Quantitative Multi-pathway Assessment of Exposure to

² Fecal Contamination for Infants in Rural Ethiopia

Yuke Wang^{1,*}, Yang Yang², Crystal M. Slanzi³, Xiaolong Li^{4,5}, Amanda Ojeda⁶,

Fevi Paro⁴, Loïc Deblais^{7,8}, Habib Yakubu¹, Bahar Mummed Hassen⁹, Halengo Game¹⁰,

Kedir Teji Roba^{10,11}, Elizabeth Schieber¹², Abdulmuen Mohammed Ibrahim¹⁰,

Jeylan Wolyie¹³, Jemal Yusuf Hassen¹⁰, Gireesh Rajashekara^{7,8,14}, Sarah L. McKune⁴,

Arie H. Havelaar⁴, Christine L. Moe¹, and Song Liang¹⁵

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

USA

3

²Department of Statistics, Franklin College of Arts and Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA ³Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, College of Public Health, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

19122 USA

⁴Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

⁵Department of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health and Health Professions, University

of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

⁶Department of Microbiology and Cell Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

⁸Global One Health initiative, The Ohio State University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

⁹College of Veterinary Medicine, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

¹⁰College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

¹¹Department of Biobehavioral Health, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

¹²dfusion Inc., Scotts Valley, CA 95066, USA

¹³College of Social Sciences and Humanities, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

bana, IL 61802, USA

¹⁵Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Health Sciences, University of

Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

*Address correspondence to Yuke Wang, Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health,

Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, CNR6040B, Atlanta, GA 30322. E-mail: yuke.wang@emory.edu

¹Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322,

⁷Department of Animal Sciences, CFAES Wooster, The Ohio State University, Wooster, OH 44691, USA

¹⁴Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Ur-

6 Abstract

In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), enteric infections pose a significant threat to chil-7 en's health. However, understanding the specifics of when, where, and how young children in d L MICs are exposed to enteric pathogens and the roles of animal reservoirs, environmental me-9 dia, and human hosts play during exposure remains limited. This study systematically evaluates 10 infants' exposure to E. coli through various pathways in the rural Haramaya woreda of Ethiopia. 11 Between June 2021 and June 2022, we conducted over 1300 hours of structured behavioral ob-12 servations on 79 infants when aged 4-8 months (Timepoint 1) and 11-15 months (Timepoint 13 2). Enumerators recorded the infant's behavior related to exposure, including when and where 14 it occurred, using the Countee © application. Concurrently, we collected 1338 environmental 15 samples from key contact interfaces between infants, other people, and the environment to test 16 for E. coli. We used a competing hazard model for duration-based behaviors, an inhomogeneous 17 Poisson point process model for frequency-based behaviors, and a left-censored lognormal dis-18 tribution model for E. coli contamination levels. The behavioral and environmental information 19 as then integrated into an agent-based exposure model framework to quantify the exposure to 20 E. coli through different pathways. 21

The infant behavior, which altered the relative importance of different exposure pathways, changed 22 children grew older. Notably, we observed increased rates of touching behavior (e.g., touchas 23 ing fomites) and soil-pica, increased consumption of solid food, and more time spent on the bare 24 ground at Timepoint 2. The major sources of exposure to E. coli were food and breastfeeding at 25 Timepoint 1 and food and soil at Timepoint 2. This study provides insights for interventions to 26 minimize infants' risk of exposure to fecal contamination and subsequent risk of enteric infec-27 tions, including improved food handling practices, enhanced personal hygiene for breastfeeding 28 caregivers, and education on the risk of soil-pica. 29

30 Keywords: exposure assessment, multi-pathway, infant, rural, Ethiopia, E. coli

31 **1** Introduction

Enteric diseases caused by enteric pathogens pose a substantial disease burden among people living in 32 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs),^{III} with young children being particularly vulnerable,^{I2}Diar-33 rheal diseases are the fifth leading cause of mortality among children under 5, resulting in over 446,000 34 global deaths annually, with approximately 90% occurring in South Asia and Sub-Sahara Africa.³¹⁴ Be-35 yond acute diarrhea, enteric infections can lead to various short- and long-term health issues, such as 36 malnutrition, $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ physical and cognitive developmental challenges, and environmental enteric dysfunc-37 tion,^B among others. Despite the well-recognized risk of these enteric pathogens, how, when, and where 38 children in LMICs are exposed to these enteric pathogens $\frac{9}{2}$ and quantitative descriptions of exposure to 39 enteric microbes/pathogens through various pathways remain under-investigated and less understood. 40 There has been growing attention to young children's exposure to fecal contamination and enteric pathogens 41 LMICs due to the urgent need to mitigate associated adverse health effects.⁹¹⁰¹¹¹ Two large water, in 42 sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) intervention trials in rural African settings (Kenya, Zimbabwe) failed 43 demonstrate improved health outcomes in children under five years of age, and the investigators to 44 concluded that basic WASH interventions were not sufficient to reduce pediatric diarrheal disease and 45 improve linear growth.¹² However, these studies did not examine child behavior and only the Kenyan 46 study analyzed the impact of the interventions on limited environmental pathways – E. coli on children's 47 hands, stored drinking water, and sentinel objects.¹³ So it is not clear to what degree the WASH interven-48 tions reduced overall child exposure to fecal contamination. The SaniPath study has made a significant 49 contribution to our understanding of exposure assessment in quantitatively assessing exposure to fecal 50 contamination by combining information collected from structured behavioral observations and surveys 51 with results from environmental and human microbiological sampling, primarily in urban settings involv-52 ing both children and adults. 14151617 Other recent studies examined environmental exposure to enteric 53 pathogens among infants and children under five in Kenya,^{18[9]}Zimbabwe^{20]} and Bangladesh.^{21[22]}These 54 studies have advanced our understanding of the complex pathways through which individuals are ex-55 posed to fecal contamination and enteric pathogens and provided insights that inform WASH intervention 56 strategies. 57

However, there are critical knowledge gaps about infants' exposure to enteric pathogens in rural LMIC
 settings. Questions about what the primary pathways of exposure are; how infants' behavior, including
 interactions with other people and the surrounding environment, mediate these pathways; how behavior

- 61 changes with age; and how the fecal contamination and enteric pathogens are distributed in the rural envi-
- ronment of LMICs all remain largely unanswered. Given the vulnerability of infants to enteric diseases,
- 63 closing these knowledge gaps is crucial.
- 64 Our study, the Exposure Assessment of Campylobacter Infections in Rural Ethiopia (EXCAM), aims to
- 65 systematically evaluate infants' exposure to *Campylobacter* and fecal contamination in the rural Hara-
- 66 maya woreda, Ethiopia. We use Escherichia coli as an indicator organism for fecal contamination and
- ⁶⁷ employ a holistic modeling approach that integrates infants' behavior, interactions with other people and
- with their environment, and environmental contamination levels. The objectives of this paper are: (1)
- 69 to comprehensively characterize and quantify infants' behavior, including interactions with other people
- ⁷⁰ and their surrounding environment, and (2) to quantify exposure to fecal contamination from different
- ⁷¹ sources through various pathways for two age groups of infants in rural Ethiopia.

72 2 Methods

73 2.1 Study Setting and Population

The EXCAM study was nested within the broader Campylobacter Genomics and Environmental Enteric 74 Dysfunction (CAGED) study, a longitudinal project that aimed at identifying Campylobacter spp. in-75 fection reservoirs for infants and evaluating the associated health impacts in the rural Haramaya woreda, 76 Ethiopia.^{[23]24[25]26[27]} Building on a Health and Demographic Surveillance Site (HDSS) that covers 12 ke-77 beles in the Haramaya woreda, established by Haramaya University.²⁶ the CAGED study enrolled 115 78 newborn infants, that were randomly selected from 10 kebeles based on defined inclusion and exclusion 79 criteria.²⁶ Demographics and socio-economic characteristics of the population in the study area were 80 reported by Havelaar et al.²⁶. From this cohort, 79 newborns were randomly selected for the EXCAM 81 study, which had two rounds of cross-sectional data collection: the first round occurred when infants were 82 around 6 months of age (Timepoint 1), and the second round occurred when they were around 12 months 83 of age (Timepoint 2). Among the 79 infants enrolled, three dropped out of the study (one infant deceased, 84 and the other two moved out of the study area) before Timepoint 2. 85

86 2.2 Data Collection and Sample Testing

The design of our structured behavioral observations drew inspiration from the SaniPath study. [14]5 We 87 customized the target behaviors and operational definitions for the context of the local rural setting, inte-88 ating insights from the CAGED study^[24,25]26] and initial pilot field surveys. We adapted an application for mobile phones and tablets, "Countee", to capture high-resolution behavioral data on environmental 90 contacts and interactions with other people.^{28[29]} Observations were conducted at two critical stages of 91 early childhood development, initially in early infancy and subsequently in late infancy, to reflect evolv-92 ing development, mobility, and interactions with surrounding environments. Prior to conducting any 93 observations, local enumerators were trained to record behavioral data during observations from both 94 videos and live observations. Training continued until enumerators demonstrated competency and were 95 able to record data reliably across observations. 96

We collected over 1,300 hours of structured behavioral observation across all infants enrolled. At each 97 timepoint, local enumerators conducted up to 10 hours of structured behavioral observations per in-98 fant (5 hours in the morning and 5 hours in the afternoon of the same day). This process yielded 99 multi-dimensional time series data, recording the start and end time of duration-based behaviors (awake, 100 bathing, drinking, and sleeping) and the timestamp of frequency-based behaviors (eating, mouthing, pica, 101 and touching). Data on where the behavior occurred, including location (within homestead and out of 102 homestead) and compartments (carried by mother, carried by other, down on a surface with barriers, and 103 down on the bare ground) was also collected as duration-based records with start and end time. 104

After each structured observation, the sampling team, on the following day, collected samples from ten 105 pre-identified human and environmental sample types (areola swabs of mothers, breast milk, mother 106 handrinse, sibling (child) handrinse, infant handrinse, bathing water, drinking water, fomites, food, and 107 soil) linked to the interfaces between infants and environment. Sampling locations in each household were 108 selected based on information provided by the enumerators who conducted the behavioral observations. 109 A total of 1338 human and environmental samples were collected, and all samples were transported 110 in cold boxes containing ice packs to Haramaya University's laboratory within 6 hours of collection. 111 Subsequently, samples were processed and tested for E. coli using two lab methods, depending on the 112 nature of the sample.^[30] EC MUG, a fluorometric approach, was used for clear liquid samples such as 113 handrinse, areola swabs, breast milk, drinking water, bathing water, fomites, and liquid food samples. 114 Chromocult, a plate count method, was employed for breast milk, resuspended solid food that was opaque. 115

and soil samples. Each sample's *E. coli* concentration level was calculated based on the lab method (Supplementary Table]]. The details of laboratory methods and results can be found in Deblais et al. The number of *E. coli* was quantified as Most Probable Number (MPN) for the EC MUG method and Colony Forming Units (CFU) for the Chromocult method. In this study, we assumed 1 MPN is equivalent to 1 CFU, and CFU was used as the unit for exposure assessment.

121 2.3 Quantifying Infants' Behavior and Fecal Contamination

The duration-based behaviors and frequency-based behaviors were modeled in two steps. Similar to 122 Teunis et al.,¹⁴ a combination of activity, compartment, and location was defined as a *state* for duration-123 based behaviors. Among 32 possible states, only 21 states were observed in this study. Those states not 124 observed were then omitted from the model. A change of state of a child was defined as a transition. 125 Then, the sequence of duration-based behaviors can be considered a series of transitions between states. 126 Such data can be visualized as a directed, weighted network with states as nodes and transitions as 127 edges. The weight of an edge represents the relative frequency of the corresponding transition observed. 128 The competing hazard model in Teunis et al.¹¹⁴ was adapted to model the sequences of duration-based 129 behaviors by timepoint. From the start of the current state, all possible transitions with their own hazard 130 functions compete for moving to the subsequent state. The rates of transitions were estimated using 131 JAGS^[3] and then used to generate Monte Carlo samples of sequences of duration-based behaviors in 132 simulation studies. 133

When examining the occurrence of frequency-based behaviors (e.g., touching mother's hand) on a continuous timeline, we noted that the rate of the occurrences depends on the current *state* of the child (e.g., touching mother's hand is more likely when awake and carried by mother vs. when sleeping on a surface). Therefore, the frequency-based behavior was modeled as an inhomogeneous Poisson point process with the rate, λ , conditional on the current *state*. The expected occurrence rate of frequency-based behavior (b) during a certain *state* (s), $\lambda_{b,s}$, can be estimated as

$$\hat{\lambda}_{b,s} = \frac{N_{b,s}}{T_s},$$

where $N_{b,s}$ is the total number of occurrences for frequency-based behavior *b* during the time period that child is in the *state s* and T_s is the cumulative length of the time period that child is in the *state s*. The inhomogeneous Poisson process of frequency-based behavior can be simulated by scaling (expanding

or contracting) the time during different *states* with the estimated rates of the frequency-based behavior 143 during those states. For example, given a frequency-based behavior has a rate of $\lambda = 3$ during a state, 144 the length of time period of this *state* is expanded to three times its original length in the scaled timeline. 145 Then, the events (i.e., occurrences of frequency-based behavior) can be simulated using a homogeneous 146 Poisson process with $\lambda = 1$ at this scaled timeline and projected back to the original timeline (Figure 1). 147 For environmental samples, we conducted two-sample t-tests to compare E. coli results (the concentration 148 and proportion of positive samples) between two timepoints and examined the correlations between the 149 log10 E. coli concentration levels of different sample types within the same household. The log10 E. 150 *coli* concentration levels were modeled as a normal distribution with left-censoring, and samples with 151 concentration levels below the lower limit of detection (LLOD) were considered negative and thus left-152 censored. Parameters were estimated using the maximum likelihood method for each sample type at each 153 timepoint. 154

155 2.4 Multi-pathway Exposure Assessment

We adapted the Multi-pathway Agent-based Exposure Assessment model framework from the SaniPath 156 study to track microbes from environmental and social sources through various pathways to ingestion by 157 children.¹⁶³² In the current study, the environmental sources include fomites, soil, areola surface, breast 158 milk, food, and drinking water, and the social sources include hands of the mother, other adults, and 159 other children (e.g., siblings). The sinks were defined as destinations of microbes, including mouth and 160 bathing water. The model includes two vehicles, hands and food, that can carry microbes from sources to 161 sinks. We created seven modules to track source-specific microbe numbers transferred between sources, 162 vehicles, and sinks, within a network structure: 163

- Hand Touching: attachment and detachment of microbes on hands by touching hands of the
 mother, other adults, other children, and fomites.
- Mouthing: microbe ingestion from mouthing hands of the mother, other adults, other children
 (siblings), infant itself, and fomites
- 168 3. **Bathing**: bathing water ingestion and microbe detachment from hands.
- 169 4. **Pica**: ingestion of soil and hand touching soil.
- 5. **Eating**: ingestion from eating solid food, possibly accompanied by hand touching of food.

6. **Breastfeeding**: breast milk ingestion and mouthing areola.

172 7. **Drinking Water**: ingestion of drinking water.

Simulated behavior sequences, including both duration-based behaviors and frequency-based behaviors, 173 and estimated E. coli levels of various environmental compartments were input into the Exposure Model 174 for each timepoint. Depending on the behavior (duration-based or frequency-based), the corresponding 175 module calculates the number of microbes on hands and the microbes ingested, both as a vector of E. 176 *coli* numbers from different original *sources* (Figure 2). In this study, we generated microbe transfers 177 during 10,000 typical child days (14 hours daytime assumed) for each timepoint. All simulations were 178 run using R version 4.3.2.³³ All codes for performing the data analyses and modeling are available at 179 https://github.com/YWAN446/EXCAM. 180

181 2.5 Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the University of Florida Institutional Review Board (IRB201802987), the Institutional Health Research Ethics Review Committee (IHREC), Haramaya University, Ethiopia (IHRERC/091/2020), and National Research Ethics Review Committee, Ministry of Science and Higher Education (MoSHE), Ethiopia (SBA\117\7103\20). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants of the study in the local language (Afan Oromo).

187 **3 Results**

188 3.1 Quantifying Infants' Behavior

In this study, the infants' behavior, in terms of their environmental contact (e.g., touching fomites) and 189 interactions with other people (e.g., touching mother's hands), evolved with age. Table 1 shows the 190 differences in infants' behavior between two timepoints. The infants at Timepoint 1 spent more time 191 sleeping during the day compared to those at Timepoint 2. Bathing behavior was infrequent and short 192 for both timepoints, with 30.4% of infants bathed for an average of 5.8 min at Timepoint 1 and 57.9% 193 of infants bathed for an average of 2.1 min at Timepoint 2. Rates (the number of times per hour) of 194 eating, pica, and touching increased substantially while the rate of mouthing decreased from Timepoint 1 195 to Timepoint 2. 196

The nature of infant contact with their environment also changed between two timepoints. Infants at 197 Timepoint 1 rarely spent any time on the bare ground, in contrast to Timepoint 2, when infants spent 198 16.4% of time on the bare ground (e.g., crawling). During 54.7% of the observation period at Timepoint 199 1, infants were carried either by mothers or other caregivers; this decreased to 33.9% of the observation 200 period at Timepoint 2. Infants in the study rarely went outside the homestead, defined as a cluster of 201 buildings accommodating an extended family and their small livestock (chickens, small ruminants). 202 Supplementary Figures 1 and 2 present the variations in the proportions of time spent engaging in 203 duration-based behaviors and the rates of frequency-based behaviors between individual infants. Table 2 204 shows the rates of frequency-based behaviors in each subcategory by timepoint. The rate of consuming 205 solid food and drinking water increased while the rate of breastfeeding slightly decreased from Time-206 point 1 to Timepoint 2. At Timepoint 2, infants touched environmental fomites more often and showed 207 a greater tendency towards soil-pica than at Timepoint 1. In addition, we examined the patterns in the 208

of duration-based behaviors at two timepoints. It is visually obvious that sleeping occurred uniformly during the day at Timepoint 1, while at Timepoint 2 infants tended to sleep around noon.

sequences of behavior. Supplementary Figures 346 show the activities and compartments for sequences

The two-dimensional behavior sequences were visualized as directed weighted networks with *states* (combinations of activity and compartment) as nodes and *transitions* between *states* as edges (Supplementary Figure 7). The rates of *transitions* were estimated in the competing hazard model. Supplementary Figures 8 and 9 show the marginal and conditional estimated rates of frequency-based behaviors at Timepoint 1 and Timepoint 2, respectively. Mouthing baby's hands and touching caregiver's hands occurred across different *states*. Touching and mouthing fomites commonly occurred when the infants were awake and down on a surface with barriers or down on the bare ground.

219 3.2 Quantifying Fecal Contamination

209

The percent of *E. coli* positive samples and the mean *E. coli* concentration of positive samples, measured on a log10 scale, by sample type and timepoint are presented in Table 3 adapted from Deblais et al. The percent of *E. coli* positive between the two timepoints was significantly different for breast milk, infant handrinse, and drinking water. The mean log10 *E. coli* concentrations were significantly different between the two timepoints for infant handrinse and fomites. Infant handrinse samples had both more *E. coli* detection and higher *E. coli* concentrations at Timepoint 2 compared to at Timepoint 1. After

assigning the LLOD to the *E. coli* concentration for the negative samples, we calculated the correlations 226 between E. coli contamination levels (in log10 scale) of different sample types within the same study 227 household (Supplementary Figure 10). We found that the *E. coli* contamination level of infant handrinse 228 was correlated with the E. coli contamination levels of mother handrinse, sibling handrinse, areola swabs, 229 and fomites. The E. coli contamination level of mother handrinse was correlated with the E. coli con-230 tamination levels of infant handrinse, sibling handrinse, drinking water, areola swabs, and fomite. More 231 detailed correlation analysis results can be found in Deblais et al.³⁰ Supplementary Table 2 shows the 232 estimated parameters of normal distribution for E. coli concentration (in log10 scale) which were used in 233 the exposure assessment. 234

235 3.3 Exposure to Fecal Contamination

Figure 3 shows the average relative contributions of different social and environmental sources to the in-236 gestion of fecal contamination (measured as E. coli) across 10,000 simulations at the two timepoints, and 237 Supplementary Figure 11 shows variation in exposure by *sources* and timepoint. Food and breastfeeding 238 were the major contributors, "dominant pathways", ^[I6] to the exposure to fecal contamination for infants 239 at Timepoint 1, and as the infants grew older, food and soil were the major contributors at Timepoint 2. 240 The average exposure per day, indicated by the color in Figure 3 was higher at Timepoint 2 compared 241 to at Timepoint 1. Figure 4 shows the "fecal microbe transfer networks"¹⁶ for infants. Direct pathways 242 such as eating, breastfeeding, soil-pica, and mouthing fomites resulted in higher exposure to fecal con-243 tamination. In contrast, hand contact with environmental compartments (i.e., touching fomites or soil) 244 and interactions with other people (i.e., touching the hands of the mother, other adults, and other children) 245 did not substantially increase the fecal contamination on infants' hands (even decreased it at Timepoint 246 2). The environment-hand-mouth pathways were responsible for a relatively small proportion of the total 247 exposure to fecal contamination. 248

249 **4** Discussion

This study represents a significant component of our broader efforts to systematically assess the exposure to fecal contamination, as measured by *E. coli*, and ultimately to important enteric pathogens, such as *Campylobacter* for infants in rural regions of Ethiopia. Our primary aim is to gain insights into how chil-

dren's behavior, including interactions with other people and their surrounding environment, modulates

253

their exposure to fecal contamination using E. coli as the fecal indicator. This study is notable for being 254 the first to collect and analyze extensive, high-resolution, multi-dimensional behavior sequence data for 255 exposure assessment in rural settings in LMICs. Along with environmental and human microbiological 256 samples as input, a well-calibrated agent-based exposure model can perform a comprehensive assessment 257 to investigate infants' exposure to fecal contamination and enteric pathogens through multiple pathways. 258 Overall, though less frequently consumed, solid food is the most dominant pathway that contributes the 259 highest exposure to fecal contamination, which is similar to our findings for children 5-12 years old and 260 adults in many countries around the globe.¹⁷ Several key findings of our study include: 261 1. Changes in infant behavior as they grow alter the relative contributions of various environmental 262

exposure pathways.

- Infants could ingest fecal contamination on mothers' hands, nipples, and areola areas through
 breastfeeding.
- Contaminated soil is an important environmental *source* of fecal exposure through infants' hand mouthing and soil-pica behavior.

The infants' behavior, including interactions with other people and the surrounding environment, evolves 268 rapidly as they grow. For the first few months after birth, infants' behavior is predominantly, if not purely, 269 driven by their biological needs, such as eating, drinking, sleeping, defecating, and seeking comfort. 270 Breastfeeding (due to breast milk being the primary food source) and sleeping occur frequently during 271 the day without a schedule. Their movements around the environment are generally restricted (within 272 the private domain, such as households) and passive (carried by caregivers), while their interactions with 273 caregivers (and siblings) are frequent and close. During this stage of life, poor hygiene behavior of care-274 givers (e.g., lack of handwashing) and a lack of cleanliness within the household (e.g., dirty toys for 275 mouthing, household surfaces, and food preparation surfaces), due to limited water supply and unim-276 proved sanitation, could have a significant impact on the risk of exposure to fecal contamination and 277 enteric pathogens. During late infancy, they develop basic mobility (i.e., crawling or walking), leading 278 to more active and frequent interaction with the environment both in private (i.e., within homestead) and 279 public domains (i.e., out of homestead). In our study, the infants' hands were observed to be significantly 280 dirtier at Timepoint 2 compared to Timepoint 1. We observed a 120-times increase in the average rate of 281

soil-pica, a high-risk behavior, at Timepoint 2. In addition, the infants observed started consuming more 282 solid food to supplement the breast milk and the wider range of food that they ingest increases the risk 283 of exposure to contaminated food. These changes in infant behavior alter their exposure profile, which 284 is the relative importance of different environmental pathways contributing to exposure to fecal contam-285 ination and enteric pathogens. Information on the dominant environmental exposure pathways is critical 286 for targeting sanitation and hygiene interventions and evaluating their effectiveness. For example, the soil 287 pathway was not a major risk for infants in rural Ethiopia at Timepoint 1, but it became a dominant ex-288 posure pathway for fecal contamination, along with the solid food pathway, at Timepoint 2. As a result, 289 different interventions would be recommended to reduce the infants' exposure substantially enough to 290 lead to measurable health impacts. 291

Breast milk is universally valued for its nutritional and immunologic benefits for infants. Surprisingly, at 292 Timepoint 1, 21.6% of breast milk samples were contaminated with E. coli and breastfeeding was one of 293 the most important *sources* of exposure to fecal contamination for infants. There is no evidence that E. 294 *coli* is secreted in breast milk.^[34] In 2016, Nakamura et al.^[35] reported an outbreak of extended-spectrum 295 β -lactamase (ESBL) producing *E. coli* through breast milk sharing in a neonatal intensive care unit. 29 The breast milk was exclusively contaminated from the donor mother's left breast, which she reported 297 was not sufficiently cleaned due to a sore nipple.³⁵ Therefore, it is likely that the *E. coli* contamination 298 in the breast milk samples in our study originated from the mothers' nipples and areala areas, where 299 we detected a similar percent of positive for E. coli (16.7% at Timepoint 1 and 18.7% at Timepoint 300 2). Discussions with members of the local field team also indicated that breastfeeding practices often 301 overlook personal hygiene measures in rural communities in Ethiopia. For breastfeeding, latching or 302 unlatching a young infant requires the mother to put her hands on her breast, as well as in and around the 303 infant's mouth. Many mothers, possibly due to a lack of awareness or understanding of the importance of 304 hygienic practices, ³⁶ feed their babies without first properly cleaning the breasts or their hands. Instances 305 were noted during our structured observations, when a mother merely wiped the nipple with her clothes 306 or hands before feeding the child. Our findings suggested that behavioral interventions targeting personal 307 hygiene practices, such as handwashing and cleaning the nipple and areal area, before breastfeeding 308 should be promoted in rural Ethiopia and areas with similar situations. 309

Unlike in urban settings, where concrete floor surfaces are common in households, most floors in rural households are composed of soil and cemented mud (a mixture of soil and livestock feces). In our study,

fecal contamination was widely distributed with elevated E. coli concentration levels in the soil samples 312 collected in the household compound. The soil within and outside households could be contaminated 313 with fecal material from both humans and animals. In rural communities, many households do not have 314 latrines³⁶ and open defecation in backyards or fields is common. Meanwhile, humans and livestock often 315 coexist under the same roof, particularly at night, resulting in animals defecating and urinating in the 316 shared living space. In such a living environment, unintentionally ingesting soil through hand-mouthing 317 or intentional ingestion through soil-pica could result in a large amount of exposure to fecal contamination 318 and enteric pathogens for infants. Previous studies $in^{\frac{37}{37}as^{20}}$ and the behavioral observation data from 319 this study showed that soil-pica is not a rare behavior among young children. Enhancing household 320 living environments and implementing effective animal fecal management should be considered to protect 321 young children in rural Ethiopia. 322

This study had several strengths compared to previous exposure assessment research. Structured behav-323 ioral observation data with much higher resolution were collected with 60,580 infant behavior events in 324 1310 hours compared to our previous SaniPath study in Accra, Ghana, which only had 1846 events in 325 500 hours.^[14] The behavioral data in this study were collected at the very early stages of infants' lives, 326 when they are most vulnerable to enteric diseases. Such rich behavioral data enable us to examine the 327 behavior differences in detail between age groups and build a more complex behavior model with two 328 layers for duration-based and frequency-based behaviors. Environmental samples of different types were 329 collected within the same household right after the behavioral observation, and the enumerators conduct-330 ing those observations informed the sampling locations of environmental samples. This type of matched 331 design enabled us to identify the positive correlation between the fecal contamination levels on the hands 332 of infants, mothers, and siblings, mothers' skin (e.g., areola surface), and fomite surfaces. In addition, 333 this study included previously overlooked exposure pathways like breastfeeding, mouthing caregivers' 334 hands, and soil-pica and revealed surprising findings about the important role of these dominant exposure 335 pathways for infants in rural Ethiopia. The model developed here can be generalized to quantify exposure 336 to a variety of enteric pathogens and even chemicals in the environment. 337 Yet, we acknowledge some limitations underlying the study. Capturing the full spectrum of infants' be-

Yet, we acknowledge some limitations underlying the study. Capturing the full spectrum of infants' behavior and exposure in their environments presents significant challenges due to the dynamic and complex nature of daily activities and interactions. Recording only the infants' behavior in pre-defined behavior types that are frequently observed may not fully account for occasional but high-risk exposure pathways,

such as touching animal fecal matter. Also, we were not able to observe the infants during specific time 342 periods, such as right after waking up in the morning or before going to sleep at night. This could lead to 343 potential underestimation or oversight in identifying the contributions from specific pathways to exposure 344 to fecal contamination and enteric pathogens. Another limitation of our study comes from the logistical 345 and operational challenges exacerbated by unforeseen external circumstances. The behavioral observa-346 tions and environmental sample collection were originally planned during the developmental windows 347 of infants ages 1-3 months old and 6-9 months old. However, the concurrent impact of the COVID-348 19 pandemic and geopolitical tension within the country delayed the delivery of necessary lab supplies 349 and equipment to Haramaya University and disrupted our fieldwork schedule. Despite the delay in data 350 collection, the adjusted timeline windows (spanning ages 4-8 months and 11-15 months) still provided 351 valuable insights into the behavior patterns of infants in rural Ethiopia early in their life and associated 352 exposure to fecal contamination and enteric pathogens. Infants between 4 to 8 months old (at Timepoint 353 1) predominantly rely on breastfeeding and exhibit limited mobility, aligning closely with our initial 354 proposed age groups. The observation at Timepoint 2 (11-15 months old) was more distinct from the ob-355 servation of the younger infants and thus offered a unique opportunity to understand evolving behavioral 356 patterns and exposure to fecal contamination associated with growing mobility and a more diverse diet. 357 The findings from this study have important policy implications. In rural Ethiopia, even young infants 358 consume solid food, such as injera, which commonly has fecal contamination. Contamination of injera is 359 most likely introduced during food handling and storage rather than its cooking process which involves 360 a high temperature. Behavioral interventions to promote handwashing before eating and feeding for both 361 infants and their caregivers and better food handling and storage practices are recommended. This re-362 search also highlights the need for targeted sanitation and hygiene improvement initiatives and education 363 for expecting and breastfeeding mothers. Such efforts should include the separation of human and animal 364 spaces, proper disposal of human and animal feces, training on animal husbandry, maintaining house-365 hold cleanliness, and promoting handwashing and personal hygiene before breastfeeding and infant food 366 preparation. These improvements are essential for reducing potential fecal-oral transmission of enteric 367

pathogens. In addition, information about the behavioral evolution of infants during development should
 be integrated into maternal and child health educational and intervention programs. As infants grow and
 become more mobile, culturally appropriate strategies to prevent soil ingestion need to be developed and
 implemented.

Moving forward, our results suggest several directions for future research. First, expanding these research 372 methodologies to explore exposure to specific enteric pathogens, with different ecological and biological 373 characteristics, will offer a more holistic view of exposure risks and their mitigation. Second, conduct-374 ing an in-depth assessment of the challenges to promoting good personal hygiene for mothers before 375 breastfeeding may be beneficial. Developing community-based educational and behavioral intervention 376 programs that are culturally sensitive and socially acceptable to the community, is essential for adopting 377 recommended practices. Finally, the exposure assessment model developed here can be used to conduct 378 simulation studies evaluating the efficacy of specific behavioral interventions, such as campaigns to pro-379 mote handwashing and education on hygienic breastfeeding practices, and could guide the design and 380 implementation of locally sustainable and effective intervention strategies. 381

382 Conclusions

This study highlights the crucial role of infant behavior, including interactions with other people and 383 their immediate living environment, in understanding how infants are exposed to fecal contamination and 384 enteric pathogens in rural settings of LMICs. We developed an integrated exposure assessment model 385 framework that identified and quantified the changes in the exposure profile (e.g., dominant exposure 386 pathways) between infants at two age periods. The findings of high exposure to fecal contamination from 387 solid food, breast milk, and soil in this rural study setting are critical evidence for reducing infants' expo-388 sure risks by promoting safe food handling and storage, improved personal hygiene before breastfeeding, 389 education on the risk of soil ingestion and measures to prevent soil-pica. 390

391 Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-007705). We thank Ballo Mummed, Belisa Usmael Ahmedo, Efrah Yusuf, Ibsa Ahmed, Ibsa Fayo Abrahim, Kedir Hassen, Meri Usmail, Mussie Brhane, and Yenenesh Demisie Weldesenbet from Haramaya University for their substantial work conducting behavioral observations, sample collections, and lab analyses. We thank Dr. Peter Teunis at Emory University for his insights into developing behavior and exposure models. We thank Sarah Durry at Emory University for her insights into the study design in Ethiopia. We thank Shaolin Xiang at the University of Georgia for identifying the missing values in the behavioral data. At

³⁹⁹ last, special thanks to my children, Angelina Wangpan and Abigail Wangpan, for teaching me the basics

400 of infant behavior.

401 Data Sharing

The data utilized in this study are available upon reasonable request. The dataset includes high-resolution 402 behavioral and microbiological sampling data collected as part of the EXCAM project. This data has 403 been anonymized to protect the privacy of the participants. Access to the data will be granted to qual-404 ified researchers to replicate the findings or conduct further research, subject to approval by the Insti-405 tutional Review Board and data use agreements to ensure ethical handling and use of the data. Re-406 searchers interested in accessing the dataset should contact the Principal Investigator, Dr. Song Liang at 407 songliang@umass.edu. Detailed metadata and documentation are available to support the understanding 408 and use of the dataset. 409

410 **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Yuke Wang: Conceptualization, Methodology (Exposure Assessment, Mechanistic Modeling), Soft-411 ware, Formal Analysis, Writing - Original Draft. Yang Yang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal 412 analysis, Writing - Review & Editing. Crystal M Slanzi: Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Review 413 & Editing. Xiaolong Li: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing - Review & Editing. Amanda Ojeda: 414 Investigation, Project Administration, Writing - Review & Editing. Fevi Paro: Writing - Review & 415 Editing. Loïc Deblais: Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. Habib Yakubu: Con-416 ceptualization. Bahar Mummed Hassen: Investigation. Halengo Game: Investigation. Ibsa Fayo 417 Abrahim: Investigation. Kedir Teji Roba: Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. Elizabeth 418 Schieber: Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing, Abdulmuen Mohammed Ibrahim: 419 Investigation. Jeylan Wolyie: Investigation. Jemal Yusuf: Investigation. Gireesh Rajashekara: Con-420 ceptualization, Methodology (Laboratory Methods, Working Definitions, etc), Investigation, Writing -421 Review & Editing. Sarah L. McKune: Conceptualization, Methodology (Field Methods, Working Def-422 initions, etc), Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. Christine L. Moe: Conceptualization, Writing 423 - Review & Editing, Supervision. Arie H. Havelaar: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - Review 424 & Editing. Song Liang: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing. 425

426 **References**

- [1] Christa L Fischer Walker, Jamie Perin, Martin J Aryee, Cynthia Boschi-Pinto, and Robert E Black.
 Diarrhea incidence in low-and middle-income countries in 1990 and 2010: a systematic review.
 BMC Public Health, 12:1–7, 2012. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-220.
- 430 [2] Karen L Kotloff, James P Nataro, William C Blackwelder, Dilruba Nasrin, Tamer H Farag, Sandra
- Panchalingam, Yukun Wu, Samba O Sow, Dipika Sur, Robert F Breiman, et al. Burden and aetiology
 of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric
 Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *The Lancet*, 382(9888):209–222,
 2013. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60844-2.
- [3] GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality
 for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
 2016. *The Lancet*, 390(10100):1151–1210, 2017. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32152-9.
- [4] GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity,
 mortality, and aetiologies of diarrhoea in 195 countries: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden
 of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18(11):1211–1228, 2018. doi: 10.1016/
 S1473-3099(18)30362-1.
- [5] Richard L Guerrant, Reinaldo B Oriá, Sean R Moore, Mônica OB Oriá, and Aldo AM Lima. Mal nutrition as an enteric infectious disease with long-term effects on child development. *Nutrition Reviews*, 66(9):487–505, 2008. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2008.00082.x.
- [6] Dinesh Mondal, Juliana Minak, Masud Alam, Yue Liu, Jing Dai, Poonum Korpe, Lei Liu, Rashidul
 Haque, and William A Petri Jr. Contribution of enteric infection, altered intestinal barrier function,
 and maternal malnutrition to infant malnutrition in Bangladesh. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 54(2):
 185–192, 2012. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir807.
- [7] David I Guerrant, Sean R Moore, Aldo A Lima, Peter D Patrick, John B Schorling, and Richard L
 Guerrant. Association of early childhood diarrhea and cryptosporidiosis with impaired physical
 fitness and cognitive function four-seven years later in a poor urban community in northeast Brazil.
 The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 61(5):707–713, 1999. doi: 10.4269/
 ajtmh.1999.61.707.

- [8] Kirkby D Tickell, Hannah E Atlas, and Judd L Walson. Environmental enteric dysfunction: a review
 of potential mechanisms, consequences and management strategies. *BMC Medicine*, 17:1–9, 2019.
 doi: 10.1186/s12916-019-1417-3.
- [9] Frederick GB Goddard, Radu Ban, Dana Boyd Barr, Joe Brown, Jennifer Cannon, John M Colford Jr, Joseph NS Eisenberg, Ayse Ercumen, Helen Petach, Matthew C Freeman, et al. Measuring
 environmental exposure to enteric pathogens in low-income settings: review and recommendations
 of an interdisciplinary working group. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(19):11673–11691,
 2020. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c02421.
- [10] Timothy R Julian and Amy J Pickering. A pilot study on integrating videography and environmental
 microbial sampling to model fecal bacterial exposures in peri-urban Tanzania. *PLoS One*, 10(8):
 e0136158, 2015. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136158.
- [11] Christine L Moe and Richard D Rheingans. Global challenges in water, sanitation and health.
 Journal of Water and Health, 4(S1):41–57, 2006. doi: 10.2166/wh.2006.0043.
- [12] Oliver Cumming, Benjamin F Arnold, Radu Ban, Thomas Clasen, Joanna Esteves Mills, Matthew C
 Freeman, Bruce Gordon, Raymond Guiteras, Guy Howard, Paul R Hunter, Richard B Johnston,
 Amy J Pickering, Andrew J Prendergast, Annette Prüss-Ustün, Jan Willem Rosenboom, Dean
 Spears, Shelly Sundberg, Jennyfer Wolf, Clair Null, Stephen P Luby, Jean H Humphrey, and John M
 Colford Jr. The implications of three major new trials for the effect of water, sanitation and hygiene
 on childhood diarrhea and stunting: a consensus statement. *BMC Medicine*, 17:1–9, 2019. doi:
 10.1186/s12916-019-1410-x.
- [13] Amy J Pickering, Sammy M Njenga, Lauren Steinbaum, Jenna Swarthout, Audrie Lin, Benjamin F Arnold, Christine P Stewart, Holly N Dentz, MaryAnne Mureithi, Benard Chieng, Marlene
 Wolfe, Ryan Mahoney, Jimmy Kihara, Kendra Byrd, Gouthami Rao, Theodora Meerkerk, Priscah
 Cheruiyot, Marina Papaiakovou, Nils Pilotte, Steven A Williams, John M. Colford Jr., and Clair
 Null. Effects of single and integrated water, sanitation, handwashing, and nutrition interventions
 on child soil-transmitted helminth and Giardia infections: A cluster-randomized controlled trial in
 rural Kenya. *PLoS Medicine*, 16(6):e1002841, 2019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002841.
- 481 [14] Peter FM Teunis, Heather E Reese, Clair Null, Habib Yakubu, and Christine L Moe. Quantifying

482	contact with the environment: behaviors of young children in Accra, Ghana. The American journal
483	of tropical medicine and hygiene, 94(4):920, 2016. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.15-0417.

- [15] Katharine Robb, Clair Null, Peter Teunis, Habib Yakubu, George Armah, and Christine L Moe.
 Assessment of fecal exposure pathways in low-income urban neighborhoods in Accra, Ghana: ra tionale, design, methods, and key findings of the SaniPath study. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 97(4):1020, 2017. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.16-0508.
- [16] Yuke Wang, Christine L Moe, Clair Null, Suraja J Raj, Kelly K Baker, Katharine A Robb, Habib
 Yakubu, Joseph A Ampofo, Nii Wellington, Matthew C Freeman, et al. Multipathway quantita tive assessment of exposure to fecal contamination for young children in low-income urban envi ronments in Accra, Ghana: the SaniPath analytical approach. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 97(4):1009, 2017. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.16-0408.
- [17] Yuke Wang, Wolfgang Mairinger, Suraja J Raj, Habib Yakubu, Casey Siesel, Jamie Green, Sarah
 Durry, George Joseph, Mahbubur Rahman, Nuhu Amin, et al. Quantitative assessment of exposure
 to fecal contamination in urban environment across nine cities in low-income and lower-middle income countries and a city in the united states. *Science of the Total Environment*, 806:151273,
 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151273.
- [18] Kelly K Baker, Jane Awiti Odhiambo Mumma, Sheillah Simiyu, Daniel Sewell, Kevin Tsai,
 John David Anderson, Amy MacDougall, Robert Dreibelbis, and Oliver Cumming. Environmen tal and behavioural exposure pathways associated with diarrhoea and enteric pathogen detection
 in 5-month-old, periurban Kenyan infants: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*, 12(10), 2022. doi:
 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059878.
- [19] Valerie Bauza, Vincent Madadi, Robinson Ocharo, Thanh H Nguyen, and Jeremy S Guest. Enteric
 pathogens from water, hands, surface, soil, drainage ditch, and stream exposure points in a low income neighborhood of Nairobi, Kenya. *Science of the Total Environment*, 709:135344, 2020. doi:
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135344.
- [20] Jean H Humphrey, Mduduzi NN Mbuya, Robert Ntozini, Lawrence H Moulton, Rebecca J Stoltz fus, Naume V Tavengwa, Kuda Mutasa, Florence Majo, Batsirai Mutasa, Goldberg Mangwadu,
 Cynthia M Chasokela, Ancikaria Chigumira, Bernard Chasekwa, Laura E Laura E Smith, James M

Tielsch, Andrew D Jones, Amee R Manges, John A Maluccio, Andrew J Prendergast, and for the
Sanitation Hygiene Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) Trial Team. Independent and combined effects of improved water, sanitation, and hygiene, and improved complementary feeding, on child
stunting and anaemia in rural Zimbabwe: a cluster-randomised trial. *The Lancet Global Health*, 7 (1):e132–e147, 2019. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30374-7.

- [21] Laura H Kwong, Ayse Ercumen, Amy J Pickering, Leanne Unicomb, Jennifer Davis, and Stephen P
 Luby. Age-related changes to environmental exposure: variation in the frequency that young chil dren place hands and objects in their mouths. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epi- demiology*, 30(1):205–216, 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41370-019-0115-8.
- [22] Amy J Pickering, Ayse Ercumen, Benjamin F Arnold, Laura H Kwong, Sarker Masud Parvez,
 Mahfuja Alam, Debashis Sen, Sharmin Islam, Craig Kullmann, Claire Chase, et al. Fecal indicator
 bacteria along multiple environmental transmission pathways (water, hands, food, soil, flies) and
 subsequent child diarrhea in rural Bangladesh. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52(14):7928–
 7936, 2018. doi: doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b00928.
- [23] Yitagele Terefe, Loïc Deblais, Mostafa Ghanem, Yosra A Helmy, Bahar Mummed, Dehao Chen,
 Nitya Singh, Vida Ahyong, Katrina Kalantar, Getnet Yimer, Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Abdulmuen
 Mohammed, Sarah L McKune, Mark J Manary, Maria Isabel Ordiz, Wondwossen Gebreyes, Arie H
 Havelaar, and Gireesh Rajashekara. Co-occurrence of *Campylobacter* species in children from
 eastern Ethiopia, and their association with environmental enteric dysfunction, diarrhea, and host
 microbiome. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8:99, 2020. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00099.
- [24] Kevin Louis Bardosh, Jeylan Wolyie Hussein, Elias Ahmed Sadik, Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Mengistu
 Ketema, Abdulmuen Mohammed Ibrahim, Sarah Lindley McKune, and Arie Hendrik Havelaar.
 Chicken eggs, childhood stunting and environmental hygiene: an ethnographic study from the
 Campylobacter Genomics and Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project in Ethiopia.
 One Health Outlook, 2:1–15, 2020. doi: 10.1186/s42522-020-00012-9.
- [25] Dehao Chen, Sarah L McKune, Nitya Singh, Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Wondwossen Gebreyes, Mark J
 Manary, Kevin Bardosh, Yang Yang, Nicholas Diaz, Abdulmuen Mohammed, Yitagele Terefe,
 Kedir Teji Roba, Mengistu Ketema, Negassi Ameha, Nega Assefa, Gireesh Rajashekara, Loïc

Deblais, Mostafa Ghanem, Getnet Yimer, and Arie H Havelaar. *Campylobacter* colonization, environmental enteric dysfunction, stunting, and associated risk factors among young children in rural Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study from the *Campylobacter* Genomics and Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8:615793, 2021. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.615793.

- [26] Arie H Havelaar, Mussie Brhane, Ibsa Abdusemed Ahmed, Jafer Kedir, Dehao Chen, Loic Deblais,
 Nigel French, Wondwossen A Gebreyes, Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Xiaolong Li, et al. Unravelling
 the reservoirs for colonisation of infants with *Campylobacter* spp. in rural Ethiopia: protocol for
 a longitudinal study during a global pandemic and political tensions. *BMJ open*, 12(10):e061311,
 2022. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061311.
- [27] Loïc Deblais, Amanda Ojeda, Mussie Brhane, Bahar Mummed, Kedir A Hassen, Belisa Usmael 548 Ahmedo, Yenenesh Demisie Weldesenbet, Jafer Kedir Amin, Ibsa Abdusemed Ahmed, Ibsa Aliyi 549 Usmane, Efrah Ali Yusuf, Abadir Jemal Seran, Fayo I Abrahim, Halengo T Game, Ballo A 550 Mummed, Meri M Usmail, Kunuza Adem Umer, Mawardi M Dawid, Wondwossen Gebreyes, Nigel 551 French, Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Kedir Teji Roba, Abdulmuen Mohammed, Getnet Yimer, Cyrus 552 Saleem, Dehao Chen, Nitya Singh, Mark J Manary, Sarah L McKune, Arie H Havelaar, and Gireesh 553 Rajashekara. Prevalence and load of the campylobacter genus in infants and associated household 554 contacts in rural eastern Ethiopia: a longitudinal study from the Campylobacter Genomics and En-555 vironmental Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 89 556 (7):e00424-23, 2023. doi: 10.1128/aem.00424-23. 557
- [28] Digital Taco, v. D. P.-G. *Countee*. in (version 2.2.1). https://www.counteeapp.com, 2020.
- [29] Crystal M Slanzi and Jonathan K Fernand. On the use and benefits of electronic data collec tion systems: A tutorial on Countee. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, In Press. doi: 10.1007/
 s40617-024-00964-8.
- [30] Loïc Deblais, Belisa Usmael Ahmedo, Amanda Ojeda, Bahar Mummed, Yuke Wang, Yitagele
 Terefe Mekonnen, Yenenesh Demisie Weldesenbet, Kedir Hassen, Mussie Brhane, Sarah L McK une, Arie Havelaar, Song Liang, and Gireesh Rajashekara. Assessing fecal contamination from
 human and environmental sources using *Escherichia coli* as an indicator in rural eastern Ethiopian

⁵⁶⁶ households - a study from the EXCAM project. *medRxiv*, 2024. doi: 10.1101/2024.08.21.24312392.

567 URL https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2024/08/23/2024.08.21.24312392

- [31] Martyn Plummer. JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sam pling, 2003.
- 570 [32] Yuke Wang, Christine L Moe, and Peter FM Teunis. Children are exposed to fecal contamination
- via multiple interconnected pathways: a network model for exposure assessment. *Risk Analysis*, 38
 (11):2478–2496, 2018. doi: 10.1111/risa.13146.
- [33] R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statis tical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2013. URL http://www.R-project.org/ ISBN 3-900051 07-0.
- [34] Robert M Lawrence and Ruth A Lawrence. Breast milk and infection. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 31
 (3):501–528, 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2004.03.019.
- [35] K Nakamura, M Kaneko, Y Abe, N Yamamoto, H Mori, A Yoshida, K Ohashi, S Miura, TT Yang,
 N Momoi, et al. Outbreak of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* transmitted through breast milk sharing in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 92 (1):42–46, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2015.05.002.
- [36] Abera Aregawi Berhe, Abraham Desta Aregay, Alemnesh Araya Abreha, Asfawosen Berhe Aregay, Ataklti Weldegebrial Gebretsadik, Degnesh Zigta Negash, Equbay Gebru Gebreegziabher,
 Kiros Ghebremedhin Demoz, Kiros Ajemu Fenta, Nega Bezabih Mamo, et al. Knowledge, attitude,
 and practices on water, sanitation, and hygiene among rural residents in Tigray region, northern
 Ethiopia. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2020, 2020. doi: 10.1155/2020/5460168.
- [37] Edward J Calabrese and Edward J Stanek. Soil pica: not a rare event. *Journal of Environmental Science & Health Part A*, 28(2):373–384, 1993. doi: 10.1080/10934529309375884.
- [38] Jacqueline Moya and Linda Phillips. A review of soil and dust ingestion studies for children. *Journal* of *Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 24(6):545–554, 2014. doi: 10.1038/jes.2014.
 17.

592 Tables

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of child behavior during structured observations at two timepoints.

	Timepoint 1	Timepoint 2
	(May–Dec 2021)	(Dec 2021–June 2022)
# subjects	79	76
mean age (range) in days	184 (132–263)	371 (338–466)
duration of observation (hours)	702	608
# duration-based activity transitions	3315	2787
average % of time spent awake	60.9	76.0
average % of time spent bathing	0.3	0.3
average % of time spent drinking	16.9	11.7
average % of time spent sleeping	21.9	12.1
# frequency-based activities	23,677	30,801
rate of eating (times per hour)	0.35	2.20
rate of mouthing (times per hour)	13.13	10.69
rate of pica (times per hour)	0.05	0.67
rate of touching (times per hour)	20.07	37.11
# compartment transitions	2688	3632
average % of time spent carried by mother	40.3	27.0
average % of time spent carried by other	14.4	6.9
average % of time spent on the bare ground	0.2	16.4
average % of time spent on a surface with barriers	45.1	49.8
# location transitions	47	149
average % of time spent out of homestead	0.4	2.2
average % of time spent within homestead	99.6	97.8

	Timepoint 1	Timepoint 2
Rate of <i>events</i> (times per hour)	(May–Dec 2021)	(Dec 2021–June 2022)
drinking breast milk	1.67	1.45
drinking water	0.13	0.23
drinking other	0.22	0.28
eating injera	0.17	0.98
eating raw produce	0.001	0.04
eating other	0.18	1.18
mouthing own hands	8.84	4.55
mouthing mother's hands	0.59	0.22
mouthing other adult's hands	0.28	0.08
mouthing other child's hands	0.21	0.13
mouthing fomites	3.21	5.72
pica feces	0	0.002
pica soil	0.003	0.36
pica other	0.04	0.31
touching mother's hands	8.80	9.33
touching other adult's hands	3.99	4.41
touching other child's hands	3.38	5.17
touching livestock	0.02	0.09
touching fomites	3.88	18.10

Table 2: Rate of subcategory duration-based behaviors and drinking behavior during the structured observations at two timepoints.

Sample Type	Timepoint	N	Percent	Log10 Mean	Unit
			of E. coli	(Range)	
			positive	Concentration in	
				Positive Samples	
Areola Swab	1	78	16.7	1.11 (0.68–1.53)	per swab
Aleola Swab	2	75	18.7	2.21 (0.68-3.32)	per swab
Breast Milk*	1	51	21.6	1.95 (0.70-2.86)	per mL
Breast Milk	2	69	2.9	1.35 (0.70-1.60)	per mL
Mathan Handalan	1	79	81.0	3.64 (1.34-5.05)	per pair of hands
Mother Handrinse	2	76	84.2	4.26 (1.34-6.05)	per pair of hands
C'h l'a e Headalach	1	64	89.1	5.29 (1.34-7.05)	per pair of hands
Sibling Handrinse	2	62	85.5	4.33 (1.34-6.05)	per pair of hands
L. C I	1	79	51.9	2.63 (1.34-4.05)	per pair of hands
Infant Handrinse*†	2	76	77.6	3.63 (1.34-5.05)	per pair of hands
	1	24	91.7	2.40 (-0.96-3.74)	per mL
Bathing Water	2	21	81.0	0.71 (-0.96–1.74)	per mL
Daialia Weters	1	75	77.3	0.08 (-0.96-1.32)	per mL
Drinking Water*	2	76	51.3	-0.30 (-0.96-0.75)	per mL
Fomites [†]	1	129	63.6	2.07 (0.63-3.27)	per sponge
Fomites	2	145	56.6	3.55 (0.63-5.27)	per sponge
F ₁ = 1	1	34	35.3	2.51 (0.63-3.39)	per gram
Food	2	68	25.0	3.35 (0.63-4.27)	per gram
0.11	1	0			
Soil	2	57	98.2	5.94 (3.57-7.35)	per boot sock
	1	613	58.7		*
Total	2	725	55.6		

Table 3: Detection and concentration of E. coli in environmental samples at two timepoints.

*The difference in the percent of samples *E. coli* positive is significant between the two timepoints with a significance level of 0.05. [†]The difference in mean log10 *E. coli* concentrations is significant between the two timepoints with a significance level of 0.05. Food includes injera, cow milk, rice, macaroni, biscuits, etc. Fomites include tea cups, baby bottles, mobile phones, etc.


Figure Captions


Figure 1: Illustration of inhomogeneous Poisson process simulation.


Figure 2: Exposure Model structure. Time is denoted as *T*. State (*S*) is a combination of behavior (*BEH*) and compartment (*COMP*). Competing Hazard model will generate the next time (*T*) and state (*S*) until $T_n - T_0 > 14$ hours. Modules determined by behavior sequences calculate the microbes on hands (*NH*) and microbes ingested (*NI*).


Figure 3: Average contribution (in percentage) of exposure to *E. coli* over 10,000 simulated child days by source and timepoint. The color represents the average daily exposure from a specific *source*.


Figure 4: Fecal microbe transfer networks averaged over 10,000 simulated child days for children at Timepoint 1, subfigure (a), and Timepoint 2, subfigure (b). The size of arrows and edges are proportional to the log10 of the average numbers of fecal microbes transferred (for 10,000 simulated child days). The color of nodes represents their role in the network. Red: *sources*; yellow: *vehicles* (can be source and sink); green: *sinks* (remove contamination); purple: *ingestion*.





















Child age when observed (days)


12:00 09:00 15:00 18:00 Time Sleeping Drinking Bathing NA Activity keys Awake











Total-	0.17	0	0.18	8.84	0.59	0.28	0.21	3.21	0	0.04	8.8	3.99	3.38	0.02	3.88 -
On the bare ground, Awake-	0	0	0	15.88	0	0	0	15.05	0.84	5.85	15.05	0.84	7.52	0	19.22
On a surface with barriers, Sleeping - medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.29.243 (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/fu	12786; this v inder, who h	0 version por has granted	0 sted Augus d medRxiv a	0 t 30, 2024. a license to	O The copyri display the	0 ght holder f preprint in	0 for this prep perpetuity	0 print	0	0	0.11	0.05	0.01	0	0.03
On a surface with barriers, Drinking-		0 NC-ND 4.0	0.18	al license . 3.29	0.09	0	0.04	3.82	0	0.09	8.17	1.01	1.67	0	3.12 -
On a surface with barriers, Bathing-	0	0	0	5.55	0	0	0	0	0	0	22.19	0	0	0	0
On a surface with barriers, Awake-	0.05	0.01	0.22	16.22	0.43	0.32	0.49	8.61	0.01	0.08	7.96	5.2	7.08	0.05	10.08
Carried by other, Sleeping-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.07	0	0	0
Carried by other, Drinking-	0	0	0	7.22	0	0.23	1.17	1.86	0	0	2.56	12.35	9.09	0	3.5
Carried by other, Bathing-	0	0	0	70.13	0	0	46.75	0	0	0	0	0	46.75	0	0
Carried by other, Awake-	0.21	0	0.13	11.19	0.11	1.5	0.27	1.7	0	0	1.63	17.79	5.17	0.01	2.44
Carried by mother, Sleeping-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	0	0	0.2	0.04	0	0	0.02
Carried by mother, Drinking-	0.03	0	0	0.59	0.03	0	0	0.19	0	0	13.28	0.25	0.72	0	0.41
Carried by mother, Bathing-	0	0	0	1.44	0.96	0	0	0	0	0	16.76	0	0	0	0
Carried by mother, Awake-	0.62	0	0.46	13.2	2.15	0.05	0.14	2.08	0	0.03	20.56	1.27	2.79	0.03	2.81 -
	Eating-injera	Eating-raw produce	Eating-other	Mouthing-own hands	Mouthing-mother's hands	Mouthing-other adult's hands	B Mouthing-other child's hands	Mouthing-fomites	Pica-soil	Pica-other	Touching-mother's hands	Touching-other adult's hands	Touching-other child's hands	Touching-livestock	Touching-fomites

State

Rate 50 25

0

	Total-	0.98	0.04	1.18	4.55	0.22	0.08	0.13	5.72	0	0.36	0.31	9.33	4.41	5.17	0.09	18.1
	On the bare ground, Drinking-	0	0	0	1.12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11.17	0	0	0	2.23
	On the bare ground, Bathing-	0	0	0	2.57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56.45	5.13	0	0	2.57
	medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.29.243 (whigh was pot certified by peer review) isythe author/fu (whigh was pot certified by peer review) isythe author/fu	12786; this Inder, gydo Ir a CC-BY-	version po has grante NC+ND4.	osted Augu doned Rxiv 0 internatio	ust 30, 202 v F li cens onal Incens	4. The cop todiaplay	tre ple3ri	der for this nton 25	preprint etui 8 .1	0.01	2.08	1.49	4.06	4.24	9.16	0.23	32.34
	On a surface with barriers, Sleeping-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.02	0	0	0
	On a surface with barriers, Drinking-	0	0	0	0.29	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	11.59	0.58	0.86	0	2.02
	On a surface with barriers, Bathing-	0	0	0	2.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.67	0	2.67	0	0
ate	On a surface with barriers, Awake-	1.23	0.08	1.78	6.61	0.22	0.08	0.21	9.98	0	0.04	0.17	7.69	5.33	8.07	0.11	29.62
State	Carried by other, Sleeping-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Carried by other, Drinking-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.52	0	0	2.76
	Carried by other, Bathing-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28.65	0	0	0
	Carried by other, Awake-	1.12	0.03	0.97	4.76	0.03	0.46	0	1.81	0	0.05	0.03	1.27	22.41	3.82	0.05	6
	Carried by mother, Sleeping-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.15	0	0	0	0
	Carried by mother, Drinking-	0.07	0	0.02	0.05	0	0	0	0.04	0	0	0	24.48	0.16	0.32	0	0.7
	Carried by mother, Bathing-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30.91	0	0	0	0
	Carried by mother, Awake-	2.23	0.02	2.24	5.8	0.75	0	0.01	2.71	0	0	0.02	20.65	1.12	1.77	0.01	5.92
		Eating-injera	-raw produce	Eating-other	Mouthing-own hands	her's hands	dult's hands	nild's hands	Mouthing-fomites	Pica-feces	Pica-soil	Pica-other	her's hands	adult's hands	nild's hands	Touching-livestock	Touching-fomites
		Ē	Eating-ra	Ш	Mouthing-	Mouthing-mother's hands	Mouthing-other adult's hands	Mouthing-other child's hands					Touching-mother's hands	Touching-other ad	Touching-other child's hands	Touchin	Touchi
									Bena	avio	ľ						

