SUBJECT AREA: Medicine, Rehabilitation

Red flags useful to screen for suspect cancer in patients with low back pain: a scoping review protocol

Gianluca Notarangelo, Giuseppe Giovannico, Francesco Bruno, Claudia Milella, Firas Mourad & Filippo Maselli

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Filippo Maselli: Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

E-mail: masellifilippo76@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Low back pain (LBP) is defined as pain and discomfort occurring under the costal arch and above the inferior gluteal folds, with or without leg pain. The most common type of low back pain is "non-specific low back pain". It is defined as such because there is no specific pathology that can be the cause. Instead, "specific low back pain" is caused by diseases such as infections, tumors, osteoporosis, ankylosing spondylitis, fractures, inflammatory processes, radicular syndromes, cauda equina syndrome, etc. It is the most common musculoskeletal disorder in the world and the most cause of disability.

Most low back pain is benign in nature and specific diagnoses are uncommon; however in some specific cases this clinical presentation of signs and symptoms could hide much more serious conditions. The second most frequent serious pathology, after fracture, that may initially appears as low back pain is tumor pathology (0.2% and 7.0%), condition that turns out to be so dangerous as to be the second leading cause of death in the world according to the WHO.

Red flags are signs and symptoms that raise suspicion of the presence of serious diseases; these are clinical aspects of alert that can justify referral to the doctor/specialist and can contraindicate physiotherapy treatment.

Clinicians must therefore be able to recognize clinical presentations that are potentially dangerous to the patient that may require further evaluation or emergency referral. Failure to immediately recognize these conditions could lead to incorrect diagnoses resulting in worsening outcomes over time. The tools that will guide the clinician in recognizing the red flags in low back pain are a through medical history and a complete physical examination.

Currently we have very little clarity and agreement in the literature about the Red Flags that should be better investigated, a scoping review is strongly required and corresponded to the objectives of this project.

BACKGROUND

Low back pain (LBP) is defined as pain and discomfort occurring under the costal arch and above the inferior gluteal folds, with or without leg pain. The most common type of low back pain is "nonspecific low back pain". It is defined as such because there is no specific pathology that can be the cause. Instead, "specific low back pain" is caused by diseases such as infections, tumors, osteoporosis, ankylosing spondylitis, fractures, inflammatory processes, radicular syndromes, cauda equina syndrome, etc. [1] It is the most common musculoskeletal disorder in the world and the most cause of disability [2-3].

After a correct and specific clinical evaluation, patients may suffer from the following conditions: specific spinal pathology (Vertebral fractures, Malignancy, Spinal infection, Axial spondyloartritis, Cauda equina syndrome; < 1% of cases in primary care), radicular syndrome (radicular pain, radiculopathy, spinal stenosis; 5-10% of cases in primary care) and non-specific LBP 90-95% [4]

Most low back pain is benign in nature and specific diagnoses are uncommon. [5]; however in some specific cases this clinical presentation of signs and symptoms could hide much more serious conditions. The second most frequent serious pathology, after fracture, that may initially appears as low back pain is tumor pathology (0.2% and 7.0%) [6], condition that turns out to be so dangerous as to be the second leading cause of death in the world according to the WHO.

Red flags are signs and symptoms that raise suspicion of the presence of serious diseases; these are clinical aspects of alert that can justify referral to the doctor/specialist and can contraindicate physiotherapy treatment. [7]

Clinicians must therefore be able to recognize clinical presentations that are potentially dangerous to the patient that may require further evaluation or emergency referral. Failure to immediately recognize these conditions could lead to incorrect diagnoses resulting in worsening outcomes over time. The tools that will guide the clinician in recognizing the red flags in low back pain are a through medical history and a complete physical examination. [8]

A recent study confirms that the presence of one or more Red Flags of low or intermediate diagnostic impact authorizes the clinician to "wait and see", given that serious damage to the patient occurs after a delayed diagnosis of 4-6 weeks. Instead, the presence of Red Flag with a high diagnostic impact justifies a more thorough and urgent investigation and a probable referral to a spinal specialist. [9]

In addition to being a widely used correct model in the past, Red Flags screening has become a professional responsibility of the physiotherapist. It should be noted that the predictive power of this procedure still shows weaknesses and may not yet be sufficient in the field of Differential Diagnosis. [10]

Currently we have very little clarity and agreement in the literature about the Red Flags that should be better investigated, a scoping review is strongly required and corresponded to the objectives of this project.

IIn particular, this scoping review aims to:

- 1. Systematically map and summarize the current literature to identify any studies that reported RFs for cancer among patients who reported LBP;
- 2. Possibility of identifying a cluster of Red Flags that could help detect the presence of cancer.
- 3. Identify gaps in the evidence base and direct future research in this area.

REVIEW QUESTION

The following research question was formulated: "What is known from the existing literature about RFs for cancer in patients presenting with LBP?

METHODS

This scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the latest review process proposed by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) in 2020 [11].

For the reporting, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA ScR) [12] checklist will be used.

Inclusion criteria

Full-text articles will be eligible for inclusion if they meet the following population, concept, and context (PCC) criteria:

• Population. This review will consider studies that included patients of any age and gender with any cancer diagnosis with reported LBP.

• Concept. This review will consider studies that explored and reported RFs for cancer among the population described above.

• Context. This review will consider studies conducted in any context.

• Sources. This scoping review will consider only studies studies published in English or Italian language. Publication date was restricted from 01 January 1999 to nowadays. No others geographical or setting restrictions will be applied.

Exclusion criteria

Studies that do not meet the above-stated PCC criteria will be excluded.

Search strategy

Literature research will be carried out on the following databases up to August, 15st 2024:

MEDLINE, Scopus, Google Scholar Web of Science, Cochrane Library and SciELO.

The full search strategy for MEDLINE is available in the Appendix 1. We will check the reference lists of all identified studies.

Study selection

Study selection Search results were collected and imported into EndNote V.X9 (Clarivate Analytics, PA, USA). Duplicates were automatically removed. The review process was conducted by two independent authors and consisted of two levels of screening using Rayyan QCRI online software [13]: (1) title and abstract review, and (2) full-text review. In case of disagreement, discrepancies were resolved by a third author. Reasons for excluding full-text sources were recorded and provided in the scoping review report. The results of the search will be reported in full in the final scoping review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) flowchart.

Data extraction

A standardized and planned Excel form will be used to sort the included studies and the extracted data. This extraction form will be created according to the PCC model and will be completed alternately by two authors, cross-checking each entry. A draft of the extraction tool is included in Appendix 2.

In each study examined, the extracted data will be: Author(s), Year of publication, Study design, Population, Characteristics, Sample size, Diagnosis of Low back Pain, Concept, RFs identified, Context, Country, Setting

The creation of charts of results is usually an iterative process in scoping reviews. Additional data may be added to this form depending on possible subgroups resulting from the analysis of the included studies. The changes are detailed in the full scoping review.

Data synthesis

As a scoping review, the aim of this study is to summarize the results and provide an overview of the research rather than assessing the quality of individual studies [11]. The results are presented in two ways:

1. Numerical: We summarize and report the collected data as a descriptive analysis. We map the data and show the distribution of studies by publication period, study design and topic. Results are reported in table and summary format.

2. Thematic: A thematic summary on cancer RFs is performed. Additional descriptive subgroup analyses are reported (e.g., patient gender, lumbar spine disease).

RELEVANCE AND DISSEMINATION

The results of this scoping review may increase clinicians' knowledge regarding the identification of RF suspicious for cancer in patients with LBP and, consequently, suggest prompt referral. Overall, this review may provide relevant information that can help improve clinical care.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors conceived, designed, drafted and approved the final protocol.

COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interest.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This research will not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Appendix 1: The full search strategy for MEDLINE Search conducted on 15^{th} of August 2024

DATABASE	RESULTS
MEDLINE	62
(("Low Back Pain"[MeSH Terms] OR "Low Back Pain"[All Fields] OR "low back ache"[All Fields] OR "lumbodynia"[All Fields]) AND ("tumor"[All Fields] OR "cancer"[All Fields] OR "malignancy"[All Fields] OR "neoplasm"[All Fields] OR "tumour"[All Fields]) AND ("red flags"[All Fields] OR "red herrings"[All Fields] OR "red flag"[All Fields] OR "red herring"[All Fields])) AND ((1999/1/1:2024/12/31[pdat]) AND (english[Filter] OR italian[Filter]))	

Appendix 2: draft of the extraction tool

Study details		
Author(s)		
Year of publication		
Study design		
Population		
Characteristics	e.g. gender, age	
Sample size		
Diagnosis of Low back Pain		
Concept		
RFs identified		
Context		
Country		
Setting	e.g. private/public clinic	

REFERENCES

- 1. Burton AK. European guidelines for prevention in low back pain. COST B13 Working Group. 2004: 1-53.
- Blyth, F. M., Briggs, A. M., Schneider, C. H., Hoy, D. G., & March, L. M. (2019). The Global Burden of Musculoskeletal Pain—Where to From Here? American Journal of Public Health, 109(1), 35–40.
- 3. GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators (2017). Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet, 392: 1789-858
- 4. Koes BW, van Tulder M, Lin CW, et al. An updated overview of clinical guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care. Eur Spine J 2010; 19: 2075-2094.
- van Tulder M, Becker A, Bekkering T, Breen A, del Real MT, Hutchinson A, Koes B, Laerum E, Malmivaara A; COST B13 Working Group on Guidelines for the Management of Acute Low Back Pain in Primary Care. Chapter 3. European guidelines for the management of acute nonspecific low back pain in primary care. Eur Spine J. 2006 Mar;15 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S169-91. doi: 10.1007/s00586-006-1071-2. PMID: 16550447; PMCID: PMC3454540.
- Galliker G, Scherer DE, Trippolini MA, Rasmussen-Barr E, LoMartire R, Wertli MM. Low Back Pain in the Emergency Department: Prevalence of Serious Spinal Pathologies and Diagnostic Accuracy of Red Flags. Am J Med. 2020 Jan;133(1):60-72.e14. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.06.005. Epub 2019 Jul 3. PMID: 31278933.
- Finucane LM, Downie A, Mercer C, Greenhalgh SM, Boissonnault WG, Pool-Goudzwaard AL, Beneciuk JM, Leech RL, Selfe J. International Framework for Red Flags for Potential Serious Spinal Pathologies. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2020 Jul;50(7):350-372. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2020.9971. Epub 2020 May 21. PMID: 32438853.
- DePalma, Michael G. MHS, PA-C, DFAAPA. Red flags of low back pain. Journal of the American Academy of Physician Assistants 33(8):p 8-11, August 2020. | DOI: 10.1097/01.JAA.0000684112.91641.4c
- 9. Casazza B.A. Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Low Back Pain. Am Fam Physician. 2012;85(4):343-350.
- Maselli F, Palladino M, Barbari V, Storari L, Rossettini G, Testa The diagnostic value of Red Flags in thoracolumbar pain: a systematic review.M. Disabil Rehabil. 2022 Apr;44(8):1190-1206.
- 11. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169(7):467–473
- 12. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Systematic reviews 2016;5:210. 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4