

- 1 **Title** A protocol for a systematic review with prospective individual patient data meta-analysis in
- 2 EGFR mutant NSCLC with brain metastases to assess the effect of SRS + Osimertinib compared to
- 3 Osimertinib alone: the STARLET collaboration.
- 4 **Author names**
- 5 Dr Kristy P Robledo*, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Australia;
- 6 Kristy.robledo@sydney.edu.au
- 7 Dr Shilo Lefresne, BC Cancer Agency, Canada SLefresne@bccancer.bc.ca
- 8 Dr Yu Yang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore, yu_yang_soon@nuhs.edu.sg
- 9 Dr Arjun Sahgal, Sunnybrook Health Science Centre, Canada, Arjun.Sahgal@sunnybrook.ca
- 10 A/Prof Mark B Pinkham, University of Queensland and Princess Alexandra Hospital, Australia
- 11 Mark.Pinkham@health.qld.gov.au
- 12 Prof Alan Nichol, BC Cancer Agency, Canada, anichol@bccancer.bc.ca
- 13 Dr Ross Andrew Soo, National University Cancer Institute Singapore ross_soo@nuhs.edu.sg
- 14 Dr Ambika Parmar, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Canada ambika.parmar@sunnybrook.ca
- 15 Dr Fiona Hegi-Johnson, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Australia [Fiona.Hegi-](mailto:Fiona.Hegi-Johnson@petermac.org)
- 16 Johnson@petermac.org
- 17 Dr Mark Doherty, St Vincents University Hospital, Ireland, mdoherty@svcpc.ie
- 18 Prof Benjamin J Solomon, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Australia Ben.solomon@petermac.org
- 19 Dr David Shultz, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada david.shultz@rmp.uhn.ca
- 20 Dr Ivan WK Tham, Mt Elizabeth Novena Hospital, Singapore ivan.tham@ihhhealthcare.com
- 21 Dr Adrian Sacher, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada Adrian.Sacher@uhn.ca

- 22 Dr Jeremy Tey, National University Cancer Institute Singapore jeremy_tey@nuhs.edu.sg,
- 23 Dr Cheng Nang Leong, National University Cancer Institute Singapore
- 24 Cheng_Nang_Leong@nuhs.edu.sg
- 25 Dr Wee Yao Koh, National University Cancer Institute Singapore wee_yao_koh@nuhs.edu.sg
- 26 Dr Yiqing Huang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore yiqing_huang@nuhs.edu.sg
- 27 Dr Yvonne Ang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore Yvonne_ang@nuhs.edu.sg
- 28 Dr Jiali Low, National University Cancer Institute Singapore Jiali_low@nuhs.edu.sg
- 29 Dr Clement Yong, National University Hospital Singapore clement_yong@nuhs.edu.sg
- 30 Dr Mei Chin Lim, National University Hospital Singapore mei_chin_lim@nuhs.edu.sg
- 31 Dr Ai Peng Tan, National University Hospital, Singapore, ai_peng_tan@nuhs.edu.sg
- 32 A/Prof Chee Khoo Lee, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Australia
- 33 chee.lee@sydney.edu.au
- 34 Dr Cheryl Ho, BC Cancer Agency, Canada, CHo@bccancer.bc.ca
- 35 On behalf of the oSimertinib with or without sTereotActic Radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell Lung
- 36 canceR with brain metastases (STARLET) Collaboration
- 37
- 38 *Corresponding author; Kristy.robledo@sydney.edu.au; 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown, 2050
- 39
- 40 Word count 2728
- 41 Number of figures: 0
- 42 Number of tables: 1

43 **DECLARATIONS**

44 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

45 IPD will be provided by each included trial on the stipulation that ethical approval has been provided
46 by their respective Human Research Ethics Committees (or equivalent), and participants gave
47 informed consent before enrolment. Only trials with ethics approval will be included in these
48 analyses. Trialists remain the custodians of their own data, which will be de-identified before being
49 shared with the Collaboration.

50 Each trial contributing data to this project has been approved by ethics committees. OUTRUN (TROG
51 17.02, NCT03497767) has ethics approval from Melbourne Health Human Research Committee,
52 Melbourne, Australia and LUOSICNS (NCT03769103) has ethics approval from British Columbia
53 Cancer Agency Research Ethics Board, University of British Columbia, Canada.

54 **Consent for publication**

55 NA

56 **Availability of data and materials**

57 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the
58 current study.

59 **Competing interests**

60 SL: research funding and honoraria from AstraZeneca.

61 YYS: Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Janssen

62 ASah: research grants (Institution) from Elekta AB, Varian, Seagen Inc and BrainLAB. Consulting fees
63 from Varian, Elekta (Gamma Knife Icon), BrainLAB, Merck, Abbvie and Roche. Honoraria:
64 AstraZeneca, Elekta AB, Varian, BrainLAB, Accuray, Seagen Inc.

65 MBP: speaker fees AZ, BMS, MSD, Roche.

66 AN: research grants from Varian Medical Systems Ltd.

67 RAS: advisory board: Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Lilly, Merck,
68 Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, Puma Biotechnology, Roche, Taiho, Takeda, Thermo Fisher, Yuhan
69 Corporation, research grant: Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim

70 FHJ: clinical trial funding, received honoraria and participated in advisory boards for Astra Zeneca.
71 She has received payments and honoraria from BeiGene and MSD for lectures and presentations.
72 Her work is supported by the Peter Mac Foundation and the Victorian Cancer Agency.

73 BJS: Advisory Board/Honoraria from AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Novartis, Roche, Takeda, Merck, Bristol
74 Myers Squibb, Janssen, Amgen, Eli Lilly

75 IT: Honorarium Elekta, MSD

76 CH: advisory boards with Abbvie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Eisai, EMD Serono, Janssen, Jazz,
77 Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, research grants: AstraZeneca, EMD Serono, Roche

78 CKL: Advisory board: Amgen, Astra Zeneca, GSK, Merck KGA, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Takeda,
79 Boehringer-Ingelheim, Yuhan. Research Funding (Institution): Astra Zeneca, Roche, Merck KGA,
80 Amgen

81 KR, AP, MD, DS, ASac, JT, CNL, WYK, YH, YA, JL, CY, MCL, and APT have no competing interests. CH,
82 SL, FHJ, CKL, YY, RAS and IT are study chairs on the included trials.

83 **Funding**

84 OUTRUN was supported by the following: Sponsored by Trans Tasman Radiation Oncology Group
85 (TROG) Cancer Research, ESR funding from AstraZeneca, National University Health System (NUHS)
86 Seed Fund, NUHSRO/2019/053/RO5+5/Seed-Mar/06), National University Health System (NUHS)
87 Medical Research Application (HREF) - Cancer Fund, National University Cancer Institute, Singapore

(Gift from Mr Sajiv Misra via Phoenix Advisers Pte Ltd), The Royal Australian New Zealand College of Radiologists (RANZCR) Research Grant.

LUOSICNS was supported by ESR funding from AstraZeneca.

Authors Contributions

KR, CKL, YY, FHJ, CH, AN and SL conceived the idea for this study. KR, YY, CKL, FHJ, MP, RS, CH, AN and SL developed the research question and protocol registration. KR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KR and YY developed the eligibility and search strategy, and performed the search and screening. All authors critically reviewed and provided feedback on the intellectual content of the manuscript and agreed and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank AstraZeneca for their ongoing support of both clinical trials. We would also like to thank Cancer Australia for their support for OTRUN.

YY: Supported by the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/MOH/000396)

KEYWORDS

Individual participant data meta-analysis, EGFR mutant NSCLC, stereotactic radiosurgery, Osimertinib, brain metastases

110 **ABSTRACT:** 268/350 words

111 **Background:** Patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with activating mutations in
112 the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) gene are a heterogenous population who often
113 develop brain metastases (BM). The optimal management of patients with asymptomatic brain
114 metastases is unclear given the activity of newer generation targeted therapies in the central
115 nervous system. We present a protocol for an individual patient data prospective meta-analysis (IPD-
116 PMA) to evaluate whether the addition of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) before Osimertinib
117 treatment will lead to better control of intracranial metastatic disease. This is a clinically relevant
118 question that will inform practice.

119 **Methods:** Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) will be eligible if they included: participants with BM
120 arising from *EGFR* mutant NSCLC and suitable to receive Osimertinib both in the first- and second-
121 line settings (P); comparisons of SRS followed by Osimertinib versus Osimertinib alone (I, C); and
122 intracranial disease control included as an endpoint (O). Systematic searches of Medline (Ovid),
123 Embase (Ovid), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL (EBSCO),
124 PsychInfo, ClinicalTrials.gov and the World Health Organisation's International Clinical Trials Registry
125 Platform's Search Portal will be undertaken. An IPD meta-analysis will be performed using
126 methodologies recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration. The primary outcome is intra-cranial
127 progression free survival, as determined by RANO-BM criteria. Secondary outcomes include overall
128 survival, time to whole brain radiotherapy, quality of life and adverse events of special interest.
129 Effect differences will be explored among pre-specified subgroups.

130 **Ethics and dissemination:** Approved by each trials ethics committee. Results will be relevant to
131 clinicians, researchers, policymakers and patients, and will be disseminated via publications,
132 presentations and media releases.

133 **Prospero registration:** CRD42022330532

134

135 **Strengths and Limitations of this study**

- 136 • The use of an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis will give increased statistical power
- 137 for the relative comparison of SRS followed by Osimertinib versus Osimertinib alone on intracranial
- 138 progression-free survival. Such a meta-analysis will also enable the exploration of subgroups.
- 139 • Frequency of outcome assessment and outcome measures may be collected and reported
- 140 differently across included trials, which may lead to some imprecision. Harmonisation of clinical trial
- 141 protocols through prospective meta-analysis will address some of these limitations.
- 142 • A limitation of this study is that the searches will only be conducted until late 2023 and any
- 143 studies that are registered after this time will not be included.

144

145 **Abbreviations**

146	AESI	adverse events of special interest
147	ASCO	American Society of Clinical Oncology
148	ASTRO	American Society for Radiation Oncology
149	BM	brain metastases
150	<i>EGFR</i>	epidermal growth factor receptor
151	FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
152	GRADE	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation ()
153	ic-PFS	intracranial disease progression-free survival
154	IPD	individual patient data

155	NSCLC	Non-small-cell lung cancer
156	OS	overall survival
157	PRISMA-P	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)
158	extension for protocols (P)	
159	RCT	randomised controlled trial
160	SNO	Society for Neuro-Oncology
161	SRS	Stereotactic radiosurgery
162	STARLET	oSimertinib with or without sTereotActic Radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell Lung
163	cancEr with brain metastases	
164	TKI	tyrosine kinase inhibitors
165		
166		
167		
168		
169		
170		
171		
172		
173		
174		
175		

176 INTRODUCTION

177 Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor
178 receptor (*EGFR*) gene is a distinct subtype that is characterised by a high tumour response rate when
179 treated with small molecule *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Approximately 20% to 40% of
180 patients with advanced NSCLC will develop brain metastases (BM) at some point during their disease
181 course, and it is possible that patients with *EGFR* mutant NSCLC at greater risk due to improved
182 survival (1, 2).

183 Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) involves the precise delivery of high doses of ionising radiation over a
184 single or limited number of fractions to an intracranial target (3). Based on populations with BM
185 from predominantly NSCLC but not enriched for *EGFR*, incorporating SRS in the management of BM
186 was associated with improvement in overall survival (OS) for those with a single lesion and
187 prolongation of functional independence in those with up to 3 BM (4). However, the detrimental
188 effects of whole brain radiation are now well known such that SRS alone has become the standard of
189 care. Use of SRS alone for multiple BM has been adopted routinely (5), in particular, given the
190 prospective Japanese observational study involving patients with up to 10 BM demonstrated that
191 OS of patients with 5-10 brain metastases treated with SRS alone was non-inferior to those with 2-4
192 brain metastases (6). Hence for patients with a good performance status, the American Society for
193 Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) strongly recommends SRS for those with 1 to 4 BM and also
194 conditionally recommends this treatment for those with 5 to 10 BM (7).

195 Osimertinib is an oral 3rd generation irreversible mutant selective, wild type sparing *EGFR* TKI with a
196 higher central nervous system penetration and intracranial activity than first-generation *EGFR* TKIs.
197 It has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a first line treatment for
198 *EGFR* mutant NSCLC based on the FLAURA trial(8, 9), as well as second line treatment for those who
199 have developed a T790M mutation after exposure to first generation *EGFR* TKI based on the AURA 3
200 trial (10). In subset analyses, patients with stable, asymptomatic BM had significantly prolonged

intracranial disease progression-free survival (ic-PFS) with Osimertinib compared to Gefitinib or Erlotinib in the FLAURA trial (11) and platinum-pemetrexed in the AURA3 trial (12). However, the true intracranial activity of Osimertinib remains unclear as a significant number of patients enrolled in these trials had prior cranial radiotherapy (24% in FLAURA and 41% in AURA3). Notably, the OCEAN trial, a single arm phase two study of with T790M positive *EGFR* mutant NSCLC and untreated BM, found the intracranial response rate for second line Osimertinib was 67% and median ic-PFS was 25 months (13).

Currently, the optimal sequencing of SRS and Osimertinib in patients with *EGFR* mutant NSCLC and untreated BM is unclear. The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)- Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO)-ASTRO guideline states that local therapy may be delayed in selective patients with asymptomatic BM from *EGFR* mutant NSCLC, however the strength of the recommendation is weak as the quality of evidence supporting this recommendation is low (14). There is conflicting evidence from retrospective cohort studies. Magnuson and colleagues found that those who received upfront cranial irradiation had longer OS than those who received upfront first-generation *EGFR* TKI with deferred cranial irradiation (15). Similarly, Yu and colleagues observed that upfront cranial radiotherapy was associated with reduced cumulative incidence of ic-PFS in the entire cohort receiving Osimertinib and improvement in OS in a subset of patients with 1-3 BM (16). However, Thomas and colleagues did not find any improvement (17).

Two phase II randomised controlled trials (RCTs), OUTRUN (TROG 17.02) (18) and LUOSICNS (19) are independently recruiting participants with BM from *EGFR* mutant NSCLC to evaluate whether SRS followed by Osimertinib is more efficacious than Osimertinib alone in delaying progression of intracranial disease. OUTRUN completed recruitment in September 2022, and LUOSICNS completed recruitment in April 2023. Both have a sample size of 40 participants, and individually lack the statistical power to formally compare differences between treatment arms. They are hypothesis-generating to inform planning of a future definitive phase III RCT.

Therefore, we have developed a collaboration, STARLET (osimertinib with or without stereotactic radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases), to prospectively conduct an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of these RCTs to compare the effects of SRS followed by osimertinib versus osimertinib alone followed by deferred local cranial therapies on intracranial disease control in patients with BM from *EGFR* mutant NSCLC. The purpose is to establish which treatment strategy will lead to better control of intracranial disease, and if there are subgroups of patients that might benefit more from the combination treatment strategies.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

A systematic review and IPD meta-analysis will be conducted according to the recommended methods (20, 21). Lead investigators of eligible RCTs will be invited to share their IPD and join this STARLET collaboration. Online supplementary Appendix 1 lists eligible RCTs identified up to July 2022. This protocol adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) extension for protocols (PRISMA-P, checklist detailed in supplementary Appendix 2) (22) and has been registered on PROSPERO (CRD42022330532). If subsequent potentially eligible RCTs are published, a nested prospective meta-analysis may be used, in order to combine retrospective inclusion of these additional trials with the proposed results gained from these analyses. At this time, there are no consumers actively involved with the collaboration.

Eligibility criteria

Types of studies

STARLET will include RCTs only. Randomisation may occur at the individual level or by cluster and quasi-randomised trials will be excluded. There are no language or date restrictions.

Trial participants

Participants will be eligible if they are receiving Osimertinib in the first- or second-line setting. For those receiving Osimertinib as first line systemic therapy, all newly diagnosed participants must have a documented sensitising *EGFR* mutation (including exon 19 del, L858R (exon 21), G719X (exon 18), L861G (exon 21), S768I (exon 20) and T790M (exon 20)) and intracranial metastasis, with or without extracranial disease. For those receiving Osimertinib as second line systemic therapy, participants will have developed intracranial metastases while on first-line 1st or 2nd generation *EGFR* TKI therapy, with no or stable extracranial disease regardless of T790M mutation.

Intracranial disease is defined as: (a) ≤ 10 lesions visible and measurable on protocol screening MRI, with at least one BM amenable to SRS; (b) no single BM exceeding 30mm in longest diameter; and (c) absence of neurologic symptoms except for headache, nausea or seizure which were medically controlled.

Interventions

One intervention is SRS followed by Osimertinib. The SRS dose-fractionation schedule depends on size and location of the lesion. The SRS is to be planned after randomisation, and Osimertinib commences after the completion of SRS. Osimertinib treatment is described below.

The other intervention is Osimertinib alone. Osimertinib will be administered orally as one 80 mg tablet once a day. A cycle of treatment is defined as 28 days of once daily Osimertinib treatment.

For those allocated to Osimertinib alone, treatment with Osimertinib will commence following randomisation. Participants may continue to receive treatment with Osimertinib as long as they are continuing to show clinical benefit, as judged by the treating clinician, and within the guidelines of the relevant trial protocol's discontinuation criteria.

Information sources and search strategy

We searched the following databases from their inception: Medline (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL (EBSCO), PsychInfo, ClinicalTrials.gov and the World Health Organisation's International Clinical Trials Registry Platform's Search Portal. The full search strategy is available in **Online Supplementary Appendix 2**. The initial search was completed up to July 2022, and will be updated regularly to search for new trials until late-2023. Collaborators and contacts were asked to notify us of any additional planned, or ongoing completed trials that may fulfil eligibility criteria. At this time, only the two aforementioned trials (OUTRUN, TROG 17.02: NCT03497767 and LUOSICNS: NCT03769103) have been identified, and both trial teams have agreed to share IPD for this collaboration.

Selection of studies for inclusion in the review

Two members of the STARLET Collaboration will independently screen all future retrieved records against eligibility criteria. Any discrepancies will be resolved by consensus or, if required, adjudication by a third reviewer. The Principal Investigator and/or corresponding author of any additional eligible studies will be invited to join the STARLET Collaboration. If there is no response to initial emails, we will contact other co-authors or contacts listed on registration records. If IPD are not available for an eligible trial, we will use aggregate data where possible.

Data collection, management, and confidentiality

Data receipt / extraction

De-identified IPD will be shared via secure data transfer platforms or via institutional secure email using password-protected zip files. Data will be provided according to a pre-specified coding template where possible, otherwise, data will be accepted in any format and recoded as necessary. The data management team will receive and store the data in perpetuity in a secure, customised database at the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, and data management will

follow the University of Sydney's Data Management Policies. Each trial team will also be asked to provide metadata (such as questionnaires, data collection forms, and data dictionaries) to aid understanding of the datasets. Trial-level data, such as intervention details (setting, timing and duration), intervention details, method of sequence generation, allocation concealment, geographical location, sample size, outcome measures and definitions will be cross-checked against published reports, trial protocols, registration records and data collection sheets, in order to ensure data integrity.

Data processing

IPD from each trial will be checked with respect to range, internal consistency, consistency with published reports and missing items. Integrity of the randomisation process will be examined by reviewing the chronological randomisation sequence and pattern of assignment, as well as the balance of participant characteristics across intervention and control groups. Any inconsistencies or missing data will be discussed with trialists/data managers and resolved by consensus. Each included trial will be analysed individually, and results shared with trialists for verification. Once finalised, data from each of the trials will be combined into a single database.

Risk of bias assessment and certainty of evidence appraisal

Included studies will be assessed for risk of bias by two reviewers, independently, using the criteria described in the Cochrane handbook (23): random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome data; selective reporting; and other bias. The quality of evidence will be assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach (24). Any differences will be resolved by consensus or with a third reviewer.

Primary outcome

321 The primary outcome will be ic-PFS at 12 months, as determined by RANO-BM criteria (25).

322 **Secondary outcomes**

323 All outcomes and their definitions are detailed in Table 1. Secondary outcomes include OS, time to
324 whole brain radiotherapy, quality of life and adverse events of special interest (AESI).

325 **Covariates and subgroups**

326 Individual and study-level subgroup analyses will be conducted for ic-PFS. Individual-level
327 characteristics to be assessed include mutation type (*EGFR* exon19 deletion vs exon 21 L858R vs
328 uncommon sensitising mutations, pending numbers), line of therapy (first vs second), number of BM
329 (either: <4 vs ≥4 or 1 vs ≥2, pending total numbers), diameter of largest lesion (≤15mm vs >15mm),
330 age at baseline (<70 vs ≥70 years), sex (male vs female), country of treatment (Singapore vs
331 Australia vs Canada), ethnicity (Asian vs other), smoker (never vs ex or current smoker), extracranial
332 disease presence at baseline (present vs absent), and ECOG performance status (0 vs ≥1). If data are
333 insufficient for the pre-specified subgroup analyses, categories will be reassessed prior to any
334 analyses, by consensus of the STARLET collaboration.

335 **Data analysis**

336 A detailed statistical analysis plan will be prepared and agreed on by the STARLET Collaboration
337 members prior to any analyses being undertaken. Analyses will include all randomised participants
338 who meet the inclusion criteria, for which IPD are available. All analyses will be based on randomised
339 treatment allocation (intention to treat principle).

340 For the primary outcome of ic-PFS at 12 months, cumulative incidence estimates taking into account
341 the competing risk of extracranial progression (with their variances) from the trials will be pooled
342 using inverse variance weighting (two stage approach). Other secondary outcomes will be examined
343 using Cox regression or linear models, adjusted for study (one stage approach). Heterogeneity of

treatment effects across trials will be estimated using I^2 , and investigated by fitting a trial-by-treatment interaction term to the models. Any heterogeneity identified will be explored further. Differences in treatment effect between the pre-specified subgroups will be examined by testing a treatment by subgroup interaction term within a Fine-Gray regression model for ic-PFS, taking into account competing risks. Findings of subgroup analyses will be reported as exploratory. Missing data may be explored in sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation. Analyses will be performed using SAS and the open-source software R (26).

Assessment of selection or publication bias

Potential selection bias and publication bias may be investigated by conducting a nested prospective meta-analysis and comparing trials that were included prospectively versus those identified retrospectively in a sensitivity analysis (if appropriate). Contour-enhanced funnel plots to examine whether there are differences in results between more and less precise studies.

Adjustments for multiple testing

No formal adjustments will be made for multiple comparisons. However, we will follow Schulz and Grimes' approach (27) and interpret the patterns and consistency of results across related outcomes rather than focusing on statistical significance alone.

Planned sensitivity analyses

If possible, the following sensitivity analyses will be conducted for the primary outcome; including published aggregate data combined with IPD in the meta-analysis compared to IPD alone and including prospectively included trials only. Additional sensitivity analyses may be conducted on other outcomes to determine the effect of missing data. These will be detailed in the statistical analysis plan.

367 **Project management**

368 Membership of the STARLET Collaboration includes representatives from each of the trials
 369 contributing IPD to the project. Trial representatives have the opportunity to contribute their expert
 370 knowledge to the Collaboration and provide input into the protocols, statistical analysis plan, and
 371 final results manuscript. The STARLET Collaboration will be responsible for data collection,
 372 management and analysis, as well as communication within the Collaboration, including organisation
 373 of virtual or face-to-face collaborator meetings.

374

375 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

376 ***Ethical considerations***

377 IPD will be provided by each included trial on the stipulation that ethical approval has been provided
 378 by their respective Human Research Ethics Committees (or equivalent), and participants gave
 379 informed consent before enrolment. Only trials with ethics approval will be included in these
 380 analyses. Trialists remain the custodians of their own data, which will be de-identified before being
 381 shared with the Collaboration.

382 ***Publication policy***

383 Manuscripts will be prepared by the relevant members of the STARLET Collaboration, and circulated
 384 for comment, revision and approval prior to submission for publication. Any reports of the results
 385 from this study will be published either in the name of the collaborative group, or by representatives
 386 of the collaborative group on behalf of the STARLET Collaboration, as agreed by all members.

387

388

389

390 DISCUSSION

391 An IPD meta-analysis is considered the gold standard of systematic reviews, and has many
 392 advantages over a standard aggregate approach. This includes the collaboration of a range of expert
 393 trialists and biostatisticians in order to ensure that all possible RCTs are included and appropriate
 394 analysis of outcomes are performed. Through prospectively collaborating, STARLET can pre-specify
 395 the patient population, interventions, and outcomes clearly and harmonise trial protocols where
 396 possible. Another clear advantage is the increase in statistical power. The two eligible RCTs
 397 identified at this time are both Phase II RCTs that individually are not powered to identify a
 398 statistically significant difference between treatments, but rather are looking for efficacy signals and
 399 safety of treatment.

400 We will seek to address this with the use of a prospective meta-analysis to include published
 401 aggregate data, and by encouraging planned and ongoing trials to collect our core outcomes and
 402 share data.

403 Based on the recruitment timelines of the two trials identified, we plan to complete study
 404 identification by end of 2023, IPD collection by mid-2024 and conduct the analyses and disseminate
 405 the results by mid-2025. These timelines may be adjusted if follow-up completes early, or if
 406 additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data.

407 The results of this systematic review will guide whether a Phase III study is required to inform clinical
 408 practice, and, if so, may help investigators to pre-plan subgroup analyses of interest.

409

410

411

412

413

REFERENCES

1. Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Sloan AE, Davis FG, Vigneau FD, Lai P, Sawaya RE. Incidence proportions of brain metastases in patients diagnosed (1973 to 2001) in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System. *Journal of clinical oncology*. 2004;22(14):2865-72.
2. Rangachari D, Yamaguchi N, VanderLaan PA, Folch E, Mahadevan A, Floyd SR, et al. Brain metastases in patients with EGFR-mutated or ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancers. *Lung Cancer*. 2015;88(1):108-11.
3. Suh JH, Kotecha R, Chao ST, Ahluwalia MS, Sahgal A, Chang EL. Current approaches to the management of brain metastases. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*. 2020;17(5):279-99.
4. Andrews DW, Scott CB, Sperduto PW, Flanders AE, Gaspar LE, Schell MC, et al. Whole brain radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial. *The Lancet*. 2004;363(9422):1665-72.
5. Sahgal A, Ruschin M, Ma L, Verbakel W, Larson D, Brown PD. Stereotactic radiosurgery alone for multiple brain metastases? A review of clinical and technical issues. *Neuro Oncol*. 2017;19(suppl_2):ii2-ii15.
6. Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, Akabane A, Higuchi Y, Kawagishi J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15(4):387-95.
7. Gondi V, Bauman G, Bradfield L, Burri SH, Cabrera AR, Cunningham DA, et al. Radiation Therapy for Brain Metastases: An ASTRO Clinical Practice Guideline. *Practical Radiation Oncology*. 2022;12(4):265-82.
8. Ramalingam SS, Vansteenkiste J, Planchard D, Cho BC, Gray JE, Ohe Y, et al. Overall Survival with Osimertinib in Untreated, EGFR-Mutated Advanced NSCLC. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(1):41-50.

- 439 9. Soria J-C, Ohe Y, Vansteenkiste J, Reungwetwattana T, Chewaskulyong B, Lee KH, et al.
440 Osimertinib in Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. New
441 England Journal of Medicine. 2018;378(2):113-25.
- 442 10. Mok TS, Wu YL, Ahn MJ, Garassino MC, Kim HR, Ramalingam SS, et al. Osimertinib or
443 Platinum-Pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-Positive Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(7):629-
444 40.
- 445 11. Reungwetwattana T, Nakagawa K, Cho BC, Cobo M, Cho EK, Bertolini A, et al. CNS Response
446 to Osimertinib Versus Standard Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase
447 Inhibitors in Patients With Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer.
448 Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2018;36(33):3290-7.
- 449 12. Wu YL, Yang JC, Kim DW, Lu S, Zhou J, Seto T, et al. Phase II Study of Crizotinib in East Asian
450 Patients With ROS1-Positive Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol.
451 2018;36(14):1405-11.
- 452 13. Yamaguchi H, Wakuda K, Fukuda M, Kenmotsu H, Mukae H, Ito K, et al. A Phase II Study of
453 Osimertinib for Radiotherapy-Naive Central Nervous System Metastasis From NSCLC: Results
454 for the T790M Cohort of the OCEAN Study (LOGIK1603/WJOG9116L). J Thorac Oncol.
455 2021;16(12):2121-32.
- 456 14. Vogelbaum MA, Brown PD, Messersmith H, Brastianos PK, Burri S, Cahill D, et al. Treatment
457 for Brain Metastases: ASCO-SNO-ASTRO Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(5):492-516.
- 458 15. Magnuson WJ, Lester-Coll NH, Wu AJ, Yang TJ, Lockney NA, Gerber NK, et al. Management of
459 Brain Metastases in Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor-Naive Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-
460 Mutant Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Retrospective Multi-Institutional Analysis. J Clin
461 Oncol. 2017;35(10):1070-7.
- 462 16. Yu F, Ni J, Zeng W, Zhou Y, Guo T, Zeng Y, et al. Clinical Value of Upfront Cranial Radiation
463 Therapy in Osimertinib-Treated Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Mutant Non-Small Cell
464 Lung Cancer With Brain Metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2021;111(3):804-15.

465 17. Thomas NJ, Myall NJ, Sun F, Patil T, Mushtaq R, Yu C, et al. Brain Metastases in EGFR- and
466 ALK-Positive NSCLC: Outcomes of Central Nervous System-Penetrant Tyrosine Kinase
467 Inhibitors Alone Versus in Combination With Radiation. J Thorac Oncol. 2022;17(1):116-29.

468 18. A Randomised Phase II Trial of Osimertinib With or Without SRS for EGFR Mutated NSCLC
469 With Brain Metastases. <https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03497767>.

470 19. Study of Osimertinib + SRS vs Osimertinib Alone for Brain Metastases in EGFR Positive
471 Patients With NSCLC. <https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03769103>.

472 20. Seidler AL, Hunter KE, Cheyne S, Ghera D, Berlin JA, Askie L. A guide to prospective meta-
473 analysis. Bmj. 2019;367:l5342.

474 21. Tierney JF SL, Clarke M. Chapter 26: Individual participant data. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J,
475 Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors), editor. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic
476 Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019) ed: Cochrane; 2019.

477 22. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghera D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting
478 items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement.
479 Systematic Reviews. 2015;4(1):1.

480 23. Higgins JPT SJ, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Sterne JAC. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews
481 of Interventions: Cochrane, 2022; 2022.

482 24. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1.
483 Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol.
484 2011;64(4):383-94.

485 25. Luchi T, Shingyoji M, Sakaida T, Hatano K, Nagano O, Itakura M, et al. Phase II trial of
486 gefitinib alone without radiation therapy for Japanese patients with brain metastases from
487 EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer. 2013;82(2):282-7.

488 26. Team RCD. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria 2022.

489 27. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Multiplicity in randomised trials I: endpoints and treatments. The
490 Lancet. 2005;365(9470):1591-5.

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511 Table 1: Outcomes for individual patient data meta-analysis

Outcome	Definition
Primary outcome	
Intracranial progression free survival (iPFS) at 12 months	Time from randomisation to intracranial disease progression, as defined according to RAN-NO
Secondary outcomes	
PFS	Time from randomization to any disease progression
Overall survival	Time from randomization to death
Time to salvage whole brain radiotherapy	Time from randomization to salvage whole brain radiotherapy
Time to salvage SRS	Time from randomization to salvage stereotactic radiotherapy
time to local brain failure	Time from randomization to local brain failure
time to distant progression	Time from randomization to distant disease progression
QOL	QLQ-C30
	QLQ-BN 20
Adverse events of special interest	Rates of the following AE (for example): radiation necrosis, neurocognitive impairment, edema cerebral, muscle weakness right side, muscle weakness left side, Fatigue, Gait disturbance, Headache, Seizures, Tremors, Lethargy, Dizziness, Syncope, Stroke and Intracranial hemorrhage

512

513