1 RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION: A ANALYSIS OF BENFORD'S LAW IN

2 UNDERGRADS STUDENTS AND GERIATRICS PATIENTS

3 Moacir Fernandes de Godoy. Department of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Surgery,

- 4 Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto FAMERP, Brasil
- 5 Pedro Henrique Alves de Freitas Martins, Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto-
- 6 FAMERP, Brasil
- 7 Abstract:

8 Objectives: Study the correlation between RNG by human cognition and Benford's Law in 9 undergraduate students at a Medical School in the countryside of the state of São Paulo and geriatric clinic patients. **Design**: The study collected data from the students and patients from 10 the Robust Elderly Clinic of a tertiary Hospital between August 2022 and July 2023. Data 11 12 collection involved a questionnaire on age, gender, education, ethnicity, occupation, and a 13 table with 5 rows and 10 columns for the insertion of 50 numbers, chosen by the participant. 14 **Results:** A total of 263 forms were collected. The average age was 27.10 (IQR- 3) years, with 15 66.5% being female. Frequencies of the first significant digit were: 25.59% for 1; 15.35% for 2; 16 10.98% for 3: 8.65% for 4: 9.67% for 5: 7.02% for 6: 8.22% for 7: 6.81% for 8. and 7.70% for 9. Applying the Chi-Square test, no statistically significant difference was found (critical x² 17 15.507; obtained χ^2 5.36). Applying Pearson's Coefficient, the value of r was 0.98. Using the 18 Euclidean distance, the P-value was 0.9284. Conclusion: A high correlation between RNG by 19 the human mind, in students and Robust Elderly patients, and Benford's Law was detected. 20

- 21 Key Words: Cognition, Surveys and Questionnaires, Medical Schools, Geriatrics.
- 22
- 23
- 24

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

25 Introduction

26 Random number generation

The process of Random number generation by the human mind has been a site for investigation for quite some time. According to Pernaud N¹, It was observed that even as it can create true Random sequences, the human mind tends to generate pseudorandom numbers circles that based on the repetition of some patterns and suppression of others create cognitive fingerprints in the Generation, as seen by Schulz et all². In other words, human cognition does not always produce true Random phenomena.

33 Random number generation happens based on the relation of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex region and the superior temporal cortex, the last being associated with 34 35 sequential counting, there being a negative modulation between the two cortexes, in which the first inhibits the second. Jahanshahi et all³ found this through the reduction of the blood flow in 36 37 the counting region the dorsolateral region as is activated. Working memory, as described by Baddeley in 1974, emerges as a model to explain the 38 39 cognitive capacity to retain multifaceted information-visual, spatial, and temporal-and 40 perform mental operations on them within a short period. Working memory should be regarded as a brain network not localized in a single brain region, but rather as a property that arises 41 from the functional relationship between the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the rest of the brain, 42 43 as stated by D'Esposito M. Additionally, Persaud N demonstrates how changes in working memory, self-control, and problem-solving are reflected in RNG tests. 44

Therefore, it is observed that variance in the human executive function: such as work memory, self-control, reasoning, and problem-solving, are reflected in the cognitive capability of creating true randomness, according to Pernaud N¹. Based on this premise, the Random number generation process has been used to investigate diseases such as Parkinson's, as shown by Law et all⁵. At the same time, another phenomenon has intrigued mathematicians Around the world, Benford's Law.

51 Benford's Law

In 1881, Simon Newcomb saw that in a logarithm book that came into his possession,
there were distinct signs of greater usage of the initial pages, with the higher-numbered pages
experiencing less utilization.

This same observation was also made by Frank Benford, an American physicist who, after analyzing over 20,000 samples from various types, such as river lengths, addresses, sports statistics, population sizes, and physical constants, found that instead of a uniform distribution of initial significant digits (i.e., the leftmost digits of values excluding zero), there was a distinctive prevalence following a logarithmic scale showed Benford F⁶.

The analyses conducted by Newcomb and Benford led to the conclusion that the initial significant digits of certain numerical series did not occur uniformly but rather exhibited a nonuniform distribution among the numerals 1 through 9.

Benford's Law has been utilized for various purposes, including fraud detection in academic articles, according to Horton J et al⁸, public procurement audits, according to da Hora Sampaio A et al⁹, and international trade, as described by Cerioli A et all¹⁰. This detection is based on the fact that artificially fabricated data by individuals tends to deviate from the law, as shown by Gauvrit NG et al¹¹

It is important to note, as pointed out by Cymrot R et al¹², however, that for the analysis to be feasible, the data must exhibit similar phenomena, featuring independent data points within relatively large samples from unmanipulated sources. In other words, data with upper and lower bounds, such as average salaries or population heights, do not adhere to Benford's Law, nor are numbers subject to active human manipulation. Natural numbers, on the other hand, such as mortality rates and birth rates, follow the distribution as shown by Benford F and Newcomb S.^{6,7}

75 Benford in healthcare

Within the realm of healthcare, Benford's Law holds the potential to serve as an inexpensive and easily reproducible screening tool. However, the application of the Law in this field is not yet widely explored.

There is a dearth of studies evaluating whether human-generated data aligns with this distribution. Hsu E.H and Kubovy DA^{13,14}, in their initial studies addressing this possibility, required participants to write small series of 4-6 digits that were supposed to be original, all yielding negative results in terms of Law conformity, with at most a hint of the influencers' impact on the participants.

Nevertheless, this consensus of non-conformity shifted in the early part of the last decade, 84 as Diekmann A¹⁵ utilizing regression patterns and multiple-digit repetition pointed towards a 85 Benfordian distribution. Alongside this, Burns¹⁶ created the concept that the key question is 86 not the exact adherence to Benford's Law in numbers generated by individuals, but rather the 87 extent to which a Benfordian bias, a distortion of the spontaneous numerical pattern generated 88 by the human mind that approximates Benford's Law, would be significant and prevalent in 89 spontaneous numerical Generation. It is important to address that, the Law has never been 90 91 used as a screening heath test before.

Therefore, this study aims to explore the correlation between spontaneous numerical generation by human cognition and Benford's Law among undergraduate students, as well as among Robust Elderly patients at a geriatric outpatient clinic, and to comprehend the potential presence of a Benfordian bias within this population.

96 Methods

97 Sample characterization

⁹⁸ Upon approval from the appropriate Ethics Committee, this quantitative observational ⁹⁹ analytical cross-sectional prevalence study was conducted with a convenience sample. Data ¹⁰⁰ collection took place among students in the 1st to 4th year of the Medicine program, 1st and ¹⁰¹ 2nd year of the Nursing program, and 1st to 3rd year of the Psychology program, as well as ¹⁰² with patients from the Robust Elderly Outpatient Clinic within the Geriatrics department at the ¹⁰³ Hospital. The data collection period extended from August 2022 to July 2023.

Participants were divided into two major groups: university students and patients from the 104 Geriatrics department. This division aimed to form the largest and most diverse group of 105 106 individuals for data collection. Exclusion criteria encompassed failure to provide informed consent, age below 18 years, mild or moderate cognitive impairment reported by the primary 107 caregiver, and incomplete completion of the data collection materials. Additionally, the 108 classification of robust elderly individuals and the cognitive assessment for mild or moderate 109 110 cognitive impairment were carried out by the geriatrics department before the study, considering factors such as sarcopenia, grip strength, gait speed, ability to sit and rise without 111 assistance, weight loss, and feelings of exhaustion. Those classified as frail or pre-frail were 112 not included in the study. 113

114 Regarding sample size, as indicated in the literature, conformity with Benford's Law 115 requires a minimum sample size of 50-100 observations, as shown by Kenny DA¹⁵, with no 116 maximum limit specified. Consequently, the total student body was summed up, resulting in 117 500 students (320 from medicine, 60 from psychology, and 120 from nursing). An estimate 118 provided by the Geriatrics department at the school Hospital projected a frequency of 40 119 patients attending the outpatient clinic. A sample size of 50% of the observed population was 120 determined, yielding approximately 270 participants.

121

Instruments and data collection

For data collection, a two-part form was employed. The first part consisted of a questionnaire, encompassing inquiries regarding age, gender, educational level, ethnicity, and occupation. The second part comprised a table with 5 rows and 10 columns, providing spaces for the insertion of 50 spontaneously generated numbers by each individual.

During data collection, participants were informed about the research purpose, risks, contributions, and the option to withdraw at any point. They were then provided with the questionnaire. Following this, before the application of the questionnaire, the Informed Consent Form was presented. If, after reading and explanation, participants agreed to continue the research, the process was initiated.

If the patient was illiterate, the study and informed consent forms were read and presented 131 132 to their primary caregiver, after which participation in the study was discussed between them. 133 If the patient was illiterate and did not have a primary caregiver, they were disqualified from 134 participating due to the inability to complete the informed consent form. For student participants, the form was given for self-completion. For those in the Geriatrics service, the 135 136 researcher conducted the questionnaire. In the latter case, to collect the 50 digits, the researcher, at near one-second intervals, prompted participants to respond with a number of 137 their choice. Participants were informed that the chosen numbers had no limitations and could 138 encompass various decimal places, be below zero, include decimals, or even negative 139 numbers. If a number below 1 were chosen the first digit that was not zero would be the one 140 considered in the analysis. (Ex: If 0,45 is chosen, then the first digit is 4). 141

142 **Statistical analysis**

Upon data collection, the data was tabulated in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet to create a database containing variables such as gender, age, ethnicity, educational level, occupation, and the first significant digit (1-9) of the 50 spontaneously generated numbers by each participant. These digits were then compared with the expected values according to Benford's Law.

Descriptive statistical analysis of qualitative data was carried out by calculating the frequency for age, gender, ethnicity, and occupation, as well as frequency counts for the significant digits. Formulas in the spreadsheet initially calculated the sum of the first digits for each individual. Subsequently, percentages of each digit were calculated in relation to the total count for each participant.

For inferential statistical analysis of qualitative variables, two different statistical tests were employed. Firstly, the most commonly used test within the Benford literature, is the Chi-Square (χ^2) test, a hypothesis test that compares proportions, examining discrepancies between observed and expected frequencies for a given event. Additionally, the Pearson correlation test was used. Correlation coefficients (r) were classified as follows:

- r = 0.10 to 0.30 (weak)
- r = 0.40 to 0.60 (moderate)
- 160 r = 0.70 to 1 (strong)

Moreover, for a quantitative analysis of the Benford variable, the test of cumulative distribution based on Euclidean distance was employed. This test, adapted by Campanelli¹⁸ for the Law, allows for the calculation of the p-value and the assessment of compliance with Benford's Law.

The null hypothesis (H0) was that the observed distribution of the first significant digit would be the same as the expected quantity (logarithmic proportion), while the alternative hypothesis (H1) was that the observed distribution would differ from the logarithmic proportion. Furthermore, a significance level of $P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

169 **Results**

In 9 months of data collection, a total of 263 forms were collected. For analyzing age, the 170 participants were divided into two subgroups, the Elderly one, with an average age of 78,11 171 years, an interquartile range (IQR) of 11 (Q1 of 72 and Q3 of 83), and a standard deviation of 172 6,68. The Not Elderly, with an average age of 21,7 years and 236 participants, an interquartile 173 range (IQR) of 3 (Q1 of 20 and Q3 of 23), notice that the data did not follow a normal 174 175 distribution, with 66.5% (175 participants) identifying as female. Regarding ethnicity, 86.3% of 176 the participants self-identified as white; 4.6% as Asian; and 9,1% as black and Brown. In terms of educational attainment, all the members of the Not Elderly subgroup had attained a higher 177 education. On the contrary, in the Elderly group, 8% had completed a higher education; 12% 178 179 had completed high school; 62% had only completed elementary school; and 19% were 180 illiterate.

181 Concerning the first significant digits, following data tabulation, the following results were182 obtained:

183 Table 1, Benford Comparison and 1st significant digit general Count

1nd Significant Digit	General Count	% Total	% Benford
1	3365	25.59	30.10
2	2018	15.35	17.61
3	1444	10.98	12.49
4	1138	8.65	9.69
5	1272	9.67	7.92
6	923	7.02	6.69
7	1081	8.22	5.80
8	896	6.81	5.12
9	1013	7.70	4.58

¹⁸⁴

For the analysis of conformity, we compared the above numbers with the expected 185 proportions of each of the nine responses (1-9), according to Benford's Law, applying Chi-186 Square tests and Pearson's Linear Correlation. The results of these analyses are presented 187 below: 188

- Comparision Benford x Sample 35,00 30,00 25,59 25,00 20,00 15,35 15,00 10,98 9,67 8.65 8,22 10,00 7,70 7,02 6,81 5,00 0,00 1 2 3 8 9 4 5 6 7 % Total -% Benford
- 189 Figure 1 – General Count

190

Applying the Chi-Square (χ^2) Test yielded a result of 5.36, indicating the absence of 191 statistically significant differences between the data obtained from the overall participant count 192 193 and Benford's Law, considering a significance level of 0.05 and a Critical χ^2 of 15.507.

194 Continuing the analysis, applying Pearson's Linear Correlation Coefficient to the data 195 obtained in Table 1, a correlation of 0.98 was observed, signifying a positive and strong 196 correlation.

197 Utilizing the adapted Euclidean distance test, which allows for the calculation of the 198 confidence value (p) for the sample in question, a calculated (p) value of 0.93 indicated 199 conformity between the collected data and Benford's Law.

In line with the findings, when isolating the geriatric age group, aged 65 and older, we had content the findings, when isolating the geriatric age group, aged 65 and older, we had were obtained:





204

Applying the Chi-Square Test (X2), we obtained a result of 5.47, indicating the absence of statistically significant differences between the data found in the overall participant count and Benford's Law, considering a significance level of 0.05, with a Critical X2 of 15.507.

208 Continuing the analysis, when applying Pearson's Linear Correlation Coefficient to the 209 data obtained in Figure 2, we observed a correlation of 0.98, which is considered a strong, 210 positive correlation.

- Using the adapted Euclidean Distance test, which allows the calculation of the confidence
- value (p) for the sample in question, we calculated a (p) of 0.92, indicating conformity between
- the obtained data and Benford's Law.
- 214 Regarding the second significant digit, following tabulation, the following results were
- 215 obtained:
- Figure 3 2nd Significant Digit and General Count



Applying the Chi-Square (χ^2) test to the data in Figure 3 yielded a value of 20.70, indicating statistically significant differences between the data obtained from the general count of the second significant digit and Benford's Law, considering a significance level of 0.05 and a Critical χ^2 of 15.507.

222 Discussion

Regarding the obtained results, it was observed that voluntarily generated numbers by the participants tended to Benford's Law in their first significant digit. This finding contradicts the initial studies that demonstrated a fit of human cognition to the Law. These early studies, developed by Hsu, Hill, and Kubovy ^{12,14,19}, instructed participants to create a single number with four decimal places arbitrarily, resulting in a pattern of uniform distribution. However, this

pattern has been contested in recent decades when alternative methods utilizing non-arbitrary
numbers, as showed by Diekmann¹⁴, and a larger quantity of numbers related to real data,
such as mortality rates and river sizes, according to Burns BD²⁰, revealed a distortion in the
direction of the Law.

Similarly, our study, by instructing participants to generate 50 numbers, aligns with recent 232 findings, indicating that even with arbitrary numbers, a high quantity of generated numbers 233 would exhibit the Benfordian pattern. This research follows the line explored by Burns²⁰, 234 suggesting a shift in perspective regarding the fit of human cognition to the Law. Burns 235 236 proposes that seeking an exact fit, as pursued in initial studies, oversimplifies the multifaceted processes involved in spontaneous numerical generation. Instead, it's more relevant to explore 237 the presence of a Benfordian bias - the extent to which human cognition displays a preference 238 for lower digits in the process of numerical generation. This perspective is also congruent with 239 240 the elevation of the digit 5, which is in line with the Benford pattern, according to Scott et al and Burns & Krygier^{16,21}. 241

In this context, our study demonstrates how such a bias can be expressed through an
easy and cost-effective screening tool, with high applicability and reproducibility.

When exploring the Benfordian bias, several hypotheses arise. The Recognition Hypothesis, according to Chi D & Burns B²², suggests that if the world is comprised of information that adheres to Benford's Law, individuals will become sensitive to this statistical situation. In essence, the decision-making process of these individuals would be conditioned by implicit knowledge of the Law. However, this hypothesis has been demonstrated to be untrue by Tipodi M and Chi D & Burns B^{22,23}.

250 Consequently, the Integration Hypothesis, according to Berger A., & Hill, T²⁴, suggests that 251 the emergence of Benford's Law is a product of the cognitive process of numerical combination 252 during spontaneous numerical generation. In other words, Benford's Law will be expressed 253 through cognition as a result of the intrinsic processes of numerical combination performed by 254 our brain when generating numbers spontaneously; in other words, it will be a reflection of a 255 cognitive process. This brings the focus back to the process of spontaneous numerical

generation, which according to Hoshi Y et all²⁵ and Jahanshahi M⁴ et al, is based on the left 256 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and the superior temporal cortex with more intense 257 258 activation in the ventrolateral region of the DLPFC, according to o Agbangla et al²⁶. Simultaneously, the connection between the DLPFC and the rest of the brain gives rise to 259 working memory, as shown by D'Esposito M⁴, which serves as a model to explain the cognitive 260 ability to retain multifaceted information and perform mental operations on it, according to 261 Baddeley A³ and Baddeley A & Hitch G^{,27}. Notably, spontaneous numerical generation, as 262 demonstrated in this study to exhibit a Benfordian bias, is used as a means to evaluate 263 executive functions and working memory, according to Persaud N.¹. 264

Thus, a potential link between the emergence of this Benfordian bias and the same cognitive processes related to executive functions and working memory is observed. This raises the possibility that this bias, through spontaneous numerical generation, could act as an indirect measure of executive functions and working memory. The study's main finding is the capacity to produce the Benfordian bias using the presented instruments.

270 It is important to emphasize that the presence of Benford bias in human cognition occurs when utilizing RNG techniques. Thus, other cognitive processes, such as the formation of 271 fraudulent data, utilize different cognitive areas, as demonstrated above. Consequently, since 272 273 these are different cognitive processes in different locations, Benford's Law can concurrently be used to investigate fraudulent data formed by human cognition and potentially analyze 274 working memory through the Benfordian tendency of cognition, as demonstrated by RNG. 275 276 Therefore, the study focused on demonstrating how the present data collection instrument is 277 capable of expressing the Benford bias under RNG conditions. Consequently, the analysis of individuals was conducted in subgroups rather than separately, due to the primary objective of 278 the study: to establish the method in question, with the subsequent evaluation of its utilization 279 as a cognitive screening test. 280

The main limitations of the study are related to the participant pool, which although diverse in age and substantial in number, is somewhat skewed towards young individuals in higher

education. Additionally, despite various advances in the statistical field related to assessing the
Benfordian bias, some methodological instability persists due to the inherent characteristics of
Benford's Law.

In conclusion, revisiting the study's objective of analyzing the correlation between human cognition and the Benfordian bias in a specific, it is evident that such a correlation has been confirmed. Additionally, the feasibility of utilizing the proposed data collection method to assess the Benfordian bias in human cognition has been demonstrated, with low cost and a large potential for clinical application.

291 Acknowledgments

The authors declare the submission, evaluation, and approval of this study by the relevant ethics committee. Furthermore, they reaffirm their commitment to providing any requested data upon reasonable request. The authors declare no significant conflit of interest.

295 **References**

Persaud N. Humans can consciously generate random number sequences: A possible test
 for artificial intelligence. Medical Hypotheses. 2005 Jan 1;65(2):211-4.

298 2.Schulz MA, Baier S, Timmermann B, Bzdok D, Witt K. A cognitive fingerprint in human
299 random number generation. Scientific reports. 2021 Oct 12;11(1):1-7.

300 3. D'Esposito M. From cognitive to neural models of working memory. Philosophical
 301 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2007 May 29;362(1481):761-72.

4. Baddeley AD & Hitch G. Working memory. InPsychology of learning and motivation 1974
Jan 1 (Vol. 8, pp. 47-89). Academic press.

304 5. Jahanshahi M, Dirnberger G, Fuller R, Frith CD. The role of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

in random number generation: a study with positron emission tomography. Neuroimage. 2000

306 Dec 1;12(6):713-25.

- 307 6. Lam J, Lee J, Williams M, Cohn M, Wilson M, Mark C et al. Cognitive effects of theta
 308 frequency bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation in Parkinson's disease: A pilot study. Brain
 309 Stimulation. 2021 Mar 1;14(2):230-40.
- 310 7.Benford F. The Law of Anomalous Numbers . Proceedings of the American Philosophical
- 311 Society. 1938; 78 (4): 551-572.
- 8. Newcomb S. Note on the Frequency of Use of the Different Digits in Natural Numbers.
- American Journal of Mathematics. 1881; 4(1): 39-40.
- 9.Horton J, Kumar DK, Wood A. Detecting academic fraud using Benford law: The case of
 Professor James Hunton. Research Policy. 2020 Oct 1;49(8):104084.
- 10. da Hora Sampaio A, Figueiredo PS, Loiola E. Public procurement in Brazil: Evidence of
- 317 frauds using the Newcomb-Benford Law/Compras públicas en Brasil: Indicaciones de fraudes
- 318 utilizando la Ley de Newcomb-Benford/COMPRAS PÚBLICAS NO BRASIL: INDÍCIOS DE
- 319 FRAUDES USANDO A LEI DE NEWCOMB-BENFORD. Cadernos de Gestão Pública e
- 320 Cidadania. 2022 Jan 1;27(86):NA-.
- 11. Cerioli A, Barabesi L, Cerasa A, Menegatti M, Perrotta D. Newcomb–Benford law and the
 detection of frauds in international trade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
 2019 Jan 2;116(1):106-15.
- 324 12. Gauvrit NG, Houillon JC, Delahaye JP. Generalized Benford's Law as a lie detector.
 325 Advances in cognitive psychology. 2017;13(2):121.
- 326 13.Cymrot R, da Rocha FR, Ferreira DS. Análise dos dígitos industriais baseada na lei de
- 327 Benford e sua aplicação utilizando rotinas computacionais. Revista Mackenzie de Engenharia
- 328 e Computação. 2012 Nov 24;12(1).
- 14. Hsü, E. H. (1948). An experimental study on "mental numbers" and a new application.
- Journal of General Psychology, 38, 57-67.

- 15. Kubovy, M. (1977). Response availability and the apparent spontaneity of numerical
 choices. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Performance and Performance, 2, 359364.
- 16. Diekmann, A. (2007). Not the first digit! Using Benford's law to detect fraudulent scientific
- data. Journal of Applied Statistics, 34, 321-329.
- 17. Burns BD. Do people fit Benford's law, or do they have a Benford bias?. InCogSci 2020.
- 18. Kenny DA. Measuring model fit. <u>https://davidakenny.net/cm/fit.htm</u>, 2020. Captado em
 julho 2022.
- 19. Campanelli, Leonardo (2023). Breaking Benford's law: A statistical analysis of Covid-19
- 340 data using the euclidean distance statistic. Statistics in Transition New Series. In press.
- 20. Hill, T.P. (1988). Random-number guessing and the first digit phenomenon. Psychological
 Reports, 6, 967-971.
- 21. Burns, B. D., & Krygier, J. (2015). Psychology and Benford's Law. In S. J. Miller (ed.),
- The Theory and Applications of Benford's Law (pp 267-274). Princeton, NJ: Princeton
- 345 University Press.
- 346 22. Scott, S.K., Barnard, P.J.,May, J. (2001). Specifying executive representations and
 347 processes in number generation tasks. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology:
 348 Section A, 54, 641-664.
- 23. Chi D & Burns B. Why do People fit to Benford's Law?–A Test of the Recognition
- 350 Hypothesis. InProceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society 2022351 (Vol. 44, No. 44).
- 24. Tripodi, M. (2016). Unknowingly influenced by statistics in the environment. Why do
 people produce Benford's law? Unpublished honours thesis, T
- 25. Berger, A., & Hill, T. P. (2015). A short introduction to the mathematics of Benford's law. In
- S. J. Miller (ed.), The Theory and Applications of Benford's Law (pp 23-67). Princeton, NJ:
- 356 Princeton University Press.

- 26. Hoshi Y, Tsou BH, Billock VA, Tanosaki M, Iguchi Y, Shimada M, et al. Spatiotemporal
 characteristics of hemodynamic changes in the human lateral prefrontal cortex during working
 memory tasks. Neuroimage. 2003 Nov 1;20(3):1493-504.
- 360 27. Agbangla NF, Audiffren M, Pylouster J, Albinet CT. Load-Dependent Prefrontal Cortex
- 361 Activation Assessed by Continuous-Wave Near-Infrared Spectroscopy during Two Executive
- Tasks with Three Cognitive Loads in Young Adults. Brain Sciences. 2022 Oct 28;12(11):1462.
- 363 28. Baddeley A. Random generation and the executive control of working memory. The
- 364 Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Section A. 1998 Nov 1;51(4):819-52.