Peripheral innate immunophenotype in neurodegenerative disease: blood-based profiles and links to survival

Alexandra Strauss¹, Peter Swann², Stacey Kigar², Rafailia Christou¹, Natalia Savinykh Yarkoni¹, Alexander Murley¹, Leonidas Chouliaras², George Savulich², Richard Bevan-Jones², Ajenthan Surendranthan², John O'Brien², James Rowe^{1,3}, Maura Malpetti¹

1 University of Cambridge Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom

2 Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

3 Medical Research Council Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Abstract

The innate immune system plays an integral role in the progression of many neurodegenerative diseases. In addition to central innate immune cells (e.g. cerebral microglia), peripheral innate immune cells (e.g. blood monocytes, natural killer cells, and dendritic cells) may also differ in these conditions. However, the characterization of peripheral innate immune cell types across different neurodegenerative diseases remains incomplete. This study aimed to characterize peripheral innate immune profiles using flow cytometry for immunophenotyping of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, in n=148 people with Alzheimer's disease (AD), Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD), Corticobasal syndrome (CBS), Progressive Supranuclear Palsy (PSP), Lewy Body Disease (LBD) as compared to n=37 healthy controls. To compare groups, we used Principal Component Analysis and multivariate Dissimilarity analysis across 19 innate immune cell types. We identified pro-inflammatory profiles that significantly differ between patients with all-cause dementia and healthy controls, with some significant differences between groups. Regression analysis confirmed that time to death following the blood test correlated with the individuals' immune profile weighting, positively to TREM2+ and nonclassical monocytes and negatively to classical monocytes. Taken together, these results describe transdiagnostic peripheral immune profiles and highlight the link between prognosis and the monocyte cellular subdivision and function (as measured by surface protein expression). The results suggest that blood-derived innate immune profiles can inform sub-populations of cells relevant for specific neurodegenerative diseases that are significantly linked to accelerated disease progression and worse survival outcomes across diagnoses. Blood-based innate immune profiles may contribute to enhanced precision medicine approaches dementia, helping to identify and monitor therapeutic targets and stratify patients for candidate immunotherapies.

Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases are an increasingly common aspect of the ageing population globally, with a pressing need for new preventive and disease-modifying therapies. Despite the clinico-pathological heterogeneity of neurodegenerative diseases, and diversity of amyloid, tau and alpha-synuclein proteinopathies, they share a dysregulation of the innate immune system features in all dementias (1). Centrally, activation of cerebral microglia has been reported for Alzheimer's disease (AD) and its prodromal mild cognitive impairment (MCI), Lewy Body Disease (LBD) including Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD) and Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB), and the syndromes associated with frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD). The latter include the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), non-fluent (nfPPA) and semantic (svPPA) variants of primary progressive aphasia, corticobasal syndrome (CBS), and progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP). Moreover, genome-wide association studies link mutations in genes coding for proteins related to the immune system to the development of multiple neurodegenerative conditions (2–7) Mutations in the gene for triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2, encoding a receptor on monocytes and microglia) may cause FTLD-syndromes and AD, with an effect size comparable to the immune-related APOE4 variant (2,8). Such genomic associations are complemented by evidence for abnormalities in central and peripheral innate immune systems in neurodegenerative diseases (9).

Most evidence describing abnormal innate immunity in dementia to date concerns cerebral cells. For example, microglial activation in the central nervous system is implicated in many forms of dementia (10–16). For example, human post mortem studies report activated microglia in association with the severity of amyloid and tau pathology in AD, FTLD-syndromes and LBD

(11,12,17,18). However, post mortem studies are not well suited to characterize microglial changes in early disease stages. Instead, overexpression of the translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO), overexpressed in activated microglia, can be detected by positron emission tomography (PET). Microglial activation presents early in people living with many types of dementia and predicts the rate of cognitive decline (13–16,19). While TSPO PET is a powerful, informative tool and relates directly to cerebral innate immune activation it is expensive and not readily scalable. Alternatively, peripheral blood-based markers of immune dysregulation would potentially be scalable and repeatable over time.

Cells of the innate immune system—including monocytes, dendritic cells, and natural killer (NK) cells – rapidly and non-specifically initiate an immune response upon detection of pathogens or cellular damage (20). While this process is beneficial in the short-term to eliminate harmful stimuli, chronic or dysregulated activation can lead to disease. The peripheral immune system may interact with the central nervous system indirectly via chemokine and cytokine signaling or directly via infiltration into and meningeal surveillance of the parenchyma (21–27). Elevated pro-inflammatory cytokine concentrations are reported in post mortem brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in people with AD, LBD, and FTLD-syndromes (28–31); while peripheral infections can exacerbate the neuroinflammatory environment and accelerate cognitive decline (26,32). Taken together, evidence implicates primary effector cells of the peripheral innate immune system in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases.

Monocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, and dendritic cells have each been implicated in the pathogenesis of dementias. For example, TREM2 is expressed on the surface of peripheral

monocytes (33) and may be a marker of monocyte recruitment in AD (27). Elevated concentration of CSF soluble TREM2 (sTREM2), cleaved from the membranes of microglia or monocytes, is linked to disease progress cognitive decline in AD (34,34) and FTD (11). Beyond TREM2, CSF concentrations of chemokine motif ligand 2 (CCL2), mediating chemotaxis of monocytes, is also predictive of cognitive decline in AD (35). Similarly, abnormal activation patterns of NK cells occur with AD (36), Parkinson's disease (closely related to DLB and PDD) (37). Finally, myeloid dendritic cells are reduced in people with AD and Parkinson's disease (38–40). Overall, evidence involving monocytes, NK cells, and dendritic cells supports a more integrative disease processes than initially appreciated.

While the mechanisms of action of peripheral immune cells remain unclear, they are nonetheless more readily accessible to quantify, compared to central innate immune cells, via phlebotomy. The identification of innate cell types in the periphery of people with dementia may clarify links between innate immunity and neurodegenerative disease, and yield clinically relevant, blood-based biomarkers to support target identification and patient stratification for immune-targeting therapies. However, current immunophenotyping data is limited to few diagnoses of dementia and remains incomplete in cellular subtype characterization (38,39,41–43). To meet the needs for diagnostic, prognostic and trialist use, there is a pressing need for <u>i</u>mproved profiling of peripheral innate immunity in multiple neurodegenerative disorders.

This study therefore aims to characterize innate immune profiles in blood of people with diverse neurodegenerative dementias, including AD, FTLD-syndromes and LBD using Flow Cytometery- a well-established method of identifying cells via their unique expression of

activation and lineage markers on the cell surface. We test the hypothesis that innate immune cell profiles are abnormal in people with any of these disorders, with at least partial commonality in the immune profile for the neurodegenerative disorders investigated here (i.e. a transdiagnostic abnormality). Given the multivariate nature of immune activation, we use data driven approaches to reduce dimensionality (complexity) and test for (dis)-similarity between the clinical diagnostic groups. We then highlight clinical relevance by the correlation or multivariate immune profiles with time to death.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Patients (n =148) were recruited from clinics for cognitive and movement disorders at the Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust as well as collaborating regional psychiatry and neurology services. We included participants with a clinical diagnosis of MCI/AD (44,45) (n=24), probable or possible PSP (n=54, predominantly Richardson syndrome phenotype), CBS (46)(n=22), bvFTD/PPA (47)(n=19), PDD (n=3), or DLB (n=27) (48,49). Of the CBS patients, nine underwent amyloid PET, which confirmed amyloid-positivity in three. The MCI/AD cohort comprised sixteen people with AD and five with MCI. Of the MCI patients, three out of five underwent amyloid PET and all were confirmed amyloid positive. The FTD cohort comprised thirteen people with behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD) and six people with PPA. The LBD cohord comprised twenty-seven people with DLB and three with PDD. We included thirty-seven healthy controls with MMSE > 26/30, absence of memory symptoms, no signs of dementia, or any other significant medical illnesses. For participants who did not have the mental capacity to consent, we followed the personal consultee process as set out by UK law. For participants with mental capacity, all gave written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the NIHR National Research Ethic Service Committee and East of England (Cambridge Central).

Participants underwent baseline clinical and neuropsychological assessment, including the revised Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE-R, 0-100 points) and mini-mental state examination (MMSE). The ACE-R is subdivided into five domains: Attention and Orientation

(18/100), Memory (26/100), Fluency (14/100), Language (26/100), and Visuospatial abilities (16/100). Survival data were collected for all up to and including the 23rd of October 2023 (the census date).

Blood collection and flow cytometry analyses

At baseline, 18 ml of blood was drawn in EDTA and analyzed at the NIHR Cambridge Cell Immunophenotyping Hub. Wherever possible, samples were processed within 2h (over 98% of samples). Blood was layered onto sterile Ficoll (Cytiva, Cat#: 17144003) for peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) isolation by a technician blind to group status. Two aliquots containing ~1 x10^6 PBMCs were stained using the antibody cocktail shown in Supplementary Table S2, using either TREM2 or a matched isotype control. At the end of staining, cells were washed, and the data were acquired on live (i.e., non-fixed) cells with a BD LSR Fortessa instrument.

Cell classifications were determined via manual gating in FlowJo (BD) by individuals blind to participant group according to standards recommended for the Human Immunology Project (50). Briefly, monocytes were identified as HLA-DR+/CD14+; populations were further stratified based on CD16 and CD14 positivity where CD16-/CD14hi monocytes were labelled as classical, CD16+/CD14+ monocytes labelled as intermediate, and CD16+/CD14lo monocytes labelled as nonclassical. Each monocyte subpopulation was then gated to discern CCR5+, CCR2+, and TREM2+ staining. Dendritic cells (DCs) were identified as HLA-DR+/CD14- and further stratified based on CD123 and CD11c staining: CD123+/CD11c+ cells were double positive (DC+/+), CD123+/CD11c- were plasmacytoid DCs, CD123-/CD11c+ were myeloid DCs, and CD123-/CD11c- were double negative (DC-/-). NK cells were identified as CD56+ and

further subdivided into two groups as either CD16+ (which were CD56lo) or CD16- (which were CD56hi). Interrater validation studies showed excellent concordance between different evaluators (Supplementary Table S3.).

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were performed using R (Version 2023.03.0+386). Non-parametric tests were used for all pairwise comparisons given non-normal data distributions. For inference, p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the false discovery rate (FDR) and considered significant with threshold p-FDR < 0.05. For transparency and explorative analyses, uncorrected p-values are also reported. Statistical analysis was carried out in three steps.

First, to understand similarity of innate immune cell profiles between diagnoses and controls, absolute cell numbers from FlowJo were normalized to standardize across minimum and maximum absolute cell count ranges. These normalized cell counts were averaged within each diagnosis to yield an average, normalized 19-cell vector per diagnosis. To evaluate dissimilarity between diagnoses, Euclidean distance was calculated on the normalized data based on a 19-cell vector for all diagnoses followed by complete linkage hierarchical clustering.

Second, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied on the 19 innate immune cell classes. This reduced the dimensionality of our dataset to minimize multiple comparisons, identifying a limited number of components that best explain the data variance. The PCA was computed using cell counts calculated as a proportion of their parent population across all cell

types (Supplementary Figure S1). Local Outlier Factor (LOF) analysis with a hyperparameter of k=10 identified 2 participants as outliers following PCA computation (LOF = 3, Supplementary Figure S5) (51). These outliers were excluded before re-computing the PCA. We retained 3 components based on eigenvalues >1, explained variance > 10 %, and application of the visual "elbow method" from the scree plot for further analyses (52,53) (Supplementary Figure S4). Individual participant loadings in each of the 3 selected components were included in group-comparison analyses using Mann Whitney U tests to compare median values between patients and controls, and Kruskal Wallace tests to compare across patient groups followed by Dunn's post hoc analysis, where applicable.

Finally, we tested for associations between the individual participant loadings and clinical outcome. Individual component loadings were evaluated as a predictor of ACE-R total scores using a mixed linear regression followed by Spearman's correlation analysis, controlling for age and sex. Similarly, we investigated each principal component loading in relationship to survival years using a linear model.

Results

Participant summary clinical characteristics are in Table 1. There were 74 female and 109 male participants. Most groups, apart from CBS, had more males than females. As expected, control participants scored significantly higher on the ACE-R examination than each patient group (p < 0.001 for all comparisons); while people with AD had significantly lower ACE-R total scores than people with PSP (p = 0.002).

Dissimilarity Analysis

Figure 1 shows dissimilarity values of the 19-cell vector measured by Euclidean distance between groups (See Supplementary Figure S6 for individual cell pairwise comparisons). Hierarchical clustering represented in the dendrogram summarises the group-wise differences in the pattern (rather than magnitude) of immune profiles. Maximal distance was found between controls and all patient groups. The smallest distance (i.e. most similarity) was found between PSP vs CBS groups, while PSP/CBS and FTD groups were more similar to each other than to AD/LBD.

Principal Component Analysis

From the PCA on the 19 cell populations, three components were selected for further analyses. Together, these components accounted for half of the total variance in the data (Supplementary Table S5). Shows the contribution of each cell type to the selected components. The first principal component (PC1) accounted for 18.2 % of the variance and was strongly positively weighted by TREM2+ monocytes and nonclassical monocytes (excluding CCR5+ nonclassical monocytes). PC1 was most negatively loaded onto classical monocytes, including CCR2+

classical monocytes, as well as NK cells high in CD16 expression (CD16+ NK cells) (Figure 2C). The second principal component (PC2) accounted for 16.6 %of the total variance. PC2 was strongly, negatively weighted by intermediate monocytes and CCR5+ monocytes, and strongly positively weighted by dendritic cells negative for CD11c and CD123 (Figure 3C). The third principal component (PC3) accounted for 12.8 % of the total variance and was most strongly positively weighted by CD16- NK Cells, and most strongly, negatively weighted in CD16+ NK cells and TREM2+ monocytes (Figure 4C). PC relationships to sex and age are found in Supplementary Table S6. Regression analysis revealed that there was no significant relationship between individual loadings in PC1, PC2, or PC3 and age (p > .05). There was no significant relationship lower median loading in PC3 as compared to males (p = .031, Females = - 0.28, Males = 0.126).

All-cause dementia patients showed significantly lower median loading values in PC1 (U = 3377, p= 0.034) as compared to controls (Figure 2A). Multi-group comparison did not identify a significant difference in PC1 loading between controls and each patient group (H= 9.88, p = 0.078) (Figure 2B). There was no statistically significant difference in individual PC2 loading between all-cause dementia and controls (U= 2844, p =0.767) or between controls and each patient group (H = 4.88, p = 0.481) (Figure 3A and B). All-cause dementia patients had significantly reduced PC3 loading as compared to controls (U= 2041, p=0.0147) (Figure 4A). Multi-group comparison identified a significant difference in PC3 loading across groups (H= 16, p= 0.007), and post hoc analysis revealed that patients with LBD showed significantly lower median loading values in PC3 than controls (p-FDR = 0.01) (Figure 4B). See supplementary Table S4 for statistics from post hoc analysis group comparisons.

Clinical Outcomes

Individual patient loadings of PC1, PC2, and PC3 were each evaluated as a predictor of ACE-R scores including the interaction of diagnostic group as an independent variable. Regression analysis did not reveal significant predictive value of PC1, PC2, or PC3 for ACE-R total scores. There was no interaction of diagnostic group, age, or sex, with any principal component in terms of ACE-R score.

We used our data to evaluate the sample size required for traditional Cox proportional hazards regression. We completed a power calculation (power = 0.8, alpha = 0.05). To detect a hazard ratio of 1 +/- 0.2 as seen in previous studies using this regression in immune data in dementia (50), we would require 234 patients with confirmed death, or 835 total participants given our current distribution of deceased to living patients (28%). To carry out a primary survival analysis, we tested whether PC1, PC2 or PC3 were predictive of years of time to death in the subset of 42 patients who died prior to our census date (2 AD, 2 CBS, 10 LBD, 8 FTD, and 20 PSP). Higher individual loadings in PC1 predicted longer time from the research visit to death (y=0.4501x+2.0821, F = 10.098, p = 0.0028, r_2 =0.1816). This correlation remained after

controlling for age, sex, and diagnosis (F = 3.689, p = 0.0066, β_{Age} = -0.34, β_{Male} =0.20). There was no association between PC2 (F = 0.019, p = 0.9) or PC3 (F = 2.734, p = 0.106) and years of survival following blood draw.

Discussion

The main outcome of this study is confirmation that peripheral blood-based innate immunophenotypes are abnormal in people with AD, LBD, FTD, PSP, and CBS. The principal components of the 19-cell class immunophenotype were similarly abnormal in each type of neurodegenerative disease, and one component (or immune profile) predicted time to death. We identified transdiagnostic similarly in the *magnitude* of this abnormality in PC1, and diagnostic specificity in the magnitude and composition of this abnormality for LBD patients in PC3. Importantly, the multivariate *pattern* of cell-types was dissimilar between controls and all-cause dementia participants in this study. Taken together, these results indicate that even peripheral immune profiles have diagnostic specificity and identify a cellular profile represented by a redistribution of monocyte subtypes as being linked to survival across neurodegenerative dementias.

In the introduction, we proposed that peripheral innate immune cells are directly related to the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases, based on genomics data and the physiological integration between central and peripheral immune compartments (2–7,38–43,54,55). Rather than a cell-by-cell account, we adopted a multivariate approach and identified three principal components of interest. The first (PC1) was weighted positively to TREM2+ and nonclassical monocytes and negatively to classical monocytes. The second (PC2) was weighted positively to CCR5+ monocytes and intermediate subtypes, and negatively to DC-/- cells. The third component (PC3) was positively weighted by CD16- NK cells and negatively weighted by

CD16+ NK cells. These multivariate (multi-cell type) patterns help to contextualize the results of more selective prior studies.

For example, the finding of altered monocyte subpopulations in AD that varied with disease stage (41), albeit without natural killer cell or dendritic cell data. While we do not present data on disease severity, our data corroborate with these findings by suggesting aberrant expression of monocyte subtypes in neurodegenerative disease. In addition, monocyte subtype redistribution may explain why total monocytes have not been found to be different in the MCI stage as compared to controls (42). Similarly, our identification of greater CD16+ NK cell overexpression in disease adds to existing literature identifying NK cell alterations in AD versus controls, however, this study did not compare CD16+ and CD16- NK cell subtypes (43). Peripheral myeloid DC have previously been found to be altered in AD and Parkinson's disease versus controls (38,39). While results from PC2 suggest AD may indeed be characterized by a trend of reduced myeloid DC (Figure 3B), these cells did not strongly correlate to PC1 or PC3, thus underscoring the potential relative importance of monocytes and NK cells in all-cause neurodegenerative dementia. In all, our work builds upon extant immunophenotyping literature and confirms monocyte and NK cell population changes with multiple neurodegenerative diseases.

Monocytes are a heterogeneous population of innate immune cells. They have diverse functions, of cytokine release, migration to damaged tissues, and differentiation into phagocytic cells. Based on surface markers, monocytes can be divided into classical, nonclassical, and intermediate subtypes. Classical monocytes are pro-inflammatory, potentially neurotoxic, and are recruited to damaged tissue during inflammation (56). Nonclassical monocytes are anti-

inflammatory and may be neuroprotective (57,58). In addition, monocytes may express functional receptors such as CCR2, a chemotaxis receptor controlling the recruitment of the cell, or TREM2, a receptor controlling phagocytic and inflammatory function (59,60). In contrast, NK cells in other diseases facilitate the death of infected cells, regulate the adaptive immune response through cytokine production, and mediate autoimmunity. NK cells can be subdivided based on their relative presentation of surface markers into CD16-, regulating cytokine production, or CD16+, indicating cytotoxicity (61). NK cells have been implicated in many disorders of the central nervous system, including neurodegenerative dementia (43,62,63).

Although previous evidence suggested monocyte, NK, and DC are each involved in neurodegenerative diseases, prior evidence was mainly gleaned from investigation in Alzheimer's disease. Our results identify abnormal features of the peripheral innate immune system that are common across AD, LBD, FTD, CBS and PSP. By using data-driven analytic methods, we explore multivariate peripheral features that may not be revealed by evaluating one cellular type at a time. Innate immune patterns across the 19 cells investigated in this study identify patient groups to be dissimilar from controls (Figure 1). Applying a data-driven approach, our results imply neurodegenerative disease to be characterized by pro-inflammatory cytotoxic, peripheral immune dynamics atypical to healthy aging.

We observerd reduced PC1 loading in all-cause dementia patients versus controls (Figure 2A). This suggests that reduced relative expression of TREM2+ on peripheral monocytes and increased relative prevalence of classical monocytes may be a characteristic immune signature linked to neurodegenerative dementia. TREM2 is involved in modulating inflammation,

mediating phagocytosis, and promoting myeloid cell survival (59,64). In the context of neurodegenerative diseases, TREM2 has recently been linked to prevention or downregulation of tau hyperphosphorylation (65). However, this interaction has been investigated only in microglia of murine models of AD rather than peripheral monocytes and human studies. Our results support claims of TREM2 as an important receptor acting from the periphery in neurodegenerative disease (27). Although the current study is correlational, without evidence of causality, the clinical relevance of the changes in innate immune profiles is highlighted by the associations of PC1 individual loading with survival across patient groups (Figure 4). Increased peripheral TREM2+ expression and nonclassical monocytes combined with reductions in classical monocytes and CD16+ NK cells may represent a protective effect in disease, while the opposite patterns may contribute to accelerated clinical decline. Moreover, the present link between increased TREM2+ monocytes and prognosis is especially relevant in light ongoing clinical trials targeting TREM2 in people with AD (66). Give the transdiagnostic loading of the immune profiles, TREM2 mediated treatments may be effective in other neurodegenerative conditions. In all, results warrant further investigation of TREM2+ monocytes, classical monocytes, and CD16+ NK cells.

Patients with LBD displayed lower median individual loadings in PC3 versus controls (Figure 4B). This third component was weighted positively by CD16- NK cells and negatively by CD16+ NK cells. Given CD16+ NK cells contributed to the negative arm of both PC1 and PC3, this cell type is of particular interest. Indeed, human post mortem studies show redistribution of NK cellular subtypes in patients with alpha-synuclein pathology, suggesting a potentially strong effect in this disease group (37,67). Reduced average PC3 loading in LBD suggests this cohort

may harbor TREM2 dynamics dissimilar to other dementias as these cells comprise the negative end of PC3 (opposite to PC1 composition). The present findings distinguishing LBD are in line with previous observed differences in microglial activation in LBD as compared to other diseases (18,29).

Our study has several limitations. First, patient recruitment and cohort definition are based on clinical criteria rather than pathology-confirmed cases, although clinic-pathological correlations are high for PSP, FTD, LBD and AD. Next, the heterogeneity within cohorts (e.g., grouping bvFTD with PPA) and co-pathologies (e.g., CBS underpinned by CBD and/or AD) may complicate the interpretation of the results and reduce sensitivity to between-group differences. In addition, group sizes are unbalanced, although the non-parametric tests used are relatively robust to moderate variation in group size. Importantly, ACE-R was used as the primary assessment to capture cognitive decline as this exam is widely implemented in memory clinics. However, this screening-test varies in sensitivity to the domain-specific cognitive changes associated with different diagnoses, and this may have reduced power to detect correlations with cognitive deficits. Finally, data collection spanned several years, which may have led to batch variation in our immunophenotyping analysis. To help control sample variability, sample gating was conducted by a single person, and validated against the gating of two other experts on a sub-sample.

In conclusion, the present study provides a comprehensive characterization of the peripheral innate immune system in multiple neurodegenerative dementias. We suggest dysfunctional patterns of the innate immune system are characteristic of neurodegenerative diseases. Blood-derived innate immune profiles can distinguish sub-populations of cells relevant to diverse

clinical cohorts and their prognosis. Further studies are needed to clarify interactions between the peripheral innate immunity profiles and dementia-related in the cerebrum. We hope that the identification of blood-based innate immune profiles can contribute to enhanced precision medicine approaches dementia, to identify new therapeutic targets and improve clinical trial design for immunotherapies.

Acknowledgments

This study was co-funded by the Dementias Platform UK and Medical Research Council (MC UU 00030/14; MR/T033371/1); the Wellcome trust (103838; 220258); Race Against Dementia Alzheimer's Research UK (ARUK-RADF2021A-010); the Cambridge University Centre for Parkinson-Plus (RG95450); the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014; NIHR203312: the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care); the Progressive Supranuclear Palsy Association (PSPA2022/SMALL GRANTS002); the Addenbrookes Charitable Trust (Ref: 900380). For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. We thank our participant volunteers for their participation in this study, thank the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge BioResource centre and staff, and the research nurses for their contribution, and the East Anglia Dementias and Neurodegenerative Diseases Research Network (DeNDRoN) for help with subject recruitment.

Competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report related to this work. Unrelated to this work, JTO has received honoraria for work as DSMB chair or member for TauRx, Axon, Eisai and Novo Nordisk, and has acted as a consultant for Biogen and Roche, and has received research support from Alliance Medical and Merck. JBR is a non-remunerated trustee of the Guarantors of Brain, Darwin College and the PSP Association (UK). He provides consultancy unrelated to the current work to Asceneuron, Astronautx, Astex, Curasen, CumulusNeuro, Wave, SVHealth, and has research grants from AZ-Medimmune, Janssen, and Lilly as industry partners in the Dementias Platform UK.

- 1. Mason HD, McGavern DB. How the immune system shapes neurodegenerative diseases. Trends Neurosci. 2022 Oct 1;45(10):733–48.
- 2. Guerreiro R, Wojtas A, Bras J, Carrasquillo M, Rogaeva E, Majounie E, et al. TREM2 Variants in Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2013 Jan 10;368(2):117–27.
- 3. Beecham GW, Hamilton K, Naj AC, Martin ER, Huentelman M, Myers AJ, et al. Genome-Wide Association Meta-analysis of Neuropathologic Features of Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias. PLOS Genet. 2014 Sep 4;10(9):e1004606.
- 4. Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Vardarajan BN, Buros J, et al. Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet. 2011 May;43(5):436–41.
- 5. Fernández-Santiago R, Sharma M. What have we learned from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in Parkinson's disease? Ageing Res Rev. 2022 Aug 1;79:101648.
- Ferrari R, Hernandez DG, Nalls MA, Rohrer JD, Ramasamy A, Kwok JBJ, et al. Frontotemporal dementia and its subtypes: a genome-wide association study. Lancet Neurol. 2014 Jul 1;13(7):686–99.
- 7. Jabbari E, Koga S, Valentino RR, Reynolds RH, Ferrari R, Tan MMX, et al. Genetic determinants of survival in progressive supranuclear palsy: a genome-wide association study. Lancet Neurol. 2021 Feb 1;20(2):107–16.
- Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Steinberg S, Jonsdottir I, Jonsson PV, Snaedal J, et al. Variant of TREM2 Associated with the Risk of Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2013 Jan 10;368(2):107–16.
- Bettcher BM, Tansey MG, Dorothée G, Heneka MT. Peripheral and central immune system crosstalk in Alzheimer disease — a research prospectus. Nat Rev Neurol. 2021 Nov;17(11):689–701.
- 10. Alliot F, Godin I, Pessac B. Microglia derive from progenitors, originating from the yolk sac, and which proliferate in the brain. Dev Brain Res. 1999 Nov 18;117(2):145–52.
- 11. Woollacott IOC, Toomey CE, Strand C, Courtney R, Benson BC, Rohrer JD, et al. Microglial burden, activation and dystrophy patterns in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. J Neuroinflammation. 2020 Aug 10;17(1):234.
- 12. Mackenzie IRA. Activated microglia in dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurology. 2000 Jul 12;55(1):132–4.
- 13. Malpetti M, Rittman T, Jones PS, Cope TE, Passamonti L, Bevan-Jones WR, et al. In vivo PET imaging of neuroinflammation in familial frontotemporal dementia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2021 Mar 1;92(3):319–22.

- 14. Malpetti M, Passamonti L, Rittman T, Jones PS, Vázquez Rodríguez P, Bevan-Jones WR, et al. Neuroinflammation and Tau Colocalize in vivo in Progressive Supranuclear Palsy. Ann Neurol. 2020;88(6):1194–204.
- 15. Malpetti M, Kievit RA, Passamonti L, Jones PS, Tsvetanov KA, Rittman T, et al. Microglial activation and tau burden predict cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. Brain. 2020 May 1;143(5):1588–602.
- 16. Malpetti M, Cope TE, Street D, Jones PS, Hezemans FH, Mak E, et al. Microglial activation in the frontal cortex predicts cognitive decline in frontotemporal dementia. Brain. 2023 Mar 8;awad078.
- 17. Hopperton KE, Mohammad D, Trépanier MO, Giuliano V, Bazinet RP. Markers of microglia in post-mortem brain samples from patients with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. Mol Psychiatry. 2018 Feb;23(2):177–98.
- Amin J, Holmes C, Dorey RB, Tommasino E, Casal YR, Williams DM, et al. Neuroinflammation in dementia with Lewy bodies: a human post-mortem study. Transl Psychiatry. 2020 Aug 3;10(1):1–11.
- Finze A, Biechele G, Rauchmann BS, Franzmeier N, Palleis C, Katzdobler S, et al. Individual regional associations between Aβ-, tau- and neurodegeneration (ATN) with microglial activation in patients with primary and secondary tauopathies. Mol Psychiatry. 2023 Jul 26;1–13.
- 20. Roh JS, Sohn DH. Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns in Inflammatory Diseases. Immune Netw. 2018 Aug 13;18(4):e27.
- 21. Møllgård K, Beinlich FRM, Kusk P, Miyakoshi LM, Delle C, Plá V, et al. A mesothelium divides the subarachnoid space into functional compartments. Science. 2023 Jan 6;379(6627):84–8.
- 22. Louveau A, Harris TH, Kipnis J. Revisiting the Mechanisms of CNS Immune Privilege. Trends Immunol. 2015 Oct;36(10):569–77.
- 23. Ferro A, Auguste YSS, Cheadle L. Microglia, Cytokines, and Neural Activity: Unexpected Interactions in Brain Development and Function. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Jan 30];12. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021.703527
- 24. Litvin DG, Denstaedt SJ, Borkowski LF, Nichols NL, Dick TE, Smith CB, et al. Peripheral-tocentral immune communication at the area postrema glial-barrier following bleomycininduced sterile lung injury in adult rats. Brain Behav Immun. 2020 Jul 1;87:610–33.
- 25. Riazi K, Galic MA, Kuzmiski JB, Ho W, Sharkey KA, Pittman QJ. Microglial activation and TNFα production mediate altered CNS excitability following peripheral inflammation. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2008 Nov 4;105(44):17151–6.

- Wohleb ES, Fenn AM, Pacenta AM, Powell ND, Sheridan JF, Godbout JP. Peripheral innate immune challenge exaggerated microglia activation, increased the number of inflammatory CNS macrophages, and prolonged social withdrawal in socially defeated mice. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012 Sep 1;37(9):1491–505.
- 27. Fahrenhold M, Rakic S, Classey J, Brayne C, Ince PG, Nicoll JAR, et al. TREM2 expression in the human brain: a marker of monocyte recruitment? Brain Pathol. 2017 Oct 30;28(5):595–602.
- 28. Amin J, Boche D, Clough Z, Teeling J, Williams A, Gao Y, et al. Peripheral immunophenotype in dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's disease: an observational clinical study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020 Nov 1;91(11):1219–26.
- 29. Surendranathan A, Su L, Mak E, Passamonti L, Hong YT, Arnold R, et al. Early microglial activation and peripheral inflammation in dementia with Lewy bodies. Brain. 2018 Dec 1;141(12):3415–27.
- 30. Rentzos M, Zoga M, Paraskevas GP, Kapaki E, Rombos A, Nikolaou C, et al. IL-15 Is Elevated in Cerebrospinal Fluid of Patients With Alzheimer's Disease and Frontotemporal Dementia. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol. 2006 Jun 1;19(2):114–7.
- 31. Fernández-Botrán R, Ahmed Z, Crespo FA, Gatenbee C, Gonzalez J, Dickson DW, et al. Cytokine expression and microglial activation in progressive supranuclear palsy. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2011 Nov 1;17(9):683–8.
- 32. Perry VH, Cunningham C, Holmes C. Systemic infections and inflammation affect chronic neurodegeneration. Nat Rev Immunol. 2007 Feb;7(2):161–7.
- 33. Jay TR, von Saucken VE, Landreth GE. TREM2 in Neurodegenerative Diseases. Mol Neurodegener. 2017 Aug 2;12(1):56.
- 34. Ewers M, Franzmeier N, Suárez-Calvet M, Morenas-Rodriguez E, Caballero MAA, Kleinberger G, et al. Increased soluble TREM2 in cerebrospinal fluid is associated with reduced cognitive and clinical decline in Alzheimer's disease. Sci Transl Med. 2019 Aug 28;11(507):eaav6221.
- 35. Westin K, Buchhave P, Nielsen H, Minthon L, Janciauskiene S, Hansson O. CCL2 Is Associated with a Faster Rate of Cognitive Decline during Early Stages of Alzheimer's Disease. PLOS ONE. 2012 Jan 30;7(1):e30525.
- 36. Jadidi-Niaragh F, Shegarfi H, Naddafi F, Mirshafiey A. The Role of Natural Killer Cells in Alzheimer's Disease. Scand J Immunol. 2012;76(5):451–6.
- 37. Earls RH, Lee JK. The role of natural killer cells in Parkinson's disease. Exp Mol Med. 2020 Sep;52(9):1517–25.

- 38. Ciaramella A, Salani F, Bizzoni F, Orfei MD, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G, et al. Myeloid dendritic cells are decreased in peripheral blood of Alzheimer's disease patients in association with disease progression and severity of depressive symptoms. J Neuroinflammation. 2016 Jan 25;13(1):18.
- 39. Ciaramella A, Salani F, Bizzoni F, Pontieri FE, Stefani A, Pierantozzi M, et al. Blood Dendritic Cell Frequency Declines in Idiopathic Parkinson's Disease and Is Associated with Motor Symptom Severity. PLOS ONE. 2013 Jun 11;8(6):e65352.
- 40. Ciaramella A, Sanarico N, Bizzoni F, Moro ML, Salani F, Scapigliati G, et al. Amyloid β peptide promotes differentiation of pro-inflammatory human myeloid dendritic cells. Neurobiol Aging. 2009 Feb 1;30(2):210–21.
- 41. Thome AD, Faridar A, Beers DR, Thonhoff JR, Zhao W, Wen S, et al. Functional alterations of myeloid cells during the course of Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurodegener. 2018 Nov 13;13(1):61.
- 42. Magaki S, Yellon SM, Mueller C, Kirsch WM. Immunophenotypes in the circulation of patients with mild cognitive impairment. J Psychiatr Res. 2008 Feb 1;42(3):240–6.
- 43. Martins LCA, Rocha NP, Torres KCL, Santos RR dos, França GS, Moraes EN de, et al. Disease-specific expression of the serotonin-receptor 5-HT2C in natural killer cells in Alzheimer's dementia. J Neuroimmunol. 2012 Oct 15;251(1):73–9.
- 44. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack Jr. CR, Kawas CH, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2011;7(3):263–9.
- 45. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2011;7(3):270–9.
- 46. Jabbari E, Holland N, Chelban V, Jones PS, Lamb R, Rawlinson C, et al. Diagnosis Across the Spectrum of Progressive Supranuclear Palsy and Corticobasal Syndrome. JAMA Neurol. 2020 Mar 1;77(3):377–87.
- 47. Boxer AL, Miller BL. Clinical Features of Frontotemporal Dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2005 Dec;19:S3.
- 48. Matar E, Ehgoetz Martens KA, Halliday GM, Lewis SJG. Clinical features of Lewy body dementia: insights into diagnosis and pathophysiology. J Neurol. 2020 Feb 1;267(2):380–9.
- 49. McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, Halliday G, Taylor JP, Weintraub D, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies. Neurology. 2017 Jul 4;89(1):88–100.

- 50. Maecker HT, McCoy JP, Nussenblatt R. Standardizing immunophenotyping for the Human Immunology Project. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012 Mar;12(3):191–200.
- 51. Breunig MM, Kriegel HP, Ng RT, Sander J. LOF: identifying density-based local outliers. ACM SIGMOD Rec. 2000 Jun;29(2):93–104.
- 52. Thorndike RL. Who belongs in the family? Psychometrika. 1953 Dec 1;18(4):267–76.
- 53. Syakur MA, Khotimah BK, Rochman EMS, Satoto BD. Integration K-Means Clustering Method and Elbow Method For Identification of The Best Customer Profile Cluster. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng. 2018 Apr;336(1):012017.
- 54. Zhang YR, Wang JJ, Chen SF, Wang HF, Li YZ, Ou YN, et al. Peripheral immunity is associated with the risk of incident dementia. Mol Psychiatry. 2022 Apr;27(4):1956–62.
- 55. Prinz M, Priller J, Sisodia SS, Ransohoff RM. Heterogeneity of CNS myeloid cells and their roles in neurodegeneration. Nat Neurosci. 2011 Oct;14(10):1227–35.
- 56. Russo MV, Latour LL, McGavern DB. Distinct myeloid cell subsets promote meningeal remodeling and vascular repair after mild traumatic brain injury. Nat Immunol. 2018 May;19(5):442–52.
- 57. Ziegler-Heitbrock L. Blood Monocytes and Their Subsets: Established Features and Open Questions. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2023 Jun 12];6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00423
- 58. Berriat F, Lobsiger CS, Boillée S. The contribution of the peripheral immune system to neurodegeneration. Nat Neurosci. 2023 Jun;26(6):942–54.
- 59. Colonna M. The biology of TREM receptors. Nat Rev Immunol. 2023 Feb 7;1–15.
- 60. Serbina NV, Pamer EG. Monocyte emigration from bone marrow during bacterial infection requires signals mediated by chemokine receptor CCR2. Nat Immunol. 2006 Mar;7(3):311–7.
- 61. Cooper MA, Fehniger TA, Caligiuri MA. The biology of human natural killer-cell subsets. Trends Immunol. 2001 Nov 1;22(11):633–40.
- 62. Poli A, Kmiecik J, Domingues O, Hentges F, Bléry M, Chekenya M, et al. NK Cells in Central Nervous System Disorders. J Immunol. 2013 Jun 1;190(11):5355–62.
- 63. Poli A, Michel T, Thérésine M, Andrès E, Hentges F, Zimmer J. CD56bright natural killer (NK) cells: an important NK cell subset. Immunology. 2009;126(4):458–65.
- 64. Deczkowska A, Weiner A, Amit I. The Physiology, Pathology, and Potential Therapeutic Applications of the TREM2 Signaling Pathway. Cell. 2020 Jun 11;181(6):1207–17.

- Peng X, Guo H, Zhang X, Yang Z, Ruganzu JB, Yang Z, et al. TREM2 Inhibits Tau Hyperphosphorylation and Neuronal Apoptosis via the PI3K/Akt/GSK-3β Signaling Pathway In vivo and In vitro. Mol Neurobiol. 2023 May 1;60(5):2470–85.
- 66. George J. TREM2 as an evolving therapeutic target in Alzheimer's disease. Neural Regen Res. 2023 Apr 10;18(12):2680–1.
- 67. Earls RH, Menees KB, Chung J, Gutekunst CA, Lee HJ, Hazim MG, et al. NK cells clear αsynuclein and the depletion of NK cells exacerbates synuclein pathology in a mouse model of α-synucleinopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020 Jan 21;117(3):1762–71.

	Control	AD	CBS	LBD	FTD	PSP	Group difference
n	37	24	22	30	18	54	-
Sex, F/M	14/22	8/15	16/6	4/26	6/12	26/28	Chi Squared =
							20.31, p < 0.001*
Age, years,	69 (7)	73 (7)	70 (9)	74 (8)	69 (7)	72 (7)	ns
Mean (SD)							
MMSE Mean	29(1)	23(5)	25(5)	25(4)	21(9)	27 (4)	H = 49.2, df = 5,
(SD)							p<0.001*
ACE-R Total,	96 (3)	67	75	72	69	81	H = 76.8, df = 5,
Mean (SD)		(16)	(18)	(15)	(26)	(13)	p<0.001*
n Deceased by	0	2	2	10	10	20	-
Census Date							

Tables

Table 1. Demographic Information and cognitive test results for controls and patients in the study. AD = Alzheimer's disease, CBS = Corticobasal syndrome, LBD = Lewy Body Disease, FTD = frontotemporal dementia, PSP = progressive supranuclear palsy. Differences in sex were evaluated using Chi Squared test. Differences in cognitive test scores were evaluated using Kruskal Wallace Tests followed by Dunn's Post hoc analysis giving H. * indicates statistical significance between groups at p < 0.05.



Figure 1. Euclidean Distance dissimilarity analysis and hierarchical clustering. Darker colors and larger values indicate greater dissimilarity between corresponding diagnostic groups, while lighter colors indicate relative similarity. In the dendrogram, note that all patients separate from controls initially, and that the family of disorders associated with frontotemporal lobar degeneration

pathologies are relatively similar to each other, in contrast to Alzheimer's disease and Dementia with Lewy bodies.



Figure 2. A) Median individual loading onto PC1 between controls and all-cause dementia patients, p = 0.02. B) Median individual loading onto PC1 for each diagnostic group. Note the absence of significant differences between patient groups. C) Cellular correlations to PC1 extracted following PCA. Darker colors indicate stronger positive and negative correlations to PC1. Mann Whitney U and Kruskal Wallace tests were used to compare medians. Results were considered significant at p < .05 indicated with *. A dashed line indicates a result of statistical significance prior to FDR correction that did not maintain significance following correction.



Figure 3. A) Median individual loading onto PC2 between controls and all-cause dementia patients. B) Median individual loading onto PC2 for each group. C) Cellular correlations to PC2 extracted following PCA. Darker colors indicate stronger positive and negative correlations to PC3. Mann Whitney U and Kruskal Wallace tests were used to compare medians. Results were considered significant at p <0.05.



Figure 4. A) Median individual loading onto PC3 between controls and all-cause dementia patients, p = 0.015. B) Median individual loading onto PC3 for each group. Post hoc comparisons indicate LBD differs individually from controls, p = 0.01. C) Cellular correlations to PC3 extracted following PCA. Darker colors indicate stronger positive and negative correlations to PC3. Mann Whitney U and Kruskal Wallace tests were used to compare medians followed by Dunn's Post hoc analysis with FDR correction for multiple comparison.



Figure 5. Years of survival following blood draw against individual PC1 loading across the subset of 42 patients who had died by the census date. A linear regression was used to establish how years of survival following blood draw was predicted by individual PC1 loading across all patient groups (y = 0.4501x + 2.0821, F = 10.098, p = 0.0028, $r^2 = 0.1816$). Outcomes were considered significant at p < .05.