STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *case-control studies*

Introduction Background/rationale Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables	No 1	Recommendation	No
Background/rationale Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/		(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	1
Background/rationale Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/		abstract	1/2
Background/rationale Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	1/2
Background/rationale Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/		done and what was found	
Objectives Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/			
Methods Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	2-3
Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3
Study design Setting Participants Variables Data sources/			
Setting Participants Variables Data sources/	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3
Participants Variables Data sources/	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	12-15
Variables Data sources/		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Variables Data sources/	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case	4
Data sources/		ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases	
Data sources/		and controls	
Data sources/		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per	4
Data sources/		case	
	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	4-5
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	4-
		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	5,12- 15
		there is more than one group	13
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	4
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	4-5
variables		describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	12-15
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	15
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	12-15
		(d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	4
1		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in	
		the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	4
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	4
Descriptive data 1	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	Table1
<u>.</u>		and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	NA
		interest	
Outcome data 1	15*	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	4

Main results		16 (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12- 15
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	15
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	4-5
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	6
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	6
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	6-7
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	8

^{*}Give information separately for cases and controls.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.