

# Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## TITLE PAGE

- ***Title of the article*** – Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka
- ***Corresponding Author information***
  - Full Name - Nadisha Ratnasekera
  - E-mail address – nadisha.rat@gmail.com
- ***Co-authors information***
  - Full names – Nadisha Ratnasekera<sup>1</sup>, Irosha Perera<sup>2</sup>, Pushpakumara Kandapola Arachchige<sup>3</sup>, Sumeth Perera<sup>4</sup>, Prasanna Jayasekara<sup>5</sup>
  - Institutions, city and country
    1. Postgraduate Institute of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
    2. Preventive Oral Health Unit, National Dental Hospital (Teaching), Sri Lanka
    3. National Cancer Hospital, Maharagama (Apeksha Hospital), Sri Lanka
    4. Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka
    5. National Cancer Control Programme, Colombo 5, Sri Lanka
- ***Keywords*** –oral cancer, head and neck cancer, psychological distress, Distress Thermometer, case-control study
- ***Word count*** – Abstract 271, Main text 3139

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## **ABSTRACT**

### ***Introduction***

Oral cancer is the most common among Sri Lankan males leading to psychological distress due to its impact on the appearance and vital functions. While the negative effects of psychological distress on these patients are well known, its prevalence and associated factors remain largely unknown in Sri Lanka. This study aimed to assess the prevalence and the associated factors of psychological distress among a selected patient cohort with oral cancer in Sri Lanka.

### ***Methods***

A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 355 patients with oral cancer to assess the prevalence of psychological distress. A nested case-control study among 140 (per arm) patients evaluated the associated factors for psychological distress. The multivariate analysis was carried out to identify significant factors associated with psychological distress.

### ***Results***

The prevalence of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer was 31.0% (95% CI = 27.8%- 35.3%). Being <50 years of age (Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR)= 1.2, 95% CI= 0.7- 1.7, p= 0.006), having pain (AOR (Adjusted Odds Ratio)= 44.7, 95% CI= 34-53.21, p=0.001 ), late stages of cancer at the diagnosis (AOR= 10.7, 95% CI= 1.07- 28.78, p=0.04), being worried about basic functional disabilities (AOR= 11.4, 95% CI= 10.3- 14.8, p=0.006) and the two psychological factors emerged as significant independent factors that were associated with increased risk of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer.

### ***Conclusion***

One-third of patients with oral cancer in the selected tertiary care hospitals suffered from psychological distress indicating its high prevalence. Our findings on associated factors of psychological distress in patients with oral cancer have contributed to the development of an intervention strategy to reduce psychological distress in this population.

## Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

- **What is already known on this topic** – Psychological distress in patients with oral cancer negatively impacts the treatment outcomes and patient’s quality of life. However, the prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress in Sri Lankan patients with oral cancer are unknown. This lack of knowledge hinders efforts to improve treatment success and patient quality of life.
- **What this study adds** – Sri Lankan patients with oral cancer experience high rates of psychological distress, with several significant contributing factors
- **How this study might affect research, practice or policy** – This study findings can guide a national program to identify and support Sri Lankan patients with oral cancer experiencing psychological distress, promoting early access to palliative care

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## INTRODUCTION

The sixth leading cancer in the world is oral and pharyngeal cancer<sup>1</sup>. In Sri Lanka, oral cancer is the most common cancer among males, which was 15.5% of all the newly reported cancer cases in 2020. There is an increasing trend in the Age Standardized Rates of oral cancers especially in males from 1995-2020 (12.2 to 22)<sup>2,3</sup>.

During the diagnosis and treatment of cancer, patients undergo an array of physical and mental health problems that cause psychological distress<sup>4,5</sup>. Psychological distress is defined as a multifactorial, unpleasant emotional experience with physical, psychological, social, spiritual, and cultural dimensions, negatively impacting not only the quality of life of patients but their treatment outcomes as well<sup>6</sup>. The prevalence of psychological distress among patients with all types of cancer in the literature varies from 20% to 70%<sup>6-11</sup>. The limited research on psychological distress among oral cancer patients has revealed its prevalence spanning from 25% to 41%<sup>12-15</sup>.

Untreated psychological distress can result in low compliance with medical care, slow recovery from illness, reduction in the quality of life, poor adjustment to life after cancer treatment, high likelihood of tumor recurrence, and a low survival rate<sup>16-21</sup>. Hence, timely detection of psychological distress in patients with oral cancer must encompass oncological care in hospitals<sup>22</sup>.

Several factors are associated with the occurrence of psychological distress in patients with cancer. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline on distress management (2017) has summarized the risk factors of psychological distress as: a) health-related risk factors, b) personal risk factors, c) spiritual, d) social factors, and e) other risk factors - sexual and physical abuse, substance use disorders, and other mental disorders<sup>23</sup>. Via a critical appraisal of the literature, it is evident that the categorization of the associated factors for psychological distress differs in each study based on a given psycho-social context. However, the basic four associated factors for psychological distress remain consistent, including socio-demographic characteristics, medical or disease-related factors, social factors, and psychological factors<sup>22-38</sup>.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

There are minimal studies carried out in Sri Lanka to assess the prevalence of psychological distress among patients with any cancer. By using the General Health Questionnaire-12 as the measuring tool a prevalence of 69% was reported among patients with oral cancer<sup>39</sup> and 30.66% among patients with breast cancer<sup>40</sup>. There is limited research on psychological distress among patients with cancer in Sri Lanka. Using the General Health Questionnaire-12 as an assessment tool, a prevalence of 69% was evident among patients with oral cancer<sup>39</sup>, while a prevalence of 30.66% was shown among patients with breast cancer<sup>40</sup>. To the best of the author's knowledge, no studies have been undertaken to identify the associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka. The current study, therefore, aims to explore the prevalence and the associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### ***Study design***

We conducted a descriptive cross-section multi-center study with a nested case-control study. Figure 1 gives a schematic presentation of the nested case-control study.

(Insert Figure 1 here)

### ***Study setting and period***

The study was conducted from September 2019 to January 2020, in selected tertiary care units providing oral cancer treatment in Sri Lanka (The National Dental Hospital (Teaching) Sri Lanka (NSHTSL), Colombo South (Teaching) Hospital, Apeksha Hospital -Maharagama, and Karapitiya (Teaching) Hospital).

### ***Study participants***

The inclusion criteria were: patients who had received a definitive diagnosis of oral cancer, with clear communication about the diagnosis occurring at least one month beforehand. Additionally, the patient could be able to communicate and read well in the Sinhala language. The patients who had recurrent oral cancer, had a history of psychiatric disease, had been already treated for psychological distress at the Psychological Counseling, Spiritual and Social Development Unit,

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka Apeksha Hospital, were receiving end-of-life palliative care, and with other severe co-morbidities were excluded from the study. All patients with oral cancer who fulfilled the eligibility criteria and gave informed consent were recruited for the study.

For the case-control study, the participants were a subset of the study units who took part in the prevalence study. The participants were divided into two groups as cases and controls. The cases included patients who were identified as having psychological distress after applying the Sinhala-validated Distress Thermometer (cut-off value  $\geq 4$ )<sup>41</sup>. The controls included patients who were identified as **not** having psychological distress after applying the Sinhala-validated Distress thermometer (cut-off value  $< 4$ )<sup>41</sup>.

### ***Procedure***

The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka (EC- 18-097).

Sinhala-validated Distress Thermometer and a questionnaire comprised of socio-demographic details were applied to all recruited patients. The data collection was carried out by the principal investigator with the support of a trained data collector. All the patients who were with psychological distress were recruited as cases and the first 140 patients who were without psychological distress were recruited as controls. A questionnaire to assess the associated factors of psychological distress was given to both cases and controls.

### ***Study size***

The sample size for the prevalence study was calculated with a Z value of 1.96 at a 95% confidence interval and 0.05 precision. The anticipated prevalence of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer was 30.7%<sup>40</sup>, and for a 10% non-response rate, the sample size for the prevalence study was 355<sup>41</sup>. For the unmatched case-control study the highest sample size gained for the estimated odds ratio of the associated factors was 65 per arm after adjusting for a non-response rate of 5%<sup>42</sup>. However, since this case-control study was carried out on a subset of the prevalence study, all the 140 cases that were presented in the prevalence study were recruited. The cases: controls ratio was 1:1.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

### ***Data measurement***

The Sinhala-validated Distress Thermometer was used as the screening tool to identify patients with oral cancer who had psychological distress<sup>41</sup>. Based on a conceptual framework a multi-component, interviewer-administered questionnaire was developed to determine the associated factors of psychological distress<sup>44</sup>. The questionnaire was assessed for judgmental validity by 10 experts in the fields of oncology, oro-maxillo facial surgery, sociology, public health, and psychology and was pre-tested on 20 patients with oral cancer at Gampaha District General Hospital which is another tertiary care institute providing cancer care.

### ***Statistical methods***

The SPSS version 21 was used for the data analysis. The clinically validated cut-off point of 4 was used to identify the presence of psychological distress<sup>41</sup>. The adjusted prevalence was presented considering the psychometric properties of the Distress Thermometer<sup>45</sup>.

The bivariate cross-tabulations were used to assess the associated factors for psychological distress. The strength of each variable as an associated factor for psychological distress was calculated by unadjusted odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (Appendix I). The multivariate analysis was performed using the Logistic Regression (LR) technique to identify the Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) of the factors. The goodness of fit of the model was assessed with Hosmer and Lemeshow test.

## **RESULTS**

### ***Patient characteristics***

The participants had a mean age of 56.72 (SD- 10.57) and most of them (76.1%) were over 50 years of age and were males (70.4%). The majority (76.3%) were Sinhalese. Results indicated that 76.1% had attained an educational level up to grade 10 and 35.5% were unemployed (Table 1).

(Insert Table 1 here)

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

### ***Psychological distress prevalence estimations***

We found that the patients with oral cancer had an overall adjusted prevalence of 31.0% (95% CI = 27.8%- 35.3%) for psychological distress. In particular, the age group <49 (60.1%, 95% CI 44.8-73.8,  $p=0.0001$ ) included the highest proportion among them. Moreover, considering the marital status, the married group (35.6% (95% CI = 30.3%-41.9%,  $p=0.01$ ) showed a statistically significant proportion of psychological distress in the study cohort (Table 2).

(Insert Figure 2 here)

### ***Factors associated with psychological distress***

We looked at a number of parameters to find factors independently associated with psychological distress. Among them, being below 50 years of age (AOR=1.2, 95% CI: 0.7-1.7), presence of pain (AOR=44.7, 95% CI: 34.5-53.21), cancer presenting at late stages (AOR=10.7, 95% CI: 1.07-28.78), being worried about basic functional disabilities (AOR=11.4, 95% CI: 10.3-14.8), and the two psychological factors - “Other people worry about me more than I do” (AOR=5, 95% CI: 2.8-6.9) and “I feel very angry about what has happened to me”(AOR=12.1, 95% CI: 6.8-15.4) significantly increased the odds of getting psychological distress in patients with oral cancer. We applied the multivariate logistic regression model as the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test ( $p=0.81$ ) suggested it to be a suitable fit (Table 3).

(Insert Table 3 here)

## **DISCUSSION**

The current study showed 31.0% (95% CI=27.8% -35.3%) prevalence of psychological distress. We found that a number of independent variables were associated with such distress. Accordingly, certain critical factors namely those below 50 years of age, having pain, cancer presented at late stages, being worried about basic functional disabilities, and the two psychological factors (“Other people worry about me more than I do” and “I feel very angry about what has happened to me”) showed a significant association with increased distress among patients with oral cancer.



Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

Many studies revealed that patients with all types of cancer varied in psychological distress from 20% to 70%, supporting the findings of the current prevalence study<sup>6-11</sup>. In contrast to the current study finding, a recent meta-analysis of the Distress Thermometer on breast cancer reported the pooled distress prevalence rate for  $\geq 4$  score in the Distress Thermometer which is the cut-off point for the current study as, 62% (95% CI 60% to 65%)<sup>46</sup>. This could be due to the heterogeneity of the study methods.

Despite limited research on psychological distress among patients with oral cancer using Distress Thermometer as the screening tool, a study conducted by Wang in 2018, reported a prevalence of 58.2% at  $\geq 4$  cut-off score on the Distress Thermometer thus replicating the cut-off score of the present study<sup>47</sup>. The higher prevalence than the present study could be due to variations in sample characteristics and stage of the treatment process.

There are no studies purely on the prevalence of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka. Of the available few studies among patients with other types of cancers, Mudduwa in 2011 reported a prevalence of 30.66% among patients with breast cancer which was similar to the present study finding<sup>40</sup>. However, in this study, the final diagnosis was made by the Consultant Psychiatrist whereas the current study used the Sinhala-validated Distress Thermometer. Another study by Weeratunga in 2016 assessed the stress levels of patients with mixed types of cancers. The screening tool used in the study was the General Health Questionnaire-12 and it was interviewer-administered which was similar to the current study. The results showed the prevalence of psychological distress in patients with oral cancer to be 69% thus indicating over two-fold increase in prevalence than the current study<sup>40</sup>. Such variations of the findings could be attributed to the difference in the screening tools used by the two studies and other methodological variations.

We selected a case-control study to explore the associated factors for psychological distress in patients with oral cancer as opposed to a cross-sectional study which made the evidence stronger. However, many studies use the cross-sectional study design due to obvious constraints inherent to conducting research among patients with oral cancer<sup>48,49</sup>. We developed the study instrument to assess the associated factors for psychological distress which was an interviewer-

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka administered questionnaire based on the conceptual framework following a scientific process to develop new study instruments which assured the quality of data<sup>49</sup>. We carried out judgmental validation for the questionnaire which assured quality data collection. However, we would recommend comprehensive validation of this questionnaire in future studies.

We did not find associations with other socio-demographic factors except the younger patients had higher odds of getting psychological distress when compared with the older patients (AOR= 1.2, 95% CI 0.7%-1.7%). Grave's cross-sectional study in 2007 supported this finding by showing that there was no association of demographic factors (sex, race, rurality of residence) with psychological distress except for age where similar to the current study younger age was related to higher levels of distress ( $r = -.14$ ,  $p = .01$ )<sup>34</sup>. Additionally, this relationship of younger age increasing the psychological distress of patients with cancer is supported by a few other studies<sup>27,29,47</sup>.

A longitudinal study in eight low-and middle-income countries in Southeast Asia, a similar setting to the current study revealed a controversial finding to the current study. This study revealed that being older (>45 years) reported slightly more psychological distress than participants who were younger (Adjusted OR =1.01, 95% CI 1.01-1.02)<sup>33</sup>. We justify the findings of the current study by the Sri Lankan family structure and culture. Sri Lanka owns a very closely-knit family structure where the children are highly dependent on their parents as the Sri Lankan family is essentially the conjugal unit of husband, wife and dependent children. Therefore, it is rational to argue that younger and the middle-aged group of patients have a high responsibility of caring for their children and also a higher responsibility to their parents<sup>51</sup>.

We found no association between socioeconomic factors and psychological distress which was in contrast to the study carried out by Kimman where they found high income was associated with lesser psychological distress<sup>33</sup> and the findings of Masson in 2019 where the authors revealed that patients who did not have definite employment had more stress than the people who were employed (52.6% and 26.8% respectively)<sup>52</sup>. We could support the current study results against these controversial findings by the fact that Sri Lanka still owns a self-sufficient and well-bonded lifestyle where the family members, extended families, neighbors, and relations

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka see to the needs of a patient till the recovery<sup>51</sup>. Furthermore, Sri Lanka owns a well-established healthcare service that offers free-of-charge patient care services at the point of delivery which assures a decent financial protection for the patient<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, although there could be a socio-economic burden the impact on the psychological distress could be argued to be minimal.

We found that the two disease-related factors; having pain and presenting at late stages of cancer demonstrated significant association with psychological distress among patients with oral cancer. This is mainly due to pain and advanced stage with multiple complications contributing to enhanced suffering and discomfort of the oral cancer survivor which increases the levels of psychological distress. Several studies support this finding confirming pain to increase the risk of getting psychological distress in patients with cancer<sup>29,53-55</sup>. Kimman found the association between the cancer stage and psychological distress to be significant where the stage III patients were at a higher risk of psychological distress (Adjusted OR = 2.73, 95% CI= 2.40- 3.07). Another study conducted among a group of 1496 patients with heterogeneous cancer including head and neck cancers in China revealed that the advanced stage increased the risk of psychological distress (Adjusted OR=1.85,95% CI 1.424–2.405)<sup>33</sup>. Therefore, the studies above supported the current study findings.

We found a significant association between ‘being worried about basic functional disabilities’ and psychological distress. This finding is in line with the majority of the studies in the literature<sup>35,56</sup>. Moreover, our finding of two psychological factors increased the odds of getting psychological distress in patients with oral cancer; ‘Other people worry about me more than I do’ -Adjusted OR = 5; 95%CI= 2.8-6.9, ‘I feel very angry about what has happened to me’ - Adjusted OR= 12; 95% CI=6.8-15.4) could be supported by few studies which revealed that worry about the loved ones around and anger about one's own self was associated with a high risk of psychological distress in patients with cancer<sup>35,57</sup>.

We used the findings of this case-control study for the development of the intervention package aimed at improving the psychological distress and quality of life of patients with oral cancer which was a valid implication of the study findings<sup>44</sup>.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## **LIMITATIONS**

We acknowledge a few limitations in the study. The employment of the Distress Thermometer to classify the diseased and the non-diseased would have introduced a misclassification bias. Yet, this was minimized since the Distress Thermometer gained recognition for high diagnostic accuracy compared with the gold standard of diagnosis of Consultant Psychiatrists. Although we controlled the known confounders by multivariate analysis, we did not consider the unknown confounders and the interactions between the factors which is a limitation of the study.

We identify the exclusion of any patient who was unable to communicate in Sinhala as a limitation since Sri Lanka is a country with multi-ethnicity the ethnic and racial representation would not have been present. Hence, we recommend the necessity of replicating this study with more diverse populations.

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

We demonstrated that the prevalence of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer who attended the selected Public Tertiary Care Institutes in Sri Lanka was high as nearly 1/3 of patients with oral cancer suffer from psychological distress. Having pain, presenting at late stages of cancer, being worried about basic functional disabilities, and the two psychological factors - “Other people worry about me more than I do” and “I feel very angry about what has happened to me” and being below 50 years of age were significantly associated with psychological distress in patients with oral cancer.

Hence, these findings could be utilized to convince policymakers to initiate a national-level programme to screen patients with oral cancer for psychological distress and initiate sustainable programmes for the provision of early palliative care.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors express their gratitude to the consultants in all clinical units for their invaluable assistance in data collection.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## **DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS**

There are no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

## **FUNDING STATEMENT**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

## REFERENCE LIST

### Reference List

1. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Mathers C, Parkin DM, Piñeros M, Znaor A, Bray F. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *International journal of cancer*. 2019 Apr 15;144(8):1941-53.
2. Ministry of Health (2021). Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Colombo District Sri Lanka: 2012.National Cancer Control Programme. Retrieved on 14th October 2023 (<https://www.nccp.health.gov.lk/storage/post/pdfs/PBCR%20Colombo%202012.pdf>)
3. Hong JS, Tian J. Sensitivity and specificity of the Distress Thermometer in screening for distress in long-term nasopharyngeal cancer survivors. *Current Oncology*. 2013 Dec;20(6):570-6.
4. Salmon P, Clark L, McGrath E, Fisher P. Screening for psychological distress in cancer: renewing the research agenda. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2015 Mar;24(3):262-8.
5. Breitbart W, Bruera E, Chochinov H, Lynch M. Neuropsychiatric syndromes and psychological symptoms in patients with advanced cancer. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. 1995 Feb 1;10(2):131-41.
6. Holland JC, Andersen B, Breitbart WS, Buchmann LO, Compas B, Deshields TL, Dudley MM, Fleishman S, Fulcher CD, Greenberg DB, Greiner CB. Distress management. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*. 2013 Feb 1;11(2):190-209.
7. Krebber AM, Jansen F, Witte BI, Cuijpers P, De Bree R, Becker-Commissaris A, Smit EF, Van Straten A, Eekhout AM, Beekman AT, Leemans CR. Stepped care targeting psychological distress in head and neck cancer and lung cancer patients: a randomized, controlled trial. *Annals of Oncology*. 2016 Sep 1;27(9):1754-60.
8. Mehnert A, Koch U, Schulz H, Wegscheider K, Weis J, Faller H, Keller M, Brähler E, Härter M. Prevalence of mental disorders, psychosocial distress and need for psychosocial support in cancer patients—study protocol of an epidemiological multi-center study. *BMC psychiatry*. 2012 Dec;12:1-9.
9. Fallowfield L, Ratcliffe D, Jenkins V, Saul J. Psychiatric morbidity and its recognition by doctors

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka  
in patients with cancer. *British journal of cancer*. 2001 Apr;84(8):1011-5.

10. Mitchell AJ. Short screening tools for cancer-related distress: a review and diagnostic validity meta-analysis. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*. 2010 Apr 1;8(4):487-94.
11. Ninu MB, Miccinesi G, Bulli F, De Massimi A, Muraca MG, Franchi G, Squadrelli Saraceno M. Psychological distress and health-related quality of life among head and neck cancer patients during the first year after treatment. *Tumori Journal*. 2016 Jan;102(1):96-102.
12. Krebber AM, Jansen F, Cuijpers P, Leemans CR, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM. Screening for psychological distress in follow-up care to identify head and neck cancer patients with untreated distress. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2016 Jun;24:2541-8.
13. Hassanein KA, Musgrove BT, Bradbury E. Psychological outcome of patients following treatment of oral cancer and its relation with functional status and coping mechanisms. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2005 Dec 1;33(6):404-9.
14. Espie CA, Freedlander E, Campsie LM, Soutar DS, Robertson AG. Psychological distress at follow-up after major surgery for intra-oral cancer. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 1989 Jan 1;33(4):441-8.
15. Costanzo ES, Lutgendorf SK, Mattes ML, Trehan S, Robinson CB, Tewfik F, Roman SL. Adjusting to life after treatment: distress and quality of life following treatment for breast cancer. *British journal of cancer*. 2007 Dec;97(12):1625-31.
16. Burgess C, Cornelius V, Love S, Graham J, Richards M, Ramirez A. Depression and anxiety in women with early breast cancer: five year observational cohort study. *Bmj*. 2005 Mar 24;330(7493):702.
17. Fiszer C, Dolbeault S, Sultan S, Brédart A. Prevalence, intensity, and predictors of the supportive care needs of women diagnosed with breast cancer: a systematic review. *Psycho-oncology*. 2014 Apr;23(4):361-74.
18. Chirico A, Lucidi F, Mallia L, D'Aiuto M, Merluzzi TV. Indicators of distress in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. *PeerJ*. 2015 Jul 21;3:e1107.
19. Madden, J. (2006). The problem of distress in patients with cancer: more effective assessment. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 10(5), 615.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

20. Mehnert A, Koch U, Schulz H, Wegscheider K, Weis J, Faller H, Keller M, Brähler E, Härter M. Prevalence of mental disorders, psychosocial distress and need for psychosocial support in cancer patients—study protocol of an epidemiological multi-center study. *BMC psychiatry*. 2012 Dec;12:1-9.
21. Hong JS, Tian J. Sensitivity and specificity of the Distress Thermometer in screening for distress in long-term nasopharyngeal cancer survivors. *Current Oncology*. 2013 Dec;20(6):570-6.
22. Riba, M. B., Donovan, K. A., Andersen, B., Braun, I., Breitbart, W. S., Brewer, B. W., ... and Darlow, S. D. (2019). Distress management, version 3.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 17(10), 1229-1249.
23. Rashid A, De la Garza R. Race and Ethnicity Influence Magnitude of Psychiatry Symptoms in Cancer Patients. In *PSYCHO-ONCOLOGY* 2016 Oct 1 (Vol. 25, No. SP. S 3, pp. 37-38). 111 RIVER ST, HOBOKEN 07030-5774, NJ USA: WILEY-BLACKWELL.
24. Aminnudin AN, Doss JG, Ismail SM, Chai MB, Abidin MZ, Basri CS, Kipli NP, Wei LC. Can post-treatment oral cancer patients' concerns reflect their cancer characteristics, HRQoL, psychological distress level and satisfaction with consultation?. *ecancermedicalscience*. 2020;14.
25. Tominaga K, Andow J, Koyama Y, Numao S, Kurokawa E, Ojima M, Nagai M. Family environment, hobbies and habits as psychosocial predictors of survival for surgically treated patients with breast cancer. *Japanese journal of clinical oncology*. 1998 Jan 1;28(1):36-41.
26. Chiou YJ, Chiu NM, Wang LJ, Li SH, Lee CY, Wu MK, Chen CC, Wu YS, Lee Y. Prevalence and related factors of psychological distress among cancer inpatients using routine Distress Thermometer and Chinese Health Questionnaire screening. *Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment*. 2016 Oct 25:2765-73.
27. Wright S. A study of the relationships between perceived pain, social support, coping and quality of life in patients with advanced cancer (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin City University).
28. Akechi T, Okuyama T, Endo C, Sagawa R, Uchida M, Nakaguchi T, Akazawa T, Yamashita H, Toyama T, Furukawa TA. Patient's perceived need and psychological distress and/or quality of life in ambulatory breast cancer patients in Japan. *Psycho-oncology*. 2011 May;20(5):497-



Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

505.

29. Simpson, J. S. a, Carlson, L. E., Beck, C. a, and Patten, S. (2002). Effects of a brief intervention on social support and psychiatric morbidity in breast cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 11(4), 282–294.
30. Valdes-Stauber J, Vietz E, Kilian R. The impact of clinical conditions and social factors on the psychological distress of cancer patients: an explorative study at a consultation and liaison service in a rural general hospital. *BMC psychiatry*. 2013 Dec;13:1-1.
31. Park JH, Chun M, Jung YS, Bae SH. Predictors of psychological distress trajectories in the first year after a breast cancer diagnosis. *Asian nursing research*. 2017 Dec 1;11(4):268-75.
32. ACTION Study Group+ 61 2 99934514 merel. kimman@ mumc. nl ML Kimman S. Jan SAE Peters CH Yip CA Ngelangel N. Bhoo-Pathy M. Woodward. Health-related quality of life and psychological distress among cancer survivors in Southeast Asia: results from a longitudinal study in eight low-and middle-income countries. *BMC medicine*. 2017 Dec;15:1-3.
33. Okamura H, Watanabe T, Narabayashi M, Katsumata N, Ando M, Adachi I, Akechi T, Uchitomi Y. Psychological distress following first recurrence of disease in patients with breast cancer: prevalence and risk factors. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2000 May;61:131-7.
34. Graves KD, Arnold SM, Love CL, Kirsh KL, Moore PG, Passik SD. Distress screening in a multidisciplinary lung cancer clinic: prevalence and predictors of clinically significant distress. *Lung cancer*. 2007 Feb 1;55(2):215-24.
35. Harrop E, Noble S, Edwards M, Sivell S, Moore B, Nelson A. Managing, making sense of and finding meaning in advanced illness: a qualitative exploration of the coping and wellbeing experiences of patients with lung cancer. *Sociology of health & illness*. 2017 Nov;39(8):1448-64.
36. Whitford HS, Olver IN. When expectations predict experience: the influence of psychological factors on chemotherapy toxicities. *Journal of pain and symptom management*. 2012 Jun 1;43(6):1036-50.
37. Galway K, Black A, Cantwell M, Cardwell C, Mills M, Donnelly M. Psychosocial interventions to improve quality of life and emotional wellbeing in newly diagnosed cancer patients. In Ninth Annual Cochrane in Ireland Conference 2013 Jan 25.

Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

38. Weeratunga EB, Senadheera C, Ekanayake U. Psychological distress in cancer patients in Southern province of Sri Lanka. *Galle Medical Journal*. 2016 Sep;21(2):1.
39. Mudduwa L, Punchihewa G. Psychological impact of breast cancer; a study done in a Sri Lankan setting. *Galle Medical Journal*. 2011 Mar 29;16(1).
40. Ratnasekera N, Perera I, Kandapola Arachchige P, Jayasekara P. Initiation of distress screening in oral cancer clinics in Sri Lanka. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2023 Jul;32(7):1013-21.
41. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S, World Health Organization. Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual. World Health Organization; 1991.
42. Schlesselman JJ. Case-control studies: design, conduct, analysis. Oxford university press; 1982 Jan 21.
43. Ratnasekera, N.S., Perera, I., (2021). The prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress and effectiveness of a 'life situation' improving intervention among oral cancer patients literate in Sinhala language attending selected public tertiary care hospitals in Sri Lanka [Unpublished Doctorate of Medicine dissertation]. Postgraduate Institute of Medicine, Sri Lanka
44. Speybroeck, N., Devleesschauwer, B., Joseph, L., and Berkvens, D. (2013). Misclassification errors in prevalence estimation: Bayesian handling with care. *International Journal of Public Health*, 58(5), 791–795.
45. Duan Y, Wang L, Sun Q, Liu X, Ding S, Cheng Q, Xie J, Cheng AS. Prevalence and determinants of psychological distress in adolescent and young adult patients with cancer: a multicenter survey. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing*. 2021 May 1;8(3):314-21.
46. Wang TJ, Lu MH, Kuo PL, Chen YW, Lee SC, Liang SY. Influences of facial disfigurement and social support for psychosocial adjustment among patients with oral cancer in Taiwan: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*. 2018 Nov 1;8(11):e023670.
47. Zhang N, Fielding R, Soong I, Chan KK, Lee C, Ng A, Sze WK, Tsang J, Lee V, Lam WW. Illness perceptions as predictors of psychological distress among head and neck cancer survivors: a longitudinal study. *Head & neck*. 2018 Nov;40(11):2362-71.
48. Ise M, Nakata E, Katayama Y, Hamada M, Kunisada T, Fujiwara T, Nakahara R, Takihira S, Sato K, Akezaki Y, Senda M. Prevalence of psychological distress and its risk factors in patients

- Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka with primary bone and soft tissue tumors. *InHealthcare* 2021 May 11 (Vol. 9, No. 5, p. 566). MDPI.
49. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. Introduction to health measurement scales. Oxford Medicine Online. 2015.
  50. Attygalle UR. Family therapy; how different is it in Sri Lanka. *Asian Journal of Family Therapy*. 2020;4(2):1-9.
  51. Mason A, Juyal R, Das SC, Shikha D, Saini S, Semwal J. Prevalence and correlates of psychological distress among cancer patients in a tertiary care hospital in northern India. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2019 May;6:2223-8.
  52. Keefe FJ, Abernethy AP, C. Campbell L. Psychological approaches to understanding and treating disease-related pain. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.*. 2005 Feb 4;56:601-30.
  53. Zaza C, Baine N. Cancer pain and psychosocial factors: a critical review of the literature. *Journal of pain and symptom management*. 2002 Nov 1;24(5):526-42.
  54. Nayak MG, George A, Vidyasagar MS, Mathew S, Nayak S, Nayak BS, Shashidhara YN, Kamath A. Quality of life among cancer patients. *Indian journal of palliative care*. 2017 Oct;23(4):445.
  55. McFarland DC, Jutagir DR, Miller A, Nelson C. Physical problem list accompanying the distress thermometer: Its associations with psychological symptoms and survival in patients with metastatic lung cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2020 May;29(5):910-9.
  56. Kim SJ, Rha SY, Song SK, Namkoong K, Chung HC, Yoon SH, Kim GM, Kim KR. Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among Korean cancer patients. *General hospital psychiatry*. 2011 May 1;33(3):246-52.

# Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka




Figure 1: Schematic presentation of the case-control study


# Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

**Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of the participants**

Characteristic	Number (N= 355)	Percentage (%)
<b>Age (Years) mean age = 56.72 (SD- 10.57)</b>		
<49	85	23.9
>50	270	76.1
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	250	70.4
Female	105	29.6
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Sinhala	271	76.3
Non-Sinhalese <sup>1</sup>	84	23.7
<b>Level of education</b>		
Up to grade 10	270	76.1
G. C.E (O/L) and above	85	23.9
<b>Occupation category</b>		
Unemployed	126	35.5
Employed	229	64.5

<sup>1</sup>=Tamil, Moor, and other nationalities

# Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka



<sup>1</sup>=Tamil, Moor, and other nationalities

# Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among patients with oral cancer in Sri Lanka

**Table 3: Associated factors for psychological distress of patients with oral cancer**

Factor	Standard Error	AOR	95% Confidence Interval		p-value
Being below 50 years of age	1.65	1.2	0.7	1.7.	0.006
Presence of pain	2.34	44.7	34.5	53.21	0.001
Late stage of cancer presentation	1.02	10.7	1.07	28.78	0.042
More worried due to basic functional disabilities	4.56	11.4	10.3	14.8	0.006
“Other people worry about me more than I do”	1.65	5.0	2.8	6.9	0.001
“I feel very angry about what has happened to me”	7.5	12.1	6.8	15.4	0.005

AOR – Adjusted Odd Ratio

*COVID-19 affected - Any patient who has been recruited to the intervention study and the correct timing of the novel intervention package was affected due to the COVID-19 pandemic.”*

*COVID-19 not affected= Any patient who has been recruited to the intervention study and the correct timing of the novel intervention package **was not** affected due to the COVID-19 pandemic”*

*PHNO- Public Health Nursing Officer*