Transferability of polygenic risk scores depending on demography and dominance coefficients

Leonie Fohler^a, Andreas Mayr^b, Carlo Maj^c, Christian Staerk^{d,e}, Hannah Klinkhammer^{a,b,*}, and Peter M. Krawitz^{a,1,*}

^a Institute for Genomic Statistics and Bioinformatics, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ^b Institute for Medical Biometry, Informatics and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ^cCenter for Human Genetics, Philipps-University Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ^dIUF – Leibniz Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Düsseldorf, Germany; ^cDepartment of Statistics, TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany; ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

The genetic liability to a complex phenotype is calculated as the sum 2 of genotypes, weighted by effect size estimates derived from summary statistics of genome-wide association study (GWAS) data. Due 3 to different allele frequencies (AF) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) 4 patterns across populations, polygenic risk scores (PRS) that were de-5 veloped on one population drop drastically in predictive performance 6 when transferred to another. One of the major factors contributing to AF and LD heterogeneity is genetic drift, which acts strongly during population bottlenecks and is influenced by the dominance of certain alleles. In particular, since the causal variants on empirical data are 10 typically not known, the presence of population specific LD-patterns 11 will strongly affect transferability of PRS models. In this work, we 12 therefore conducted demographic simulations to investigate the in-13 fluence of the dominance coefficient on the transferability of PRS 14 15 among European, African and Asian populations. By modifying the length and size of the bottleneck leading to the split of Eurasian and 16 African populations, we gain a deeper understanding of the underly-17 ing dynamics. Finally, we illustrate that PRS models that are adapted 18 to the underlying dominance coefficient can substantially increase 19 their prediction performance in out-of-target populations. 20

polygenic risk scores | transferability | population genetics | genetic drift | Wright-Fisher simulations

any common diseases are governed by polygenic inheritance and are therefore influenced by many genetic variants with 2 small effect sizes. Thousands of genomic loci contributing to disease 3 risks have been identified in large genome wide association studies (GWAS) and scoring approaches have been developed to estimate an 5 individual's liability for a certain disorder (1, 2). With GWAS com-6 prising many thousands of cases and controls, the precision of these models increased tremendously and groups of individuals with several-8 fold increased risk, which is comparable to monogenic variants with high effect size, can be identified (3, 4). Most of these models were 10 11 trained and tested predominantly on individuals of European ethnicity, 12 and achieved lower predictive power for individuals of other ethnicities. This issue was further examined by Bitarello and Mathieson, 13 who observed that for a trait with high narrow-sense heritability (h^2) , 14 such as height, the predictive performance of the polygenic risk scores 15 decreases linearly with the proportion of non-European ethnicity in 16 the genome (5, 6). In addition to the effects of genetic drift, selection 17 also affects the distribution of deleterious variants. As GWAS and 18 19 PRS calculations mostly assume additive selection, the effect of dominance may be overseen in the results and consequently could influence 20 the accuracy of the risk prediction (7). Heyne et al. considered mono-21 and biallelic variants for Mendelian and common diseases and found 22 that 13 out of 20 recessive associations would have been missed by 23 an additive model (8). For complex diseases, Guindo-Martínez et 24 al. determined that 21% of the associations would have been missed 25 if restricted to the additive model (7). In our work we studied the 26 effect of genetic drift as well as the dominance coefficients on the 27

transferability of PRS models by simulating population genetic data. 28 We applied a demographic model that is based on the allele frequency 29 distributions from the 1000 genomes project and that can be used to 30 simulate populations that went through a bottleneck and re-expanded 31 ("Out of Africa") (9). For the different selection patterns we simulated 32 multiple sets of pathogenic variants that differed in their dominance 33 coefficient. On these data we tested the transferability of PRS models 34 for different genetic architectures that are already available in standard 35 association analysis software. 36

37

1. Modeling of Populations and PRS

A. Historic population model. Demographic histories of three dif-38 ferent populations were simulated with parameter settings as previ-39 ously described (9). By this means, we generated populations with 40 site frequency spectra representative of African (AFR), European 41 (EUR) and Asian (EAS, East Asian) populations. The genomic data 42 was generated with the evolutionary simulation framework SLiM 43 version 3.7 (10) and based on an implementation described in the 44 SLiM manual (11). The simulation starts with an ancestral popula-45 tion, which we will refer to as the "African" population (AFR), of 46 7,310 individuals and remains in this state for 73,104 generations. 47 During this period of time, an equilibrium state of genetic diversity 48 is established through mutation and selection. In mutation-selection 49 balance, key parameters such as the site frequency spectrum or the 50 expected number of sequence differences between two chromosomes 51 do not change anymore from one generation to another. Mutation-52 selection balance is reached after approximately 25,000 generations 53 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1a) (12). After a timespan of 73,104 genera-54

Significance Statement

Polygenic risk scores (PRS) are increasingly used in clinical care for the management of many complex disorders such as breast cancer or cardiovascular diseases. Since heritability should be independent of ancestry so should be the predictability of the models. This is, however, currently not the case and the missing transferability of PRS is favoring individuals from European descent, who represent the largest population to train PRS. In this work we study on simulated populations what degree of transferability is theoretically achievable under different demographic models and dominance coefficients of the pathogenic variants. The results of our work are twofold: the effect of genetic drift and selection on the transferability can be quantified in simulations and recessive traits are more conserved.

H.K. and P.M.K. designed research, L.F. performed research, L.F., H.K. and P.M.K. analyzed data, L.F., A.M., C.M., C.S., H.K. and P.M.K. wrote the paper.

¹Peter Krawitz. E-mail: pkrawitz@uni-bonn.de

50k

40k 30k

Table 1. Parameter settings for the scenarios of the simplified model: n_b = bottleneck size, l_b = bottleneck length, r = exponential reproduction rate, n_{final} = final size of population

Scenario	n_b	l_b	r	n _{final}
Baseline	2,000	1,000	0.002449559	20,000
l_b down	2,000	500	0.002449559	20,000
l_b up	2,000	2,000	0.002449559	20,000
n _b down	1,000	1,000	0.002449559	10,000
n _b up	4,000	1,000	0.002449559	40,000

tions, the ancestral population experiences its first event, an expansion 55 to 14,474 individuals. In generation 76,968, a bottleneck event oc-56 curs, and a second population, which we refer to as the "Eurasian" 57 population, of 1,861 individuals forms, whose population size re-58 mains constant for 1,116 generations. Eurasian individuals then split 59 into an "European" population (EUR) of 1,032 individuals and an 60 61 "Asian" population for which only the expansion of the East Asian (EAS) with initially 554 individuals is further studied. Both popu-62 lations undergo exponential growth at differing rates ($r_{EUR} = 0.0038$ 63 and $r_{EAS} = 0.0048$, $n = n_b \cdot exp(rt)$ with n being the current popula-64 tion size, n_b the population size before the exponential growth, and 65 t the number of generations that have passed since the beginning of 66 exponential growth) before reaching population sizes of 36,727 for 67 EUR and 50,472 for EAS populations in generation 79,025 (Figure 68 1A). Migration was not included to receive only individuals with 69 unambiguous ethnicity. A principal component analysis (PCA) with 70 PLINK 2.0 for the samples of all three populations confirmed distinct 71 clusters (Figure 1B, Davies Bouldin index 0.21) (13). Furthermore, 72 the simulated individuals of EUR and EAS are closer to each other 73 than AFR, indicating their more recent split (Figure 1B). 74

B. Simplified demographic model. Since we are interested in 75 studying the effect of the bottleneck parameters, we used a simplified 76 model that results in the same sizes of the populations before and 77 after the bottleneck (Figure 1C). The demography of the simplified 78 scenarios is the following: we start with an "African" population of n_b 79 individuals who remain in this state for 73,104 generations to establish 80 a mutation-selection balance. After 73,104 generations, the African 81 population expands to nfinal individuals (equal to the population sizes 82 reached by EUR and EAS populations after exponential growth). The 83 bottleneck event occurs in generation 76,968, forming a "Eurasian" 84 population of n_b individuals. Its population size remains constant for 85 l_b generations. Following that, the Eurasian population duplicates 86 to create an "Asian" population and a "European" population both 87 with sizes n_b . The two populations then undergo exponential growth 88 for 940 generations, this time with the same exponential growth rate 89 r. Finally, they reach population sizes n_{final} . In the results section, 90 if parameters are not otherwise specified, in the baseline scenario 91 the parameters are set to $n_b = 2,000$, $l_b = 1,000$, and r = 0.00245, 92 $n_{final} = 20,000$. For the other scenarios, exactly one of the parameters 93 was changed: the bottleneck size n_b (initial Eurasian population) or the 94 bottleneck length l_b (number of generations the Eurasian population 95 remained constant) were either halved or doubled (see Table 1). 96

C. Simulation of genomic data. In our simulations, a diploid 97 genome consisted of a single autosome of 100 Megabases which 98 is representative of a human chromosome (14, 15). Since variants on 99 different chromosomes are typically in linkage equilibrium, adding 100 more chromosomes would not affect the dynamics of our simulations. 101 Non-coding sections alternated with 1,000 coding sections ("genes") 102



(A) Population development of the historic model



Fig. 1. (A) Development of population sizes. Shown are the simulated population sizes (in individuals) over time in generations (25 years per generation) for the first model. The simulation starts with the ancestral African population (red) and experiences a bottleneck event, which yields the Eurasian bottleneck population (blue). The Eurasian population splits into European (green) and Asian (orange) populations which undergo exponential growth. (B) The first two principal components for the final three populations with a dominance coefficient of h = 0.5, simulating additive selection. Visible is a smaller distance between EUR and EAS indicating closer relatedness. (C) Development of population sizes for the simplified model with variable bottleneck size n_h and length l_h .

of uniformly distributed lengths between 500 and 10,000 base pairs 103 (bp). The coding sections had a combined length of 5,412,984 bp 104 corresponding to 5% which is representative of a gene dense chromo-105 some (16). To examine dominance effects, separate simulations were 106 107 performed using dominance coefficients of $h = \{0.05, 0.5, 0.8\}$ (17), 108 representing an almost recessive scenario, additive selection, and incomplete dominance, respectively. The majority of variants were 109 neutral with a fixed selection coefficient s = 0 and occurred on the 110 whole genome. Deleterious variants with $h = \{0.05, 0.5, 0.8\}$ and 111 a fixed s = -0.001 (17) could only occur in the coding sections. 112 Coding sections contained neutral and deleterious variants in a ra-113 tio of 8:1. The mutation rate was 1.2×10^{-8} per bp per generation, 114 and the recombination rate was 1×10^{-8} (18, 19). Each population 115 was divided equally into male and female individuals. The fitness 116 of an individual as a function of genomic background was used as 117 a quantitative phenotype (20). The total fitness w of an individual 118 was computed by multiplying the contributions of each variant in its 119 respective genotype, that is (1 + s) for homozygous and (1 + hs) for 120 heterozygous: 121

$$w = (1+s)^{i}(1+hs)^{j},$$

with *i*: number of homozygotes, *j*: number of heterozygotes. The 123 genomic variations of each population were saved as VCF files. Al-124 though the genomic architecture in our simulations is similar to e.g. 125 the human chromosome 15, it has to be noted that variants and recom-126 binations occur randomly in AFR, EUR, EAS and, therefore, only 127 their site frequency spectra are comparable to real ethnicities such 128 as e.g. YRI (Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria), CEU (Utah residents with 129 130 Northern and Western European ancestry), and CHB (Han Chinese in 131 Beijing, China) (21).

D. Polygenic risk score analysis and transferability. For the 132 PRS modeling the data of each population was split into training 133 (80%) and test (20%) data sets by 100-fold repeated random subsam-134 pling. For the simulations of the simplified scenarios that were used 135 to study the effect of genetic drift in detail, we performed the analysis 136 for 50 different seeds. PRS modeling was conducted with the same 137 ratio of training to test data with 10-fold repeated random subsam-138 pling. For both population models, genome-wide association studies 139 were conducted with PLINK 2.0 and executed for the training sets, 140 including the covariates (sex, historic model only: first ten principal 141 components of the genotype matrix). A minor allele frequency filter 142 of 0.01 was applied (2). The additive model was utilized as default 143 if not otherwise specified. Here, the genotypes are coded as 0/1/2, 144 counting zero, one or two occurrences of the effective allele. For 145 146 recessive and dominant models, genotypes can be encoded as 0/0/1 and 0/1/1, respectively. PRS computation on the EUR population 147 was performed with PRSice-2, using the standard C+T method (22). 148 As summary statistics, the GWAS of the EUR training samples were 149 used and the p-value threshold was optimized for the corresponding 150 test sets. Clumping was executed with default settings: a clumping 151 distance of 250 kb and an R^2 threshold of 0.1. 152

After that, linear scoring was performed on the test sets with 153 154 PLINK 2.0 using the obtained effect sizes. Linear models were fitted to the training data of the European populations in R regarding the 155 fitness as phenotype and including sex, PCs and the PRS as covariates 156 $(fitness \sim 1 + sex + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5 + PC6 + PC6)$ 157 PC7 + PC8 + PC9 + PC10 + PRS) in the historic demographic model. 158 Including principal components in PRS modeling had no effect on the 159 performance in the historic model (SI Appendix, Fig. S2) and was 160 omitted in the further analysis in order to reduce computational costs 161 of the simplified scenarios. Therefore, the simplified model included 162



Fig. 2. Transferability of the PRS model to different ethnicities. The original PRS model was trained on simulation data of the EUR population. R^2 was computed for all three different populations (EUR (green), AFR (red), EAS (orange)). Shown are the R^2 of the 100 subsamples for each dominance coefficient h (h = 0.05 for a model with recessive variants, h = 0.5 for additive variants, and h = 0.8 for dominant variants). R^2 drops substantially when applied to another population. The transferability of the model to EAS is higher than for AFR due to a longer shared demographic history (split up after bottleneck). All differences are significant (SI Appendix, Table S7).

sex and PRS as covariates (*fitness* $\sim 1 + sex + PRS$). The coefficient 163 of determination R^2 was calculated as the squared correlation of 164 observed and predicted phenotype on the test data. For the simplified 165 model, the resulting PRS performance measure was averaged over 166 the test folds for each seed. Linear scoring was also used to apply 167 the effect sizes of the European population to the other populations, 168 that is EAS and AFR. Finally, for the two out-of-target populations 169 separately, the R^2 was computed to quantify the transferability of the 170 models. 171

2. Results

A. Transferability of the PRS models to different ethnicities. 173 The original PRS model was trained and tested on simulation data 174 of the European population. The resulting PRS were also applied to 175 two populations of different ethnicities, EAS, and AFR (Figure 2). As 176 expected, the application of the computed European effect sizes on 177 individuals of the same ethnicity and variants with additive effects 178 (h = 0.5) resulted in the highest median R^2 of 0.56. For variants 179 with dominant effect (h = 0.8), the R^2 was lower with a median 180 value of around 0.51. The predictive performance was lowest for 181 recessive variants (h = 0.05) and a median R^2 of 0.37 was achieved. 182 We then applied the European PRS model on simulation data of 183 AFR and EAS individuals. For additive and dominant variants, the 184 European PRS model hardly achieved any predictive value for AFR 185 $(R^2 \text{ around } 0.001)$. The scenario for recessive variants showed a 186 slightly higher predictive performance with a median R^2 of 0.013. 187 Regarding the transfer of European effect sizes to EAS individuals, 188 median R^2 values between 0.10 and 0.21 were reached, showing the 189 highest predictive performance for individuals with recessive variants. 190 After the split from the African population, the Eurasian bottleneck 191 population was maintained for 1,116 generations before its separation, 192 resulting in genetically closer individuals. This can explain the higher 193 predictive performance for the Asian population compared to the 194 African population. All differences in medians between populations 195 and dominance coefficients were significant (SI Appendix, Table S7). 196

172



Fig. 3. Effects of bottleneck length on PRS transferability. The PRS was modeled on 50 simulations of the European population (EUR) that came out of Africa (AFR) through a bottleneck of different duration (length of bottleneck, l_b =500, 1000, 2000 generations) but constant size (n_b = 2000 individuals). The bottleneck decreases the effective population size, making the population more homogeneous the longer it lasts (A). Therefore, R^2 increases slightly for EUR from l_b = 500 to l_b = 2000. Likewise, the transferability between EUR and Asia (EAS), whose populations split after the bottleneck, increases for larger l_b (C). In contrast, an opposite effect is seen for the transferability to AFR. The longer the bottleneck lasts, the higher is the effect of drift, decreasing the genetic similarity between EUR and AFR (B). For significance in medians see SI Appendix, Table S8.

B. Effect of genetic drift and effective populations size. In addi-197 tion to our historic demographic model, we considered the simplified 198 model to study the effect of the bottleneck size n_b and length l_b on the 199 transferability of PRS. We received quantitatively similar results for 200 the historic model of one simulation and the simplified model with 201 baseline parameters of averages over 50 simulations (SI Appendix, 202 Fig. S3). The subsequent results are always based on the simplified 203 scenario. For an additive dominance coefficient of h = 0.5, shortening 204 the Eurasian bottleneck (l_b) reduces the predictive performance for 205 EUR and EAS populations, since the heterogeneity of the population 206 after the bottleneck and before the EUR and EAS split is higher than 207 in the baseline scenario (Fig 3). In contrast to the EAS population, the 208 transferability to AFR individuals was higher for shorter bottleneck 209 length (l_h) . 210

For recessive (h = 0.05) and dominant (h = 0.8) settings, the 211 effects of bottleneck length stay qualitatively the same (SI Appendix, 212 Fig. S4). However, the predictive performance regarding the recessive 213 scenarios shows lower values for application on European individuals 214 and overall higher performance for the transfer to the other ethnicities. 215 Considering h = 0.8, performance is located between recessive and 216 additive settings on the European population. The transfer to African 217 and Asian populations results in the lowest levels with respect to the 218 dominance coefficients. 219

The size and length of the population bottleneck also affects the correlation between randomly chosen alleles of individuals of different populations due to random drift (Wright's F-statistics, SI Appendix, Fig. S5). For instance decreasing n_b and increasing l_b both result in more drift and higher F_{ST} values between AFR and EUR.

The size of the bottleneck, n_b , mainly influences the effective population size. For smaller n_b , the probability that pathogenic variants achieve a higher allele frequency in the population increases due to more genetic drift. On the other hand, a more severe bottleneck results in more prominent purging of recessive alleles (inbreeding depression) (23). Therefore, the strongest effect on predictability and transferability can be observed for h = 0.05 in EUR and EAS: The smaller n_b , the higher R^2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 b-f, Fig. S6, Tables 232 S1-S6). 233

C. Effect of the genetic model on the PRS. The terms recessive, 234 additive and dominant describe both the variant effects in the sim-235 ulations (dominance coefficient h) and also the genetic model used 236 during PRS calculations. For a clearer distinction, we will refer to 237 the genetic model as mode of inheritance (MOI). In the preceding 238 results, the conventional choice of an additive MOI was used. This 239 approach can detect associations of common variants with additive 240 and to a certain extent also non-additive effects, but yields suboptimal 24 results for most Mendelian and complex disease variants that deviate 242 from strict semidominance (7, 8, 24). The impact of different MOI 243 on polygenic traits was examined on the simulated European popula-244 tion data. Besides the standard additive assumption, it is possible in 245 PLINK 2.0 and PRSice-2 to select recessive or dominant MOI. Each 246 MOI model works best on its corresponding variants (Figure 4A). 247 Particularly prominent is the increase in predictive performance for 248 recessive effects (h = 0.05) when a recessive MOI is applied instead 249 of the default additive assumption: an increase in median R^2 from 250 0.32 to 0.77. 251

The recessive MOI not only improves the predictive performance 252 on its base population, it also increases the transferability to popu-253 lations of other ethnicity compared to the additive MOI (Figure 4 B 254 and C). We observed an increase in R^2 from 0.024 to 0.15 regarding 255 the transfer of European effect sizes to African individuals, and from 256 0.15 to 0.54 concerning Asian individuals. The use of dominant MOI 257 also improves predictive performance for the scenario with dominant 258 variants slightly. However, the performance differences between the 259 additive and dominant model are more moderate due to the relatively 260 small proportion of homozygous states which is were the two models 261 differ in weighting (SI Appendix, Table S2). 262

3. Discussion

PRS are increasingly used in healthcare and therefore their transferability to different populations matters. For the transferability of a risk model, the proportion of pathogenic variants that is retained or common to both populations, as well as differences in their allele frequency spectrum are crucial. The main forces affecting these characteristics are genetic drift and selection (5, 25–27).

In this work we studied the effect from drift resulting from de-270 mographic perturbations and selection mediated by the dominance 271 coefficient h on the transferability of polygenic risk models. We evalu-272 ated a simplified demographic model with three different populations 273 that were separated before or after a bottleneck. The length and size of 274 the bottleneck, as well as the dominance coefficients of the pathogenic 275 variants were varied and the transferability of the PRS trained on one 276 population to the other two was averaged over 50 simulations per 277 parameter setting. We aimed to study the effect of each parameter 278 while keeping the others constant, and in total, data from 750 dif-279 ferent simulations were evaluated. The baseline scenario aimed to 280 emulate a realization of an European (EUR), an East Asian (EAS), 281 and an African (AFR) population and varying the bottleneck size (n_b) 282 and length (l_b) had partially opposing effects on the transferability 283 of the PRS from EUR to EAS and AFR: A larger l_b and smaller n_b 284 increases drift, therefore we expected a negative effect of these param-285 eter changes on the transferability for populations separated by the 286 bottleneck (EUR-AFR). On the other hand, transferability increases 287 for EUR-EAS, the more homogeneous the populations are before 288 expansion. In that regard a larger l_b works in favor of transferabil-289 ity. Overall, the transferability from the EUR model to AFR is so 290

263



Fig. 4. Effect of the genetic model on the PRS. The choice of the dominance coefficient *h* in the simulations affects PRS. h = 0.05 is best modeled with a recessive mode of inheritance (MOI), h = 0.5 with additive MOI, and h = 0.8 is most similar to a dominant MOI. The default setting for PRS modeling is "additive" but can be adapted to "recessive" and "dominant" in plink2 and PRSice. Shown is the performance for the three possible models trained and applied on EUR individuals (A). All PRS models perform best when the MOI matches the dominance coefficient. This also applies to the transferability of PRS models on African (B), and Asian (C) individuals. The predictive performance in EAS and AFR for h = 0.05 benefits from modeling with recessive MOI and achieves substantially higher R^2 than the other. For significance in medians see SI Appendix, Table S9.

low, that admixture will result in a linear relationship as described by
Bitarello and Mathieson. In contrast, for the transferability to EAS
the demographic parameters length and size of bottleneck had a clear
impact.

The characteristics of the bottleneck also affect the interplay be-295 tween selection and drift and consequently the transferability for 296 different dominance coefficients. First, we found that a higher pre-297 dictive performance was reached if the applied MOI during PRS 298 computation corresponded to the simulated dominance coefficient. 299 This was found to be true not only for EUR individuals and the trans-300 ferability of effect sizes to the genetically closer EAS individuals, 301 but an improvement was also recorded for the transfer to the AFR 302 population. Especially by incorporating recessive MOI, we observed 303 a considerable improvement for recessive variants (Figure 4). 304

Previous works have focused on ancestry-adjusted PRS to increase transferability across different populations (25, 28). However, our work uncovered two main aspects: (1) the need for adequately modeling the underlying dominance coefficient particularly for recessive variants and (2) the effects of a bottleneck strongly limiting the potential transferability of PRS.

With respect to the first aspect, Heyne, et al. have shown that recessive modeling can detect additional associations that have not been found with additive modeling (8). In our simulations, when 313 the MOI was known, we could confirm those findings. However, 314 when working with non-simulated data, the true effect of the vari-315 ants may not be known and especially not be uniform. Different 316 approaches to adequately model the underlying dominance coefficient 317 have been proposed. One way to handle this situation would be to 318 accept the maximum of the additive, recessive and dominant models 319 (MAX3, (29)). Kim et al. propose an association test that does not 320 require prior knowledge of the dominance of each variant (30). They 321 include different genetic models for each variant and make use of a 322 Cauchy Combination Test (focuses on SNP-set associations). Ohta 323 et al. infer the dominance coefficient of each variant and use adapted 324 base-learners in a statistical boosting framework (31). 325

Regarding the second aspect, our work revealed the effects on 326 genetic drift and selection during the bottleneck that highly influence 327 the genetic homogeneity between the different populations. Partic-328 ularly, the dominance coefficient of deleterious variants determined 329 the number of such after the occurrence of a bottleneck. For some 330 settings, the EUR and AFR populations were heterogeneous to an 331 extent in which a transferability of an EUR PRS to an AFR population 332 seems impossible. 333

In our work we mainly analyzed the consequences of varying de-

grees of genetic drift and selection during the bottleneck. Although 335 we could explain many of the trends we observed for the transfer-336 ability, some of the quantitative findings will also require a more 337 comprehensive analysis of the growth rates after the bottleneck. For 338 339 the frequency distribution of single pathogenic variants, it has already 340 been shown that the burden rate and expansion load will also depend on the dominance coefficient (17, 32). We could confirm these previ-341 ous findings and acknowledge that further simulations are required to 342 analyze the effect of the population expansion on the transferability 343

³⁴⁴ (SI Appendix, Fig. S7-S11).

In conclusion, we showed by simulations that genetic drift resulting from demographic perturbations and selection are the driving factors for a loss of transferability. Therefore, while methods such as ancestry-adjusted PRS and non-additive modelling can increase the transferability for some populations, the ultimate goal should be to create more diverse biobanks and develop methods that explicitly consider ancestry in polygenic risk modeling.

Code availability. The scripts for the simulations and the analysis are
 available at: https://github.com/fohler/PRS_transferability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors gratefully acknowledge the
 granted access to the Bonna cluster hosted by the University of Bonn along
 with the support provided by its High Performance Computing & Analytics
 Lab.

- Benjamin Cross, Richard Turner, and Munir Pirmohamed. Polygenic risk scores: An overview from bench to bedside for personalised medicine. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 13, November 2022.
 Publisher: Frontiers.
- Emil Uffelmann, Qin Qin Huang, Nchangwi Syntia Munung, Jantina De Vries, Yukinori Okada,
 Alicia R. Martin, Hilary C. Martin, Tuuli Lappalainen, and Danielle Posthuma. Genome-wide
 association studies. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 1(1):59, August 2021.
- Emadeldin Hassanin, Patrick May, Rana Aldisi, Isabel Spier, Andreas J. Forstner, Markus M.
 Nöthen, Stefan Aretz, Peter Krawitz, Dheeraj Reddy Bobbili, and Carlo Maj. Breast and
 prostate cancer risk: The interplay of polygenic risk, rare pathogenic germline variants, and
 family history. Genetics in Medicine: Official Journal of the American College of Medical
 Genetics, 24(3):576–585, March 2022.
- Amit V. Khera, Mark Chaffin, Krishna G. Aragam, Mary E. Haas, Carolina Roselli, Seung Hoan Choi, Pradeep Natarajan, Eric S. Lander, Steven A. Lubitz, Patrick T. Ellinor, and Sekar Kathiresan. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic multations. *Nature Genetics*. 50(9):1219–1224. Sentember 2018.
- S. Bárbara D Bitarello and Iain Mathieson. Polygenic Scores for Height in Admixed Populations
 G3 Genes/Genomes/Genetics. 10(11):4027–4036. November 2020.
- Jian Yang, Jian Zeng, Michael E Goddard, Naomi R Wray, and Peter M Visscher. Concepts, estimation and interpretation of SNP-based heritability. *Nature Genetics*, 49(9):1304–1310, September 2017.
- Marta Guindo-Martínez, Ramon Amela, Silvia Bonàs-Guarch, Montserrat Puiggròs, Cecilia
 Salvoro, Irene Miguel-Escalada, Caitlin E. Carey, Joanne B. Cole, Sina Rüeger, Elizabeth
 Atkinson, Aaron Leong, Friman Sanchez, Cristian Ramon-Cortes, Jorge Ejarque, Duncan S.
 Palmer, Mitja Kurki, FinnGen Consortium, Krishna Aragam, Jose C. Florez, Rosa M. Badia,
 Josep M. Mercader, and David Torrents. The impact of non-additive genetic associations on
 age-related complex diseases. *Nature Communications*, 12(1):2436, April 2021.
- Henrike Heyne, J. Karjalainen, Konrad Karczewski, S. Lemmelä, W. Zhou, Aki Havulinna,
 M. Kurki, H. Rehm, A. Palotie, and M. Daly. Mono- and biallelic variant effects on disease at
 biobank scale. *Nature*, 613:519–525, January 2023.
- Simon Gravel, Brenna M. Henn, Ryan N. Gutenkunst, Amit R. Indap, Gabor T. Marth, Andrew G. Clark, Fuli Yu, Richard A. Gibbs, 1000 Genomes Project, and Carlos D. Bustamante.
 Demographic history and rare allele sharing among human populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(29):11983–11988, July 2011.
- Benjamin C. Haller and Philipp W. Messer. SLiM 3: Forward Genetic Simulations Beyond the Wright-Fisher Model. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 36(3):632–637, March 2019.
- Benjamin C. Haller and Philipp W. Messer. Slim: An evolutionary simulation framework, 2016. http://benhaller.com/slim/SLiM_Manual.pdf, Manual for SLiM version 3.7. SLiM Workshop Online Version 7.
- Yaniv Brandvain and Stephen I. Wright. The Limits of Natural Selection in a Nonequilibrium
 World. Trends in Genetics, 32(4):201–210, April 2016.
- Christopher C. Chang, Carson C. Chow, Laurent Cam Tellier, Shashaank Vattikuti, Shaun M.
 Purcell, and James J. Lee. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and
 richer datasets. *GigaScience*, 4:7, 2015.
- International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*, 409(6822):860–921, February 2001.
- J. C. Venter et al. The sequence of the human genome. Science (New York, N.Y.), 291(5507):
 1304–1351, February 2001.
- Jane Grimwood and other. The DNA sequence and biology of human chromosome 19. *Nature*,
 428(6982):529–535, April 2004.

- Daniel J. Balick, Ron Do, Christopher A. Cassa, David Reich, and Shamil R. Sunyaev. Dominance of Deleterious Alleles Controls the Response to a Population Bottleneck. PLOS Genetics, 11(8):e1005436, August 2015.
- Augustine Kong, Daniel F. Gudbjartsson, Jesus Sainz, Gudrun M. Jonsdottir, Sigurjon A.
 Gudjonsson, Bjorgvin Richardsson, Sigrun Sigurdardottir, John Barnard, Bjorn Hallbeck,
 Gisli Masson, Adam Shlien, Stefan T. Palsson, Michael L. Frigge, Thorgeir E. Thorgeirsson,
 Jeffrey R. Gulcher, and Kari Stefansson. A high-resolution recombination map of the human
 genome. Nature Genetics, 31(3):241–247, July 2002.
- Augustine Kong, Michael L. Frigge, Gisli Masson, Soren Besenbacher, Patrick Sulem, Gisli Magnusson, Sigurjon A. Gudjonsson, Asgeir Sigurdsson, Aslaug Jonasdottir, Adalbjorg Jonas dottir, Wendy S. W. Wong, Gunnar Sigurdsson, G. Bragi Walters, Stacy Steinberg, Hannes Helgason, Gudmar Thorleifsson, Daniel F. Gudbjartsson, Agnar Helgason, Olafur Th. Magnusson, Unnur Thorsteinsdottir, and Kari Stefansson. Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father's age to disease risk. *Nature*, 488(7412):471–475, August 2012.
- Brenna M. Henn, Laura R. Botigué, Carlos D. Bustamante, Andrew G. Clark, and Simon Gravel. Estimating the mutation load in human genomes. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, 16(6): 333–343, June 2015.
- 21. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092
 425

 human genomes. Nature, 491(7422):56–65, November 2012.
 426
- Shing Wan Choi and Paul F. O'Reilly. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobankscale data. *GigaScience*, 8(7):giz082, July 2019.

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

- Luis A. La Rocca, Julia Frank, Heidi Beate Bentzen, Jean Tori Pantel, Konrad Gerischer, Anton Bovier, and Peter M. Krawitz. Understanding recessive disease risk in multi-ethnic populations with different degrees of consanguinity. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 194(3): e63452, March 2024.
- The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature*, 447(7145):661–678, June 2007.
- 25. Emadeldin Hassanin, Carlo Maj, Peter Krawitz, Patrick May, and Dheeraj Reddy Bobbili. Transferability of European-derived cardiometabolic polygenic risk scores in the South Asians and their interplay with family history, March 2023.
- Yosuke Tanigawa and Manolis Kellis. Power of inclusion: Enhancing polygenic prediction with admixed individuals. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 110(11):1888–1902, November 2023.
- 27. Ying Wang, Masahiro Kanai, Taotao Tan, Mireille Kamariza, Kristin Tsuo, Kai Yuan, Wei Zhou, Yukinori Okada, BioBank Japan Project, Hailiang Huang, Patrick Turley, Elizabeth G. Atkinson, and Alicia R. Martin. Polygenic prediction across populations is influenced by ancestry, genetic architecture, and methodology. *Cell Genomics*, 3(10):100408, October 2023.
- George B. Busby, Scott Kulm, Alessandro Bolli, Jen Kintzle, Paolo Di Domenico, and Giordano Bottà. Ancestry-specific polygenic risk scores are risk enhancers for clinical cardiovascular disease assessments. *Nature Communications*, 14(1):7105, November 2023.
- Hon-Cheong So and Pak C. Sham. Robust Association Tests Under Different Genetic Models, Allowing for Binary or Quantitative Traits and Covariates. *Behavior Genetics*, 41(5):768–775, September 2011.
- Yeonil Kim, Yueh-Yun Chi, Judong Shen, and Fei Zou. Robust genetic model-based SNP-set association test using CauchyGM. *Bioinformatics*, 39(1):btac728, January 2023.
- Rikifumi Ohta, Yosuke Tanigawa, Yuta Suzuki, Manolis Kellis, and Shinichi Morishita. A polygenic score method boosted by non-additive models. *Nature Communications*, 15(1): 4433, May 2024. 456
- Stephan Peischl and Laurent Excoffier. Expansion load: recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(9):2084–2094, May 2015.