

Nutritional traits in the prodromal phase of Parkinson's disease in community-dwelling older adults in Japan

Authors

Takashi Yamaguchi¹, Ryota Nozaki¹, Keita Taguchi¹, Yoshio Suzuki¹, Kai Takahashi¹,
Kenta Takahashi¹, Kazuhiro Iwaoka¹, Yuriko Sato¹, Takahiro Terauchi¹, Hiroshi
Akasaka¹, Naoki Ishizuka¹, Kazuhiro Uchida², Toshiharu Ninomiya³, Tetsuya Maeda^{1,*}

Affiliations

¹Division of Neurology and Gerontology, Department of Internal Medicine, School of
Medicine, Iwate Medical University, 1-1-1 Idaidori, Yahaba, Shiwa, Iwate, Japan
0283694

²Department of Health Promotion, School of Health and Nutrition Sciences, Nakamura-
Gakuen University, 5-7-1 Befu, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka, Japan 8140198

³Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Graduate School of Medical Sciences,
Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, Japan 8128582

*Corresponding author

E-mail: maeda@iwate-med.ac.jp

Abstract

The growing aging population means that increasing numbers of people are living with Parkinson's disease (PD). Although dopamine replacement is effective, there are currently no curative or disease-modifying treatments. Nutrition is a well-known environmental risk factor of PD. This study aimed to clarify the relationship between nutritional traits and the prodromal phase of PD.

Subjects were enrolled from community-dwelling older adults. To identify individuals with prodromal PD (PPD), probability of PPD (PPP) and mild parkinsonian sign (MPS) assessments were used. Nutritional status was evaluated using a self-administered food frequency questionnaire form. Intakes of total energy, the three major nutrients (fat, protein, and carbohydrate), B vitamins, water, and dietary fiber were calculated. Nutrient intake in PPD was analyzed using analysis of covariance with age and sex as covariates.

We enrolled 305 subjects. The prevalence of older adults living with PPD was 4.1% by $PPP \geq 0.3$ and 21.0% by MPS criteria. Compared with subjects without PPD, individuals with $PPP \geq 0.3$ had significantly higher fat intake (33.4 ± 8.8 vs. 29.3 ± 6.7 g/1000 kcal/day, $p=0.037$) and lower carbohydrate intake (121.4 ± 19.0 vs. 132.1 ± 17.7 g/1000 kcal/day, $p=0.039$), and MPS-positive subjects had significantly lower total energy intake (1817.5 ± 384.7 vs. 1933.7 ± 433.7 kcal/day, $p=0.012$).

In this community-based cross-sectional study, nutritional traits differed between older individuals with and without PPD; these differences were more

pronounced when the PPP was used to evaluate PPD. Nutrition and diet may thus modify PD incidence.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a common neurodegenerative disease in older adults. In the early clinical phase, it is characterized by cardinal movement features such as bradykinesia, rest tremor, and rigidity. Because of the growing aging population worldwide, the number of people living with PD is increasing every year [1]; this global trend has been described as a “pandemic” [2]. Advances in symptomatic treatments based on dopamine replacement have contributed to reducing the mortality rate in PD; however, no curative or disease-modifying treatments have yet been developed [3,4]. Reducing the risk of PD development and preventing its onset is therefore desirable. Motor symptoms reportedly manifest when around 40% of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta have been lost [5]. When motor symptoms are recognized, PD is thus around the middle of its clinical course. However, PD can also present variable non-motor symptoms such as autonomic dysfunction, mood disorders, olfactory impairment, and cognitive decline. These non-motor symptoms occur prior to movement symptoms, and some are regarded as prodromes of PD, with relatively high levels of evidence. People who are living with prodromes only are defined as having prodromal PD (PPD).

Multiple environmental factors are reportedly associated with PD development. Of these, nutrition and diet are well known [6]. Moreover, nutritional habits over the years are modifiable risk factors for many chronic diseases that deteriorate quality of

life in both young and older adults. Although many food-derived nutrients and variable dietary patterns are associated with PD development and modification [7], the characteristics of nutrition and diet have not yet been adequately studied in PPD. It has been reported that nutritional characteristics of the Mediterranean diet may be associated with PPD and PD incidence as well as the probability of PPD (PPP) in older adults in the Mediterranean [8]; a study of the nutritional and dietary characteristics of community-dwelling older adults may thus help to elucidate the nutritional risk factors associated with developing PD. Important findings have also been accumulated from the aspect of nutritional absorption. Vagotomy [9] is reportedly a negative risk factor for PD, whereas inflammatory bowel disease [10] is a positive risk factor. Additionally, the specific characteristics of gut microbiota in PD [11] and PD with motor complications [12] are now clear. Nutrient absorption is therefore expected to continue to attract interest in relation to nutrition and diet research.

To detect PPD for research purposes, the PPD research criteria (PPC) was established by the International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society (MDS) [13]. These criteria encompass various markers that are recognized risk factors of PD. Mild parkinsonian sign (MPS) is another prodromal criteria for detecting subtle parkinsonism that does not meet the diagnostic criteria for PD. MPS has also been used to detect PPD [14,15], and is associated not only with PD development, but also with mortality, aging, dementia, and vascular risk [16].

In the present study, we aimed to clarify the relationship between nutritional status and PPD in community-dwelling older adults.

Methods

Subjects

We enrolled subjects from the Yahaba Active Aging and Healthy Brain (YAHABA) study, which has a register of community-dwelling older adults aged 65 years or older. The study details have been described previously [17]. According to the study protocol [18], educational history, past and present history of illness, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and activities of daily living were comprehensively collected, and physical examinations, neuropsychological and biochemical tests, and radiological examinations were performed in all subjects. Those diagnosed with dementia, PD, or serious systemic diseases were excluded.

Detection of PPD

We detected PPD using two criteria. First, as in our previous study, PD prodromes were assessed using the PPD self-administered questionnaire (PPQ) and the PPP was calculated [17]. Briefly, the PPQ consists of 21 items that are based on the PPC, including regular pesticide exposure, occupational solvent exposure, non-use of caffeine, current smoking, never smoking, former smoking, first-degree relative with PD, history of type 2 diabetes mellitus, physical inactivity, history of low plasma urate level, daytime somnolence, hyposmia, constipation, urinary dysfunction, impotence for males, neurogenic orthostatic hypotension, symptomatic orthostatic hypotension, depressive mood, and global cognitive deficit. Some items in the PPC are difficult to determine by self-administration; we therefore defined rapid eye movement sleep

behavior disorder as the presence of both speech and limb movement during sleep. Ultrasound echogenicity findings of the substantia nigra, dopaminergic positron emission tomography/single-photon emission computed tomography findings, gene testing, and motor markers were excluded. The PPP was calculated using the PPD calculator supplied to MDS members as an online program on the MDS website. We defined PPD in the current study as $PPP \geq 0.3$, in accordance with the MDS declaration of research operating policy. The PPQ has been used in a previous retrospective study of pre-onset subjective symptoms in people living with PD, in which prodromes were experienced at an epidemiologically valid frequency [19].

Second, we evaluated MPS [20] as the other criteria for PPD. MPS comprises items of speech, facial expression, rest tremor (rated separately in the lower jaw, right arm, left arm, right leg, and left leg), rigidity (rated separately in the neck, right arm, left arm, right leg, and left leg), standing posture, and general bradykinesia. For MPS, a neurologist performed a neurological examination and rated each item from 0 (none) to 4 (severe). MPS positivity was defined when any one of the following criteria was satisfied: (1) at least two items with scores ≥ 1 , (2) at least one item with a score ≥ 2 , or (3) at least one item of rest tremor with a score ≥ 1 .

Nutritional status

We assessed nutritional status using a self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) form according to a previous protocol [18]. This questionnaire is a booklet-type form with illustrations and photographs of portion sizes and is easy for

older adults to complete. Support from family members was acceptable, and cohort staff who were independent of the study checked for discrepancies and missing values. The FFQ assesses the consumption frequency of 233 foods, food groups, or beverages during the preceding month, and can evaluate the intake of 23 food groups and 160 nutrients. In the present study, we analyzed the intakes of total energy, the three major nutrients (fat, protein, and carbohydrate), some vitamins (B1, B2, B6, and B12), water derived from food, and dietary fiber. Total energy intake was examined in terms of the bare minimum; other intakes were examined using the residue method.

A validation study of this FFQ has been conducted in 60 residents (aged 65 years or older) of Hisayama, located on Kyushu island in Japan, who participated in an annual health checkup in 2019 and consented to participate in this study. Dietary surveys were performed using a weighing and dietary recording method for 4 consecutive days (3 weekdays + 1 weekend day) for a total of 16 days over four seasons (November–December 2019, February–March 2020, May–June 2020, and August–September 2020). Subjects first completed the FFQ at a health center or at home. They were then provided with the dietary recording form, recording manual, digital weighing scale, measuring spoons, a camera photography scale (a standard graphic tool used in the National Health and Nutrition Survey), and an instant camera (if unable to take pictures on a device such as a mobile or smartphone). Nutritional values were calculated according to the Japanese Standard Tables of Food Composition 2015 (7th revision) [21]. The validity of each food and nutrient intake calculated from the FFQ was compared with that calculated from the dietary surveys (the average intake of each food/nutrient from the 16-day period over four seasons) using Pearson’s correlation

coefficients. The results were as follows: 0.66 for total energy, 0.59 for fat, 0.61 for protein, 0.49 for carbohydrate, 0.56 for vitamin B1, 0.59 for vitamin B2, 0.37 for vitamin B6, 0.31 for vitamin B12, 0.47 for water derived from food, and 0.66 for dietary fiber (all $p < 0.01$). Information regarding these data is presented briefly on the JPSC-AD website (<https://www.eph.med.kyushu-u.ac.jp/jpsc/link/pdf/ffq02.pdf>). In addition, the relevant manuscript of this validation study is currently in preparation.

Statistical analysis

We calculated the bivariate associations of PPP and MPS with the clinical backgrounds of subjects using the Mann–Whitney U test for nonparametric variables and chi-squared or Fisher’s exact tests for categorical variables. We evaluated the relationship between PPD (i.e., $PPP \geq 0.3$ or MPS positivity) and nutrients using an analysis of covariance, with age, sex, and constipation added as covariates. In the current study, sex was taken to mean the sex assigned at birth. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 23.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethical approval

All investigators conducted this study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Iwate Medical University (HGH28-12, HG2020-017) and was performed with written informed consent from all subjects.

Results

Subject demographics

We targeted 962 older adults who were registered in the YAHABA study. Responses to the PPQ were obtained from 715 subjects and MPS scores were obtained from 345 subjects. We finally enrolled 305 subjects in the study, for whom both PPQ and MPS data were available. Table 1 shows the background demographics of subjects. The prevalence of older adults living with PPD was 3.9% using $PPP \geq 0.3$ and 21.0% using MPS criteria (i.e., prevalence was around five times higher with MPS criteria than with $PPP \geq 0.3$). In subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$, Geriatric Depression Scale scores were significantly higher than in subjects with $PPP < 0.3$ (6.67 ± 4.10 vs. 3.24 ± 2.97 , $p=0.02$). Furthermore, MPS-positive subjects were significantly older (78.7 ± 6.2 vs. 76.2 ± 5.1 years, $p=0.004$) and had higher Geriatric Depression Scale scores (4.70 ± 3.35 vs. 3.05 ± 2.93 , $p=0.004$) than MPS-negative subjects. Sex; medical histories of stroke, myocardial infarction, and type 2 diabetes mellitus; Mini-Mental State Examination score; body mass index; and walking speed were not significantly associated with PPP or MPS scores. The scores and frequencies for each of the MPS subitems are shown in Table 2. In the community-dwelling older adults enrolled in the present study, posture and rigidity had relatively high scores and frequencies, whereas tremor had relatively low scores and frequencies.

Table 1. Subjects' demographics

	All (N = 305)	Prodromal PD probability		Mild parkinsonian sign	
		≥ 0.3 (N=12)	< 0.3 (N=293)	Positive (N=64)	Negative (N=241)
Sex, male:female, n	140:165	5:7	134:159	34:30	106:105
Age, mean ± SD, years	76.93 ± 5.60	76.67 ± 6.42	76.69 ± 5.35	78.64 ± 6.20*	76.24 ± 5.05
Stroke, n (%)	25 (8.2)	2 (16.7)	23 (7.8)	8 (12.5)	17 (7.1)
Malignant neoplasm, n (%)	54 (17.7)	1 (8.3)	53 (18.1)	13 (20.3)	41 (17.0)
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	54 (17.7)	4 (33.3)	50 (17.1)	10 (15.6)	44 (18.3)
GDS, mean ± SD	3.40 ± 3.09	6.67± 4.10*	3.24 ± 2.97	4.70 ± 3.35**	3.05 ± 2.93
MMSE, mean ± SD	28.13 ± 2.47	28.42 ± 2.50	28.10 ± 2.56	27.73 ± 2.65	28.24 ± 2.41
BMI, mean ± SD	24.28 ± 3.48	23.89 ± 2.83	24.17 ± 3.88	23.98 ± 4.22	24.23 ± 3.75
Walking speed, mean ± SD, s/5m	4.56 ± 1.10	5.15 ± 1.27	4.54 ± 1.09	4.87 ± 1.57	4.48 ± 0.94

N & n, number; SD, standard deviation; GDS, geriatric depression scale; MMSE, mini mental examination; BMI, body mass index; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01.

Table 2. Score of mild parkinsonian sign in this study older population

		Score				
		0	1	2	3	4
Speech, n (%)		295 (96.8)	8 (2.6)	2 (0.6)	0	0
Facial expression, n (%)		289 (94.5)	13 (4.5)	3 (1.0)	0	0
Posture, n (%)		263 (86.4)	30 (9.7)	6 (1.9)	4 (1.3)	1 (0.3)
Bradykinesia, n (%)		286 (93.8)	13 (4.2)	5 (1.6)	0	0
Rigidity, n (%)	neck	297 (97.4)	6 (1.9)	2 (0.6)	0	0
	right arm	265 (87.0)	34 (11.0)	6 (1.9)	0	0
	left arm	268 (87.7)	30 (9.7)	4 (1.3)	2 (0.6)	1 (0.3)
	right leg	283 (92.5)	18 (5.8)	4 (1.3)	0	0

	left leg	283 (92.5)	15 (4.9)	5 (1.6)	2 (0.6)	0
Resting tremor, n (%)	Jaw	305 (100)	0	0	0	0
	right arm	301 (98.4)	4 (1.3)	0	0	0
	left arm	300 (98.1)	5 (1.6)	0	0	0
	right leg	305 (100)	0	0	0	0
	left leg	305 (100)	0	0	0	0

n, number.

Differences in nutritional status between PPD defined by PPP and MPS

In Table 3, nutritional status adjusted for age and sex is classified by $PPP \geq 0.3$ or < 0.3 and MPS positivity or negativity. Subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ had no difference in total energy intake compared with those with $PPP < 0.3$; however, MPS-positive subjects had significantly lower total energy intake than MPS-negative subjects (1817.5 ± 384.7 vs. 1933.7 ± 433.7 kcal/day, $p=0.012$). Analysis of the three major nutrients showed significantly higher fat intake (33.4 ± 8.8 vs. 29.3 ± 6.7 g/1000 kcal/day, $p=0.037$) and lower carbohydrate intake (121.4 ± 19.0 vs. 132.1 ± 17.7 g/1000 kcal/day, $p=0.039$) in subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ than in those with $PPP < 0.3$. There were no differences in the intakes of the three major nutrients between subjects with positive and negative MPS. Intake of B vitamins was not different between subjects living with and without PPD, evaluated using either the PPP or MPS criteria. Water intake derived from food tended to be lower in subjects with PPD than in those without PPD, but this result was not significant (771.9 ± 188.9 in subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ and 844.3 ± 224.5 in subjects with $PPP < 0.3$, and 803.7 ± 188.5 in MPS-positive subjects and 851.4 ± 254.4

mL/day/1000kcal in MPS-negative subjects). Dietary fiber intake also tended to be lower in subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ than in those with $PPP < 0.3$, but this difference was not significant. Constipation had no effect as an additional confounder (data not shown).

Table 3. Nutritional traits adjusted for age and sex effects in older adults living with prodromal phase of PD evaluated with PPC or MPS

	Prodromal PD probability			Mild parkinsonian sign		
	≥ 0.3	< 0.3	P value	Positive	Negative	P value
Total energy intake, kcal/day	1860.9 $\pm$ 312.7	1911.5 $\pm$ 430.3	0.748	1817.5 $\pm$ 384.7	1933.7 $\pm$ 433.7	0.012
Fat intake, g/1000 kcal/day	33.4 $\pm$ 8.8	29.3 $\pm$ 6.7	0.037	29.3 $\pm$ 6.0	29.9 $\pm$ 6.2	0.656
Carbohydrate intake, g/1000 kcal/day	121.4 $\pm$ 19.0	132.1 $\pm$ 17.7	0.039	134.9 $\pm$ 15.2	130.8 $\pm$ 18.4	0.085
Protein intake, g/1000 kcal/day	39.4 $\pm$ 8.8	39.3 $\pm$ 6.7	0.906	38.5 $\pm$ 5.2	39.5 $\pm$ 7.1	0.263
Vitamin B1 intake, mg/1000 kcal/day	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	1.000	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.257
Vitamin B2 intake, mg/1000 kcal/day	0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	0.888	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1	0.7 $\pm$ 0.2	0.235
Vitamin B6 intake, mg/1000 kcal/day	2.0 $\pm$ 0.9	1.9 $\pm$ 0.7	0.667	1.9 $\pm$ 0.6	1.9 $\pm$ 0.7	0.535
Vitamin B12 intake, μ g/1000 kcal/day	4.4 $\pm$ 2.3	4.1 $\pm$ 1.6	0.539	4.0 $\pm$ 1.3	4.1 $\pm$ 1.7	0.319
Water intake (food), g/1000 kcal/day	771.9 $\pm$ 188.9	844.3 $\pm$ 224.5	0.290	803.7 $\pm$ 188.5	851.4 $\pm$ 254.4	0.307
Fiber intake, g/1000 kcal/day	8.0 $\pm$ 2.6	8.5 $\pm$ 2.4	0.398	8.4 $\pm$ 2.1	8.5 $\pm$ 2.5	0.753

PPC, prodromal Parkinson's disease criteria; MPS, mild parkinsonian sign; PD, Parkinson's disease; SD, standard deviation; all values are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

Association between prodromal PD criteria and MPS criteria

As shown in Figure 1, there were four subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ in the MPS-positive group (6.3%) and eight in the MPS-negative group (3.3%). Mean PPP values were 0.066 in the MPS-positive group and 0.047 in the MPS-negative group. There were no significant differences between the two groups in subject number or mean values ($p=0.281$ and $p=0.148$, respectively). A comparison of prodrome frequencies between MPS-positive and -negative subjects is shown in Figure 2; the non-use of caffeine was significantly more common in the MPS-positive group than in the MPS-negative group (40.7% vs. 19.4%, $p<0.001$). However, no other differences were observed.

Fig 1. Association between PPP and MPS. The figure shows PPP values when divided between two groups with and without MPS. Mean value of PPP was not significantly different between two groups (0.066 vs 0.047, $p=0.140$). Dashed line means $PPP = 0.3$. PPP, probability of prodromal Parkinson's disease; MPS, mild parkinsonian sign

Fig 2. Prodrome features between MPS-positive and -negative older adults.

Frequencies of each prodromal item are shown in two groups (MPS positive or MPS negative). The frequency of nonuse of caffeine was significantly higher in the MPS-positive group ($p<0.01$). MPS, mild parkinsonian sign.

Discussion

The current community-based cross-sectional study revealed differences in nutritional traits between older individuals with and without PPD. These differences were especially pronounced when older adults were evaluated using the PPQ (based on the PPC). Older adults with $PPP \geq 0.3$ exhibited a unique nutritional trait of a higher daily intake of fat and a lower daily intake of carbohydrate compared with those with $PPP < 0.3$. Moreover, in older adults with positive MPS, a lower total daily energy intake was identified. Because individuals with PPD are a high-risk population for developing PD, the characterization of nutritional traits in PPD in the present study is considered very important. Nutritional intervention in daily diets may contribute to reducing the incidence of PPD, and thus possibly the incidence of PD.

The association between PD development and lipid intake is controversial, as reported in several previous studies [22,23]. Several negative effects of lipid intake have been described in relation to PD pathogenesis, such as effects on α -synuclein aggregation, oxidative stress, and inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, a previous meta-analysis demonstrated that a high intake of animal fat (arachidonic acid and cholesterol) increases PD risk, whereas a high polyunsaturated fatty acid intake decreases the risk [24]. Although elevated cholesterol levels cause oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction [25], positive effects of lipids have also been described. For example, because polyunsaturated fatty acids are present in neuronal cell membranes, fat intake may have a protective effect on neuronal cells. Thus, lower lipid intake may accelerate the development of PD.

There have been few reports of carbohydrate or total energy intake in relation to PD. A meta-analysis reported no independent associations between the dietary intake of carbohydrates or total energy and PD risk [26]. However, it remains unclear whether nutritional status before the beginning of the disease process of PD may be associated with disease development. Nonetheless, the influence of nutritional status on motor function is greater in older adults than in young adults. Thus, because a motor function decline in older adults increases the risk for reduced activities of daily living and quality of life (whether or not PD eventually develops), adequate nutritional management should be recommended, at least from the perspective of general wellbeing. Furthermore, the impact of nutritional status on PD development should be further investigated, and prospective studies should be conducted that integrate nutrients into the research criteria.

Different definitions of MPS have been reported previously [27]. In the present study, we chose to use the criteria reported by Louis et al. [2] to avoid confusion with the PPC and because we wanted our findings to be comparable with those of a previous study [28]. The MPS positivity rate among community-dwelling older adults was 21.0% in the current study, which was approximately five times higher than the rate of PPD when estimated using $PPP \geq 0.3$. Similarly, a previous study from Japan reported a prevalence of MPS positivity of 22.1% in community-dwelling older adults aged 60 years and older [28], and a systematic review has reported prevalence rates of MPS positivity as 4%–46% [16]. The results of the present study are therefore comparable to previous studies and support their results. In our previous investigation [17], the crude prevalence of PPD using $PPP \geq 0.3$ was 5034.5/100,000 (5.0%) community-dwelling

older adults. Together with the current finding of a PPD prevalence of 3.9% in older adults using $PPP \geq 0.3$, it is therefore likely that the MPS criteria detect a broader population of candidates for PPD in community-dwelling older adults than when $PPP \geq 0.3$ is used. Indeed, in the present study, the numbers of older adults with $PPP \geq 0.3$ or < 0.3 were not different between MPS-positive and -negative older adults. Furthermore, although there were no differences in age between subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ and < 0.3 , MPS-positive subjects were significantly older than MPS-negative subjects. Together, these findings support the idea that MPS criteria are relatively unspecific in older adults [29].

We have previously reported the characteristics of PD prodromes in community-dwelling older adults with $PPP \geq 0.3$ [17]. In the current study, however, there were no significant differences in PD prodromes between subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ and < 0.3 . One reason for these different results may be the relatively small number of older adults with $PPP \geq 0.3$. By contrast, MPS positivity in older adults was associated with caffeine non-intake. Although caffeine intake is a well-known protective factor for PD onset [30], there is no previous evidence of its association with MPS.

The present study has several limitations. First, it was a single-center study that was limited to a cohort of Japanese subjects. Second, the PPQ needs to be further improved for its future intensive research use. Third, the number of subjects with $PPP \geq 0.3$ was relatively small. Finally, the cohort format (where subjects were required to come to the screening site) may have created a selection bias because many of the subjects who underwent screening were likely relatively healthy.

Conclusions

In the present community-based cross-sectional study, nutritional traits differed between older individuals with and without PPD. When older adults were evaluated using the PPQ (based on the PPC), those with $PPP \geq 0.3$ exhibited a higher daily intake of fat and a lower daily intake of carbohydrates than those with $PPP < 0.3$. The characterization of nutritional traits in older adults is considered very important because nutritional intervention in daily diets may reduce the incidence of PD.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Kanako Abe for general study support. We also thank Bronwen Gardner, PhD, from Edanz (<https://jp.edanz.com/ac>) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Wirdefeldt K, Adami H-O, Cole P, Trichopoulos D, Mandel J, Wirdefeldt K, et al. Epidemiology and etiology of Parkinson's disease: a review of the evidence. European Journal of Epidemiology 2011 26:1 2011;26:1–58. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10654-011-9581-6>.
- [2] Dorsey ER, Bloem BR. The Parkinson Pandemic—A Call to Action. JAMA Neurol 2018;75:9–10. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/JAMANEUROL.2017.3299>.
- [3] Lang AE, Siderowf AD, Macklin EA, Poewe W, Brooks DJ, Fernandez HH, et al. Trial of Cinpanemab in Early Parkinson's Disease. New England Journal of Medicine 2022;387:408–20. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2203395>.
- [4] Pagano G, Taylor KI, Anzures-Cabrera J, Marchesi M, Simuni T, Marek K, et al. Trial of Prasinezumab in Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease. New England Journal of Medicine 2022;387:421–32. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMOA2202867>.
- [5] Fearnley JM, Lees AJ. AGEING AND PARKINSON'S DISEASE: SUBSTANTIA NIGRA REGIONAL SELECTIVITY. Brain 1991;114:2283–301. <https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/114.5.2283>.

- 357 [6] Boulos C, Yaghi N, Hayeck R El, Heraoui GNHA, Fakhoury-Sayegh N.
358 Nutritional Risk Factors, Microbiota and Parkinson's Disease: What Is the
359 Current Evidence? *Nutrients* 2019;11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/NU11081896>.
- 360 [7] Knight E, Geetha T, Burnett D, Babu JR. The Role of Diet and Dietary Patterns
361 in Parkinson's Disease. *Nutrients* 2022, Vol 14, Page 4472 2022;14:4472.
362 <https://doi.org/10.3390/NU14214472>.
- 363 [8] Maraki MI, Yannakoulia M, Xiomerisiou G, Stefanis L, Charisis S, Giagkou N,
364 et al. Mediterranean diet is associated with a lower probability of prodromal
365 Parkinson's disease and risk for Parkinson's disease/dementia with Lewy bodies:
366 A longitudinal study. *Eur J Neurol* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ENE.15698>.
- 367 [9] Svensson E, Horváth-Puhó E, Thomsen RW, Djurhuus JC, Pedersen L,
368 Borghammer P, et al. Vagotomy and subsequent risk of Parkinson's disease. *Ann*
369 *Neurol* 2015;78:522–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ANA.24448>.
- 370 [10] Villumsen M, Aznar S, Pakkenberg B, Jess T, Brudek T. Inflammatory bowel
371 disease increases the risk of Parkinson's disease: a Danish nationwide cohort
372 study 1977–2014. *Gut* 2019;68:18–24. [https://doi.org/10.1136/GUTJNL-2017-](https://doi.org/10.1136/GUTJNL-2017-315666)
373 [315666](https://doi.org/10.1136/GUTJNL-2017-315666).
- 374 [11] Nishiwaki H, Ito M, Ishida T, Hamaguchi T, Maeda T, Kashihara K, et al. Meta-
375 Analysis of Gut Dysbiosis in Parkinson's Disease. *Movement Disorders*
376 2020;35:1626–35. <https://doi.org/10.1002/MDS.28119>.
- 377 [12] Takahashi K, Nishiwaki H, Ito M, Iwaoka K, Takahashi K, Suzuki Y, et al.
378 Altered gut microbiota in Parkinson's disease patients with motor complications.

Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2022;95:11–7.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARKRELDIS.2021.12.012>.

[13] Heinzl S, Berg D, Gasser T, Chen H, Yao C, Postuma RB, et al. Update of the MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson’s disease. Movement Disorders 2019;34:1464–70. <https://doi.org/10.1002/MDS.27802>.

[14] Postuma RB, Lang AE, Gagnon JF, Pelletier A, Montplaisir JY. How does parkinsonism start? Prodromal parkinsonism motor changes in idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder. Brain 2012;135:1860–70. <https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAIN/AWS093>.

[15] Avenali M, Toffoli M, Mullin S, McNeil A, Hughes DA, Mehta A, et al. Evolution of prodromal parkinsonian features in a cohort of GBA mutation-positive individuals: a 6-year longitudinal study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2019;90:1091–7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/JNNP-2019-320394>.

[16] Buchanan SM, Richards M, Schott JM, Schrag A. Mild Parkinsonian Signs: A Systematic Review of Clinical, Imaging, and Pathological Associations. Movement Disorders 2021;36:2481–93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/MDS.28777>.

[17] Taguchi K, Iwaoka K, Yamaguchi T, Nozaki R, Sato Y, Terauchi T, et al. A cross-sectional study of Parkinson’s disease and the prodromal phase in community-dwelling older adults in eastern Japan. Clin Park Relat Disord 2022;7:100147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PRDOA.2022.100147>.

[18] Ninomiya T, Nakaji S, Maeda T, Yamada M, Mimura M, Nakashima K, et al. Study design and baseline characteristics of a population-based prospective cohort study of dementia in Japan: the Japan Prospective Studies Collaboration

for Aging and Dementia (JPSC-AD). *Environ Health Prev Med* 2020;25:1–12.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/S12199-020-00903-3>.

[19] K. Taguchi, K. Iwaoka, R. Nozaki, T. Yamaguchi, Y. Suzuki, K. Takahashi, et al.

Prodromes and Probability in People Living with Parkinson’s Disease: a

retrospective study. *J Mov Disord Disabil* 2021;31:1–11.

[20] Louis ED, Tang MX, Schupf N, Mayeux R. Functional Correlates and Prevalence

of Mild Parkinsonian Signs in a Community Population of Older People. *Arch*

Neurol 2005;62:297–302. <https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHNEUR.62.2.297>.

[21] Ministry of Education Culture, Sports, Science and Technology - JAPAN. The

Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan -2015- (seventh revised version)

[cited 2015]. Available from:

https://www.mext.go.jp/en/policy/science_technology/policy/title01/detail01/137

4030.htm.

[22] Kamel F, Goldman SM, Umbach DM, Chen H, Richardson G, Barber MR, et al.

Dietary Fat Intake, Pesticide Use, and Parkinson’s Disease. *Parkinsonism Relat*

Disord 2014;20:82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARKRELDIS.2013.09.023>.

[23] Zhang Y, Chen J, Qiu J, Li Y, Wang J, Jiao J. Intakes of fish and polyunsaturated

fatty acids and mild-to-severe cognitive impairment risks: a dose-response meta-

analysis of 21 cohort studies–. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016;103:330–40.

<https://doi.org/10.3945/AJCN.115.124081>.

[24] De Lau LML, Bornebroek M, Witteman JCM, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler

MMB. Dietary fatty acids and the risk of Parkinson disease. *Neurology*

2005;64:2040–5. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000166038.67153.9F>.

- [25] Qu Y, Chen X, Xu MM, Sun Q. Relationship between high dietary fat intake and Parkinson's disease risk: a meta-analysis. *Neural Regen Res* 2019;14:2156. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.262599>.
- [26] Wang A, Lin Y, Wu Y, Zhang D. Macronutrients intake and risk of Parkinson's disease: A meta-analysis. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2015;15:606–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/GGI.12321>.
- [27] Algotsson C, Rosso A, Elmståhl S, Siennicki-Lantz A. Prevalence and functional impact of parkinsonian signs in older adults from the Good Aging in Skåne study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2023;111:105416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARKRELDIS.2023.105416>.
- [28] Uemura Y, Wada-Isoe K, Nakashita S, Nakashima K. Mild parkinsonian signs in a community-dwelling elderly population sample in Japan. *J Neurol Sci* 2011;304:61–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JNS.2011.02.013>.
- [29] Keezer MR, Wolfson C, Postuma RB. Age, Gender, Comorbidity, and the MDS-UPDRS: Results from a Population-Based Study. *Neuroepidemiology* 2016;46:222–7. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000444021>.
- [30] Hong CT, Chan L, Bai CH. The Effect of Caffeine on the Risk and Progression of Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2020;12:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/NU12061860>.

Figure 1. Association between PPP and MPS




Figure1

Figure 2. Prodrome features between MPS positive and negative older adults




Figure2