

TITLE

Influence of Information Access on Organ Donation: A Questionnaire-Based Cross-Sectional Study

AUTHORS

¹Costaguta Guillermo A.

²Romero Andrea

³Costaguta Alejandro C.

¹Servicio de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición Pediátricas, CHU Sainte-Justine. 3175 Ch. de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine (H3T 1C5). Montréal, Canada

²Gastroenterology Department of Sanatorio de Niños de Rosario, Alvear 863, CP 2000. Rosario, Argentina.

³Liver Transplant Unit of the Sanatorio de Niños de Rosario, Alvear 863, CP 2000. Rosario, Argentina

Corresponding author:

Guillermo Costaguta, MD

Division of gastroenterology, hepatology and nutrition

CHU Sainte-Justine

3175 Ch. de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine (H3T 1C5), Montréal, Quebec

Working telephone: +1 514 345 4931 (int 5295)

ORCID: (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0468-5321>)

E-mail: gcostaguta5@gmail.com

Personal phone: +1 514 561 1526

Financial disclosure No funding was received for this study.

Conflicts of interest: None to declare.

Data availability: Data will be made available when properly justified in the interest of research by sending an email to the corresponding author.

Authors contributions

Guillermo Costaguta: Conception and design of the study, acquisition, analysis, and data interpretation. Drafting of the work and critical revisions. Final approval of the version to be published. Accountability for all aspects of work.

Romero Andrea: conception and design of the work, acquisition, analysis, and data interpretation. Drafting of the work and critical revisions. Final approval of the version to be published. Accountability for all aspects of work.

Costaguta Alejandro: conception and design of the work, acquisition, analysis, and data interpretation. Drafting of the work and critical revisions. Final approval of the version to be published. Accountability for all aspects of work.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Organ transplantation is the sole effective treatment for end-stage organ diseases. However, the availability of donor organs remains insufficient. This shortage is driven by several factors, with access to accurate information being the key determinant of an individual's willingness to donate organs.

Methods: A cross-sectional study based on anonymous surveys conducted from January to December 2019, categorizing participants into healthcare professionals and non-healthcare individuals. Data included willingness to donate organs, reasons for refusal, age, education level, and understanding of brain death. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results: A total of 408 participants were included: 203 in the healthcare sector and 205 in the non-healthcare sector. Among healthcare professionals, 90% were willing to donate organs compared to 43% in the non-healthcare group ($p < 0.001$). Non-healthcare respondents refused due to the fear of being alive during organ removal (74%), concerns about reduced emergency care (21%), and religious beliefs (5%). Despite these concerns, 88% acknowledged that organ donation saves lives and 95% recognized the gap between organ supply and demand. No significant differences in education levels were found between donors and non-donors, but healthcare professionals had a significantly better understanding of brain death ($p < 0.001$). All respondents indicated that they would accept a donated organ, if needed.

Conclusion: Healthcare professionals are more inclined to be organ donors than are those outside the field. Misunderstandings among non-healthcare individuals contributed to higher refusal rates. Tailored awareness campaigns and educational programs could rectify these misconceptions, potentially improving donation rates and mitigating organ shortage crises.

Key words: organ donation – transplant – information – education level

INTRODUCTION

The concept of transplanting organs or tissues from a deceased individual to improve another's health has fascinated physicians for centuries. One of the earliest recorded instances is The Miracle of Saints Cosmas and Damian in 348 AD. [1] However, it wasn't until 1901 that Alexis Carrel described the first vascular suture techniques, marking a significant advancement in making transplantation a viable therapeutic option. [2] Over the following years, the discovery and investigation of immunological rejection emerged as the main obstacle to successful organ transplantation. A deeper understanding of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and human leukocyte antigen (HLA), primarily due to the contributions of Terasaki, Calne, and Starzl, provided crucial insights into overcoming this challenge. [3, 4] The development of cyclosporin as an immunosuppressant in the late 1970s finally established organ transplantation as an effective treatment. [4] The exponential increase in organ demand led to the foundation of the Centro Único Coordinador de Ablación e Implantes (CUCAI) in Argentina in 1977, a national institution dedicated to transplant coordination. In 1990, CUCAI evolved into the Instituto Nacional Central Único Coordinador de Ablación e Implantes (INCUCAI), a self-sufficient institution under the National Health Ministry.

Organ transplantation can involve two types of donors: living-related donors, suitable for organs such as kidneys, liver, or intestines, and deceased donors, from whom most transplanted organs are sourced. For deceased donation to be possible, the individual must be in a state called brain death. [5, 6] In recent years, "donation after cardiac death" (DCD) [7, 8] has gained popularity, though it remains infrequent in Argentina and is primarily used for tissue donation. [9, 10] However, the concept of brain death remains

misunderstood by the general population, often confused with coma or a vegetative state. [11, 12]

Organ donation is an altruistic and voluntary process, where an individual expresses their wish to be a donor before their death, or their close relatives make the decision afterward. Although many laws in Argentina aim to increase the number of donors, the family's final decision is always respected. Therefore, it is crucial for the population to understand the meaning of brain death, the process of organ donation, and the laws regulating it to ensure trust in the healthcare system and increase donor supply. [13, 14] (Figure 1)

Various factors influence a person's willingness to be a donor. However, access to adequate and relevant information is believed to be of paramount importance. This study aims to investigate whether being part of the healthcare system and having access to updated information affects the willingness to be an organ donor.

METHODS

A transversal descriptive study was conducted using anonymous surveys with multiple-choice questions from January to December 2019. Two populations were defined for the study: the healthcare system (HS) group, which included students from the Faculty of Medical Sciences at the Universidad Nacional de Rosario and staff from the Sanatorio de Niños in Rosario, and the non-healthcare (N-HS) group, which consisted of randomly selected individuals from Rosario city, ensuring the exclusion of anyone working in the healthcare system. This division was intended to represent the varying levels of access to quality information.

Participants included individuals older than 18 years who voluntarily agreed to participate and were mentally capable of doing so. Individuals older than 65 years were excluded, as they would not be considered potential donors.

The variables studied were age, sex, highest educational level attained, willingness to be an organ donor, reasons for refusal, ability to define brain death, and knowledge of the concept of "presumed donor." The latter refers to the Argentinian law that considers every citizen older than 18 years a donor unless they explicitly opt out. Age was categorized into three ranges: 18–29, 30–49, and 50–65 years. Educational level was divided into primary/secondary and tertiary/university levels.

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9. Independent discrete variables were compared using the χ^2 test, Odds Ratio (OR), and 95% Confidence Interval (CI). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethics committee approval was obtained from the Sanatorio de Niños de Rosario, provided that informed consent was obtained from all participants.

RESULTS

A total of 408 individuals from the city of Rosario, Santa Fe were surveyed, with 203 participants in the HS group and 205 in the N-HS group. The populations were similar except for the education level, which was higher in the HS group ($p = 0.005$).

Overall, 66% ($n=270$) of the entire population expressed willingness to be organ donors. However, significant differences were observed between the groups: 90% of the HS group ($n=182$) were willing to be donors, compared to only 43% of the N-HS group ($n=88$) (OR 11.52, CI 6.8–19.6, $p<0.001$). In the N-HS group, the primary reasons for refusal

were concerns about being alive at the time of ablation (74%), doubts about treatment limitations in emergencies (21%), and religious beliefs (5%). Despite this, 88% (n=180) of the N-HS group believed that organ donation saves lives, and 95% (n=195) believed that organ supply is smaller than demand.

While differences in formal education levels were noted between the two groups, these differences were not significant when analyzed independently between donors and non-donors (OR 0.71, CI 0.47–1.8, $p = 0.11$). Notably, 97% of individuals in the HS group could define brain death (mainly regarding its irreversibility) and differentiate it from coma, whereas only 33% of the N-HS group could do so (OR 5.3, CI 3.5–8.1, $p < 0.0001$). Within the HS group, a higher education level was directly correlated with the likelihood of being a donor (OR 0.34, CI 0.12–0.9, $p = 0.03$), a trend not observed in the N-HS group (OR 1.4, CI 0.79–2.5, $p = 0.25$). Regarding the knowledge of the "presumed donor" concept, 65% of the HS group were aware of the definition, compared to only 4% of the N-HS group (OR 44.8, CI 20.9–96, $p < 0.001$).

Age also influenced the willingness to be an organ donor. Those aged 18-29 years were more likely to express willingness to donate their organs than those aged 30-49 years (OR 4.77) and those aged 50-65 years (OR 2.04, $p < 0.001$). Additionally, individuals aged 30-49 years were more willing to donate compared to those aged 50-65 years (OR 1.89, $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences between the sexes of donors and non-donors (OR 0.68, CI 0.45–1, $p = 0.07$).

Of those surveyed, 100% indicated they would accept a donated organ, regardless of whether they were organ donors themselves ($p < 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

In this study we aimed to assess differences in organ donor likelihood between the HS and N-HS groups and explore reasons for refusal. Our primary objective was to evaluate the impact of information access, using this division as a surrogate. This interest arose from the necessity to identify variables influencing willingness to donate organs, with the goal of informing strategies to increase donor numbers.

In our surveyed population, 66% expressed willingness to be organ donors, a figure notably lower than the 88% reported by Coelho et al. [15], but more aligned with rates around 75% found by Shahbazian et al. [16] and Truog et al. [17]. Within the HS group, acceptance was higher at around 90%, consistent with findings among physicians and medical students. [18-22] Interestingly, Terada et al. [23] found less support for organ donation after brain death among nurses, a sentiment also observed among nursing students in a study by a Chinese team. [24] This suggests that while education and information access are important, additional factors influence attitudes towards organ donation. [25] In contrast, acceptance rates in the N-HS group in our study were similar to those reported by other studies. [18, 26-28]

While we did not observe a linear correlation between educational level and the likelihood of being an organ donor in our population, some studies have reported such associations. [15, 16] Conversely, Salmani et al. [19] found no significant differences based on education. However, when we analyzed education level within each group independently, we found a direct correlation only in the HS group. This finding, though not extensively studied, suggests that targeted education on organ donation increases acceptance rates [22, 23, 30-34]. For instance, McGlade et al. [25] reported an increase in willingness to

donate from 35% to 45% following transplantation education, with 53% initiating family discussions on the topic. Similarly, Park et al. [35] noted increased individual willingness to donate organs but found no change in attitudes towards family member donations. These findings imply that higher education and better access to information facilitate understanding and acceptance of new concepts, contingent on individual interest. These insights are underscored by reasons for refusal among non-donors in our study. A significant proportion (75%) feared being alive during organ removal, while 21% worried about potential treatment limitations in emergencies due to organ donor status. These concerns often stem from misinformation or distrust in healthcare systems. [15, 27]

When questioned about their views on organ donation, 88% of non-donors expressed belief that organ donation saves lives or improves quality of life, while 95% felt the current number of donors falls short of needs. Similar sentiments have been reported by other researchers [15, 21], with 88% acknowledging that organ transplantation enhances patients' lives and enables normalcy.

In addition to information access, our study revealed that only 52% of the general population understood the irreversibility of brain death. Comparatively, 97% of the HS group correctly defined brain death, whereas only 33% of the N-HS group did so. These findings echo similar studies [32, 36, 37], which have also noted challenges in understanding brain death even among medical students [38, 39, 40] and transplant team members. [38, 39]

Age emerged as a significant factor influencing donation rates, with individuals aged 18-29 more likely to express willingness to donate compared to those aged 50-65. This aligns with previous research findings. [15, 27] While some studies suggest religion may

influence donation willingness, this aspect wasn't explored in our study, except for one participant citing religious reasons against donation. [41]

This study, involving a substantial number of participants with limited comparable literature, offers conclusions that may broadly reflect societal trends. However, grouping by healthcare and non-healthcare sectors could oversimplify subpopulations, potentially obscuring nuanced intragroup differences.

CONCLUSIONS

Organ donation is indeed a multifaceted issue with varying beliefs across different segments of society. The disparity between organ availability and demand remains stark, leading to tragic outcomes where many individuals perish while awaiting transplantation. Understanding the factors influencing individuals' decisions to become organ donors is crucial as it enables targeted interventions on modifiable factors. Access to accurate information and comprehensive education on organ donation appear pivotal in shaping public attitudes. Despite numerous awareness campaigns over recent decades, which have shown some positive effects, organ donation rates continue to fall short of optimal levels.

REFERENCES

- 1) Palafox D, Rodríguez Romo R, Valdés Corona LF, Oropeza JR. *El milagro de San Cosme y San Damián: El comienzo del trasplante del tejido compuesto*. Cirugía Plástica. 2011;21(2):111-114
- 2) Aida L. *Alexis Carrel (1873-1944): Visionary vascular surgeon and pioneer in organ transplantation*. Journal of Medical Biography. 2014;22(3):172-175
- 3) Barker CF, Markmann JF. *Historical overview of transplantation*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2013;3:a014977
- 4) Pilch NA, Bowmann LJ, Taber Dj. *Immunosuppression trends in solid organ transplantation: The future of individualization, monitoring, and management*. Pharmacotherapy. 2021;41(1):119-131
- 5) Lele AV, Wahlster S, Bost I, Adorno D, Wells C, O'Connor K, Greer D, Souter MJ. A Review of Practices Around Determination of Death by Neurologic Criteria by an Organ Procurement Organization in the WAMI Region. *Neurol Clin Pract*. 2022 Oct;12(5):336-343. doi: 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000200077.
- 6) Silva A, Arora S, Dhanani S, Rochon A, Giorno LP, Jackson E, Hornby L, Latifi M, Lotherington K, Luctkar-Flude M, Petry S, Wilson L, Silva E, Silva V. Quality improvement tools to manage deceased organ donation processes: a scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13(2):e070333. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-070333.
- 7) Peled Y, Kittleson MM. Discovering the Limits of the Possible: Two Can Play That Game. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2024:S1053-2498(24)01643-7. doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2024.04.062.

- 8) Matevish LE, Vagefi PA, Patel MS. Trends in Current Use of Machine Perfusion for Donation after Cardiac Death Donors in the United States. *J Hepatol*. 2024:S0168-8278(24)00286-1. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2024.04.018.
- 9) SINTRA / CRESI. Memoria 2020: Procuración y Trasplante de Órganos, Tejidos y Células en Argentina. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, 2021. [Accessed: November 20, 2022]. Available in: <https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2021-12/memoria-incuca-i-2020.pdf>
- 10) SINTRA / CRESI. Memoria 2022: Procuración y Trasplante de Órganos, Tejidos y Células en Argentina. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, 2022. [Accessed: May 05, 2023]. Available in: <https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2023-12/memoria-2022.pdf>
- 11) Verheijde J.L., Mohamed Y.R. The Lack of Scientific Evidence in Clinical Practice Guideline in Brain Death Determination: Implications for Organ Donation and Transplantation. *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine* 2014;18:555-557.
- 12) Wijdicks EF, Varelas PN, Gronseth GS, Greer DM. American Academy of Neurology. Evidence-based guideline update: Determining brain death in adults: Report of the quality standards subcommittee of the American academy of neurology. *Neurology*. 2010;74:1911–1918.
- 13) Ley N° 26.066. Boletín Oficial de la República Argentina N° 30.807, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. 22 de diciembre de 2005
- 14) Ley N° 27.447. Boletín Oficial de la República Argentina N° 33.919, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. 26 de julio de 2018

- 15) Coelho JCU, Ciliao C, Beatriz Parolin M, Coutinho Teixeira de Freitas A, et al. Opiniao e Conhecimento da Populacao da Cidade de Curitiba Sobre Doacao e Transplante de Órgaos. [*Opinion and knowledge of the population of a brazilian city about organ donation and transplantation*]. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2007; 53(5): 421-5
- 16) Shahbazian H, Dibaei A, Barfi M. Public Attitude Toward Cadaveric Organ Donation, A Survey y Ahwaz. Urology Journal 2006;3(4):234-239
- 17) Truog RD, Miller FG, Halpern SD. The Dead-Donor Rule and the Future of Organ Donation. N Engl J Med, 2013; 369:1287-1289
- 18) Bapat U, Kedlaya PG; Goukulkath. Organ Donation, Awareness, Attitudes and Beliefs among Post Graduate Medical Students. Saudi Journal Kidney Disease Transplantation, 2009;20(1):174-180
- 19) Salmani Nadoushan M, Nozary Heshmati B, Shabanzadeh Pirsaraee A, Salmani Nodoushan I, et al. Knowledge and Attitude of Iranian Physicians towards Organ and Tissue Donation. Int J Org Transplant Med 2014;5(2):66- 70
- 20) Rios, A, López-Navas A, Ayala MA, Sebastián MJ, et al. Attitudes of Spanish and Mexican resident physicians faced with solid organ donation and transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2010;42:233-8
- 21) Iliyasu Z, Abubakar IS, Lawar UM, Abubakar M, et al. Predictors of Public Attitude toward Living Organ Donation in Kano, Northern Nigeria. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2014;25(5):1091-1092

- 22) Marqués-Lespier JM, Ortiz-Vega NM, Sanchez MC, Sánchez MC, et al. Knowledge of and attitudes toward organ donation: a survey of medical students in Puerto Rico. *P R Health Sci J*. 2013;32(4):187-93
- 23) Terada I, Otani A, Hiramatsu K, Matsuo M, et al. Knowledge of Criteria for Brain Death and Attitudes towards Organ Donation and Transplantation of Nursing Professionals in Tottori Prefecture, Japan. *Yonago Acta medica*. 2004;47:53–62
- 24) Chen X, Zhou X, Xu Y, Pan L, Li P, Liang W, Jin L, Zhang C, He C. Mediating effects of attitude on the relationship between knowledge and willingness to organ donation among nursing students. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1370635. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1370635.
- 25) McGlade D, Pierscionek B. Can education alter attitudes, behaviour, and knowledge about organ donation? A pretest-post-test study. *BMJ Open* 2013;3: e003961. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2013-003961
- 26) Barcellos FC, Araujo CL, da Costa JD. Organ donation: a population-based study. *Clin Transplant*. 2005;19:33-37
- 27) Weiss J, Coslovsky M, Keel I, Immer FF, et al. Organ Donation in Switzerland - An Analysis of Factors Associated with Consent Rate. *Plos One*, 2014;9(9): 1-7
- 28) Donmez L, Ozbey C, Aydogan S. Knowledge, attitudes and behaviors regarding organ transplantation in a primary health care region in Turkey. *Dial Transplant*. 2003; 32:324-30
- 29) Gross T, Martinoli S, Spagnoli G, Badia F, et al. Attitudes and Behavior of Young European Adults Towards the Donation of Organs - a Call for Better Information. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2001;(1): 74-81

- 30) Chung CKY, Ng CWK, Li JYC, Sum KCY, et al. Attitudes, knowledge, and actions with regard to organ donation among Hong Kong medical students. *Hong Kong Med J* 2008;14:278-85
- 31) Abbasi Dolatabadi Z, Farahani B, Fesharaki M, Najafizadeh K, et al. Effect of education about brain death and organ donation on attitude and knowledge of nursing students. *Iran J Crit Care Nurs* 2010;3:109–12
- 32) Bardell T, Hunter DJ, Kent WD, Jain MK. Do medical students have the knowledge needed to maximize organ donation rates? *Can J Surg* 2003;46:453-7
- 33)Comert A, Aydin M, Coruh S, Aydin BN, Cakir RM, Akbuga I, Acar H. A cross-sectional survey on university students' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors regarding organ, tissue, and body donation. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2024;46(5):717-724. doi: 10.1007/s00276-024-03347-4.
- 34)Jeon HJ, Lee S, Seo S, Yoo B, Kim D, Yi G, Lee JB, Kim S, Oh J, Han HC, Park B, Lee T, Moon IS, Kim YH, Ahn C, Yoon HB. A Standardized Education Program on Deceased Organ and Tissue Donation for Premedical and Medical Students in Korea. *Transplant Direct.* 2024;10(2):e1563. doi: 10.1097/TXD.0000000000001563.
- 35)Park UJ, Han SY, Han KH, Oh SW, Jang HY, Kim HT, Roh YN. Effects of phased education on attitudes toward organ donation and willingness to donate after brain death in an Asian country. *Asian J Surg.* 2019;42(1):256-266. doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2018.04.007.
- 36) Afonso RC, Buttros DA, Sakabe D, Paranhos GC, et al. Future doctors and brain death: what is the prognosis? *Transplant Proc* 2004;36:816-7

- 37) Dutra MM, Bonfim TA, Pereira IS, Figueiredo IC, et al. Knowledge about transplantation and attitudes toward organ donation: a survey among medical students in northeast Brazil. *Transplant Proc* 2004;36:818-20
- 38) Bener A, El-Shoubaki H, Al-Maslamani Y. Do we need to maximize the knowledge and attitudes level of physicians and nurses towards organ donation and transplant? *Exp Clin Transplant* 2010;6:249–53
- 39) Demir T, Selimen D, Yildirim M, Kucuk HF. Knowledge and attitudes towards organ/tissue donation and transplantation among health care professionals working in organ transplantation or dialysis units. *Transplant Proc* 2011;43:1425–8
- 40) Shrivastav V, Murugan Y, Gandhi R, Nagda J. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Organ Donation Among Medical Students in India: A Mixed Methods Study. *Cureus*. 2024;16(3):e56136. doi: 10.7759/cureus.56136.
- 41) Turhan Damar H, Ordi N YS, Erki N Ö. Religious attitude and sense of citizenship effect on organ donation in nursing students: A cross-sectional study. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2024;76:103937. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2024.103937.

FIGURE 1: Donation rate by million inhabitants

Adapted from: SINTRA / CRESI. Memoria 2020: Procuración y Trasplante de Órganos, Tejidos y Células en Argentina. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, 2021. [Consulted: November the 20th 2022]. Available in: <https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2021-12/memoria-incucai-2020.pdf>

TABLE 1: Population characteristics

	N-HS (n 205)	HS (n 203)	<i>p</i>
Donors	88 (43 %)	182 (90 %)	<0.001
Sex M/F	104/101	101/102	1
Education			
Primary/Secondary	128	98	
Tertiary/University	77	105	0.005
Age			
18 to 29 years old	82	73	0.42
30 to 49 years old	70	70	1
50 to 65 years old	53	60	0.5
Brain death	67	197	<0.001
Law of Presumed Donor	8	131	<0.001
¿ Would you accept an organ?	205	203	<0.001

Statistically significant different variables are highlighted in bold

DONORS BY MILLION INHABITANTS

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.08.24310086>; this version posted July 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

