The genetic relationships between post-traumatic	1
stress disorder and its corresponding neural	2
circuit structures	3
Qian Gong ¹ , Honggang Lyu ¹ , Lijun Kang ¹ , Simeng Ma ¹ , Nan Zhang ¹ , Xin-hui Xie ¹ , Enqi Zhou ¹ , Zipeng Deng ¹ , Jiewei Liu ^{2*} , Zhongchun Liu ^{1,3*}	4 5 6
 ^{1*}Department of Psychiatry, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, 238 Jiefang Road, Wuhan, 430060, Hubei, China. ²Department of Psychiatry, Wuhan Mental Health Center, 89 Gongnongbing Road, Wuhan, 430012, Hubei, China. ³Taikang Center for Life and Medical Sciences, Wuhan University, 115 Donghu Road, Wuhan, 430071, Hubei, China. 	7 8 9 10 11 12
*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): liujiewei@hust.edu.cn; zcliu6@whu.edu.cn; Contributing authors: q1an_gong@whu.edu.cn;	13 14 15
Abstract	16
Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) may be linked to abnormalities in neural	17
circuits that facilitate fear learning and memory processes. The precise degree to	18
which this connection is influenced by genetic factors is still uncertain. This study	19
aimed to investigate the genetic association between PTSD and its corresponding	20
brain circuitry components. We first conducted a meta-analysis using the sum-	21
mary of PTSD genome-wide association studies (GWAS) from multiple cohorts	22
to enhance statistical power (sample size = $500,400$). Then, based on the result of the GWAS meta analysis, and utilizing the lifetime trauma events (LTE) trait	23
as a control for PTSD, we proceeded with subsequent investigations. We investi-	25
gated the genetic association of PTSD and LTE with nine brain structure traits	26
related to the brain circuitry by various methodologies, including heritability tis-	27
sue enrichment analysis, global and local genetic correlations, polygenic overlap	28
analysis, and causal inference. As a result, we discovered an enrichment of her-	29
itability for PTSD within circuitry-relevant brain regions such as the cingulate	30 21
control and itomai control, alongoide the identification of weak genetic conferations	51

1

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

32 between PTSD and these brain regions. We have observed a polygenic overlap 33 between the two trauma-related traits and nine traits of brain circuitry com-34 ponents such as global cortical area and cingulum. A total of 31 novel jointly 35 significant genetic loci (conjunction FDR < 0.05) associated with PTSD and nine brain structures were identified, suggesting a potential connection between them, 36 and these loci are involved in the process of DNA damage and repair as well as 37 38 the pathway of neurodegenerative diseases. We also identified a potential causal 39 relationship between PTSD and the surface area of the frontal pole. Our findings 40 offer a valuable understanding of the genetic mechanisms underlying PTSD and 41 its associated brain circuitry.

42 Keywords: Post-traumatic stress disorder, neuroimaging traits, genetic correlation

43 **1** Introduction

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a severe mental disorder that may occur 44 45 after exposure to traumatic life events. It is characterized by intrusive symptoms, avoidance of trauma-related cues, hyperarousal, negative cognition and moods as the 46 47 core symptoms. [1] The prevalence of PTSD in the general population is approximately 6%. However, people who have experienced various types and degrees of trauma may 48 49 exhibit higher prevalence rates. For example, war veterans and victims of assault 50 may experience a prevalence of 25% to 35%. [2–4] It has led to serious social burdens, including hospitalizations, suicides and substance abuse.^[5] Identifying the mechanisms 51 linked to PTSD would enable the development of interventions to safeguard vulnera-52 ble groups and address the disorder, thereby alleviating the economic and emotional 53 54 strain. Prior studies have indicated that the development of PTSD may be associ-55 ated with abnormalities in brain circuitry that facilitate fear learning and memory mechanisms. [6, 7] The circuit is mainly composed of the amygdala, hippocampus, pre-56 frontal cortex (PFC) and the connections between them. [6, 7] Researchers suggest that 57 (1) hyperresponsiveness in amygdala is associated with promoting fear associations 58 59 and expression of fear responses; (2) defects in hippocampal function are associated with mediating deficits in appreciation of safe contexts and explicit learning and mem-60 61 ory; and (3) defects in frontal cortex function are associated with mediating deficits in extinction and the capacity to suppress attention or response to trauma-related 62 63 stimuli.[8, 9]

Both animal experiments and neuroimaging studies have validated these views that 64 65 PTSD is associated with abnormalities in the neural circuits [8, 10-12] In the neural circuit, amygdala plays a role in fear processing. It was found in rodent studies 66 that sensory information goes first to the basolateral amygdala, where fear learning 67 occurs, and then the signal is transmitted to the central amygdala, which regulates 68 69 the expression of fear-related behaviors. [13, 14] And in neuroimaging studies, individ-70 uals with PTSD exhibit heightened activation in the amygdala, which might lead to an overreaction to fear. [15, 16] Hippocampus plays a role in fear acquisition, mem-71 ory retention, and expression processes, stimulation of which can alter the recall of 72

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

fear extinction memory. [17, 18] Researchers have identified reduced hippocampal neu-73 rogenesis and dendritic spine loss in the hippocampal CA3 region in trauma-model 74 rodent studies.[19] Meanwhile, neuroimaging studies demonstrate a similar reduc-75 tion in hippocampal volume among individuals diagnosed with PTSD.[20, 21] PFC 76 plays a role in decision-making and executive functions. Its subregions are associated 77 with suppressing the expression of fear behaviors and the retention of fear extinction 78 memories. [22, 23] This is consistent with reduced gray matter volume and reduced 79 function in the PTSD neuroimaging studies. [24–27] Moreover, the bidirectional com-80 munication of the PFC with the hippocampus and amygdala is also important to 81 regulate traumatic fear learning and its extinction. [28] The structural connections 82 between amygdala, hippocampus, and prefrontal structure, specially the microstruc-83 ture of the cingulum bundle (CG), fornix/stria terminalis (FST), and uncinate 84 fasciculus (UNC), also show abnormalities in patients with PTSD. [29, 30] 85

The advent of large-scale magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and genetic datasets 86 has enabled researchers to gain insight into alterations in brain structure and the nexus 87 between disorders and genetic underpinnings.[31] Several twin studies indicate a mod-88 erate heritability of brain structure. [32–34] Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) 89 serve as valuable tools for elucidating the genetic mechanisms underlying imaging 90 alterations from a genetic vantage point. Certain single nucleotide polymorphisms 91 (SNPs) identified in these studies delineate factors associated with clinical illness such 92 as schizophrenia, while others delineate risk or protective elements for psychiatric dis-93 orders such as neurodevelopment. [35–38]. Consequently, a growing number of studies 94 have begun to focus on the genetic associations and polygenic links between psychi-95 atric disorders and structural brain phenotypes. Most of these studies hypothesized 96 that pleiotropic genes encode risk for mental illness through effects on intermediate 97 or endophenotypes of brain structure [39-42] This is helpful to quantify the weight 98 of brain traits in the psychiatry disease, discover new loci to complement previous 99 results, and explore the mechanisms by which brain phenotypes act as intermediate 100 or endophenotypes through the biological functions of shared loci.[39–42] 101

There has been a lack of exploration between PTSD and brain structural stud-102 ies. By integrating advanced statistical models and the results of large-scale GWAS 103 meta-analyses, it is possible to investigate the genetic overlap between PTSD and 104 brain imaging traits, thereby enhancing the capability to identify associated loci. It 105 is meaningful to study the genetic relationship between PTSD and the neural circuits 106 comprising the amygdala, hippocampus, and PFC and their connections, consider-107 ing the consistent correlation in clinical symptoms, rodent studies, and neuroimaging 108 studies.[43] Moreover, in GWAS studies of PTSD, the loci identified were also associ-109 ated with clinical manifestations or risk factors for psychiatric disorders [44, 45], which 110 is consistent with GWAS studies of brain traits as mentioned before. Therefore, we 111 consider that the study of the co-inheritance or polygenicity of PTSD and brain struc-112 ture traits related to the neurocircuitry can facilitate the identification of new loci and 113 biological mechanisms of PTSD initiation through structural changes in the brain. 114

In this work, we first combined the GWAS summary data for PTSD from different 115 organizations. We also included lifetime trauma events (LTE) as a control, considering 116 that traumatic events may directly cause changes in brain structure rather than being 117

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

linked to the development of PTSD. Second, We combined the two trauma-related 118 GWAS summaries with brain cell-type-specific gene expression data to determine if 119 the heritability of trauma-related phenotypes enriches the brain circuits described 120 above. Third, we added GWAS data of brain structure traits (see Methods for details) 121 to examine the genetic correlations and overlap between trauma-related and them. 122 We use MiXeR[46] to determine the degree of overlapping genetic architecture. We 123 identified risk loci shared between them using the conjunctional false discovery rate 124 125 (conjFDR)[47] and applied Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA) to annotate the identified loci to determine molecular functions of shared risk variants for trauma 126 and brain structure traits.^[48] Last, we used bidirectional two-sample Mendelian 127 randomization to investigate the causal relationship between these two types of traits. 128

129 2 Methods and Materials

130 2.1 GWAS Data and Meta-analysis

131 GWAS summary data for PTSD was obtained from three large studies: (1) a meta-analysis combining 51 cohorts of European ancestry, including the Psychi-132 atric Genomics Consortium (PGC, freeze2 PGC-PTSD meta-analysis), and the UK 133 Biobank, encompassing a total of 182,199 samples. [44] (2) Million Veteran Program 134 135 (MVP, 36,301 cases, 178,107 controls)[49] and (3) FinnGen (2,282 cases, 337,577 controls); we utilized liftOver to convert the summary data from the hg38 build to hg37. 136 In the first meta-analysis of 51 cohorts, 19 methods were used to study PTSD, the 137 138 most common being the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale and PTSD Checklist, and 91% of participants with PTSD phenotypes were using the PTSD symptom score, 139 140 while the others used the case/control status. [44] Specific methods of participant and phenotype selection can be found in the original article. [44, 45] PTSD in MVP was 141 142 a diagnosis of case/control applying the algorithm based on the electronic medical record.[50] PTSD in FinnGen was similarly defined as a case/control status. The meta-143 analysis of these three summaries was performed using METAL. [51]. We combined 144 145 p-values across studies taking into account a study specific weight and direction of effect. Risk loci were defined by FUMA[48], using the default parameters. 146

Considering that changes in brain structure may be directly caused by trauma 147 148 and that there are many people who experience trauma events and do not develop PTSD, we used the GWAS summary for LTE from the UK biobank as a reference.[44] 149 The researchers constructed a count measure of LTE from 8 trauma items of the self-150 reported retrospective trauma screener from the UKBB mental-health questionnaire. 151 GWAS summary data for cortical was obtained from ENIGMA3 (https://enigma. 152 ini.usc.edu/). It comprised results from 33,992 participants of European ancestry 153 (23,909 from 49 cohorts in the ENIGMA consortium and 10,083 from the UK 154 155 Biobank).[35] The traits of this study involve the surface area (SA) and thickness (TH) of 34 brain regions of the Desikan-Killiany (DK) atlas. These brain regions were 156 divided according to the gyral-based neuroanatomical regions. [52] According to the 157 158 radial unit hypothesis, neural progenitor cell proliferation leads to the increase in cortical SA, while their neurogenic divisions influence TH.[53] The PFC was not clearly 159 160 delineated on this atlas, and given the location of the prefrontal lobes and the regions

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

of the Brodmann area (BA) atlas [54] that we would use in tissue enrichment, we used 161 the frontal poles SA (FSA) and TH (FTH) instead of PFC. In addition, we also considered the relationship between global cortical SA (GSA) and thickness (GTH) and 163 trauma traits. 164

We chose the volume of amygdala (AMY) and hippocampus (HIP) as the subcor-165 tical traits. GWAS for the volume of amygdala was from a formal study including 166 53 study samples from the CHARGE consortium, ENIGMA consortium, and UK 167 Biobank. [36] The traits were defined as the mean volume (in cm^3) of the left and 168 right amygdala. [36] Segmentation of brain regions was done according to the freely 169 available and in-house segmentation methods that come with the software used for 170 the different cohorts. [36] GWAS for the volume of hippocampus was obtained from a 171 GWAS study of 33,536 individuals in the ENIGMA Consortium and the CHARGE 172 Consortium.[37] Hippocampal volumes were also estimated using the automated 173 segmentation algorithm in FMRIB Software Library (FSL) and FreeSurfer. [55, 56] 174

GWAS summary data for white matter was obtained from a GWAS of dMRI data 175 from 43,802 individuals across five data resources.[38] This article included 5 DTI indicators of 21 white matter pathways. We selected CG, UNC, and FST which are related 177 to PTSD. And we chose fractional anisotropy (FA) as the primary DTI indicator 178 because it has received the most attention in brain white matter research.[57] dMRI 179 measures the directional diffusion of water molecules in tissues, and FA quantifies the 180 degree of directionality, with high FA indicating more organized structures.[57]. 181

The specific locations of the above-mentioned brain areas are shown in Fig 1. 182



Fig. 1 Brain regions associated with trauma neural circuits mentioned in this article. These brain regions encompass cortical, subcortical structures (amygdala and hippocampus), as well as white matter tracts (cingulum, fornix/stria terminalis, and uncinate fasciculus).

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

183 2.2 Statistical Analysis

184 2.2.1 Brain tissue enrichment

We used linkage-disequilibrium (LD) score regression (LDSC) applied to specifically
expressed genes (LDSC-SEG)[58], which tests for enrichment for per-SNP heritability
to identify tissue-type-specific enrichment of SNPs. The 13 pre-computed cell-type of
brain annotations in GTEx v8 were used in the analysis.[59] A Benjamini-Hochberg
false discovery rate (BH-FDR) corrected P value of 0.05 was used as a significant
threshold.

191 2.2.2 Global correlations

Global correlations were conducted by LDSC[60]. SNPs with high LD exhibit higher 192 χ^2 statistics for polygenic traits compared to SNPs with low LD on average, and a 193 194 similar pattern arises when replacing the single study statistic with the product of zscores from two studies with a correlation. We used the calculated LD score from the 195 1000 Gene European population [61] to calculate the global correlation (r_q) between 196 197 PTSD and brain structure traits. Only SNPs in HAPMAP3 (MAF < 0.01 and INFO > 0.9) were used in the calculation. The range of r_q is between -1 and 1, with -1 198 indicating a perfect negative correlation and 1 indicating a perfect positive correlation. 199 The BH-FDR corrected P value of 0.05 was used as a significant threshold (FDR P200 201 < 0.05).

202 2.2.3 Local correlations

Local genetic correlations were calculated by Local Analysis of [co]Variant Associa-203 tion (LAVA).[62] Traditional genetic correlation analysis uses a genome-wide average 204 approach, which may overlook localized genetic contributions and hinder the detection 205 206 of correlations driven by opposing or heterogeneous effects across the genome. LAVA can estimate genetic relatedness within specific regions of the genome, allowing for 207 inverse correlations between different loci. For LAVA analysis, we followed the protocol 208 described in the original article using the LD reference panel based on 1000 Genomes 209 phase 3 genotype data for European samples, [61, 62] and the partition of the genome 210 211 into 2495 regions with an average size of 1 Mb. Only regions revealing significant after Bonferroni corrected estimated SNP heritability (P < 0.05/2495) in both traits were 212 used to estimate bivariate local genetic correlations between the traits. The BH-FDR 213 corrected P value of 0.05 was used as a significant threshold. 214

215 2.2.4 Polygenic overlap and shared loci

To examine the genetic overlap between pairs of trauma-related phenotypes and brain imaging phenotypes, we used MiXeR v1.3[46] to estimate the overall shared polygenic structure regardless of effect direction and coefficients. To assess polygenic overlap between two traits, MiXeR calculates the overall count of shared and trait-specific causal variants—variants with a non-zero additive genetic effect on a trait. We first performed univariate analysis to derive the number of loci affecting each shape (i.e., polygenic) and the average magnitude of the additive genetic association between these

 $\mathbf{6}$

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

variants (i.e., discoverability). Then, a bivariate analysis model was used to estimate 223 the total number of shared and phenotype-specific causal variants. The results of 224 MiXeR are presented as a Venn diagram of shared and unique polygenic components 225 across traits. MiXeR was implemented to calculate the Dice coefficient (i.e., the ratio 226 of shared variants to the total number of variants). The model fit evaluated via the 227 Akaike information criterion (AIC) was based on the maximum likelihood of GWAS 228 z-scores and was illustrated with conditional Q-Q plots. 229

To improve the discovery of specific genetic variants shared between phenotypes, 230 we applied the conditional FDR (condFDR) and conjunction FDR (conjFDR) statis-231 tical frameworks.^[47] condFDR improves the statistical power of association analysis 232 by merging overlapping SNP associations, rearranging association statistics in pri-233 mary traits according to test statistics for secondary traits, and vice versa. [47, 63] 234 The maximum value between the condFDR for both traits was defined as conjFDR, 235 which provides a conservative estimate for the detection of shared genetic variants. 236 We removed the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and chromosome 8p23.1 237 genomic regions (hg19, chr6:25,119,106–33,854,733 and chr8:7,242,715–12,483,982) 238 from condFDR analysis to exclude the potential impact of their complex regional LD 239 patterns. A threshold of statistical significance for identifying shared genetic variants 240 was set at conjFDR < 0.05. A locus that did not physically overlap with findings from 241 the original GWAS and the National Human Genome Research Institute-European 242 Bioinformatics Institute (NHGRI-EBI)[64] GWAS Catalog, was considered novel. 243

2.2.5 Functional annotation

We apply the FUMA^[48] protocol with default parameters to define the independent 245 loci and lead SNPs (conjFDR P < 0.05). For all candidate SNPs, which have an 246 LD $(r^2 \ge 0.6)$ with at least one of the independent significant SNPs, we conducted 247 functional annotation analysis with the Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion 248 (CADD)[65], RegulomeDB[66], and chromatin states[67]. We performed three gene 249 mapping strategies for candidate SNPs, including positional mapping, expression 250 quantitative trait locus (eQTL) association, and chromatin interaction mapping. We 251 used information from GTEx v8[68] and PsychENCODE[69] to find out the expression 252 253 of genes we had found. Then, we evaluated the effects' direction of the shared loci by comparing their z-scores and odds ratios. Using Gene2Func in FUMA, we annotated 254 the set of prioritized genes from the first step with biological functions and mecha-255 nisms. Adjusted P-values with the BH-FDR for multiple test correction methods were 256 computed. 257

2.2.6 Causal relationship

To test the potential causal relationship between trauma-related traits and brain 259 structure, we conducted a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization analy-260 sis. We selected significant independent SNPs (a window size of 1Mb, r^2 threshold 261 of 0.001 and P value threshold of 5e-8) from the GWAS summary mentioned before 262 as the instrument variants (IVs). We calculate the F-statistics to test the strength of 263 instrument.[70] We used the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog[64] and the PhenoScanner 264

258

⁷

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

265 V2 database[71] to remove SNPs with the confounders and outcome. If an instrument SNP was not available in the outcome GWAS summary, we used LDlinkR^[72] 266 to identify a proxy SNP in LD with the target SNP $(r^2 > 0.8)$. We reported the 267 results for inverse-variance weighted (IVW),[73], weighted median, and MR-Egger 268 regression. [74, 75] We executed an MR-Egger regression to examine the potential bias 269 of directional pleiotropy. [75] MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) 270 method^[76] was applied to detect and correct for horizontal pleiotropy. Last, we per-271 formed a leave-one-out analysis where one SNP was removed at a time and IVW was 272 conducted based on the remaining SNPs. 273

274 3 Results

275 3.1 GWAS meta-analysis for PTSD

To enhance statistical power, we conducted a meta-analysis utilizing three reports 276 available from multiple cohorts (sample size = 306,400). We identified 16 genome-wide 277 significant $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ risk loci for PTSD (Table 1 and Fig 2). The LDSC and 278 quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots showed that most of the associations were attributed to 279 polygenicity rather than confounding factors. (intercept, 1.012, s.e., 0.007) (Supple-280 mentary Fig. 1). Among these 16 loci, four were reported by the first GWAS summary 281 about PGC and UKBB^[44], and two loci were reported by the second summary about 282 MVP.[49] 283

Table 1 Genome-wide significant loci from PTSD GWASs meta analysis.

1 rs72657988 35688541 T G 0.083 6.512 7.40E-1	1 [44] 0 No 8 No
	0 No 8 No
2 rs6738860 22442099 A T 0.4611 6.161 7.25E-1	8 No
2 rs13412865 142547537 T C 0.4827 5.464 4.65E-0	
5 rs1875963 155803661 T G 0.5172 -5.879 4.13E-0	9 No
6 rs10484538 23468166 T C 0.0192 5.581 2.40E-0	8 No
6 rs146918648 28548674 A G 0.0428 5.922 3.19E-0	9 [44]
7 rs73046311 1854159 C G 0.833 5.596 2.19E-0	8 [49]
7 rs71149745 114056495 A AAATTTCAT 0.5679 6.514 7.32E-1	1 [44]
9 rs4744250 96271752 A G 0.3454 -5.64 1.70E-0	8 [44]
11 rs375405046 28710013 CGTGT C 0.6135 6.038 1.56E-0	9 [49]
11 rs641325 57681828 T G 0.3358 6.178 6.48E-1	0 No
13 rs11617434 67626462 A G 0.0165 -5.618 1.93E-0	8 No
15 rs2071382 91428197 T C 0.4557 -5.941 2.84E-0	9 No
17 rs12944712 43871147 A G 0.4522 6.177 6.52E-1	0 No
17 rs62084750 66085208 T C 0.7297 -5.746 9.13E-0	9 No
20 rs6072223 39620847 A T 0.1587 5.644 1.66E-0	8 No

A1: the effective allele; FRQ: the frequency of A1; Reported: whether the SNP was reported within 50kb of the loci in the three original summaries.



Fig. 2 Manhattan plot for the GWAS meta-analysis. The associations are from the three GWAS meta-analysis. The gray line shows the genome-wide significant P threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$).

3.2 The tissue enrichment of heritability aligned with circuitry 284 structure 285

To identify tissue types that are more likely to be involved in the neural circuit of 286 trauma, we used the trauma-related GWAS summary statistics and 13 brain tissue 287 type-specific data from GTEx v8 by LDSC-SEG. We found that the expression of 288 PTSD loci was enriched in "Brain Anterior cingulate cortex (BA24)" ($P_{FDR} = 0.0012$), 289 "Brain Frontal Cortex (BA9)" ($P_{FDR} = 0.0019$), and "Brain Cortex" ($P_{FDR} = 0.013$), 290 while the expression of LTE loci was enriched in "Brain Cortex" (P = 0.009, not 291 significant after adjustment) (Supplementary Table 1). The results are consistent with 292 the brain areas we mentioned earlier.

3.3 Global and local genetic correlations

We estimated the global genetic correlation using LDSC between trauma-related and 295 brain structure-related traits. The results showed weak correlation between PTSD 296 and GSA ($r_g = -0.0901$, P = 0.018), LTE and FST ($r_g = -0.1301$, P = 0.0035), 297 LTE and CG ($r_g = -0.1038$, P = 0.0224). The results were not significant after FDR 298 (Supplementary Table 2).

294

Local genetic correlation may be overridden in the calculation of r_g , so we used 300 LAVA to estimate the local genetic correlations. LAVA local correlations provided 301 further evidence of the relationship between trauma and brain structure. Loci with a 302 significant amount of local genetic signal for both traits (P < 0.05/2495) were used in 303 the bivariate analysis. PTSD is significantly correlated with the local genetic variations 304 in GSA (n = 4), GTH (n = 1), FSA (n = 3), AMY (n = 3), HIP (n = 5), CG (n = 6), 305 FST (n = 7), and UNC (n = 4). The number of loci demonstrating a significant local 306 correlation between LTE and brain structure is fewer than those observed in PTSD. 307 The results between LTE and brain structure were as follows: GTH (n = 1), CG (n =308 2), and UNC (n = 2). Especially, the region in chr7: 155280611-156344386 is significant 309 in both PTSD-GSA and PTSD-hippocampus pairs, and the region in chr17: 43460501- 310 44865832 is significant in both PTSD-GSA and PTSD-FST. (Supplementary Table 311 3).312

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

313 3.4 Shared genomic architectures and loci between 314 trauma-related traits and brain structure-related traits

Univariate MiXeR estimated the SNP heritability (h^2) to be 0.049 for PTSD and 315 0.066 for LTE. The h^2 of brain structure estimated by MiXeR ranged from 0.0041 316 to 0.32. The results of trait-influencing variants showed that trauma-related traits 317 were 3-4 times more polygenic than brain structure, with 10K and 7.8K variants 318 relative to PTSD and LTE, while there were only 3.2K variants related to GTH, 319 which was the most polygenic brain structure-related phenotype. (Supplementary Fig. 320 321 2 and Supplementary Table 4). Bivariate MiXeR revealed significant polygenic overlap between brain structure-related variants and trauma-related variants. The UNC shared 322 the largest proportion of trait-influencing variants with PTSD (98%, 2008 out of 2059), 323 and the GSA shared the largest proportion of trait-influencing variants with LTE 324 (96%, 1751 out of 1820). (Fig 3) Among the pairs of PTSD, the results of GSA, GTH, 325 CG, FST, and UNC can also be further supported by AIC values and Q-Q diagrams 326 (Supplementary Fig. 3). All the results can be found in Supplementary Table 5. 327



Fig. 3 Polygenic overlap between trauma-related traits and brain structure-related traits The Venn diagram shows the estimated number of causal variants shared between trauma-related traits and brain structure-related traits. The number of causal variants in thousands is shown, as well as the standard error. Abbreviations: PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; LTE, lifetime trauma events; GSA, global cortical surface area; GTH, global cortical thickness; FSA, frontal poles surface area; FTH, frontal poles thickness; AMY: amygdala; HIP: hippocampus; CG: cingulum bundle; FST: fornix/stria terminalis; UNC: uncinate fasciculus.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

To explore the genetic sharing of trauma-related traits and brain structure-related 328 traits, we applied conjFDR analysis for each pair. We discovered 40 independent loci 329 that were jointly associated with PTSD with conjFDR < 0.05, including 12 loci for 330 GSA, 7 loci for GTH, 1 locus for FSA, 2 loci for FTH, 1 locus for AMY, 4 loci for HIP, 331 11 loci for CG, 1 locus for FST, and 2 loci for UNC (Fig 4 and Table 2). In particular, 332 one locus (lead SNP rs2352974, chr3:49734229-50176259) was associated in both CG 333 and UNC with PTSD. There were only 9 loci that were jointly associated with LTE 334 with conjFDR < 0.05. Therefore, in the subsequent functional analysis, we will only 335 show PTSD-related results. Among those 40 PTSD risk loci identified by leveraging 336 GWAS of brain structural traits, 31 lead SNPs that had not been reported as signifi-337 cant in the previous PTSD GWAS studies were defined as novel. See Supplementary 338 Table 6 for details. 339



Fig. 4 Chromosomal distribution of genetic loci jointly associated with PTSD and brain structure-related traits. The Manhattan plots show the common genetic variants jointly associated with PTSD and brain structure traits at conjFDR < 0.05. a. Results between PTSD and cortical traits. b. Results between PTSD and subcortical traits. c. Results between PTSD and white matter traits. Further details are provided in Table 2 and Supplementary Table 6-9. Abbreviations: GSA, global cortical surface area; GTH, global cortical thickness; FSA, frontal poles surface area; FTH, frontal poles thickness; AMY: amygdala; HIP: hippocampus; CG: cingulum bundle; FST: fornix/stria terminalis; UNC: uncinate fasciculus.

מסדים מיידי אין מיידיא מיידיא מיידיא מיידיא מיידיא מיידי איז מיידי איז מיידי מיידי מיידי מיידי מיידי מיידי מיידי	משמימ איישראייייין מעמי ממייאע ממינאע ממינאר מאמי מייסיע איידער מעמי מערייאן מיישא ממינאר ממינאר מערייאן מייסי	משמימ בייילאייייי מתמי ממייזע ממימאר ממימאני מאומינאים אימינאים אימינאים אימינאים אימינאין מאומינאים אימינאין מ			תמשת מיייטידייייים מעום ממיייזע			חמת מ		תמדת ק	D Lasia	7 1	Comparing Reserved	Marriel
: CHK LEAD_SNP LEAD_BP MinBP MaxBP FDK nearestGene	(LEAD_SNP LEAD_BP MinBP MaxBP FDR nearestGene	EAD_SNP LEAD_BP MinBP MaxBP FDR nearestGene	LEAD_BP MnBP MaxBP FDR nearestGene	MnBP MaxBP FDR nearestGene	MaxBP FDR nearestGene	FDR nearestGene	nearest Gene		USTA-4	UST'4-2	P_bram	Z_brain	ConcordEffect	1
1 rs58594024 243862702 243674094 244014490 0.040213 $AKT3$	1 rs58594024 243862702 243674094 244014490 0.040213 AKT3	558594024 243862702 243674094 244014490 0.040213 $AKT3$	243862702 243674094 244014490 0.040213 $AKT3$	243674094 244014490 0.040213 $AKT3$	244014490 0.040213 $AKT3$	0.040213 AKT3	AKT3		0.000168	-3.763	2.77E-05	4.19146	No	Yes
-2 rs955658 60501421 60405620 60528052 0.006107 $AC007381.3$	2 rs955658 60501421 60405620 60528052 0.006107 A C007381.3	$955658 ext{ 60501421 ext{ 60405620 ext{ 60528052 ext{ 0.006107 ext{ AC007381.3 ext{ }}}} = 0.006107 ext{ AC007381.3 ext{ }}$	60501421 60405620 60528052 0.006107 AC007381.3	60405620 60528052 0.006107 $AC007381.3$	60528052 0.006107 AC007381.3	0.006107 AC007381.3	A C007381.3		1.44E-05	-4.337	4.35E-05	4.08827	No	γ_{es}
5 $rs10057563$ 39081519 39081519 39087074 0.049359 $RICTOR$	5 rs10057563 39081519 39081519 39087074 0.049359 <i>RICTOR</i>	510057563 39081519 39081519 39087074 0.049359 $RICTOR$	39081519 39081519 39087074 0.049359 $RICTOR$	39081519 39087074 0.049359 $RICTOR$	39087074 0.049359 RICTOR	0.049359 RICTOR	RICTOR		0.000223	3.692	6.92E-05	-3.97907	No	\mathbf{Yes}
7 rs2058277 8132877 8013495 8133389 0.037309 GLCCI1	7 rs2058277 8132877 8013495 8133389 0.037309 $GLCCII$	52058277 8132877 8013495 8133389 0.037309 $GLCCII$	8132877 8013495 8133389 0.037309 GLCCI1	8013495 8133389 0.037309 GLCCI1	8133389 0.037309 GLCCI1	0.037309 GLCCI1	GLCCI1		0.000151	3.79	0.00045	3.50883	Yes	Y_{es}
7 $rs9649275$ 104935801 104579775 105078345 0.00047 $SRPK2$	7 rs9649275 104935801 104579775 105078345 0.00047 SRPK2	59649275 104935801 104579775 105078345 0.00047 SRPK2	104935801 104579775 105078345 0.00047 $SRPK2$	104579775 105078345 0.00047 $SRPK2$	105078345 0.00047 $SRPK2$	0.00047 SRPK2	SRPK2		5.15E-07	5.021	5.06E-06	4.5624	Yes	γ_{es}
$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	3 rs76740786 109172043 109063823 109243959 0.043365 AP001331.1	576740786 109172043 109063823 109243959 0.043365 $AP001331.1$	109172043 109063823 109243959 0.043365 $AP001331.1$	109063823 109243959 0.043365 $AP001331.1$	109243959 0.043365 AP001331.1	0.043365 AP001331.1	AP001331.1		0.000181	3.744	0.000704	-3.38822	No	γ_{es}
10 rs61753077 123996970 123996970 123996970 0.008057 TACC2) $rs61753077$ 123996970 123996970 123996970 0.008057 $TACC2$	561753077 123996970 123996970 123996970 0.008057 $TACC2$	123996970 123996970 123996970 0.008057 $TACC2$	123996970 123996970 0.008057 $TACC2$	123996970 0.008057 TA CC2	0.008057 TACC2	TACC2		9.06E-07	-4.911	0.0001	-3.88959	Yes	\mathbf{Yes}
12 rs9651873 16755372 16743217 16756508 0.006871 MGST1:LMO	2 rs = 16755372 16 + 16755372 16 + 16756508 0 + 0006871 MGST + 16756508 0 + 16871 MGST + 168711 MGST + 168711 MGST + 1687	59651873 16755372 16743217 16756508 0.006871 MGST1:LMO	16755372 16743217 16756508 0.006871 MGST1:LMO	16743217 16756508 0.006871 MGST1:LMO	16756508 0.006871 MGST1:LMO	0.006871 MGST1:LMO	MGST1:LMO	5 S	1.68E-05	4.304	7.96E-05	-3.94576	No	\mathbf{Yes}
17 rs11652522 43055579 43055579 43055579 0.024487 CTD-2534121	7 rs11652522 43055579 43055579 43055579 0.024487 CTD -2534121	311652522 43055579 43055579 43055579 0.024487 $CTD-2534121$	43055579 43055579 43055579 0.024487 CTD-2534121	43055579 43055579 0.024487 CTD-2534I21	43055579 0.024487 CTD-2534121	0.024487 CTD-2534121	CTD-2534121	6.	8.38E-05	3.933	0.000353	-3.57313	No	\mathbf{Yes}
* 17 rs12944712 43871147 43460181 44865603 2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	7 rs12944712 43871147 43460181 44865603 2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	512944712 43871147 43460181 44865603 2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	43871147 43460181 44865603 2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	43460181 44865603 2.10E-06 <i>CRHR1:RP1</i>	44865603 2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	2.10E-06 CRHR1:RP1	CRHR1:RP1	1-105N13.4	6.52E-10	6.177	4.80E-10	-6.22542	No	No
18 $rs4797662$ 12268928 12267865 12268928 0.032144 <i>CIDEA</i>	3 rs4797662 12268928 12267865 12268928 0.032144 CIDEA	$_{54}797662$ 12268928 12267865 12268928 0.032144 CIDEA	12268928 12267865 12268928 0.032144 $CIDEA$	12267865 12268928 0.032144 CIDEA	12268928 0.032144 CIDEA	0.032144 CIDEA	CIDEA		0.000123	-3.839	0.000312	-3.6055	Yes	\mathbf{Yes}
21 rs4817863 38824655 38715469 38902521 0.041578 DYRK1A	Γ rs4817863 38824655 38715469 38902521 0.041578 $DYRK1A$	54817863 38824655 38715469 38902521 0.041578 $DYRK1A$	38824655 38715469 38902521 0.041578 $DYRK1A$	38715469 38902521 0.041578 DYRK1A	38902521 0.041578 DYRK1A	0.041578 DYRK1A	DYRK1A		0.000161	-3.773	0.000667	-3.40263	Yes	γ_{es}
2 $rs7605526$ 27345484 26961166 27351279 0.001241 $ABHD1$	2 $rs7605526$ 27345484 26961166 27351279 0.001241 $ABHD1$	57605526 27345484 26961166 27351279 0.001241 ABHD1	27345484 26961166 27351279 0.001241 $ABHD1$	26961166 27351279 0.001241 ABHD1	27351279 0.001241 ABHD1	0.001241 ABHD1	ABHD1		1.67E-06	-4.79	6.30E-07	4.875	No	γ_{es}
$2 ext{ rs7583383} ext{ 61500322} ext{ 61387298} ext{ 61500322} ext{ 0.046248} ext{ USP34}$	2 rs 7583383 61500322 61387298 61500322 0.046248 USP34	57583383 61500322 61387298 61500322 0.046248 $USP34$	61500322 61387298 61500322 0.046248 $USP34$	61387298 61500322 0.046248 $USP34$	61500322 0.046248 $USP34$	0.046248 $USP34$	USP34		0.000135	-3.818	3.16E-05	-4	Yes	Y_{es}
5 rs247008 131447104 131447104 131447104 0.045386 snoZ6	5 rs247008 131447104 131447104 131447104 0.045386 $snoZ6$	5247008 131447104 131447104 131447104 0.045386 $snoZ6$	131447104 131447104 131447104 0.045386 $snoZ6$	131447104 131447104 0.045386 $snoZ6$	131447104 0.045386 $snoZ6$	$0.045386 \ snoZ6$	snoZ6		0.000131	-3.824	2.40E-05	-4.125	Yes	Y_{es}
12 rs10778315 104667244 104655942 104670449 0.044259 TXNRD1:Ri	2 rs10778315 104667244 104655942 104670449 0.044259 TXNRD1:Ri	10778315 104667244 104655942 104670449 0.044259 $TXNRD1:Ri$	104667244 104655942 104670449 0.044259 TXNRD1:Ri	104655942 104670449 0.044259 TXNRD1:Ri	104670449 0.044259 TXNRD1:RI	0.044259 TXNRD1:R1	TXNRD1:RI	P11-818F20.5	0.000127	-3.832	0.000138	4	No	Y_{es}
12 $rs795483$ 118590579 118580315 118830958 0.046765 $TAOK3$	$2 \mathrm{rs} 795483 \qquad 118590579 118580315 118830958 0.046765 TAOK3$	5795483 118590579 118580315 118830958 0.046765 $TAOK3$	118590579 118580315 118830958 0.046765 $TAOK3$	118580315 118830958 0.046765 TAOK3	118830958 0.046765 TAOK3	0.046765 TAOK3	TAOK3		3.54E-05	-4.135	0.000501	-3.375	Yes	$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{es}}$
12 rs7132277 123593382 123450765 123913433 0.044333 PITPNM2	$p_{\rm rs} = 123593382 = 123450765 = 123913433 = 0.044333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.0143333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.0143333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.01433333 = 0.014333333 = 0.014333333 = 0.01433333333333 = 0.0143333333 = 0.014333333333333333 = 0.01433333333333333333333333333333333333$	57132277 123593382 123450765 123913433 0.044333 PITPNM2	123593382 123450765 123913433 0.044333 PITPNM2	123450765 123913433 0.044333 PITPNM2	123913433 0.044333 PITPNM2	0.044333 PITPNM2	PITPNM2		0.000127	-3.832	0.000152	-3.6	Yes	Yes
17 rs2316767 43919070 43460181 44865603 7.41E-05 MAPT-ASI	7 rs2316767 43919070 43460181 44865603 7.41E-05 MAPT-AS1	52316767 43919070 43460181 44865603 7.41E-05 $MAPT$ - ASI	43919070 43460181 44865603 7.41E-05 MAPT-AS1	43460181 44865603 7.41E-05 MAPT-AS1	44865603 7.41E-05 MAPT-AS1	7.41E-05 MAPT-AS1	MAPT-ASI		4.71E-08	-5.462	2.90E-10	-6.27273	Yes	No
17 rs199439 44793503 43460181 44865603 0.008641 NSF	7 rs199439 44793503 43460181 44865603 0.008641 NSF	44793503 43460181 44865603 0.008641 NSF	44793503 43460181 44865603 0.008641 NSF	43460181 44865603 0.008641 NSF	44865603 0.008641 NSF	0.008641 NSF	NSF		1.44E-06	-4.819	2.26E-05	-4.23743	Yes	No
17 rs79730878 43849415 43463493 44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	7 rs79730878 43849415 43463493 44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	579730878 43849415 43463493 44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	43849415 43463493 44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	43463493 44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	44848517 0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	0.017819 CRHR1:RP1	CRHR1:RP1	1-105N13.4	8.57E-08	-5.355	5.07E-05	-4	Yes	No
17 rs9912348 79959669 79921061 79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCR1$	7 rs9912348 79959669 79921061 79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCR1$	59912348 79959669 79921061 79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCR1$	79959669 79921061 79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCR1$	79921061 79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCR1$	79981316 0.038966 $ASPSCB1$	0.038966 ASPSCR1	ASPSCR1		9.47E-05	3.904	0.00012	-3.84211	No	Yes

Table 2 Lead genomic loci significantly associated with PTSD and brain structural traits at a conj \mathbf{FDR} < 0.05.

* Loci with significant local genetic correlation.

rs6566169

rs2352974

c 31

2

FST UNC

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.25.24312540; this version posted August 26, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

3.915

9.04E-05 4.06E-06 0.000177

3.57E-05 L63E-05 9.40E-05

> RP11-195C7.1 RP11-629N8.3

FAF1

0.0443270.0429280.0025690.026767

51526458

50891093175908200

51236592

rs11588333 rs71645275

AMY

12

EXOC4APOC1

0.0207990.0305510.049509

133623791 65100884

33733917

65159137 76192961

rs1244796

 $12 \\ 19$

rs2346449

65236019 L76416712 133733917

6.34E-06 0.0002661.30E-06 0.000112

-4.371164.166-4.608

1.24E-05 3.10E-05

4.074

4.91E-05 4.50E-05 1.83E-06 1.41E-05 0.000182

 $\begin{array}{c} 3.905\\ 4.134\\ 4.134\\ 4.116\\ 4.134\\ 4.015\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 3.937\\ 4.276\\ 4.284\\ 4.284\\ 4.29\\ -4.29\\ -4.29\end{array}$

RP11-9120.1 K1AA1598 RP11-960D24.1 RP11-6813.2:SSH2 MAPT-AS1 HELZ

0.0461870.004411

28553639 44865498

 $\begin{array}{c} 118648043\\ 28591168\\ 27935546\\ 43460181\\ 65089727\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.013003\\ 0.039262\\ 0.034242\\ 0.00933\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 45418790\\ 52406432\\ 63287328\\ 50176259\\ 61588391\\ 118896767\\ 28709434\end{array}$

61396829

45416178 52339759 62835070 49890613 61572963 61572963 61572963 1118786704 28671055 27970522 43948347 65123957 65123957 65123957 66016006

 $\begin{array}{c} 45396665\\ 52266242\\ 62835070\\ 49734229\end{array}$

rs483082 rs7413471 rs144003047 rs2352974 rs597123 rs35276948

4024304304

ß

2.40E-06 5.94E-05 5.94E-05 0.000117 1.90E-05 6.79E-05 6.79E-05 3.31E-07 0.000105

A C092155.4 TRAIP

NRD1

6.32E-06 1.46E-05 2.85E-12

5.27E-06 1.44E-06 1.24E-06 3.66E-06 5.02E-09

KPNA2

0.002178

0.0265170.004093

6526365066204635

STAU1MAPTTRAIPTCF4

-4.34391

6.12E-05 1.40E-05

..90E-05 ..79E-05

0.0217530.019584

9.99E-06

 $\begin{array}{r} 47935069\\ 44865498\\ 49960388\\ 53389061\end{array}$

 $\frac{47508077}{43460181}$ 65825248

47764275

rs6503451 rs5821488 rs62086903

 $^{8}_{110}$ $^{9}_{9}$ $^{8}_{111}$

rs3076902rs3098948

44019107 49890613 53301527

rs111825734 rs3588516

49734229

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

3.5 Functional annotation and gene-set analysis

340

361

377

Functional annotation for all shared leader SNPs identified in conjFDR between PTSD 341 and brain structure analysis showed that 76% were intergenic or intronic, while only 342 1 lead SNP was exonic and 8 SNPs were associated with nocoding-RNA. Four lead 343 SNPs (rs955658, rs9651873, rs199439, and rs7413471) had a CADD score above the 344 threshold score of 12.37, indicative of deleteriousness. Two of these were associated 345 with GSA and showed opposite effects in PTSD and GSA, which was consistent with 346 the conclusions of basic research. Moreover, 5 lead SNPs displayed "1f" in the RDB 347 score, which means they were likely to affect binding and be linked to the expression 348 of a gene target. Functional annotation for all SNPs in the loci shared between PTSD 349 and brain structure (conjFDR < 0.05) displayed that 60.2% of them were intronic or 350 intergenic (1692 of 2810), 1.4% were exonic (40 of 2810), and 33.9% were associated 351 with nocoding-RNA (953 of 2810). 352

We applied FUMA to link the candidate SNPs $(r^2 \ge 0.6)$ of 40 shared lead loci to 353 1,426 genes. It is worth noting that genes MAPT-AS1, SPPL2C, and CRHR1 could 354 be annotated in all three ways and were related to five or more structure traits. These 355 three genes were all located on chromosome 17. (Supplementary Table 7-10) Gene-set 356 analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) for genes indicated by all SNPs in the loci shared 357 between PTSD and brain structure, respectively, showed significantly associated 6 biological processes, 4 cellular components, and 8 molecular functions. (Supplementary Table 11) 360

3.6 Positive causal relationship from PTSD to FSA

We used bidirectional Mendelian randomization to explore whether the genetic overlap between two phenotypes suggests a causal relationship. Among all phenotype 363 pairs, we only found a significant and consistent causal relationship from PTSD to 364 FSA using 3 of 5 MR methods. (Fig 5). No obvious heterogeneity was detected in 365 genetic variants associated with PTSD and FSA (Cochran's Q = 10.18 and P = 0.60). 366 The IVW method showed that genetically predicted higher PTSD risk was related to 367 FSA (β 13.01; 95% CI, 4.49 to 21.53; P = 0.003). MR-RAPs and weighted median 368 both demonstrated the effect of PTSD on FSA and provided evidence of the stability of the results of the IVW method. The results among other traits are detailed in 370 Supplementary Table 12. 371

The scatter plots of SNP potential effects on PTSD versus FSA were demonstrated 372 in Supplementary Fig. 4, with the slope of each representing the evaluated effect size 373 per method. Among the 13 SNPs, only rs641325 was related to increased risks of 374 PTSD and FSA. The result of the LOO analysis was presented in Supplementary Fig. 375 5, where no single SNP was driving the whole effect. 376

4 Discussion

In this study, we first conducted a meta-analysis on three accessible large-scale GWAS 378 datasets, revealing 10 novel loci that were not identified in any of the three individ- 379 ual studies to enhance the statistical power. Then we utilized a series of genetically 380

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Exposure	Outcome	N_IVs	Methods		β (95% CI)	P value
			IVW	↓ ⊢_∎ 1	13.01 (4.49 to 21.53)	2.77e-03
			MR-RAPS	⊢ ∎1	13.47 (4.33 to 22.6)	3.88e-03
PTSD	FSA	13	Weighted mediar		13.55 (1.56 to 25.53)	0.027
			Weighted mode	·	8.27 (-13.45 to 29.99)	0.423
			MR-Egger		21.48 (-9.66 to 52.61)	0.157
			-2	20 0 20 40		
			IVW	⊨₩	-4.17 (-14.91 to 6.56)	0.446
			MR-RAPS	⊢∎∔	-7.96 (-23.24 to 7.32)	0.307
LTE	FSA	5	Weighted mediar	⊢ ∎	-0.81 (-11.34 to 9.71)	0.880
			Weighted mode	⊢	-1.14 (-19.11 to 16.84)	0.869
			MR-Egger <		-56.47 (-126.07 to 13.12)	0.082
			-7	5 -50 -25 0 25		

Fig. 5 MR estimates of PTSD and LTE on FSA. Data are presented as β and 95% CI. N_IVs: the number of instrument variants. Abbreviations: PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; LTE, lifetime trauma events; FSA, frontal poles surface area.

informed analyses to investigate the genetic association between PTSD and the
brain structures of trauma-related neural circuits, using LTE as control. Our findings
revealed varying degrees of relationship between PTSD and brain structures related
to trauma circuits using different methods. These results provide new genetic evidence
for PTSD and a deeper perspective into the pathogenesis.

386 Previous findings in animal studies and neuroimaging research regarding neural 387 circuitry have been similarly corroborated on a genetic level. We have discovered genetic correlations or overlaps between PTSD and these brain regions at various 388 levels. Additionally, previous studies have shown that there is a strong correlation 389 between PTSD and LTE in both twin studies and genetic correlation studies. [44, 77] 390 For most traits about mental health, r_g with PTSD was also quite similar to r_g with 391 LTE[44]. In our analysis, there were more significant or stronger results for PTSD than 392 LTE, indicating that trauma-related neural circuits were more related to the onset of 393 PTSD than to the mediating effect of PTSD caused by being related to LTE. This 394 further underscores the significance of neural circuits in PTSD. 395

We found that the heritability of SNPs associated with PTSD was significantly 396 397 enriched in GTEx v8 "Brain Anterior cingulate cortex (BA24)", "Brain Frontal Cortex (BA9)" and "Brain Cortex", while there was no obvious founding in the SNPs of LTE. 398 399 BA 24 is the ventral part of the brain anterior cingulate, which is connected with the amygdala and hippocampus, and it is involved in emotional tasks such as assessing 400 401 the salience of emotion and motivational information. [78] BA9 is a cellularly defined 402 part of the frontal cortex, and it is involved in short-term memory, suppressing sadness and recall which may be associated with the onset of PTSD.[79-81] These regions 403 are anatomically close to the mPFC and its connections to the subcortex. The brain 404 cortex covers a wider scope, involving attention, perception, consciousness, thinking, 405

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

memory, and many other aspects. This suggests that the association of PTSD with its 406 neural circuitry can indeed be explained at the genetic level. This provided a reference 407 for our subsequent research on the cortex. 408

We studied the global and local genetic correlation between trauma-related traits 409 and brain structure-related traits and found a weak global correlation between PTSD 410 and GSA, although the results failed to pass FDR. Furthermore, we thought this 411 might be due to mixed effect directions between the two traits. This was also confirmed in the subsequent LAVA local correlations and polygenic overlap results. Apart 413 from FTH, we found 33 significant local bivariate correlations in 8 other pairs about 414 PTSD. LAVA analysis showed a balanced mixture of concordant and disconcordant 415 results throughout the genome. This suggested that different loci might be involved in 416 different processes between disease and neurological traits, leading to different directions of influence. It was worth noting that the region in chr7:155280611-156344386 418 or chr17:43460501-4486583 was significant in both pairs about PTSD; the latter also 419 coincided with the conjFDR results. Genes in these loci might play an important role 420 in the genetic association between PTSD and brain structure traits. 421

We found that the trauma-related traits were considerably more polygenic (7.8K–422 10K) than brain structure (0.3K–3.1K), reflecting that the mechanism of genetic 423 factors in PTSD and LTE was far more complex than the mechanism in brain structure 424 ture. LDSC was also used in MiXeR to estimate global correlation. In the previous 425 part, when calculating global correlations, we used SNPs in HAPMAP3, and there was 426 no obvious difference from the results calculated here. The results of bivariate MiXeR 427 revealed that the genetic overlap between PTSD and brain structure accounts for at 428 least 77%, some of these results were further supported by AIC values. Combining 429 with the mixing effects we found in the conjFDR results, we inferred that there was 430 genetic overlap without correlation[40] for PTSD and brain structure traits. 431

Through novelty search and functional annotation of 40 PTSD risk loci identified 432 by conjFDR, we studied the possible mechanisms of PTSD and structural changes in 433 neural circuits. 3p21.31 (lead SNP rs2352974) was a novel locus and was associated in 434 both CG and UNC with PTSD. It was mapped to TRAIP positionally and to RBM6 435 and RNF123 by eQTL in the brain. The TRAIP gene is linked to the processes of 436 DNA damage and repair.[82, 83] Specifically, TRAIP functions in the disentanglement 437 of stalled replication forks during mitosis, thereby mitigating the occurrence of DNA 438 bridges and premature loss of neural stem cells[84], so the damage to white matter 439 in PTSD patients might be related to their neuron count. RBM6 and TRAIP have 440 similar functions and are involved in the repair of DNA damage.[85] RNF123 is linked 441 to the ubiquitin-proteasome system and plays a role in depression.[86]. This process 442 was confirmed in the gene-set analysis related to UNC, indicating that it was likely to 443 be involved in the process of white matter damage in PTSD patients. 444

After annotating candidate genes from conjFDR results onto the genome using 445 three methods, three genes (*MAPT-AS1*, *SPPL2C*, and *CRHR1*) were identified across 446 5 or more pairs, all of which are located on chromosome 17. Moreover, in this area, the 447 conjFDR results of PTSD-GSA and PTSD-FST were consistent with the local corre-448 lation results of LAVA. *MAPT* is the gene responsible for encoding tau protein, which 449

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

450 plays an important role in neurodegenerative diseases such as AD. The primary deposition sites for tau protein are the hippocampus and frontal lobe, precisely aligning with 451 neural circuits implicated in trauma-related processes. [87, 88] SPPL2C is in the region 452 of MAPT, is associated with MAPT expression in astrocytes.[89]. It has been observed 453 that CRHR1 antagonists can inhibit the activation of the $CRH/NF-\kappa B/BDNF$ path-454 455 way, thereby effectively preventing the rapid loss of synapses and memory impairment associated with trauma-induced delirium-like syndrome. [90] This may provide a new 456 457 hypothesis for the occurrence of PTSD.

However, our research still has some limitations. First, there may be some trauma 458 subjects might also be included in the neuroimaging samples, but we were unable 459 to ascertain the influence of such overlap on our findings. Second, compared to 460 schizophrenia^[91] and bipolar disorder^[92], PTSD exhibits lower heritability^[93], so 461 462 we have identified fewer loci associated with each phenotype in our study. And the summary we used exclusively focused on individuals of European ancestry. This lim-463 ited scope may not fully capture the entirety of the genetic etiology involved. Future 464 investigations may necessitate larger GWAS cohorts, encompassing diverse popula-465 466 tion groups, to corroborate our findings comprehensively. Then, the traits we selected mainly focused on the structure of the neural circuits rather than function. Some 467 studies believe that PTSD is a disease caused by abnormal brain neural circuits.[15] 468 Although there are GWAS on functional imaging, the phenotypic selection in these 469 470 studies was derived through independent component analysis (ICA).[94] Consequently, 471 the integration of phenotypes with neural circuits is challenging due to this chosen methodology. Last, we were unable to ascertain the causal relationship between 472 trauma-related traits and brain structural traits, indicating the need for further 473 research in this regard. 474

475 5 Conclusion

476 In this study, we have presented evidence of polygenic overlap between PTSD and
477 trauma-related neural circuits. Forty overlapping loci and 1,426 genes were identified
478 among nine phenotypes associated with brain structure in the context of PTSD. These
479 findings contribute valuable insights into the shared genetic architecture between
480 PTSD and its neural circuits, suggesting a common neurobiological foundation.

481 Supplementary information. Supplementary data to this article can be found at482 Supplementary material and Supplementary tables.

483 Acknowledgements. We express our gratitude to Professor Adam X. Maihofer for
484 providing the GWAS summary data on PTSD and LTE. We also express our gratitude
485 to the ENIGMA and Professor Bingxin Zhao et al. for their foundational research in
486 the brain structural GWAS. The numerical calculations in this paper have been done
487 on the supercomputing system in the Supercomputing Center of Wuhan University.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Declarations

- Funding: This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science 489 Foundation of China (U21A20364) and the National Key R&D Program of China 490 (2018YFC1314600). 491
- Conflict of interest: All authors declare that they have no competing interests. 492
- Ethics approval and consent to participate: All GWAS data sets included in this 493 study were approved by the relevant ethics committees, and informed consent was 494 obtained from all participants. 495 496
- Consent for publication: Not applicable
- Data availability: The PTSD GWAS data, encompassing the queues of both the 497 PGC and the UK Biobank, were obtained through direct correspondence with 498 the original authors. [44], and PTSD GWAS data from MVP and FinnGen can be 499 respectively accessed at https://www.research.va.gov/mvp/(dbGaP Study Acces-500 sion phs001672) and https://www.finngen.fi/en. The cortical and subcortical GWAS 501 data were obtained from https://enigma.ini.usc.edu/, and the white matter GWAS 502 data was from https://zenodo.org/records/4549730. 503
- Materials availability: Not applicable

504

- Code availability: All software used to conduct the analyses in this paper are freely 505 available online: METAL: https://github.com/statgen/METAL. LDSC and LDSC-506 SEG: https://github.com/bulik/ldsc, LAVA: https://github.com/josefin-werme/ 507 LAVA, MiXeR: https://github.com/precimed/mixer, pleiofdr: https://github.com/ 508 precimed/pleiofdr, FUMA: https://fuma.ctglab.nl/. 509
- Author contribution: Qian Gong (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, 510 Methodology, Visualization, Writing original draft). Honggang Lv (Data cura- 511 tion, Formal analysis, Methodology, Editing). Lijun Kang (Investigation, Editing). 512 Simeng Ma (Data curation, Investigation). Nan Zhang (Investigation). Xinhui 513 Xie (Investigation, Editing). Engi Zhou (Investigation). Zipeng Deng (Inves-514 tigation). Jiewei Liu (Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Editing). 515 Zhongchun Liu (Methodology, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Editing). 516

References

- [1] Pai, A., Suris, A. M. & North, C. S. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the DSM-5: Controversy, Change, and Conceptual Considerations. Behav Sci (Basel) 7, 7 (2017).
- [2] Shalev, A., Liberzon, I. & Marmar, C. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. N Engl J Med **376**, 2459–2469 (2017).
- [3] McLaughlin, K. A. et al. Subthreshold posttraumatic stress disorder in the world health organization world mental health surveys. Biol Psychiatry 77, 375–384 (2015).
- [4] Kessler, R. C. & Wang, P. S. The descriptive epidemiology of commonly occurring mental disorders in the United States. Annu Rev Public Health 29, 115–129

17

(2008).

- [5] Kilpatrick, D. G. *et al.* National estimates of exposure to traumatic events and PTSD prevalence using DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria. *J Trauma Stress* 26, 537– 547 (2013).
- [6] Maddox, S. A., Hartmann, J., Ross, R. A. & Ressler, K. J. Deconstructing the Gestalt: Mechanisms of Fear, Threat, and Trauma Memory Encoding. *Neuron* 102, 60–74 (2019).
- [7] Milad, M. R. et al. Neurobiological basis of failure to recall extinction memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 66, 1075–1082 (2009).
- [8] Iqbal, J., Huang, G.-D., Xue, Y.-X., Yang, M. & Jia, X.-J. The neural circuits and molecular mechanisms underlying fear dysregulation in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Front Neurosci* 17, 1281401 (2023).
- [9] Rauch, S. L., Shin, L. M. & Phelps, E. A. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and extinction: Human neuroimaging research-past, present, and future. *Biol Psychiatry* 60, 376–382 (2006).
- [10] Harnett, N. G., Goodman, A. M. & Knight, D. C. PTSD-related neuroimaging abnormalities in brain function, structure, and biochemistry. *Exp Neurol* 330, 113331 (2020).
- [11] Krabbe, S., Gründemann, J. & Lüthi, A. Amygdala Inhibitory Circuits Regulate Associative Fear Conditioning. *Biol Psychiatry* 83, 800–809 (2018).
- [12] Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P. & Lüthi, A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. Nat Rev Neurosci 16, 317–331 (2015).
- [13] Asede, D., Bosch, D., Lüthi, A., Ferraguti, F. & Ehrlich, I. Sensory inputs to intercalated cells provide fear-learning modulated inhibition to the basolateral amygdala. *Neuron* 86, 541–554 (2015).
- [14] Dejean, C. et al. Neuronal Circuits for Fear Expression and Recovery: Recent Advances and Potential Therapeutic Strategies. Biol Psychiatry 78, 298–306 (2015).
- [15] Patel, R., Spreng, R. N., Shin, L. M. & Girard, T. A. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and beyond: A meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36, 2130–2142 (2012).
- [16] Hayes, J. P., Hayes, S. M. & Mikedis, A. M. Quantitative meta-analysis of neural activity in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Mood Anxiety Disord* 2, 9 (2012).
- [17] Qin, C. et al. Dorsal Hippocampus to Infralimbic Cortex Circuit is Essential for the Recall of Extinction Memory. Cereb Cortex 31, 1707–1718 (2021).

- [18] Cleren, C. *et al.* Low-frequency stimulation of the ventral hippocampus facilitates extinction of contextual fear. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* **101**, 39–45 (2013).
- [19] Guan, Y., Chen, X., Zhao, B., Shi, Y. & Han, F. What Happened in the Hippocampal Axon in a Rat Model of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 42, 723–737 (2022).
- [20] Hayes, J. P. et al. Reduced hippocampal and amygdala activity predicts memory distortions for trauma reminders in combat-related PTSD. J Psychiatr Res 45, 660–669 (2011).
- [21] Carrión, V. G., Haas, B. W., Garrett, A., Song, S. & Reiss, A. L. Reduced hippocampal activity in youth with posttraumatic stress symptoms: An FMRI study. J Pediatr Psychol 35, 559–569 (2010).
- [22] Do-Monte, F. H., Quiñones-Laracuente, K. & Quirk, G. J. A temporal shift in the circuits mediating retrieval of fear memory. *Nature* 519, 460–463 (2015).
- [23] Do-Monte, F. H., Manzano-Nieves, G., Quiñones-Laracuente, K., Ramos-Medina, L. & Quirk, G. J. Revisiting the role of infralimbic cortex in fear extinction with optogenetics. *J Neurosci* 35, 3607–3615 (2015).
- [24] Garfinkel, S. N. et al. Impaired contextual modulation of memories in PTSD: An fMRI and psychophysiological study of extinction retention and fear renewal. J Neurosci 34, 13435–13443 (2014).
- [25] Aupperle, R. L. et al. Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activation during emotional anticipation and neuropsychological performance in posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 69, 360–371 (2012).
- [26] Rougemont-Bücking, A. *et al.* Altered processing of contextual information during fear extinction in PTSD: An fMRI study. *CNS Neurosci Ther* **17**, 227–236 (2011).
- [27] Nicholson, A. A. et al. The Dissociative Subtype of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Unique Resting-State Functional Connectivity of Basolateral and Centromedial Amygdala Complexes. Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 2317–2326 (2015).
- [28] Gilmartin, M. R., Balderston, N. L. & Helmstetter, F. J. Prefrontal cortical regulation of fear learning. *Trends Neurosci* 37, 455–464 (2014).
- [29] Harnett, N. G., Ference, E. W., Knight, A. J. & Knight, D. C. White matter microstructure varies with post-traumatic stress severity following medical trauma. *Brain Imaging Behav* 14, 1012–1024 (2020).
- [30] Koch, S. B. J. et al. Decreased uncinate fasciculus tract integrity in male and female patients with PTSD: A diffusion tensor imaging study. J Psychiatry Neurosci 42, 331–342 (2017).

- [31] Molnár, Z. et al. New insights into the development of the human cerebral cortex. J Anat 235, 432–451 (2019).
- [32] Batouli, S. A. H., Trollor, J. N., Wen, W. & Sachdev, P. S. The heritability of volumes of brain structures and its relationship to age: A review of twin and family studies. *Ageing Res Rev* 13, 1–9 (2014).
- [33] Batouli, S. A. H. et al. Heritability of brain volumes in older adults: The Older Australian Twins Study. Neurobiol Aging 35, 937.e5–18 (2014).
- [34] Kanchibhotla, S. C. et al. Genetics of ageing-related changes in brain white matter integrity - a review. Ageing Res Rev 12, 391–401 (2013).
- [35] Grasby, K. L. et al. The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science 367, eaay6690 (2020).
- [36] Satizabal, C. L. et al. Genetic architecture of subcortical brain structures in 38,851 individuals. Nat Genet 51, 1624–1636 (2019).
- [37] Hibar, D. P. et al. Novel genetic loci associated with hippocampal volume. Nat Commun 8, 13624 (2017).
- [38] Zhao, B. *et al.* Common genetic variation influencing human white matter microstructure. *Science* **372**, eabf3736 (2021).
- [39] Stauffer, E.-M. *et al.* The genetic relationships between brain structure and schizophrenia. *Nat Commun* **14**, 7820 (2023).
- [40] Cheng, W. et al. Shared genetic architecture between schizophrenia and subcortical brain volumes implicates early neurodevelopmental processes and brain development in childhood. Mol Psychiatry 27, 5167–5176 (2022).
- [41] Wu, B.-S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of cerebellar white matter microstructure and genetic overlap with common brain disorders. *Neuroimage* 269, 119928 (2023).
- [42] Shang, M.-Y. et al. Genetic associations between bipolar disorder and brain structural phenotypes. Cereb Cortex bhad014 (2023).
- [43] Ressler, K. J. et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder: Clinical and translational neuroscience from cells to circuits. Nat Rev Neurol 18, 273–288 (2022).
- [44] Maihofer, A. X. et al. Enhancing Discovery of Genetic Variants for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Through Integration of Quantitative Phenotypes and Trauma Exposure Information. Biol Psychiatry 91, 626–636 (2022).
- [45] Nievergelt, C. M. et al. International meta-analysis of PTSD genome-wide association studies identifies sex- and ancestry-specific genetic risk loci. Nat Commun

10, 4558 (2019).

- [46] Frei, O. et al. Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. Nat Commun 10, 2417 (2019).
- [47] Smeland, O. B. et al. Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false discovery rate approach. Hum Genet 139, 85–94 (2020).
- [48] Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* 8, 1826 (2017).
- [49] Stein, M. B. et al. Genome-wide association analyses of post-traumatic stress disorder and its symptom subdomains in the Million Veteran Program. Nat Genet 53, 174–184 (2021).
- [50] Harrington, K. M. et al. Validation of an Electronic Medical Record-Based Algorithm for Identifying Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in U.S. Veterans. J Trauma Stress 32, 226–237 (2019).
- [51] Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2190–2191 (2010).
- [52] Desikan, R. S. *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* **31**, 968–980 (2006).
- [53] Rakic, P. Specification of cerebral cortical areas. Science 241, 170–176 (1988).
- [54] Brodmann, K. Vergleichende lokalisationslehre der grosshirnrinde. Leipzig: Barth JA (1905).
- [55] Patenaude, B., Smith, S. M., Kennedy, D. N. & Jenkinson, M. A Bayesian model of shape and appearance for subcortical brain segmentation. *Neuroimage* 56, 907–922 (2011).
- [56] Fischl, B. et al. Whole brain segmentation: Automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron 33, 341–355 (2002).
- [57] Grieve, S. M., Williams, L. M., Paul, R. H., Clark, C. R. & Gordon, E. Cognitive aging, executive function, and fractional anisotropy: A diffusion tensor MR imaging study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 28, 226–235 (2007).
- [58] Finucane, H. K. et al. Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. Nat Genet 50, 621–629 (2018).
- [59] GTEx Consortium. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science* **369**, 1318–1330 (2020).

- [60] Bulik-Sullivan, B. et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet 47, 1236–1241 (2015).
- [61] 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74 (2015).
- [62] Werme, J., van der Sluis, S., Posthuma, D. & de Leeuw, C. A. An integrated framework for local genetic correlation analysis. *Nat Genet* 54, 274–282 (2022).
- [63] Andreassen, O. A. et al. Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false discovery rate. PLoS Genet 9, e1003455 (2013).
- [64] Buniello, A. et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 47, D1005–D1012 (2019).
- [65] Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G. M., Shendure, J. & Kircher, M. CADD: Predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, D886–D894 (2019).
- [66] Boyle, A. P. et al. Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. Genome Res 22, 1790–1797 (2012).
- [67] Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium *et al.* Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* 518, 317–330 (2015).
- [68] GTEx Consortium *et al.* Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature* 550, 204–213 (2017).
- [69] Wang, D. et al. Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. Science 362, eaat8464 (2018).
- [70] Burgess, S., Thompson, S. G. & CRP CHD Genetics Collaboration. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 40, 755–764 (2011).
- [71] Kamat, M. A. et al. PhenoScanner V2: An expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. Bioinformatics 35, 4851–4853 (2019).
- [72] Myers, T. A., Chanock, S. J. & Machiela, M. J. LDlinkR: An R Package for Rapidly Calculating Linkage Disequilibrium Statistics in Diverse Populations. *Front Genet* 11, 157 (2020).
- [73] Burgess, S. et al. Using published data in Mendelian randomization: A blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol 30, 543–552 (2015).

- All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
- [74] Bowden, J., Davey Smith, G., Haycock, P. C. & Burgess, S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* 40, 304–314 (2016).
- [75] Bowden, J., Davey Smith, G. & Burgess, S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: Effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 44, 512–525 (2015).
- [76] Verbanck, M., Chen, C.-Y., Neale, B. & Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* 50, 693–698 (2018).
- [77] Stein, M. B., Jang, K. L., Taylor, S., Vernon, P. A. & Livesley, W. J. Genetic and environmental influences on trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A twin study. Am J Psychiatry 159, 1675–1681 (2002).
- [78] Bush, G., Luu, P. & Posner, M. I. Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 4, 215–222 (2000).
- [79] Babiloni, C. et al. Human cortical responses during one-bit delayed-response tasks: An fMRI study. Brain Res Bull 65, 383–390 (2005).
- [80] Kaur, S. et al. Cingulate cortex anatomical abnormalities in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. Am J Psychiatry 162, 1637–1643 (2005).
- [81] Tulving, E. *et al.* Neuroanatomical correlates of retrieval in episodic memory: Auditory sentence recognition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **91**, 2012–2015 (1994).
- [82] Wu, R. A. et al. TRAIP is a master regulator of DNA interstrand crosslink repair. Nature 567, 267–272 (2019).
- [83] Wu, R. A., Pellman, D. S. & Walter, J. C. The Ubiquitin Ligase TRAIP: Double-Edged Sword at the Replisome. *Trends Cell Biol* **31**, 75–85 (2021).
- [84] O'Neill, R. S. & Rusan, N. M. Traip controls mushroom body size by suppressing mitotic defects. *Development* 149, dev199987 (2022).
- [85] Awwad, S. W., Darawshe, M. M., Machour, F. E., Arman, I. & Ayoub, N. Recruitment of RBM6 to DNA Double-Strand Breaks Fosters Homologous Recombination Repair. *Mol Cell Biol* 43, 130–142 (2023).
- [86] Teyssier, J.-R., Rey, R., Ragot, S., Chauvet-Gelinier, J.-C. & Bonin, B. Correlative gene expression pattern linking RNF123 to cellular stress-senescence genes in patients with depressive disorder: Implication of DRD1 in the cerebral cortex. *J Affect Disord* 151, 432–438 (2013).
- [87] Strang, K. H., Golde, T. E. & Giasson, B. I. MAPT mutations, tauopathy, and mechanisms of neurodegeneration. *Lab Invest* 99, 912–928 (2019).

- [88] Leveille, E., Ross, O. A. & Gan-Or, Z. Tau and MAPT genetics in tauopathies and synucleinopathies. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* **90**, 142–154 (2021).
- [89] He, L. *et al.* Exome-wide age-of-onset analysis reveals exonic variants in ERN1 and SPPL2C associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Transl Psychiatry* **11**, 146 (2021).
- [90] Cursano, S. et al. A CRHR1 antagonist prevents synaptic loss and memory deficits in a trauma-induced delirium-like syndrome. *Mol Psychiatry* 26, 3778– 3794 (2021).
- [91] Trubetskoy, V. et al. Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature 604, 502–508 (2022).
- [92] Stahl, E. A. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. Nat Genet 51, 793–803 (2019).
- [93] Nievergelt, C. M. *et al.* Discovery of 95 PTSD loci provides insight into genetic architecture and neurobiology of trauma and stress-related disorders. *medRxiv* 2023.08.31.23294915 (2023).
- [94] Zhao, B. et al. Common variants contribute to intrinsic human brain functional networks. Nat Genet 54, 508–517 (2022).