1	Title: Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for
2	people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.
3	
4	Short title: Physical activity for breast cancer surgery
5	
6	Authors : Lauren Howe, ¹ Andy Husband, ^{1,2} Anna Robinson-Barella* ^{1,2}
7	
8	Affiliations:
9	¹ School of Pharmacy, King George VI Building, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU
10	² Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE2 4AX
11	
12	Author emails:
13	Lauren Howe: l.g.howe1@newcastle.ac.uk
14	Andy Husband: andy.husband@newcastle.ac.uk
15	Anna Robinson-Barella (corresponding author): anna.robinson@newcastle.ac.uk
16	
17	*corresponding author
18	
19	Mrs Anna Robinson-Barella (corresponding author)
20	ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9523-4760.
21	Full postal address: School of Pharmacy, King George VI Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle
22	upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK.
23	Email: <u>anna.robinson@newcastle.ac.uk</u>
24	
25	Key words: Physical activity; breast cancer; surgery; systematic review; qualitative evidence
26	synthesis.
27	
28	Data availability statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the
29	corresponding author upon reasonable request.
30	
31	Funding statement: This work was undertaken as part of a Masters of Pharmacy Undergraduate
32	degree (author LH, supervised by author AR-B); there was no funding for this work.
33	Conflict of interest disclosure: None.
34	

35	Ethics approval statement: N/A.
36	
37	Patient consent statement: This work is a qualitative systematic review, thus there was no direct
38	patient involvement in this work.
39	
40	Permission to reproduce material from other sources: Not applicable; this work is original.
41	
42	Clinical trial registration: Not applicable.
43	
44	Author contributions: LH led on the day-to-day running of the project, data collection and writing of
45	this manuscript. AR-B oversaw the running of this project as Principal Investigator and provided
46	project management expertise. AR-B and AH provided methodological input and expertise. All
47	authors read, provided comments on, and approved the final manuscript.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

48 ABSTRACT

49

50 Introduction: Undertaking physical activity, pre- and post-operatively, can benefit recovery time and 51 improve post-surgical outcomes. One cohort of patients that have reported these benefits are those 52 undergoing surgery for breast cancer. Yet, what remains unclear is the level to which physical 53 activity interventions are implemented into standard surgical care for patients with breast cancer.

54

Aims: This systematic review aimed to examine existing qualitative evidence focusing on pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions to better understand the benefits and shortcomings of physical activity within the surgical journey.

58

59 **Methods:** A systematic literature search was undertaken in November 2022, across five databases: 60 MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Embase, CINAHL and Scopus. Qualitative studies involving people with breast 61 cancer who had undertaken a physical activity intervention, either pre- and/or post-operatively, 62 were included for analysis. The review was registered on PROSPERO: CRD42022372466 and 63 performed according to PRISMA guidelines. The Critical Appraisal Skills Programme qualitative study 64 checklist was used to assess study quality.

65

66 Results: Fourteen studies were included, comprising the perspectives of 418 people receiving 67 surgery for breast cancer. One study implemented pre-operative physical activity interventions; the 68 remaining studies focused on post-operative interventions. A narrative systematic review was 69 undertaken due to heterogeneity in reported results. Four themes were developed by thematic 70 analysis, centring on: (i) factors promoting engagement with physical activity interventions; (ii) 71 factors preventing engagement with physical activity interventions; (iii) the impact of pre- and post-72 operative interventions on physical and psychological health; and (iii) participant recommendations 73 for pre- and post-operative interventions.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

74

75	Conclusion: Pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions were well-accepted. Patients
76	recognised factors which promoted or prevented engagement with interventions, as well as pre- and
77	post-operative physical and psychological benefits that arose as a result. Evidence based co-design
78	studies may further inform successful implementation of physical activity into standard care for
79	surgical breast cancer patients.
80	

81 Key words:

82 Physical activity; breast cancer; surgery; systematic review; qualitative evidence synthesis.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

83

84 Introduction

85

86 It is estimated that there are on average 375,400 new cancer cases each year in the United Kingdom (UK), with 55,920 of these newly diagnosed cases being breast cancer.¹ In 2017, breast cancer 87 88 counted for 15.1% of all new malignant cancer registrations (compared to 13.5% of registrations of 89 prostate cancer, 12.7% lung cancer, 5.9% gynaecological cancers) and it has been the most 90 frequently diagnosed cancer in England since it overtook lung cancer in 1996.² Similar statistics can be seen on a worldwide scale with an estimated 2.3 million new breast cancer cases each year.³ The 91 92 National Health Service (NHS) Long Term Plan has placed emphasis on new guidelines for cancer 93 care, given the growing incidence of people in the UK being diagnosed with cancer each year.⁴ As well as emphasising the importance of early cancer diagnosis to improve rates of cancer survival,⁴ 94 95 the Long Term Plan hopes to provide every person diagnosed with cancer personalised care; 96 reference to this included a health and wellbeing care plan, alongside follow-up treatment after surgery.⁴ 97

98

99 Pre-operative and post-operative surgical care for breast cancer patients is complex and challenging, 100 but is important in improving recovery and reducing morbidity.⁵ The Enhanced Recovery After 101 Surgery (ERAS) society undertook a systematic review in 2017 in order to present evidence-based recommendations for the pre- and post-operative management of breast cancer surgery.⁶ Their key 102 103 recommendations included: use of opioid-sparing medication, minimal preoperative fasting, use of 104 anaesthetic techniques that decrease nausea and vomiting, early mobilization post-surgery and post-discharge home support with early physical activity.⁶ The prescription of physical activity in 105 106 healthcare settings is an area growing in research over recent years, it has been shown to reduce the 107 incidence of chronic disease development and improve quality of life.^{7,8}

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

109 Physical activity to support patients undergoing breast cancer surgery has been proven beneficial. 110 Findings from current literature have seen patients report reduced pain and improved quality of life post-surgery,^{9,10} as well as improvements in their physical functions like strength, stamina, and 111 cardiovascular fitness, and a reduction in cancer-related fatigue.¹¹⁻¹³ Yet, despite the evidence that 112 113 demonstrates the benefits arising from pre- and post-operative physical activity, challenges remain that affect patient adherence and engagement with physical activity interventions.¹⁴ Teo *et al.* found 114 115 that patient motivation and capability were barriers for patient engagement with prescribed exercise programmes.¹⁵ Another reported barrier which affects the uptake of physical activity 116 117 interventions is the willingness of healthcare professional to recommend physical activity; one UK 118 study from 2008 reported that approximately half of oncologists and surgeons do not routinely discuss physical activity with their patients.¹⁶ This led to independent parties and charities, such as 119 120 Macmillan Cancer Support, publishing evidence-based exercise advice and guidance for patients. 121 Specifically, this focused on the integration of pre- and post-operative physical activity in the cancer care pathway.¹⁷ 122

123

This systematic review takes the form of a qualitative narrative synthesis examining physical activity interventions to support patients undergoing breast cancer surgery. The focus will be on both the pre-operative and post-operative time frame to understand the full scope of breast cancer care throughout the surgical pathway. This work seeks to assist in informing future clinical decisions on the implementation of physical activity interventions into standard care for breast surgery patients, and ultimately improve the quality of care that patients receive.

130

131

- 132 Method
- 133
- 134 **Protocol registration**

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

135

136This systematic review is registered with PROSPERO (Registration number CRD42022372466) and137has been conducted in accordance with the 'Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and138Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)' guidelines (see Appendix A in the Supplementary File).139

140 Eligibility criteria

141

To inform the eligibility criteria, PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, Study type) criteria were developed (Table 1). Qualitative studies with a population of patients with breast cancer who were due to undergo surgery, or had already undergone surgery, were included. There were no limits placed on patient's age, sex, ethnicity or country of surgery. As outlined in Table 1, any type of breast cancer surgery was acceptable.

147

148 The studies must have demonstrated a form of physical activity intervention within the surgical 149 pathway, either pre- or post-operatively. There were no limits placed on the type of physical activity 150 or the delivery method of the intervention. Studies were included if the physical activity took place 151 up to 5 years post-surgery; this decision was based on NICE guidelines as a typical post-operative time frame where patients would remain under the care of the oncology team.¹⁹ The authors 152 153 recognised that some studies with a longer time frame were still eligible for inclusion in this review, 154 given that these participants were reported to still be in recovery from the surgery required as part of breast cancer treatment. Included studies were not required to have a control or comparator 155 156 group; 'usual care' or an alternative intervention was deemed an accepted comparator.

157

158 Only qualitative studies were eligible for inclusion; quantitative studies, included but not limited to, 159 randomised controlled trials, observational studies, longitudinal studies, and prospective cohort

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

- 160 studies were excluded. Mixed-methods studies were included if the qualitative data was sufficient.
- 161 Studies that were not available in the English Language were excluded.

162

- 163 Search strategy, information sources and study selection
- 164
- 165 A systematic literature search was conducted in November 2022 (by author LH) across five electronic

166 databases: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Embase and Scopus (searches were conducted from journal

- 167 conception to present day). Additional papers were identified via the grey literature within reference
- 168 lists and personal libraries of authors.
- 169

170 The search terms were created by the authors, with the support of a medical librarian. The search

terms were created in the English Language using Medical Subject (MeSH) headings and keywords,

172 with the search strategy compiled using Boolean operators (AND/OR). Example the search strategy

and journal-specific search terms are included in Appendix B of the Supplementary File. All records

- 174 were converted into the reference database EndNote (Version X9) for ease of management.
- 175

Title and abstracts of all papers obtained were reviewed by one author (LH) to assess eligibility. Full texts were retrieved for articles that met the inclusion criteria for further evaluation, and for those that could not be rejected with certainty. Full text articles were screened independently by two authors (LH and AR-B); disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third author (AH) where necessary.

181

182 Data extraction

Data extraction was undertaken by study authors using a customised data extraction form, amended from a previous review in this field (Appendix C in the Supplementary File).²⁰ Data extracted included: year of publication, population, participant characteristics, type of exercise intervention,

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

186	details of exercise intervention, pre- and/or post-operative intervention point, details of additional
187	interventions and control group. Study interventions were grouped into one of three possible
188	timepoints within the surgical pathway for analysis: pre-operative intervention timing (implemented
189	before the surgical procedure), post-operative timing (implemented after the surgical procedure), or
190	a combination of both pre- and post-operative (implemented before and continued after operation).
191	
192	Quality appraisal
193	The included studies were appraised for methodological quality using the Critical Appraisal Skills
194	Programme (CASP) qualitative study checklist. ²¹ Any uncertainties were discussed between authors
195	(LH and AR-B). All studies were assigned with a methodological quality score for ease of reporting,
196	expressed as a percentage.
197	
198	Data analysis and synthesis
199	Authors sought to establish further understanding on the implementation of physical activity
200	interventions within surgical care pathways. This review takes the form of a narrative synthesis,
201	underpinned by thematic analysis, where authors developed, defined and refined key themes within
202	the existing qualitative data. Heterogeneous qualitative measures were reported within the included
203	studies, so a meta-analysis was not possible.

204

205 Results

206 Search results

The initial search obtained 1,114 records from 5 databases: Medline, PsycINFO, CINAHL, EMBASE and Scopus. An additional 35 records were obtained from grey literature. After removal of duplicates, 965 records remained, of which 756 were inappropriate and thus excluded from the review. Assessment of the remaining 208 full text articles gave 14 records eligible for review which

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

- 211 fit the criteria. A PRISMA flowchart (Figure 1) was created to illustrate the search process from start
- to finish, with reasons for exclusion included at each step.

213

- 214 <Insert Figure 1 here>
- 215

216 Study characteristics

217 In total, 14 studies were included within this systematic review (see Table 2 for Study Characteristics). The studies spanned 7 different countries, including Canada, 22-24 USA, 25-28 218 Sweden, ^{29,30} Ireland, ³¹ England, ³² Korea^{33,34} and Scotland, ³⁵ and were conducted between the years 219 220 of 2008 to 2021. The included studies shared the perspectives of 418 breast cancer patients who 221 had either undergone, or were about to undergo, surgery as a treatment for breast cancer. The 222 reported age range of the participants was 25-82 years old. Of the included studies, only one explored and implemented a pre-operative physical activity intervention;²² the remaining thirteen 223 224 studies focused on interventions that occurred post-operatively. Across the included papers, 5 225 different terminologies were used to describe the type of breast cancer surgery; these included mastectomy (or total mastectomy);^{22,25-28,30-34} partial mastectomy (or breast conserving surgery or 226 lumpectomy);^{22,25-28,30-34} reconstruction;^{26-28,31} sentinel lymph node biopsy;^{22,28,29,32,33} and axillary 227 node dissection/clearance.^{22,28,29,32,33} Three of the papers did not specify a surgery type.^{23,24,35} 228

229

With regards to the physical activity intervention explored, there was variation in both the (i) type and (ii) delivery method used; two studies undertook vigorous aerobic exercise as their intervention;^{22,23} one study focused on water-based exercise classes;³⁰ two of the articles studied physiotherapist-led exercises;³² and one of these studies also implemented digital strategies via a mobile health intervention.³¹ Other interventions with digital elements included kinetic lymphatic exercises,²⁸ and a weight loss programme over 6 months with weekly video call sessions.²⁵ Some of the studies opted for low-intensity physical activity, exploring mind-body exercising (breathing and

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

237	stretching focused exercise) ^{26,27} and group dragon boat racing. ²⁴ Three studies did not specify a
238	particular physical activity as part of their intervention; instead, participants were given the
239	opportunity to either choose from 3 presented intervention choices ³⁵ or undertake any physical
240	activity they desired after post-surgical advice was provided. ^{33,34} Delivery of the interventions also
241	showed variation, with five studies using face-to-face classes, 23,24,26,27,30 five using remote delivery
242	methods ^{22,25,28,31,32} and four interventions included a combination of in-person and home-based
243	elements. ^{29, 33-35}

244

There was also a range of qualitative methodologies used within the studies, including semistructured interviews^{22,24,26,27,29,31-33,35} and focus groups,^{23,30,34} with 2 studies not specifying their methodological approach.^{25,28} Only one of the studies had a control group comparator.³²

248

249 Study quality

The methodological quality of the 14 included studies was deemed to be 'good', with a mean Critical Appraisal score of 75% (see Appendix D in the Supplementary File). Quality assessment scores across the included papers ranged from 40%²⁵ to 100%.³³ The poorest performing area amongst the studies was the analysis of the relationship between researcher and participants; only 8 of the 14 papers considered this.^{23,24,27,28,30,32-34} The highest performing areas were data analysis, data collection and use of qualitative methodology.

256

257 <insert Table 2 here>

258

259 Narrative synthesis

Four overarching themes were developed from thematic analysis of the data, which centred on: (i) factors promoting patient engagement with physical activity interventions; (ii) factors preventing patient engagement with physical activity interventions; (iii) the impact of pre- and post-operative

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

interventions on a person's physical and psychological health; and (iv) patient recommendations for pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions. Each theme will be discussed in turn, with participant quotes integrated within the text to ensure that the patient voice remained at the forefront of the results reported (see Figure 2).

267

268 <insert Figure 2 here>

269

270 Theme 1: Factors promoting patient engagement with physical activity interventions

271 All 14 papers reported factors which made the participants more likely to attend, participate, and 272 complete the physical activity intervention. Four of the papers reported increased engagement rates if the exercises were easy to follow and achievable.^{22,26-28} One participant described having the 273 274 ability to modify and adapt the exercises so that "anyone could have done (the exercises), which made it really great for any woman, of any age, at any physical level".²² Another commented how 275 276 the sense of achievement of progressing onto more challenging exercises facilitated further 277 adherence.³² One paper postulated that easier exercises improved participant self-satisfaction and 278 rates of adherence, as they did not experience physical limitations – something that patients with breast cancer reportedly struggled with post-operatively.²⁶ 279

280

281

"It's acknowledging [your body] more and ... all the amazing things it's doing

(exercises), and not focusing on what it's not doing or what you can't do".²⁶

282

An individual's internal motivation and desire to recover, alongside fear of cancer recurrence, facilitated adherence to physical activity interventions in almost half of the papers.^{23,25,29,31,33,34} Patients expressed needing to know they had *"done everything they could"* to prevent cancer recurrence;²³ viewing exercise as a perceived solution to this, increased participant rates.³²⁻³⁴

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

287	"it was like looking down the barrel of a gun and you've got your thumb on the
288	trigger. Are you gonna pull it and just eat whatever you want and do whatever
289	you were doing previously? Or am I gonna really take a hold of what I'm doing
290	and do whatever I could? So, for me, it was fear. I'm in control of this and I can go
291	this way or I can follow a healthy lifestyle." 23

292

Emphasis was placed on the trust participants had in an intervention if it had come from the hospital care team, with oncology trained professionals and breast cancer specific exercises.^{22, 31, 32, 34} If the physical activity was pitched as a compulsory part of their cancer treatment, rather than a choice, participants expressed this made them more likely to participate; *"if you say to me this is what you need to do to get better, I'll do it"*.³¹ Participants perceiving the completion of physical activity as a non-cancer related activity, was also reported as a factor which encouraged participation in physical activity. ^{23,29}

300

301 Group-based physical activity interventions were popular with participants and were associated with facilitating attendance and participation across 9 of the 14 papers.^{23-26,30,31,33-35} The supportive and 302 303 relatable interaction with other patients undergoing surgery for breast cancer was deemed most 304 useful, with one participant describing "meeting people who have all been through similar, you can 305 all relate".³¹ Another reported comparing themselves to other participants in the group as a means 306 of feeling re-assurance, as "I could see that I was actually doing this (exercise) too, and I could keep up with everybody else".²⁸ While most papers referred to the interaction with other patients 307 undergoing breast cancer surgery as advantageous, in the study by Hubbard et al., one female 308 309 participant described preferring to exercise with the general public in a leisure centre; it gave her a break from being surrounded by cancer.³⁵ 310

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

312	Friends and family were also mentioned by three of the papers as a form of extended support
313	network which promoted a person's participation in physical activity; ^{23, 33, 35} the inclusion of family
314	members provided support at home, in addition to that when attending their in-person
315	intervention. ²³

316	"You can get [slight laugh] very competitive with yourself, and especially with my,
317	my father-in-law he's got an App on his phone, so he started just, you know:
318	"oh, I've done this many steps," I'd be like "well if he's done that many steps, I've
319	got to do," so you do it's benefitted everybody".35

320

Additional support measures from intervention leaders were found to facilitate improved adherence; for example, interventions that utilised check-ins, continued feedback and monitoring were perceived as beneficial.^{22,23,28,33} Constant re-assurance was a key factor with patients reporting they *"like the fact it tells you if you are doing the exercises correctly"*.²⁸ This gentle guidance from intervention providers was shown to enhance participant confidence in their own ability to complete the exercises. Further factors identified as facilitators of adherence to interventions are detailed in Appendix E of the Supplementary File.

328

329

330 Theme 2: Factors preventing patient engagement with physical activity interventions

Twelve of the 14 included studies commented on factors that hindered adherence to the prescribed physical activity interventions. One recurring theme that related to pain, fatigue and other cancer treatment-related side effects was mentioned by participants in 9 of the studies.^{23,25,27,29,31-35} Physical limitations experienced post-operatively, including pain at the surgical site, caused adherence issues in many patients;^{26,27,29,31-33} consequently, participants struggled to complete the exercises as *"if I did anything strenuous, it hurt and I felt tightness here... it was frustrating"*.²⁹ Cancer-related fatigue was

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

337	also reported by half of the papers as a key factor preventing physical activity in patients with breast
338	cancer. ^{23,25,29,31,32,34,35} The subsequent exhaustion and compromised fitness level meant they were
339	unable to engage with their prescribed physical activity with one female participant reporting "some
340	days, I feel like I'd faintWhen really exhausted, you have to sleep it's just like playing dead". ³⁴ It
341	was also reported in one paper that the fatigue then made the patients feel like "an outsider" when
342	exercising with the public because they could not complete the exercise as efficiently as others. ³⁴

343

344 Due to its adverse effects making the pre- and post-operative physical activity more strenuous, 345 chemotherapy adjuvant treatment was reported as a barrier to completion of the physical activity.^{29,31,32} Participants reported the physical activity to be more manageable post-surgery, until 346 347 the point in their treatment that chemotherapy began; "the last three weeks with the first lot of chemo this...[doing the exercises has] been a lot harder than I ever anticipated".³² In addition, the 348 349 mental concentration, required for the physical activity intervention was perceived as a challenge 350 due to "chemo brain", a term one patient used to describe her diminished cognitive function due to 351 the chemotherapy treatment.²³

352

353 Fears and myths surrounding exercise whilst undergoing surgical treatment for breast cancer were widely recognised,^{23,29,31,32,34} with inadequate information on the safety of post-operative exercise 354 355 being a main contributor. In particular, participants in two papers spoke of fear that the exercise would hinder their recovery.^{33,34} One paper reported kinesiophobia (a fear of movement) that 356 357 women experienced in the acute period following surgical treatment for breast cancer, impeding their participation in physical activity.³² Despite the prevention of cancer recurrence through 358 359 exercise being a previously reported motivator to participation in the physical activity interventions, 360 fear of cancer recurrence, as a result of exercising, was also a reported barrier to participation in 361 physical activity. Some patients reported that exercising could cause disease recurrence; "I had a friend who had recurrence and she believed it was because she exercised too aggressively."³⁴ In this 362

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

363	same paper, patients also believed carrying heavy things could cause lymphoedema. Inadequate
364	information and education caused fears and myths to circulate around cancer patients and caused
365	lack of confidence in completion of the prescribed exercises; "I didn't even know whether I was
366	doing it right or not, you know sitting on the bed supposed to be doing this or this, or whatever, I
367	didn't bother then". ³¹
368	"if I exercise too much, the energy I'm supposed to use to beat the cancer, if it
369	gets used up for exercise, that's probably worse for my bodyI've talked with a
370	lot of patients, and heard that if you exercise too much, you can get
371	recurrence", ³⁴
372	
373	Fear reported by family members was also recognised as a barrier preventing patients adhering to
374	physical activity interventions. One participant described how family associated visual changes as a
375	result of exercise (including weight loss) with disease recurrence, asking "you're losing weight, oh no,
376	you're losing weight! Are you okay?". ²³
377	
378	Recurring barriers within studies included patients feeling self-conscious regarding their body-image
379	as a result of mastectomy, hair loss, or surgical scars. ³³⁻³⁵ In particular, patients spoke of the
380	discomfort and <i>"hindrance"</i> of exercising in a wig. ³⁴ In another paper, a patient commented how the
381	presence of a drain attached to their body due to post-surgical seroma (fluid accumulation near a
382	surgical incision) made them feel self-conscious. ³³ Further factors identified as barriers are detailed
383	in Appendix F of the Supplementary File.
384	
385	
386	Theme 3: The impact of pre- and post-operative interventions on a person's physical and

387 psychological health

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

388	In total, 12 papers reported that participants experienced health benefits as a result of participation
389	in the physical activity interventions. ^{22-24,26-29,31-35} Specifically, these benefits could be grouped
390	according to physical health ^{22-24,26-28,31,33} and psychological health. ^{22,23,26,27,31-35} There was variation in
391	the rationale for physical health benefits, depending on the type of physical activity undertaken.
392	High intensity aerobic exercise reported improved cardiovascular fitness and increased stamina as a
393	benefit, ^{23,24} while stretching-based exercise interventions such as yoga, reported improved flexibility,
394	reduction in tension and increased mobility. ^{26-28,31} Two papers mentioned increased energy levels, ²³
395	with one of these papers mentioning reduction in cancer-related fatigue, as a consequence of
396	physical activity. ²⁴ This conflicts with the majority of papers, which reported fatigue as a preventor
397	of adherence to the physical activity.

398

399 Three papers reported a reduction in pain, or an increased ability to manage pain, as a physical benefit of post-operative exercise.^{27, 28, 33} This physical benefit was reported less frequently compared 400 401 to other physical health benefits. Osypiuk et al. reported that all of their participants noticed 402 reduced physical tension, improved strength and flexibility, but only 60% noticed a decrease in 403 pain.²⁷ The pre-operative study by Brahmbhatt et al. reported that patients who had undertaken a 404 physical activity intervention prior to surgery, recovered more quickly post-operatively; they 405 included perspective from a breast cancer patient who was able to "lift her arms over [her] head, 406 one day after breast reconstruction surgery, which normally takes months after surgery to achieve".²² Another study echoed this when discussing baseline levels of exercise undertaken 407 independently prior to diagnosis, to support patients in better tolerating surgical treatment.³⁴ 408

409

410

"People told me 'you seem to endure the treatment course well because you had exercised before' and I also feel the same way".³⁴

411

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

Psychological benefits were reported by more papers than physical benefits. Reduction of stress and anxiety as a result of cancer diagnosis and treatment was a benefit of physical activity that four of the papers reported.^{22-24,35} In particular, the worry of recurrence and upcoming treatment procedures were mentioned; *"it caught me just in that time after diagnosis when things were pretty scary and pretty awful, and it felt like it was one of the key pieces of my plan for positivity during this whole thing, because it was setting the tone for recovery"*.²²

418

419 "Regaining control" was the most discussed psychological benefit within seven of the included studies to describe the restoration of independence during the surgical pathway.^{22-24,29,32,34,35} One 420 421 paper commented how the journey to regaining control had meant participants learning and 422 accepting their new post-surgery limitations and adapting their movement accordingly; "you have to *learn to listen to the warning signals*".²⁹ The phenomenon of 'regaining control' directly links to the 423 424 previously mentioned facilitator of participation in physical activity, motivation to recover. Breast 425 cancer patients reported having a strong desire to recover, thus driving adherence to the prescribed 426 physical activity.

427 *"It's* [exercise] going to generally make you feel better so that you see that sense
428 of, you know, being in control of your life and I definitely feel that way".²³

429

430

431 Theme 4: Patient recommendations for pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions

Participant recommendations for pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions were included in the results and discussion of seven papers.^{22,23,25,31-34} The recommendation that physical activity interventions should be included as part of standard care when receiving surgical intervention for breast cancer was reported by participants.^{23,31,34} Further, in the study focusing on a

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

436	pre-operative physical activity intervention, participants believed that all patients receiving surgery
437	for breast cancer treatment should receive pre-operative exercises as part of standard care. ²²
438	"I have actually said to many nurses and doctors that it [prehabilitation] should
439	be something that's mandatory and should be implemented at the hospital for
440	every person going through the surgery". ²²

441

A variety of recommendations were reported regarding intervention timing, design and delivery. Participants described how exercise check-ins post-surgery would be desirable, stating *"every 6 months is about right to keep you going"*.^{31,32,34} In addition to physical support with the exercises, increased emotional support was also recommended; one paper reported this would be more appropriate from a female exercise-lead, as participants would likely *"connect better with a female"*.³² This correlates with the previously reported finding that constant re-assurance was found to promote physical activity adherence.

449

450 One paper acknowledged impacts on levels of concentration, both post-operatively and whilst in 451 receipt of chemotherapy treatment, stating "your concentration is shot after cancer when you are having treatment".³⁶ This participant suggested that a simple, interactive, "less is more" design for a 452 453 remotely-delivered physical activity intervention would be more suitable, where clear answers would be given if someone had questions about the exercise.³⁶ Another participant, in the same 454 paper, discussed preferences towards content that was life-affirming and encouraging having 455 456 recognised the need for "some encouragement, because it's such a difficult time, not just 'do this (exercise)' but 'how are you finding it?'".³⁶ In-built, personable elements to the physical activity 457 458 content was viewed favourably, regardless of whether the intervention was conducted in-person, 459 remotely or a combination of both.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

461 Discussion

462

This review aimed to further build on the limited evidence concerning the perspectives of patients undergoing surgery for breast cancer. By synthesising patient perspectives and capturing livedexperiences across the entire surgical journey, this systematic review (i) sheds new light on factors promoting and preventing engagement with physical activity and (ii) offers unique insight into the physical and psychological benefits of pre- and post-operative exercise interventions. Finally, the synthesised patient-reported recommendations could be used to inform the inclusion of physical activity within the standard care pathway of a vulnerable surgical cohort.

470

471 There was a lack of studies focused on delivering a pre-operative physical activity intervention. This 472 differs from the existing literature base involving surgery for other types of cancers, including 473 colorectal cancer,^{37,38} lung cancer,^{39,40} and oesophageal cancer.^{41,42} When comparing the typical 474 breast cancer pre-operative period, evidence suggests that this is typically much shorter than that of other oncological surgery types.⁴³ For lung cancer patients, the British Thoracic society recommends 475 for surgery to take place within 8 weeks of diagnosis,⁴⁴ and for colorectal cancer a 6 week pre-476 operative wait is considered safe;⁴⁵ both of these surgeries occur following longer time periods 477 compared to the typical 30 day pre-operative period for breast cancer patients.⁴³ The authors 478 479 recognise that this could provide an explanation for fewer pre-operative studies for this cohort.

480

Despite this, one study proved that pre-operative exercise interventions had positive benefits, such as faster post-operative recovery.²² Future studies may seek to explore the pre-operative timeframe thus providing a stronger evidence-base for conclusions to be drawn on the feasibility of physical activity prior to breast cancer surgery. In addition to this, studies researching the entire surgical pathway, both pre- and post-operative, would provide in-depth insight. At the time of searching, the

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

486 authors found no studies that researched both pre- and post-operative physical activity, indicating a

- 487 gap in the current literature for breast cancer surgery.
- 488

489 Motivation to recover was a key factor in promoting engagement with the prescribed physical 490 activity for patients with breast cancer during the surgical pathway. Furthermore, patients reported 491 re-gaining control of their lives as a consequence of undertaking the physical activity. This echoes 492 the wider literature of surgical behaviour change,^{46,47} as well as during breast cancer treatment and 493 recovery.⁴⁸ A qualitative study by Drageset et al. found that breast cancer patients coped with their 494 diagnosis by focusing on something else, taking things step-by-step and trying to return to normal so they could remain in control of their life.⁴⁹ Other studies have found similar results that an adaptive 495 coping response resulted in lower cancer distress, anxiety, depression and improved quality of 496 life.^{50,51} The idea that this behaviour change can then translate into intervention adherence has also 497 been found to be true in other surgical procedures.^{47,52} 498

499

500 The evidence presented in this systematic review highlights the need for encouragement of health 501 behaviour changes in patients within the surgical pathway, given that they are successful in 502 promoting recovery. The term "teachable moment" is used by researchers to describe the proposal of lifestyle changes or health-behaviour changes to reduce risk.⁵³ The utilisation of teachable 503 504 moments has been shown to promote health behaviour change in a number of disciplines including smoking cessation, alcohol intake in adolescents, cancer screening and pregnancy care.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ 505 506 Teachable moments have also been recognised at the point of cancer diagnosis as a useful tool for encouraging behaviour change.⁵⁶ However, evidence suggests that these teachable moments are not 507 508 being delivered to breast cancer patients due to lack of physician time, uncertainty on the 509 appropriate health-behaviour message or lack of training in health behaviour counselling; resulting in breast cancer patients not meeting the desired lifestyle behaviours.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ 510

511

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

512	Despite the paucity of qualitative evidence available on the subject, the studies included in this
513	review reported results from an appropriate sample size, reflective of a population of patients with
514	breast cancer across the globe. However, the authors acknowledge that there were some limitations
515	in this systematic review. While all studies included patient characteristics to state the type of breast
516	cancer surgery and whether patients were pre- or post-operative in their surgical journey, most
517	studies did not have indication of which study participants said which quotations; this additional
518	layer of understanding therefore lacked trends that could be reported specific to surgery type, time-
519	post surgery, age, sex, or ethnicity, as this data was not available. Evidence based co-design studies
520	may further inform successful implementation of physical activity into standard care for surgical
521	breast cancer patients.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

523 Conclusion

525	This systematic review collated qualitative patient opinions from 14 studies, on the impact, delivery
526	and implementation of physical activity interventions for breast cancer patients before and after
527	surgery. Data analysis found that physical activity yielded both physical and psychological benefits
528	for patients undergoing breast cancer surgery. The reported facilitators of patient participation in
529	physical activity, such as motivation, fear of recurrence and group exercise, should be utilised and
530	transferred into teachable moments within the surgical pathway to promote health behaviour
531	change and improve surgical recovery. Evidence based co-design studies may further inform
532	successful implementation of physical activity into standard care for surgical breast cancer patients.
533	

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

534 Tables

535 Table 1: Eligibility of studies: PICOS criteria

Population	 Men or Women with who have undergone or are scheduled to have breast cancer surgery. All surgery types included: mastectomy (total or partial), breast conserving surgery, sentinel lymph node biopsy, anterior lymph node dissection and combinations.
Intervention	 Any form of physical activity undertaken in the peri-operative period (from moment surgery is contemplated up to full recovery). Physical activity is defined by the World Health Organisation as any bodily movement that requires energy expenditure.⁶⁰ Consequently, any intervention that meets this definition was included. Examples identified include running, swimming, walking, stretching and resistance training. Interventions could have taken place up to 5 years post-surgery as this is the length of time cancer patients remain under care of oncology team according to NICE guidelines.¹⁹
Comparator	 Control arm was not necessary – purposely kept open for ethical reasons and current practice of care. Comparison could be alternative physical activity types or 'usual care'.
O utcome	• Any reported qualitative outcome from patients including quality of life, fatigue, mental wellbeing, impact on recovery.
Study Type	 Qualitative methodologies including but not limited to: focus groups, semi-structured interviews. All quantitative methodologies will be excluded, this includes randomised controlled trials. Systematic reviews will also be excluded.

Study Authors, year of publication	Method of Qualitative data collection	Participant characteristics	Reported Surgery Type(s) (number of	Delivery of exercise intervention	Detail of physical activity undertaken	Time point within surgical pathway		How long pre- or post-	Any additional input alongside physical	Control Group?
and country of study			participants)			Pre- opera tive	Post- opera tive	surgery	activity?	
Osypiuk et al. ²⁷ 2019 USA	Semi- structured, open-ended interviews at baseline and at 12 weeks.	N = 18 Age: 43.8-64.2 years Sex: F	L (n=6), M (n=6), R (n=9)	12-week Qigong mind- body exercise programme.	Focus on posture, stretching, breathing exercises, body awareness. 1.25hrs per week		x	1.6-7.4 years post- surgery.	Yes (instructional video to practice at home).	No
Fu <i>et al.</i> ²⁸	Not specified.	N = 30	SNLB (n=15), ALND (n=7),	12-week lymphatic	Patients watched an avatar perform		x	At least 12 weeks	No	No
2021		Age: 42-82 years	M (n=18), L (n=13), R (n=17)	exercise intervention	lymphatic exercises then they could copy			post- surgery.		
USA		Sex. F	κ (Π-17)	feedback to participants.	and be corrected on form.					
Osypiuk et al. ²⁶	Semi- structured, open-ended	N = 18 Age: 39-79	M (n=13), R (n=5), L (n=5)	12-week Qigong mind- body exercise	Integrating breathing with movement, focus		x	1-5+ years since	Yes (instructional video to	No
2020 USA	interviews before and after	years Sex: F		programme.	on posture and body awareness. 1.25hrs per week			breast cancer surgery.	practice at home).	
	intervention. Face to Face.				for 12 weeks.					
Balneaves <i>et</i> al. ²³	Focus group and telephone interviews	N = 9 Age: 46.1-65.1	Not specified	24-week, group-based, in person	150 min/week of moderate-to- vigorous aerobic		x	0.4-4 years post-	Yes (reduced calorie diet and goal of	No
2014		years Sex: F		intervention.	exercise.			surgery.	7% weight loss).	
Canada		N 20						0.0.04		N1
Enblom et	Focus Group	N = 29	L (n=6),	Water-based	Once a week		Х	0.3-31	NO	No

536 Table 2: Study characteristics (adapted from a surgical data extraction form featured in a systematic review by the research team).⁴⁶

								1		
al. ³⁰			TM (n=18),	exercising	attendance to			years		
		Age: 42-82	PM (n=5),	group.	class – either			post-		
2017		years	ALND (n=25)		moderate			surgery.		
		Sex: F			intensity in cool					
Sweden					water or low					
					intensity in warm					
					water.					
Brennan <i>et</i>	Semi-	N = 10	M (n=8).	Physiotherapy	Single post-		х	1-4 vears	Yes (some	No
al. ³¹	structured		L (n=3),	-led home	operative			post-	participants	
	interviews	Age: 35-74	SNLB (n=3).	rehabilitation	physiotherapy			surgerv	had	
2020		vears	ALND (n=5).	with mobile	assessment where			0 /	additional	
		Sex: F	R (n=5)	health system	exercises were				follow-up	
Ireland				integration.	taught, and other				appointments	
					needs were)	
					addressed				/	
Brahmbhatt	Semi-	N = 22	M (n=9)	Home-based	Aerobic exercise 3	x		From	Yes (weekly	No
et al ²²	structured		1 (n=12)	interventions	to 5 days per	[^]		baseline	phone	
et un	interview	Δσε. 43.2-	SNIB (n=19)	individually	week for 30-40			assessme	conversations	
2020	(telephone or	65 16 years	AIND(n=1)	tailored	min per session			nt to day	and exercise	
2020	in-nerson)	Sex: F	/ 1/2 (11 2/	tunorea	and upper			of		
Canada	in persony	Jex. 1			quadrant specific			surgery	10857.	
cunada					resistance training			Suigery.		
					2 to 3 days per					
					week					
Hubbard et	Semi-	N - 19	Not specified	12-week	Participants got		v	2 weeks-	No	No
	structured	N - 15	Not specified	nhysical	choice of 3: 1) free		^	1 vear		NO
un.	interview	Δσe [.] 38-77		activity	leisure centre			nost-		
2018	interview	vears		nrogramme	membershin 2)			surgery		
2010		Sex: M+F		programme.	1hr cardiac			Surgery.		
Scotland		Sex. With			rehabilitation					
Scotland										
					3) weekly					
					telephone					
					consultation and					
					nedometer					
					provided					
1					provided.	1	1	1	1	

Fazzino T et	Not specified.	N = 186	L (n=94),	6-month	Participants were	х	0.9-5.8	Yes	No
al. ²⁵			M (n=92)	weight loss	encouraged to		years	(structured	
		Age: 50.6-67		programme.	gradually increase		post-	meal plan)	
2016		years		One hour	their exercise with		surgery.		
		Sex: F		video call per	the goal of				
USA				week to	completing 225				
				discuss diet	min/week of				
				and exercise.	moderate				
					intensity physical				
					activity per week				
					by week 12.				
Kim S et al. ³⁴	Focus group	N = 16	M (n=4),	A choice of	Types of exercises	х	4-14	No	No
	interviews		BCS (n=10),	physical	undertaken		months		
2019		Age: 25-65	not specified	activity	included aerobic		post-		
		years	(n=2)	offered post-	exercise, muscle		surgery.		
Korea		Sex: F		surgery by	strengthening,				
				oncology care	stretching and				
				team.	others. Some				
					participants chose				
					not to exercise.				
Rees S et	Semi-	N = 20	M (n=7),	Home-based	Home-based daily	х	7 days-	Yes (exercise	Yes
al. ³²	structured		BCS (n=13),	physiotherapis	exercises		12	diary)	(breast
	interviews	Age: 28-79	ALND (n=18),	t intervention	including		months		cancer
2021		years	SNLB (n=7)	from 7 th post-	stretching,		post-		care
		Sex: F		operative day	gradually		surgery.		inform
England				to 12 months.	increasing in				ation
				(3-6 face-to-	difficulty, exercise				leaflet)
				face	diary, goal setting				
				appointments)	and motivational				
					techniques.				
Yeon S <i>et</i>	Semi-	N = 33	TM (n=16),	No specific	Work, leisure time	х	2 weeks	No	No
al. ³³	structured		PM (n=17),	physical	and		-4		
	interviews	Age: 35-69	SNLB (n=21),	activity,	transportation		weeks		
2021		years	ALND (n=12)	participant	physical activity		post-		
		Sex: F		choice.	were found to be		surgery.		
Korea					undertaken by				

					participants.					
Ray H et al. ²⁴	Semi-	N = 15	Not specified	Dragon boat	Dragon boat		х	1.5-5+	No	No
	structured			racing team.	paddling at least 1			years		
2013	telephone	Age: 35-75		Group-based,	session per week			post-		
	interviews	years		in-person.	for at least 1			surgery.		
Canada		Sex: F			season.					
Larsson et	Semi-	N = 12	ALND (n=11),	Physiotherapis	Participant choice:	x		6-24	No	No
al. ²⁹	structured		SNLB (n=1)	t/hospital	stretches, biking,			months		
	interview	Age: 31-65		physical	dancing and			post-		
2008		years		activity	aerobic exercises			surgery.		
		Sex: F		guidance	were undertaken					
Sweden				following	by participants.					
				surgery.						
Key: M = mast	Key: M = mastectomy; TM = total mastectomy; PM = partial mastectomy; BCS = breast conserving surgery; ALND = axillary lymph node dissection; SNLB =									
sentine lymph	n node biopsy; L =	lumpectomy; R =	= reconstruction.							

537

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

539 Figures and legends



Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.



579

- 580 Figure 2: Four overarching themes influencing the prescribing of pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for
- 581 patients undergoing breast cancer surgery

582

583 Declarations

- 584 Funding
- 585 This work was undertaken as part of a Masters of Pharmacy Undergraduate degree (author LH,
- 586 supervised by author AR-B); there was no funding for this work.

Prescribing pre- and post-operative physical activity interventions for people undergoing breast cancer surgery: a qualitative systematic review.

587 References

588	1.	Breast cancer statistics. Cancer Research UK.
589		https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-
590		<u>by-cancer-type/breast-cancer</u> . Published 2022. Accessed 1st November, 2022.
591	2.	Cancer registration statistics, England:2017. Office for National Statistics.
592		https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/con
593		ditionsanddiseases/bulletins/cancerregistrationstatisticsengland/2017. Published
594		2019. Accessed 1st November, 2022.
595	3.	Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates
596		of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J
597		<i>Clin.</i> 2021;71(3):209-249.
598	4.	The NHS Long Term Plan. NHS. www.longtermplan.nhs.uk. Published 2019. Accessed
599		1st November, 2022.
600	5.	Polanco TO, Moo T-A, Nelson JA, Tokita HK. 23 - Perioperative Care of the Cancer
601		Patient: Breast Procedures. In: Hagberg C, Gottumukkala V, Riedel B, Nates J, Buggy
602		D, eds. <i>Perioperative Care of the Cancer Patient</i> . New Delhi: Elsevier; 2023:262-281.
603	6.	Temple-Oberle C. Shea-Budgell MA. Tan M. et al. Consensus Review of Optimal
604		Perioperative Care in Breast Reconstruction: Enhanced Recovery after Surgery
605		(FRAS) Society Recommendations. <i>Plast Reconstr Surg.</i> 2017:139(5):1056e-1071e.
606	7.	Chakravarthy MV. Joyner MJ. Booth FW. An Obligation for Primary Care Physicians to
607		Prescribe Physical Activity to Sedentary Patients to Reduce the Risk of Chronic Health
608		Conditions Mayo Clin Proc $2002:77(2):165-173$
609	8	Vuori IM Lavie CL Blair SN Physical Activity Promotion in the Health Care System
610	0.	Mayo Clin Proc. 2013:88(12):1446-1461.
611	9	Testa A Jannace C. Di Libero I. Strengths of early physical rehabilitation programs in
612	5.	surgical breast cancer patients: results of a randomized controlled study. <i>Fur I Phys</i>
613		<i>Rehabil Med.</i> 2014;50(3):275-284.
614	10.	Scaffidi M. Vulpiani MC. Vetrano M. et al. Farly rehabilitation reduces the onset of
615		complications in the upper limb following breast cancer surgery. <i>Fur J Phys Rehabil</i>
616		Med. 2012:48(4):601-611.
617	11	Pinto BM Dunsiger S Waldemore M Physical activity and psychosocial benefits
618		among breast cancer natients. <i>Psychooncology</i> 2013:22(10):2193-2199
619	12	Yuan Y Lin L Zhang N, et al. Effects of Home-Based Walking on Cancer-Related
620	12.	Fatigue in Patients With Breast Cancer: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled
621		Trials Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2022:103(2):342-352
622	13	Travier N. Velthuis MI. Steins Bisschon CN. et al. Effects of an 18-week exercise
623	10.	nrogramme started early during breast cancer treatment: a randomised controlled
624		trial BMC Med 2015:13:121
625	14	Teo 177 Bird S. Identifying the factors affecting 'natient engagement' in exercise
626	1 7.	rebabilitation BMC Sports Science Medicine and Rebabilitation 2022:14
627	15	Teo II Zheng Z Bird SR Identifying the factors affecting 'natient engagement' in
629	15.	oversise rehabilitation BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation
629		$2022 \cdot 14(1) \cdot 18$
630	16	Daley A BS Rea D Billingham I. Carmicheal A. What advice are opcologists and
631	т О .	surgeons in the United Kingdom giving to breast cancer nations about physical
632		activity? Int. 2008.5
		activity: 111. 2000, J.

633	17.	Campbell A FJ, Stevinson C, Cavil N. Interventions to promote physical activity for
634		people living with and beyond cancer: Evidence based guidance.
635		https://www.macmillan.org.uk/documents/aboutus/health_professionals/physicala
636		<u>ctivityevidencebasedguidance.pdf</u> . Published 2012. Accessed.
637	18.	Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic
638		reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. <i>BMJ.</i> 2009;339:b2535.
639	19.	Early and locally advanced breast cancer: diagnosis and management. Vol 101.
640		London: National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE); 2018.
641	20.	Robinson A, Husband AK, Slight RD, Slight SP. Digital technology to support lifestyle
642		and health behaviour changes in surgical patients: systematic review. BJS open.
643		2021;5(2).
644	21.	CASP Qualitative Studies Checklist. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP).
645		https://casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/. Published 2018. Accessed Nov 8, 2022.
646	22.	Brahmbhatt P, Sabiston CM, Lopez C, et al. Feasibility of Prehabilitation Prior to
647		Breast Cancer Surgery: A Mixed-Methods Study. Frontiers in Oncology. 2020;10.
648	23.	Balneaves LG, Van Patten C, Truant TLO, Kelly MT, Neil SE, Campbell KL. Breast
649		cancer survivors' perspectives on a weight loss and physical activity lifestyle
650		intervention. Support Care Cancer. 2014;22(8):2057-2065.
651	24.	Ray HA, Verhoef MJ. Dragon boat racing and health-related quality of life of breast
652		cancer survivors: A mixed methods evaluation. BMC Complementary and Alternative
653		Medicine. 2013;13:205.
654	25.	Fazzino TL, Sporn NJ, Befort CA. A qualitative evaluation of a group phone-based
655		weight loss intervention for rural breast cancer survivors: Themes and mechanisms
656		of success. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association
657		of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2016;24(7):3165-3173.
658	26.	Osypiuk К, Kilgore К, Ligibel J, Vergara-Diaz G, Bonato Р, Wayne PM. "Making peace
659		with our bodies": A qualitative analysis of breast cancer survivors' experiences with
660		qigong mind-body exercise. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine.
661	<u> </u>	2020;26(9):827-834.
662	27.	Osypiuk K, Ligibel J, Giobbie-Hurder A, et al. Qigong Mind-Body Exercise as a
663		Biopsychosocial Therapy for Persistent Post-Surgical Pain in Breast Cancer: A Pilot
664	20	Study. Integ Cancer Ther. 2020;19:1534735419893766.
665	28.	Fu Mik, Michernan Mil, Qiu Jivi, et al. The Effects of Kinect-Enhanced Lymphatic
666		Exercise Intervention on Lymphatic Pain, Swelling, and Lymph Fluid Level. <i>Integ</i>
667	20	Concer Ther. 2021;20.
668	29.	Larsson I, Jonsson C, Oisson AC, Gard G, Jonansson K. Women's experience of
669		2008.22(2).422 420
670	20	2006;22(5):422-429. Endow A. Lindquist H. Dorgmork K. Dortigination in water everyiging long term often
671	50.	Endioni A, Lindquist H, Bergmark K. Participation in water-exercising long-term after
672 672		a part of cancer republication. Fur L Cancer Care (Engl) 2018;27(1):1.9
674	21	Bronnan L. Kessie T. Caulfield B. Patient experiences of rebabilitation and the
675	51.	notential for an mhealth system with hisfordback after breast cancer surgery:
676		Ouglitative study IMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2020.8(7)
677	32	Rees S Mazuguin B Richmond H Williamson F Bruce L Role of nhysiothorany in
678	52.	sunnorting recovery from breast cancer treatment. A qualitative study embedded
679		within the UK PROSPER trial. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021:11(5):e040116
5.5		

680	33.	Yeon S, Jeong A, Min J, et al. Tearing down the barriers to exercise after
681		mastectomy: a qualitative inquiry to facilitate exercise among breast cancer
682		survivors. BMJ Open. 2022;12(7):e055157.
683	34.	Kim S, Han J, Lee MY, Jang MK. The experience of cancer-related fatigue, exercise
684		and exercise adherence among women breast cancer survivors: Insights from focus
685		group interviews. J Clin Nurs. 2020;29(5-6):758-769.
686	35.	Hubbard G, Campbell A, Fisher A, et al. Physical activity referral to cardiac
687		rehabilitation, leisure centre or telephonedelivered consultations in post-surgical
688		people with breast cancer: A mixed methods process evaluation. <i>Pilot and Feasibility</i>
689		Studies. 2018;4(1):188.
690	36.	Brennan L, Kessie T, Caulfield B. Patient Experiences of Rehabilitation and the
691		Potential for an mHealth System with Biofeedback After Breast Cancer Surgery:
692		Qualitative Study. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2020;8(7):e19721.
693	37.	Minnella EM, Ferreira V, Awasthi R, et al. Effect of two different pre-operative
694		exercise training regimens before colorectal surgery on functional capacity: A
695		randomised controlled trial. <i>European Journal of Anaesthesiology</i> EJA. 2020;37(11).
696	38.	Awasthi R, Minnella EM, Ferreira V, Ramanakumar AV, Scheede-Bergdahl C, Carli F.
697		Supervised exercise training with multimodal pre-habilitation leads to earlier
698		functional recovery following colorectal cancer resection. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.
699		2019;63(4):461-467.
700	39.	Licker M, Schnyder JM, Frey JG, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise capacity and
701		procedure-related factors in lung cancer surgery. <i>Eur Respir J.</i> 2011;37(5):1189-1198.
702	40.	Finley DJ, Stevens CJ, Emond JA, et al. Potential effectiveness of a surgeon-delivered
703		exercise prescription and an activity tracker on pre-operative exercise adherence
704		and aerobic capacity of lung cancer patients. <i>Surg Oncol</i> . 2021;37:101525.
705	41.	Halliday 📙, Doganay E, Wynter-Blyth V, Osborn H, Buckley J, Moorthy K. Adherence
706		to Pre-operative Exercise and the Response to Prehabilitation in Oesophageal Cancer
707		Patients. <i>J Gastrointest Surg</i> . 2021;25(4):890-899.
708	42.	Dettling DS, van der Schaaf M, Blom RLGM, Nollet F, Busch ORC, van Berge
709		Henegouwen MI. Feasibility and Effectiveness of Pre-operative Inspiratory Muscle
710		Training in Patients Undergoing Oesophagectomy: A Pilot Study. Physiotherapy
711		Research International. 2013;18(1):16-26.
712	43.	Bleicher RJ. Timing and Delays in Breast Cancer Evaluation and Treatment. Ann Surg
713		Oncol. 2018;25(10):2829-2838.
714	44.	BTS recommendations to respiratory physicians for organising the care of patients
715		with lung cancer. The Lung Cancer Working Party of the British Thoracic Society
716		Standards of Care Committee. Thorax. 1998;53 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S1-8.
717	45.	Davidson JTt, Abelson JS, Glasgow SC, et al. Delaying definitive resection in early
718		stage (I/II) colon cancer appears safe up to 6 weeks. Am J Surg. 2021;222(2):402-407.
719	46.	Robinson A, Husband A, Slight R, Slight S. Digital technology to support lifestyle and
720		health behaviour changes in surgical patients: systematic review. BJS Open. 2020.
721	47.	Robinson A, Slight R, Husband A, Slight S. The value of teachable moments in surgical
722		patient care and the supportive role of digital technologies. <i>Perioperative Medicine</i> .
723		2020;9(1):2.
724	48.	Lazarus RS. Stress and Emotion: A New Synthesis. New York: Springer Publishing
725		Company, Inc.; 2006.

726	49.	Drageset S, Lindstrøm TC, Underlid K. Coping with breast cancer: between diagnosis
727	50	and surgery. J Adv Murs. 2010;66(1):149-158.
728	50.	watson W, Greer S, Rowden L, et al. Relationships between emotional control,
729		adjustment to cancer and depression and anxiety in breast cancer patients. <i>Psychol</i>
730	F 4	Med. 1991;21(1):51-57.
731	51.	Jonansson M, Ryden A, Finizia C. Mental adjustment to cancer and its relation to
732		anxiety, depression, HRQL and survival in patients with laryngeal cancer - a
/33	F 2	longitudinal study. <i>BIVIC Cancer</i> . 2011;11:283.
/34	52.	Essery R, Geraghty AWA, Kirby S, Yardiey L. Predictors of adherence to nome-based
/35		physical therapies: a systematic review. <i>Disability and Renabilitation.</i>
/36	F 0	2017;39(6):519-534.
/3/	53.	McBride CM, Emmons KM, Lipkus IM. Understanding the potential of teachable
/38		moments: the case of smoking cessation. <i>Health Education Research.</i>
/39	- 4	
740	54.	Anderson S. From early pregnancy through the postpartum year: Extending the
741		teachable moment. Stanford Nurse. 1994;4:4-8.
742	55.	Barnett NP, Lebeau-Craven R, O'Leary TA, et al. Predictors of motivation to change
/43		after medical treatment for drinking-related events in adolescents. <i>Psychol Addict</i>
744		Behav. 2002;16(2):106-112.
745	56.	Carlos RC, Fendrick AM. Improving cancer screening adherence: using the "teachable
746		moment" as a delivery setting for educational interventions. Am J Manag Care.
747		2004;10(4):247-248.
748	57.	Blanchard CM, Stein KD, Baker F, et al. Association between current lifestyle
749		behaviors and health-related quality of life in breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer
750		survivors. <i>Psychol Health</i> . 2004;19(1):1-13.
751	58.	Demark-Wahnefried W, Peterson B, McBride C, Lipkus I, Clipp E. Current health
752		behaviors and readiness to pursue life-style changes among men and women
753		diagnosed with early stage prostate and breast carcinomas. <i>Cancer</i> . 2000;88(3):674-
754		684.
755	59.	Yarnall KS, Pollak KI, Østbye T, Krause KM, Michener JL. Primary care: is there
756		enough time for prevention? Am J Public Health. 2003;93(4):635-641.
757	60.	Physical Activity. World Health Organization. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-</u>
758		sheets/detail/physical-activity. Published 2022. Accessed.
/59		