

Quality of newborn care and associated factors: An analysis of the 2022 Kenya demographic and health survey.

John Baptist Asiimwe^{1*}, Earnest Amwiine², Angella Namulema³, Quraish Sserwanja⁴, Joseph Kawuki⁵, Mathius Amperiize⁶, Shamim Nabidda¹, Imelda Nmaatovu⁷, Lilian Nuwabaine¹

¹ Aga Khan University, Uganda Campus. P. O. Box 8842, Kampala, Uganda

² Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda

³ Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, P.O. Box: 40 Mbarara, Uganda

⁴ Programmes Department, Relief International, Khartoum, Sudan

⁵ Department of Family, Population, & Preventive Medicine, Stony Brook University, New York, United States of America.

⁶ Infectious Diseases Institute, Kampala, Uganda

⁷ Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

* Correspondence to john.asiimwe@aku.edu

Abstract

Background

Kenya is one of the African countries that have pledged to reduce neonatal death as per the World Health Organization set target by 2030. Providing high-quality newborn care is critical in minimizing neonatal mortality. The purpose of this study was to determine the factors that influence the quality of newborn care in Kenya.

Methods

Secondary data from 11,863 participants of the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS) 2022 were analyzed. The participants were chosen using a two-stage stratified sampling method. The quality of newborn care was operationalized as receiving all components of newborn care after childbirth, as reported by the mother. To identify the factors associated with the quality of newborn care, multivariable logistic regression was carried out using SPSS (version 20).

Results

In this study, 32.7% (95% CI: 31.0-34.5) of the mothers reported that their newborns had received all components of quality neonatal care after childbirth. Mothers who subscribed to the Christian (3.60 (95%CI: 1.32-9.83) or Muslim faith (3.88 (95%CI: 1.29-11.67) compared with those from other faiths, were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who spent less than half an hour were 1.33 (95%CI: 1.01-1.75) times more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers who gave birth in a non-government organization health facility were 30.37 (95%CI: 2.69-343.20) times more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered from a faith-based organization.

On the contrary, in terms of regions, Mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were 0.53 (95%CI: 0.34-0.82), 0.61 (95%CI: 0.39-0.94), and 0.62 (95%CI: 0.41-0.93) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care, respectively. Finally, mothers who gave birth through cesarean section were 0.44 (95%CI: 0.32-0.61) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care than mothers who gave birth through spontaneous vaginal delivery.

Conclusion

The study indicates about a third of the neonates (1 in 3) received quality newborn care. This study seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with receiving quality newborn care. Several stakeholders including health care providers may need to pay more attention to newborn babies whose mothers come from marginalized regions of the country, minority religious faith denominations, and those delivered by caesarean section. Stakeholders should focus on strengthening collaborations with NGO health facilities and achieving universal health coverage to improve the quality of neonatal care. Also, the government could utilize minority faith denominations as an avenue for staging sensitization about quality neonatal health services.

Keywords

Newborn care; Quality; associated factors; Kenya

Introduction

Quality of newborn care is the safe and efficient healthcare delivered on time to improve the health outcomes of newborns [1]. Quality newborn care is critical in assisting babies to adapt to the extrauterine environment, thus, reducing neonatal death by 25% [2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes the importance of ensuring quality care for neonates during the postpartum period as recommended in the form of assessing the newborn's temperature, checking the umbilical cord, counseling, and observing the mother on breastfeeding [3]. Some other components of quality neonatal care include the management of hypothermia, management of neonatal sepsis and other medical conditions, birth from a skilled attendant and the health facility, examination of the baby, skin-to-skin care, and immunization [4, 5]

Despite the decline in under-five mortality worldwide in response to efforts to address it, deaths remain concentrated in the very first days of life indicating the need to attend to quality neonatal care demands[6]. The World Health Organization estimated approximately 2.4 million newborn deaths in 2019, which accounted for over 45% of under-five deaths worldwide. Additionally, a persistent slow reduction in newborn mortality was also reported in the East African region [7]. The first 28 days of life present a very crucial period when babies are most vulnerable to health

challenges and face a higher risk of death with the global mortality rate standing at 17 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2022 [8]. However, the rate is rather higher in sub-Saharan countries (Kenya inclusive), which contributes almost 57% of the worldwide mortality rate, with rates of 21 deaths per 1000 live births in low and middle-income countries [1, 9, 10]. All these mortality rates exceed the projected sustainable development goal target of 12 deaths for every 1000 live births by 2030. [11].

The high newborn mortality rate is attributed to poor quality newborn care which includes delayed initiation of breastfeeding [12-14]. Above all, providing quality newborn care has been reported to be low in African countries like Kenya where the neonatal mortality rate is still a healthcare challenge accounting for 40% of under-five mortality rates [15-18]. In Sub-Saharan Africa, challenges to delivering newborn care are multi-faceted and include poor healthcare infrastructure, social-cultural environments, lack of adherence to clinical guidelines, and inadequate political will by governments[19-21]. The World Health Organization guidelines on postnatal care emphasize the provision of quality newborn care as a means of reducing preventable neonatal mortality [22].

Evidence from the literature indicated that the level of education, monthly income, age of the mother, number of antenatal visits, and knowledge of newborn care by the mothers were some of the factors associated with the quality of newborn care [23-25]. Other sociocultural determinants of the quality of newborn care include residency, maternal employment, pregnancy intention, health facility accessibility, occupation, parity, and counseling during the perinatal period.[26-29]. Furthermore, healthcare system-related factors related to the quality of neonatal care include the presence of health workers at workstations, access to evidence-based guidelines, working environment, communication skills, and supervision [30].

To achieve the sustainable development target 3.2 of eliminating unnecessary deaths of newborns and children under the age of five, there is a need to monitor the quality of newborn care delivered by healthcare providers in countries with limited resources like Kenya where neonatal mortality is still high [22]. Some studies that have been used to draw recommendations in Kenya like prioritizing the need to strengthen existing facilities, increasing access to standard inpatient neonatal care, and developing effective referral systems to improve neonatal care, [31] are not conducted on nationally representative populations. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors associated with the quality of newborn care as reported by the mothers using nationally

representative data from Kenya. Identifying these factors is crucial in developing efficient interventions to combat neonatal mortality and morbidity in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa at large.

Methods

Data source, sample design, and collection

This study used data from the 2022 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS). The survey used a two-stage stratified sampling approach in which the first step involved using equal probabilities and random selection to choose 1692 clusters from a master sample frame of 129,067 clusters taken from the 2019 Kenya population and housing census. The second stage entailed house listing to create a sampling frame whereby 25 households for each cluster were chosen. If a cluster contained fewer than 25 households, all of them were sampled. In total, 1691 clusters were surveyed. The Inner-City Fund (ICF) aided with the training of data collectors and pre-testing of study materials. Data was collected from February to July 2022.

All women between 15 and 49 years who were either regular members of the selected families or had resided in those homes the night preceding the survey were interviewed about newborn care in English or Swahili. Out of 32,156 women who responded to the questionnaire, 11,863 had recently given birth within the previous five years [10]. The MEASURE DHS website (<https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>) gave us specific permission to utilize the 2022 KDHS dataset. Although the dataset comprised several variables, only those that were relevant to our research were included in the analysis.

Study variables.

Dependent/outcomes

The main outcome variable in this study was the mothers' reported quality of newborn care. The quality of newborn care was an aggregate variable estimated from several binary outcomes (yes or no), which included whether the newborn received certain services from medical professionals after birth, as reported by their mother. The services included obtaining delivery assistance from a skilled health provider, weighing the newborn, taking the newborn's temperature, placing the

newborn on the mother's breast within the first hour after delivery, observing the mother while breastfeeding their newborns, teaching the mother ways to clean the baby's umbilical cord, examining the newborn's umbilical cord, advising the mothers regarding risk indicators of neonatal illness (danger signs), and guiding or counseling the mother on breastfeeding. As reported by the mother, receiving all the newborn care services implied that one had received quality newborn care (yes) or not (no), if one skipped ≥ 1 of the 9 services. [20, 32-34].

Independent variables

Based on the existing literature and the availability of the data in DHS, the factors taken into account in the analysis were classified into three categories: parental, health facility, obstetric, and child-related characteristics [16, 20, 33, 35, 36]. The analysis examined 11 parental factors such as the age of the mother (35-49, 20-34, 15-19 years), residence (urban or rural), maternal and husband education (tertiary, none/primary, secondary), region (Nyanza, Eastern, Rift Valley, Nairobi, Coast, Central, Western Northeastern), maternal and husband working status (yes or no), and religion (Christian, Muslim, or others). The wealth index was generated from the 2022 KDHS data on household ownership of assets using the principal component analysis and categorized into 5 categories (poorest to richest). Whereas maternal autonomy was measured using two proxy variables: who heads the family (male or female) and who makes healthcare decisions for the mother/participant (self, partner, together with partner or someone else, or others). We included ten health facility, obstetric, and child-related factors in the analysis. Obstetric factors comprised pregnancy wantedness (no or yes), ages at first birth in 5-year age groups, antenatal (ANC) visits (≥ 4 or ≤ 3), mode of delivery (cesarean or vaginal), the birth interval in months (≤ 24 or ≥ 25) and pregnancy losses (≥ 1 or 0). Child factors included the sex of the child (male or female). Lastly, health facility factors included the specific place of childbirth (private, public, clinics, faith-based organizations, and Non-governmental Organizational health facilities). The analysis considered minutes to a healthcare facility of birth (≤ 30 , 31-60, ≥ 61) as a proxy for access to the facility.

Statistical analysis

Before analyzing the data, it was cleansed, and dummy variables were developed. Descriptive statistics such as percentages were generated at the univariate level for all the categorical variables. We computed univariate logistic regression analyses, to find independent factors related to neonatal care quality. To investigate the factors correlated with newborn care quality while

adjusting for other variables, any variables with P-values below 0.05 were added in a simple multivariate logistic regression model. The confidence intervals corresponding to 95% for all odd ratios are presented. The data was analyzed using SPSS's complex samples module (V20), which accounted for the complex sample design present in DHS data.

The complex sample package provides accurate parameter estimates by accounting for clustering, weighting, and sample stratification that occurred during the study participants' selection. [37, 38]. The sample weights were also added to all frequencies calculated to ensure the representativeness of the study results and account for unequal probability sampling across various strata [37]. A variance inflation factor (VIF) of less than 10 was utilized as a criterion to test multi-collinearity among all predictor variables in the model, during preliminary analyses [37, 38].

Ethical consideration

The Inner-City Fund (ICF) Institutional Review Board gave ethical clearance to conduct the KDHS. The Kenya National Bureau of Statistics conducted the study in partnership with other stakeholders. Given that the secondary data was publicly available, no ethical authorization was required to investigate it. However, MEASURE DHS provided access to the 2022 KDHS datasets. (<https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>). Human participants provided written informed permission, as did legally designated representatives of minor participants.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the mothers of the newborn babies

DHS data about the quality of newborn care was obtained from 11,863 women who had recently given birth within five years preceding the survey (Table 1). The majority (74.4%) of women were aged 20 to 34, were from Eastern, Nairobi, Rift Valley, Central, and Nyanza provinces (76.7%), and lived in rural regions (61.4%). Moreover, 40% belonged to the richer (21.2%) and richest (22.7%) quintiles. The majority were Christian (88.5%), had completed at most secondary education (80.5%), and were working (57.3%). Furthermore, the majority of the participants' spouses were employed (91%), and 55.9% had completed their secondary education. The majority of participants (71.5%) lived in male-headed households and decided to seek health care services

alongside their spouses or someone else (44.9%). Most participants gave birth while they were under 24 years old (87.1%), had a pregnancy interval of not less than two years following their previous delivery (75.4%), desired to have the most recent pregnancy (91.2%), recorded at most four total antenatal visits (67.2%), and lived within 30 minutes from the health facilities (73.1). Most mothers gave birth to newborn babies by vaginal birth (82.9%), and at public health facilities (65.2%). About half of neonates were male sex (51%). Additionally, fewer participants had pregnancy loss (23%).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the mothers of the newborn babies (Respondents)

Variable	n (weighted %)
Age (years)	
15-19	785 (6.6)
20-34	8825 (74.4)
35-49	2253 (19)
Region/Province	
Nairobi	1371 (11.6)
Northeastern	406 (3.4)
Coast	1107 (9.3)
Western	1253 (10.6)
Eastern	1336 (11.3)
Central	1380 (11.6)
Nyanza	1406 (11.8)
Rift Valley	3605 (30.4)
Residence	
Rural	7289 (61.4)
Urban	4574 (38.6)
Working status/occupation	
Not working	5063 (42.7)
Working	6791 (57.3)
Education	
Tertiary	2321 (19.6)
Secondary	4231 (35.7)
None/primary	5311 (44.8)
Wealth index	
Richest	2695 (22.7)
Richer	2510 (21.2)
Middle	2074 (17.5)
Poorer	2062 (17.4)
Poorest	2523 (21.3)
Religion	

Muslims	1120 (9.7)
Christians	10220 (88.5)
Others	209 (1.8)
Sex of household head	
Female	3380 (28.5)
Male	8483 (71.5)
Husband education	
Tertiary	2329 (24.5)
Secondary	2984 (31.4)
None/primary	4206 (44.2)
Husband's working status	
Not working	858 (9)
Working	8632 (91)
Health seeking decision making	
Joint	4279 (44.9)
Partner	1590 (16.7)
Self	3618 (38.5)
Others	32 (0.3)
Age at first birth (years)	
≥35	57 (0.5)
30-34	229 (2.0)
25-29	1215 (10.4)
20-24	4630 (39.8)
≤19	5503 (47.3)
ANC Visits	
≤3	3157 (32.8)
≥4	6472 (67.2)
Pregnancy wanted	
No	1041 (8.8)
Yes	10823 (91.2)
Preceding pregnancy interval (months)	
≥25	6475 (75.4)
≤24	2111 (24.6)
Place of delivery	
FBO	574 (5.4)
NGO	31 (0.3)
Private health facility	1796 (16.9)
Public health facility	6927 (65.2)
Home	1246 (11.7)
Others	55 (0.5)
Mode of last delivery	
Vaginal	9043 (82.9)
Cesarean section	1867 (17.1)
Time to the health facility (minutes)	
≥61	496 (8)

31-60	1168 (18.9)
≤30	4515 (73.1)
Sex of child	
Female	5121 (49.0)
Male	5333 (51.0)
Pregnancy losses	
≥1	2723 (23.0)
None	9140 (77.0)

Quality of newborn care

Overall, 32.7% of the mothers reported that their newborns had received all components of neonatal care (quality newborn care) after childbirth (Table 2). Among the components of newborn care, 90.4% of the mothers reported that their newborns were delivered by a skilled health provider, 78.1 % had their newborn's umbilical cord examined by a health care worker, 88.2% were weighed, and had their temperature taken (72%). In addition, 59.8% of neonates were placed on the mother's breast by a medical professional within the first hour of birth. Participating mothers were instructed on ways to clean their newborn's umbilical cords (73.9%), observed during breastfeeding babies by medical professionals (75.8%), and given breastfeeding counseling (77.6%) or information about danger signs related to newborn sickness (77.6%).

Table 2. Components of newborn care package received.

Variable	N	Weighed % (95% CI)
Quality of newborn care (overall)	1503	32.7 (31.0-34.5)
Baby placed on mother's breast within 1 hour after birth	3267	59.8 (57.7-61.8)
Delivered by a skilled health provider	9463	90.4 (89.6-91.2)
Examined the cord	3811	78.1 (76.6-79.5)
Showed how to clean the cord	3609	73.9 (72.3-75.5)
Measured temperature	3515	72.0 (70.3-73.6)
Advise on a baby who needs medical attention	3205	65.6 (63.8-67.4)
Breastfeeding counselling	3788	77.6 (76.1-79.0)
Observed breastfeeding	3704	75.8 (74.3-77.3)
Weighing the baby	4717	88.2 (87.1-89.3)

Factors associated with the quality of newborn care.

Table 3 summarizes the factors associated with the quality of neonatal care in logistic regression analysis. In multivariate logistic regression, mothers who subscribed to the Christian (3.60

(95%CI: 1.32-9.83) or Muslim faith (3.88 (95%CI: 1.29-11.67) compared with those from other faiths, were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who spent less than half an hour were 1.33 (95%CI: 1.01-1.75) times more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers who gave birth in a non-government organization health facility were 30.37 (95%CI: 2.69-343.20) times more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered from a faith-based organization.

On the contrary, in terms of regions, mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were 0.53 (95%CI: 0.34-0.82), 0.61 (95%CI: 0.39-0.94), and 0.62(95%CI: 0.41-0.93) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care, respectively. Finally, mothers who gave birth via cesarean section were 0.44 (95%CI: 0.32-0.61) times less likely to report that their babies received quality newborn care than those who gave birth via spontaneous vaginal delivery.

Table 3: Factors associated with the quality of neonatal care received by neonates of mothers aged 15-49 years.

	Quality Neonatal care					
Variable	Yes (%)	No (%)	CORs (95%CI)	P-value	AORs(95%CI)	p-value
Age (years)				0.482		
15-19 (Ref.)	93 (2.0)	229 (5.0)	1		-	
20-34	1155 (25.1)	2358 (51.3)	1.20 (0.87-1.66)		-	
35-49	255 (17.0)	510 (16.5)	1.23 (0.86-1.74)		-	
Residence				0.475	-	
Rural (Ref.)	924 (20.1)	1967 (42.8)	1		-	
Urban	580 (12.6)	1129 (24.6)	1.09 (0.92-1.31)		-	
Region/Province				0.001		0.003

Coast (Ref.)	156 (3.4)	274 (6.0)	1		-	
Northeastern	25 (0.5)	115 (2.5)	0.92 (0.53- 1.60)		0.57 (0.32- 1.01)	
Eastern	169 (3.7)	385 (8.4)	0.68 (0.44- 1.04)		0.61 (0.39- 0.94) *	
Central	185 (7.1)	327 (3.3)	0.89 (0.55- 1.44)		0.99(0.60- 1.63)	
Rift Valley	410 (8.9)	978 (21.2)	0.82 (0.54- 1.24)		0.62(0.41- 0.93) *	
Western	198 (4.3)	277 (6.0)	1.24 (0.78- 1.96)		0.89(0.57- 1.43)	
Nyanza	166 (3.6)	379 (8.23)	0.56 (0.35- .87) *		0.53 (0.34- 0.82) *	
Nairobi	193 (4.2)	362 (7.9)	0.69 (0.36- 1.33)		0.59 (0.32- 1.12)	
Education				0.003		0.466
None/primary (Ref.)	590 (12.8)	1445 (31.4)	1		1	
Secondary	595 (12.9)	1104 (24.0)	1.32 (1.10- 1.59)		1.15(0.88- 1.49)	
Tertiary	319 (6.9)	547 (11.9)	1.43 (1.10- 1.85)		1.26 (0.81- 1.96)	
Wealth index				<0.001		0.332
Poorest (Ref.)	216 (4.7)	734 (16.0)	1		1	
Poorer	275 (6.0)	551 (12.0)	1.69 (1.35- 2.13)		1.18 (0.85- 1.63)	
Middle	302 (6.6)	517 (11.2)	1.99 (1.59- 2.49)		1.16 (0.83- 1.62)	
Richer	398 (8.7)	625 (13.6)	2.17 (1.70- 2.77)		1.31(0.89- 1.91)	
Richest	312 (6.8)	671 (14.6)	1.59 (1.21- 2.08)		0.91 (0.58- 1.41)	
Religion				0.009		0.040
Christians	1349 (30.1)	2650 (59.1)	2.91 (1.46- 5.79)*		3.60 (1.32- 9.83) *	
Muslims	117 (2.6)	303 (6.8)	2.21 (1.04- 4.70)*		3.88 (1.29- 11.67) *	
Others (Ref.)	10 (0.2)	58 (1.3)	1		1	
Working status/occupation				0.025		0.665
Not working (Ref.)	591 (12.9)	1363 (29.7)	1		1	
Working	910 (19.8)	1731 (37.7)	1.21 (1.03- 1.44) *		1.05 (0.84- 1.31)	

Husband Education				0.004		0.490
None/primary (Ref.)	466 (12.8)	1130 (30.9)	1		1	
Secondary	393 (10.7)	766 (21.0)	1.24 (1.02-1.51) *		1.07 (0.82-1.41)	
Tertiary	342 (9.4)	557 (15.2)	1.490 (1.162-1.910) *		1.28 (0.85-1.92)	
Husband's Working status/occupation				<0.001		0.240
Not working (Ref.)	59 (1.6)	247 (6.8)	1		1	
Working	1134 (31.1)	2203 (60.5)	2.15 (1.64-2.81)		1.23 (0.87-1.74)	
Sex of Household head				0.668		
Male (Ref.)	1074 (23.3)	2187 (47.5r)	1		-	
Female	430 (9.3)	910 (19.8)	0.96 (0.81-1.15)		-	
Health seeking decision making				0.014		0.557
Self (Ref.)	490 (13.4)	926 (25.4)	1		1	
Partner	143 (3.9)	421 (11.5)	0.64 (0.49-0.84) *		0.81 (0.58-1.14)	
Joint	563 (15.4)	1099 (30.1)	0.97(0.79-1.19)		1.02 (0.79-1.31)	
Others	0 (0)	7 (0.2)	1.24 0.29-5.42		1.15 (0.19-7.12)	
ANC Visits				0.002		0.443
≤3 (Ref.)	418 (9.1)	1046 (22.7)	1		1	
≥4	1085 (23.6)	2051 (44.6)	1.32 (1.11-1.58) *		1.09 (0.86-1.39)	
Age at first birth (years)				0.589		
≤19 (Ref.)	707 (15.4)	1499 (32.6)	1		-	
20-24	602 (13.1)	1236 (26.9)	1.03 (0.86-1.24)		-	
25-29	157 (3.4)	307 (6.7)	1.09 (0.79-1.49)		-	

30-34	33 (0.7)	52 (1.1)	1.34 (0.74-2.44)		-	
≥35	5(0.1)	3 (0.1)	3.10 (0.81-11.81)		-	
Preceding pregnancy interval (months)				0.051		0.154
≤24 (Ref.)	223 (6.7)	562 (16.8)	1		1	
≥25	860 (25.7)	1701 (50.8)	1.273(0.99-1.62)		1.20 (0.93-1.55)	
Pregnancy wanted				0.239		
No (Ref.)	144 (3.1)	255 (5.6)	1		-	
Yes	1360 (29.6)	2841 (61.8)	0.85 (0.65-1.11)		-	
Mode of last delivery				<0.001		<0.001
Vaginal (Ref.)	1315 (28.6)	2494 (54.2)	1		1	
Cesarean section	188 (4.1)	603 (13.1)	0.59 (0.45-0.78)		0.44 (0.32-0.61) *	
Place of delivery				<0.001		<0.001
FBO (Ref.)	103 (2.2)	167 (3.6)	1		1	
Public health facility	1118 (24.3)	1906 (41.4)	0.95 (0.63-1.42)		1.06 (0.64-1.79)	
Private health facility	257 (5.6)	561 (12.2)	0.74 (0.46-1.19)		0.95 (0.50-1.79)	
NGO	11 (0.2)	5 (0.1)	3.40 (0.39-29.51)		30.37 (2.69-343.20) *	
Others	3 (0)	20 (0.4)	0.22 (0.041-1.13)		0.30 (0.06-1.60)	
Pregnancy losses				0.569		
None (Ref.)	1319 (28.7)	2694 (58.6)	1		-	
≥1	184 (4.0)	403 (8.8)	0.94- (0.74-1.18)		-	
Time to the health facility (minutes)				0.006		0.125
≤30 (Ref.)	293 (6.4)	584 (12.7)	1		1	

31-60	1124 (24.4)	2236 (48.6)	1.00 (0.83- 1.21)		1.33 (1.01- 1.75) *	
≥61	86 (1.9)	277 (6.0)	0.62 (0.46- 0.83) *		1.09 (0.74- 1.60)	
Sex of child				0.736		
Female (Ref.)	734 (16.0)	1535 (33.4)	1			
Male	769 (16.7)	1562 (33.9)	1.03 (0.89- 1.22)		-	

Note. —not evaluated in that model, * = significant at 0.05, **Bold**= significant, CI= confidence interval, NGO= nongovernmental organization, ANC= antenatal care, FBO= faith-based organizations, AORs=adjusted odds ratios, CORs= crude odd ratios, Ref.=reference category.

Discussion

The study determined the factors associated with the quality of newborn care in Kenya using the 2022 KDHS. Overall, 32.7% of the mothers reported that their newborns had received all components of neonatal care (quality newborn care) after childbirth. These results are lower compared to the overall quality of neonatal care reported in Ethiopia at 66.3% and 38.4% respectively [39, 40]. Among the components of neonatal care, 90.4% of the newborns were delivered by a skilled health provider. This is higher than 86% recorded globally [41], 79.2%, and 51.8% recorded in Benin and Kembata, Ethiopia, respectively [42, 43]. However, 78.1 % had their newborn's umbilical cord examined by a healthcare worker which is similar to 75.4% and 70.3% recorded in Ethiopia and Senegal respectively [39, 44]. 73.9% of participating mothers were shown how to clean their umbilical cord higher than 66% recorded in Wakiso, Uganda [45]. Additionally, 59.8% of the newborns were placed on the mother's breast within 1 hour after birth by a healthcare worker, higher than the global rate of 45% [46], 29.4%, and 47.8% recorded in Senegal and India respectively [44, 47],

In this study, 75.8% of mothers were observed while breastfeeding their newborns by health care professionals, while 77.6% received breastfeeding counseling which is higher compared to 31.9% recorded in a study by Hassounah et al. [48]. In this study, 77.6% of mothers were counseled about danger signs associated with newborn illness (77.6%), 88.2% were weighed and 72% had their temperature taken. In sum, although the overall quality of newborn care reported by the mothers

appears low, statistics about specific components of that care paint a seemingly positive picture of a better quality of newborn care in Kenya when compared to previous studies, which can be attributed to several initiatives by the government of Kenya to improve maternal and child health care such as the free maternal and newborn care policy [49, 50].

Mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. This study's findings about the 3 counties could be supported by the fact that these are underdeveloped counties that were considered disadvantaged regions by Kenya's presidential initiatives for improvement of the quality of maternal and child health services [49-51]. Such areas may not have attained quality health care services thus explaining the poor quality of neonatal care received. Such findings are also consistent with a study in Ethiopia that found the marginalization (disregard) of neonatal health services in rural and underdeveloped regions reducing the quality of neonatal health services in such areas [52]. Such regions need to be given priority when it comes to the distribution of newborn-related health care services by ministries of health in Kenya and other sub-Saharan countries.

Mothers who subscribed to the Christian or Muslim faith compared with those from other faiths, were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. This may be because the biggest population in Kenya is made up of Christians and Muslims. Studies have observed that religion is a great predictor of neonatal health outcomes [53]. This could be explained by the positive impact of some religions as documented by Brelsford et al. [54] where some mothers were more likely to comply with newborn quality care practices as compared to other religions. This finding presents such denominations as a platform for presenting newborn health-related information to the populations through sensitization.

Furthermore, mothers who gave birth through cesarean section were less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care when compared with those who gave birth through spontaneous vaginal delivery. This could be because mothers after cesarean section are less physically functioning as compared to those who have a safe vaginal delivery and hence are unable to cooperate with health workers fully in initiating interventions like timely breastfeeding and thermoregulation of neonates compromising the quality of neonatal care [55]. Studies have also associated the cesarian section with higher incidences of neonatal complications and mortality.

Such babies are prone to adverse conditions like concomitant diarrhea and acute respiratory infections [56, 57]. Therefore, the level of care needed by such babies may not be easily realizable in low-resource settings of Kenya, as compared to those that deliver vaginally. This calls for capacity building of health professionals by government and nongovernment stakeholders in delivering advanced neonatal interventions in facilities authorized to perform cesarian sections.

Mothers who gave birth in a non-government organization health facilities were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered from public and faith-based organizations. These results concur with results from other studies that found that public and private health facilities lack essential supplies and equipment needed to provide quality newborn care, and lack basic and effective aspects of essential newborn care like skin-to-skin contact between mother and babies as compared to other facilities[58, 59]. Scholars have highlighted the important role played by NGOs in providing quality health care and the need for government authorities to seek collaborations with such organizations [60, 61]. NGOs have been noted to have continuity of quality care which is affordable as compared to other facilities thereby necessitating authorities to facilitate a good environment for their operations[62]. NGOs provide quality health care since they focus their resources on delivering healthcare outcomes unlike governments that may focus on divergent political and social agendas when providing healthcare[63]

Mothers who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who spent less than half an hour were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Unlike in this study, increased travel time to the delivery facility has been associated with an increased risk of neonatal mortality and complications [64]. Therefore, we recommend further studies by researchers to explore why mothers nearest the health facilities were more likely to report low-quality newborn care compared to those from far away from the health facilities. In sum, this study seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with receiving quality newborn care.

Study Strengths and Limitations

Data from the Kenya Demographic Health Survey 2022 was weighted and is nationally representative, therefore our results can be generalizable to all newborns in Kenya. Furthermore, DHS is known for high response rates and consistent quality data collection techniques across

states, thus our results are comparable to studies conducted elsewhere of the same design. However, because this study used a cross-sectional design, its conclusions are imprecise in demonstrating causality, and there is a possibility of recall bias from the participants because this study was based on self-reported data. Despite its limitations, this study presents useful information on factors influencing the quality of newborn care in Kenya.

Conclusions

This study revealed that the overall quality of newborn care was way below average, with some vital components of care scoring below the UN projected targets for SDG 3. These results highlight a gap in neonatal health care provision hence the need for responsible stakeholders to take action. This study also seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with receiving quality newborn care. The findings therefore show very low quality of neonatal care in some counties of Kenya prompting the government to prioritize universal health coverage hence even distribution of neonatal health services to such marginalized areas to improve accessibility and quality of neonatal health services. Emphasis should also be put on newborns born by the cesarean section since they are at high risk of receiving low quality newborn care. Therefore, this calls for capacity building of health professionals by government and nongovernment stakeholders in delivering advanced neonatal interventions in facilities authorized to perform cesarian sections. Government authorities and other stakeholders should also target religious denominations as a platform for sensitizing citizens about neonatal health care services. Collaborations between government authorities and NGO health facilities or newborn centers of excellence could also facilitate the provision of quality care.

Abbreviations

KDHS	Kenya Demographic Health Survey
CI	Confidence Interval
AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratio
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
COR	Crude Odds Ratio
DHS	Demographic Health Survey
EA	Enumeration area

OR	Odds Ratio
WHO	World Health Organisation

356

357 **DECLARATIONS**

358 **Acknowledgments**

359 We thank the DHS program for making the data available for this investigation.

360 **Competing interests**

361 All authors of this paper disclose that they have no competing interests.

362 **Consent for publication**

363 Not applicable.

364 **Funding**

365 This study received no funding.

366 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

367 MEASURE DHS surveys uphold high universal moral standards, and the study process adheres to
 368 relevant regulations. The ICF Institutional Review Board assessed and approved the protocol for
 369 the 2022 KDHS survey. Human participants provided written informed permission, as did legally
 370 designated representatives of minor participants.

371 **Availability of data and materials**

372 This data is freely available upon request from the MEASURE DHS website (URL:
 373 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>). However, the researchers in this study
 374 have no right to share this data set with the public. Those interested in the data set may obtain it
 375 with full permission from the MEASURE DHS (URL:
 376 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>).

377

378 **Authors' contributions**

379 **Concept and proposal development:** John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine.

380 **Data analysis:** John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish Sserwanja,

381 Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda Nmaatovu

Writing (original draft): John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish Sserwanja, Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda Nmaatovu

Writing (review and editing): John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish Sserwanja, Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda Nmaatovu

ORCID

John Baptist Asiimwe: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0681-9204>

Lilian Nuwabaine: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3255-3876>

Joseph Kawuki: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2440-1111>

Quraish Sserwanja: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0576-4627>

References

1. Wilson AN, Spotswood N, Hayman G, Vogel J, Narasia J, Elijah A, et al. Improving the quality of maternal and newborn care in the Pacific region: a scoping review. *The Lancet Regional Health–Western Pacific*. 2020;3.
2. Arba A, Zana Z. Knowledge of essential newborn care and associated factors among nurses and midwives: a cross-sectional study at public health facilities in Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia, 2019. *International journal of pediatrics*. 2020;2020.
3. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience: World Health Organization; 2018.
4. Berhanu D, Allen E, Beaumont E, Tomlin K, Tadesse N, Dinsa G, et al. Coverage of antenatal, intrapartum, and newborn care in 104 districts of Ethiopia: A before and after study four years after the launch of the national Community-Based Newborn Care programme. *PloS one*. 2021;16(8):e0251706.
5. McCauley H, Lowe K, Furtado N, Mangiaterra V, van den Broek N. Essential components of postnatal care—a systematic literature review and development of signal functions to guide monitoring and evaluation. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2022;22(1):448.
6. Maternal W. Child epidemiology estimation group child causes of death 2000–2017. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland. 2018.
7. Arunda MO. Improving neonatal survival in East Africa Analysis of maternal service utilization, effectiveness of care and risk factors for neonatal mortality in Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania. 2021.
8. Neonatal mortality [Internet]. UNICEF. 2024 [cited 22nd March, 2024]. Available from: <https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-survival/neonatal-mortality/>.
9. Organization WH. Newborn Mortality. WHO; 2024.
10. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2022 [cited 2024 09-01-2024]. Available from: <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR143/PR143.pdf>.

11. Hug L, Sharrow D, Zhong K. United Nations inter-agency group for child mortality estimation. Levels & trends in child mortality. 2018.
12. Tibaijuka L, Bawakanya SM, Owaraganise A, Kyasimire L, Kumbakumba E, Boatina AA, et al. Incidence and predictors of preterm neonatal mortality at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital in South Western Uganda. PloS one. 2021;16(11):e0259310.
13. Efa BW, Berhanie E, Desta KW, Hinkosa L, Fetensa G, Etafa W, et al. Essential new-born care practices and associated factors among post natal mothers in Nekemte City, Western Ethiopia. PloS one. 2020;15(4):e0231354.
14. Asiime JB, Nyegenye W, Muyingo E. Trends and determinants of neonatal mortality in Uganda: analysis of the Uganda demographic and health surveys. African Population Studies. 2020;34(1).
15. Imbo AE, Mbuthia EK, Ngotho DN. Determinants of Neonatal Mortality in Kenya: Evidence from the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Int J MCH AIDS. 2021;10(2):287-95. Epub 2021/12/24. doi: 10.21106/ijma.508. PubMed PMID: 34938597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCMC8679594.
16. Saaka M, Iddrisu M. Patterns and determinants of essential newborn care practices in rural areas of northern Ghana. International Journal of Population Research. 2014;2014.
17. Roed MB, Engebretsen IMS, Mangeni R. Neonatal care practices in Buikwe District, Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2021;21(1):1-10.
18. Kawaza K, Kinshella M-LW, Hiwa T, Njirammadzi J, Banda M, Vidler M, et al. Assessing quality of newborn care at district facilities in Malawi. BMC Health Services Research. 2020;20(1):1-11.
19. Enos JY, Amoako RD, Enos SK, Hayford B, Tette EM. Perspective Chapter: Challenges to Postnatal Care in Sub-Saharan Africa—A Review. Contemporary Challenges in Postnatal Care. 2024.
20. Kaur E, Heys M, Crehan C, Fitzgerald F, Chiume M, Chirwa E, et al. Persistent barriers to achieving quality neonatal care in low-resource settings: perspectives from a unique panel of frontline neonatal health experts. Journal of Global Health Reports. 2023;7.
21. Sunkwa-Mills G, Senah K, Tersbøl BP. Infection prevention and control in neonatal units: An ethnographic study of social and clinical interactions among healthcare providers and mothers in Ghana. Plos one. 2023;18(7):e0283647.
22. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on maternal and newborn care for a positive postnatal experience: World Health Organization; 2022.
23. Singh DR, Harvey CM, Bohara P, Nath D, Singh S, Szabo S, et al. Factors associated with newborn care knowledge and practices in the upper Himalayas. PloS one. 2019;14(9):e0222582.
24. Horwood C, Haskins L, Luthuli S, McKerrow N. Communication between mothers and health workers is important for quality of newborn care: a qualitative study in neonatal units in district hospitals in South Africa. BMC pediatrics. 2019;19:1-13.
25. Sanjel K, Onta SR, Amatya A, Basel P. Patterns and determinants of essential neonatal care utilization among underprivileged ethnic groups in Midwest Nepal: a mixed method study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2019;19(1):1-10.
26. Batamuriza M, Uwingabire E, Oluyinka A. Essential newborn care among postnatal mothers at selected health centers in eastern province, Rwanda. Rwanda Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences. 2020;3(2):139-51.
27. Alamneh Y, Adane F, Yirga T, Desta M. Essential newborn care utilization and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2020;20:1-9.
28. Gebremedhin T, Atnafu A, Dellie E. Community-based newborn care utilisation and associated factors in Geze Gofa rural district, South Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. BMJ open. 2020;10(8):e037792.
29. Zhao S, Zhang Y, Xiao AY, He Q, Tang K. Key factors associated with quality of postnatal care: a pooled analysis of 23 countries. EclinicalMedicine. 2023;62.

30. Bolan N, Cowgill KD, Walker K, Kak L, Shaver T, Moxon S, et al. Human resources for health-related challenges to ensuring quality newborn care in low-and middle-income countries: a scoping review. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2021;9(1):160-76.
31. Keene CM, Aluvaala J, Murphy GA, Abuya N, Gathara D, English M. Developing recommendations for neonatal inpatient care service categories: reflections from the research, policy and practice interface in Kenya. *BMJ global health*. 2019;4(2):e001195.
32. Saaka M, Iddrisu M. Patterns and determinants of essential newborn care practices in rural areas of northern Ghana. *International Journal of Population Research*. 2014;2014(1):404387.
33. Van den Broek N, Graham W. Quality of care for maternal and newborn health: the neglected agenda. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2009;116:18-21.
34. Islam MA, Khan MS, Khan AA, Narapureddy BR, Lingala KVR, Nasir N, et al. Newborn Care Practices and Associated Factors Influencing Their Health in a Northern Rural India. *Children*. 2023;10(2):408.
35. Mahato P, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Angell C. Determinants of quality of care and access to Basic Emergency Obstetric and neonatal care facilities and midwife-led facilities in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *J Asian Midwives*. 2018; 4: 25–61.
36. Alemu A, Eshete A. Newborn care practices and associated factors among lactating mothers at home in the rural districts of Gedeo Zone, southern Ethiopia. *Pediatric health, medicine and therapeutics*. 2020:47-54.
37. Croft T, Marshall A, Allen C. Guide to DHS statistics. Rockville: ICF; 2018. 2018.
38. Zou D, Lloyd JE, Baumbusch JL. Using SPSS to analyze complex survey data: a primer. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*. 2020;18(1):16.
39. Delele TG, Biks GA, Abebe SM, Kebede ZT. Perceived quality of essential newborn care implementation among health facility deliveries in North Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Reproductive Health*. 2021;18:1-14.
40. Mersha A, Assefa N, Teji K, Shibiru S, Darghawth R, Bante A. Essential newborn care practice and its predictors among mother who delivered within the past six months in Chenchu District, Southern Ethiopia, 2017. *PloS one*. 2018;13(12):e0208984.
41. UNICEF. Delivery care. 2024. Epub January, 2024.
42. Talon PY, Saizonou J, Kpozehouen A, Zannou RF, Ouendo E-M. Trends in the utilisation of skilled birth attendance among pregnant women in Benin, from 2001 to 2017-2018, and projections to 2030. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):874.
43. Mathewos Oridanigo E, Kassa B. Utilization of Skilled Birth Attendance among Mothers Who Gave Birth in the Last 12 Months in Kembata Tembaro Zone. *Advances in Medicine*. 2022;2022.
44. Peven K, Day LT, Bick D, Purssell E, Taylor C, Akuze J, et al. Household survey measurement of newborn postnatal care: coverage, quality gaps, and internal inconsistencies in responses. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2021;9(4):737-51.
45. Lizinde A, Suubi M. CORD CARE AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG MOTHERS OF BUWAMBO VILLAGE, WAKISO DISTRICT. A CROSS-SECTIONAL DESCRIPTIVE STUDY. *Student's Journal of Health Research Africa*. 2023;4(6):12-.
46. Karim F, Billah SM, Chowdhury MAK, Zaka N, Manu A, Arifeen SE, et al. Initiation of breastfeeding within one hour of birth and its determinants among normal vaginal deliveries at primary and secondary health facilities in Bangladesh: A case-observation study. *PloS one*. 2018;13(8):e0202508.
47. Pamarthi K, Palli JK. Factors influencing early initiation of breast feeding among mothers in North Coastal Andhra Pradesh, India. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2019;6:4316-21.
48. Hassounah M, Dabbagh R, Younis A. Is the Frequency of Postpartum Breastfeeding Counseling Associated with Exclusive Breastfeeding at Six Months? An Analytical Cross-Sectional Study. *Children*. 2023;10(7):1141.

49. Kenyatta U. Speech by HE Uhuru Kenyatta, CGH, President and Commander in Chief of the defence forces of the Republic of Kenya on the occasion of the 5th meeting, 2nd Session of the 3rd Assembly, Arusha, Tanzania 25th March 2014. 2014.
50. Gitobu CM, Gichangi PB, Mwanda WO. The effect of Kenya's free maternal health care policy on the utilization of health facility delivery services and maternal and neonatal mortality in public health facilities. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2018;18:1-11.
51. Samwel A, Emmanuel BK, Sylvester K. Rural Development Programs in Kenya: Challenges Facing Constituency Development Fund in North Mugirango/Borabu Constituency. *Asian Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities Vol*. 2015;4(4).
52. Yitbarek K, Hurlburt S, Hagen TP, Berhane M, Abraham G, Adamu A, et al. Inequitable Utilization of Neonatal Health Services in Southwest Ethiopia: The Effects of Socioeconomic Disparities. *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing*. 2021;58:00469580211018290.
53. Sanjel K, Onta SR, Amatya A, Basel P. Patterns and determinants of essential neonatal care utilization among underprivileged ethnic groups in Midwest Nepal: a mixed method study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2019;19:1-10.
54. Brelsford GM, Ramirez J, Veneman K, Doheny KK. Sacred spaces: religious and secular coping and family relationships in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Advances in Neonatal Care*. 2016;16(4):315-22.
55. Reghunath D, Sangeetha C. A Comparative Study To Assess The Quality Of Life Among Mothers After Normal Vaginal Delivery And Caesarean Section At A Selected Hospital, Bangalore. *Int J Health Sci Res*. 2019;9(8):348-52.
56. Gondwe T, Betha K, Kusneniwar G, Bunker CH, Tang G, Simhan H, et al. Adverse infant outcomes associated with caesarean section delivery in India. *International Health*. 2020;12(5):411-6.
57. Martínez-Perez O, Vouga M, Melguizo SC, Acebal LF, Panchaud A, Muñoz-Chápuli M, et al. Association between mode of delivery among pregnant women with COVID-19 and maternal and neonatal outcomes in Spain. *Jama*. 2020;324(3):296-9.
58. Kc A, Singh DR, Upadhyaya MK, Budhathoki SS, Gurung A, Målqvist M. Quality of care for maternal and newborn health in health facilities in Nepal. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2020;24:31-8.
59. Atiqzai F, Manalai P, Amin SS, Edmond KM, Naziri M, Soroush MS, et al. Quality of essential newborn care and neonatal resuscitation at health facilities in Afghanistan: a cross-sectional assessment. *BMJ open*. 2019;9(8):e030496.
60. Sanadgol A, Doshmangir L, Khodayari-Zarnaq R, Sergeevich Gordeev V. Role of non-governmental organizations in moving toward universal health coverage: A case study in Iran. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2022;10:985079.
61. Besançon S, Sidibé A, Sow DS, Sy O, Ambard J, Yudkin JS, et al. The role of non-governmental organizations in strengthening healthcare systems in low-and middle-income countries: Lessons from Santé Diabète in Mali. *Global Health Action*. 2022;15(1):2061239.
62. Bhuiyan MI, Haque A. Role of NGOs in providing available and affordable health care services to the slum people in Dhaka. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*. 2024;25:101478.
63. Sanadgol A, Doshmangir L, Majdzadeh R, Gordeev VS. Engagement of non-governmental organisations in moving towards universal health coverage: a scoping review. *Globalization and health*. 2021;17:1-19.
64. Örtqvist AK, Haas J, Ahlberg M, Norman M, Stephansson O. Association between travel time to delivery unit and unplanned out-of-hospital birth, infant morbidity and mortality: a population-based cohort study. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2021;100(8):1478-89.