that individual differences in these asymmetries are related to symptom severity.

### 437 *4.1. EP patients show increased asymmetry of cortical shape at coarse scales*

Surprisingly, we found that EP patients had greater left-right asymmetry of cortical shape when 438 compared to controls. This finding contradicts to many previous studies reporting reduced 439 asymmetries in patients (19, 20, 29, 30, 36). Conventional asymmetry studies use size-related 440 measures, such as volume or cortical thickness, which can conflate variations in shape and size 441 (21). The distinction between shape and size-based features is crucial because two objects can have 442 similar volumes but with very different shapes (34, 74). Although the literature on shape 443 444 asymmetry in patients with psychosis is very limited, one prior study has also found an increased asymmetry of global cortical shape in patients with schizophrenia (28). Núñez et al. (28) applied 445 446 dice coefficient to measure cortical asymmetry by calculating the ratio of the intersection area 447 between the original cortex (e.g., right cortex) and flipped cortex (new right cortex that was flipped 448 from the left) to the total area of the original cortex and the flipped cortex. However, this approach 449 cannot disentangle the effects of shape form size and cannot measure scale-specific effect. For an 450 extreme example, even if two hemispheres are symmetric in shape but one hemisphere is 451 proportional larger than the other, the dice coefficient may still be the same as another subject 452 whose two hemispheres are asymmetric in shape but identical in volume. Previous study has shown 453 that isolating the shape asymmetry from the size effect providing superior subject identifiability.

Unlike dice coefficient, the MAS disentangles the shape asymmetry from the size effects and decomposes the asymmetry at different spatial scales. Our spectral approach suggests that this increased asymmetry is apparent within a specific spatial wavelength range between ~22 mm and ~75 mm. Among this range, the strongest effect size occurred at the spatial wavelength of about 37 mm, while the effect sizes decrease at finer spatial wavelength. The results are in line with our previous study (21) that found the optimal subject identifiability of cortical shape asymmetry occurred at the spatial wavelength of about 37 mm.

Our scale-specific approach is akin to analyzing the seismic wave frequencies of 461 earthquakes at the global tectonic level, whereas classical point-wise approaches are analogous to 462 463 only focusing on a specific city (21), which may miss broader patterns. Indeed, grey matter abnormalities in patients with psychosis are often widespread (13, 75). However, such deviations 464 may show minimal overlap in specific brain locations across patients (76-78), potentially reflecting 465 the known clinical heterogeneity of the condition (76, 79). The vast majority of past work on 466 cerebral asymmetries in psychosis has focused on size-related measures, estimated at global, 467 regional, or point-wise levels (13, 20, 29, 36), and are insensitive in capturing diffused and 468 heterogeneous brain abnormalities in patients with psychosis. Our analysis found no differences 469 in the asymmetry of size-related measures at global or regional levels, which aligns with other 470 471 works showing limited evidence for altered asymmetry of these measures in people with psychosis (11-14, 30). Indeed, the findings have been highly inconsistent, with one meta-analysis showing 472 that even for the superior temporal gyrus -the region most widely reported as showing abnormal 473 474 asymmetry in patients with schizophrenia- about half of the studies do not find evidence of significantly altered size-based asymmetry (10). Similarly, although some studies have reported 475 476 decreased LGI values in patients with psychosis or those risk groups (80-82), the results were not

consistently reproduced, with some studies showed increased gyrification or absent of the effects 477 (30, 83-85). In this study, we found no differences in the LGI asymmetry at both global or regional 478 levels. Our results were in line with previous study that shows intrinsic cortical shapes derived 479 from the LBO are superior than the LGI in identifying individual variations (33). Although LGI is 480 related to the cortical shape, it measures the gyrification at vertex level, and the result of no group 481 482 effects on LGI asymmetry is in line with our MAS finding that the asymmetry effects are shown at coarse scales. Moreover, similar to the size-related measures, LGI is also a point-wise approach 483 and is insensitive to the diffused abnormalities. Our findings suggest that the spectral approach 484 485 developed here offers a new window in understanding asymmetries of cortical shape. The MAS measures the cortex as a whole but characterizes the shape variations of it across multiple spatial 486 scales and is more sensitive to psychosis-related brain changes than other traditional 487 morphological measures, such as cortical thickness, surface area, or LGI. Replication of these 488 findings in independent samples will be an important extension of this work. 489

Our spectral approach offers a natural way of characterizing fluctuating asymmetries, 490 which capture individual-specific brain phenotypes (21). Fluctuating asymmetries are 491 hypothesized to arise from developmental instability or individual-specific genetic perturbations 492 (27, 28), but it has been shown that fluctuating asymmetries in cortical shape are mainly driven by 493 494 person-specific environmental influences (21). Many studies have suggested that environmental factors in early life, such as maternal stress, infections, nutrition during pregnancy, childhood 495 496 adversity, and stress, may affect neurodevelopment and contribute to psychosis (7, 86). Studies of 497 fluctuating asymmetry in the human brain are limited, but some have found that fluctuating asymmetries of the left-right sides of the human body, such as asymmetry of left and right 498 499 fingerprints, are also increased in patients with psychosis (26, 87-89).

#### 500 *4.2. Cortical shape asymmetry is correlated with psychotic symptoms*

501 EP patients with higher cortical shape asymmetries at coarse scales showed more severe 502 excitement symptoms and less severe emotional distress. These coarse spatial scales correspond to wavelengths of about 40 to 75 mm. Notably, this range is within the spatial scales previously 503 found to be optimal (larger than 37 mm) for distinguishing individual brains and related to general 504 505 cognitive functions (21). Together, these findings suggest that cortical shape asymmetries at coarse 506 scales, but not fine scales, contain personalized brain features, and these features have implications 507 for both normal and abnormal brain function. Past studies using point-wise approaches have found 508 associations between cortical asymmetries of size-based measures and hallucinations (37, 90), but 509 the findings have not been consistently replicated. For example, Ohi et al. (30) did not find any correlation between the asymmetries of volume, thickness, and surface area in the superior 510 temporal gyrus with any clinical symptoms. 511

512 The excitement factor of the PANSS may be more related to ADHD and oppositional defiant disorder, while the emotional distress factor is related to anxiety, depression, and stress. 513 514 Studies have found abnormalities in cortical asymmetry among the above disorders (7, 91, 92) and 515 have suggested that various forms of stress are key risk factors of many mental disorders and may 516 also influence normal brain development, resulting in abnormal brain asymmetries (7). However, 517 we did not find differences in MAS between patients with affective psychosis and those with non-518 affective psychosis. This result may be due to the small sample size of patients with affective 519 psychosis (n = 32).

#### 520 5. Limitations and Future Directions

In this study, we analyzed EP patients, which minimizes the effects of prolonged medication. 521 Nonetheless, about 78% of the patients had prior exposure to antipsychotics. Although we found 522 523 that antipsychotic drug exposure time and current CPZ value were unrelated to MAS, the longterm effects of medication on shape asymmetries remain unclear. Future work should aim to 524 replicate our results on medication-naive patients with first-episode psychosis or to compare the 525 526 results in different psychosis stages, such as chronic schizophrenia. Moreover, it would also be beneficial for future studies to utilize this novel methods to a wide range of neuropsychiatric and 527 neurological diseases, such as ADHD, autism, bipolar disorders, and dementia, given that 528 529 abnormal brain asymmetries have been reported in these diseases (7, 17, 93, 94). Finally, the MAS also has the potential to measure the development of cortical asymmetry in children and 530 adolescents or its relationships with brain age. 531

# 532 **6.** Conclusion

533 We developed a novel method to derive a multiscale characterization of cortical shape asymmetries and showed that patients with early psychosis displayed increased asymmetries at coarse scales. 534 535 In contrast, asymmetries of cortical thickness, surface area, and gyrification were not different 536 between patient and control groups. We also found that patients with a higher degree of cortical 537 shape asymmetries at coarse scales showed more severe excitement symptoms and less severe 538 emotional distress. Together, these findings indicate that cortical shape asymmetries are more 539 sensitive than other morphological asymmetries to capturing differences between patients with 540 psychosis and healthy controls, and these asymmetry features were related to the excitement and emotional distress symptoms. 541

### 542 7. Acknowledgments

A.F. was supported by the Sylvia and Charles Viertel Foundation, National Health and Medical Research Council (IDs: 1197431 and 1146292), and Australian Research Council (ID: DP200103509). J.T. was supported by a Turner Impact Fellowship from the Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, Monash University. Data were provided by HCP-EP: Principal Investigators: Alan Breier, Martha Shenton.

**8. Declarations of interest**: K.M.A. is a scientific advisor and shareholder of BrainKey Inc., a
medical image analysis software company. The other authors declare that they have no competing
interests.

### 551 9. Ethics Statement

All participants provided their written informed consent to participate in the HCP-EP, and the HCP-EP was reviewed and approved by the Human Connectome Project. The HCP-EP complied with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the code of ethics of the World Medical Association (the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013).

557

#### 558 **References**

Van Essen DC, Donahue CJ, Coalson TS, Kennedy H, Hayashi T, Glasser MF. Cerebral cortical
 folding, parcellation, and connectivity in humans, nonhuman primates, and mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
 A. 2019.

562 2. Gomez-Robles A, Hopkins WD, Sherwood CC. Increased morphological asymmetry, evolvability 563 and plasticity in human brain evolution. Proc Biol Sci. 2013;280(1761): 115-26.

Neubauer S, Gunz P, Scott NA, Hublin JJ, Mitteroecker P. Evolution of brain lateralization: A
 shared hominid pattern of endocranial asymmetry is much more variable in humans than in great apes.
 Sci Adv. 2020;6(7):eaax9935.

567 4. Toga AW, Thompson PM. Mapping brain asymmetry. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003;4(1):37-48.

568 5. Gunturkun O, Strockens F, Ocklenburg S. Brain Lateralization: A Comparative Perspective. 569 Physiol Rev. 2020;100(3):1019-63. 570 Crow TJ. The 'big bang' theory of the origin of psychosis and the faculty of language. Schizophr 6. 571 Res. 2008;102(1-3):31-52. 572 7. Berretz G, Wolf OT, Gunturkun O, Ocklenburg S. Atypical lateralization in neurodevelopmental 573 and psychiatric disorders: What is the role of stress? Cortex. 2020;125:215-32. 574 Corballis MC. Early signs of brain asymmetry. Trends Cogn Sci. 2013;17(11):554-5. 8. 575 9. Amiez C, Sallet J, Hopkins WD, Meguerditchian A, Hadj-Bouziane F, Ben Hamed S, et al. Sulcal 576 organization in the medial frontal cortex provides insights into primate brain evolution. Nat Commun. 577 2019;10(1):3437. 578 10. Chance SA, Casanova MF, Switala AE, Crow TJ. Auditory cortex asymmetry, altered minicolumn 579 spacing and absence of ageing effects in schizophrenia. Brain. 2008;131(Pt 12):3178-92. 580 11. Deep-Soboslay A, Hyde TM, Callicott JP, Lener MS, Verchinski BA, Apud JA, et al. Handedness, 581 heritability, neurocognition and brain asymmetry in schizophrenia. Brain. 2010;133(10):3113-22. 582 12. Royer C, Delcroix N, Leroux E, Alary M, Razafimandimby A, Brazo P, et al. Functional and 583 structural brain asymmetries in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorders. Schizophr Res. 584 2015;161(2-3):210-4. 585 13. Crespo-Facorro B, Roiz-Santianez R, Perez-Iglesias R, Rodriguez-Sanchez JM, Mata I, Tordesillas-Gutierrez D, et al. Global and regional cortical thinning in first-episode psychosis patients: relationships 586 587 with clinical and cognitive features. Psychol Med. 2011;41(7):1449-60. 588 Bakalar JL, Greenstein DK, Clasen L, Tossell JW, Miller R, Evans AC, et al. General absence of 14. 589 abnormal cortical asymmetry in childhood-onset schizophrenia: a longitudinal study. Schizophr Res. 590 2009;115(1):12-6. 591 15. Kong XZ, Mathias SR, Guadalupe T, Group ELW, Glahn DC, Franke B, et al. Mapping cortical brain 592 asymmetry in 17,141 healthy individuals worldwide via the ENIGMA Consortium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 593 A. 2018;115(22):E5154-E63. 594 Kong XZ, Postema MC, Guadalupe T, de Kovel C, Boedhoe PSW, Hoogman M, et al. Mapping 16. 595 brain asymmetry in health and disease through the ENIGMA consortium. Hum Brain Mapp. 2020. 596 17. Postema MC, van Rooij D, Anagnostou E, Arango C, Auzias G, Behrmann M, et al. Altered 597 structural brain asymmetry in autism spectrum disorder in a study of 54 datasets. Nat Commun. 598 2019;10(1):4958. 599 18. Gomez-Robles A, Hopkins WD, Schapiro SJ, Sherwood CC. The heritability of chimpanzee and 600 human brain asymmetry. Proc Biol Sci. 2016;283(1845). 601 Clark GM, Crow TJ, Barrick TR, Collinson SL, James AC, Roberts N, et al. Asymmetry loss is local 19. 602 rather than global in adolescent onset schizophrenia. Schizophr Res. 2010;120(1-3):84-6. 603 20. Ratnanather JT, Poynton CB, Pisano DV, Crocker B, Postell E, Cebron S, et al. Morphometry of 604 superior temporal gyrus and planum temporale in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder. 605 Schizophr Res. 2013;150(2-3):476-83. 606 Chen Y-C, Arnatkeviciute A, McTavish E, Pang J, Chopra S, Suo C, et al. The individuality of shape 21. 607 asymmetries of the human cerebral cortex. eLife. 2022:11:e75056. 608 22. Sherwood CC, Gómez-Robles A. Brain Plasticity and Human Evolution. Annual Review of 609 Anthropology. 2017;46(1):399-419. 610 23. Corballis MC, Haberling IS. The Many Sides of Hemispheric Asymmetry: A Selective Review and 611 Outlook. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2017;23(9-10):710-8. 612 24. Francks C. Exploring human brain lateralization with molecular genetics and genomics. Ann N Y 613 Acad Sci. 2015:1359:1-13. 614 25. de Kovel CGF, Lisgo SN, Fisher SE, Francks C. Subtle left-right asymmetry of gene expression 615 profiles in embryonic and foetal human brains. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):12606.

616 Graham J, Özener B. Fluctuating Asymmetry of Human Populations: A Review. Symmetry. 26. 617 2016;8(12). 618 Dongen SV. Fluctuating asymmetry and developmental instability in evolutionary biology: past, 27. 619 present and future. J Evol Biol. 2006;19(6):1727-43. 620 Nunez C, Paipa N, Senior C, Coromina M, Siddi S, Ochoa S, et al. Global brain asymmetry is 28. 621 increased in schizophrenia and related to avolition. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2017;135(5):448-59. 622 Huang K, Kang Y, Wu Z, Wang Y, Cai S, Huang L. Asymmetrical alterations of grey matter among 29. 623 psychiatric disorders: A systematic analysis by voxel-based activation likelihood estimation. Prog 624 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021;110:110322. 625 Ohi K, Matsuda Y, Shimada T, Yasuyama T, Oshima K, Sawai K, et al. Structural alterations of the 30. 626 superior temporal gyrus in schizophrenia: Detailed subregional differences. Eur Psychiatry. 2016;35:25-627 31. 628 31. Zhao L, Matloff W, Shi Y, Cabeen RP, Toga AW. Mapping Complex Brain Torque Components and 629 Their Genetic and Phenomic Architecture in 24,112 healthy individuals. 2021. 630 Kang X, Herron TJ, Ettlinger M, Woods DL. Hemispheric asymmetries in cortical and subcortical 32. 631 anatomy. Laterality. 2015;20(6):658-84. 632 33. Wachinger C, Golland P, Kremen W, Fischl B, Reuter M, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I. 633 BrainPrint: a discriminative characterization of brain morphology. Neuroimage. 2015;109:232-48. 634 Reuter M, Wolter FE, Shenton M, Niethammer M. Laplace-Beltrami Eigenvalues and Topological 34. 635 Features of Eigenfunctions for Statistical Shape Analysis. Comput Aided Des. 2009;41(10):739-55. 636 Reuter M, Wolter FE, Peinecke N. Laplace–Beltrami spectra as 'Shape-DNA' of surfaces and 35. 637 solids. Computer-Aided Design. 2006;38(4):342-66. 638 36. Damme KSF, Vargas T, Calhoun V, Turner J, Mittal VA. Global and Specific Cortical Volume 639 Asymmetries in Individuals With Psychosis Risk Syndrome and Schizophrenia: A Mixed Cross-sectional 640 and Longitudinal Perspective. Schizophr Bull. 2020;46(3):713-21. 641 Rollins CPE, Garrison JR, Arribas M, Seyedsalehi A, Li Z, Chan RCK, et al. Evidence in cortical 37. 642 folding patterns for prenatal predispositions to hallucinations in schizophrenia. Transl Psychiatry. 643 2020;10(1):387. 644 38. Lewandowski KE, Bouix S, Ongur D, Shenton ME. Neuroprogression across the Early Course of 645 Psychosis. J Psychiatr Brain Sci. 2020;5. 646 39. Harms MP, Somerville LH, Ances BM, Andersson J, Barch DM, Bastiani M, et al. Extending the 647 Human Connectome Project across ages: Imaging protocols for the Lifespan Development and Aging 648 projects. Neuroimage. 2018;183:972-84. 649 Glasser MF, Sotiropoulos SN, Wilson JA, Coalson TS, Fischl B, Andersson JL, et al. The minimal 40. 650 preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. Neuroimage. 2013;80:105-24. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C, et al. Whole Brain Segmentation 651 41. 652 Automated Labeling of Neuroanatomical Structures in the Human Brain. Neuron. 2002;33(3):341–55. 653 42. Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM. Fsl. Neuroimage. 654 2012;62(2):782-90. 655 43. Jenkinson M, Bannister P, Brady M, Smith S. Improved Optimization for the Robust and Accurate 656 Linear Registration and Motion Correction of Brain Images. NeuroImage. 2002;17(2):825-41. 657 44. Van Essen DC, Glasser MF, Dierker DL, Harwell J, Coalson T. Parcellations and hemispheric 658 asymmetries of human cerebral cortex analyzed on surface-based atlases. Cereb Cortex. 659 2012;22(10):2241-62. 660 Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for 45. 661 Schizophrenia. Schizophrenia Bulletin. 1987;13(2):261–76.

46. van der Gaag M, Hoffman T, Remijsen M, Hijman R, de Haan L, van Meijel B, et al. The five-factor
model of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale II: a ten-fold cross-validation of a revised model.
Schizophr Res. 2006;85(1-3):280-7.

Best MW, Law H, Pyle M, Morrison AP. Relationships between psychiatric symptoms, 665 47. 666 functioning and personal recovery in psychosis. Schizophr Res. 2020;223:112-8. 667 Marumo K, Takizawa R, Kinou M, Kawasaki S, Kawakubo Y, Fukuda M, et al. Functional 48. 668 abnormalities in the left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex during a semantic fluency task, and their 669 association with thought disorder in patients with schizophrenia. Neuroimage. 2014;85 Pt 1:518-26. 670 Ng R, Fish S, Granholm E. Insight and theory of mind in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res. 49. 671 2015;225(1-2):169-74. 672 50. Okada N, Takahashi K, Nishimura Y, Koike S, Ishii-Takahashi A, Sakakibara E, et al. Characterizing 673 prefrontal cortical activity during inhibition task in methamphetamine-associated psychosis versus 674 schizophrenia: a multi-channel near-infrared spectroscopy study. Addict Biol. 2016;21(2):489-503. 675 51. Sarzynska-Wawer J, Wawer A, Pawlak A, Szymanowska J, Stefaniak I, Jarkiewicz M, et al. 676 Detecting formal thought disorder by deep contextualized word representations. Psychiatry Res. 677 2021;304:114135. 678 52. Nishimura Y, Takizawa R, Muroi M, Marumo K, Kinou M, Kasai K. Prefrontal cortex activity during 679 response inhibition associated with excitement symptoms in schizophrenia. Brain Res. 2011;1370:194-680 203. 681 53. Costello AB, Osborne J. Best practices in exploratory factor analysis four recommendations for 682 getting the most from your analysis. Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation. 2005;10. 683 54. Koutsouleris N, Gaser C, Jager M, Bottlender R, Frodl T, Holzinger S, et al. Structural correlates of 684 psychopathological symptom dimensions in schizophrenia: a voxel-based morphometric study. 685 Neuroimage. 2008;39(4):1600-12. 686 Anderson A, Wilcox M, Savitz A, Chung H, Li Q, Salvadore G, et al. Sparse factors for the positive 55. 687 and negative syndrome scale: which symptoms and stage of illness? Psychiatry Res. 2015;225(3):283-90. 688 Rouquette A, Falissard B. Sample size requirements for the internal validation of psychiatric 56. 689 scales. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2011;20(4):235-49. 690 57. Shafer A, Dazzi F. Meta-analysis of the positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) factor 691 structure. J Psychiatr Res. 2019;115:113-20. Reuter M. Hierarchical Shape Segmentation and Registration via Topological Features of 692 58. 693 Laplace-Beltrami Eigenfunctions. International Journal of Computer Vision. 2009;89(2-3):287-308. 694 Konukoglu E, Glocker B, Criminisi A, Pohl KM. WESD--Weighted Spectral Distance for measuring 59. 695 shape dissimilarity. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2013;35(9):2284-97. 696 60. Robinson PA, Zhao X, Aquino KM, Griffiths JD, Sarkar S, Mehta-Pandejee G. Eigenmodes of brain 697 activity: Neural field theory predictions and comparison with experiment. Neuroimage. 2016;142:79-98. 698 61. Lian Z, Godil A, Bustos B, Daoudi M, Hermans J, Kawamura S, et al. A comparison of methods for 699 non-rigid 3D shape retrieval. Pattern Recognition. 2013;46(1):449-61. 700 62. Finn ES, Shen X, Scheinost D, Rosenberg MD, Huang J, Chun MM, et al. Functional connectome 701 fingerprinting: identifying individuals using patterns of brain connectivity. Nat Neurosci. 702 2015;18(11):1664-71. 703 63. Rosen AFG, Roalf DR, Ruparel K, Blake J, Seelaus K, Villa LP, et al. Quantitative assessment of 704 structural image quality. Neuroimage. 2018;169:407-18. 705 Morgan SE, Seidlitz J, Whitaker KJ, Romero-Garcia R, Clifton NE, Scarpazza C, et al. Cortical 64. 706 patterning of abnormal morphometric similarity in psychosis is associated with brain expression of 707 schizophrenia-related genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(19):9604-9.

708 65. Kaufmann T, van der Meer D, Doan NT, Schwarz E, Lund MJ, Agartz I, et al. Common brain 709 disorders are associated with heritable patterns of apparent aging of the brain. Nat Neurosci. 710 2019;22(10):1617-23. 711 66. Schaer M, Cuadra MB, Schmansky N, Fischl B, Thiran JP, Eliez S. How to measure cortical folding 712 from MR images: a step-by-step tutorial to compute local gyrification index. J Vis Exp. 2012(59):e3417. 713 Kurth F, Thompson PM, Luders E. Investigating the differential contributions of sex and brain 67. 714 size to gray matter asymmetry. Cortex. 2018;99:235-42. 715 Sha Z, Schijven D, Carrion-Castillo A, Joliot M, Mazoyer B, Fisher SE, et al. The genetic 68. 716 architecture of structural left-right asymmetry of the human brain. Nat Hum Behav. 2021. 717 Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, et al. An automated labeling 69. 718 system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. 719 Neuroimage. 2006;31(3):968-80. 720 70. Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC, Hacker CD, Harwell J, Yacoub E, et al. A multi-modal 721 parcellation of human cerebral cortex. Nature. 2016;536(7615):171-8. 722 Winkler AM, Ridgway GR, Douaud G, Nichols TE, Smith SM. Faster permutation inference in 71. 723 brain imaging. Neuroimage. 2016;141:502-16. 724 72. Winkler AM, Renaud O, Smith SM, Nichols TE. Permutation inference for canonical correlation 725 analysis. Neuroimage. 2020;220:117065. 726 Dong D, Guell X, Genon S, Wang Y, Chen J, Eickhoff SB, et al. Linking Cerebellar Functional 73. Gradients to Transdiagnostic Behavioral Dimensions of Psychopathology. bioRxiv. 2020. 727 728 Ge T, Reuter M, Winkler AM, Holmes AJ, Lee PH, Tirrell LS, et al. Multidimensional heritability 74. 729 analysis of neuroanatomical shape. Nat Commun. 2016;7:13291. 730 75. Madre M, Canales-Rodriguez EJ, Fuentes-Claramonte P, Alonso-Lana S, Salgado-Pineda P, 731 Guerrero-Pedraza A, et al. Structural abnormality in schizophrenia versus bipolar disorder: A whole brain 732 cortical thickness, surface area, volume and gyrification analyses. Neuroimage Clin. 2020;25:102131. 733 Wolfers T, Doan NT, Kaufmann T, Alnaes D, Moberget T, Agartz I, et al. Mapping the 76. 734 Heterogeneous Phenotype of Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder Using Normative Models. JAMA 735 Psychiatry. 2018;75(11):1146-55. 736 77. Marquand AF, Rezek I, Buitelaar J, Beckmann CF. Understanding Heterogeneity in Clinical 737 Cohorts Using Normative Models: Beyond Case-Control Studies. Biol Psychiatry. 2016;80(7):552-61. 738 Segal A, Parkes L, Aquino K, Kia SM, Wolfers T, Franke B, et al. Regional, circuit, and network 78. 739 heterogeneity of brain abnormalities in psychiatric disorders. medRxiv. 2022. 740 79. Voineskos AN, Jacobs GR, Ameis SH. Neuroimaging Heterogeneity in Psychosis: Neurobiological 741 Underpinnings and Opportunities for Prognostic and Therapeutic Innovation. Biol Psychiatry. 742 2020;88(1):95-102. 743 80. Damme KSF, Gupta T, Nusslock R, Bernard JA, Orr JM, Mittal VA. Cortical Morphometry in the 744 Psychosis Risk Period: A Comprehensive Perspective of Surface Features. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci 745 Neuroimaging. 2019;4(5):434-43. 746 Nanda P, Tandon N, Mathew IT, Giakoumatos CI, Abhishekh HA, Clementz BA, et al. Local 81. 747 gyrification index in probands with psychotic disorders and their first-degree relatives. Biol Psychiatry. 748 2014;76(6):447-55. 749 82. Nelson EA, Kraguljac NV, White DM, Jindal RD, Shin AL, Lahti AC. A Prospective Longitudinal 750 Investigation of Cortical Thickness and Gyrification in Schizophrenia. Can J Psychiatry. 2020;65(6):381-751 91. 752 83. Matsuda Y, Ohi K. Cortical gyrification in schizophrenia: current perspectives. Neuropsychiatr Dis 753 Treat. 2018;14:1861-9.

754 84. Sasabayashi D, Takayanagi Y, Nishiyama S, Takahashi T, Furuichi A, Kido M, et al. Increased

Frontal Gyrification Negatively Correlates with Executive Function in Patients with First-Episode
 Schizophrenia. Cereb Cortex. 2017;27(4):2686-94.

757 85. Sasabayashi D, Y. T, T. T, Nemoto K, Furuichi A, Kido M, et al. Increased brain gyrification in the 758 schizophrenia spectrum. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences. 2020;74:70–6.

759 86. Owen MJ, Sawa A, Mortensen PB. Schizophrenia. The Lancet. 2016;388(10039):86-97.

760 87. Stephan-Otto C, Lombardini F, Nunez C, Senior C, Ochoa S, Usall J, et al. Fluctuating asymmetry

in patients with schizophrenia is related to hallucinations and thought disorganisation. Psychiatry Res.2020;285:112816.

763 88. Russak OD, Ives L, Mittal VA, Dean DJ. Fluctuating dermatoglyphic asymmetries in youth at 764 ultrahigh-risk for psychotic disorders. Schizophr Res. 2016;170(2-3):301-3.

765 89. Van Dongen S, Gangestad SW. Human fluctuating asymmetry in relation to health and quality: a
 766 meta-analysis. Evolution and Human Behavior. 2011;32(6):380-98.

767 90. Oertel-Knochel V, Linden DE. Cerebral asymmetry in schizophrenia. Neuroscientist.
768 2011;17(5):456-67.

769 91. Postema MC, Hoogman M, Ambrosino S, Asherson P, Banaschewski T, Bandeira CE, et al.

770 Analysis of structural brain asymmetries in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in 39 datasets. J Child

771 Psychol Psychiatry. 2021;62(10):1202-19.

772 92. Lam BY, Huang Y, Gao Y. Gray matter asymmetry in the orbitofrontal cortex in relation to

773 psychopathic traits in adolescents. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;132:84-96.

774 93. Roe JM, Vidal-Pineiro D, Sorensen O, Brandmaier AM, Duzel S, Gonzalez HA, et al. Asymmetric
775 thinning of the cerebral cortex across the adult lifespan is accelerated in Alzheimer's disease. Nat
776 Commun. 2021;12(1):721.

777 94. Herzog NJ, Magoulas GD. Brain Asymmetry Detection and Machine Learning Classification for

Diagnosis of Early Dementia. Sensors (Basel). 2021;21(3).

779