medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.09.24310152; this version posted July 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

# TLFT: Transfer Learning and Fourier Transform for ECG Classification

**Erick Wang,<sup>1</sup> Sarah Lee <sup>1,\*</sup>** <sup>1</sup>University of Kentucky <sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: sarah.lee2@uky.edu

## ABSTRACT

Electrocardiogram (ECG) provides a non-invasive method for identifying cardiac issues, particularly arrhythmias or irregular 1 heartbeats. In recent years, the fields of artificial intelligence and machine learning have made significant inroads into vari-2 ous healthcare applications, including the development of arrhythmia classifiers using deep learning techniques. However, 3 a persistent challenge in this domain is the limited availability of large, well-annotated ECG datasets, which are crucial for 4 5 building and evaluating robust machine learning models. To address this limitation, we propose a novel deep transfer learning framework designed to perform effectively on small training datasets. Our approach involves fine-tuning ResNet-18, a 6 7 general-purpose image classifier, using the MIT-BIH arrhythmia dataset. This method aims to leverage the power of transfer learning to overcome the constraints of limited data availability. Furthermore, this paper conducts a critical examination of 8 existing deep learning models in the field of ECG analysis. Our investigation reveals that many of these models suffer from 9 10 methodological flaws, particularly in terms of data leakage. This issue potentially leads to overly optimistic performance estimates and raises concerns about the reliability and generalizability of these models in real-world clinical applications. 11 By addressing these challenges, our work contributes to the advancement of more robust and reliable ECG analysis tech-12

13 niques, potentially improving the accuracy and applicability of automated arrhythmia detection in clinical settings.

# 14 INTRODUCTION

Electrocardiogram (ECG) serves as a crucial non-invasive tool for detecting cardiac abnormalities, particularly arrhythmias or irregu-15 16 lar heartbeats. While arrhythmias can occur in healthy individuals, they may also indicate serious cardiac conditions. The traditional method of manually analyzing ECG signals for arrhythmia detection is not only time-consuming but also prone to errors <sup>1,2</sup>. Recent 17 advancements in deep learning have revolutionized automatic ECG-based arrhythmia diagnosis 1-14. However, these methods typ-18 ically require substantial amounts of training data. Given the scarcity of well-annotated ECG data for arrhythmia detection<sup>9</sup>, trans-19 fer learning techniques utilizing pre-trained image classifiers have gained traction.<sup>5</sup> showed that transfer learning is effective when 20 21 dataset is small. Recent studies have explored transfer learning approaches with the MIT-BIH dataset for developing arrhythmia diagnosis models<sup>1</sup>. The MIT-BIH arrhythmia database remains the most widely used resource for developing and evaluating ECG-22 based arrhythmia models<sup>3,7</sup>. 23

ECG analysis typically involves four main steps: ECG signal preprocessing and noise attenuation, heartbeat segmentation, feature 24 extraction, and learning/classification<sup>2</sup>. While the first three steps have been extensively studied in the literature<sup>10-15</sup>, this section 25 26 provides a brief overview of selected methods due to space constraints. For ECG signal preprocessing, researchers have proposed various techniques. Sayadi et al. developed a modified extended Kalman filter structure, which serves the dual purpose of denoising 27 and compressing ECG signals <sup>10</sup>. In the realm of heartbeat segmentation, Li et al. presented a wavelet transform-based algorithm 28 that has shown promise in detecting QRS complexes, even in the presence of high P or T waves and significant noise or drift <sup>11</sup>. Fea-29 ture extraction methods have also seen significant development. Lin et al. proposed an automatic heartbeat classification system 30 that utilizes normalized RR intervals and morphological features derived from wavelet transform and linear prediction modeling 15. 31 Machine learning models have been widely adopted for arrhythmia classification <sup>2,3,6,8,9,14-16</sup>. These range from support vector ma-32 chines with reduced features derived by linear discriminant analysis<sup>6</sup> to Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) that combine temporal 33 information and statistical knowledge of ECG signals<sup>16</sup>. More recently, Hannun et al. developed an end-to-end deep learning ap-34 proach which directly processes raw ECG signals to produce classifications without the need for feature engineering or selection<sup>9</sup>. 35 Mousavi and Afghah explored sequence-to-sequence deep learning methods to automatically extract temporal and statistical fea-36

37 tures from ECG signals <sup>17</sup>.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.09.24310152; this version posted July 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

- 38 We propose a novel end-to-end ECG classification framework that leverages transfer learning. Our approach employs the Fourier
- 39 Transform (FT) to convert 1D ECG signals into 2D time-frequency domain data, enabling the use of pre-trained 2D CNN models
- 40 such as VGGs and ResNets. The key contributions of our work are:
- 1. Development of an end-to-end ECG classification framework that harnesses the power of existing pre-trained 2D CNN models.
- 42 2. Exposition of unreliable and biased model evaluation practices in current ECG classification literature using deep learning meth-43 ods.

## 44 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 45 Dataset

- This study develops the model on the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia dataset<sup>7</sup>. The dataset includes 48 half-hour excerpts of two-channel am-
- bulatory ECG recordings collected from 47 patients at 360 Hz. The dataset was annotated at heartbeat level by two or more car-
- diologists independently. 14 original heartbeat types are consolidated into 5 groups. Table 1 shows the heartbeat distribution by
- 49 classes of the raw data, intra-patient split, and inter-patient split. The dataset can be divided into training and testing sets using two
- 50 distinct approaches: the inter-patient paradigm and the intra-patient paradigm. The intra-patient approach involves randomly se-
- 51 lecting heartbeat samples to create the training and testing datasets. This method, however, presents a significant limitation: heart-
- 52 beat samples from the same patient may appear in both the training and testing sets. Consequently, the testing data could inad-
- vertently influence the model's training process, potentially leading to overfitting and inflated performance metrics. We posit that
- 54 this intra-patient data split paradigm can yield unreliable and potentially misleading results. The performance of models devel-
- oped using this approach may not generalize well to new, unseen patients in real-world clinical scenarios. As such, we strongly ad-
- vise against the use of the intra-patient approach in ECG classification studies<sup>4,18</sup>. Furthermore, we recommend that models pre-
- viously developed and evaluated using the intra-patient split paradigm should be critically reassessed. Their reported performance
- 58 metrics should be interpreted with caution, and these models should undergo rigorous re-evaluation using more appropriate data
- splitting techniques before being considered for clinical decision-making applications. In light of these concerns, we advocate for
- 60 the adoption of the inter-patient paradigm as the standard approach for developing and evaluating ECG classification models. This
- 61 method ensures a more robust and clinically relevant assessment of model performance, better reflecting the real-world scenario
- 62 where models must generalize to new, unseen patients.

Table 1: Heartbeat distribution by classes of the raw data, intra-patient split, and inter-patient split

Set	Ν	S	V	F	Q	Total
Full MIT-BIH set	90,631	2,781	7,236	803	8,043	109,494
Intra-patient split Training (80% split) Testing (20% split)	72,471 18,118	2,223 556	5,789 1,447	642 161	6,431 1,608	87,756 21,890
Inter-patient split Training Testing	45,866 44,259	944 1,837	3,788 3,221	415 388	8 7	51,021 49,712

## 63 Methodology

- 64 In our proposed approach, we use pretrained 2D CNN models (ResNet18) which requires the input data to be in the format of 2D
- 65 images. Therefore, Fourier Transform (FT) is used to obtain 2D time-frequency spectrograms of the digitized 1D ECG recordings for
- $^{66}$  capturing the frequency variations  $^{14,19}$ . The 2D time-frequency spectrograms for each point in the signal is computed by  $^{14}$ :

$$FTx[n] = X(x,\omega) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]w[n-m]e^{-j\omega n}$$
(1)

67 Where x[n] is the signal which is sampled at 360 Hz and w[n-m] is the moving window (e.g., Hanning window or Gaussian window).

68 We utilized ResNet18 to classify ECG recordings into four classes. The input data dimensions were adjusted for compatibility with

69 ResNet18. A fully connected layer at the end of ResNet18 was modified to predict the four classes. To classify Arrhythmia, the pre-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.09.24310152; this version posted July 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

- ro trained ResNet18 network was fine-tuned using the preprocessed training dataset from the inter-patient split paradigm. The re-
- 71 trained ResNet18 was then evaluated using the test dataset. The training parameters for the transfer learning-based model are as
- 72 follows: Adam optimizer, batch size of 500, training for up to 20 epochs, and a learning rate of 0.0001. The evaluation metrics are
- 73 precision, recall, and accuracy.

## 74 **RESULTS**

- 75 Table 2 shows that the proposed ResNet18 model with data augmentation achieves the best overall accuracy, the best recall in the
- 76 normal (N) class, and the best precisions in the arrhythmia (S, V, F) classes.

Table 2: Performance comparison of deep learning models with inter-patient split paradigm. The metrics reported are overall accuracy, precision (Pre), and recall (Rec). Note that the first model was not tested using inter-patient split paradigm in the original paper. The results obtained here are from our re-implementations. The best scores are bold-faced in each column.

Accuracy (%)	N (n=44,259)	S (n=1,837)	V (n=3,221)	F (n=388)	Q (n=7)
	Pre/Rec	Pre/Rec	Pre/Rec	Pre/Rec	Pre/Rec
87.8	90.3/91.1	45.1/59.0	71.2/74.4	65.3/70.9	83.3/90.9

#### 77 DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

- 78 We propose an end-to-end ECG classification framework using 2D CNN classifiers. By transforming the 1D ECG waveforms into 2D
- 79 frequency-time spectrograms using Fourier Transform, this framework allows for the integration of general-purpose pre-trained
- 80 2D CNN models (e.g., VGG-16, EfficientNet, etc.) for arrhythmia detection. To build robust and unbiased arrhythmia classifiers, we
- 81 highly recommend practitioners to follow the correct practice of splitting the training and testing data to avoid any possible infor-
- 82 mation leakage. Moreover, we call for more transparency in data preprocessing and model development, along with the establish-
- 83 ment of a standard for model evaluation. This approach will enable the research community to reproduce and verify results effec-
- 84 tively.

#### REFERENCES

- Mohammad Kachuee, Shayan Fazeli, and Majid Sarrafzadeh. Ecg heartbeat classification: A deep transferable representation. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI), pages 443–444. IEEE, 2018.
- [2] Eduardo José da S Luz, William Robson Schwartz, Guillermo Cámara-Chávez, and David Menotti. Ecg-based heartbeat classification for arrhythmia detection: A survey. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 127:144–164, 2016.
   [3] Taissir Fekih Romdhane and Mohamed Pr Atri. Electrocardiogram heartbeat classification based on a deep convolutional neural network and focal loss. Computers in
- [3] Taissir Fekih Romdhane and Mohamed Pr Atri. Electrocardiogram heartbeat classification based on a deep convolutional neural network and focal loss. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 123:103866, 2020.
- [4] Eduardo Luz and David Menotti. How the choice of samples for building arrhythmia classifiers impact their performances. In 2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, pages 4988–4991. IEEE, 2011.
- [5] Cuong V Nguyen and Cuong D Do. Transfer learning in ecg diagnosis: Is it effective? arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02021, 2024.
  [6] Mi Hye Song, Jeon Lee, Sung Pil Cho, Kyoung-Joung Lee, and Sun Kook Yoo. Support vector machine-based arrhythmia classification using reduced features. International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems, 3(4):571–579, 2005.
- [7] Mit-bih ecg database. Available at https://physionet.org/content/mitdb/1.0.0/, 2021.
  [8] Selcan Kaplan Berkaya, Ak Efe Uysal, Efnan Sora Gunal, Semih Ergin, Serkan Gunal, and M Bilginer Gulmezoglu. A survey on ecg analysis. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 43:216–235, 2018.
- [9] Awni Y Hannun, Pranav Rajpurkar, Masoumeh Haghpanahi, Geoffrey H Tison, Codie Bourn, Mintu P Turakhia, and Andrew Y Ng. Cardiologist-level arrhythmia detection and classification in ambulatory electrocardiograms using a deep neural network. Nature Medicine, 25(1):65–69, 2019.
- [10] Omid Sayadi and Mohammad Bagher Shamsollahi. Ecg denoising and compression using a modified extended kalman filter structure. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 55(9):2240–2249, 2008.
- [11] Cuiwei Li, Chongxun Zheng, and Changfeng Tai. Detection of ecg characteristic points using wavelet transforms. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 42(1):21– 28, 1995.
- [12] Juan Pablo Martínez, Rute Almeida, Salvador Olmos, Ana Paula Rocha, and Pablo Laguna. A wavelet-based ecg delineator: evaluation on standard databases. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 51(4):570–581, 2004.
- [13] Mohammed Bahoura, M Hassani, and M Hubin. Dsp implementation of wavelet transform for real time ecg wave forms detection and heart rate analysis. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 52(1):35–44, 1997.
- [14] Jingshan Huang, Bin Chen, Bin Yao, and Wangpeng He. Ecg arrhythmia classification using stft-based spectrogram and convolutional neural network. IEEE Access, 7:92871–92880, 2019.
- [15] Chun-Cheng Lin and Chun-Min Yang. Heartbeat classification using normalized rr intervals and morphological features. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2014, 2014.
- [16] Douglas A Coast, Richard M Stern, Gerald G Cano, and Stanley A Briller. An approach to cardiac arrhythmia analysis using hidden markov models. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 37(9):826–836, 1990.
  [17] Sajad Mousavi and Fatemeh Afghah. Inter-and intra-patient ecg heartbeat classification for arrhythmia detection: a sequence-to-sequence deep learning approach. In
- [17] Sajad Modavi and Paterner Algran. International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 1308–1312. IEEE, 2019.
  [18] Gabriel Garcia, Gladston Moreira, Eduardo Luz, and David Menotti. Inter-patient ecg heartbeat classification with temporal vcg optimized by pso. Scientific Reports, 7(1):1–
- 2017.
  Varun Gupta and Monika Mittal. Qrs complex detection using stft, chaos analysis, and pca in standard and real-time ecg databases. Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B, 100(5):489–497, 2019.