

Risk factors for acne scarring in Ecuador

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Title and author page

Title: Risk factors for acne scaring in Ecuador

Short title: Risk factors for acne scaring in Ecuador

Authors: Mikaela Camacho, MD¹; María Isabel Viteri, MD¹; Paola Yepez, MD¹; Jorge Estrella Porter, MD¹; Drifa Belhadi²³, Caroline Barnes⁴, Jonathan Guillemot PHD⁵;

Affiliations

- ¹ Colegio de Ciencias de la Salud, Escuela de Medicina, Instituto de Medicina Social & Desafíos Globales, Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ, Campus Cumbayá, Casilla Postal 17-1200-8414, Quito 170901, Ecuador.
- ² Université Paris Cité, Inserm, IAME, F-75018 Paris, France
- ³ AP-HP, Hôpital Bichat, Département d'Epidémiologie Biostatistiques et Recherche Clinique, F-75018 Paris, France
- ⁴ Colegio de Ciencias de la Salud, Escuela de Medicina, Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ, Campus Cumbayá, Casilla Postal 17-1200-8414, Quito 170901, Ecuador.

⁵Colegio de Ciencias de la Salud, Escuela de Medicina, Escuela de Salud Pública, Instituto de Medicina Social & Desafíos Globales, Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ, Campus Cumbayá, Casilla Postal 17-1200-8414, Quito 170901, Ecuador.

Abstract page

Background: Acne is a common disease that causes a large global disease burden. The Global Burden of Skin Disease reported that in 188 countries the burden from acne as measured by disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), years lived with disability and years of life lost is greatest in Western Europe, high-income North America and Southern Latin America. This paper aims to identify risk factors for acne scarring specific to the Ecuadorian population in order to adapt the 4-ASRAT tool accordingly.

Methods: This was an observational prospective study. Participants were recruited to complete a survey that was developed based on the potential risk factors for acne scarring and had facial photographs taken. To determine risk factors and their respective weighting, a logistic regression was performed.

Results: The study included 404 participants. Results from univariate analyses indicated that male sex (OR=2.76 95%CI [1.72; 4.43]), severe or very severe acne scarring (OR=4.28 95%CI [1.24; 14.79]), acne duration over 1 year (OR=1.71 95%CI [1.12; 2.60]), oily skin (OR=2.02 95%CI [1.27; 3.22]) and the presence of acne on the neck (OR=2.26 95%CI [1.30; 3.92]), were all significantly associated with the presence of acne scarring. Male sex (2.56 95%CI [1.58;4.17]), oily skin (1.96 95%CI [1.20;3.20]) and severe or very severe acne (3.75 95%CI [1.05;13.37]) remained significant risk factors for acne scarring in the multivariate analysis.

Conclusion: By identifying acne scarring risk factors and applying the tool in everyday dermatology visits, we can reduce the physical and psychological burden that acne scarring causes in the adolescent and adult population. Further research should be performed to reassess potential risk factors and complete the adaptation of the tool for the Ecuadorian population, with a larger and more representative study population.

Manuscript

Introduction

Acne Vulgaris is a chronic and inflammatory skin disease that has its origin within the pilosebaceous follicles. The four main causes of this disease are sebum overproduction, abnormal shedding of follicular epithelium, follicular colonization by *Cutibacterium acnes* and inflammation¹. Acne is a common disease that causes a large global disease burden. It was found globally that acne vulgaris is the eighth most common skin disease, with a prevalence of 9.38%. Also, depending on the country and age, 35% to 100% of adolescents have had acne at some point in their lives. In the United States, acne vulgaris is the most prevalent chronic disease affecting nearly 50 million people. These statistics alone show the gross severity of the epidemiology of acne²

The nature of acne, including its symptoms and sequelae, contributes to physical and psychological burden; especially in the most affected population, those aged 14-26 years old. Inflammatory acne sequelae include post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation and permanent or temporary scarring. The Global Burden of Skin Disease reported that in 188 countries the burden from acne as measured by disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), years lived with disability and years of life lost is greatest in Western Europe, high-income North America and Southern Latin America. Also, acne was ranked in the top 10 after analysing the prevalence and impact of skin diseases in 187 countries. The impact of acne on health-related quality of life may result in emotional stress, significant psychosocial burden and neuropsychiatric pathologies such as anxiety, depression and suicide³.

A study on acne-induced scar prevalence performed in the United States, with 1972 subjects who suffered from acne, showed that 43% of these patients suffered from acne scarring. Based on the characteristics of acne, mild and moderate acne tended to form scars in 69% of the subjects; but severe or very severe acne was most likely to develop scarring4. Despite the negative impacts of acne vulgaris, treatment compliance is poor because patients discontinue treatment due to early improvement, perception of worsening acne and side effects⁵. Treatments that can completely resolve acne scars are not yet available and prevention and early treatment remain the primary strategy against scars. While scars cannot be completely eliminated, recent technological advances offer treatment options for patients to improve acne scarring. Such options include microdermabrasion, dermabrasion, chemical peeling, skin needling and laser resurfacing. The issue with these technological advances is that patients face challenges concerning the cost of the treatments and the time they take. The physician has to review with the patient the modalities, the number of treatment sessions based on the severity of scarring, the side effects, the discomfort that will be experienced and the cost of such sessions, all of these are immense limitations for some patients⁶. Considering treatments are complicated, we feel it is important to develop an understanding around risk factors with the goal of eventually using this information to create strategies for preventing acne scarring in the first place.

A systematic review found seventeen risk factors associated with the development of 6acne scarring: acne inflammation, gender, ethnicity, smoking, duration of acne therapy, treatment compliance, treatment fatigue, age, patient demographics, seborrhoea, body mass index, relapse after treatment, and picking and squeezing behavior. The same study developed a Four-item Acne Scar Risk Assessment Tool (4-ASRAT) to help identify individuals at risk of scarring. The four risk factors found to be significantly associated with increased risk of scarring were severity of acne, family history of scarring, squeezing and picking behaviors, and duration of acne. It is however unclear how the risk factors may vary according to different regions and populations.

To our knowledge, no previous studies have explored acne-scoring risk factors in Ecuador or Latin America. This paper aims to identify risk factors for acne scarring specific to the Ecuadorian population in order to adapt the 4-ASRAT tool accordingly.

Methods

Inclusion Criteria

This study was an observational prospective study.

In May 2019, university students of Universidad San Francisco de Quito, in Quito, Ecuador, a university in an affluent region of Quito, were recruited. Participants were potentially eligible students who were on campus on two recruitment days during May 2019, and they were offered \$US 10 in exchange for their participation. If they met eligibility criteria and agreed, informed consent was obtained. The study was previously approved by the USFQ (Universidad de San Francisco) Ethics committee on September 25th 2018 (2018-193IN). The inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in table 1. (¡Error! No se encuentra el origen de la referencia.)

Exclusion Criteria

>>> Table 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the participants in the study <<<

• Aged 18-25 at the time of consent.

- Having suffered acne at any time point, including having active acne at the time of the study.
- Being a student of Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ.
- In case of using makeup during data collection, willing to remove it from the face to enable high quality photographs of the skin to be captured.

• Not fulfilling the inclusion criteria

Once enrolled in the study, participants completed a survey that was developed based on the seventeen risk factors identified by Tan et al. and included questions addressing potential risk factors specific to the Ecuadorian population as determined by means of a Delphi expert consensus consulting registered Ecuadorian dermatologists. Each participant entered the photobooth and had three facial photographs taken following the standards stated in the pilot study publication⁸. The photographs of each participant were evaluated by three dermatologists who fulfilled a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria to participate in the study. Some of the most relevant inclusion criteria for the reviewing dermatologists were that they had practiced dermatology for more than five years, that they had seen more than 35 patients with acne in the last year, and that they had at least one publication related to acne in a scientific journal.

More details about the methods can be found in the publication of the pilot study that has been previously published⁸.

A necessary sample size was estimated to be around 250 participants, based on assumption of a recommended a minimum of 100 events and 100 non-events for logistic regression⁹, and an estimation from the literature that around 40% who go to a dermatologist have scars due to acne⁴.

In order to reduce the risk of information bias, data was collected on standardized forms as described in the study protocol and the participants simply responded to the survey described in Tan et al, 2020 on their own without any prompting or additional questions asked.

Study variables

Study variables included: demographic variables (participant age, sex, ethnicity, region of residence), acne-related variables (acne severity (according to the participants themselves and the dermatologists), family history of acne scarring, age when acne first appeared, location of acne (face, neck, back, chest), duration of acne, squeezing and picking behaviors, acne scars (according to the participants themselves and the dermatologists), other types of scars, over the counter acne treatments, consultation of a dermatologist, prescribed acne treatments, if prescribed treatment was completed, treatment results), lifestyle behaviors (smoking status, fat diet), physical characteristics (weight, oily skin), and psychological or emotional stress.

A detailed explanation of self-assessments of acne scarring can be found in our previous publication.⁸

The outcome variable was the presence of acne scarring as determined by majority consensus of the 3 dermatologists.

Statistical methods

For descriptive statistics, mean and standard deviation (std) were calculated for continuous variables and count and percentages for categorical variables. To determine risk factors and their respective weighting, logistic regressions were performed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs).. Univariate logistic models were performed to evaluate the association between each variable and the presence of acne scarring. A multivariate logistic regression model was then used with variables selected by clinical relevance and missing data rates. A p-value under 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS® version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

404 participants signed informed consent and completed the survey to determine possible Ecuadorian risk factors and had 3 pictures taken. The mean patient age was 20.4 years with a standard deviation (std) of 1.8 (cf. Table 2). From the 404 patients, 63.6% were female and 36.4% were male. Concerning ethnicity, there were 87.4% mestizos and the rest were indigenous (7.0%), white (3.0%) and afro Ecuadorian (1.7%) and other (1%). Regarding the Ecuadorian region where the participants lived during their worst episodes of acne, the majority, 83.4% of patients lived in the Sierra (mountainous region). The rest of the participants lived in the Coastal region (10.9%), Amazonia (2.5%) and Galápagos Islands (0.25%).

58.3% of patients reported that they had a family history of acne. The mean age of the participants at the beginning of their acne was 14.8 years old with a standard deviation of 2.1; and the duration of the acne was 2.1 years with a standard deviation of 2.0. When it came to squeezing and picking behaviours, 18.1% did it all the time, 37.7% did it frequently and only 2.7% never picked or squeezed their lesions.

56.82% of the participants reported to have used over-the-counter treatments such as soaps, creams or pills, and the majority (67.6%) reported that they consulted a dermatologist during their worst acne breakout. It is of note that 85.6% of the participants used a treatment prescribed by a specialist; but only 59.4% of the patients fully completed the prescribed treatment. Descriptive analyses of all potential risk factors considered can be found in table 2.

>>> Table 2. Demographic data and risk factors of the participants of the study <<<

		All patients (N=404)
Age at baseline	Missing data	4
	Mean (std)	20.36 (1.82)
Sex	Missing data	0
	Female	257 (63-63%)
	Male	147 (36·39%)
Ethnicity	Missing data	0
	Mestizo	353 (87-38%)
	Indigenous	28 (6.93%)
	White	12 (2.97%)
	Afro-Ecuadorian	7 (1.73%)
	Other	4 (0.99%)
Region	Missing data	0
	Sierra	337 (83.42)
	Coast	44 (10.89%)
	Amazonia	10 (2.48%)
	Galápagos	1 (0.25%)
	Other	12 (2.97%)

Severity of acne (based on	Missing data	4
pictures)	A	195 (48.75%)
	В	123 (30.75%)
	С	57 (14·25%)
	D	15 (3.75%)
	E	10 (2.50%)
Age at the beginning of	Missing data	1
acne	Mean (std)	14.78 (2.05)
Duration of acne (years)	Missing data	28
,	Mean (std)	2.12 (2.00)
Squeezing and picking	Missing data	1
behaviors	Never	11 (2.73%)
	Rarely	53 (13.15%)
	Sometimes	114 (28·29%)
	Frequently	152 (37·72%)
	All the time	73 (18·11%)
Acne scars (patient	Missing data	8
perspective)	No	202 (51.01%)
	Yes	194 (48-99%)
Scars from other causes	Missing data	4
(patients perspective)	No	191 (47.75%)
	Yes	209 (52·25%)
Treatment for acne	Missing data	1
(without prescription)	No	174 (43·18%)
	Yes	229 (56·82%)
Consultation of a	Missing data	3
dermatologist	No	130 (32·42%)
	Yes	271 (67.58%)
Use of prescribed	Missing data	18
treatment for acne	No	40 (14.39%)
	Yes	238 (85.61%)
Prescribed treatment for	Missing data	8
acne completed	No	79 (32·38%)
	Yes	145 (59.43%)
	Continue treatment	20 (8·20%)

Result of prescribed	Missing data	60
treatment	Disappeared	89 (30.80%)
	They improved, but didn't disappear completely	, ,
	They didn't improve (no change)	25 (8.65%)
Smoking status	Missing data	0
	No	342 (84.65%)
	Yes	62 (15·35%)
Weight	Missing data	2
	Obese	38 (9.45%)
	Normal weight	364 (90.55%)
Oily skin	Missing data	0
	No	108 (26.73%)
	Yes	296 (73·27%)
Fatty diet	Missing data	0
	No	132 (32.67%)
	Yes	272 (67-33%)
Psychological or	Missing data	0
emotional stress	No	110 (27·23%)
	Yes	294 (72·77%)
Presence of acne: Face	Missing data	4
	No	6 (1.50%)
	Yes	394 (98.5%)
Presence of acne: Neck	Missing data	65
	No	246 (72.57%)
	Yes	93 (27·43%)
Presence of acne: Back	Missing data	21
	No	107 (27.94%)
	Yes	276 (72.06%)
Presence of acne: Chest	Missing data	47
	No	190 (53·22%)
	Yes	167 (46.78%)
[

Results from univariate analyses indicated that male sex (OR=2.76 95%CI [1.72; 4.43]), severe or very severe acne scarring (OR=4.28 95%CI [1.24; 14.79]), acne duration over 1 year (OR=1.71 95%CI [1.12; 2.60]), oily skin (OR=2.02 95%CI [1.27; 3.22]) and the presence of acne on the neck (OR=2.26 95%CI [1.30; 3.92]), were all significantly

associated with the presence of acne scarring (cf. Table 3). According to the multivariate regression, male sex (2.56 95%CI [1.58;4.17]), oily skin (1.96 95%CI [1.20;3.20]) and severe or very severe acne (3.7595%CI [1.05;13.37]) remained significant risk factors for acne scarring. Duration of acne was kept in the model considering its universally and consistently known clinical importance and impact on acne scarring (cf. Table 4).

>>> Table 3. Univariate analysis of the risk factors for acne scarring<<<

	N	OR [95% CI]	P-value
Age at baseline	366	1.01 [0.90;1.14]	0.8679
Sex (male vs female)	370	2.76 [1.72;4.43]	<0.0001
Severity of acne (severe- very severe vs almost clear - moderate)	367	4.28 [1.24;14.79]	0.0217
Severity of acne – bis (Moderate - severe - very severe vs Almost clear)	367	2.51 [1.63;3.85]	<0.0001
Family history of acne scarring	369	1.02 [0.67;1.56]	0.9230
Age at the beginning of acne	369	1.01 [0.91;1.12]	0.8068
Duration of acne (>1 year vs <=1 year)	370	1.71 [1.12;2.60]	0.0126
Duration of acne (>2 years vs <=2 years)	370	1.89 [1.16;3.06]	0.0102
Duration of acne (>3 years vs <=3 years)	370	1.49 [0.84;2.66]	0.1744
Squeezing and picking behaviors (Frequently - all the time vs Never - sometimes)	369	0.86 [0.56;1.31]	0.4758
Scars from other causes (patient perspective)	366	0.79 [0.52;1.20]	0.2616
Treatment for acne (without prescription)	369	0.83 [0.54;1.26]	0.3751
Smoking status	370	0.98 [0.55;1.75]	0.9531
Weight (Obese vs Normal weight)	368	0.68 [0.34;1.36]	0.2756
Oily skin	370	2.02 [1.27;3.22]	0.0029
Fatty diet	370	1.09 [0.70;1.69]	0.7174
Psychological or emotional stress	370	1.05 [0.66;1.66]	0.8495
Presence of acne: Neck	308	2.26 [1.30;3.92]	0.0039
Presence of acne: Back	351	1.20 [0.75;1.92]	0.4523
Presence of acne: Chest	326	1.25 [0.80;1.95]	0.3297

>>> Table 4. Multivariate analysis of the risk factors for acne scarring<<<

	OR [95%CI]	P-value
Sex (Male vs Female)	2.56 [1.58;4.17]	0.0001
Duration of acne (>1 year vs <=1 year)	1.38 [0.88;2.15]	0.1581
Oily skin	1.96 [1.20;3.20]	0.0067

	OR [95%CI]	P-value
Severity of acne (Severe - very severe vs Almost clear - moderate)	3.75 [1.05;13.37]	0.0419

Discussion and conclusion

Our study found that in the Ecuadorian population, the most statistically significant risk factors associated with acne scarring included male sex, severe or very severe acne, duration of acne (as this is universally known to have a clinically important impact), the presence of oily skin and the presence of acne on the neck. These are slightly different from the ones determined for the scar risk assessment tool described in Tan et al. which were severity of acne, family history of acne scarring, duration of acne and squeezing and picking behaviors. Even though squeezing and picking behaviors and family history of acne scarring risk factors were classified as most relevant in Tan et al.'s study, our study found that male sex, the presence of oily skin and the presence of acne in the neck were the most important factors associated with acne scarring. We understand that these differences may be due to various factors and that the risk factors identified in Tan et al cannot be disregarded. Considering the risk factors identified in our study, we believe the presence of acne in the neck being a risk factor may be related to the fact that this country is located in the equatorial line where sun exposure is direct and stronger than in other countries. In the case of oily skin, it must be noted that of the largest population in this study was of mestizo ethnicity; thus there may be a relationship between race and a certain type of skin that should be further analyzed and has not been previously explored. Finally, male sex being a risk factor can be a result of many underlying issues. First greater association between male sex with acne scarring that we found could be related to direct sun exposure and additionally the fact that men are less prone to apply sunscreen than women, as supported by the participating dermatologists.

Limitations

One of the shortcomings of our study is that the participants were recruited at Universidad San Francisco de Quito, therefore the majority belonged to the mountainous region (Sierra) of Ecuador, which could lead to a selection bias. Additionally, this university is in a highly affluent section of Quito, Ecuador, a large metropolitan region. Therefore, we understand that this presents an important selection bias. The generalizability of our results may be limited and further studies need to be conducted to confirm our findings.

There could be a small degree of recall bias as participants had to use their memory to determine when they were first diagnosed with acne and the duration of their acne. However, we do not feel this bias as important considering most of the participants were not far from their adolescence and had no particular preconceptions about risk factors for acne scarring. Nonetheless, when the participant could not remember their acne history, missing data happened, such as for the duration of acne.

We acknowledge that there were some discrepancies across dermatologists regarding what was considered acne scarring and the degree of acne scarring. We tried to limit the impact of this heterogeneity by asking several dermatologists' opinion and decide the result using the majority. A pilot study conducted prior to this study suggested that this

method using three local dermatologists corresponds to an adequate proxy for international experts' evaluation of individual acne scarring.

Conclusions

By identifying acne scarring risk factors and applying the tool in everyday dermatology consults, we can reduce the physical and psychological burden that acne scarring causes in the adolescent and adult population. Further research should be performed in order to reassess potential risk factors and complete the adaptation of the tool for the Ecuadorian population, with a larger and more representative study population. Other researchers in different countries or geographical regions should also consider adapting such tools to their populations due to the fact that race, demographics and climate can be determinants of acne scarring risk factors, among others.

References:

- 1. Oge', L. K., Broussard, A., & Marshall, M. D. (2019). Acne Vulgaris: Diagnosis and Treatment. *American Family Physician*, 100(8), 475-484.
- 2. Sing, Anna H., & Chew, Fook T. (2020). Systematic review of the epidemiology of acne vulgaris. *Scientific Reports*, 10(5754), 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62715-3
- 3. Layton, A. M., Thiboutot, D., & Tan, J. (2021). Reviewing the global burden of acne: How could we improve care to reduce the burden?*. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 184(2), 219-225. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.19477
- 4. Tan, Jerry & Leyden, James. (2017, febrero 1). Prevalence and Risk Factors of Acne Scarring Among Patients Consulting Dermatologists in the USA. *JDDonline Journal of Drugs in Dermatology*. https://jddonline.com/articles
- 5. Habeshian, K. A., & Cohen, B. A. (2020). Current Issues in the Treatment of Acne Vulgaris. *Pediatrics*, 145(Supplement 2), S225-S230. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-2056L
- Bhargava, S., Cunha, P. R., Lee, J., & Kroumpouzos, G. (2018). Acne Scarring Management: Systematic Review and Evaluation of the Evidence. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology*, 19(4), 459-477. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-018-0358-5
- 7. Tan, J., Thiboutot, D., Gollnick, H., Kang, S., Layton, A., Leyden, J. J., Torres, V., Guillemot, J., & Dréno, B. (2017). Development of an atrophic acne scar risk assessment tool. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology: JEADV*, 31(9), 1547-1554. https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.14325
- 8. Estrella Porter, J., Camacho, M., Viteri, M. I., Aguilar, K., Belhadi, D., Bettoli, V., Buestán, A. del R., Dréno, B., Endara, P., Layton, A., Machado, N., Mateus, R., Tan, J., Terán, E., Yépez, P., & Guillemot, J. (2020). Pilot study for the evaluation and adaptation of a Four Item-Acne-Scar Risk Assessment Tool (4-ASRAT): A resource to estimate the risk of acne-induced scars. *F1000Research*, *9*, 651. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23737.1
- 9. "Substantial effective sample sizes were required for external validation studies of predictive logistic regression models." Journal of clinical epidemiology 58.5 (2005): 475-483.