

Primary care transformation in Scotland: a comparison two cross-sectional national surveys of general practitioners' views in 2018 and 2023

Donaghy E¹, PhD, Research Fellow

Sweeney KD¹, MBChB, Academic Fellow in General Practice

Lauren Ng¹, MBChB, SCREDS Lecturer in General Practice

Holly Haines¹, Medical Student

Alexandra Thompson¹, Medical Student

Henderson D¹, PhD, Research Fellow

Wang HHX², PhD, Associate Professor of Primary Care

Thompson A³, PhD, Professor Emeritus of Public Policy and Citizenship

Guthrie B¹, MBChB, PhD, Professor of General Practice

Mercer SW¹, MBChB, PhD, Professor of Primary Care and Multimorbidity

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1703-3664>

¹*Usher Institute, College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh*

²*School of Public Health, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China*

³*School of Social and Political Science, University of Edinburgh*

Correspondence: Stewart Mercer, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, BioQuarter (Gate, 5-7, 3 Little France Rd, Edinburgh EH16 4UX

Email: stewart.mercer@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

Objectives

The new general practitioner (GP) contract for Scotland, introduced in 2018, established GP Clusters and expanded multidisciplinary team (MDT) working. This paper compares the views of GPs in Scotland regarding the new contract, their working lives, and career intentions in 2018 and 2023.

Methods

Cross-sectional postal survey of all qualified GPs in Scotland in late 2023 exploring views on Cluster working, MDT-expansion, their working lives, and career intentions, compared with a similar survey from 2018.

Results

Job pressure was significantly higher in 2023 than 2018, but overall job satisfaction and negative job attributes were unchanged, while positive job attributes improved. More GPs were planning to reduce their hours and leave direct patient care in 2023 than 2018.

Quality leads views on Cluster working were unchanged, with 70-80% reporting insufficient support in both surveys. Cluster knowledge and engagement was unchanged but all GPs showed small but significant increases in understanding of quality improvement. Most felt MDT expansion was insufficient to reduce workload and fewer GPs reported giving longer consultations for complex patients in 2023 than 2018. Significantly more practices were trying to recruit GPs in 2023, and GPs reported worsening NHS services, higher workload, and lower practice sustainability. Only 1 in 20 GPs in the 2023 survey thought that the new contract had improved the care of patients with multimorbidity.

Conclusions

GPs report few improvements in working life five years after the new contract was introduced, and are responding by planning to reduce their hours or leave direct patient care.

[250 words]

Introduction

Internationally, ageing populations, rising multimorbidity, austerity, and widening inequalities are posing major challenges to health services.(1,2) General practice is fundamental to addressing these challenges.(3) Strong general practice is associated with lower health inequalities and healthcare costs(4,5) yet it is facing unprecedented crises globally.(6) There are concerns about the future of general practice in the UK, with shortages of general practitioners (GPs), declining continuity of care, and reduced access.(7) Internationally, responses to these challenges include reforms to manage increased demand whilst improving efficiency,(8) although frequently without appropriate investment.(9) A recent systematic scoping review found expansion of multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) was the most common reform in OECD countries.(10)

In 2014, the Scottish Government introduced legislation to integrate health and social care services, leading to the formation in 2016 of integrated authorities (IAs), and their delivery arm, Health and Social Care Partnerships (HSCPs).(11) In April 2018, the first-ever Scottish GP contract was introduced,(12) although elements of it began in 2016 when the Quality Outcomes Framework was abolished and GP clusters were introduced. Clusters are geographical groups of 5-8 practices working together to improve their local populations' quality of care (intrinsic role) and provide local leadership within the IAs and HSCPs (extrinsic role).(12,13) Each practice has a Practice Quality Lead (PQL), and each cluster a Cluster Quality Lead (CQL). Clusters were expected to be functional by April 2017.(14,15) The 2018 contract aimed to reduce GP workload by expanding the MDT workforce, allowing GPs to focus on patients with complex needs as expert medical generalists.(12,13) As of March 2023, over 4700 whole-time equivalent new MDT staff were working in primary care in Scotland.(16)

A 2018 survey of GP clusters in Scotland reported a lack of support and training,(17) similarly echoed in subsequent qualitative interviews in 2020/2021 (18,19) Key barriers to effective cluster working included lack of time, poorly developed relationships, and limited data. Further interviews in 2022 with GPs and MDT staff found no perceived reduction in GP workload nor improvement in the care of patients with complex needs.(20) MDT staff reported challenges in building new relationships, adapting to patient complexity, and the fast pace of primary care. Issues over MDT line management, training and professional development needs were also highlighted.

A patient survey (n=1,053) in 12 Scottish practices across 3 Health Boards in 2022-2023 found patients in deprived-urban areas (compared with affluent-urban or remote and rural areas) had the most complex needs, but reported the poorest experience of GP consultations.(21) In-depth interviews with patients highlighted concerns about access, consultations length and continuity of care.(22) Further evaluation revealed limited patient awareness of MDT roles, and concerns about reception staff signposting to MDT-care, particularly for those with complex problems in high deprivation areas.(23)

Methods

Study design

Using the same process as the 2018 survey, (17,24) we conducted a postal survey of GPs in Scotland in 2023. In both years, the survey was posted to all qualified GPs.(25) In 2023, 4,529 surveys were sent in October 2023, with two reminders to non-responders. Data collection stopped in early March 2024. The response rate was 30%, compared to 56% in 2018,(24) although the characteristics of

responding GPs were very similar in both years, and similar to national figures (supplementary table S1).

Instruments used

The 2023 GP survey used the same validated measures of working life and future work intentions as the 2018 Scottish GP survey, as also used in the English GP working life biannual surveys since 1999 (26), with the most recent being in 2021.(27) (See supplementary file Box S1 for details of the questions asked). We additionally collected data on bespoke items on Cluster working, also collected in 2018,(17) and new items about MDT expansion based on our qualitative findings and a survey conducted by Public Health Scotland (20,28) as explained below.

Cluster variables

As described previously,(17) GP Quality Leads (QLs - both CQLs and PQLs) were asked about their experiences of Cluster meetings and level of support provided. All GPs were asked about their knowledge of and engagement with the Cluster and how it affected their knowledge of quality improvement (QI) (supplementary file Box S2).

MDT variables

The 2023 survey asked GPs which MDT staff they had access to in their practice, what impact these staff had on their workload, and what the advantages and disadvantages of MDT staff were. GPs were also asked a) what percentage of their previous clinical work was now delegated to MDT staff; b) what percent they felt could be safely delegated; and c) which staff would be most important if additional investment was available: more GPs, more MDT staff, or more administrative staff (supplementary file Box S3).

Additional questions

Information was collected on GP demographics and employment details. GPs were asked whether their practice scheduled longer GP appointments for patients with complex needs (e.g., those with multimorbidity and/or mental health problems) and if they felt the new GP contract was improving care for patients with multimorbidity who were either elderly or living in deprived areas. Questions from previous English GP Worklife surveys about job changes seen in the past 12 months were also asked in both years (supplementary file Box S4).

Data analysis

The 2023 survey results are compared with the 2018 survey below. Given the similarity between the GP characteristics in both surveys (Supplementary Table S1), a direct statistical comparison was warranted. Standard parametric and non-parametric statistics were used, depending on the type and distribution of data for each variable. A Forest plot was drawn for the key variables which shows the effect sizes (Cohen's d) of the differences between the 2023 and 2018 surveys.

Results

Table 1 shows the working practices of the GPs who responded to the surveys. GPs reported working significantly fewer sessions per week and taking significantly fewer holidays per year in 2023 than in 2018.

Current working life and future intentions

Table 2 shows the results for the domains of job satisfaction, job pressure, job attributes, and future work intentions. Although work pressures were significantly higher, mean positive job attributes significantly improved in 2023 and negative job attributes remained the same. Mean job satisfaction was similar in both survey years. For individual item scores for the four domains, see Supplementary Tables S2-S5.

In 2023, significantly fewer GPs intended to increase their hours, and significantly more intended to decrease hours (44% in 2023 versus 36% in 2018) or leave direct patient care entirely (24% versus 22%) than in 2018 (Table 2). For GPs under 55 years of age, significantly more planned to reduce their hours (33% versus 26%), leave direct patient care (13% versus 10%), and leave medical work entirely (9% versus 8%) in 2023 than in 2018 (Table 2). Figure 1 shows the effect sizes of the mean scores for the measures shown in Table 2. Effect sizes were small, irrespective of their statistical significance.

Clusters

QIs views on cluster meetings did not change between the two surveys (Figure 2a and Supplementary Table S6). There was no difference in the extent to which they felt supported overall (Figure 2a), but individual items showed significantly less support for analysis, and significantly more support for QI methods and leadership in 2023 than in 2018 (Supplementary Table S6). For all other GPs (neither CQIs nor PQLs), mean overall scores for knowledge and engagement with Clusters did not differ between 2023 and 2018, but significant improvements in individual items were found for 'decisions' and 'queries' (Figure 2b and Supplementary Table S6). There was a significant improvement in mean overall QI score in 2023 ($p < 0.001$) which reflected increases in all six aspects of QI (Supplementary Table S6). Effect sizes were small for all measures (Supplementary Table S6).

Multidisciplinary teams

In 2023, GPs reported that 8.5% of workload had been delegated to MDT staff, but estimated that 22% could, in principal, be safely delegated ($p < 0.001$). In 2023, 82% felt that more GPs was the most important issue for any future investment, compared with 69% in 2018 ($p < 0.001$).

Table 3 shows the 2023 GPs' views on new MDT staff (these questions were not asked in 2018). Access to different MDT staff varied widely. More than half of the GPs reported that access was insufficient to reduce their workload in all staff categories except vaccinations. 84% provided free-text answer about advantages of MDT expansion. 54.9% documented one positive comment, 28.9% two, and 9.8% three or more. One in 4 (23.4%) documented both positive and negative comments. For the positive comments, themes related to the additional clinical expertise and skill mix, better collaborative team working, learning from MDT staff, gaining new perspectives and ideas, better links with secondary care, better links with community resources, and improved patient care and patient safety. Comments on reduced workload was often qualified as 'some reduction' due to increased patient demand and insufficient MDT workforce. The negative comments largely related to the issues in the subsequent question about the disadvantages of the new MDT, including lack of clinical space (68% agreed), the need to provide training and supervision (60% agreed), and lack of control over what the MDT staff actually do (71% agreed) (Supplementary Table S7).

Recruitment, local NHS services, sustainability, and training

More GPs in 2023 reported that their practices were trying to recruit GPs than in 2018 (35.8% versus 30.5%, $p < 0.01$), with more trying for longer than 12 months (42% versus 30.9%, $p < 0.001$). In 2023, more GPs felt that local NHS services had significantly worsened in the last 12 months, that practice

and personal workload was higher, and that the long-term sustainability of their practice was worse, compared with 2018 (all $p < 0.001$) (Supplementary Table S8).

Overall, more practices were involved in the training and education of undergraduate students in 2023 than 2018 (74% versus 59%, $p < 0.001$). In 2023, 72% were involved in training (non-medical) healthcare professionals, compared with 55% in 2018 ($p < 0.001$) (Supplementary file Figure S1).

Improving the care of patients with complex needs

Fewer GPs reported giving longer consultations for complex patients in 2023 than in 2018 (39.8% versus 52.2%, respectively; $p < 0.001$). Only 5% of GPs thought the new contract was improving the care of elderly patients with multimorbidity, and only 4% felt it was improving the care of multimorbid patients in deprived areas (Supplementary Figure S2).

Discussion

Summary of findings

Scottish GPs' working lives, career intentions, and views on Cluster and MDT working in 2023 have changed relatively little since 2018. Job pressure is significantly higher but job satisfaction and negative job attributes are unchanged, while positive job attributes improved. However, more GPs are planning to reduce their hours and leave direct patient care than in 2018. Views on clusters were largely unchanged but there are small improvements in understanding of QI. Less than half of the GPs reported that MDT expansion had significantly reduced their workload, and fewer reported offering longer consultations to complex patients than in 2018. Significantly more practices are trying to recruit GPs than in 2018, and GPs report worsening NHS services, higher workload, and lower practice sustainability. Only 1 in 20 GPs believed the new contract has improved care for patients with complex needs.

Strengths and limitations

A key strength of this study is having comparable and broadly representative national data examining GP views across the first five years of the new Scottish GP contract. The 2023 response rate was lower than in 2018 (30% versus 56%), but the characteristics of responding GPs was virtually identical in both years. The limited impact of the new GP contract should be considered in the context of the disruption caused by the Covid-19 pandemic.

Comparison with published literature

Our finding that job pressure has increased is mirrored by the 2021 English GP Worklife survey,⁽²⁷⁾ with other data from England showing a higher number of appointments being provided in 2023 than any year since 2018 despite a fall in the number of fully trained full-time equivalent GPs.^(29,30) In contrast to our finding that overall job satisfaction in Scotland did not change from 2018 to 2023, scores on the same measure in the English GP Worklife survey fell significantly between 2019 and 2021.⁽²⁷⁾ English data shows a continued trend of GPs reducing their working hours, and increasing numbers planning to leave direct patient care,^(27,30) in keeping with our findings. We plan to compare the Scottish 2023 survey with next English GP Worklife survey (2023/4) when data becomes available.

Our findings on MDT staff echo those of a recent Public Health Scotland survey of GPs which reported insufficient access to MDT staff (particularly urgent care staff), and a lack of reduction in GP

workload with no release of time for complex patients, and similar concerns about disadvantages.(31) Similar findings have been reported in England in the Additional Roles Reimbursement Scheme.(32)

Implications for policy and practice

There is widespread recognition that primary care faces an unprecedented crisis, in the UK,) and in other high-income countries. This survey of Scottish GPs provides evidence for policy makers that recent reforms have not insulated general practice in Scotland from increasing workload and workforce pressures, despite this being an aim of the 2018 contract. This has implications for ongoing negotiations for phase two of the GP contract in Scotland, highlighting the need to take more robust measures to reduce GP workload and improve workforce sustainability.

Conclusions

Although there have been small improvements in some aspects of GP working life in Scotland since the new contract in 2018, most aspects have remained the same and some have worsened, including work pressure. GPs - including younger GPs - are responding by planning to reduce their hours or leave direct patient care, which is a worrying picture given problems of GP recruitment and retention.

[words 2264]

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our Patient and Public Involvement group: Colin Angus (Chair), Morag Cullen, Mary Hemphill, Anne Marie Kennedy, who gave valuable feedback throughout the research programme. Special thanks to our Patient and Public Involvement Coordinator: Jayne Richards

We would like to thank all the GPs who contributed to the survey.

This study was funded through a research grant from the Economic and Social Research Council (reference: ES/T014164/1). Ethical approval was obtained from the Wales REC 6 research ethics committee (reference: 21/WA/0078), and research and development approval from participating Scottish Health Boards.

Competing interests

None.

References

1. OECD. Health for Everyone? Social Inequalities in Health and Health Systems 2019 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/health-for-everyone_3c8385d0-en.html.
2. The Commonwealth Fund. Overworked and Undervalued: Unmasking Primary Care Physicians' Dissatisfaction in 10 High-Income Countries: Findings from the 2022 International Health Policy Survey. 2023 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2023/aug/overworked-undervalued-primary-care-physicians-10-countries>.
3. Royal College of General Practitioners. Medical Generalism: Why expertise in whole person medicine matters. 2012 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: https://www.rcgp.org.uk/getmedia/828af8c8-65a2-4627-9ef7-7bccd3335b6b/Medical-Generalism-Why_expertise_in_whole_person_medicine_matters.pdf.
4. Macinko J, Starfield B, Shi L. Quantifying the health benefits of primary care physician supply in the United States. *Int J Health Serv*. 2007;37(1):111-26.
5. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of Primary Care to Health Systems and Health. *The Milbank Quarterly*. 2005;83(3):457-502.
6. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. Health and care workforce in Europe: time to act. 2022 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/362379>.
7. House of Commons. Health and Social Care Committee. The future of general practice. Fourth report of session 2022–23. 2022 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/30383/documents/176291/default>.
8. World Health Organization & United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals. 2018 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/328065>.
9. Hanson K, Briki N, Erlangga D, Alebachew A, De Allegri M, Balabanova D, et al. The Lancet Global Health Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2022;10(5):e715-e72.
10. Henderson DAG, Donaghy E, Dozier M, Guthrie B, Huang H, Pickersgill M, et al. Understanding primary care transformation and implications for ageing populations and health inequalities: a systematic scoping review of new models of primary health care in OECD countries and China. *BMC Med*. 2023;21(1):319.
11. Scottish Parliament. Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014. 2014 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2014/9/contents/enacted>.
12. Scottish Government. The 2018 General Medical Services Contract in Scotland. 2017 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/gms-contract-scotland/>.
13. Audit Scotland. Auditor General. General Medical Services contract in Scotland: a short guide. 2019 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: https://audit.scot/uploads/docs/report/2019/briefing_190516_general_medical_services.pdf.
14. Scottish Government. Improving together: A National Framework for Quality and GP Clusters in Scotland. 2017 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/improving-together-national-framework-quality-gp-clusters-scotland/pages/4/>.
15. Directorate for Population Health Improvement, British Medical Association, Scottish General Practitioners Committee. Communication on supporting materials to Health Board Chief Executives, Health and Social Care Partnership Chief Officers and practices in relation to the Transitional Quality Arrangements (TQA) for the 2016/17 General Medical Services (GMS) contract. 2016 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: [https://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/pca/PCA2016\(M\)05.pdf](https://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/pca/PCA2016(M)05.pdf).
16. Scottish Government. Primary Care Improvement Plans: Summary of Implementation Progress at March 2023. 2023 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from:

<https://www.gov.scot/publications/primary-care-improvement-plans-summary-implementation-progress-march-2023/>.

17. Mercer S, Gillies J, Fitzpatrick B. Progress of GP clusters 2 years after their introduction in Scotland: findings from the Scottish School of Primary Care national GP survey. *BJGP Open*. 2020;4(5):bjgpopen20X1011.
18. Huang H, Jefferson ER, Gotink M, Sinclair C, Mercer SW, Guthrie B. Collaborative improvement in Scottish GP clusters after the Quality and Outcomes Framework: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2021;71(710):e719-e27.
19. Donaghy E, Huang H, Henderson D, Wang HH, Guthrie B, Thompson A, et al. Primary care transformation in Scotland: qualitative evaluation of the views of national senior stakeholders and cluster quality leads. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2023;73(728):e231-e41.
20. Donaghy E, Huang H, Henderson D, Wang H, Guthrie B, Mercer S. Primary care transformation in Scotland: qualitative evaluation of the views of general practitioners and multidisciplinary team staff members. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2023.
21. Sweeney K, Donaghy E, Henderson D, Huang H, Wang HH, Thompson A, et al. Patients' experiences of GP consultations following the introduction of the new GP contract in Scotland: cross-sectional survey. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2023:BJGP.2023.0239.
22. Donaghy E, Sweeney K, Henderson D, Angus C, Cullen M, Hemphill M, et al. Primary care transformation in Scotland: a qualitative evaluation of the views of patients. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2024:BJGP.2023.0437.
23. Sweeney KD, Donaghy E, Henderson D, Wang HH, Thompson A, Guthrie B, et al. A mixed-methods evaluation of patients' views on primary care multi-disciplinary teams in Scotland. *BJGP Open*. 2024:BJGP.2023.0200.
24. Hayes H, Gibson J, Fitzpatrick B, Checkland K, Guthrie B, Sutton M, et al. Working lives of GPs in Scotland and England: cross-sectional analysis of national surveys. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(10):e042236.
25. Public Health Scotland. General Practitioner Contact Details. 2024 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.opendata.nhs.scot/dataset/general-practitioner-contact-details>.
26. NIHR Policy Research Unit in Health and Social Care Systems and Commissioning (PRUComm). [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://prucomm.ac.uk/>.
27. NIHR Policy Research Unit in Health and Social Care Systems and Commissioning (PRUComm). Eleventh National GP Worklife Survey 2021. 2022 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://prucomm.ac.uk/eleventh-national-gp-worklife-survey-2021.html>.
28. Public Health Scotland. Primary care reforms: GP feedback survey. Main report. November 2023 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://publichealthscotland.scot/media/23302/gp-feedback-survey-main-report.pdf>.
29. NHS Digital. Appointments in General Practice. Official statistics in development. 2024 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/appointments-in-general-practice>.
30. NHS Digital. General Practice Workforce. Official statistics. 2024 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/general-and-personal-medical-services>.
31. Public Health Scotland. Primary Care Reforms. GP Feedback Survey [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://publichealthscotland.scot/publications/primary-care-reform-gp-feedback-survey/primary-care-reform-gp-feedback-survey/>
32. MacConnachie V. NHS Confederation. Primary Care Network. Assessing the impact and success of the Additional Roles Reimbursement Scheme. 2024 [Accessed 31/07/24]. Available from: <https://www.nhsconfed.org/system/files/2024-02/Assessing-impact-success-ARRS-FNL.pdf>.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. GP working practices in 2023 and 2018

	GP Survey 2023 (n=1378)	GP survey 2018 (n=2454)	P value
Mean years in current practice (SD)	12.2 (8.9)	12.5 (9.4)	0.951
Mean sessions per week (SD)	6.6 (1.6)	6.9 (1.8)	<0.001
Mean weeks holiday/year (SD)	6.5 (1.6)	6.8 (2.9)	<0.001
Current employment model (n/%)			
Partnership	1143 (82.6%)	2048 (83.8%)	0.364
Salaried	232 (16.8%)	387 (15.8%)	
Locum/other	8 (0.6%)	10 (0.4%)	
Future employment model (n/%)			
Partnership	957 (78.8%)	1778 (79.6%)	0.630
Salaried	203 (16.7%)	351 (15.7%)	
Locum/other	55 (4.5%)	106 (4.7%)	
Actively seeking new model (n/%)	87 (6.4%)	160 (6.5%)	0.873
Does Out of Hours (n/%)	222 (16.1%)	585 (23.8%)	<0.001

Table 2. GPs current working life and future work intentions in 2023 and 2018

	GP Survey 2023 (n=1378)	GP survey 2018 (n=2454)	P value
Current working life:			
Job satisfaction	5.31 (0.95)	5.26 (0.99)	0.128
Job pressures	3.52 (0.78)	3.45 (0.74)	0.004
Positive job attributes	3.34 (0.54)	3.29 (0.59)	0.011
Negative job attributes	3.85 (0.56)	3.86 (0.58)	0.594
Future intentions:			
<i>All GPs</i>			
Increase hours	1.41 (0.83)	1.49 (0.95)	0.005
Decrease hours	3.08 (1.56)	2.81 (1.56)	<0.001
Work outside UK	1.44 (0.92)	1.42 (0.85)	0.354
Leave direct patient care	2.39 (1.53)	2.24 (1.52)	0.033
Leave medical work entirely	2.24 (1.52)	2.18 (1.53)	0.060
<i>GPs aged <55 years</i>			
Increase hours	1.49 (0.88)	1.59 (1.03)	0.170
Decrease hours	2.74 (1.47)	2.51 (1.44)	<0.001
Work outside UK	1.48 (0.94)	1.44 (0.87)	0.874
Leave direct patient care	1.91 (1.19)	1.75 (1.11)	<0.001
Leave medical work entirely	1.72 (1.09)	1.62 (1.04)	0.002


Satisfaction items measured on a 7-point scale (1= extremely dissatisfied, 7= extremely satisfied). Work pressure is measured on a 5-point scale (1=no pressure, 5= high pressure). Job attributes are measured on a 5-point scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). Job control, job design, work pressure, and work load are derived from job attributes (see Methods). Future intentions measured on a 5-point scale: 1=none; 2=slight; 3=moderate; 4=considerable. 5=high

Table 3. GPs views on availability and impact on workload of new MDT staff - 2023

	No access	Some access but insufficient to reduce my workload	Sufficient access to reduce my workload	Not sure
Pharmacy	16 (1.2%)	778 (56.9%)	579 (41.8%)	1 (0.1%)
Urgent care	570 (41.6%)	406 (29.3%)	390 (28.2%)	10 (0.7%)
Advanced physiotherapy	443 (32.1%)	637 (46.1%)	265 (19.1%)	4 (0.3%)
Mental health	477 (34.4%)	637 (46.1%)	265 (19.1%)	5 (0.4%)
Link workers	407 (29.5%)	575 (41.6%)	340 (24.6%)	59 (4.3%)
CTAC nurses	155 (11.2%)	606 (43.9%)	594 (43.0%)	26 (1.9%)
Vaccinations	153 (11.2%)	223 (16.3%)	834 (61.0%)	157 (11.5%)


CTAC: Community Treatment and Care Team

Figure 1. Effect size differences in working life domains and future work intentions of GPs in 2018 and 2023



Values that lie to the right of the red dotted vertical line mean that the scores were higher (better) in 2023 than in 2018, and those lying to the left mean the opposite. If the confidence intervals (black bars) cross the red line, then the difference was not statistically significant. It should be noted though that although statistically significant differences were found, the sizes of the differences were small (effect size of 0.2 or less).

Figure 2. GPs views on Clusters in 2023 and 2018



Cluster meetings score measured on a 5-point scale; (1= always, 5 = never). Extent of support measured on a 4-point scale; 1=fully supported; 4=not at all supported. GPs knowledge about clusters scored on a 5-point scale; 1=strongly disagree, 5= strongly agree. Understanding of QI scored on a 5-point scale; 1=decreased a lot, 5= increased a lot (see Methods)