

Whole-exome sequencing on 6215 school-aged children reveals the importance of genetic testing in high myopia

Xiangyi Yu, MS^{1#}, Jian Yuan, PhD^{1,2#}, Kai Li, MS⁴, Yinghao Yao, PhD³, Shilai Xing, PhD^{1,2,5},
Zhengbo Xue, PhD^{1,2}, Yue Zhang, MS¹, Hui Peng, MS¹, Gang An, MD⁵, Xiaoguang Yu, PhD⁵; the
Myopia Associated Genetics and Intervention Consortium; Jia Qu, MD^{1,2,3,4*}, Jianzhong Su, PhD^{1,2,3,4*}

¹School of Ophthalmology & Optometry, Eye Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou,
325027, China

²National Clinical Research Center for Ocular Diseases, Eye Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University,
Wenzhou, 325027, China

³Oujiang Laboratory, Zhejiang Lab for Regenerative Medicine, Vision and Brain Health, Wenzhou
325101, Zhejiang, China

⁴Wenzhou Institute, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wenzhou, 325011, China

⁵Institute of PSI Genomics, Wenzhou, 325024, China

#These authors contributed equally to the paper as first authors.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Jianzhong Su; Email: sujz@wmu.edu.cn

Jia Qu; Email: qujia@eye.ac.cn

Word count: 2688

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Key Points

Question: Is genetic testing necessary in the diagnosis of hereditary high myopia?

Findings: A total of 271 potential pathogenic mutations were identified in 964 of 6,215 (15.52%) students with high myopia (HM) through systematic analysis of variants in 75 candidate genes, including 36 known variants and 235 variants that have not been reported to be associated with HM. Subsequently, whole-exome sequencing on individuals with high myopia grouped by age and degree of refractive error revealed 4.78 times higher protein-truncating variants in primary school children with extreme myopia group ($SER < -10.00D$, 23.08%) compared with the common high myopia group ($-8.00D \leq SER \leq -6.00D$, 4.78%, $P = 0.00045$). The results suggest that early genetic testing and screening for pathogenic variants is beneficial for young school-aged children with extreme myopia ($SER < -10D$).

Meaning: This study investigated the significance of whole-exome sequencing in the diagnosis of high myopia population. It revealed the genetic cause of high myopia, and is expected to guide future research and clinical diagnosis of high myopia. As part of the treatment and prevention of high myopia, genetic testing can detect the severity of myopia in young children.

Abstract

Importance

High myopia (HM) is one of the leading causes of visual impairment and blindness worldwide. It is well-known that genetic factors play a significant role in the development of HM. Early school-aged population-based genetic screening and treatment should be performed to reduce HM complications.

Objective

To identify risk variants in a large HM cohort and to examine the implications of universal genetic testing of individuals with HM with respect to clinical decision-making.

Design, setting, and participants

In this cross-sectional study, we retrospectively reviewed whole-exome sequencing(WES) results for myopia-related genes in 6,215 school-aged students with HM who underwent germline genetic testing between September 2019 and July 2020. The study setting was a commercial genetic testing laboratory and a multicenter census of elementary and high schools from different educational systems. Participants were aged 6 to 20 years, including 355 primary school students, 1970 junior high school students, and 3890 senior high school students.

Main outcomes and measures

The frequency and distribution of positive germline variants and the percentage of individuals with HM (spherical equivalent refraction, SER $\leq$ -6.00D) in both eyes were detected using the whole-exome sequencing (WES) genetic testing approach.

Results

Among individuals with HM, molecular testing yielded 15.52% diagnoses based on systematic analysis of variants in 75 candidate myopic genes. We found 36 known variants in 490 (7.88%) HM cases and 235 protein-truncating variants (PTVs) in 506 (8.14%) HM cases. We found that diagnostic yield was significantly positively associated with SER ($P = 0.0108$), which ranged from 7.66% in the common High Myopia group (HM, $-8.00D \leq SER \leq -6.00D$) to 11.90% in Extreme Myopia group (EM, $SER < -10.00D$). We also found that primary school students (≤ 11 years) with EM had the highest diagnostic rate of PTV variants (22.86%), which was 1.77 and 4.78 times that of the Ultra Myopia (UM, $-10.00D \leq SER < -8.00D$) and HM, respectively.

Conclusions and relevance

Using whole-exome sequencing, multiple previously discovered mutations and PTVs which have not been reported to be associated with HM were identified in a substantial number of school-age students with HM. The high mutation frequency in younger students with EM can provide clues for genetic screening and further specific clinical examinations of HM to promote long-term follow-up assessment.

Introduction

Myopia, the most common cause of visual impairment, is a global public health concern due to its increasing prevalence^{1,2}. High myopia (HM) is generally defined as myopia with a spherical equivalent refractive error of less than or equal to -6.0 diopters (D). HM is a leading cause of impaired vision due to its association with increased risk of serious ocular complications, most notably retinal degeneration^{3,4} or even detachment^{5,6}. The prevalence of HM was reported to be 2-5% in American, Western European, and Australian populations⁷ compared with 4.5-38% in East Asian populations⁸⁻¹¹. Similar to many other common diseases, HM is a multifactorial disorder, involving interactions between genetic and environmental factors^{12,13}. Twin and family studies have demonstrated a high heritability for HM, which was estimated to be ~90%^{14,15}.

In several early linkage studies and candidate gene studies for myopia, up to 50 loci and genes were identified before the genome-wide association studies (GWAS) era¹⁶⁻²³, but these could not be replicated in other related studies. In a GWAS performed using myopia as a dichotomous outcome or refractive error as a quantitative trait, many significant common genetic variants were identified in several loci^{24,25}, such as 11q24.1²⁶, 5p15²⁷, 4q25²⁸, 13q12²⁹, 21q22³⁰, 15q14³¹, and 15q25³². Recently, whole-exome sequencing has revealed variations in a few genes reported to be associated with HM, including *CCDC111*³³, *NDUFAF7*³⁴, *P4HA2*³⁵, *SCO2*³⁶, *UNC5D*³⁷, *BSG*³⁸, *ARR3*³⁹, *LOXL3*⁴⁰, *SLC39A5*⁴¹, *LRPAP1*⁴², *CTSH*⁴², and *ZNF644*^{43,44}. On the other hand, HM has also been identified as a symptom of various forms of retinal dystrophies and systemic syndromes caused by several known genes, including *COL2A1*⁴⁵, *COL11A1*⁴⁶, *COL9A1*⁴⁷, and *COL9A2*⁴⁸ responsible for Stickler syndrome (OMIM #108300, #604841, #614134, #614284) and *FBNI*⁴⁹ for Marfan syndrome

(OMIM #154700). Variants in these genes were detected in a few cases, with screening of additional HM cohorts expected to uncover more variants. WES studies in HM have made significant advancements by identifying new candidate genes for HM and highlighting the critical role of genetic factors in the development of this condition. For instance, a WES study involving 27 families has uncovered 201 candidate mutations that are associated with HM⁶⁶. Therefore, large-scale genetic screening for HM in a larger cohort of school-aged children could provide further insight into the intersecting contributions of biology and the environment.

In this study, we performed WES on 6,215 school-aged children with HM from the Myopia Associated Genetics and Intervention Consortium (MAGIC) cohort⁵⁰ to identify variants in known HM-related genes and potentially contributory variants in HM genes. This study applied genetic testing to a larger population than previous reports. To our knowledge, this series of multigene germline testing is the largest in a population with HM, highlighting the potential of genetic testing to improve the diagnosis and management and to allow the definition of specific care pathways.

Methods

Study design and participants

As part of our ongoing efforts within the MEIS(Myopic Epidemiology and Intervention Study) ⁸ and MAGIC ⁵⁰, an epidemiologic and genetic analysis of refraction-confirmed myopia was conducted among schoolchildren in Wenzhou City, Zhejiang Province, China. For this analysis, we developed a semi-automated vision examination and an information-entry pipeline, online eyesight status information management system (OESIMS) ⁵¹, which involves manual inspection of automated refractometry data, automatic data import, and collaboration between clinicians and a statistician. From September 2019 to July 2020, a total of 6,215 school-aged Children with HM in both eyes, including 355 primary school students (grades 1-6 in Chinese education system), 1970 junior high school students (grades 7-9), and 3890 senior high school students (grades 10-12), were included in the WES study (eTable 1 in the Supplement). HM is defined as a spherical equivalent refraction (SER) of (sphere + [cylinder/2]) of -6 diopters (D) or less. We further divided the participants into the three groups: High Myopia group (HM, $-8.00D \leq SER \leq -6.00D$), Ultra Myopia group (UM, $-10.00D \leq SER < -8.00D$), and Extreme Myopia group (EM, $SER < -10.00D$). Certified technicians were trained at the Wenzhou Medical University Affiliated Eye Hospital with respect to standard procedures for determining visual acuity (VA) and autorefraction testing. Each school in the district was equipped with an auto refractometer (GoldEye RM-9000) and electronic logarithmic visual chart (GoldEye CM-1900C) by the Wenzhou Municipal Government. These resources were utilized by trained technicians to examine all participants. VA was evaluated using an ‘E-type’ standard logarithmic visual chart at a distance of 5 m. All students underwent non cycloplegic refraction testing using an

automated refractometer, followed by complement subjective refraction testing for validation using an ‘E-type’ standard logarithmic visual chart.

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Wenzhou Medical University Affiliated Eye Hospital (approval numbers Wmu191204 and Wmu191205). Written informed consent conforming to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and following the Guidance of Sample Collection of Human Genetic Diseases (2021SQCJ5721) by the Ministry of Public Health of China was obtained from all participating individuals or their guardians before the study. Participant completion of the self-administered questionnaire was considered informed consent. In order to maintain the confidentiality of the sample/patient IDs used in this research, it is hereby confirmed that the sample/patient IDs have not been disclosed to any individual or entity outside the research group.

Whole-exome sequencing

A total of 6,215 HM samples were sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencers at Berry Genomics using the Twist Human Core Exome Kit. All samples were joint called together and were aligned to the consensus human genome sequence build GRCh37/hg19, and BAM files were processed using BWA ⁵² and Sambamba 0.6.6 (<https://lomereiter.github.io/sambamba/>). Genotype calling was performed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit’s (GATK) HaplotypeCaller and variants were annotated with Ensembl’s Variant Effect Predictor (VEP v.99) ^{53,54}.

Variant interpretation

A total of 75 genes were included in this study (eTable 2 in the Supplement), including 16 non-syndromic HM genes, 27 genes of eye syndromes associated with HM, and 32 genes of systemic syndromes associated with HM (hereon, we refer to this gene list as HM genes). All HM genes were

extracted from OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man, <https://omim.org/>), IMI-Myopia Genetics Report, and other publication evidence.

Variants from 75 genes were selected from the WES dataset of the 6,215 school-aged population with HM. Variants were prefiltered so that only those passing the GATK VQSR (variant quality score recalibration) metric and those located outside of low-complexity regions were remained. Low-certainty variant positions with a genotype depth (DP) < 10 and genotype quality (GQ) < 20 and heterozygous genotype calls with an allele balance >0.8 or <0.2 were ignored. Protein-truncating variants (PTVs) was classified as “frameshift_variant”, “splice_acceptor_variant”, “splice_donor_variant”, “stop_gained”, or “start_lost” variants. Variant filtering included the following steps. (1) The present analysis focuses on rare variants with presumed large effect sizes. Therefore, we excluded variants that had minor allele frequencies (MAF) higher than 0.005 from three external exome sequence databases (1000 Genomes, NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project (ESP), and gnomAD) and our MAGIC cohort. (2) We excluded variants not consistent with hereditary patterns, which were only one-hit heterozygous variants in autosomal recessive (AR) genes and homozygous variants in autosomal dominant (AD) genes.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analyses included all participants for whom data regarding variables of interest were available. The significance of differences between continuous variables was assessed using analysis of variance, and that of differences between categorical variables was assessed using the χ^2 test. A mean comparison of relevant features was conducted using the Student’s t-test or the Wilcoxon signed rank

test. All statistical tests were two-sided at $P < 0.05$. R software (ver. 3.6.1) was used for analyses

(<http://www.r-project.org>).

Results

Cohort information

Of the 6,215 schoolchildren with HM, 2,937 (47.26 %) were female and 3,278 (52.74 %) were male. Their mean age was 14.87 years and 355 participants (5.71%) were primary school students (age ≤ 11 years), 1,970 (31.70%) were junior school students (aged 12 to 14 years), and 3,890 (62.59%) were high school students (age ≥ 15 years). The mean SER was -7.51D for the right eye and -7.46D for the left eye. Detailed demographic information is presented in eTable 1 in the Supplement.

Mutational spectrums revealed for known HM related genes

Through the analysis of the exome sequencing data of 6,215 individuals with HM, a total of 271 potential pathogenic variants in 59 of 75 candidate genes were identified in 964 (15.52%) HM cases, including 36 known variants in 490 HM cases and 235 newly identified PTVs in 506 HM cases. Among 36 variants previously reported in 490 cases, 9 variants in 6 HM genes were identified in 34 (0.55%) participants, 10 variants in 8 eye syndrome genes were detected in 125 (2.01%) participants and 17 variants in 9 systemic syndrome genes were found in 337 (5.42%) participants (eTable 3-5 in the Supplement). The most frequently mutated genes were *COL18A1* (c.4318G>A and c.3523_3524del), accounting for 49.18% (241/490) of the diagnoses, respectively (Figure 1A).

A total of 235 potentially pathogenic PTVs were identified in 506/6,215 (8.14%) individuals with HM were identified. Among them, 39 PTVs in HM genes were identified in 63/6,215 (1.01%) participants, 75 PTVs of eye syndrome genes in 132/6,215 (2.12%) participants and 121 PTVs of systemic syndrome genes in 327/6,215 (5.26%) participants (eTable 6-8 in the Supplement). Of the 57 genes with PTVs, variants in *BBS4* were the most common, accounting for 12.06% (61/506) of

individuals with PTVs (Figure 1B). Of the 235 potentially pathogenic variants, 171 were only found once (i.e., in a single student), whereas 64 occurred in two or more unrelated students, suggesting substantial genetic heterogeneity in HM loci. Variant types included frameshift (85/235), splice acceptor (19/235), splice donor (35/235), stop gained (93/235) and start lost (3/235) variants (Figure 2A). We identified 19 PTVs within *CEP290* and 14 PTVs in *LTBP2* (Figure 2B). Variants in HM-related genes that have not been observed in either public or in-house control populations, including disease-causing model (dominant or recessive) and loss-of-function intolerance ($pLI > 0.9$), were also detected. They included 14 heterozygous variants in 6 genes (*COL11A1*, *COL2A1*, *FBNI*, *LRP2*, *UNC5D*, and *ZNF644*) and 2 homozygous mutations in *OPN1LW* and *RPGR* genes (Table 1).

Subgroup of cases with extreme myopia preferred to whole-exome sequencing

The analysis revealed that the proportion of cases with PTVs varied across HM conditions and grade groups. The diagnostic yield showed a positive correlation with SER, ranging from 7.66% in the High Myopia group (HM, $-8.00D \leq SER \leq -6.00D$), 8.70% in Ultra Myopia group (UM, $-10.00D \leq SER < -8.00D$) to 11.90% in Extreme Myopia group (EM, $SER < -10.00D$), with statistically significant increasing trend (Cochran-Armitage test for trend $Z = 2.5492$, $P = 0.0108$, Figure 3A). The trend was also seen in the independent cohorts of people with HM in either the left or the right eye alone (eFigure 1 in the Supplement). Notably, high SER was significantly associated with a higher diagnostic yield in the primary students (Cochran-Armitage test for trend $Z = 3.8848$, $P = 0.0001024$) but in other grades (Cochran-Armitage test $P = 0.1939$ for junior school and $P = 0.3108$ for high school) (Figure 3B). The primary students referred for EM had the highest yield (8 of 35 individuals [22.86%]), which was 1.77 and 4.78 times higher than the UM (12.90%, Chi-squared test $P = 0.1578$)

HM (4.78%, Chi-squared test $P = 0.00045$), respectively. This trend was consistent across groups divided by the SER of either eye (eFigure 2 in the Supplement). However, for the negative control, the trend was not statistically significant for synonymous variants (eFigure 3 in the Supplement).

Discussion

In this study, we tested the diagnostic utility of WES in 6,215 school-aged students with HM. The association of HM with ocular and systemic diseases has been investigated in several previous studies. For example, Marr et al. found that 56% of children with HM had simple symptom of HM, 25% had ocular abnormalities and 19% had systemic disorders⁵⁵. Another study found mutations in genes known to be involved in retinal disease in about a quarter of the subjects with early-onset HM⁵⁶. Therefore, 75 genes were included in the present study, including 16 non-syndromic HM genes, 27 genes of eye syndromes, and 32 genes of systemic syndromes associated with HM, such as Stickler syndrome, Marfan syndrome, and Knobloch syndrome.

Systematic analysis of variants in the 75 candidate genes showed that genetic testing had a total diagnostic yield of 15.52%, including 36 known variants in 490 cases and 235 PTVs in 506 cases. The discovery of variants previously obtained in other studies demonstrated the efficiency of genetic approach to find pathogenic variants in our cohort. In our cohort, 36 known variants were found in a total of 490 (7.88%) patients, including a stop-gain variant (NM_004312:c.298C>T; p.Arg100Ter) in *ARR3*³⁹ found in one patient. *ARR3* is associated with early-onset HM in a unique X-linked female-limited inheritance⁵⁷. The most common variant in our study was a missense (NC_000021:c.4318G>A; p.Asp1440Asn) in *COL18A1*, which was detected in 3.86% (240/6215) of

our cohort. Mutations in *COL18A1* have been identified in patients with Knobloch syndrome, an inherited disorder characterized by HM, retinal detachment, and occipital defects ^{58,59}.

PTVs result in reduced or abolished protein function and are thus considered to be the most deleterious mutations ⁶⁰. PTVs in HM candidate genes were detected in 506 (8.14%) patients, including *ZNF644*. This is a zinc finger transcription factor expressed in the retina and RPE, which has been suggested to play a role in the development of HM because mutations in *ZNF644* have been found in patients with HM ^{43,61,62}. In the present study, we found two PTVs in *ZNF644* (c.1372C>T and c.38_39del), which have not been recorded in the gnomAD ⁶³. We also found three previously unreported stop-gained variants (c.3299C>G, c.1447G>T, and c.1030C>T) and three splice-donor variants (c.2208+2T>C, c.898-972G>A, and c.897+696G>A) in *COL11A1*. Stickler syndrome is caused by variants of two genes ⁶⁴, and is clinically characterized by HM, which is the most common cause of inherited retinal detachment ⁶⁵. These results indicated that WES could find potential pathogenic variants and provide new genetic evidence for HM candidate genes in our study.

With the increase in the number of high-throughput sequencing projects generating vast amounts of data and the innovation of more statistical methods, the occurrence and development of HM can be systematically explored. The diagnostic efficacy of whole-exome sequencing in the prevention of HM needs to be further evaluated to improve the clinicians and patients' understanding of this condition and promote its early detection and treatment. A detailed spherical refraction and grade (age)-stratified study showed the pattern of efficiency of genetic testing in different groups. In our study, the detection rate of PTVs increased with the severity of myopia. This trend was further investigated in different age groups. The results showed that only the primary school group had this

positive correlation trend between spherical refraction and detection rate. In brief, more severe myopia presentation was found in younger children, suggesting the importance of genetic testing for effective prevention of myopia. Taken together, these findings emphasize the potential value of genetic testing in resolving clinical diagnostic challenges in HM.

Limitations

Although this study was based on grade (age) and spherical equivalent, detailed ocular and systemic examinations, such as eye axis and fundus photography, were not performed. Therefore, additional ocular biological factors should be considered in future research, which could further provide genetic diagnoses across diverse clinical categories, enabling the identification of novel phenotypic extensions. Furthermore, this study lacks follow-up data over several years, and there is a possibility that HM may progress into ultra or extreme myopia in some patients. A clearer conclusion would be reached if the genetic results could be combined with detailed clinical examinations, especially long-term follow-up assessment for schoolchildren with specific mutations. To ensure data reliability, future multi-year follow-up studies are warranted. In addition, WES currently has limited ability to detect genomic imbalances and does not assess mutations in noncoding regions of the genome, leaving additional blind spots. Physician knowledge of these technical limitations is important as WES is increasingly being incorporated into clinical practice.

Conclusions

In summary, WES screening can reveal the most important HM candidate genes at once and allows periodic reevaluation of the sequence data to identify new disease genes. In this study, WES showed good diagnostic value for different categories of schoolchildren with HM, yielding the highest

diagnosis rate of pathogenic variants in primary school students. Genetic testing is particularly advantageous as it promotes the diagnosis of HM in younger children with more advanced myopia. Our study demonstrated the ability of genetic testing to identify potentially pathogenic variants in HM and reveal new genes contributing to myopia.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Zhenhui Chen and Dr. Yunlong Ma for their constructive comments regarding this manuscript. We thank Berry Genomics Co., Ltd for sequencing services. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82172882, U20A20364) and the Zhejiang Provincial Key Research and Development Program Grant (2021C03102) to J. Qu.

Consortia

The members of the Myopia Associated Genetics and Intervention Consortium (MAGIC) are Jianzhong Su, PhD; Jian Yuan, PhD; Liangde Xu, MD; Shilai Xing, PhD; Mengru Sun, PhD; Yinghao Yao PhD; Fukun Chen, MS; Kai Li, MS; Xiangyi Yu, MS; Zhengbo Xue, PhD; Yaru Zhang, PhD; Ji Zhang, MS; Hui Liu, PhD; Dandan Fan, PhD; Guosi Zhang, MS; Hong Wang, MD; Meng Zhou, PhD; Hao Chen, MD; Fan Lyu, MD; Gang An, MD; Yuanchao Xue, PhD; Zhenhui Chen, PhD; Jian Yang, PhD; Jia Qu, MD; Yunlong Ma, PhD; Yichun Xiong, PhD; Xinting Liu, MD; Nan Wu, MD; Jie Sun, PhD; Jinhua Bao, MD; Liang Xu, MD; Ling Li, PhD; Liang Ye, MD; Jun Jiang, MD; Xinjie Mao, MD; Xiping Yu, MD; Xiaoming Huang, MD; Jingjing Xu, MD; Miaomiao Li, MD; Xuemei Zhang, MD; Liang Hu, MD; Zhuopao Zuo, MD; Wanqing Jin, PhD; Jiawei Zhou, PhD; Yuwen Wang, MD; Xue Li, MD; Fang Hou, MD; Fei Qiu, MS; Yijun Zhou, MS; Na Gao, PhD; Xinyu Wang, PhD; Xinrui Shi, PhD; Yuchun Deng, PhD; Xiaoguang Yu, PhD; Yu Bai, MS; Chenghao Li, MS; Lu Chen, MS; Ke Li, MS; Lijun Dai, MS; Peng Lin, MS; Jingting Zhao, MS; Congcong Yan, MS; Siqi Bao, MS; Zicheng Zhang, MS; Shen Wang, MS; Haojun Sun, MS; Siyi Jiang, MS; Wei Dai, MS; Hengte Kong, MS; Xiaoyan Lu, MS; Jing Li, PhD; Liansheng Li, MS; Siyu Wang, MS.

Author contributions

The study was conceived, designed, and supervised by J.Q., J.S. and J.Y. Analysis of data was performed by X.Y., J.Y., K.L., S.X., Y.Y., Y.Z., Z.X., G.A. and X.Y. The manuscript was written by X.Y., J.S. and J.Y.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data availability

Individual-level data are not publicly available due to ethical and legal restrictions related to the Wenzhou Medical University. Supporting data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request but access to data must be granted by the MAGIC committees. VCF files will be uploaded to Genome Variation Map (GVM) in BIG Data Center (<http://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa>), Beijing Institute of Genomics (BIG), Chinese Academy of Sciences, with an accession number GVM000296. The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

1. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Mariotti SP, Pokharel GP. Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive errors in 2004. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2008;86(1):63-70.
2. Resnikoff S, Jonas JB, Friedman D, et al. Myopia—a 21st century public health issue. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2019;60(3):Mi-Mii.
3. Chen H, Wen F, Li H, et al. The types and severity of high myopic maculopathy in Chinese patients. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*. 2012;32(1):60-67.
4. Ripandelli G, Rossi T, Scarinci F, Scassa C, Parisi V, Stirpe M. Macular vitreoretinal interface abnormalities in highly myopic eyes with posterior staphyloma: 5-year follow-up. *Retina*. 2012;32(8):1531-1538.
5. Baba T, Ohno-Matsui K, Futagami S, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of foveal retinal detachment without macular hole in high myopia. *American journal of ophthalmology*. 2003;135(3):338-342.
6. Benhamou N, Massin P, Haouchine B, Erginay A, Gaudric A. Macular retinoschisis in highly myopic eyes. *American journal of ophthalmology*. 2002;133(6):794-800.
7. Kempen JH, Mitchell P, Lee KE, et al. The prevalence of refractive errors among adults in the United States, Western Europe, and Australia. *Archives of ophthalmology (Chicago, Ill: 1960)*. 2004;122(4):495-505.
8. Xu L, Ma Y, Yuan J, et al. COVID-19 Quarantine Reveals That Behavioral Changes Have an Effect on Myopia Progression. *Ophthalmology*. 2021.
9. Lin LL-K, Shih Y-F, Hsiao CK, Chen C. Prevalence of myopia in Taiwanese schoolchildren: 1983 to 2000. *Annals Academy of Medicine Singapore*. 2004;33(1):27-33.
10. Jung S-K, Lee JH, Kakizaki H, Jee D. Prevalence of myopia and its association with body stature and educational level in 19-year-old male conscripts in Seoul, South Korea. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2012;53(9):5579-5583.
11. Wang T, Chiang T-H, Wang T-H, Lin LL, Shih Y-F. Changes of the ocular refraction among freshmen in National Taiwan University between 1988 and 2005. *Eye*. 2009;23(5):1168-1169.
12. Wojciechowski R. Nature and nurture: the complex genetics of myopia and refractive error. *Clinical genetics*. 2011;79(4):301-320.
13. Young TL, Metlapally R, Shay AE. Complex trait genetics of refractive error. *Archives of ophthalmology*. 2007;125(1):38-48.
14. Lopes MC, Andrew T, Carbonaro F, Spector TD, Hammond CJ. Estimating heritability and shared environmental effects for refractive error in twin and family studies. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2009;50(1):126-131.
15. Guggenheim JA, Kirov G, Hodson SA. The heritability of high myopia: a reanalysis of Goldschmidt's data. *Journal of Medical Genetics*. 2000;37(3):227-231.

16. Baird PN, Schäche M, Dirani M. The GENes in Myopia (GEM) study in understanding the aetiology of refractive errors. *Progress in retinal and eye research*. 2010;29(6):520-542.
17. Hornbeak DM, Young TL. Myopia genetics: a review of current research and emerging trends. *Current opinion in ophthalmology*. 2009;20(5):356.
18. Hawthorne FA, Young TL. Genetic contributions to myopic refractive error: Insights from human studies and supporting evidence from animal models. *Experimental eye research*. 2013;114:141-149.
19. Mutti DO, Cooper ME, O'Brien S, et al. Candidate gene and locus analysis of myopia. *Molecular vision*. 2007;13:1012.
20. Lam DSC, Lee WS, Leung YF, et al. TGF β -induced factor: a candidate gene for high myopia. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2003;44(3):1012-1015.
21. Veerappan S, Pertile KK, Islam AF, et al. Role of the hepatocyte growth factor gene in refractive error. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117(2):239-245. e232.
22. Metlapally R, Ki C-S, Li Y-J, et al. Genetic association of insulin-like growth factor-1 polymorphisms with high-grade myopia in an international family cohort. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2010;51(9):4476-4479.
23. Wojciechowski R, Yee SS, Simpson CL, Bailey-Wilson JE, Stambolian D. Matrix metalloproteinases and educational attainment in refractive error: evidence of gene–environment interactions in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120(2):298-305.
24. Hysi P, Choquet H, Khawaja A, et al. Meta-analysis of 542,934 subjects of European ancestry identifies new genes and mechanisms predisposing to refractive error and myopia. *Nature genetics*. 2020;52(4):401-407.
25. Tedja M, Wojciechowski R, Hysi P, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis highlights light-induced signaling as a driver for refractive error. *Nature genetics*. 2018;50(6):834-848.
26. Nakanishi H, Yamada R, Gotoh N, et al. A genome-wide association analysis identified a novel susceptible locus for pathological myopia at 11q24. 1. *PLoS genetics*. 2009;5(9):e1000660.
27. Li Y-J, Goh L, Khor C-C, et al. Genome-wide association studies reveal genetic variants in CTNND2 for high myopia in Singapore Chinese. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(2):368-375.
28. Li Z, Qu J, Xu X, et al. A genome-wide association study reveals association between common variants in an intergenic region of 4q25 and high-grade myopia in the Chinese Han population. *Human molecular genetics*. 2011;20(14):2861-2868.
29. Shi Y, Qu J, Zhang D, et al. Genetic variants at 13q12. 12 are associated with high myopia in the Han Chinese population. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2011;88(6):805-813.
30. Nishizaki R, Ota M, Inoko H, et al. New susceptibility locus for high myopia is linked to the uromodulin-like 1 (UMODL1) gene region on chromosome 21q22. 3. *Eye*. 2009;23(1):222-229.

31. Solouki AM, Verhoeven VJ, Van Duijn CM, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies a susceptibility locus for refractive errors and myopia at 15q14. *Nature genetics*. 2010;42(10):897-901.
32. Hysi PG, Young TL, Mackey DA, et al. A genome-wide association study for myopia and refractive error identifies a susceptibility locus at 15q25. *Nature genetics*. 2010;42(10):902-905.
33. Zhao F, Wu J, Xue A, et al. Exome sequencing reveals CCDC111 mutation associated with high myopia. *Human genetics*. 2013;132(8):913-921.
34. Wang B, Liu Y, Chen S, et al. A novel potentially causative variant of NDUFAF7 revealed by mutation screening in a Chinese family with pathologic myopia. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*. 2017;58(10):4182-4192.
35. Napolitano F, Di Iorio V, Testa F, et al. Autosomal-dominant myopia associated to a novel P4HA2 missense variant and defective collagen hydroxylation. *Clinical Genetics*. 2018;93(5):982-991.
36. Tran-Viet K, Powell C, Barathi V, et al. Mutations in SCO2 are associated with autosomal-dominant high-grade myopia. *American journal of human genetics*. 2013;92(5):820-826.
37. Feng L, Zhou D, Zhang Z, He L, Liu Y, Yang Y. Exome sequencing identifies a novel UNC5D mutation in a severe myopic anisometropia family: a case report. *Medicine*. 2017;96(24).
38. Jin Z, Wu J, Huang X, et al. Trio-based exome sequencing arrests de novo mutations in early-onset high myopia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2017;114(16):4219-4224.
39. Xiao X, Li S, Jia X, Guo X, Zhang Q. X-linked heterozygous mutations in ARR3 cause female-limited early onset high myopia. *Molecular vision*. 2016;22:1257.
40. Li J, Gao B, Xiao X, et al. Exome sequencing identified null mutations in LOXL3 associated with early-onset high myopia. *Molecular vision*. 2016;22:161.
41. Guo H, Jin X, Zhu T, et al. SLC39A5 mutations interfering with the BMP/TGF- β pathway in non-syndromic high myopia. *Journal of medical genetics*. 2014;51(8):518-525.
42. Aldahmesh M, Khan A, Alkuraya H, et al. Mutations in LRPAP1 are associated with severe myopia in humans. *American journal of human genetics*. 2013;93(2):313-320.
43. Shi Y, Li Y, Zhang D, et al. Exome sequencing identifies ZNF644 mutations in high myopia. *PLoS genetics*. 2011;7(6):e1002084.
44. Jiang D, Li J, Xiao X, et al. Detection of mutations in LRPAP1, CTSH, LEPREL1, ZNF644, SLC39A5, and SCO2 in 298 families with early-onset high myopia by exome sequencing. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2014;56(1):339-345.
45. Ahmad N, McDonald-McGinn D, Zackai E, et al. A second mutation in the type II procollagen gene (COL2A1) causing stickler syndrome (arthro-ophthalmopathy) is also a premature termination codon. *American journal of human genetics*. 1993;52(1):39.

46. Annunen S, Körkkö J, Czarny M, et al. Splicing mutations of 54-bp exons in the COL11A1 gene cause Marshall syndrome, but other mutations cause overlapping Marshall/Stickler phenotypes. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 1999;65(4):974-983.
47. Van Camp G, Snoeckx RL, Hilgert N, et al. A new autosomal recessive form of Stickler syndrome is caused by a mutation in the COL9A1 gene. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2006;79(3):449-457.
48. Baker S, Booth C, Fillman C, et al. A loss of function mutation in the COL9A2 gene causes autosomal recessive Stickler syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*. 2011;155(7):1668-1672.
49. Dietz HC, McIntosh I, Sakai LY, et al. Four novel FBN1 mutations: significance for mutant transcript level and EGF-like domain calcium binding in the pathogenesis of Marfan syndrome. *Genomics*. 1993;17(2):468-475.
50. Su J, Yuan J, Xu L, et al. Sequencing of 19,219 exomes identifies a low-frequency variant in FKBP5 promoter predisposing to high myopia in a Han Chinese population. *medRxiv*. 2022.
51. Xu L, Zhuang Y, Zhang G, et al. Design, methodology, and baseline of whole city-million scale children and adolescents myopia survey (CAMS) in Wenzhou, China. *Eye and Vision*. 2021;8(1):1-14.
52. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *bioinformatics*. 2009;25(14):1754-1760.
53. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, et al. From FastQ data to high-confidence variant calls: the genome analysis toolkit best practices pipeline. *Current protocols in bioinformatics*. 2013;43(1):11.10. 11-11.10. 33.
54. McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, et al. The ensembl variant effect predictor. *Genome biology*. 2016;17(1):1-14.
55. Marr JE, Halliwell-Ewen J, Fisher B, Soler L, Ainsworth J. Associations of high myopia in childhood. *Eye*. 2001;15(1):70-74.
56. Sun W, Huang L, Xu Y, et al. Exome Sequencing on 298 Proband With Early-Onset High Myopia: Approximately One-Fourth Show Potential Pathogenic Mutations in RetNet Genes. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2015;56(13):8365-8372.
57. van Mazijk R, Haarman AE, Hoefsloot LH, et al. Early onset X-linked female limited high myopia in three multigenerational families caused by novel mutations in the ARR3 gene. *Human mutation*. 2022;43(3):380-388.
58. Menzel O, Bekkeheien RC, Reymond A, et al. Knobloch syndrome: novel mutations in COL18A1, evidence for genetic heterogeneity, and a functionally impaired polymorphism in endostatin. *Human mutation*. 2004;23(1):77-84.
59. Hull S, Arno G, Ku CA, et al. Molecular and clinical findings in patients with Knobloch syndrome. *JAMA ophthalmology*. 2016;134(7):753-762.
60. MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian S, Frankish A, et al. A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. *Science*. 2012;335(6070):823-828.

61. Jiang D, Li J, Xiao X, et al. Detection of mutations in LRPAP1, CTSH, LEPREL1, ZNF644, SLC39A5, and SCO2 in 298 families with early-onset high myopia by exome sequencing. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*. 2015;56(1):339-345.
62. Tran-Viet K-N, Germain ES, Soler V, et al. Study of a US cohort supports the role of ZNF644 and high-grade myopia susceptibility. *Molecular vision*. 2012;18:937.
63. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 2020;581(7809):434-443.
64. Richards AJ, McNinch A, Martin H, et al. Stickler syndrome and the vitreous phenotype: mutations in COL2A1 and COL11A1. *Human mutation*. 2010;31(6):E1461-E1471.
65. Snead M, McNinch A, Poulson A, et al. Stickler syndrome, ocular-only variants and a key diagnostic role for the ophthalmologist. *Eye*. 2011;25(11):1389-1400.

Table 1. Overview of PTVs in HM candidate genes



Variant	Gene	Consequences	Transcript	cDNA change	Residue change	LOFTEE	MAF	Status	Sample ID
chr1_91405539	ZNF644	stop_gained	ENST00000370440	c.1372C>T	p.Arg458Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY19988
chr1_91447871	ZNF644	frameshift_variant	ENST00000370440	c.38_39del	p.Lys13IlefsTer2	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY16965
chr1_103449692	COL11A1	splice_donor_variant	ENST00000370096	c.2208+2T>C	-	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY08582
chr1_103491076	COL11A1	splice_donor_variant	ENST00000370096	c.897+696G>A	-	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY06615
chr2_170002290	LRP2	stop_gained	ENST00000263816	c.12955C>T	p.Arg4319Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY04713
chr2_170034316	LRP2	frameshift_variant	ENST00000263816	c.10389dup	p.Ile3464HisfsTer33	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY04553
chr2_170044720	LRP2	stop_gained	ENST00000263816	c.9088C>T	p.Gln3030Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY07153
chr2_170063503	LRP2	stop_gained	ENST00000263816	c.6727C>T	p.Arg2243Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY11969
chr8_35093311	UNC5D	frameshift_variant	ENST00000404895	c.1426G>T	p.Glu476Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY02740
chr12_48370913	COL2A1	stop_gained	ENST00000380518	c.3299C>G	p.Ser1100Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY04616
chr12_48380199	COL2A1	stop_gained	ENST00000380518	c.1447G>T	p.Gly483Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY06672
chr12_48383582	COL2A1	stop_gained	ENST00000380518	c.1030C>T	p.Arg344Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY04804
chr15_48829865	FBN1	stop_gained	ENST00000316623	c.679C>T	p.Gln227Ter	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY12499
chr15_48829882	FBN1	frameshift_variant	ENST00000316623	c.661del	p.Cys221ValfsTer109	HC	8.05E-05	Het	19BY06899
chrX_153416181	OPN1LW	frameshift_variant	ENST00000369951	c.168del	p.Ser57ValfsTer4	HC	1.63E-04	Hom	19BY20410
chrX_38176655	RPGR	frameshift_variant	ENST00000378505	c.532del	p.Ser178ValfsTer9	HC	1.61E-04	Hom	19BY06620




Figure legend


Figure 1. Distribution of mutated genes in the 409 schoolchildren with known variants (A) and 506 schoolchildren with PTVs (B) who received a probable molecular diagnosis after WES genetic testing. Genes without known variants and rare PTVs are not shown.

Figure 2. Rare pathogenic variants identified in HM candidate genes. (A) Pathogenic mutation types in HM candidate genes detected in schoolchildren with HM. (B) Number of PTVs identified in HM candidate genes. Barplot is show the frequency of PTV in non-syndromic HM genes, eye syndrome genes and systemic syndrome genes. Genes without rare PTVs are not shown.

Figure 3. Proportion of schoolchildren with HM carried PTVs in the overall study population (A) and grade relevant subgroups (B). Spherical refraction is range from: HM: -8D ~ -6D; UM: -10D ~ -8D; EM: < -10D. The age is calculated by the year who accept the genetic test.

A**B**

A**B**

A**B**