

Designing a Perioperative Mind-Body Intervention for Peripheral Vascular Interventions

C. Y. Maximilian Png MD¹, Darshan Mehta MD, MPH^{2,7}, Anahita Dua MD, MBA, MPH¹, Antonia Stephen MD³, Alex M. Bruce RT⁴, Aynsley Forsythe RN⁴, Hovig V. Chitilian MD⁵, Erik J. Bringle RN⁴, James C. Simpson MD⁵, Katherine M. Parady RN⁴, Lisa A. McNeil RN⁴, Margaret A. Baim MS ANP-BC², Matthew J. Eagleton MD¹, David C. Chang MPH PhD⁶, Gloria Y. Yeh MD, MPH^{7,8}

1. Division of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston MA

2. Benson-Henry Institute for Mind Body Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

3. Division of Endocrine Surgery, Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

4. Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

5. Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

6. Codman Center for Clinical Effectiveness in Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

7. Osher Center for Integrative Health, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

8. Division of General Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA

Corresponding Author:

Chien Yi Maximilian Png, MD

Massachusetts General Hospital, Division of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery

Mailing Address: 15 Parkman St, Boston, MA 02114.

Phone Number: (617) 726-8278

Email: cypng@gmail.com

Keywords: Perioperative Meditation, Integrative Medicine, Vascular Surgery, Conscious Sedation

Background: Peripheral vascular interventions (PVIs) performed under procedural sedation and analgesia (PSA) can be associated with anxiety and poor compliance with patient instructions during surgery. Mind-body interventions (MBIs) such as meditation have demonstrated the potential to decrease perioperative anxiety, though this area is understudied, and no tailored interventions have been developed for the vascular surgical patient population.

Objectives: We aimed to design a perioperative MBI that specifically targeted vascular surgical patients undergoing PVIs under PSA. We sought to perform this in a scientifically rigorous, multi-disciplinary collaborative manner.

Methods: Following the Obesity-Related Behavioral Intervention Trials (ORBIT) model, we designed (Phase 1a) and then refined (Phase 1b) a MBI for patients undergoing PVIs under PSA to decrease perioperative anxiety and sedation and facilitate patient intraoperative compliance. Phase 1a involved a literature review, informal information gathering and synthesis, and drafting a preliminary protocol for a perioperative MBI. Phase 1b involved assembling a multi-disciplinary expert panel of perioperative and mind-body clinicians and researchers to improve the MBI using an iterative, modified Delphi approach.

Results: The modified Delphi process was completed, and a consensus was reached after three iterations. The resulting MBI consisted of two seven-minute preoperative guided meditations on the day of surgery, including diaphragmatic breathing, body scans, and guided imagery emphasizing awareness of the ipsilateral leg where the vascular surgery was performed. A document delineating the integration of the MBI into the operating room workflow was produced, including details regarding the intervention's timing, duration, and modality.

Conclusion: Using a multi-specialty expert panel, we designed a novel MBI in the form of a guided meditation with elements of mindfulness and guided imagery to decrease anxiety and increase intraoperative compliance for patients undergoing PVIs under PSA. A prospective pilot study is being planned to test the program's feasibility.

Introduction:

Perioperative anxiety is a state of anxiety that patients often experience before and during their surgical procedure related to anesthesia or surgery, including fears about their medical condition, adverse events, or complications.^{1,2} This anxiety is extremely common, even in individuals without any prior diagnosis of anxiety disorder, and has been associated with perioperative hemodynamic instability and, correspondingly, worse procedural outcomes.^{1,3} Perioperative anxiety can be further compounded for patients with an anesthetic plan of procedural sedation and analgesia (PSA, also known as conscious sedation) as opposed to general anesthesia because they remain continuously aware of perioperative activity.^{4,5} For patients undergoing peripheral vascular interventions (PVIs), PSA is often the preferred standard-of-care, because it avoids the cardiopulmonary stress of intubation, though patients need to be awake enough to comply with essential perioperative instructions from proceduralists such as holding the ipsilateral leg still and breath holds at certain intervals.⁶ Perioperative anxiety can be especially problematic in those undergoing PVI's since it can impact patients' ability to comply with these intra-operative procedural instructions, which can result in repeated imaging attempts and thus increased intravenous contrast administration and radiation exposure to both the patients and the operative room providers. For such patients, the pharmacological balance of anesthesia between patient comfort/anxiety reduction and intraoperative compliance can be challenging, as oversedation can not only result in cardiopulmonary compromise but also involuntary disinhibition, which can prompt intraoperative conversion to general anesthesia or even on-table abortion of the procedure.⁷

Mind-body interventions (MBIs) have been shown to have anxiolytic and resulting positive effects on hemodynamic parameters in both the inpatient and outpatient settings.^{8,9} Prior perioperative MBIs have ranged from relaxation techniques and music therapy to hypnosis, with mixed but generally favorable results in terms of postoperative pain, anxiety, and even hemodynamics such as modest decreases in heart rate and diastolic blood pressure.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ Additionally, a study comparing pharmacological sedation to pharmacological sedation with adjunctive hypnosis showed that there were potential cost savings even if the group with adjunctive hypnosis consumed more operating room time.¹⁸ In a ninety-patient trial of patients undergoing spinal fusion surgery, perioperative cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) was also shown to result in improved pain tolerance and improved postoperative mobility.¹⁹ More recently, preoperative meditation has demonstrated encouraging results; three sessions of preoperative pre-prandial Rajyoga meditation in cardiac surgery patients not only resulted in less postoperative anxiety but also significantly decreased serum cortisol levels compared to a control group.²⁰ Other studies in orthopedic surgery have associated similar mind-body interventions with better sleep quality and pain control,²¹ and several trials are still ongoing.²²

Despite this supportive literature, this area remains understudied. There are several notable challenges to implementing such interventions in the perioperative arena, including time constraints, concomitant periprocedural tasks from various operating room teams, the potential for additional staff to be needed, and the need for more high-quality evidence to support such novel interventions.²³⁻²⁵ Furthermore, while prior MBIs trialed perioperatively

have shown preliminary promise, to our knowledge, interventions have not been designed for or tailored to a specific surgery. There has yet to be an intervention with content for vascular surgical patients.¹⁷ Given the intraoperative instructions for patients undergoing PVIs that are unique to vascular surgery, a guided meditation program focused on breath awareness and body scan techniques could potentially synergize with adequate analgesia and sedation goals.⁷ As such, we sought to design a novel perioperative MBI with the goal to decrease anxiety and increase intraoperative compliance for patients undergoing PVIs under PSA.

Methods:

To develop our intervention, we used the framework from the ORBIT model, which is known as a flexible and progressive approach for the creation of novel behavioral treatments.²⁶ Given the multitude of stakeholders in the perioperative space, we sought to have input from perioperative nurses, radiation technologists, anesthesiologists, surgeons, integrative medicine clinicians, and researchers, and also vascular surgical patients themselves, to design a mind-body intervention using a multi-disciplinary expert panel with both high fidelity and high likelihood of successful integration into the perioperative space. This paper describes the equivalent of Phase Ia (Design) and Phase Ib (Refine) from the ORBIT model to develop our intervention. This process was deemed exempt by the Mass General Brigham Institutional Review Board.

Phase Ia (Design):

We began the design of our protocol with a literature review of prior mind-body interventions and anxiolytic therapies used in the perioperative care of surgical patients. We additionally gathered informal information through contact with thought-leaders in the mind-body clinical and research field (through the personal network of our study team, including but not limited to those within our institutions) to solicit feedback. Leaders included the clinical and research directors and researchers at the Benson-Henry Institute for Mind-Body Medicine at Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), the Osher Center for Integrative Health at Harvard Medical School and Brigham & Women's Hospital, and leaders in the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction program developed at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. In the context of routine clinical care, the lead investigator (CP) had previously sought informal feedback from ten patients who had previously undergone PVIs regarding their perioperative care and how they felt their experience would have been improved.

With the synthesis of this information and support, we modified a preexisting, publicly available breath awareness and body scan guided meditation script by Jon Kabat-Zinn²⁷ to tailor it to our target patient population. Given that the typical vascular surgical patient undergoing PVIs is of advanced age and comorbid, we were deliberate about using a trauma-informed perspective when editing our script.²⁸ The stated goals of the intervention were to decrease patient anxiety, increase patient compliance with intermittent breath holds, and keep the procedure extremity still.

This early script and the preliminarily proposed logistics of the intervention were presented during two group meetings, each with perioperative staff, including vascular anesthesiologists and nurses, to solicit verbal feedback. Logistical considerations of the MBI included the timing (specifically how to chronologically integrate it into the typical perioperative workflow), duration (the length of the recording(s)), and location (the pre-operative holding area versus in transit to the operating room versus in the operating room). Based on this initial feedback received and with input from the senior study investigators with mind-body research expertise, a proposed protocol for our PVI-specific guided meditation program was developed.

Phase Ib (Refine):

Expert panel: Formal recruitment for our expert panel was performed through the leadership of the respective departments of integrative medicine, surgery, and anesthesia at MGH to have appropriate representation amongst healthcare providers who care for vascular surgical patients in the perioperative sphere at MGH. We first began via open feedback from the core investigator team (CP, DM, GY) to develop a detailed protocol, which included basic tenets of when, where, and how the meditation program would be implemented in the context of the perioperative encounter. Next, as described below, the input of the larger expert panel was solicited and iteratively incorporated into the design of the proposed MBI. While the program's stated objectives were to decrease patient anxiety perioperatively and increase patient compliance with on-table instruction intraoperatively, it was emphasized that this intervention should minimize disruption to the existing operating room workflow and avoid adding additional work for the perioperative staff.

We employed a modified Delphi process for the Expert Panel according to the following iterative steps:

1. Documents containing background research, objectives, and the preliminary protocol and preliminary meditation script were distributed to the panel, with highlighted changes from the prior iteration of the program.
2. The panel members reviewed the documents. Then, they provided their assessment of the current version of the intervention via RedCap survey, a secure web-based application that allowed reporting and collating of responses directly to the lead investigator while remaining anonymous to the rest of the panel. This assessment had two parts: numerical ratings on a Likert scale (Figure 1, where 1 corresponded to Strongly Agree and 5 corresponded to Strongly Disagree) and the second was open-ended feedback regarding program elements being debated. These question categories included assessments of potential implications for current perioperative workflow and the potential benefits (to both the patients and healthcare providers) of the proposed intervention.
3. Based on such responses, the lead investigator interviewed individual panel members individually for further elaboration and clarification.
4. The feedback (from steps 2 and 3) was then summarized in a Word document, which included descriptive statistics of the numerical ratings.
5. The core investigator team then convened to review the documents and make appropriate modifications to the program.

6. This cycle was repeated until the expert panel achieved consensus (pre-determined to be a mean score of less than 1.5).

Results:

Phase 1a (Design):

Content of the meditation audio script

Informed by our preexisting clinical knowledge and experience and the informal feedback from our clinical PVI patients, the preexisting guided meditation script was preliminarily modified. Patients mentioned the sensations of pain and cramping most noticeable during obtaining femoral arterial access, but also during balloon angioplasty, and discomfort throughout the procedure when they were asked to keep their ipsilateral leg in the same position for a prolonged period. Based on the synthesis of this information and our literature search, the script was modified to focus on the body scan of the ipsilateral leg (of the procedure) and mindful breath awareness. A focused body scan of the groin area was initially included due to the potential benefit of increased awareness of the site planned for vascular access. After investigator discussion in the context of best trauma-informed perspectives, this component was removed and deemed too emotionally sensitive an area to target. The script was further refined with appropriate language to contextualize the program script as an adaptation of the original and to state the aim of the study to improve perioperative clinical outcomes. We also received recommendations for the voice pacing indicators for the audio recording.

Implementation in the perioperative setting

During our meetings with our perioperative colleagues, there were two critical points of deliberation. First was the location of the guided meditation MBI. An advantage of performing the MBI in the preoperative holding area would be more available time, logistically easier implementation, and more flexibility with timing. An advantage to performing the MBI in the operating room is the potential for more durable and temporal benefits of guided meditation due to the decreased lead-in time to the surgical procedure. Having at least a component of the MBI in the preoperative area would take advantage of unused "buffer time" between procedures when the patient is left waiting. Secondly, standardization of anesthesia regimens across PVIs was proposed, which would better allow for inter-PVI comparisons despite the potential need for some anesthesiologists to depart from their usual anesthetic routines. The protocol proposed at this stage remained in the preoperative setting but included the possibility of an intraoperative component. These meetings also confirmed that our expert panel would have appropriate representation from all the key stakeholders.

Phase 1b (Refine):

Expert Panel Recruitment:

Twelve members were recruited for the expert panel, comprising two vascular surgeons with experience in clinical trials (AD, ME), one academic endocrine surgeon (AS), two academic vascular anesthesiologists (HC, JS), two vascular operating room nurses (EB, KP), two perioperative care unit nurses (AF, LM), one radiation technologist (AB), an integrative medicine nurse practitioner (MB) and a physician with clinical and research expertise in mind-body medicine (GY). All twelve-panel members were retained throughout the study period.

Modified Delphi Panel process:

The modified Delphi process was completed, and consensus (pre-determined as a mean score of less than 1.50) was achieved after three iterations. The first round resulted in a mean score of 1.81, the second round in a mean score of 1.55, and the third round in a mean score of 1.45, thus completing the process. (Figure 2) The statement that consistently saw the best score was statement 2: "This guided meditation program as described could help decrease periprocedural patient anxiety." The statement that saw the most significant improvement between the first and final rounds was statement 5: "This guided meditation program as described has the potential to ease the burden of patient care on operating room providers." this statement improved from a mean score of 2.0 to 1.5. The cumulative distributions of the panel's responses are displayed in Figure 3.

Critical areas of modification/improvement were the timing of existing perioperative workflow, duration of guided meditation sessions, environmental considerations, specific modality, contingencies, script content and distribution across recordings, and recording pace.

Final Protocol:

The final protocol provided a robust framework for the MBI; below are several fundamental tenets that were refined by the expert panel:

<u>Protocol Tenet</u>	<u>Preliminary Phase 1a Protocol vs. Modified Phase 1b Protocol</u>	<u>Rationale</u>
Perioperative Protocol Implementation		
Timing with existing perioperative workflow	Preliminary: Preoperative, location undefined.	The rationale for a session in the preoperative bay was based on the relative amount of "downtime" for patients in this holding area, which presented a window of opportunity for introducing such intervention without causing any undue delay in care. Furthermore, based on the feedback from the perioperative care unit staff, it was common for patients in the preoperative area to exhibit high levels of anxiety. The second session's rationale for being in the operating room was to reduce the time between the meditation intervention and the
	Modified: Two distinct preoperative sessions: One session in the preoperative holding area and One session after transport to the operating room after patient positioning to be concluded before	

	surgery	procedure to minimize any potential "washout" and to assist in reorienting the patients to their new environment.
Duration of the guided meditation session	Preliminary: Between five and ten minutes	The rationale for seven minutes in the preoperative area was to allow for sufficient time to act as a "primer" at an appropriate pace while at the same time allowing for preoperative clinical care to proceed without delay. The session in the operating room was also seven minutes; it was agreed that this was an appropriate amount of time to overlap with the preoperative activities (including but not limited to the time for the surgeons scrubbing and donning the appropriate surgical gloves and gowns, positioning ultrasound equipment, and performing a preoperative timeout.)
	Modified: Seven minutes for the "primer" session in the preoperative holding area and seven minutes for the operating room session.	
Environment	Preliminary: Provide an environment conducive to guided meditation, otherwise unspecified.	The rationale for dimming and darkening lights and minimizing movement of operating room requirements was to reduce external stimuli that could distract the patient from the guided meditation session. Language that decreased the likelihood of the patients being distracted or even distressed by external stimuli was also inserted into the guided meditation script.
	Modified: Optimize environment for meditation that is appropriate for the perioperative setting by dimming room lights and minimizing staff and equipment movement during the meditation delivery.	
Modality	Preliminary: This will be delivered via headphones, but clinical staff interruptions are allowed if necessary.	The rationale for the MBI to be delivered via a prerecorded script was to increase fidelity and reproducibility compared to delivery from a live practitioner, even if a script was used. Furthermore, open-ear headphones would allow for rapid interruptions if clinically indicated. The tablet device would serve as a real-time monitor for how far along the patient was in the guided meditation, which would be particularly relevant in the case of interruptions.
	Modified: To be explicitly delivered via open-ear headphones with the corresponding script continuously	

	scrolling on a tablet.	
Contingencies	Preliminary: To have protocols to de-escalate patients in the case of increased anxiety.	The rationale for having contingency protocols is that heightened anxiety in the perioperative setting is prevalent and the target of our intervention. Furthermore, paradoxically increased anxiety has been described during the practice of relaxation techniques (particularly in patients with preexisting anxiety or depressive disorders). However, this is uncommon and has not been described perioperatively. ²⁹ Grounding techniques (which include patients grounding their sense of sight by opening their eyes and their sense of touch by grasping a plush toy within reach) were added to the protocol if needed as a first-line response with pharmacological agents as a second-line therapy.
	Modified: To use grounding of the patients' senses as a de-escalation strategy, in addition to having no restriction on anesthetic practices.	
MBI Content		
Script Content	<p>Preliminary: Main script components of diaphragmatic breathing, body scan meditation of ipsilateral leg, and elements of guided imagery</p> <p>Modified: No change</p>	The expert panel did not have further recommendations regarding elements of the script and agreed that the content could be beneficial for the stated goals. Breathing was used as a mindful awareness anchor and attention to the breath, which is later manipulated intraoperatively (breath holds during the procedure). Body scan of ipsilateral leg from toes to thigh focused on the surgical extremity. Guided imagery language envisioning the blood vessels as a river and the surrounding muscles and bones as the riverbank were used to increase accessibility and facilitate meditation of the leg.
Distribution of script content	<p>Preliminary: All meditation script content in a single continuous recording</p> <p>Modified: Two separate recordings to deliver appropriate content as a separate primer session and operating room session</p>	Each recording included contextualizing language to reflect the actual location of the patient, as well as encouragement to focus on the meditation regardless of potential surrounding distractors. The first session contained a brief introduction, including the program's purpose, then focused on essential elements of breath awareness, body scan meditation of the ipsilateral leg, and then return to diaphragmatic breathing. The rationale was to provide a primer to the practice before the operating room. Once in the operating room, the

		second session started with the same breath awareness and body scan mediation of the ipsilateral leg that had already been introduced. The session also included guided imagery.
Pace of recording	<p>Preliminary: Audio script recording to fit within the specified duration</p> <p>Modified: Slow down the audio tempo in certain sections to provide pauses between instructions.</p>	Rationale: To allow time for patients to absorb instruction at the moment and practice accordingly.

Discussion:

In a systematic approach, we successfully designed a novel MBI in the form of a guided meditation with elements of mindfulness and guided imagery for patients undergoing PVIs under PSA. To our knowledge, this is the first MBI with tailored content specific to a surgical procedure, both within and outside vascular surgery. Additionally, it is the first MBI to specifically target the intra-operative needs of the patient and clinical team during surgery by aiming to increase patient compliance with on-table instruction regarding breath holds and maintaining ipsilateral leg stability.

Our recent nationwide survey of vascular surgeons shows that patient cooperation during PVIs is essential and provides strong rationale for this MBI intervention to have immense benefits regarding perioperative anxiolysis and patient compliance, with few potential risks, particularly compared to pharmacologic agents.^{7,30} Via the established ORBIT framework and the modified Delphi technique, the design of this intervention has resulted in a protocol that has taken into account the complex perioperative setting, time and efficiency of the operating room flow, and the needs and preferences of the perioperative staff and the greatest chance of being clinical efficacious while still minimizing any potential disruption to the existing operating room workflow. The following steps include a subsequent Phase 2A proof-of-concept pilot study in patients undergoing PVI under PSA to inform the feasibility and acceptability of the MBI. (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT05837481)

Funding: The research reported in this publication was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Quality and Research. (F32HS028943; Principal Investigator: C.Y. Maximilian Png)

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Jon Kabat-Zinn, Ph.D., for providing consultation regarding our study and for personally editing a preliminary iteration of our guided meditation script.

Conflict of interest: The authors report no potential conflicts of interest.


References:

1. Kassahun WT, Mehdorn M, Wagner TC, et al. The effect of preoperative patient-reported anxiety on morbidity and mortality outcomes in patients undergoing major general surgery. *Sci Rep* 2022;12(1):6312, doi:10.1038/s41598-022-10302-z
2. Ramsay MA. A survey of pre-operative fear. *Anaesthesia* 1972;27(4):396-402, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.1972.tb08244.x
3. Jovanovic K, Kalezić N, Sipetic Grujicic S, et al. Preoperative Anxiety is Associated With Postoperative Complications in Vascular Surgery: A Cross-Sectional Study. *World J Surg* 2022;46(8):1987-1996, doi:10.1007/s00268-022-06575-0
4. Delewi R, Vlastra W, Rohling WJ, et al. Anxiety levels of patients undergoing coronary procedures in the catheterization laboratory. *Int J Cardiol* 2017;228(926-930, doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.11.043
5. Png CYM, Korayem A, Finlay DJ. Post-General Anesthesia Ultrasound-Guided Venous Mapping Increases Autogenous Access Placement Rates. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2018;51(132-140, doi:10.1016/j.avsg.2018.02.015
6. Png CYM, Kim Y, Jessula S, et al. Reassuring Intraoperative Parameters Do Not Obviate the Need for Infrainguinal Bypass Completion Angiograms. *Ann Surg* 2023;277(5):e1164-e1168, doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000005363
7. Png CYM, Boitano LT, Srivastava SD, et al. Room for improvement in patient compliance during peripheral vascular interventions. *JVS Vasc Insights* 2024;2(doi:10.1016/j.jvsvi.2024.100059
8. Bhasin MK, Denninger JW, Huffman JC, et al. Specific Transcriptome Changes Associated with Blood Pressure Reduction in Hypertensive Patients After Relaxation Response Training. *J Altern Complement Med* 2018;24(5):486-504, doi:10.1089/acm.2017.0053
9. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2018;138(17):e426-e483, doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000597
10. Ratcliff CG, Prinsloo S, Chaoul A, et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Brief Mindfulness Meditation for Women Undergoing Stereotactic Breast Biopsy. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2019;16(5):691-699, doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.009
11. Bradt J, Dileo C, Shim M. Music interventions for preoperative anxiety. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;6):CD006908, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006908.pub2
12. Powell R, Scott NW, Manyande A, et al. Psychological preparation and postoperative outcomes for adults undergoing surgery under general anaesthesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;5):CD008646, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008646.pub2
13. Nelson EA, Dowsey MM, Knowles SR, et al. Systematic review of the efficacy of pre-surgical mind-body based therapies on post-operative outcome measures. *Complement Ther Med* 2013;21(6):697-711, doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2013.08.020
14. Goldmann L, Ogg TW, Levey AB. Hypnosis and daycase anaesthesia. A study to reduce pre-operative anxiety and intra-operative anaesthetic requirements. *Anaesthesia* 1988;43(6):466-9, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.1988.tb06633.x
15. Diaz M, Larsen B. Preparing for successful surgery: an implementation study. *Perm J* 2005;9(3):23-7, doi:10.7812/tpp/04-073

16. Tusek D, Church JM, Fazio VW. Guided imagery as a coping strategy for perioperative patients. *AORN J* 1997;66(4):644-9, doi:10.1016/s0001-2092(06)62917-7
17. Lang EV, Benotsch EG, Fick LJ, et al. Adjunctive non-pharmacological analgesia for invasive medical procedures: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;355(9214):1486-90, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02162-0
18. Lang EV, Rosen MP. Cost analysis of adjunct hypnosis with sedation during outpatient interventional radiologic procedures. *Radiology* 2002;222(2):375-82, doi:10.1148/radiol.2222010528
19. Rolving N, Nielsen CV, Christensen FB, et al. Preoperative cognitive-behavioural intervention improves in-hospital mobilisation and analgesic use for lumbar spinal fusion patients. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016;17(217, doi:10.1186/s12891-016-1078-8
20. Kiran U, Ladha S, Makhija N, et al. The role of Rajyoga meditation for modulation of anxiety and serum cortisol in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: A prospective randomized control study. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2017;20(2):158-162, doi:10.4103/aca.ACA_32_17
21. Canfield MJ, Cremins MS, Vellanky SS, et al. Evaluating the Success of Perioperative Self-Guided Meditation in Reducing Sleep Disturbance After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2021, doi:10.1016/j.arth.2021.01.070
22. Packiasabapathy S, Susheela AT, Mueller A, et al. Guided meditation as an adjunct to enhance postoperative recovery after cardiac surgery: study protocol for a prospective randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Trials* 2019;20(1):39, doi:10.1186/s13063-018-3103-8
23. Hanley AW, Gililland J, Erickson J, et al. Brief preoperative mind-body therapies for total joint arthroplasty patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2021;162(6):1749-1757, doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002195
24. Hanley AW, Gililland J, Garland EL. To be mindful of the breath or pain: Comparing two brief preoperative mindfulness techniques for total joint arthroplasty patients. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2021;89(7):590-600, doi:10.1037/ccp0000657
25. Abensur Vuillaume L, Gentilhomme C, Weber S, et al. Effectiveness of Hypnosis for the Prevention of Anxiety During Coronary Angiography (HYPCOR study): a prospective randomized study. *BMC Complement Med Ther* 2022;22(1):315, doi:10.1186/s12906-022-03792-x
26. Czajkowski SM, Powell LH, Adler N, et al. From ideas to efficacy: The ORBIT model for developing behavioral treatments for chronic diseases. *Health Psychol* 2015;34(10):971-82, doi:10.1037/hea0000161
27. Williams JMG. *The mindful way through depression : freeing yourself from chronic unhappiness*. Guilford Press: New York; 2007.
28. In: *Trauma-Informed Care in Behavioral Health Services*. Rockville (MD); 2014.
29. Kim H, Newman MG. The paradox of relaxation training: Relaxation induced anxiety and mediation effects of negative contrast sensitivity in generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord* 2019;259(271-278, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2019.08.045
30. Wright JD, Cogan JC, Huang Y, et al. Association of New Perioperative Benzodiazepine Use With Persistent Benzodiazepine Use. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4(6):e2112478, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.12478

1)	This guided meditation program as described could be feasible with minimal disruption to current operating room flow.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly Agree <input type="radio"/> Slightly Agree <input type="radio"/> Neutral <input type="radio"/> Slightly Disagree <input type="radio"/> Strongly Disagree
2)	This guided meditation program as described could help with decreasing periprocedural patient anxiety.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly Agree <input type="radio"/> Slightly Agree <input type="radio"/> Neutral <input type="radio"/> Slightly Disagree <input type="radio"/> Strongly Disagree
3)	This guided meditation program as described could help with increasing patient ability to comply with periprocedural instructions (eg. Holding their breath, keeping their treated leg still).	<input type="radio"/> Strongly Agree <input type="radio"/> Slightly Agree <input type="radio"/> Neutral <input type="radio"/> Slightly Disagree <input type="radio"/> Strongly Disagree
4)	This guided meditation program as described has the potential to improve patient safety for patients undergoing peripheral vascular interventions.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly Agree <input type="radio"/> Slightly Agree <input type="radio"/> Neutral <input type="radio"/> Slightly Disagree <input type="radio"/> Strongly Disagree
5)	This guided meditation program as described has potential to ease the burden of patient care on operating room providers.	<input type="radio"/> Strongly Agree <input type="radio"/> Slightly Agree <input type="radio"/> Neutral <input type="radio"/> Slightly Disagree <input type="radio"/> Strongly Disagree

Mean Scores of Expert Panel Responses to Protocol Iterations



Q1: Minimal Disruption



Q3: Increase Compliance



Q5: Decrease Burden of Patient Care




Q2: Decrease Anxiety



Q4: Improve Patient Safety

Distributions of Expert Panel Responses to Protocol Iterations



StA: Strongly Agree, SA: Slightly Agree, N: Neutral, SD: Slightly Disagree, StD: Strongly Disagree